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Abstract. Let \((M,g)\) be a smooth Riemannian manifold and \(G\) a compact Lie group acting on \(M\) effectively and by isometries. It is well known that a lower bound of the sectional curvature of \((M,g)\) is again a bound for the curvature of the quotient space, which is an Alexandrov space of curvature bounded below. Moreover, the analogous stability property holds for metric foliations and submersions. The goal of the paper is to prove the corresponding stability properties for synthetic Ricci curvature lower bounds. Specifically, we show that such stability holds for quotients of \(RCD^*(K,N)\)-spaces, under isomorphic compact group actions and more generally under metric-measure foliations and submetries. An \(RCD^*(K,N)\)-space is a metric measure space with an upper dimension bound \(N\) and weighted Ricci curvature bounded below by \(K\) in a generalized sense. In particular, this shows that if \((M,g)\) has Ricci curvature bounded below by \(K \in \mathbb{R}\) and dimension \(N\), then the quotient space is an \(RCD^*(K,N)\)-space.

Additionally, we tackle the same problem for the \(CD/CD^*\) and \(MCP\) curvature-dimension conditions.

We provide as well geometric applications which include: A generalization of Kobayashi’s Classification Theorem of homogeneous manifolds to \(RCD^*(K,N)\)-spaces with essential minimal dimension \(n \leq N\); a structure theorem for \(RCD^*(K,N)\)-spaces admitting actions by large (compact) groups; and geometric rigidity results for orbifolds such as Cheng’s Maximal Diameter and Maximal Volume Rigidity Theorems.

Finally, in two appendices we apply the methods of the paper to study quotients by isometric group actions of discrete spaces and of (super-)Ricci flows.

1. Introduction

Studying the geometry of isometry groups has proven to be advantageous for the understanding of Riemannian manifolds. For instance, this point of view has been particularly successful in the construction of new examples under the assumption that the sectional curvature of a Riemannian manifold is non-negative or positive (see, for example, the surveys by K. Grove [37] and W. Ziller [74] and references therein). A main motivation to consider these types of bounds is that they are preserved under quotients of isometric actions. More precisely, the possibly non-smooth orbit space satisfies the same sectional
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1. Introduction

Studying the geometry of isometry groups has proven to be advantageous for the understanding of Riemannian manifolds. For instance, this point of view has been particularly successful in the construction of new examples under the assumption that the sectional curvature of a Riemannian manifold is non-negative or positive (see, for example, the surveys by K. Grove [37] and W. Ziller [74] and references therein). A main motivation to consider these types of bounds is that they are preserved under quotients of isometric actions. More precisely, the possibly non-smooth orbit space satisfies the same sectional
curvature lower bounds of the original space in a synthetic fashion: in the sense of comparison triangles à la Alexandrov. A natural question that arises is whether a similar stability statement holds, and if so in which sense, when the assumptions are weakened by considering instead Ricci curvature lower bounds.

The goal of the present paper is to answer this question and to provide applications.

In order to get a feeling of the problem and of the state-of-the-art research, we discuss the simpler case when the quotient space is a smooth Riemannian manifold. A typical example is when a Lie group $G$ acts isometrically, freely and properly on a Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$. Then the projection onto the quotient space equipped with the quotient metric is a Riemannian submersion, and the problem amounts to understanding how curvature bounds behave under such maps. In this context, O’Neill’s formula [61] shows that sectional curvature lower bounds are preserved. However, C. Pro and F. Wilhelm recently showed [63] that this is not the case for the standard Ricci curvature tensor. To be more precise, Pro and Wilhelm presented examples of Riemannian submersions from compact manifolds with positive Ricci curvature to manifolds that have small neighborhoods of arbitrarily negative Ricci curvature. On the other hand, J. Lott [52] showed in this framework that Ricci curvature lower bounds in a weighted sense are stable. Specifically, he considered the Bakry-Émery Ricci tensor rather than the standard Ricci curvature tensor under the condition that the fiber transport preserves the measure up to constants. Inspired by this result it is then natural to ask whether Ricci curvature lower bounds are preserved, in a synthetic sense, in a more general case. Namely, without assuming that the original and quotient spaces are smooth manifolds.

Synthetic Ricci curvature lower bounds for metric measure spaces have been introduced in the seminal papers of Lott-Villani [53] and Sturm [69, 70]. As usual, a metric measure space $(M, d, m)$ is a complete separable metric space $(M, d)$ endowed with a non-negative, locally finite Borel measure $m$. The rough idea is to analyze the convexity properties of suitable functionals, called entropies, along geodesics in the space of probability measures endowed with the quadratic Wasserstein distance. In a nutshell, the more the entropy is convex along geodesics the more the space is Ricci curved. This led to the definition of $\text{CD}(K, N)$-spaces which corresponds to metric measure spaces having synthetic Ricci curvature bounded below by $K$ and dimension bounded above by $N$, for $K \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N \in [1, \infty]$. See Section 2 for the precise notions.

In order to isolate Riemannian from Finslerian structures, Ambrosio-Gigli-Savaré [4] proposed to strengthen the classical $\text{CD}$ conditions with the requirement that the heat flow is linear (see [6] for the present simplified axiomatization, and [8, 9, 28, 33] for the finite dimensional refinements). Such a strengthening led to the notion of $\text{RCD}(K, \infty)$ and $\text{RCD}^*(K, N)$ spaces which correspond to Riemannian metric measure spaces having synthetic Ricci curvature bounded below by $K$ and dimension bounded above by $N$. For more details see the beginning of Section 6. Let us mention that both $\text{CD}$ and $\text{RCD}$ conditions are compatible with the smooth counterpart and are stable under pointed measured Gromov-Hausdorff convergence.

To describe the contents and main results of the article, we introduce some notation. Let $G$ be a compact Lie group acting on the metric measure space $(M, d, m)$ by isomorphisms. That is, by writing $\tau_g(x) := gx$ for the translation map by $g \in G$, we require that $\tau_g$ is a measure-preserving isometry:

$$d(gx, gy) = d(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad (\tau_g)_* m = m, \quad \text{for all} \ g \in G \ \text{and for all} \ x, y \in M.$$  

Let us assume that $(M, d)$ is a geodesic space. We denote by $M^* := M/G$ the quotient space and by $\mathcal{P} : M \to M^*$ the quotient map. Furthermore, we endow $M^*$ with the pushforward measure $m^* := \mathcal{P}_* m$ and the quotient metric

$$d^*(x^*, y^*) := \inf_{x \in \mathcal{P}^{-1}(x^*), y \in \mathcal{P}^{-1}(y^*)} d(x, y).$$

It has recently been shown by Guijarro and Santos-Rodríguez [38], and independently by the fourth author [68], that the group of (measure-preserving) isometries of an $\text{RCD}^*(K, N)$ space is a Lie group. Moreover, as shown in [68], the corresponding statements are also valid for strong $\text{CD}/\text{CD}^*$ $(K, N)$-spaces and essentially non-branching MCP-spaces for which tangent cones are well-behaved, yet might fail to be
Euclidean. We recall that granted that the space is compact, then its isometry group must be compact as well.

Our first main result states that the quotient space \((M^*, d^*, m^*)\) inherits the synthetic Ricci curvature lower bounds from \((M, d, m)\).

**Theorem 1.1** (Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 6.2). Assume that \((M, d, m)\) satisfies one of the following conditions: strong \(\text{CD}(K, N)\), strong \(\text{CD}(K, \infty)\), strong \(\text{CD}^*(K, N)\), \(\text{RCD}^*(K, N)\), or \(\text{RCD}(K, \infty)\). Then the quotient metric measure space \((M^*, d^*, m^*)\) satisfies the corresponding condition for the same parameters \(K\) and \(N\).

The next corollary follows from the compatibility of the \(\text{RCD}^*(K, N)\)-condition with its smooth counterpart.

**Corollary 1.2.** Let \((M, g)\) be a smooth complete \(N\)-dimensional Riemannian manifold with \(\text{Ric}_g \geq Kg\) and denote by \(d\) the Riemannian distance and by \(m\) the Riemannian volume measure on \(M\). Then the quotient metric measure space \((M^*, d^*, m^*)\) satisfies the \(\text{RCD}^*(K, N)\)-condition.

In particular we recover Lott’s result [52, Theorem 2] saying that \(\text{Ric}_{g^*} \geq Kg^*\) holds, granted that \((M^*, d^*, m^*)\) is isomorphic as a m.m. space to a smooth weighted Riemannian manifold \((M^*, g^*, \Psi^* \text{vol}_{g^*})\).

Above, \(\text{Ric}_{g^*, \Psi^*, N}\) is the Bakry-Émery \(N\)-Ricci tensor which is defined, for \(n \leq N\), as

\[
\text{Ric}_{g^*, \Psi^*, N} := \text{Ric}_{g^*} - (N - n) \frac{\nabla^2_{g^*}(\Psi^*)^{\frac{n}{n-1}}}{(\Psi^*)^{\frac{n}{n-1}}},
\]

where \(n\) is the topological dimension of \(M^*\) and, when \(n = N\), \(\Psi^*\) is assumed to be constant and the bound reads as \(\text{Ric}_{g^*, \Psi^*, N} \geq Kg^*\). See Theorem 8.11 for a more general statement of this result.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on a detailed isometric identification of the space of probability measures on the quotient space \(\mathcal{P}_2(M^*)\) with the space of \(G\)-invariant probability measures on the original space \(\mathcal{P}^G_2(M)\) (see Theorem 3.2). Let us mention that the stability of the strong \(\text{CD}(0, N)\) and strong \(\text{CD}(K, \infty)\) conditions for compact spaces under quotients of isometric compact group actions had already been proved, via an independent argument, in Lott-Villani [53]. Beyond dropping the compactness assumption on \((M, d)\), and considering arbitrary lower bounds in the finite dimensional case, the real advantage of Theorem 1.1 compared to [53, Theorem 5.35] is the extra information that the quotient space is \(\text{RCD}^*(K, N)\) when the starting space is so. For us, such additional information is fundamental to obtain the geometric applications that we discuss below.

As a first step we establish the next key technical result roughly saying that, under mild assumptions, most of the orbits are homeomorphic. In order to state the result (see Theorem 4.7 for the complete statement), recall that the isotropy group of \(x \in M\) is the subgroup \(G_x \leq G\) that contains all elements that fix \(x\). Moreover, by definition we say that \((M, d, m)\) has good transport behavior if for all pairs of measures \(\mu(\ll m), \nu \in \mathcal{P}_2(M)\) any \(L^2\)-optimal coupling from \(\mu\) to \(\nu\) is induced by a map. In this case we write (GTB) for short. Examples of spaces having (GTB) are \(\text{RCD}^*(K, N)\)-spaces and, more generally, strong \(\text{CD}(K, N)\)-spaces and essentially non-branching \(\text{MCP}(K, N)\)-spaces (see Theorem 4.2 collecting results from [18, 20, 36]).

**Theorem 1.3** (Principal Orbit Theorem 4.7). Assume that \((M, d, m)\) has (GTB). Then, there exists (up to conjugation) a unique subgroup \(G_{\text{min}} \leq G\) such that the orbit \(G(y)\) of \(y \in M\) is homeomorphic to the quotient \(G/G_{\text{min}}\). We call \(G/G_{\text{min}}\) the principal orbit of the action of \(G\) over \((M, d, m)\).

The theorem generalizes a previous result of Guijarro and the first author in the framework of finite dimensional Alexandrov spaces [31]. Recall that for some suitable parameters such spaces are \(\text{RCD}^*(K, N)\), thus they satisfy (GTB). Our proof is independent and uses optimal transport arguments which rely on the (GTB) rather than on the strong geometric information granted by the Alexandrov assumption.

By using the Principal Orbit Theorem 1.3 we get the next corollary on cohomogeneity one actions, i.e. actions whose orbit space is one-dimensional. Compare with the analogous statements in Alexandrov geometry proved in [32].
Corollary 1.4 (Corollary 4.9 and Corollary 4.10). Let \((M, d, m)\) have (GTB) and let \(G_{\text{min}}\) and \(G/G_{\text{min}}\) denote a minimal isotropy group and the principal orbit of the action respectively.

- Assume that \((M^*, d^*)\) is homeomorphic to a circle \(S^1\). Then \((M, d)\) is homeomorphic to a fiber bundle over \(S^1\) with fiber the homogeneous space \(G/G_{\text{min}}\). In particular, \((M, d)\) is a topological manifold.

- Assume that \((M^*, d^*)\) is homeomorphic to an interval \([a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}\). Then the open dense set 
\[p^{-1}((a, b)) \subset M\] has full \(m\)-measure and is homeomorphic to \((a, b) \times (G/G_{\text{min}})\). In case \((M^*, d^*)\) is homeomorphic to \(\mathbb{R}\) we have that \((M, d)\) is homeomorphic to the product \(\mathbb{R} \times (G/G_{\text{min}})\), in particular \((M, d)\) is a topological manifold.

In Section 6 we establish geometric applications of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3 to RCD*(K, N)-spaces admitting large isomorphic group actions.

Recall that in [56] it was proved that RCD*(K, N)-spaces with \(N < \infty\) have almost everywhere unique Euclidean tangent spaces of possibly varying dimension. Since the infinitesimal regularity is a metric-measure property and the group \(G\) acts by \((M, d, m)\) by measure-preserving isometries, we have that \(x \in M\) has a unique tangent space \(\mathbb{R}^n\) if and only if all the points in the orbit of \(x\), \(G(x)\), satisfy the same property. To stress the dependence on the base point we denote the dimension of the tangent space by \(n(x)\) for \(m\)-a.e. \(x \in M\), and by \(n(x^*)\) for \(m^*\)-a.e. \(x^* \in M^*\). Set also

\[n := \text{ess inf}_{x \in M} n(x).\]

We now equip \(G\) with a bi-invariant inner metric \(d_G\), whose existence is granted by the compactness of \(G\). Moreover, the arguments that follow are independent of the choice of such a metric since any other bi-invariant inner metric on \(G\) is bi-Lipschitz equivalent to \(d_G\) (see for instance [12, Theorem 7]). In the next result we strengthen the assumptions on the group: we assume that the metric on \(G\) is such that the map \(g \cdot y : G \to G(y), g \mapsto g \cdot y\), is locally Lipschitz and co-Lipschitz continuous, for some (and hence for all) \(y\) with principal orbit type. Specifically, in view of the bi-invariance of the metric, we assume that for every \(y \in M\) of principal orbit, there exist constants \(R, C > 0\) such that, for all \(r \in (0, R)\),

\[B_{G^{-1},r}(y) \cap G(y) \subset \{g \cdot y \mid g \in B_{G}(1_G)\} \subset B_{C,r}(y) \cap G(y),\]

where \(B_{G}(1_G)\) denotes the \(d_G\)-ball of radius \(r\) around the identity \(1_G \in G\).

One of our main geometric applications is the next rigidity/structure result.

Theorem 1.5 (Theorem 6.7, Theorem 6.8, Theorem 6.9). Let \((M, d, m)\) be an RCD*(K, N)-space for some \(K \in \mathbb{R}, N \in (1, \infty)\) and let \(n\) be defined in (1.1). Let \(G\) be a compact Lie group acting effectively both Lipschitz and co-Lipschitz continuously by measure-preserving isometries of \((M, d, m)\). Then the following hold:

- The group \(G\) has dimension at most \(n(n+1)/2\). Moreover, if equality is attained, then the action is transitive and \((M, d, m)\) is isomorphic as a m.m. space to either \(S^n\) or \(\mathbb{RP}^n\), up to multiplying the measure \(m\) by a normalizing constant.

