Epidemiology and risk factors for bipolar disorder

Tobias A Rowland¹,², Steven Marwaha¹,²

¹University of Warwick, Unit of Mental Health and Wellbeing, Division of Health Sciences, Coventry, CV4 7AL
²Coventry and Warwickshire Partnership Trust, The Caludon Centre, Coventry, CV2 2TE

Abstract

Bipolar disorder is a multifactorial illness with uncertain aetiology. Knowledge of potential risk factors enables clinicians to identify patients who are more likely to develop bipolar disorder, which directs further investigation, follow up and caution when prescribing. Ideally, identifying directly causative factors for bipolar disorder would enable intervention on an individual or population level to prevent the development of the illness, and improve outcomes through earlier treatment. This article reviews the epidemiology of bipolar disorder, along with putative demographic, genetic and environmental risk factors, while assessing the strength of these associations and to what extent they might be said to be “causative”. While numerous genetic and environmental risk factors have been identified, the attributable risk of individual factors is often small, and most are not specific to bipolar disorder but are associated with several mental illnesses. Therefore, while some genetic and environmental factors have strong evidence supporting their association with bipolar disorder, fewer have sufficient evidence to establish causality. There is increasing interest in the role of specific gene-environment interactions as well as the mechanisms by which risk factors interact to lead to bipolar disorder.
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Introduction
Bipolar Affective Disorder (bipolar) is a multi-component illness involving episodes of severe mood disturbance, neuropsychological deficits, immunological and physiological changes and disturbances in functioning. It is one of the leading causes of disability worldwide and is associated with high rates of premature mortality from both suicide and medical comorbidities.

The aetiology of bipolar is not well understood and research into the disorder lags behind disorders such as psychosis. However, the last decade has seen an expanding evidence into the genetics of the disorder, underlying developmental pathways, risks and vulnerability factors, gene-environment interactions and the putative features of the bipolar prodrome.

This article summarises the research into demographic, genetic and environmental risk factors for the development of bipolar, with a focus on recent updates and the role of environmental triggers. To identify relevant literature, searches were conducted in PubMed and PsycINFO using the terms Bipolar Disorder, combined with risk factors or epidemiology. Results were reviewed with a focus on the most recent evidence and systematic reviews or large prospective studies, and further individual searches were then expanded for each risk factor category identified. A summary of the included studies relating to specific risk factors for bipolar are included in Table 1.

Epidemiology of bipolar disorder

Epidemiological studies have suggested a lifetime prevalence of around 1% for bipolar type I in the general population. A large cross-sectional survey of 11 countries found the overall lifetime prevalence of bipolar spectrum disorders was 2.4%, with a prevalence of 0.6% for bipolar type I and 0.4% for bipolar type II. Although findings varied across different countries, this suggested a lower prevalence of bipolar type I and II than previous studies, while the prevalence of bipolar type I in USA was found to be 1%, slightly higher than the other countries. It is unclear whether differences were due to more stringent diagnostic criteria used in this study, or true differences in rates of bipolar across countries and ethnic groups. In one of the very few epidemiological investigations in England the recent Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey 2014 found lifetime prevalence of likely bipolar was 2%. The measurement method suggests that this was an underestimate, but the study did not distinguish bipolar subtypes. A recent meta-analysis of 25 studies found a pooled lifetime prevalence of 1.06% and 1.57% for bipolar type I and II respectively, although the majority of the included studies were from North or South America. Nevertheless, a similar prevalence has been found in the UK, Germany and Italy, and a lifetime prevalence between 0.1-1.83% was found in a systematic review of studies from African countries.

The reason for international variations in the prevalence of bipolar is not entirely clear, and ethnicity, cultural factors and variations in diagnostic criteria and study methodology may each have an impact. The evidence for differing rates of bipolar in different ethnicities is conflicting, with some studies showing higher rates in Caucasians and others in non-white populations. A systematic review found no clear evidence for differences across ethnic groups, and suggested individual study
differences may be related to cultural factors, migration and higher rates of misdiagnosis of black ethnic groups as having schizophrenia rather than bipolar. With regards to gender, several studies report equal distribution in bipolar, while others have identified a higher prevalence of manic episodes and bipolar type I in males and higher rates of bipolar type II in females. Overall, the evidence is not sufficiently strong to deviate from the view that bipolar appears to have a roughly equal distribution across gender and ethnicity.

The mean age of onset for bipolar appears to be in the early twenties, although findings vary between 20-30 years. A bimodal distribution of the incidence of bipolar has been suggested, supported by a large population based cohort study, which found two peaks in age of onset at 15-24 years and at 45-54 years. However, age of onset estimates are very difficult to define accurately for bipolar given the long periods of untreated illness, when symptoms can be nascent or apparent without individuals accessing services, which is often used as the measure of onset in many studies. Moreover, there appear to be differences in the presentation and clinical course of bipolar depending on age of onset, with higher rates of psychiatric and medical comorbidities such as suicidality and vascular disease in later onset mania.

A number of studies have investigated rates of bipolar according to sociodemographic variables, with generally inconsistent findings. There is some evidence of higher rates in low income, unemployed and unmarried groups, although the social disruption caused by severe mental illness giving rise to such associations cannot be ruled out. Conversely, an interesting finding among some studies is that higher socioeconomic status and higher occupational level as well as creativity are associated with increased risk of bipolar, which is opposite to that of unipolar depression and schizophrenia. However, these studies are limited by small sample sizes and a lack of replication. Explanations for this association include the possibility of referral bias for those with higher socioeconomic status, while some have suggested that those with high functioning creative traits may confer a genetic risk of bipolar.

There is also emerging evidence for an association between urban environments and increased rates of bipolar. While the evidence is stronger for schizophrenia, where there have been multiple suggested explanations, the reason for the association between urbanisation and bipolar is less clear. However, a cohort study found that there was a strong association between urban residence and the incidence of psychotic bipolar, but no association for bipolar without psychosis. This may suggest that urban residence is a trans-diagnostic risk factor for psychotic illness rather than bipolar per se.

Genetics and gene environment interactions

The contribution of genetic factors to bipolar has long been identified, with evidence from twin studies suggesting mono-zygotic concordance of between 40-70%, and lifetime risk in first-degree relatives is 5-10%; around 7 times higher than the general population risk. However, relatives of patients with bipolar are more likely to develop unipolar depression than bipolar themselves, suggesting the genetic risk transcends diagnostic categories. There is also evidence of shared genetic risk between bipolar,
schizophrenia and autism\textsuperscript{30, 31}. Nonetheless, bipolar clearly does not follow a Mendelian pattern of inheritance, and linkage studies have not identified individual genes with a strong association with the disorder\textsuperscript{32}. The genetic risk for bipolar in part is likely due to multiple single nucleotide polymorphisms, which are highly prevalent in the general population and confer a very small increased risk individually\textsuperscript{33}. Technological advances have allowed for genome wide association studies which have pooled data and identified multiple genetic loci associated with bipolar patients suggesting aggregated polygenic risk\textsuperscript{34}.

Whilst many important genetic loci have been identified, how these translate to risk of illness is a second frontier of discovery. Studies have identified polymorphisms in genes coding for brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) to be associated with bipolar\textsuperscript{35}. BDNF is suspected to be involved in the pathogenesis of bipolar as well as a potential biomarker of disease activity\textsuperscript{36}. Associations with catechol-O-methyl transferase (COMT) and monoamine transporters have also been observed\textsuperscript{37, 38}. Genes for voltage gated calcium channel subunits such as CACNA1C are located near to single nucleotide polymorphisms that have an association with bipolar, as well as proteins involved in cell signalling such as ODZ4\textsuperscript{34}, and genes encoding for GABA receptor subunits\textsuperscript{39}. The fact that many of the medications used as prophylactic agents in bipolar act on calcium channels or GABA receptors\textsuperscript{40} suggests these proteins may be involved in the neurobiology of the disorder, and this evidence is guiding the search for new therapeutic targets\textsuperscript{41}.

However, it is clear that the effect size of each single nucleotide polymorphism is very small. For example, the odds of having bipolar in those with the polymorphism around CACNA1C is 1.14, and the majority of those with this polymorphism do not go on to develop the disorder\textsuperscript{33, 34}. There has therefore been increasing interest in the role of how gene-environment interactions contribute to the onset of bipolar, although this remains an under researched area compared to schizophrenia\textsuperscript{42, 43}. Nevertheless, interaction between childhood abuse and BDNF gene polymorphisms have been shown in several studies\textsuperscript{42, 44}, while toll-like receptor 2 polymorphisms may interact with stressful life events and Toxoplasma gondii infection to increase the risk of bipolar\textsuperscript{45, 46}. A COMT polymorphism has been found to interact with stressful life events for bipolar depressive episodes\textsuperscript{47}, while serotonin transporter genes have interactions with cannabis use on the presence of psychotic symptoms in bipolar\textsuperscript{48}. With the increasing ability of genome wide association studies to identify polymorphisms conferring a very small increased risk, further study of how these genes interact with environmental factors to trigger bipolar is required.

Environmental risk factors

Prenatal and perinatal factors

Prenatal viral infections have been implicated in a number of mental illnesses, including bipolar\textsuperscript{49-51}. A recent review by Barichello et al\textsuperscript{52} investigated associations between bipolar and 10 infectious agents. Findings between studies were generally
inconsistent, and no association was found for Epstein-Barr virus, human herpesvirus 6 or varicella zoster virus. Five of the eleven studies investigating cytomegalovirus found an association between antibody levels and bipolar, while two studies found an association between maternal influenza infection and bipolar with psychosis\textsuperscript{53,54}, although other studies found no association\textsuperscript{55-57}. None of these studies were prospective or longitudinal and it is uncertain whether these infections occurred during pregnancy or subsequently. Therefore the evidence for maternal viral infection as a risk factor for bipolar remains weak overall.

However, there is stronger evidence for an association between bipolar and seropositivity for \textit{Toxoplasma gondii} infection, demonstrated in two recent meta-analyses\textsuperscript{58,59}. The first included 11 studies and demonstrated overall increased odds of having bipolar in those with immunoglobulin G (IgG) to \textit{T. gondii}, with an odds ratio of 1.52 (95\% confidence interval 1.06-2.18)\textsuperscript{58}. A second meta-analysis of 8 studies also found a significant association between bipolar and \textit{T. gondii} seropositivity with an odds ratio of 1.26 (95\% confidence interval 1.08-1.47)\textsuperscript{59}. However, the included studies were not prospective and it remains uncertain when \textit{T. gondii} exposure occurred. Notwithstanding, there is preclinical evidence suggestive of a relationship between \textit{T. gondii} and development of mental illness, with studies showing behavioural changes in mice\textsuperscript{60} and humans\textsuperscript{61,62}. Moreover, there is evidence that infection with \textit{T. gondii} causes changes in dopamine metabolism leading to increased dopamine production\textsuperscript{63}, similar to that suggested as a potential mechanism for manic episodes in bipolar\textsuperscript{64}. Furthermore, there is evidence that following \textit{T. gondii} infection the local inflammatory response leads to alteration in cytokines\textsuperscript{65}, such as IL-6\textsuperscript{66} which have been implicated in mental illness and bipolar specifically\textsuperscript{67,68}, and may be related to cognitive deterioration in this patient group\textsuperscript{66}.