- Assume that \(N \in [2, \infty)\), that the action is not transitive, and that

\[\dim(G) \geq \frac{(n-1)n}{2} + 1\]

Then \(G\) has dimension \(\frac{(n-1)n}{2}\) and acts on \(M\) by cohomogeneity one with principal orbit homeomorphic to \(S^{n-1}\) or \(\mathbb{RP}^{n-1}\). Moreover, \(M^*\) is isometric to a circle or a possibly unbounded closed interval (i.e. possibly equal to the real line or half line). In the former case, \((M, d)\) is (equivariantly) homeomorphic to a fiber bundle with fiber the principal orbit and base \(S^1\). In particular, in this case, \((M, d)\) is a topological manifold.

- If \(\dim(G) \geq \frac{(n-2)(n-1)}{2}\) and none of the above two possibilities hold, then \(m^*\)-a.e. point in \(M^*\) is regular with unique tangent space isomorphic to the 2-dimensional Euclidean space \(\mathbb{R}^2\).
In case that \((M, d, m)\) is a smooth Riemannian manifold the first item is a celebrated theorem due to Kobayashi \([49, \text{Ch. II, Theorem 3.1}]\), which for the reader’s convenience will be recalled in Theorem 6.4 (note that the compactness assumption on \(G\) rules out all the cases except those of \(S^n\) and \(\mathbb{R}^n\)). This theorem was generalized by Guijarro and Santos-Rodriguez \([38]\) to \(\text{RCD}^*(K, N)\)-spaces, who obtained a bound in terms of \(\lfloor N \rfloor\), the integer part of \(N\). Let us mention that in case \((M, d, m)\) is a pointed measured Gromov-Hausdorff limit of a sequence of Riemannian manifolds with Ricci \(\geq K\) and dimension \(\leq N\), then the assumption that the topological dimension of \(M\) coincides with the integer part of \(N\) implies that such a Ricci limit space is non-collapsed \([22]\). Therefore the first item of Theorem 1.5 includes possibly collapsed Ricci limits. The second and third items of Theorem 1.5 seem to be new, to the best of our knowledge, even for smooth Riemannian manifolds with Ricci curvature bounded below.

In Sections 7 and 8 we apply the methods of the paper to study curvature properties of orbifolds, orbispaces, and foliations.

For orbifolds we show that the \(\text{RCD}^*(K, N)\)-condition is equivalent to requiring a lower Ricci curvature bound \(K\) on the regular part and an upper dimension bound \(N\) of the orbifold. Using such equivalence we prove rigidity results for orbifolds that extend previous works by Borzellino \([15, 16]\): we generalize to weighted Riemannian orbifolds Cheng’s celebrated Maximal Diameter Theorem, and we prove a rigidity result for orbifolds having maximal volume. Consult Theorems 7.10, 7.11, 7.14 for the precise statements.

In Theorem 7.19 and in Theorem 7.20, we generalize the stability under quotients of synthetic Ricci curvature lower bounds to metric-measure orbispaces and give applications to almost free discrete group actions in Theorem 7.24 and in Corollary 7.25.

Finally, we show the stability of synthetic Ricci curvature lower bounds under metric-measure foliations and submetries in Theorem 8.8 and Corollary 8.10. Regarding this point, let us mention that the corresponding result for sectional curvature lower bounds was proved in the celebrated paper of Burago-Gromov-Perelman \([17, \text{Section 4.6}]\). More precisely, it was shown in \([17]\) that if \((M, g)\) is a smooth manifold with sectional curvature bounded below by \(K \in \mathbb{R}\) endowed with a metric foliation, then the quotient space is Alexandrov with curvature bounded below by \(K\). We prove the analogous statement in the category of metric-measure spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below. If \((M, d, m)\) is an \(\text{RCD}^*(K, N)\)-space endowed with a metric-measure foliation, then the quotient space is an \(\text{RCD}^*(K, N)\)-space. In particular, this is valid for smooth Riemannian \(N\)-manifolds with Ricci curvature bounded below by \(K\). We refer to Section 8 for the precise notions and statements.

As a consequence of Theorem 1.1 and the results in Sections 7 and 8 we can enlarge the list of examples of \(\text{RCD}^*(K, N)\)-spaces to include quotients by isometric group actions and foliations of Riemannian manifolds with \(\text{Ric} \geq Kg\), and more generally, of \(\text{RCD}^*(K, N)\)-spaces as well as orbifolds with \(\text{Ric} \geq Kg\) on the regular part. Note that, since orbifolds can be seen as a generalization of cones, the \(\text{RCD}\) property of orbifold (see Theorem 7.10) can be seen as an extension of the \(\text{RCD}\) property of cones proved by Ketterer \([44]\).

To conclude, in the two appendices we show that the methods of the paper can be useful also to study quotients by isometric group actions of discrete spaces (see Appendix A) and (super-)Ricci flows (see Appendix B). In particular we show that if \((M, g_\cdot)_{\cdot \in [0,T]}\) is a Ricci flow of complete metrics with bounded curvature, and if \(G\) is a compact Lie subgroup of the isometry group of the initial datum \((M, g_0)\) then the quotient flow is a super-Ricci flow in the sense of Sturm \([71]\). See Proposition B.2 and Corollary B.4 for the precise statements.
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2. Preliminaries

Metric measure spaces and optimal transport theory. Throughout the paper \((M,d)\) denotes a complete separable metric space and \(m\) is a \(\sigma\)-finite non-negative Borel measure on it with full support, that is, \(\text{supp}(m) = M\). The triple \((M,d,m)\) is called a metric measure space, m.m. space for short. A metric space is a geodesic space if and only if for each \(x,y \in M\) there exists \(\gamma \in \text{Geo}(M)\) so that 
\[
\gamma_0 = x, \gamma_1 = y, \\
\text{with} \\
\text{Geo}(M) := \{ \gamma \in C([0,1], M) : d(\gamma_s, \gamma_t) = |s-t|d(\gamma_0, \gamma_1), \text{ for every } s,t \in [0,1] \}.
\]
For simplicity, we will always assume \((M,d)\) to be geodesic. Given a map \(f : M \to M\), we denote by \(f_*m\) the push-forward measure defined by \([f_*m](B) := m(f^{-1}(B))\) for every Borel set \(B \subset M\). We denote with \(\mathcal{P}(M)\) the space of Borel probability measures over \(M\), and with \(\mathcal{P}_p(M)\) the subspace of probabilities with finite \(p\)-moment, \(p \in [1,\infty)\):
\[
\mathcal{P}_p(M) := \{ \mu \in \mathcal{P}(M) : \int_M d^p(x,x_0)\,d\mu(x) < \infty \text{ for some (and then for every) } x_0 \in M \}.
\]
We endow the space \(\mathcal{P}_p(M)\) with the \(L^p\)-Wasserstein distance \(W_p\) defined as follows: for \(\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_p(X)\) we set
\[
W_p(\mu_0, \mu_1)^p = \inf_{\pi} \int_{M \times M} d^p(x,y)\,d\pi(x,y),
\]
where the infimum is taken over all \(\pi \in \mathcal{P}(M \times M)\) with \(\mu_0\) and \(\mu_1\) as the first and the second marginal. Assuming the space \((M,d)\) to be geodesic, also the space \((\mathcal{P}_p(M), W_p)\) is geodesic.

Any geodesic \(\{\mu_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}\) in \((\mathcal{P}_p(M), W_p)\) can be lifted to a measure \(\Pi \in \mathcal{P}(\text{Geo}(M))\), so that \((e_t)_\sharp \Pi = \mu_t\) for all \(t \in [0,1]\). Moreover, in this case, \((e_0, e_1)_\sharp \Pi\) is a minimizer in \((2.1)\) called optimal coupling induced by the geodesic \(\{\mu_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}\). Here \(e_t\) denotes the evaluation map which is defined for \(t \in [0,1]\) by:
\[
e_t : \text{Geo}(M) \to M, \quad e_t(\gamma) := \gamma_t.
\]
Given \(\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_p(M)\), we denote by \(\text{OptGeo}_p(\mu_0, \mu_1)\) the space of all \(\Pi \in \mathcal{P}(\text{Geo}(X))\) for which \((e_0, e_1)_\sharp \Pi\) realizes the minimum in \((2.1)\). By the observations above we have that if \((M,d)\) is geodesic then the set \(\text{OptGeo}_p(\mu_0, \mu_1)\) is non-empty for any \(\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_p(M)\).

It is also worth introducing the subspaces \(\mathcal{P}^{ac}(M,d,m) := \{ \mu \in \mathcal{P}(M) : \mu \ll m \}\) and \(\mathcal{P}^{ac}_p(M,d,m) := \mathcal{P}_p(M) \cap \mathcal{P}^{ac}(M,d,m)\). We simply write \(\mathcal{P}^{ac}(M)\) whenever it is clear from the context to which reference measure we refer.

We say that an optimal dynamical plan \(\Pi \in \text{OptGeo}_p(\mu_0, \mu_1)\) is given by the map \(G : X \to \text{Geo}(M)\) if \(\Pi = G_\sharp \mu_0\). Notice that in this case, in particular, the optimal transference plan \((e_0, e_1)_\sharp \Pi\) is induced by the optimal map \(e_1 \circ G\).

Definition 2.1. We call a set \(\Gamma \subset \text{Geo}(M)\) non-branching if for any \(\gamma^1, \gamma^2 \in \Gamma\) we have: if there exists \(t \in (0,1)\) such that \(\gamma^1_s = \gamma^2_s\) for all \(s \in [0,t]\), then \(\gamma^1 = \gamma^2\).

A measure \(\Pi \in \mathcal{P}(\text{Geo}(M))\) is concentrated on a set of non-branching geodesics if there exists a non-branching Borel set \(\Gamma \subset \text{Geo}(M)\) such that \(\Pi(\Gamma) = 1\).

We now recall the following definition given for the first time in [66].

Definition 2.2. A metric measure space \((M,d,m)\) is essentially non-branching if for every \(\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}^{ac}(M)\) any \(\Pi \in \text{OptGeo}_p(\mu_0, \mu_1)\) is concentrated on a set of non-branching geodesics.

Let us recall the definition of \(c_p\)-transform which will turn out to be useful in the sequel. Let \(\psi : M \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}\) be any function. Its \(c_p\)-transform \(\psi^{c_p} : M \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}\) is defined as
\[
\psi^{c_p}(x) := \inf_{y \in M} (d^p(x,y) - \psi(y)).
\]
We say that \(\phi : M \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}\) is \(c_p\)-concave if there exists \(\psi : M \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}\) such that \(\phi = \psi^{c_p}\). The \(c_p\)-superdifferential of a \(c_p\)-concave function \(\varphi\) is defined as the set
\[
\partial^{c_p}\varphi := \{(x,y) \in M \times M : \varphi(x) + \varphi^{c_p}(y) = d^p(x,y)\}.
\]
In what follows, we will also make use of the dual formulation of the optimal transport problem (2.1) stating that

\[
W_p(\mu_0, \mu_1) = \sup_{(\phi, \psi)} \int_M \phi \, d\mu_0 + \int_M \psi \, d\mu_1,
\]

the supremum taken over all the pairs \((\phi, \psi)\) with \(\phi \in L^1(\mu_0), \psi \in L^1(\mu_1)\) such that

\[
\phi(x) + \psi(y) \leq d^p(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in M.
\]

It is a classical result of optimal transport that the supremum in the dual problem (2.3) is always attained by a maximizing couples of the form \((\phi, \phi^c)\) for some \(c_p\)-concave function \(\phi\) called \(c_p\)-Kantorovich potential.

Finally let us also recall that if \(\pi\) is a \(p\)-optimal coupling for \((\mu_0, \mu_1)\) then there exists a \(c_p\)-Kantorovich potential \(\phi\) such that

\[
\phi(x) + \phi^c(x) = d(x, y)^p, \quad \text{for } \pi\text{-a.e. } (x, y).
\]

In this case we say that \((\phi, \phi^c)\) is a \(p\)-dual solution corresponding to \(\pi\).

It goes beyond the scope of this short section to give a comprehensive introduction to optimal transport, for this purpose we refer the reader to [2] and [72].

**Ricci curvature lower bounds for metric measure spaces.** In order to formulate the curvature properties for a metric measure space \((M, d, m)\), we introduce the following distortion coefficients: given two numbers \(K, N \in \mathbb{R}\) with \(N \geq 0\), we set for \((t, \theta) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}_+\),

\[
\sigma^{(t)}_{K,N}(\theta) := \begin{cases} \infty, & \text{if } K\theta^2 \geq N\pi^2, \\ \frac{\sin(t\theta\sqrt{K/N})}{\sin(\theta\sqrt{K/N})}, & \text{if } 0 < K\theta^2 < N\pi^2, \\ t, & \text{if } K\theta^2 < 0 \text{ and } N = 0, \text{ or if } K\theta^2 = 0, \\ \frac{\sin(t\theta\sqrt{-K/N})}{\sin(\theta\sqrt{-K/N})}, & \text{if } K\theta^2 < 0 \text{ and } N > 0. \end{cases}
\]

We also set, for \(N \geq 1, K \in \mathbb{R}\) and \((t, \theta) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}_+\),

\[
\tau^{(t)}_{K,N}(\theta) := \frac{t^{1/N} \sigma^{(t)}_{K,N-1}(\theta)^{(N-1)/N}}{N}.
\]

Before stating the definitions of curvature-dimension conditions proposed in the pioneering works of Lott-Villani [53] and Sturm [69, 70] (and Bacher-Sturm [9] for the reduced condition \(\text{CD}^*(K, N)\)), let us recall that the Shannon (relative) entropy functional \(\text{Ent}_m : \mathcal{P}(M) \to [-\infty, +\infty]\) is defined as

\[
\text{Ent}_m(\mu) := \int_M \rho \log \rho \, dm,
\]

if \(\mu = \rho dm \in \mathcal{P}^{ac}(M, d, m)\) and if the positive part of \(\rho \log \rho\) is integrable. For other measures in \(\mathcal{P}(M)\) we set \(\text{Ent}_m(\mu) = +\infty\).

**Definition 2.3** (Curvature-dimension conditions). Let \((M, d, m)\) be a metric measure space and fix \(K \in \mathbb{R}, N \in [1, +\infty]\). We say that \((M, d, m)\) satisfies, for \(N < +\infty\), the

- **CD\((K, N)\)-condition** if for each pair \(\mu_0 = \rho_0 dm, \mu_1 = \rho_1 dm \in \mathcal{P}^{ac}_2(M, d, m)\) there exists a \(W_2\)-geodesic \(\{\mu_t = \rho_t dm\}_{t \in [0, 1]} \subset \mathcal{P}^{ac}_2(M, d, m)\) such that

\[
\int_M \rho_t^{1-\frac{1}{N'}} dm \geq \int_{M \times M} \left[ \sigma^{(1-t)}_{K,N'}(d(x, y)) \rho_0(x)^{-\frac{1}{N'}} + \tau^{(t)}_{K,N'}(d(x, y)) \rho_1(y)^{-\frac{1}{N'}} \right] d\pi(x, y)
\]

holds for all \(t \in [0, 1]\) and \(N' \in [N, \infty)\), where \(\pi\) is the optimal coupling induced by \(\{\mu_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]}\).

- **CD\((K, N)\)-condition** if for each pair \(\mu_0 = \rho_0 dm, \mu_1 = \rho_1 dm \in \mathcal{P}^{ac}_2(M, d, m)\) there exists a \(W_2\)-geodesic \(\{\mu_t = \rho_t dm\}_{t \in [0, 1]} \subset \mathcal{P}^{ac}_2(M, d, m)\) such that

\[
\int_M \rho_t^{1-\frac{1}{N'}} dm \geq \int_{M \times M} \left[ \sigma^{(1-t)}_{K,N'}(d(x, y)) \rho_0(x)^{-\frac{1}{N'}} + \sigma^{(t)}_{K,N'}(d(x, y)) \rho_1(y)^{-\frac{1}{N'}} \right] d\pi(x, y)
\]
Additionally, we say that $\pi$ is the optimal coupling induced by $\{\mu_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$.