Evidence regarding other prenatal exposures such as maternal smoking and severe psychological stressors are inconsistent, with only a small number of studies investigating these factors\textsuperscript{69}. Obstetric complications have generated interest as a risk factor for later development of bipolar\textsuperscript{70}, but a meta-analysis found no significant evidence for this association\textsuperscript{71}, and bipolar patients were less likely to have experienced obstetric complications than those with schizophrenia. A systematic review by Marangoni et al\textsuperscript{69} identified prospective studies which suggested extreme prematurity (less than 32 weeks gestation) conferred a significant risk of developing bipolar.

In general, the evidence for prenatal and perinatal factors as an independent risk factor for developing bipolar is relatively weak and inconsistent, and such factors appear to confer greater risk for developing other mental disorders, such as schizophrenia\textsuperscript{71}. The evidence for \textit{T. gondii} infection is more substantial, while maternal CMV and influenza infection warrant further investigation as to their associations with bipolar.

**Postnatal factors**

**Childhood maltreatment**
Childhood maltreatment is a well-studied environmental risk factor with high quality evidence that it confers a risk for later development of bipolar, although it is also associated with behavioural problems and other mental illnesses. When investigating specific subtypes of abuse, several studies have identified a link between emotional abuse or emotional neglect and the later development of bipolar, while emotional abuse appears to be the most frequent subtype of abuse experienced in bipolar patients. A recent high quality meta-analysis of childhood adversity in bipolar patients compared to healthy controls found significant associations between development of bipolar and prior physical, sexual and emotional abuse and physical and emotional neglect. The largest association was for emotional abuse which was four times more likely to have occurred in bipolar patients than controls. Moreover, higher rates of childhood adversity were found in patients with bipolar compared to unipolar depression, although similar rates to schizophrenia. Gilman et al also found that a history of childhood abuse increased the risk of transitioning to bipolar following a depressive episode. This suggests that abuse and neglect during childhood confer some specific risk to more severe forms of mental illness.

As well a risk factor, childhood maltreatment appears to be associated with poorer clinical outcomes in bipolar, with more severe and more frequent mood episodes, earlier onset, increased risk of suicide and comorbid substance misuse. The relationship between childhood abuse and the severity of bipolar adds further weight to its position as potential causative factor for the disorder. Notwithstanding, childhood maltreatment does not appear to be specifically related to psychotic symptoms or a diagnosis of bipolar type I over type II.

Whilst it seems likely that childhood traumatic events increase the risk of bipolar, why or how they do this remains unclear but is the focus of ongoing research. Traumatic events are linked to increased levels of affective instability or emotional dysregulation more generally in people with bipolar and this represents one possible mechanism of action. Other dimensions of psychopathology such as hostility and impulsivity, along with affective instability have been shown to mediate the association between childhood maltreatment and outcomes in bipolar, while alterations in the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, increased levels of BDNF and inflammatory cytokines and reduced limbic grey matter volume represent possible neurobiological underpinnings of the effect of childhood trauma and how this may lead to later psychopathology and bipolar in particular.

It should be noted that there is difficulty in determining to what extent childhood maltreatment is a cause or consequence of the predisposition to develop bipolar, as parental psychopathology may confer a genetic risk of the disorder as well as increased risk of childhood maltreatment. The retrospective nature of these studies introduces the possibility of recall bias with regard to childhood adversity, and at present there are few prospective studies investigating the association between childhood maltreatment and bipolar.

Psychological stressors
Recent stressful life events are known to affect the course of bipolar, although their relationship with the onset of the disorder has been less extensively investigated compared to unipolar depression. A systematic review by Tsuchiya et al identified four studies investigating stressful life events prior to the onset of bipolar, the three largest of which found an increased risk of onset within 6 months of such events. A meta-analysis found that patients experience more life events prior to relapses into either manic or depressive episodes than during euthymic periods, although the rate of significant life events prior to the onset of bipolar was similar to unipolar depression. Other studies have supported the association between life events and the onset of bipolar, including a large case control study which found that stressful life events were associated with a first hospitalisation for a manic episode, particularly suicide of a first-degree relative, but also recent marriage, divorce, disability or unemployment. There are a number of confounders to these associations, particularly with regard to suicide of a first degree relative where genetic factors play a significant role, as death due to other causes was not associated with hospitalisation. A bi-directional relationship has also been suggested for stressful life events in bipolar as there is evidence that these events occur both prior to and following mood episodes.

There is also evidence for specific life events conferring a risk for bipolar, such as early parental loss and childbirth. The systematic review by Tsuchiya et al found that only 3 of the 10 studies investigating parental loss identified an association with bipolar, although it is noteworthy that one of these was a very large cohort study which adjusted for a number of confounders, including family history of mental illness. A meta-analysis found that childbirth specifically increased the risk of mood episodes in patients with bipolar, more so than relapses in unipolar depression or schizophrenia. Tsuchiya et al identified only 3 studies investigating onset of bipolar following childbirth, but each found an association with subsequent bipolar diagnosis within 12 months. This is perhaps unsurprising considering the association between puerperal psychosis and bipolar, but it is unclear whether the reason for the association is genetic, hormonal or related to childbirth as a life event.