- **MCP($K,N$)-condition** if for each $o \in M$ and $\mu_0 = \rho_0 m \in \mathcal{P}_2^m(M,d,m)$, denoting with $\mu_1 = \delta_o$, there exists a $W_2$-geodesic $\{\mu_t\}_{t \in [0,1]} \subset \mathcal{P}_2(M)$ such that

$$
\int_M \mu_1^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{N'}} dm \geq \int_M \tau_{K,N}^{(1-t)}(d(x,o))\mu_0(x)^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{N'}} dm,
$$

holds for all $t \in [0,1]$ and $N' \in [N,\infty)$, where we write $\mu_t = \mu_t m + \mu_t^g$ with $\mu_t^g \perp m$.

Additionally, we say that $(M,d,m)$ satisfies the

- **CD($K,\infty$)-condition** if for each $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2^m(M,d,m)$ there exists a $W_2$-geodesic $\{\mu_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ along which $\text{Ent}_m$ is $K$-convex, i.e.

$$
\text{Ent}_m(\mu_t) \leq (1-t)\text{Ent}_m(\mu_0) + t\text{Ent}_m(\mu_1) - \frac{K}{2} t(1-t)W_2(\mu_0,\mu_1)^2,
$$

holds for all $t \in [0,1]$.

We also say that $(M,d,m)$ satisfies the strong **CD($K,N$)-condition** (resp. strong **CD$^*$($K,N$)-condition, strong **CD($K,\infty$)-condition**) if (2.7) (resp. (2.8), (2.10)) holds for every $W_2$-geodesic $\{\mu_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ connecting any pair $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2^m(M,d,m)$.

In contrast to the smooth framework, in general the curvature-dimension conditions defined above are not equivalent, in some cases ones being more restrictive than others. However there is an inclusion relation between them that can be written as:

$$
\text{CD$^*$-spaces} \subset \text{CD-spaces} \subset \text{MCP-spaces},
$$

where all the inclusions are proper and the parameters $K,N$ might vary. Additionally, the inclusion $\text{CD}(K,N < \infty) \subset \text{CD}(K,\infty)$ holds as well.

**Remark 2.4.** A m.m. space $(M,d,m)$ satisfies strong **CD($K,N$)-condition** (resp. strong **CD$^*$($K,N$)-condition) for some $K \in \mathbb{R},N \in [1,\infty)$ if and only if it is essentially non-branching and satisfies CD($K,N$) (resp. CD$^*(K,N)$). Indeed, on the one hand strong **CD($K,N$)-condition** (resp. strong **CD$^*$($K,N$)-condition) implies essentially non-branching [66, Theorem 1.1] and, of course, CD($K,N$). On the other hand a CD($K,N$) (resp. CD$^*(K,N)$) space is proper for $N < \infty$ and moreover, if it is essentially non-branching, then [20, Corollary 5.3] implies that there is a unique $W_2$-geodesic connecting any pair $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2^m(M,d,m)$, therefore the strong **CD($K,N$)-condition** trivially holds.

Let us also mention that thanks to [20, Theorem 1.1] if $(M,d,m)$ is an essentially non-branching m.m. space satisfying MCP($K,N$), for some $K \in \mathbb{R},N \in [1,\infty)$, then for any pair $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2^m(M,d,m)$ there is a unique $W_2$-geodesic connecting them and the corresponding dynamical plan is given by a map.

**Group actions and lifts of measures.** Let $G \times M \rightarrow M, (g,x) \rightarrow gx$, be an action by isomorphisms of m.m. spaces of a compact Lie group $G$ on the m.m. space $(M,d,m)$, meaning the following:

- $(gh)x = g(hx)$ for all $x \in M$ and all $g,h \in G$;
- $1_G x = x$, for all $x \in M$, where $1_G$ is the neutral element of the group $G$;
- for every fixed $x \in X$, the map $1_G : G \rightarrow M$ given by $g \rightarrow gx$ is continuous;
- for every fixed $g \in G$, the map $\tau_g : M \rightarrow M$ given by $x \rightarrow \tau_g(x) := gx$ is an isomorphism of m.m. spaces, i.e. $\tau_g$ is an isometry and moreover $(\tau_g)_m = m$.

The isotropy group at $x \in M$ is defined by $G_x = \{ g \in G \mid gx = x \}$. Observe that the orbit $G(x)$ is homeomorphic to $G/G_x$. We denote the orbit space by $M/G$ or $M^*$. Let $p : M \rightarrow M^*$ be the projection onto the orbit space. We let $X^*$ denote the image of a subset $X$ of $M$ under $p$, i.e. $X^* = p(X)$. The action is called effective if the intersection of all isotropy subgroups of the action is trivial. An element of $M^*$ will be denoted by $x^* = p(x) = p\{(gx \mid g \in G)\}$. On $M^*$ we define the following distance:

$$
d^*(x^*,y^*) := \inf_{y \in G} d(gx,y) = \inf_{g,h \in G} d(gx,hy) = \inf_{y \in G} d(G(x),G(y)),
$$

where in the last equality we consider $G(x)$ and $G(y)$ as subsets of $M$. Note that $d^*$ is well defined because, by assumption, $G$ acts isometrically; the compactness of $G$ assures that the function $d^*$ is a
complete metric on $M^*$ and that $(M, d^*)$ is separable. Moreover, if $(M, d)$ is a geodesic space then also $(M^*, d^*)$ is geodesic.

Given a function $\phi : M^* \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ we define $\hat{\phi} : \hat{M} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ as

$$\hat{\phi}(x) := \phi(x^*),$$

and refer to $\hat{\phi}$ as the lift of the function $\phi$.

Let $m^* := p_* m$ be the push-forward measure on $M^*$; note that $m^*$ is a non-negative $\sigma$-finite Borel measure over the complete and separable metric space $(M^*, d^*)$. By the Disintegration Theorem (see for instance [30, Section 452]) there is an $m^*$-almost everywhere uniquely defined measurable assignment of probability measures $x^* \mapsto m_{x^*} \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ such that $m_{x^*}$ is concentrated on $G(x) \subset M$ and, for any Borel set $B \subset M$, the following identity holds:

$$m(B) = \int_{M^*} m_{x^*}(B \cap G(x)) dm^*(x^*).$$

We say that a Borel measure $\mu$ is invariant under the action of $G$ if $\mu(\tau_g(B)) = \mu(B)$ for any $g \in G$ and any Borel set $B \subset M$, and denote the set of probability measures on $M$ that are $G$-invariant with $\mathcal{P}^G(M) \subset \mathcal{P}(M)$. We stress that $\mu$ being invariant is equivalent to $g_* \mu = \mu$ for every $g \in G$ since $G$ acts by isomorphisms of m.m. spaces.

Given $x \in M$ recall that the map $*_x : G \to M$ is defined as $g \mapsto gx$. Using the Haar probability measure $\nu_G$ of $G$ we can define a $G$-invariant measure $\nu_x \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ supported on $G(x)$ as follows

$$\nu_x := (*_x)_* \nu_G = \int_G \delta_{gx} \, d\nu_G(g),$$

for every $x \in M$. Note that $\nu_x$ is the unique $G$-invariant probability measure that satisfies $p_* \nu_x = \delta_{x^*}$ and that the assignment $x \mapsto \nu_x$ is a measurable function. Furthermore, since $\nu_x = \nu_y$ whenever $x = gy$ for some $g \in G$, also the assignment $x^* \mapsto \nu_x$ is well-defined and measurable. Using the measures $\nu_x$, we can define a lifting map $\Lambda$ of measures on $M^*$ as

$$\Lambda : \mathcal{P}(M^*) \to \mathcal{P}^G(M)$$

$$\mu \mapsto \hat{\mu} := \Lambda(\mu) = \int_{M^*} \nu_x \, d\mu(x^*).$$

Note that by definition $\hat{\mu}$ is $G$-invariant and that $p_* \hat{\mu} = \mu$, hence our referring to $\Lambda$ as a lifting map. In the upcoming section we show that the lift $\Lambda$ is in fact a canonical isometric embedding that preserves useful measurable properties.

3. Equivariant Wasserstein geometry and stability of Ricci bounds

We begin this section by showing that $c_p$-concavity is preserved when lifting functions.

**Lemma 3.1.** The lift of a $c_p$-concave function is $c_p$-concave. That is, if $\phi : M^* \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ is a $c_p$-concave function on $M^*$ then $\hat{\phi} : \hat{M} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ defined by $\hat{\phi}(x) := \phi(x^*)$ is $c_p$-concave on $M$.

**Proof.** Let $\psi : M^* \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ be such that $\psi = \psi^{x^*}$ and denote its lift by $\hat{\psi} : \hat{M} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$, $\hat{\psi}(x) := \psi(x^*)$. Then

$$\inf_{y^* \in M^*} (d(x, y^*)^p - \hat{\psi}(y)) = \inf_{y^* \in M^*} (d^*(x^*, y^*)^p - \psi(y^*)) = \phi(x^*) = \hat{\phi}(x),$$

which shows that $\hat{\phi} = \hat{\psi}^{x^*}$. \qed

Note that the proof of the lemma only depends on the definition of the quotient metric and thus also holds for quotients of non-compact groups. The next result will be a key tool in the proof of the main result of the section.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let $\Lambda : \mathcal{P}(M^*) \to \mathcal{P}^G(M)$ be the lift of measures defined in (2.14). Assume that $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}(M^*)$ and denote by $\hat{\mu}_0, \hat{\mu}_1 \in \mathcal{P}^G(M)$ their respective lifts. Then the following holds:

1. $\hat{\mu}_0$ is the unique $G$-invariant probability measure with $p_* \hat{\mu}_0 = \mu_0$;
\(2\) \(\Lambda(P^\circ(M^*)) = P^\circ(M) \cap P^G(M)\);

\(3\) \(\Lambda(P_p(M^*)) = P_p(M) \cap P^G(M)\);

\(4\) \(W_p(\mu_0, \mu_1) = W_p(\hat{\mu}_0, \hat{\mu}_1)\) whenever \(\mu_0, \mu_1 \in P_p(M^*)\).

That is, \(\Lambda : P_p(M^*) \hookrightarrow P_p(M) \cap P^G(M)\) is an isometric embedding which preserves absolutely continuous measures. In particular, lifts of \(W_p\)-geodesics in \(P_p(M^*)\) are \(G\)-invariant \(W_p\)-geodesics in \(P_p(M)\).

**Proof.** As noted above, the definition of the lift \(\Lambda\) implies that \(\hat{\mu}_0\) is \(G\)-invariant and that \(p_\#\hat{\mu}_0 = \mu_0\). Moreover, for every \(x \in M\), the measure \(\nu_x\) defined in (2.13) is characterized as the unique \(G\)-invariant probability measure on \(M\) satisfying \(p_\#\nu_x = \delta_x\). Therefore, the uniqueness part of the Disintegration Theorem implies that \(\mu\) is the unique probability measure on \(M\) satisfying the aforementioned properties, which proves the first statement.

Next, assume that \(\mu\) is absolutely continuous. Then there is a non-negative \(m^*\)-measurable function \(f \in L^1(m^*)\) such that \(\mu = f m^*\). We denote its lift by \(\hat{f} : M \to [0, \infty)\) and set \(\hat{\mu}' := \hat{f} m\). Then we get that \(\hat{\mu}'\) is \(G\)-invariant and \(p_\#\hat{\mu}' = \mu\). The uniqueness of the lift gives that \(\hat{\mu} = \hat{\mu}'\) and in particular \(\hat{\mu} \ll m\); thus (2) follows.

To finish the proof we define a way to lift couplings of measures. Assume that \(\mu_0, \mu_1 \in P_p(M^*)\) and an admissible coupling \(\pi \in P(M^* \times M^*)\) of \((\mu_0, \mu_1)\). Consider the subset of pairs of points in \(M \times M\) that achieve the distance between orbits,

\[(3.1) \quad OD := \{(x, y) \in M \times M \mid d(x, y) = d^*(x^*, y^*)\}.
\]

As a first step we define for every \((x, y) \in OD\) a \(G\)-invariant coupling \(\pi_{x,y} \in P(M \times M)\) with \((p_1)_x \pi_{x,y} = \nu_x\) and \((p_2)_y \pi_{x,y} = \nu_y\), where \(G\) acts on \(M \times M\) in the canonical diagonal manner. Specifically, for \((x, y) \in OD\) we set

\[(3.2) \quad \pi_{x,y} := (\pi_{x,y})_2 \nu_y = \int_G (\delta_x \times \delta_y) d\nu_y(y),
\]

where \(\pi_{x,y} : G \to M \times M\) is the map \(g \mapsto (gx, gy)\). Note that the measure \(\pi_{x,y}\) is concentrated on \(OD \cap G ((x, y))\), and that \(\pi_{x,y} = \pi_{gx,gy}\) for every \(x, y \in OD\), and \(g \in G\). Thus, we obtain that

\[(3.3) \quad \int_{M \times M} d(w, z)^p d\pi_{x,y}(w, z) = \int_{M \times M} d^*(w^*, z^*)^p d\pi_{x,y}(w, z) = d^*(x^*, y^*)^p.
\]

However, since \(\nu_x\) is the unique \(G\)-invariant lift of \(\delta_x\), and \(d(x, y) \geq d^*(x^*, y^*)\) it always holds that

\[(3.4) \quad W_p(\nu_x, \nu_y) \geq d^*(x^*, y^*).
\]

The combination of (3.3) and (3.4) gives that

\[(3.5) \quad W_p(\nu_x, \nu_y) = d^*(x^*, y^*) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_{x,y} \text{ is a \(p\)-optimal coupling for} (\nu_x, \nu_y), \text{ for all} (x, y) \in OD.
\]

Since by assumption the \(G\)-orbits in \(M\) are compact, for every pair of equivalence classes \(x^*, y^* \in M^*\) we can find (non-unique) representatives \(\bar{x}(x^*, y^*) \in p^{-1}(x^*), \bar{y}(x^*, y^*) \in p^{-1}(y^*)\) such that \((\bar{x}(x^*, y^*), \bar{y}(x^*, y^*)) \in OD\). By a standard measurable selection argument we can assume that the map

\[(3.6) \quad \hat{\pi} := \int_{M^* \times M^*} \pi(x^*, y^*), d\pi(x^*, y^*) = \int_{M^* \times M^*} \left(\int_G (\delta_{\bar{x}(x^*, y^*)} \times \delta_{\bar{y}(x^*, y^*)}) d\nu_y(g)\right) d\pi(x^*, y^*).
\]

Let us stress that while the lift \(\hat{\mu} \in P(M)\) of a measure \(\mu \in P(M^*)\) is canonical, instead, the lift \(\hat{\pi} \in P(M \times M)\) of a plan \(\pi \in P(M^* \times M^*)\) defined in (3.6) is not canonical as we made a choice of representatives of the classes; nevertheless this will be good enough for our purpose of understanding.
equivariant Wasserstein geometry. It follows directly from the definitions (2.13), (3.2) and (3.6) that

$$\hat{\pi}(B \times M) = \int_{M^* \times M^*} \pi_{x^*, y^*} \|g(x^* \cdot y^*)\| (B \times M) \, d\pi(x^*, y^*) = \int_{M^* \times M^*} \nu_{x^*, y^*} (B) \, d\pi(x^*, y^*)$$

$$= \int_{M^* \times M^*} \nu_x (B) \, d\pi(x^*, y^*) = \int_{M^*} \nu_x (B) \, d\mu_0(x^*) = \hat{\mu}_0(B),$$

for any Borel subset $B \subset M$, where, in the third identity, we used that $\nu_{x^*, y^*} = \nu_x$ since by construction $\mu(\pi(x^*, y^*)) = x^*$. Analogously, we have that $\hat{\pi}(M \times B) = \hat{\mu}_1(B)$. In other words, the lift $\hat{\pi}$ is an admissible coupling for $(\mu_0, \mu_1)$ and thus

$$W_p(\mu_0, \mu_1) \leq \int \frac{d(x, y)^p}{\|g(x^* \cdot y^*)\|} \, d\hat{\pi}(x, y) = \int d^*(x^*, y^*)^p \, d\pi(x^*, y^*).$$

The last inequality shows that

$$W_p(\mu_0, \mu_1) \leq W_p(\mu_0, \mu_1).$$

In particular, by choosing $\mu_1$ (resp. $\mu_0$) to be a Dirac mass it follows that if $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}_p(M^*)$ (resp. $\mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_p(M^*)$) then also $\hat{\mu}_0 \in \mathcal{P}_p(M)$ (resp. $\hat{\mu}_1 \in \mathcal{P}_p(M)$), indeed:

$$\left( \int_M d(x, x)^p \, d\mu_0 \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = W_p(\mu_0, \delta_{x_1}) = W_p(\nu_{x_1}, \delta_{x_1}) = W_p(\mu_0, \nu_{x_1}) + \sup_{g \in G} d\pi(x, x_1) < \infty,$$

where in last inequality we used that the G-orbits are bounded since by assumption $G$ is compact.