However, life events are relatively non-specific in relation to mental and physical illness, and appear to be associated not only with the onset of bipolar disorder and unipolar depression, but also psychosis, anxiety disorders, ischaemic stroke and circulatory disorders. While gene-environment interactions have been identified between life events and the onset of specific disorders, the use of checklists to identify life events in such studies has been criticised as lacking sufficient detail with regard to the severity and context of such events. These methodological issues make it difficult to establish causation between life events and development of bipolar.

Substance misuse

Bipolar is frequently comorbid with misuse of substances, including cannabis, opioids, cocaine, sedatives and alcohol, and causality has been suggested in both directions. While the high level of comorbidity is undeniable, causality it much harder to ascertain as there is often difficulty is establishing the temporal relationship between substance misuse and the onset of mental illness. This is compounded by the relative lack
of prospective, longitudinal studies examining the relationship between substance misuse and bipolar.

There is increasing evidence that cannabis use can act as a risk factor for the development of bipolar as well as psychotic disorders. A recent systematic review by Gibbs et al.

This review also included a meta-analysis of two large prospective cohort studies which found that cannabis use almost trebled the risk of new onset subthreshold manic symptoms after adjusting for potential confounding factors. A further large prospective cohort study found cannabis use increased the risk of first episode bipolar by a factor of 5 after adjusting for confounders, and demonstrated evidence of a dose response relationship.

Other studies were more equivocal, finding increased risk of bipolar only in those with weekly to daily cannabis use and no dose response relationship, or increased risk only in those with a past year episode of depression. Recently a prospective analysis has demonstrated cannabis use at age 17 is associated with hypomania in young adulthood independent of psychotic symptoms and other important confounders. Further path analysis indicated cannabis use is one mechanism by which childhood abuse translates to increased risk of bipolar symptoms.

Other substances of abuse are also important in the risk of bipolar. Prospective studies have linked opioid use to an increased risk of developing bipolar, which is greater than other mood disorders. A further study found that alcohol and drug abuse or dependence before the age of 25 increased the odds of developing subsequent bipolar, although differences between specific drugs were not examined. Cocaine use has also been implicated although is less well studied, and as stimulant use can precipitate mania or similar symptoms this may lead to inappropriate diagnosis of bipolar, rather than act as a causative factor.

There are significant confounding factors to associations between bipolar and substance misuse, which remain despite attempts at adjustment within the studies. It has been suggested that cannabis may help to self-medicate for bipolar illness; and therefore may be used by those with subthreshold symptoms prior to the onset of bipolar. Furthermore, there is evidence that shared genetic factors confer risk to develop both substance misuse disorders and bipolar, while childhood maltreatment is also associated with both disorders.

Medical comorbidity

Bipolar is known to be comorbid with a number of medical and psychiatric conditions. There are multiple reasons for this, including shared genetic and environmental vulnerabilities, consequences of treatment, recognition bias on the part of clinicians as well as the potential for a direct causal relationship in either direction.

There is strong evidence for the association between bipolar and irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) highlighted in a recent large meta-analysis of retrospective cohort studies. However, potentially important confounders such as antidepressant use were
not adjusted for. There is also evidence that both disorders may share inflammatory\textsuperscript{72, 119, 120} and stress related aetiologies\textsuperscript{90, 121}, which could give rise to this association.

Similarly, recent meta-analyses have shown asthma\textsuperscript{122}, obesity\textsuperscript{123}, migraine\textsuperscript{124} and head injury\textsuperscript{125} are associated with bipolar. The evidence for these associations is mediated by the relatively small number of studies included, most of which were cross sectional and lacked data to adjust for confounding factors. However, for asthma a retrospective cohort\textsuperscript{126} and large prospective study\textsuperscript{127} also support the association, which may be mediated by shared inflammatory pathways\textsuperscript{119, 120} or the use of corticosteroids during early childhood\textsuperscript{116, 126}. Medication and lifestyle factors significantly confound the association with obesity, for which there are few prospective studies and weak evidence for a directly causal relationship, while the association with traumatic brain injury is potentially confounded by ‘accident-proneness’ or physical abuse\textsuperscript{128}. There is evidence of increased prevalence of bipolar in patients with multiple sclerosis (MS)\textsuperscript{129, 130} which cannot be completely accounted for by steroid induced mania, and in some instances psychiatric symptoms may predate the diagnosis of MS\textsuperscript{131}. However, other studies have not supported this association\textsuperscript{116}.

A meta-analysis reported high lifetime prevalence of anxiety disorders in bipolar patients\textsuperscript{132}, while ADHD, conduct disorders, aggression and impulsivity also appeared to increase risk of developing bipolar\textsuperscript{117}.