Now let $(\phi, \psi)$ be a $p$-dual solution corresponding to a $p$-optimal coupling $\pi$, i.e.

$$\phi(x^*) + \psi(y^*) \leq d^*(x^*, y^*)^p \quad \text{for all } x^*, y^* \in M^*,$$

$$\phi(x^*) + \psi(y^*) = d^*(x^*, y^*)^p \quad \text{for } \pi\text{-a.e. } (x^*, y^*);$$

in particular

$$W_p(\mu_0, \mu_1) = \int_{M^*} \phi \, d\mu_0 + \int_{M^*} \psi \, d\mu_1.$$ 

By defining the lifts $\hat{\phi}(x) := \phi(x^*)$, and $\hat{\psi}(y) := \psi(y^*)$, we get that

$$\hat{\phi}(x) + \hat{\psi}(y) = \phi(x^*) + \psi(y^*) \leq d^*(x^*, y^*)^p = \inf_{g \in G} d\pi(x, y)^p \leq d\pi(x, y)^p, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in M,$$

that is to say, the couple $(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\psi})$ is $p$-admissible in $M$. Therefore, we have that

$$W_p(\mu_0, \mu_1) = \int_{M^*} \phi \, d\mu_0(x^*) + \int_{M^*} \psi \, d\mu_1(y^*) = \int_M \hat{\phi}(x) \, d\mu_0(x) + \int_M \hat{\psi}(y) \, d\mu_1(y) \leq W_p(\hat{\mu}_0, \hat{\mu}_1)^p.$$

The combination of (3.8) and (3.10) then yields the claimed identity $W_p(\mu_0, \mu_1) = W_p(\hat{\mu}_0, \hat{\mu}_1)$. Moreover, we conclude from (3.7) that $\hat{\pi}$ is a $p$-optimal coupling for $(\hat{\mu}_0, \hat{\mu}_1)$ if $\pi$ is $p$-optimal for $(\mu_0, \mu_1)$ and that $d(x, y) = d^*(x^*, y^*)$ for $\pi$-a.e. $(x, y) \in M^* \times M$ since, by construction, $\hat{\pi}$ is concentrated on $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{D}$. Additionally, (3.10) grants that the lifted pair $(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\psi})$ is $p$-optimal for $(\hat{\mu}_0, \hat{\mu}_1)$ if $(\phi, \psi)$ is $p$-optimal for $(\mu_0, \mu_1)$. In particular, $\hat{\phi}(x) + \hat{\psi}(y) = d(x, y)^p$ for $\hat{\pi}$-a.e. $(x, y) \in M \times M$.  

\[\square\]

\textbf{Remark 3.3.} A result in the direction of Theorem 3.2 had already been shown by Lott and Villani in [53, Lemma 5.36]. In comparison to their work, we are more explicit in the construction of lifts of measures and optimal plans. This allows us additionally to show that the natural lifts of dual solutions are dual solutions as well. This information will be useful in the proof of Theorem 3.7.

As we just mentioned, we have shown that optimal plans and dual solutions are preserved by lifts.

\textbf{Corollary 3.4.} Let $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_p(M^*)$. Then for every $p$-optimal coupling $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(M^* \times M^*)$ of $(\mu_0, \mu_1)$ the (non-canonical) lifted coupling $\hat{\pi} \in \mathcal{P}(M \times M)$ defined in (3.6) is an optimal coupling of $(\mu_0, \mu_1)$ for which $d(x, y) = d^*(x^*, y^*)$ holds for $\hat{\pi}$-almost every $(x, y) \in M \times M$. Furthermore, whenever $(\phi, \psi)$ is a $p$-dual solution corresponding to $\pi$ then the lift $(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\psi})$ is a $p$-dual solution corresponding to $\hat{\pi}$. 
The following corollary also follows.

**Corollary 3.5.** If \((M, d, m)\) is essentially non-branching then the quotient space \((M^*, d^*, m^*)\) is essentially non-branching.

**Proof.** Note that a branching geodesic in \(M^*\) lifts to a \(G\)-invariant family of branching geodesics in \(M\). Moreover, since absolutely continuous measures are lifted to absolutely continuous measures and any optimal dynamical coupling on \(M^*\) lifts to an optimal dynamical coupling on \(M\), we see that any \(\gamma \in \text{OptGeo}(\mu_0, \mu_1)\) between \(\mu_i \in \mathcal{P}_2^\kappa(M^*)\), \(i = 0, 1\), must be concentrated on a set of non-branching geodesics.

We also get the following result of independent interest.

**Corollary 3.6.** The push-forward \(p_\sharp : \mathcal{P}_p(M) \to \mathcal{P}_p(M^*)\) is a submetry (see Definition 8.3).

**Proof.** We know already that \(p_\sharp\) is onto. To see that \(p_\sharp\) is \(1\)-Lipschitz note that if \(\pi \in \mathcal{P}(M \times M)\) is an admissible coupling for \((\mu, \nu)\), then \(\pi^* = (p \times p)\pi\) is admissible for \((p_\sharp \mu, p_\sharp \nu)\) and
\[
\int d(x, y)^p d\pi(x, y) \geq \int d^*(x^*, y^*)^p d\pi^*(x^*, y^*).
\]

Let \(\mu \in \mathcal{P}_p(M)\) and \(\mu_G \in \mathcal{P}_p(M)\) be its \(G\)-average,
\[
(3.11) \quad \mu_G = \int_G (\tau_g)_\sharp \mu \, d\nu_G(g).
\]

Then
\[
\mu^* := p_\sharp \mu = p_\sharp \mu_G.
\]

Fix an arbitrary \(\nu^* \in \mathcal{P}_p(M^*)\) with \(W_p(\mu^*, \nu^*) = r\) and denote its lift by \(\nu_G\). Then, from Theorem 3.2, we have \(W_p(\mu_G, \nu_G) = r\). Thanks to Corollary 3.4 there exists a \(p\)-optimal coupling \(\pi\) for \((\mu_G, \nu_G)\) which is supported on the set \(\mathcal{OD}\), defined on (3.1). Via the Disintegration Theorem, we obtain that there exists a \(\mu_G\)-a.e. well-defined measure-valued assignment \(x \mapsto \tilde{\nu}_x\) such that for every Borel set \(B \subseteq M\) the function \(x \mapsto \tilde{\nu}_x(B) \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}\) is \(\mu_G\)-measurable and
\[
\pi = \int \delta_x \times \tilde{\nu}_x \, d\mu_G(x).
\]

From the construction of \(\pi\) performed in Corollary 3.4 (see in particular (3.6), noting that here the lift is denoted by \(\tilde{\pi}\) instead of \(\pi\)), one can check that \(\delta_x \times \tilde{\nu}_x\) is supported on \(\mathcal{OD}\) for \(\mu_G\)-a.e. \(x\).

Assume now that \(\mu \ll \mu_G\). Then we can define a new coupling
\[
(3.12) \quad \tilde{\pi} = \int \delta_x \times \tilde{\nu}_x \, d\mu(x).
\]

Set \(\nu = (p_\sharp)\tilde{\pi}\) and observe that \(\nu^* = p_\sharp \nu_G\); therefore \(\tilde{\pi}\) is an admissible coupling for \((\mu, \nu)\) and, recalling that \(\pi\) was \(p\)-optimal for \((\mu_G, \nu_G)\), we get
\[
W_p(\mu, \nu)^p \leq \int_{M \times M} d(x, y)^p \, d\tilde{\pi}(x, y) = \int_M \int_M d(x, y)^p \, d\tilde{\nu}_x(y) \, d\mu(x) = \int_M \int_M d^*(x^*, y^*)^p \, d(p_\sharp \tilde{\nu}_x)(y) \, d\mu^*(x^*)
\]
\[
= \int_M \int_M d(x, y)^p \, d\tilde{\nu}_x(y) \, d\mu_G(x) = \int _M d^p(x, y) \, d\pi(x, y) = W_p(\mu_G, \nu_G)^p = W_p(\mu^*, \nu^*)^p = r^p.
\]

Since we already proved that \(p_\sharp\) is \(1\)-Lipschitz, the last inequality implies that \(W_p(\mu, \nu) = r\). Note that in particular we also get that \(\pi\) is a \(p\)-optimal coupling for \((\mu, \nu)\). Because \(\nu^*\) was an arbitrary measure at \(W_p\)-distance \(r\) from \(\mu^*\), we infer that \(p_\sharp (B_p^W(\mu)) = B_p^W(\mu^*)\).

The case for general \(\mu \in \mathcal{P}_p(M)\) follows by approximation, since for such a measure the set of \(\tilde{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}_p(M)\) with \(\tilde{\mu} \ll \mu_G\) is dense in \(\mathcal{P}_p(M)\). More precisely, one can construct a sequence \((\mu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) in \(\mathcal{P}_p(M)\) with \(\mu_n \ll (\mu_n)_G = \mu_G\) such that \(\mu_n \to \mu\) in \(\mathcal{P}_p(M), W_p\).

Let \(\tilde{\pi}_n\) be the couplings from \(\mu_n\) obtained as in (3.12) and set \(\nu_n = (p_\sharp)\tilde{\pi}_n\). From the above arguments, we know that \(\tilde{\pi}_n\) is a \(p\)-optimal coupling for \((\mu_n, \nu_n)\). Because the orbits are compact and \(\nu^* = p_\sharp \nu_n\), we see that \(\{\nu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) and \(\{\tilde{\pi}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) are weakly compact. Thus there exist \(\nu \in \mathcal{P}(M), \tilde{\pi} \in \mathcal{P}(M \times M)\) such
that, up to subsequences, $\nu_n$ and $\pi_n$ converge weakly to $\nu$ and $\pi$ respectively.

Then, by [72, Theorem 5.20], $\pi$ is a $p$-optimal coupling for $(\mu, \nu)$ and it holds

$$W_p(\mu, \nu) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} W_p(\mu_n, \nu_n) = r.$$ 

However, since $\mu^* = p_p \mu$ and $\nu^* = p_p \nu$ this must be an equality proving the claim for all $\mu^* \in \mathcal{P}_p(M^*)$. \hfill $\Box$

We now prove that the convexity of the entropy in Wasserstein spaces is stable under quotients.

**Theorem 3.7.** Assume that the metric measure space $(M, d, m)$ satisfies the strong CD(K, N)-condition (resp. the strong CD*(K, N)-condition, or the strong CD(K, \infty)-condition). Then the quotient metric measure space $(M^*, d^*, m^*)$ satisfies the strong CD(K, N)-condition (resp. the strong CD*(K, N)-condition, or the strong CD(K, \infty)-condition).

**Proof.** We prove the result for the strong CD(K, N)-condition, $K \in \mathbb{R}, N < \infty$; the proofs for strong CD*(K, N) or CD(K, \infty) are completely analogous.

Let $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2^c(M^*)$ and let $\{\mu_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$ be a $W_2$-geodesic between them inducing the 2-optimal coupling $\pi$. For every $t \in [0, 1]$, write $\hat{\mu}_t$ for the lift of $\mu_t$ given by (2.14). From Theorem 3.2 we know that $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2^c(M)$ and that $\{\hat{\mu}_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$ is a $G$-invariant $W_2$-geodesic in $\mathcal{P}_2(M)$. By the strong CD(K, N)-condition, we have $\hat{\mu}_t = \hat{\rho}_t m \in \mathcal{P}_2^c(M)$ with

$$\int_M \hat{\rho}_t^{-\frac{K}{N}} dm \geq \int_{M \times M} \left[ \tau_{K,N}^{(1)}(d(x, y)) \hat{\rho}_0^{-\frac{K}{N'}}(x) + \tau_{K,N}^{(t)}(d(x, y)) \hat{\rho}_1^{-\frac{K}{N'}}(y) \right] d\hat{\pi}(x, y),$$

for every $t \in [0, 1]$ and every $N' \geq N$, where $\hat{\pi}$ is the lift of $\pi$ defined in (3.6) or, equivalently, the 2-optimal coupling from $\mu_0$ to $\mu_1$ induced by the geodesic $\{\mu_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$. Since the measure $\hat{\rho}_t$ is $G$-invariant, its density $\rho_t$ is also $G$-invariant. Thus, $\rho_t(x^*) := \hat{\rho}_t(x)$ is well defined and it coincides with the density of $\mu_t$ with respect to $m^*$. Therefore, it holds that

$$\int_{M^*} \rho_t^{-\frac{K}{N}}(x^*) dm^*(x^*) = \int_{M^*} \int_{G(x)} \rho_t^{-\frac{K}{N'}}(y) dm_2(y) dm^*(x^*) = \int_{M^*} \rho_t^{-\frac{K}{N'}}(y) dm(y)$$

$$\geq \int_{M \times M} \left[ \tau_{K,N'}^{(1)}(d(x, y)) \rho_0^{-\frac{K}{N'}}(x) + \tau_{K,N'}^{(t)}(d(x, y)) \rho_1^{-\frac{K}{N'}}(y) \right] d\hat{\pi}(x, y)$$

$$= \int_{M^* \times M^*} \left[ \tau_{K,N'}^{(1)}(d^*(x^*, y^*)) \rho_0^{-\frac{K}{N'}}(x^*) + \tau_{K,N'}^{(t)}(d^*(x^*, y^*)) \rho_1^{-\frac{K}{N'}}(y^*) \right] d\pi(x^*, y^*),$$

for every $t \in [0, 1]$ and $N' \geq N$, where we have used that $\rho_t(x^*) = \hat{\rho}_t(x)$ and $d(x, y) = d^*(x^*, y^*)$ for $\hat{\pi}$-almost all $(x, y) \in M \times M$. \hfill $\Box$

**Remark 3.8.** As recalled in Remark 2.4, for $N < \infty$ the strong CD(K, N)-condition, CD*(K, N)-condition respectively, is equivalent to essentially non-branching plus (weak) CD(K, N), essentially non-branching plus (weak) CD*(K, N). Furthermore, essentially non-branching plus MCP(K, N) imply uniqueness of the $W_2$-geodesic starting from $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}_2^c(M, d, m)$ to an arbitrary measure $\mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2(M)$. Therefore, the verbatim argument above together with Lemma 3.5 proves that if $(M, d, m)$ is an essentially non-branching MCP(K, N)-space then also $(M^*, d^*, m^*)$ satisfies essentially non-branching plus MCP(K, N).

As a matter of fact, note that the key property needed for the proof is that the curvature-dimension condition under consideration is satisfied along $G$-invariant Wasserstein geodesics.

**Remark 3.9.** The same arguments show that the strong CD(p)(K, N)-condition defined by the second author in [41] holds for quotients of strong CD(p)(K, N)-spaces. Additionally, granted that the group $G$ is finite, also the intermediate $p$-Ricci lower curvature bounds in terms of optimal transport introduced by Ketterer and the third author [45] are preserved under quotients.

4. Principal orbit theorem and cohomogeneity one actions

We prove a principal orbit theorem in the context of metric measure spaces and use it to study cohomogeneity one actions for spaces with nice optimal transport properties. The theorem states that the orbits of $m$-almost all points in $M$ are of a unique maximal type. For this result, we are inspired by a paper
of Guijarro and the first author [31] in the framework of Alexandrov spaces. In order to compensate the lack of information about branching of geodesics in the present context, we introduce the notion of good optimal transport behavior requiring, roughly speaking, that each optimal transport from an absolutely continuous measure is induced by an optimal map (see Definition 4.1). Such a notion is known to be implied by lower Ricci curvature conditions such as $\text{CD}^*(K,N)$ and, more generally, $\text{MCP}(K,N)$ coupled with the essentially non-branching property (see Gigli-Rajala-Sturm [36] and Cavalletti-Mondino [20]). Thanks to the results proved in the previous section, we will easily show that the good optimal transport behavior is stable under quotients.

As mentioned above, it will be convenient in the sequel to consider metric measure spaces satisfying the following property which is closely related to $p$-essential non-branching.

**Definition 4.1** (Good transport behavior). A metric measure space $(M,d,m)$ has good transport behavior ($\text{GTB})_p$ for $p \in (1,\infty)$ if, for all $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_p(M)$ with $\mu \ll m$, any $p$-optimal coupling from $\mu$ to $\nu$ is induced by a map.

The good transport behavior is satisfied by a large class of examples, as recalled in the next theorem.

**Theorem 4.2** (Cavalletti-Huesmann [18], Cavalletti-Mondino[20], Gigli-Rajala-Sturm[36]). The following spaces satisfy ($\text{GTB})_p$:

- Essentially non-branching $\text{MCP}(K,N)$-spaces for $p = 2$, $K \in \mathbb{R}$, and $N \in [1,\infty)$. In particular, this includes essentially non-branching $\text{CD}^*(K,N)$-spaces, essentially non-branching $\text{CD}(K,N)$-spaces, and $\text{RCD}^*(K,N)$-spaces.
- Non-branching spaces with weak quantitative $\text{MCP}$-property for all $p \in (1,\infty)$.

Using the fact that the geometry of the $p$-Wasserstein space is preserved under quotients we can also show the next result.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let $(X,d,m)$ be a m.m. space satisfying ($\text{GTB})_p$. Then $(X^*,d^*,m^*)$ satisfies ($\text{GTB})_p$ as well.

**Proof.** This is a consequence of Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.4. Indeed for any $\mu^*, \nu^* \in \mathcal{P}_p(M^*)$ with $\mu^* \ll m^*$, any $p$-optimal coupling $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(M^* \times M^*)$ for $(\mu^*, \nu^*)$ can be written as $(p \times p)_*\tilde{\pi}$, where $\tilde{\pi}$ is the lift defined by (3.6). The lifts of $\mu^*$, $\nu^*$ under Theorem 3.2, which we write as $\mu = \Lambda(\mu^*)$ and $\nu = \Lambda(\nu^*)$, satisfy the following: $\mu \ll m$ and the lifted coupling $\tilde{\pi}$ is a $p$-optimal for $(\mu, \nu)$. Since $(M,d,m)$ satisfies ($\text{GTB})_p$, the coupling $\tilde{\pi}$ is induced by a map $T$. Moreover, by the equivariant structure of $\tilde{\pi}$ stated in Corollary 3.4 it is easy to check that one can choose $T$ to be $G$-equivariant, i.e. $T(x) = T(gx)$ for all $g \in G$, for $\mu$-a.e. $x$. Therefore $T$ passes to the quotient, giving an optimal map which induces the coupling $\pi$. 

Metric measure spaces that have good transport behavior enjoy nice geodesic properties. For example, by picking a Dirac mass as the terminal measure in Definition 4.1, it is possible to see that for every $x \in M$ and $m$-a.e. $y \in M$ there exist a unique geodesic joining $x$ to $y$. With the following two lemmas we show that actually a stronger statement is true.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let $\Gamma \subset M \times M$ be a $c_p$-cyclically monotone set. Then for any $s, t \in [0,1]$ the set

$$\Gamma_{s,t} = (e_s, e_t) \left( ((e_0, e_1)^{-1}(\Gamma)) \right)$$

is $c_p$-cyclically monotone.

**Proof.** Choose $(x_s^{(i)}, x_t^{(i)}) \in \Gamma_{s,t}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. By assumption there are geodesics $\gamma^{(i)}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, such that $\left( \gamma_s^{(i)}, \gamma_t^{(i)} \right) = (x_s^{(i)}, x_t^{(i)})$ and

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n \left\{ (\gamma_s^{(i)}, \gamma_t^{(i)}) \right\} \subset \Gamma.$$

Since by assumption $\Gamma$ is $c_p$-cyclically monotone, we have that

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{n} \sum \delta_{\gamma^{(i)}}$$
Moreover, if \( c \) seems quicker. Suppose \( (\gamma, y) \) be two geodesics connecting \( x \in M \) and every \( c_p \)-concave function \( \varphi \), the \( c_p \)-superdifferential \( \partial^p \varphi(x) \) contains at most one point.

In particular, if \( (M, d, m) \) satisfies \( \text{(GTB)}_p \) then for any \( c_p \)-concave function \( \varphi \) and \( m \)-almost all \( x \in M \) there exists a unique geodesic connecting \( x \) and \( \partial^p \varphi(x) \), whenever the set \( \partial^p \varphi(x) \) is non-empty.

**Proof.** To get the “only if” implication recall that the graph of the \( c_p \)-superdifferential of any \( c_p \)-concave function is \( c_p \)-cyclically monotone, and that every coupling supported on a \( c_p \)-cyclically monotone set is optimal (see for instance [2, Theorem 1.13]). If there exist a \( c_p \)-concave function \( \varphi \) and a (without loss of generality we assume bounded) set \( E \subseteq M \) with \( m(E) > 0 \) such that \( \partial^p \varphi(x) \) is not single valued for every \( x \in E \), called \( \mu = m(E)^{-1} m \), \( E \) it is not difficult to construct a plan \( \pi \in \mathcal{P}(M \times M) \) concentrated on \( \partial^p \varphi \) (and therefore \( p \)-optimal) with \( \mu \) as first marginal, which is not induced by a map; this clearly contradicts \( \text{(GTB)}_p \). For instance a possible construction of \( \pi \) is as follows: by a standard measurable selection argument we can find two measurable maps \( T_1, T_2 : E \to M \) \( \mu \)-a.e. well defined such that \( T_1(x), T_2(x) \in \partial^p \varphi(x), T_1(x) \neq T_2(x) \) for \( \mu \)-a.e. \( x \); set \( \pi = \int_{E} \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{(x, T_1(x))} + \delta_{(x, T_2(x))}) \ d\mu(x) \).

The “if” implication is a classical argument in optimal transport theory (see for instance [2, Theorem 1.13]): let \( \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(M) \) with \( \mu \ll m \) and recall that for any \( p \)-optimal plan \( \pi \) for \( (\mu, \nu) \) there exists a \( c_p \)-concave function \( \varphi \) such that \( \text{supp}(\pi) \subseteq \partial^p \varphi \). The hypothesis that \( \partial^p \varphi(x) \) is single valued for \( m \)-almost every \( x \) gives that \( \pi \) is induced by a map, as desired.

To prove the last statement, one possibility is to argue via Lemma 4.4. However the next argument seems quicker. Suppose \( (X, d, m) \) has \( \text{(GTB)}_p \) and note that if \( \varphi \) is a \( c_p \)-concave function then \( \varphi_t = t^{p-1} \varphi \) is \( c_p \)-concave as well, for all \( t \in [0, 1] \) (see for instance [41, Lemma 2.9] and the discussion after [41, Definition 2.1]; note also that the arguments in the proof of [41, Lemma 2.9] do not require the compactness of \( M \)). Moreover, if \( \gamma \) is a geodesic connecting \( x \) and \( y \in \partial^p \varphi(x) \) then \( \gamma_t \in \partial^p \varphi_t(x) \).

Let \( D_t = \{ x \in M | \partial^p \varphi_t(x) \neq \emptyset \} \) and observe that \( D_t \subseteq D_{t'} \) whenever \( 0 \leq t' \leq t \leq 1 \). Let \((t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) be dense in \([0, 1]\) with \( t_1 = 1 \) and choose a measurable set \( \Omega_n \subseteq D_1 \) of full \( m \)-measure in \( D_1 \) such that \( \partial^p \varphi_{t_n}(x) \) is single valued for all \( x \in \Omega_n \). Then \( \Omega = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_n \) also has full \( m \)-measure in \( D_1 \). Let \( \gamma \) and \( \eta \) be two geodesics connecting \( x \in \Omega \) and \( y \in \partial^p \varphi(x) \). If \( \gamma \) and \( \eta \) were distinct then there is an open interval \( I \subseteq (0, 1) \) such that \( \gamma_s \neq \eta_s \) for all \( s \in I \). In particular, there is an \( n \) such that \( t_n \in I \). Hence \( \gamma_{t_n} \neq \eta_{t_n} \) and \( \partial^p \varphi_{t_n}(x) \) is not single valued. However, this is a contradiction as \( x \in \Omega \subseteq \Omega_n \) implies that \( \partial^p \varphi_{t_n}(x) \) is single valued.

**Remark 4.6.** On proper geodesic spaces, one has \( \partial^p \varphi(x) \neq \emptyset \) for all
\[
x \in \text{int}(\{ \varphi > -\infty \}),
\]
where \( \varphi \) is a \( c_p \)-concave function (see [36] for \( p = 2 \) and [41] for general \( p \)).

We can now prove that the good transport behavior is enough to show an \( m \)-almost everywhere uniqueness of the orbit type.

**Theorem 4.7** (Principal Orbit Theorem). Let \( (M, d, m) \) be a m.m. space satisfying \( \text{(GTB)}_p \) for some \( p \in (1, \infty) \). Fix \( x^* \in M^* \), and denote the orbit over \( x^* \) by \( G(x) = p^{-1}(x^*) \). Then for \( m \)-a.e. \( y \in M \) there exists a unique \( y^* \in G(x) \) and a unique geodesic connecting \( x^* \) and \( y^* \) such that \( d(x^*, y) = d^*(x^*, y^*) \). In particular, \( G_y \leq G_{x^*} \) for the isotropy groups of \( y \) and \( x^* \), and there exists a unique subgroup \( G_{\text{min}} \leq G \) (up to conjugation) such that for \( m \)-a.e. \( y \in M \) the orbit \( G(y) \) is homeomorphic to the quotient \( G/G_{\text{min}} \). We call \( G/G_{\text{min}} \) the principal orbit of the action of \( G \) over \( (M, d, m) \).

**Proof.** Since by Lemma 3.1, the lifts of \( c_p \)-concave functions are also \( c_p \)-concave, we get that the function \( \varphi(y) := d^*(x^*, y^*)^p = d(G(x), y)^p \) is \( c_p \)-concave. Recall that the \( c_p \)-superdifferential of \( \varphi \) at \( y \) can be 
equivalently described as (see for instance [2, (1.3)], [72]): \( x' \in \partial^\varphi \varphi(y) \) if and only if
\[
(4.1) \quad d(G(x), y)^p - d(y, x')^p = \varphi(y) - d(y, x')^p \geq \varphi(z) - d(z, x')^p = d(G(x), z)^p - d(z, x')^p \quad \text{for all } z \in M.
\]
Since by assumption \( G(x) \) is compact, there exists a point \( x_y \in G(x) \) at minimal distance from \( y \), i.e. \( d(x_y, y) = d(G(x), y) \). Using the characterization (4.1) of \( \partial^\varphi \varphi(y) \) it is easy to check that \( x_y \in \partial^\varphi \varphi(y) \).

Recalling Lemma 4.5 we infer that, for \( m \)-a.e. \( y \in M \), such a point \( x_y \in G(x) \) of minimal distance is unique and moreover there is a unique geodesic from \( y \) to \( x_y \).

Observe now that \( \partial^\varphi \varphi(y) \) is \( G_y \)-invariant, that is, if \( g \in G_y \) and \( x' \in \partial^\varphi \varphi(y) \), we have
\[
d(gx', y) = d(gx', y) = d(x', y).
\]
Therefore, the \( m \)-a.e. uniqueness of the \( c_p \)-superdifferential implies that \( G_y \leq G_{x_y} \), for \( m \)-a.e. \( y \).

Finally, pick \( x_0 \) such that the principal isotropy subgroup \( G_{x_0} \leq G \) is minimal among all isotropy groups. More precisely, we mean that \( G_{x_0} \) is such that for every \( y \in M \) there exists \( g \in G \) such that the inclusion \( G_{x_0} \leq yGy^{-1} \) holds. Therefore, for \( m \)-a.e. \( y \in M \), it holds that the isotropy groups \( G_y = yG_{x_0}y^{-1} \) and \( G_{x_0} \) are conjugate. Thus, since the action of \( G \) is effective and for every \( x \in M \), \( G/G_x \) acts transitively on the orbit \( G(x) \) we conclude that the orbits
\[
G(x_0) \simeq G_{x_0} \simeq G/y \simeq G(y),
\]
are homeomorphic for \( m \)-almost every \( y \in M \).

\[\square\]

Remark 4.8. It is not complicated to construct examples of m.m. spaces that do not have \( (\text{GTB})_p \) for which there is not a principal orbit type. Moreover, examples of m.m. spaces that satisfy the MCP-condition in which a finite group of measure-preserving isometries acts but do not have a principal orbit type have been constructed in [46] by Ketterer and Rajala, and generalized in [68] by the fourth author. We note that none of these spaces has good transport behavior, or equivalently in this case, the essential non-branching condition. With these examples in mind we make emphasis on the relevance of the \( (\text{GTB})_p \) assumption in the theorem above.

The following two corollaries show that, in metric measure spaces with good transport behavior, cohomogeneity one actions satisfy similar rigidity properties as in the setting of Alexandrov spaces, see [32]. However, note that we can not provide a completely analogous characterization because of the more general nature of the spaces that we are dealing with. We let below \( N_G(H) \leq G \) denote the normalizer subgroup of \( H \) in \( G \), defined by \( N_G(H) := \{ g \in G \mid gH = Hg \} \).

Corollary 4.9. Let \((M, \mathbf{d}, m) \) be a m.m. space satisfying \((\text{GTB})_p \) for some \( p \in (1, \infty) \) and let \( \mathcal{G}_{\text{min}} \) and \( G/\mathcal{G}_{\text{min}} \) denote a minimal isotropy group and the corresponding principal orbit, respectively. Furthermore, assume that \((M^*, \mathbf{d}') \) is homeomorphic to a circle \( S^1 \). Then \((M, \mathbf{d}) \) is homeomorphic to a fiber bundle over \( S^1 \) with fiber the homogeneous space \( G/\mathcal{G}_{\text{min}} \) and structure group \( N_G(\mathcal{G}_{\text{min}})/\mathcal{G}_{\text{min}} \). In particular, \((M, \mathbf{d}) \) is a topological manifold.

Proof. It is sufficient to show, for all \( x \in M \), that the orbit of \( x \) is homeomorphic to the principal orbit \( G(x) \simeq G/\mathcal{G}_{\text{min}} \) since in this situation, the lift of any short enough geodesic \( \gamma \in \text{Geo}(S^1) \) induces a local trivialization. Moreover, the statement on the structure group follows from the observation that, for every two points in \( M \), the isotropy groups are conjugate subgroups. We argue below to conclude that there is a unique orbit type.