### Prodromal features and bipolar at-risk criteria

It is becoming increasingly recognised that bipolar, like schizophrenia, has a prodromal phase which can be identified prior to development of the full illness\textsuperscript{133, 134}. However, one issue with research into this area is the potential conflation of the concepts of a prodrome for bipolar, referring to symptoms that can be retrospectively identified as preceding the onset of the disorder, and a ‘risk syndrome’ consisting of clinical features, comorbidities and risk factors which increase the risk of later developing bipolar\textsuperscript{135}. At present neither prodrome nor risk syndrome has been fully defined, although the bipolar at-risk (BAR) assessment tool has demonstrated predictive validity and reliability for identifying those at risk of bipolar, with around 23% of those identified transitioning to mania or hypomania\textsuperscript{136}. A study using the BAR assessment tool criteria found that cyclothymia had the best overall clinical utility for case finding and screening when focussing on depressed youths with an early transition to bipolar. The clinical utility profile of sub-threshold mania, anti-depressant emergent elation, family history of bipolar and atypical depression suggested they were better for screening out non-cases\textsuperscript{137}. However, other studies have questioned the associations between clinical characteristics of depression and transition to bipolar\textsuperscript{79}.

The low positive predictive value of these precursors reduces their usefulness, and of the significant proportion of those ‘at risk’ who do not go on to develop bipolar there is limited understanding of what factors are protective against this transition, or how this group differs from those who do develop bipolar\textsuperscript{135}. Future research should focus on identifying differences in this group, while continuing to refine screening tools for
prodromal identification and risk syndromes in prospective studies. Focussing on transition to first episode mania may have greater reliability in identifying cases\textsuperscript{135}.

First episode bipolar mania has an annual incidence of around 5 per 100,000 of population\textsuperscript{138}, and peak incidence occurs between 21-25 years\textsuperscript{139}. Although the incidence of first episode mania is equal between males and females\textsuperscript{138}, studies have found that age of onset is around 5 years earlier for men\textsuperscript{140}. A meta-analysis of longitudinal studies of first episode mania found that 87.5\% of patients achieve syndromal recovery within the first year, meaning they no longer meet criteria for diagnosis. However, the symptomatic recovery rates (essentially defined as being symptom free) were 62.1\% within the first year, while 41\% experience a recurrence of a manic, mixed or depressed episode over the same period\textsuperscript{141}. Considering the relatively poor outcome in such patients, the potential to identify a risk syndrome or prodromal phase of bipolar in those presenting with a depressive illness offers the opportunity intervene at an earlier stage, leading to improved outcomes\textsuperscript{20}.

Conclusions

Risk factors for bipolar are numerous, both genetic and environmental, but low attributable risk, inconsistency of results, inability to identify the temporality of the relationship, lack of a clear biological mechanism and the non-specific nature of many risk factors means that causation is difficult to assign in an individual patient. Studies of environmental risk were also unable to completely adjust for confounding. However, there is evidence that severity of bipolar is related to childhood emotional abuse and the degree of cannabis misuse, suggesting a dose-response relationship. The association with \textit{Toxoplasma gondii} is also strong with some evidence of biological plausibility, although concerns remain about temporality. Bipolar is associated with medical comorbidities such as IBS and asthma, which may point towards shared inflammatory pathophysiology of the disorders, while other psychiatric disorders and clinical features that predate the onset of bipolar may point towards an identifiable ‘risk syndrome’. Future research into these risk factors should focus on establishing temporality, whether the severity of bipolar is linked to the risk factor, and identifying potential neurobiological and environmental mechanisms to explain the associations. Finally, research into gene-environment interactions is required to link existing evidence on genetic and environmental risks.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Risk factor examined</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>N (participants / studies)</th>
<th>Summary of main findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genetics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craddock and Jones 1999&lt;sup&gt;28&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Familial genetic risk</td>
<td>Review</td>
<td>8 studies</td>
<td>Meta-analysis provided an overall estimate of the risk of bipolar in first degree relatives of bipolar type I probands OR=7 (95% CI 5-10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6 studies</td>
<td>Pooled data provided an estimate of probandwise monozygotic concordance for bipolar of 50% (95% CI 40%-60%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric GWAS Consortium Bipolar Disorder Working Group 2011&lt;sup&gt;34&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Multiple SNPs</td>
<td>Case-control GWAS data</td>
<td>11,974 bipolar patients 51,792 controls</td>
<td>Genome-wide significant evidence of association for rs4765913 in CACNA1C (P=1.52 × 10&lt;sup&gt;−8&lt;/sup&gt;, OR=1.14) and rs12576775 in ODZ4 (P=4.40 × 10&lt;sup&gt;−8&lt;/sup&gt;, OR=0.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fan and Sklar 2008&lt;sup&gt;35&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>BDNF Val66Met polymorphism</td>
<td>Meta-analysis</td>
<td>14 studies</td>
<td>Meta-analysis shows evidence for the association between Val66Met polymorphism in BDNF and bipolar (OR=1.13, 95% CI 1.04-1.23, P=0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cho et al 2005&lt;sup&gt;58&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5-HTTL polymorphic region and intron 2 variable numbers of tandem repeats polymorphisms</td>
<td>Meta-analysis</td>
<td>17 studies</td>
<td>The review revealed significant pooled OR=1.12 (95% CI 1.03-1.21) for the association between bipolar and 5-HTTL polymorphic region and OR=1.12 (95% CI 1.02-1.22) for the intron 2 variable numbers of tandem repeats polymorphisms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aas et al 2014&lt;sup&gt;44&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Gene-environment interaction of childhood trauma and BDNF val66met variants</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>141 bipolar patients</td>
<td>There was an additive effect between a history of childhood trauma and BDNF val66met, with met carriers with high levels of childhood trauma having the lowest BDNF mRNA levels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oliveira et al 2015&lt;sup&gt;45&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Gene-environment interaction of TLR2 polymorphism and early life stress</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>531 bipolar patients</td>
<td>A combined effect of TLR2 rs3804099 TT genotype and reported sexual abuse was observed on determining an earlier age at onset of bipolar (corrected P=0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oliveira et al 2016&lt;sup&gt;46&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Gene-environment interaction of TLR2 genetic variation and Toxoplasma gondii exposure</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>138 bipolar patients 167 healthy controls</td>
<td>There was a trend for an interaction between the TLR2 rs3804099 SNP and Toxoplasma gondii seropositivity in conferring bipolar risk (P=0.017, uncorrected)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hosang et al 2017&lt;sup&gt;47&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Gene-environment interaction of COMT Val&lt;sup&gt;158&lt;/sup&gt; Met polymorphism and stressful life events</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>482 bipolar patients</td>
<td>The impact of stressful life events was moderated by the COMT genotype for the worst depressive episode using a Val</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Factors</td>
<td>Study Design</td>
<td>Outcomes</td>
<td>Details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Pradier et al 2010&lt;sup&gt;48&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Gene-environment interaction of serotonin transporter gene polymorphism, cannabis and childhood sexual abuse</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>137 bipolar patients</td>
<td>The short allele of the 5-HTTLPR polymorphism and cannabis abuse were significantly more frequent among patients with psychotic symptoms than in those without (p=0.01 and p=0.004, respectively), while childhood sexual abuse was not significant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barichello et al 2016&lt;sup&gt;52&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Perinatal infections</td>
<td>Systematic Review</td>
<td>23 studies</td>
<td>Studies investigated exposure to several pathogens namely Cytomegalovirus, Epstein–Barr Virus, Herpes simplex virus-1, Herpes simplex virus-2, Human herpesvirus 6, Toxoplasma gondii, Influenza, and Varicella zoster virus. Overall, studies provided mixed evidences.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sutterland et al 2015&lt;sup&gt;58&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>T. gondii</td>
<td>Meta-analysis</td>
<td>11 studies</td>
<td>Significant association of T. gondii infection with bipolar, OR=1.52 (95% CI 1.06–2.18, P=0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Barros et al 2017&lt;sup&gt;59&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>T. gondii</td>
<td>Meta-analysis</td>
<td>8 studies</td>
<td>T. gondii infection is associated with bipolar (OR=1.26, 95% CI 1.08-1.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott et al 2006&lt;sup&gt;71&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Obstetric complications</td>
<td>Meta-analysis</td>
<td>8 studies</td>
<td>The pooled OR for the exposure to obstetric complications on subsequent development of bipolar was 1.15 (95% CI 0.62–2.14).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watson et al 2014&lt;sup&gt;75&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Childhood trauma</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>60 bipolar patients</td>
<td>Significantly higher rates of childhood trauma were observed in patients with bipolar compared to controls. Logistic regression, controlling for age and sex, identified emotional neglect to be the only significant childhood trauma questionnaire subscale associated with bipolar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etain et al 2010&lt;sup&gt;76&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Childhood trauma</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>260 bipolar patients</td>