Since by assumption \( M^* \) is homeomorphic to \( S^1 \), for any point \( x^* \in M^* \) we can find two points \( y^*, z^* \in M^* \) that satisfy the following conditions: the orbits \( p^{-1}(y^*) \simeq p^{-1}(z^*) \simeq G/\mathcal{G}_{\text{min}} \) are principal, and there exist \( y \in p^{-1}(y^*) \) and \( z \in p^{-1}(z^*) \) such that \( y \) is the unique element in \( p^{-1}(y^*) \) satisfying \( d(y, z) = d(p^{-1}(y^*), z) \) and there is a unique geodesic \( \gamma \) from \( z \) to \( y \) which intersects the orbit \( p^{-1}(x^*) \); call \( x \) the intersection point of such a geodesic with \( p^{-1}(x^*) \). The existence of such a quadruple \((y^*, z^*, y, z)\) follows from the proof of the Principal Orbit Theorem and Lemma 4.5. Since \( y \) is the unique point in \( p^{-1}(y^*) \) such that \( d(y, z) = d(p^{-1}(y^*), z) \), we get that \( y \) is also the unique point in \( p^{-1}(y^*) \) satisfying \( d(y, x) = d(p^{-1}(y^*), x) \). It follows that the isotropy group of \( x \) satisfies \( G_x \leq G_y = \mathcal{G}_{\text{min}} \), since otherwise the uniqueness of \( y \) would be violated. However, this means that \( G_x \) is minimal, and thus the orbit \( p^{-1}(x^*) \) principal. Since the argument is true for every \( x^* \in X^* \) the result follows. \[\square\]
Arguing in the same way to show uniqueness of the principal orbit, we can also show the following result.

**Corollary 4.10.** Let \((M, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{m})\) be as in Corollary 4.9 and assume that \((M^*, \mathbf{d}^*)\) is homeomorphic to an interval \([a, b]\) \(\subset \mathbb{R}\). Then the open dense set \(\mathbf{p}^{-1}((a, b)) \subset M\) has full \(\mathbf{m}\)-measure and is homeomorphic to \((a, b) \times (\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{G}_{\text{min}})\). In case \((M^*, \mathbf{d}^*)\) is homeomorphic to \(\mathbb{R}\) we have that \((M, \mathbf{d})\) is homeomorphic to the product \(\mathbb{R} \times (\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{G}_{\text{min}})\), in particular \((M, \mathbf{d})\) is a topological manifold.

5. **Sobolev functions and group actions**

5.1. **Basic definitions and properties.**

**Lifting functions.** Let \(\hat{f}: M \to \mathbb{R}\) be a \(\mathbf{G}\)-invariant function, i.e. \(\hat{f}(x) = \hat{f}(g \cdot x)\) for all \(x \in M, g \in \mathbf{G}\). Then there is a well-defined function \(f: M^* \to \mathbb{R}\) defined by

\[
(5.1) \quad f(x^*) = \hat{f}(x).
\]

Vice versa, if \(f\) is a function on \(M^*\) then \(\hat{f}\) defined by the same formula is the unique \(\mathbf{G}\)-invariant lift of \(f\). Furthermore, since \(\mathbf{G}\) is compact we see that \(\hat{f}\) is measurable/continuous if and only if \(f\) is measurable/continuous.

**Lipschitz constants.** Given a metric space \((M, \mathbf{d})\), we denote with \(\text{LIP}(M, \mathbf{d})\) the space of Lipschitz functions, i.e. the space of those functions \(f: M \to \mathbb{R}\) such that

\[
\sup_{x,y \in M, x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{\mathbf{d}(x,y)} < \infty.
\]

Recall that the left hand side is called the Lipschitz constant of \(f\).

In order to study Lipschitz functions, two fundamental quantities are the **upper asymptotic Lipschitz constant** \(\text{Lip}\) and the **lower asymptotic Lipschitz constant** \(\text{lip}\), defined as follows: given a function \(f: M \to \mathbb{R}\) on \(M\), define

\[
(5.2) \quad \text{Lip} f(x) = \limsup_{r \to 0} \sup_{y \in B_r(x)} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{r}, \quad \text{lip} f(x) = \liminf_{r \to 0} \sup_{y \in B_r(x)} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{r}.
\]

An easy observation is that \(\text{Lip} f(x) \leq \text{lip} f(x) \leq L\) if \(f\) is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant at most \(L\). The converse is true if \((M, \mathbf{d})\) is a geodesic space. We will write \(\text{LIP}^N\) and \(\text{lip}^N\) when we want to stress the dependence on the domain \(N\) of the functions.

The two asymptotic Lipschitz constants play a central role in the study of spaces admitting a well-defined first order Taylor expansion of Lipschitz functions at almost all points, the so-called **Lipschitz differentiability spaces**. Such a first order Taylor expansion implies a weak form of differentiability of Lipschitz functions and was first proven to hold by Cheeger [21] on spaces satisfying a doubling and Poincaré inequality. A weaker condition, called the **Lip-lip-condition** was later discovered by Keith [40].

**Definition 5.1** (Lip-lip-condition). A m.m. space \((M, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{m})\) is said to satisfy the Lip-lip-condition if there is a positive constant \(C > 0\) such that, for all Lipschitz functions \(f: M \to \mathbb{R}\),

\[
\text{Lip} f(x) \leq C \text{lip} f(x) \quad \text{for m.a.e. } x \in M.
\]

A space satisfying the Lip-lip-condition is called a **differentiability space**.

Note that it was shown in [67, 25] that if the Lip-lip-condition holds for some \(C > 0\) then it holds for \(C = 1\).

Recall that, if \(y \in B_r(x)\), then the definition of the quotient metric \(\mathbf{d}^*\) implies that \(y^* \in B_r(x^*)\). In particular, if \(\hat{f}\) is \(\mathbf{G}\)-invariant then

\[
(5.3) \quad \sup_{y \in B_r(x)} \frac{\hat{f}(y) - \hat{f}(x)}{r} = \sup_{y^* \in B_r(x^*)} \frac{|f(y^*) - f(x^*)|}{r}.
\]

Furthermore, if \(\hat{f}\) is \(\mathbf{G}\)-invariant then also \(\text{Lip} \hat{f}\) and \(\text{lip} \hat{f}\) are \(\mathbf{G}\)-invariant. Thus we can show that the lift of functions preserves the asymptotic Lipschitz constants.
Proposition 5.2. For all functions \( f : M^* \to \mathbb{R} \) and all \( x \in M \) it holds

\[
\text{Lip} \hat{f}(x) = \text{Lip} f(x^*) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{lip} \hat{f}(x) = \text{lip} f(x^*).
\]

In particular, if \((M,d,m)\) satisfies the Lip-lip-condition, then so does \((M^*,d^*,m^*)\).

Proof. Let \( \hat{f} : M \to \mathbb{R} \) be the \( G \)-invariant lift defined in (5.1). The identities (5.4) are a direct consequence of identity (5.3) and the definitions (5.2). Now, if \((M,d,m)\) satisfies the Lip-lip-condition, then we can find a set of full \( m \)-measure \( A \subset M \) such that

\[
\text{Lip} \hat{f}(x) \leq C \text{lip} \hat{f}(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in A.
\]

Since both \( \hat{f} \) and \( m \) are \( G \)-invariant, without loss of generality we can assume that also \( A \) is \( G \)-invariant. Therefore \( A^* := p(A) \subset M^* \) is a a set of full \( m^* \)-measure and, combining (5.4) with (5.1), we get that

\[
\text{Lip} f(x^*) \leq C \text{lip} f(x^*) \quad \text{for all } x \in A^*, \quad m^*(M^* \setminus A^*) = 0,
\]
as desired. \( \Box \)

Sobolev functions. There are several ways to define Sobolev functions which, under mild regularity assumptions on the m.m. space, turn out to be equivalent (see for instance [21, 3, 4, 33, 42]). We present the following approach based on weak convergence.

Given \( q \in (1,\infty) \) and a function \( f \in L^q(m) \), the \( q \)-Cheeger energy of \( f \) is defined by

\[
\text{Cheq}(f) = \inf \left\{ \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{q} \int (\text{Lip } f_n)^q \, dm \, | \, f_n \in \text{Lip}(M,d), \, f_n \rightharpoonup f \text{ weak convergence in } L^q(m) \right\}.
\]

In the remainder, and only when working with more than one m.m. space, to stress the dependence on the space under consideration we write \( \text{Cheq}^N \) for the \( q \)-Cheeger energy of functions on \((N,d,n)\).

We denote by \( D(\text{Cheq}) \) the set of all functions \( f \in L^q(m) \) with \( \text{Cheq}(f) < \infty \). It easily follows from the definition that \( \text{Cheq} \) is convex and lower semicontinuous with respect to weak convergence in \( L^q(m) \). In particular, it induces a complete norm defined by

\[
\|f\|_{W^{1,q}} = (\|f\|_{L^q}^q + \text{Cheq}(f))^\frac{1}{q}
\]
on the space

\[
W^{1,q}(M,d,m) = W^{1,q}(m) = L^q(m) \cap D(\text{Cheq}).
\]

We call the Banach space \((W^{1,q}(m),\|\cdot\|_{W^{1,q}})\) the \( q \)-th Sobolev space of the metric measure space \((M,d,m)\).

The definition of the \( q \)-Cheeger energy implies that for all \( f \in D(\text{Cheq}) \) there is a sequence of Lipschitz functions \( \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) converging weakly in \( L^q(m) \) to \( f \) such that

\[
\text{Cheq}(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{q} \int (\text{Lip } f_n)^q \, dm.
\]

Using Mazur’s Lemma and the uniform convexity of the \( L^q \)-norm, one can extract a subsequence and a convex combination, still denoted with \( f_n \), such that the sequence \( \{\text{Lip } f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) converges strongly in \( L^q(m) \) to some \( L^q(m) \)-function which we denote by \( |\nabla f|_q \). It is possible to show that \( |\nabla f|_q \) does not depend on the chosen sequence. The function \( |\nabla f|_q \) is called the \( q \)-minimal relaxed slope of \( f \). Note that while it is always true that \( |\nabla f|_q \leq \text{Lip } f \), it is possible that

\[
|\nabla f|_q \neq \text{Lip } f
\]

for some Lipschitz functions \( f \). However, if \((M,d,m)\) satisfies a doubling and a Poincaré condition then from the work of Cheeger [21] we know that, for locally Lipschitz functions, the \( q \)-minimal relaxed slope agrees m.a.e. with the local Lipschitz constant of \( f \).

Let us also recall that, for a general m.m. space, the Sobolev space \( W^{1,2}(m) \) is a Banach space; in case \( W^{1,2}(m) \) is a Hilbert space, the m.m. space \((M,d,m)\) is said to be infinitesimally Hilbertian (see [3, 4, 33]). The infinitesimally Hilbertian condition is equivalent to the validity of the parallelogram rule for the \( L^2 \) Cheeger energy, i.e.

\[
\text{Che}_2(f + g) + \text{Che}_2(f - g) = 2 \left( \text{Che}_2(f) + \text{Che}_2(g) \right), \quad \forall f, g \in W^{1,2}(m).
\]

To end this introductory section we present the next lemma, which easily follows from the definitions.
Lemma 5.3. Let \( q \in [1, \infty) \). The natural lift (5.1) of functions defined on \( M^* \) induces an isometric embedding of \( L^q(m^*) \) into \( L^q(m) \), whose image coincides with the convex closed subset of \( G \)-invariant functions in \( L^q(m) \).

The rest of the section is devoted to showing that the Sobolev space \( W^{1,q}(m^*) \) on the quotient \((M^*, d^*, m^*)\) is isomorphic to the closed subspace of \( G \)-invariant \( W^{1,q}(m) \) Sobolev functions on \( M \). We have divided the analysis into two cases aiming for a more accessible presentation. Readers interested in geometric applications in finite dimensions might find sufficient the results of the first subsection. These cover the case of doubling and Poincaré spaces, as shown in [21], and in particular this includes \( CD^*(K, N) \)-spaces, \( N \in [1, \infty) \) (see [9, 65]). The second subsection focuses on a more general class of m.m. spaces which includes infinite dimensional spaces.

5.2. Initial case: \( \text{Lip } f = |\nabla f|_q \text{ m.a.e.} \) In this subsection we consider the simpler case when upper asymptotic Lipschitz constants \( \text{Lip } f \) and minimal relaxed slopes \( |\nabla f|_q \) coincide m-almost everywhere for every locally Lipschitz function in \( W^{1,q}(m) \). Specifically, for \( q \in (1, \infty) \), we make the assumption that on \((M, d, m)\)

\[
(5.7) \quad \text{Lip}^M f(x) = |\nabla f|_q(x) \quad \text{m.a.e. } x \in M, \text{ for every locally Lipschitz } f \in W^{1,q}(m).
\]

We first prove the following result.

Proposition 5.4. Let \((M, d, m)\) be a m.m. space satisfying (5.7) for some \( q \in (1, \infty) \). Then on \((M^*, d^*, m^*)\) the upper asymptotic Lipschitz constant and the \( q \)-minimal relaxed slope agree m*-a.e. for every local Lipschitz function in \( W^{1,q}(m^*) \).

Proof. Note that the Cheeger energies \( \text{Ch}^M_q \) and \( \text{Ch}^{M^*}_q \) are the lower semicontinuous relaxation in the weak \( L^q(m) \) topology, and in the weak \( L^q(m^*) \) topology respectively, of the functionals:

\[
L^M_q : L^q(m) \cap \text{LIP}(M, d) \ni f \mapsto \frac{1}{q} \int (\text{Lip}^M f) \, dq \, dm \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
L^{M^*}_q : L^q(m^*) \cap \text{LIP}(M^*, d^*) \ni f \mapsto \frac{1}{q} \int (\text{Lip}^{M^*} f) \, dq \, dm^*.
\]

Since for every \( f \in \text{LIP}(M^*, d^*) \) it holds that \( |\nabla f|_q \leq \text{Lip } f \) m*-a.e., in order to get the thesis it is enough to show that

\[
(5.8) \quad L^{M^*}_q (f) = \text{Ch}^{M^*}_q (f), \quad \text{for all } f \in L^q(m^*) \cap \text{LIP}(M^*, d^*) \text{ satisfying } L^{M^*}_q (f) < \infty.
\]

To this aim, fix an arbitrary \( f \) as in (5.8) and let \( \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^q(m^*) \cap \text{LIP}(M^*, d^*) \) be such that

\[
(5.9) \quad \text{Ch}^M_q (f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} L^{M^*}_q (f_n), \quad f_n \rightharpoonup f \text{ weakly in } L^q(m^*).
\]

The fact that the upper asymptotic Lipschitz constant is preserved by the lift, Proposition 5.2, and the assumption that this constant coincides with the \( q \)-minimal relaxed slope on \( M \) assure that \( L^{M^*}_q (f_n) = L^M_q (\hat{f}_n) = \text{Ch}^M_q (\hat{f}_n) \) and \( L^{M^*}_q (f) = L^M_q (\hat{f}) = \text{Ch}^M_q (\hat{f}) \). By Lemma 5.3 we know that lifting is an isometry, so we obtain that \( \hat{f}_n \rightharpoonup \hat{f} \) weakly in \( L^q(m) \) and therefore, by the lower semicontinuity of \( \text{Ch}^M_q \), we infer that

\[
(5.10) \quad L^M_q (f) = L^M_q (\hat{f}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} L^M_q (\hat{f}_n) = \liminf_{n \to \infty} L^M_q (f_n) = \liminf_{n \to \infty} L^{M^*}_q (f_n).
\]

The combination of (5.9) and (5.10) gives the desired inequality (5.8).