<td>The Childhood Trauma Questionnaire total score was higher for bipolar than controls. The presence of multiple trauma was significantly more frequent in bipolar than controls (63% vs. 33%). Multiple logistic regression suggested that only emotional abuse was associated with bipolar with a suggestive dose-effect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garno et al 2005&lt;sup&gt;77&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Childhood trauma</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>100 bipolar patients</td>
<td>Histories of severe childhood abuse were identified in about half of the sample and were associated with early age at illness onset. Abuse subcategories were strongly inter-related. Multiple forms of abuse showed a graded increase in risk for both suicide attempts and rapid cycling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>Study Type</td>
<td>Studies</td>
<td>Findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmier-Claus et al 2016</td>
<td>Childhood trauma</td>
<td>Meta-analysis</td>
<td>19 studies</td>
<td>Childhood adversity was 2.63 times (95% CI 2.00–3.47) more likely to have occurred in bipolar compared with non-clinical controls. The effect of emotional abuse was particularly robust (OR=4.04, 95% CI 3.12–5.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agnew-Blais and Danese 2016</td>
<td>Childhood trauma and outcomes in bipolar</td>
<td>Meta-analysis</td>
<td>30 studies</td>
<td>Patients with bipolar and history of childhood maltreatment had greater severity of mania, depression and psychosis, higher risk of comorbidity, earlier age of onset, higher risk of rapid cycling, greater number of manic or depressive episodes, and higher risk of suicide attempt compared with those with bipolar without childhood maltreatment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daruy-Filho et al 2011</td>
<td>Childhood trauma and outcomes in bipolar</td>
<td>Systematic review</td>
<td>19 studies</td>
<td>Childhood maltreatment predicted worsening clinical course of bipolar. Childhood maltreatment can be strongly associated to early onset of disorder, suicidality, and substance abuse disorder in patients with bipolar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upthegrove et al 2015</td>
<td>Childhood trauma and psychosis in bipolar</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>2019 bipolar patients</td>
<td>There was no relationship between childhood events or abuse and psychosis. Childhood events were not associated with an increased risk of persecutory or other delusions. Significant associations were found between childhood abuse and auditory hallucinations, strongest between sexual abuse and mood congruent or abusive voices.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lex et al 2017</td>
<td>Life events prior to relapse</td>
<td>Meta-analysis</td>
<td>42 studies</td>
<td>Patients with bipolar reported more life events before relapse compared to euthymic phases. They also experienced more life events relative to healthy individuals and to physically ill patients. No significant difference in the number of life events was found comparing bipolar to unipolar depression and schizophrenia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kessing et al 2004</td>
<td>Life events and first admission for mania</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>1565 bipolar patients 31,300 controls</td>
<td>Suicide of a mother or of a sibling was associated with increased risk of first psychiatric admission with mania/mixed episode. Death of a relative by other causes was not associated with increased risk of admission. Recent unemployment, divorce, or marriage also showed moderate effects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koenders et al 2014</td>
<td>Life events and mood episodes</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>173 bipolar patients</td>
<td>Negative life events were significantly associated with subsequent severity of mania and depressive symptoms and functional impairment, whereas positive life events only preceded functional impairment due to manic symptoms and mania severity. For the opposite temporal direction mania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance misuse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Symptoms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preceded the occurrence of positive life events and depressive symptoms preceded negative life events.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gibbs et al 2015&lt;sup&gt;102&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Cannabis</td>
<td>Meta-analysis</td>
<td>6 studies</td>
<td>2 in meta-analysis Studies support an association between cannabis use and the exacerbation of manic symptoms in those with previously diagnosed bipolar. Furthermore, a meta-analysis of two studies suggests that cannabis use is associated with an approximately 3-fold (OR=2.97, 95% CI 1.80–4.90) increased risk for the new onset of manic symptoms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henquet et al 2006&lt;sup&gt;103&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Cannabis</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>4815 (general population)</td>
<td>Use of cannabis at baseline increased the risk for manic symptoms during follow-up (adjusted OR=2.70, 95% CI 1.54–4.75), adjusted for age, sex, educational level, ethnicity, marital status, neuroticism, use of other drugs, use of alcohol, depressive symptoms and manic symptoms at baseline.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tijssen et al 2010&lt;sup&gt;104&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Cannabis</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>705 (general population)</td>
<td>Onset of manic symptoms was associated with cannabis use (OR=4.26, 95% CI 1.42, 12.76; P&lt;0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Laar et al 2007&lt;sup&gt;105&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Cannabis</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>4681 (general population)</td>
<td>After adjustment for strong confounders, any use of cannabis at baseline predicted an increase in the risk of first bipolar episode (OR=4.98; 95% CI 1.80–13.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feingold et al 2015&lt;sup&gt;106&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Cannabis</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>34,653 (general population)</td>
<td>Weekly to almost daily cannabis use was associated with increased incidence of bipolar (adjusted OR for weekly to daily use=2.47, 95% CI 1.03–5.92); daily use was not (adjusted OR=0.52, 95% CI 0.17–1.55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marwaha et al 2017&lt;sup&gt;107&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Cannabis</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>3370 (general population)</td>
<td>Cannabis use at least 2–3 times weekly was associated with later hypomania (OR=2.21, 95% CI 1.49–3.28) after adjustment. There was a dose-response relationship (any use vs weekly). Cannabis use mediated the association of both childhood sexual abuse and hypomania, and male gender and hypomania.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schepis and Hakes 2011&lt;sup&gt;108&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Opioids, tranquilizers, stimulants and sedatives</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>34,653 (general population)</td>
<td>Life-time and past year non-medical use of prescription medications (NUPM) increased risk for new onset of psychopathology with particular risk for non-NUPM substance use and bipolar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schepis and Hakes 2013&lt;sup&gt;109&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Opioids, tranquilizers, stimulants and sedatives</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>34,653 (general population)</td>
<td>Incidence of bipolar was related to opioid non-medical use of prescription medications (NUPM) evidenced in a stepwise risk progression based on the NUPM frequency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
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<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneson <em>et al</em> 2013&lt;sup&gt;110&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Substance use disorders</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>5217 (general population)</td>