Corollary 5.5. Let \((M, d, m)\) be a m.m. space satisfying (5.7) for some \( q \in (1, \infty) \). Then the space \( W^{1,q}(m^*) \cap \text{LIP}(M^*, d^*) \) and the subspace of \( G \)-invariant functions in \( W^{1,q}(m) \cap \text{LIP}(M, d) \) are isometric. If, in addition, Lipschitz functions are dense in both \( W^{1,q}(m) \) and \( W^{1,q}(m^*) \) then for all \( f \in D(\text{Ch}^{M^*}_q) \) it holds

\[
\text{Ch}^M_q (\hat{f}) = \text{Ch}^{M^*}_q (f).
\]

In particular, the natural lift of functions defined on \( M^* \) induces an isometric embedding of \( W^{1,q}(m^*) \) into \( W^{1,q}(m) \) whose image is the set of \( G \)-invariant functions in \( W^{1,q}(m) \).
Proof. Let \( f \in W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \text{LIP}(\mathbb{R}^n, d^+) \). The assumptions and the fact that the upper asymptotic Lipschitz constant is preserved by lifts imply that

\[
\text{Ch}^M_q(f) = L^M_q(f) = L^M_q(\tilde{f}).
\]

Since by Lemma 5.3, we have \( \|f\|_{L^n(m^*)} = \|\tilde{f}\|_{L^n(m)} \), we get

\[
\|f\|_{H^{1,n}(m^*)}^q = \|f\|_{L^n(m^*)}^q + \text{Ch}^M_q(f) = \|\tilde{f}\|_{L^n(m)}^q + \text{Ch}^M_q(\tilde{f}) = \|\tilde{f}\|_{H^{1,n}(m)}^q.
\]

Finally, note that any G-invariant function in \( W^{1,q}(m) \cap \text{LIP}(M, d) \) is a lift of a function in \( W^{1,q}(m^*) \cap \text{LIP}(M^*, d^*) \). This concludes the proof of the first part of the corollary. The second part follows by a standard density argument. \( \square \)

Corollary 5.5 directly implies the next result.

**Corollary 5.6.** Let \((M, d, m)\) be a m.m. space satisfying (5.7) for \( q = 2 \), and that Lipschitz functions are dense in both \( W^{1,2}(m) \) and \( W^{1,2}(m^*) \). Assume further that \((M, d, m)\) is infinitesimally Hilbertian, then so is \((M^*, d^*, m^*)\).

**Remark 5.7.** The assumptions of Corollaries 5.5 and 5.6 are fulfilled in case \((M, d, m)\) is a strong \( \text{CD}(K, N) \)-space, for some \( K \in \mathbb{R}, N \in [1, \infty) \). Indeed, by Theorem 3.7, also \((M^*, d^*, m^*)\) is a strong \( \text{CD}(K, N) \)-space. Moreover, by [9, 65], we know that every \( \text{CD}(K, N) \)-space is a locally doubling and Poincaré space; therefore it follows from [21] that the upper asymptotic Lipschitz constant and the \( q \)-minimal relaxed slope agree m.a.e. for every locally Lipschitz function in \( W^{1,q}(m) \) and that Lipschitz functions are dense in \( W^{1,q}(m^*) \), for all \( q \in (1, \infty) \). Actually for the density of bounded Lipschitz functions with bounded support in \( W^{1,q}(m), q \in (1, \infty) \), it is enough to assume that \((X, d, m)\) is doubling (see [1]).

5.3. **The general case.** In this subsection we treat the case of a general metric measure space, without assuming locally doubling and Poincaré. The arguments of the present section will be slightly more technically involved but have the advantage of being self-contained, in particular they do not rely on the deep work of Cheeger [21]. As in the previous sections, \( \mathbf{G} \) is a compact Lie group acting on \((M, d, m)\) in a continuous way via isomorphisms of m.m. spaces.

To achieve the identification of the Sobolev spaces in the general case we will need several auxiliary lemmas.

**Lemma 5.8.** Let \((M, d)\) be a geodesic metric space. Given \( f : M \to \mathbb{R} \) any function, for \( r > 0 \) define

\[
f_r(x) = \sup_{y \in B_r(x)} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{r}.
\]

Then \( f_r : M \to \mathbb{R} \) is continuous for every Lipschitz function \( f \in \text{LIP}(M, d) \).

**Proof.** Fix \( f \in \text{LIP}(M, d) \) and \( x \in M \). Let \( x_n \to x \) and \( y_n \in B_r(x_n) \) be such that

\[
f_r(x_n) = \frac{|f(y_n) - f(x_n)|}{r} + \varepsilon_n
\]

for some sequence \( \varepsilon_n \to 0 \). Let \( \gamma_n : [0, 1] \to M \) be a geodesic connecting \( x \) and \( y_n \). By continuity, since \( d(x, x_n) \to 0 \), there is a sequence \( t_n \uparrow 1 \) such that

\[
z_n = \gamma_n(t_n) \in B_r(x).
\]

Thus, calling \( L \) the Lipschitz constant of \( f \), we infer

\[
|f(y_n) - f(x_n)| - |f(z_n) - f(x)| \leq |f(y_n) - f(z_n)| + |f(x) - f(x_n)| \leq L \left( d(y_n, z_n) + d(x, x_n) \right)
\]

\[
\leq L \left( (1 - t_n) d(x, y_n) + d(x, x_n) \right).
\]

Letting \( n \to \infty \) we see that that the right hand side of (5.13) converges to 0. Thus the combination of (5.11), (5.12) and (5.13) yields

\[
f_r(x) \geq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{|f(z_n) - f(x_n)|}{r} = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{|f(y_n) - f(x_n)|}{r} = \limsup_{n \to \infty} f_r(x_n).
\]
To show the complementary inequality, observe that \( y \in B_r(x) \) implies that \( y \in B_r(x_n) \) for sufficiently large \( n \). This yields
\[
 f_r(x) \leq \liminf_{x_n \to x} f_r(x_n),
\]
proving that \( f_r \) is continuous. \( \square \)

The group \( G \) acts naturally on the set of functions defined on \( M \) as follows. Given a function \( f : M \to \mathbb{R} \) and an element \( g \in G \), define \( f_g : M \to \mathbb{R} \) as \( f_g(x) = f(gx) \). Therefore, given a measurable function \( f : M \to \mathbb{R} \), we can define a natural measurable \( G \)-invariant function \( f_G \) by integrating over \((G, \nu_G)\):
\[
 f_G(x) = \int_G f_g(x) \, d\nu_G(g).
\]
We call \( f_G \) the \( G \)-average of \( f \).

Our next goal is to control the asymptotic Lipschitz constant of \( f_G \) in terms of the one of \( f \). To that aim we will make use of the next lemma.

**Lemma 5.9.** Let \((M, d)\) be a geodesic metric space. Then, for any Lipschitz function \( f : M \to \mathbb{R} \),
\[
 (f_G)_r(x) = \sup_{y \in B_r(x)} \frac{|f_G(y) - f_G(x)|}{r} \leq \int_G f_r(gx) \, d\nu_G(g) = (f_r)_G(x).
\]

**Proof.** Let \( \{g_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset G \) be a dense sequence, so that
\[
 \nu_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{g_i} \to \nu_G,
\]
with respect to weak convergence of probability measures in duality with \( C^0(G) \).

Define \( f_n : M \to \mathbb{R} \) by \( f_n(x) = \int_G f(gx) \, d\nu_n(g) \) and note that \( f_n \to f_G \) pointwise in \( M \). In particular,
\[
 \sup_{y \in B_r(x)} \frac{|f_n(y) - f_n(x)|}{r} \to \sup_{y \in B_r(x)} \frac{|f_G(y) - f_G(x)|}{r}.
\]
Furthermore, we have
\[
 (f_G)_r(x) = \sup_{y \in B_r(x)} \frac{|f_G(y) - f_G(x)|}{r} \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sup_{y \in B_r(g_i x)} \frac{|f(y) - f(g_i x)|}{r} = \int_G f_r(gx) \, d\nu_n(g).
\]
Note that the map \( G \to f_r(gx) \) is continuous, since it is the composition of the maps \( *_r : G \to M \) and \( f_r : M \to \mathbb{R} \) which, by assumption and Lemma 5.8, are continuous. The weak convergence (5.15) of \( \nu_n \to \nu_G \) then ensures that the inequality (5.16) is preserved in the limit, i.e.
\[
 \sup_{y \in B_r(x)} \frac{|f_G(y) - f_G(x)|}{r} \leq \int_G f_r(gx) \, d\nu_G(g).
\]
\( \square \)

Using Lemma 5.9 we can prove the next important proposition which estimates, for a Lipschitz function \( f \), the upper asymptotic Lipschitz constant of \( f_G \) in terms of \( G \)-rearrangement of the one of \( f \).

**Proposition 5.10.** Let \((M, d)\) be a geodesic metric space. Then for every Lipschitz function \( f : M \to \mathbb{R} \) and all \( q \in [1, \infty) \),
\[
 \left[ \text{Lip} f_G(x) \right]^q \leq \int_G [\text{Lip} f(gx)]^q \, d\nu_G(g) = ([\text{Lip} f(x)]^q)_G.
\]
In particular, \( f_G : M \to \mathbb{R} \) is Lipschitz continuous.

**Proof.** Let \( L \geq 0 \) be the Lipschitz constant of \( f \) and note that \( f_r(x) \leq L \) for all \( x \in M \). We can then use Fatou’s Lemma to infer that
\[
 \limsup_{r \downarrow 0} \int_G f_r(gx) \, d\nu_G(g) \leq \int_G \limsup_{r \downarrow 0} f_r(gx) \, d\nu_G(g) = \int_G \text{Lip} f(gx) \, d\nu_G(g).
\]
Combining the last inequality with Lemma 5.9 and with Jensen’s inequality, we get

\[
\left[ \text{Lip} f_\Gamma(x) \right]^q = \left[ \limsup_{r \downarrow 0} \sup_{y \in B_r(x)} \frac{|f_\Gamma(y) - f_\Gamma(x)|}{r} \right]^q \leq \left[ \limsup_{r \downarrow 0} \int_G f_r(gx) \, d\nu_G(g) \right]^q \\
\leq \left[ \int_G \text{Lip} f(gx) \, d\nu_G(g) \right]^q \leq \int_G [\text{Lip} f(gx)]^q \, d\nu_G(g).
\]

\[ \square \]

**Proposition 5.11.** Fix \( q \in (1, \infty) \). Let \((M, d, m)\) be a m.m. space with \((M, d)\) geodesic. Then, for every \( G \)-invariant \( f \in W^{1,q}(m) \), there is a sequence of \( G \)-invariant Lipschitz functions \( \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^q(m) \) such that

\[ f_n \to f \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Lip} f_n \to |\nabla f|_q \text{ strongly in } L^q(m). \]

**Proof.** We already know that given \( f \in W^{1,q}(m) \) there exists a sequence \( \{h^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^q(M, d) \) such that \( h^n \to f \) weakly in \( L^q(m) \) and \( \text{Lip} h^n \to |\nabla f|_q \) strongly in \( L^q(m) \). Note that, by definition, \( h^n \) is \( G \)-invariant and moreover \( h^n \in \text{LIP}(M, d) \) by Proposition 5.10. First of all we claim that \( h^n \to f \) weakly in \( L^q(m) \), indeed since \( f \) and \( m \) are \( G \)-invariant, using Fubini-Tonelli’s Theorem we have

\[
\int_M (f(x) - h^n_G(x)) \varphi(x) \, dm(x) = \int_M \int_G (f(gx) - h^n(gx)) \varphi(x) \, d\nu_G(g) \, dm(x) = \int_M \int_G (f(gx) - h^n(gx)) \varphi(x) \, d\nu_G(g) = \int_M (f(x) - h^n(x)) \varphi(x) \, dm(x) \Rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty, \forall \varphi \in L^p(m).
\]

(5.17)

Where, of course \( 1/p + 1/q = 1 \). Next we show that \( \text{Lip} h^n_G \to |\nabla f|_q \) strongly in \( L^q(m) \), up to subsequences and convex combinations. To this aim, using again the \( G \)-invariance of \( m \), observe that

\[
\int_M (\text{Lip} h^n)_q \, dm = \int_M (\text{Lip} h^n_G)_q \, dm, \quad \forall g \in G,
\]

(5.18)

where, as usual, we let \( h^n_G(x) = h^n(gx) \). Using (5.18) together with Proposition 5.10 and Fubini-Tonelli’s Theorem we infer

\[
\int_M (\text{Lip} h^n_G)_q \, dm \leq \int_M \int_G (\text{Lip} h^n_G)_q \, d\nu_G(g) \, dm = \int_G \int_M (\text{Lip} h^n_G)_q \, dm \, d\nu_G = \int_M (\text{Lip} h^n)_q \, dm.
\]

Recalling that, by construction, \( \text{Lip} h^n \to |\nabla f|_q \) in \( L^q(m) \), we infer that

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_M (\text{Lip} h^n_G)_q \, dm \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_M (\text{Lip} h^n)_q \, dm = \int_M |\nabla f|_q^q \, dm.
\]

(5.19)

After extracting a subsequence we see that \( \text{Lip} h^n_G \) converge weakly in \( L^q(m) \) to some \( g \in L^q(m) \); therefore up to considering subsequences and convex combinations, by the Mazur Lemma, we can assume that \( h^n \to f \) strongly in \( L^q(m) \) and \( \text{Lip} h^n_G \) converge strongly in \( L^q(m) \) to some \( g \in L^q(m) \). Clearly a convex combination \( G \)-invariant functions is still \( G \)-invariant. The uniqueness and minimality property of \( |\nabla f|_q \) then ensures that \( g = |\nabla f|_q \), as desired. \( \square \)

Using the last two propositions we can show the next result.

**Theorem 5.12.** Let \((M, d, m)\) be a m.m. space such that \((M, d)\) is a geodesic space. Then, for all \( f \in D(\text{Ch}_q^M) \), one has \( \hat{f} \in D(\text{Ch}_q^M) \) and

\[
\text{Ch}_q^M(\hat{f}) = \text{Ch}_q^M(f).
\]

In particular, the natural lift of functions defined on \( M^* \) induces an isometric embedding of \( W^{1,q}(m^*) \) into \( W^{1,q}(m) \) whose image is the set of \( G \)-invariant functions in \( W^{1,q}(m) \).
Proof. Fix \( f \in D(Ch^n_q(M^*)) \). From the definition of the Cheeger energy, using the Mazur Lemma, we can find a sequence \( \{ f^n \} \subset \text{LIP}(M^*, d^*) \) such that \( f^n \rightarrow f \) and \( \text{LIP}^q \, f^n \rightarrow \| \nabla M^* f \|_q \) strongly in \( L^q(m^*) \). Denote the corresponding lifts by \( \hat{f^n} \) and \( \hat{f^n} \) respectively. Using Lemma 5.3, we have that
\[
\hat{f^n} \rightarrow \hat{f} \quad \text{strongly in} \quad L^q(m).
\]
Moreover, by Proposition 5.2 we know that \( \text{LIP}^q \, \hat{f^n}(x) = \text{LIP}^q \, f^n(x^*) \), in particular \( \hat{f^n} \in \text{LIP}(M, d) \). Thus
\[
\int_M (\text{LIP}^q \, \hat{f^n})^q \, d\mu = \int_{M^*} (\text{LIP}^q \, f^n)^q \, d\mu^* ,
\]
and
\[
\text{Ch}^q_M(\hat{f}) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{q} \int_M (\text{LIP}^q \, \hat{f^n})^q \, d\mu = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{q} \int_M (\text{LIP}^q \, f^n)^q \, d\mu^* = \text{Ch}^q_M(f).
\]
In particular, \( \hat{f} \in D(\text{Ch}_q^n(M^*)) \).