<td>Substance dependence was associated with higher odds of mood disorders than was abuse. Among the specific mood disorders, the increased odds of developing bipolar were particularly high among individuals with drug dependence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthony and Petronis 1991&lt;sup&gt;111&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Cocaine</td>
<td>Nested case-control</td>
<td>42 manic patients 164 controls</td>
<td>Subjects reporting cocaine use during follow up were 5.5 times more likely to experience the mania syndrome (P=0.006).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forty <em>et al</em> 2014&lt;sup&gt;116&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Medical comorbidities</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>1720 bipolar patients</td>
<td>There were significantly increased rates of several medical illnesses in bipolar. A high medical illness burden was associated with a history of anxiety disorder, rapid cycling, suicide attempts and mood episodes with a typically acute onset.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faedda <em>et al</em> 2014&lt;sup&gt;117&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Clinical risk factors</td>
<td>Systematic review</td>
<td>16 studies</td>
<td>Despite heterogeneity in methods, findings across studies were consistent. Clinical risk factors of bipolar were early-onset panic attacks and disorder, separation anxiety and generalized anxiety disorders, conduct symptoms and disorder, ADHD, impulsivity and criminal behaviour.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tseng <em>et al</em> 2016&lt;sup&gt;118&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS)</td>
<td>Meta-analysis</td>
<td>6 studies</td>
<td>The prevalence rate of bipolar was significantly higher in the IBS patients than in the controls (OR=2.48, 95% CI 2.35-2.61, P&lt;0.001).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu <em>et al</em> 2016&lt;sup&gt;122&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Asthma</td>
<td>Meta-analysis</td>
<td>4 studies</td>
<td>There were significantly higher prevalence rates of bipolar in asthmatic patients than in healthy controls (OR=2.12, 95% CI 1.57–2.87, P&lt;0.001).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhao <em>et al</em> 2016&lt;sup&gt;123&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td>Meta-analysis</td>
<td>9 studies</td>
<td>Meta-analysis suggests that obesity is associated with increased prevalence of bipolar (OR=1.77, 95% CI: 1.40-2.23, P&lt;0.001).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fornaro and Stubbs 2015&lt;sup&gt;124&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Migraine</td>
<td>Meta-analysis</td>
<td>14 studies</td>
<td>The overall pooled prevalence of migraine in bipolar was 34.8% (95% CI 25.54-44.69).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perry <em>et al</em> 2016&lt;sup&gt;125&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Traumatic Brain Injury</td>
<td>Meta-analysis</td>
<td>3 studies</td>
<td>A random effects meta-analysis revealed a significant association of prior TBI with subsequent neurologic and psychiatric diagnosis, including bipolar (OR=1.85, 95% CI 1.17–2.94, P&lt;0.01).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liang and Chikritzhs 2013&lt;sup&gt;126&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Asthma</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort</td>
<td>8841 (general population)</td>
<td>Participants who had a history of asthma that lasted six months or more were at higher risk of panic disorder, obsessive compulsive disorder, posttraumatic stress disorder, bipolar, mania and hypomania.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wei et al 2016</td>
<td>Asthma</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>49,804 (general population)</td>
<td>The atopic cohort had an increased risk of developing bipolar (HR 2.51, 95% CI 1.71-3.67) compared to the non-atopic cohort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carta et al 2014</td>
<td>Multiple Sclerosis (MS)</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>201 MS patients 804 controls</td>
<td>Compared to controls, MS patients had a higher lifetime prevalence of MDD (P&lt;0.0001), bipolar type I (P=0.05), bipolar II (P&lt;0.0001) and Cyclothymia (P=0.0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nabavi et al 2015</td>
<td>Anxiety disorders</td>
<td>Meta-analysis</td>
<td>52 studies</td>
<td>The rate of lifetime comorbidity was as follows: panic disorder 16.8% (95% CI 13.7–20.1), generalised anxiety disorder 14.4% (95% CI 10.8–18.3), social anxiety disorder 13.3% (95% CI 10.1–16.9), post-traumatic stress disorder 10.8% (95% CI 7.3–14.9), specific phobia 10.8% (95% CI 8.2–13.7), obsessive compulsive disorder 10.7% (95% CI 8.7–13.0) and agoraphobia 7.8% (95% CI 5.2–11.0). The lifetime prevalence of any anxiety disorders in bipolar was 42.7%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsuchiya et al 2003</td>
<td>Demographic factors, perinatal factors, personal background, recent stressful life events, family dysfunction, parental loss, history of medical comorbidities</td>
<td>Systematic review</td>
<td>Around 100 studies</td>
<td>Suggestive findings have been provided regarding pregnancy and obstetric complications, winter–spring birth, stressful life events, traumatic brain injuries and multiple sclerosis with a later risk for bipolar. However, evidence is still inconclusive. Childbirth is likely to be a risk factor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marangoni et al 2016</td>
<td>Maternal influenza during pregnancy, indicators of foetal development, cannabis, cocaine, opioids, tranquilizers, stimulants, sedatives, parental loss, adversities, abuses, brain injury</td>
<td>Systematic review</td>
<td>22 longitudinal studies</td>
<td>Only preliminary evidence exists that exposure to viral infection, substances or trauma increase the likelihood of bipolar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bortolato 2017</td>
<td>51 environmental risk factors</td>
<td>Umbrella review</td>
<td>16 studies</td>
<td>Only irritable bowel syndrome emerged as a risk factor for bipolar supported by convincing evidence, and childhood adversity was supported by highly suggestive evidence. Asthma and obesity were risk factors for bipolar supported by suggestive evidence, and seropositivity to <em>T. gondii</em> and a history of head injury were supported by weak evidence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilman 2012</td>
<td>Demographic factors, characteristics of depression, prior</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>6,214 cases of MDD</td>
<td>Demographic risk factors for the transition from MDD to bipolar included younger age, black race/ethnicity, and less than high school education. Clinical characteristics of</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
psychopathology, childhood trauma

Prior psychopathology was associated with the transition to bipolar: history of social phobia (OR=2.20, 95% CI 1.47–3.30) and generalized anxiety disorder (OR=1.58, 95% CI, 1.06–2.35). Environmental stressors that predicted the transition to bipolar include: history of child abuse (OR=1.26, 95% CI 1.12–1.42) and past-year problems with social support group (OR=1.79, 95% CI 1.19–2.68).

Mortensen et al 2003\(^{93}\)

Family history, urbanicity of birth place, season of birth, birth order, influenza epidemics during pregnancy, and early parental loss.

Prospective cohort

2.1 million (general population)

2299 bipolar patients

Those with a first-degree relative with bipolar had a 13.63-fold increased risk (95% CI 11.81-15.71). Children who experienced maternal loss before their fifth birthday had a 4.05 (95% CI 1.68-9.77) increased risk of bipolar. No other consistent associations were found.

Abbreviations: Bipolar – bipolar disorder, MDD – major depressive disorder, OR – odds ratio, CI – confidence interval, HR – hazard ratio, SNP – single nucleotide polymorphism, COMT – Catechol-O-methyltransferase, MS – multiple sclerosis, ADHD – attention deficit hyperactivity disorder