To obtain the equality of the Cheeger energies, observe that Proposition 5.11 gives a \( G \)-invariant Lipschitz approximation \( g_n \) of \( \hat{f} \) such that
\[
g_n \rightarrow \hat{f} \quad \text{strongly in} \quad L^q(m) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ch}_q(g) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{q} \int_M (\text{LIP}^q(g_n))^q \, d\mu.
\]
By \( G \)-invariance of \( g_n \) we can define \( g_n^*(x^*) := g_n(x) \). From Lemma 5.3 and (5.22) we get that \( g_n^* \rightarrow f \) strongly in \( L^q(m^*) \). Therefore, since by Proposition 5.2 we know that \( \text{LIP}^q \, g_n^*(x^*) = \text{LIP}^q \, g_n(x) \), we get
\[
\text{Ch}^q_M(f) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{q} \int_{M^*} (\text{LIP}^q \, g_n)^q \, d\mu^* = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{q} \int_M (\text{LIP}^q \, g_n)^q \, d\mu = \text{Ch}^q_M(\hat{f})
\]
which, combined with (5.21), proves that \( \text{Ch}^q_M(f) = \text{Ch}^q_M(\hat{f}) \). As above this implies the claim. \( \square \)

Corollary 5.13. Let \( (M, d, m) \) be a m.m. space with \( (M, d) \) geodesic. If \( (M, d, m) \) is infinitesimally Hilbertian so is \( (M^*, d^*, m^*) \). Furthermore, if
\[
|\nabla M f|_q = \text{LIP}^q(f), \quad m\text{-a.e.,} \quad \forall f \in \text{LIP}(M, d),
\]
then also
\[
|\nabla M^* h|_q = \text{LIP}^q(h), \quad m^*\text{-a.e.,} \quad \forall h \in \text{LIP}(M, d).
\]

Remark 5.14. The converse of this statement is false. Indeed, this can be verified by taking a product of any infinitesimally Hilbertian space with a torus equipped with a flat Finsler structure or, more generally, with a compact higher rank symmetric space equipped with a non-Riemannian Berwald structure.

Remark 5.15. The assumptions of this section can be weakened in two directions without affecting the validity of the results. On the one hand, we can consider more general m.m. spaces, specifically, spaces in which the topological closure of any open ball \( B_r(x) := \{ y \in M : d(y, x) < r \} \) coincides with the closed metric ball \( \bar{B}_r(x) := \{ y \in M : d(y, x) \leq r \} \). Moreover, there is some flexibility on the behavior of the push-forward measure under the induced action. It is sufficient to assume that the compact Lie group \( G \) acts on \( (M, d, m) \) by almost measure-preserving isometries, i.e. \( d(gx, gy) = d(x, y) \) for all \( x, y \in M, g \in G \) and there exists \( C > 0 \) such that for all \( g \in G \)
\[
C^{-1} \cdot m \leq (\tau_g)_* m \leq C \cdot m.
\]
Note however that, without any assumption on the interaction between the group and the measure, Theorem 5.12 might fail to be true. The reason is that, in general, without such an assumption we can not hope for any kind of control of minimal relaxed slopes on the quotient since the slopes depend on both: metric and measure.

For example look at the torus \( T^2 \) as \( [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \) with corresponding identifications and consider the isometric action of \( S^1 \) on the second factor. On \( (\epsilon, 1] \times [0, 1] \) choose a measure \( \bar{m} \) with trivial \( q\)-th Sobolev space as constructed in [27]. Note that \( \bar{m} \) is absolutely continuous and has density bounded by some \( C > 0 \). Denote the second marginal of \( \bar{m} \) by \( m^* \). Let now \( \bar{m} \) be the Lebesgue measure on \( [0, \epsilon] \times [0, 1] \) and \( m \) be the sum of \( \bar{m} \) and \( \bar{m} \). Then the \( q\)-minimal relaxed slope of any Sobolev function is zero on
\( (\epsilon, 1] \times [0, 1] \) and any 1-Lipschitz function has Cheeger energy at most \( \epsilon/q \). However, the \( q \)-th Sobolev space on the quotient is non-trivial. Indeed, the measure \( m^* \) on \( M^* = S^1 \) satisfies
\[
\epsilon \cdot \text{vol}_{S^1} \leq m^* \leq (C + \epsilon) \cdot \text{vol}_{S^1}.
\]

Let \( f \in \text{LIP}(M^*, d^*) \) with \( |\nabla^* f|_q = \text{Lip}^* M^* f \neq 0 \) \( m^* \)-a.e.. By the construction of \( \tilde{m} \), it holds \( |\nabla^* f|_q(x) = 0 \) whenever \( x \in (\epsilon, 1] \times [0, 1] \). In particular, Theorem 5.12 fails in this case.

6. Structure theory for quotients of \( \text{RCD}^*(K, N) \)-spaces

We start by recalling the definition of the \( \text{RCD}(K, \infty) \)-condition and its finite dimensional refinement the \( \text{RCD}^*(K, N) \)-condition. We refer to [4, 6, 33, 28, 8] for a more detailed exposition and for a historical recount of the developments of the condition.

**Definition 6.1** (\( \text{RCD}(K, \infty) \) and \( \text{RCD}^*(K, N) \)-spaces). Let \((M, d, m)\) be a metric measure space. We say that \((M, d, m)\) is an \( \text{RCD}(K, \infty) \)-space if it is infinitesimally Hilbertian, i.e. (5.6) holds, and satisfies the \( \text{CD}(K, \infty) \)-condition. We say that \((M, d, m)\) is an \( \text{RCD}^*(K, N) \)-space if it is infinitesimally Hilbertian and satisfies the \( \text{CD}^* (K, N) \)-condition.

We recall a useful property of \( \text{RCD}(K, \infty) \)-spaces proved in [36, Theorem 1.2]. On an \( \text{RCD}(K, \infty) \)-space \((X, d, m)\), there is a unique \( W_2 \)-geodesic joining any two measures \( \mu_0, \mu_1 \in P^\infty_2(X, d, m) \). Thus, since every \( \text{RCD}^*(K, N) \) is also \( \text{RCD}(K, \infty) \), we know a fortiori that every \( \text{RCD}(K, \infty) \)-space is also a strong \( \text{CD}(K, \infty) \)-space, and similarly, every \( \text{RCD}^*(K, N) \)-space respectively is a strong \( \text{CD}^* (K, N) \)-space. This observation, combined with Theorem 3.7 and Corollary 5.13 (or Corollary 5.6 for \( N \in [1, \infty) \)), gives the next result, which is one the main achievements of the present paper.

**Theorem 6.2.** Let \((M, d, m)\) be a metric measure space such that \((M, d)\) is geodesic. Let \( G \) be a compact Lie Group acting on \( M \) effectively by measure-preserving isometries. If \((M, d, m)\) is an \( \text{RCD}(K, \infty) \)-space (respectively, an \( \text{RCD}^*(K, N) \)-space for \( N \in [1, \infty) \)) for \( K \in \mathbb{R} \), then the corresponding quotient metric measure space \((M^*, d^*, m^*)\) is an \( \text{RCD}(K, \infty) \)-space (respectively, an \( \text{RCD}^*(K, N) \)-space).

In this section we equip \( G \) with a bi-invariant inner metric \( d_G \). Note that the compactness of \( G \) ensures the existence of such a metric. Moreover, the arguments that follow are independent of the choice of such a metric since any other bi-invariant metric on \( G \) is bi-Lipschitz equivalent to \( d_G \) (see for instance [12, Theorem 7]).

In the remainder of the section we will assume that the metric on \( G \) is such that the map \( *_y : G \to G(y), \ g \mapsto gy \), is locally Lipschitz and co-Lipschitz continuous, for some (and hence for all) \( y \in M \) with principal orbit type. Specifically, in view of the bi-invariance of the metric, we assume that for every \( y \in M \) in a principal orbit, there exist constants \( R, C > 0 \) such that, for all \( r \in (0, R) \),
\[
B_{C^2 r}(y) \cap G(y) \subset \{ g \cdot y \mid g \in B_{C^2 r}(1_G) \} \subset B_{Cr}(y) \cap G(y),
\]
where \( B^G_{C^2 r}(1_G) \) denotes the \( d_G \)-ball of radius \( r \) around the identity \( 1_G \) in \( G \).

From now on we consider the finite dimensional case, i.e. \( \text{RCD}^*(K, N) \) for \( N \in [1, \infty) \). We recall that in [56] it was proved that \( \text{RCD}^*(K, N) \)-spaces with \( N < \infty \) have \( m \)-almost everywhere unique Euclidean tangent spaces of possibly varying dimension. Since the infinitesimal regularity is a metric-measure property and the group \( G \) acts on \((M, d, m)\) by measure-preserving isometries, we have that \( x \in M \) has a unique tangent space \( \mathbb{R}^n \) if and only if all the points in \( G(x) \) satisfy the same property. To stress the dependence on the base point we denote the dimension of the tangent space by \( n(x) \) for \( m \)-a.e. \( x \in M \), and by \( n(x^*) \) for \( m^* \)-a.e. \( x^* \in M^* \). We also recall that thanks to Theorem 4.2 we know that \( \text{RCD}^* \)-spaces satisfy the assumptions of the Principal Orbit Theorem 4.7.

We point out that Guijarro and Santos-Rodríguez considered certain fundamental aspects of isometric actions of Lie groups on \( \text{RCD}^*(K, N) \)-spaces in [38]. The proof of the next result should be compared with the proof of [38, Theorem 3], which also used the work of Berestovskii [13]. Here we do not assume the action to be transitive and, as a consequence, we gain information on the dimension of tangent spaces in the orbit space. To do so, the Lipschitz and co-Lipschitz assumptions, as well as Pansu’s Differentiability Theorem for Lipschitz maps between Carnot-Caratheodory spaces [62], play an important role. In turn,
Moreover, if equality is achieved, then

**Theorem 6.3.** Let \((M, d, m)\) be an RCD\(^*(K, N)\) m.m. space for some \(K \in \mathbb{R}, N \in (1, \infty)\) and let \(G\) be a compact connected Lie group acting locally Lipschitz and co-Lipschitz continuously by measure preserving isometries on \((M, d, m)\). Then, for \(m\)-almost every \(x_0 \in M\),

\[
n(x_0) = \dim G(x_0) + n(x_0^*),
\]

where \(G(x_0)\) is a principal orbit.

**Proof.** Up to a subset of \(m\)-measure zero we know that every point \(x_0 \in M\) is of principal orbit type and \(n(x_0^*)\)-regular; clearly the same properties hold for all \(x \in G(x_0)\). Up to a further \(m\)-negligible subset, we may also assume that \(x_0^* = p(x_0)\) is an \(n(x_0^*)\)-regular point in the quotient space \((M^*, d^*, m^*)\).

We first analyze the structural properties of the orbit \(G(x_0)\). Denote by \(d_{G(x_0)}\) the induced inner metric. Since by assumption \(G\) is a compact connected Lie group which acts Lipschitz and co-Lipschitz continuously on \(M\), it follows that the metrics \(d_{G(x_0)}\) and \(d\) restricted to \(G(x_0)\) are bi-Lipschitz equivalent. Notice also that the isotropy group of \(x_0\), denoted by \(G_{x_0}\), is a compact subgroup of \(G\) and that \(G(x_0)\) is homeomorphic to the homogeneous space \(G/G_{x_0}\). From [13, Theorem 3 (i)] we infer that there exists a connected Lie group \(G'\) and a compact subgroup \(H' < G'\) such that \((G(x_0), d_{G(x_0)})\) is isometric to the quotient space \(G'/H'\) endowed with a Carnot-Caratheodory-Finsler metric defined invariantly with respect to the canonical action of \(G'\) on \(G'/H'\) by a completely non-holonomic distribution on \(G'/H'\). In particular it follows that at every \(x \in G(x_0)\), the GH-tangent cone \(T_x G(x_0)\) at \(x\) is unique and isometric to a Carnot group endowed with a Finsler metric.

On the other hand, since by construction for every \(x \in G(x_0)\) the tangent cone in \((M, d)\) is unique and isometric to \(\mathbb{R}^n\), it follows that \(T_0 G(x_0)\) can be isometrically embedded in \(\mathbb{R}^n\). By an observation of Semmes [64] (attributed independently to an unpublished work of Assouad, see also [21, Section 14]), based on Pansu’s Differentiability Theorem for Lipschitz maps between Carnot-Caratheodory spaces [62], it follows that \(T_0 G(x_0)\) is isometric to the Euclidean space \(\mathbb{R}^{\dim G(x_0)} \subset \mathbb{R}^n(x_0)\). Note that in particular this implies that \((G(x_0), d_{G(x_0)})\) is isometric to a homogenous Riemannian manifold.

Therefore, the equivariant Gromov-Hausdorff blow-up based at \(x_0\) converges to

\[
T_{x_0} M / T_{x_0} G(x_0) \cong \mathbb{R}^{n(x_0)} / \mathbb{R}^{\dim G(x_0)} \cong \mathbb{R}^{n(x_0) - \dim G(x_0)}.
\]

Since such equivariant limit is nothing but the tangent space of \(M^*\) at \(x_0^*\), which by assumption is isometric to \(\mathbb{R}^{n(x_0^*)}\), the thesis follows. \(\square\)

The departure point for our next result is the following classical result of Kobayashi [49, Ch. II, Theorem 3.1].

**Theorem 6.4 ([49]).** Let \((M^n, g)\) be a connected \(n\)-dimensional smooth Riemannian manifold. Then the isometry group has dimension at most \(n(n + 1)/2\). If equality holds, then \(M\) is isometric to one of the following space forms:

- An \(n\)-dimensional Euclidean space \(\mathbb{R}^n\)
- An \(n\)-dimensional sphere \(S^n\)
- An \(n\)-dimensional real projective space \(\mathbb{R}P^n\)
- An \(n\)-dimensional simply connected hyperbolic space \(\mathbb{H}^n\).

The following simple corollary to Theorem 6.4 will play a useful role in the rest of the section.

**Corollary 6.5.** Let \((M^n, g)\) be an \(n\)-dimensional Riemannian manifold and assume that a compact Lie group \(G\) acts transitively and isometrically on \(M\). Then, for every \(x \in M\),

\[
\dim(G_x) \leq \frac{n(n - 1)}{2}.
\]

Moreover, if equality is achieved, then \(\dim(G) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}\).
Proof. Since $G$ acts on $M$ by isometries, the isotropy group $G_x$ acts by isometries on the unit tangent sphere $S^{n-1} \subset T_xM$. The bound on $\dim(G_x)$ now follows from Theorem 6.4. Assume now that equality holds. Since $G$ acts transitively on $M$, $n = \dim(G) - \dim(G_x)$ and we conclude that $\dim(G) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$. \hfill \Box

This corollary has been extended to $\text{RCD}^*(K, N)$-spaces by Guijarro and Santos-Rodríguez [38]. They have also generalized Theorem 6.4 as follows.

**Theorem 6.6** ([38, Theorems 2 and 3]). Let $(M, d, m)$ be an $\text{RCD}^*(K, N)$-space for some $K \in \mathbb{R}, N \in (1, \infty)$, and let $\lceil N \rceil$ be the integer part of $N$. Then the isometry group has dimension at most $\lceil N \rceil (\lceil N \rceil + 1)/2$ and if equality is attained then the same rigidity statement of Theorem 6.4 holds.

Let us mention that in case $(M, d, m)$ is a pointed measured Gromov Hausdorff limit of a sequence of Riemannian manifolds with $\text{Ricci} \geq K$ and dimension $\leq N$, then the assumption that the topological dimension of $M$ coincides with the integer part of $N$ implies that such a Ricci limit space is non-collapsed [22]. It is then natural to consider the more general setting which includes possibly collapsed Ricci limits. Using the structure Theorem 6.3, we can strengthen the above rigidity Theorem 6.6, by showing that it suffices to look at the (essential-) least possible dimension of the tangent spaces. To this aim recall that if equality is attained, then the action is transitive and $\text{dim}(M, d, m)$ is isometric to a homogenous Riemannian manifold of dimension at most $n$. In particular, from Corollary 6.5 we infer that

$$\dim(G_x) \leq \frac{\dim(G) \left( \dim(G) - 1 \right)}{2} \leq \frac{n(n-1)}{2}.$$\hfill (6.2)

On the other hand, Theorem 6.3 yields

$$n = \dim(G) = \dim(G) + n(x_0^*) = \dim(G) - \dim(G_x) + n(x_0^*),$$

which, combined with (6.2), gives

$$\dim(G) = n + \dim(G_x) - n(x_0^*) \leq \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - n(x_0^*).$$\hfill (6.3)

It follows that $\dim(G) \leq \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$, and if equality is achieved, then $n(x_0^*) = 0$. In particular, if equality is achieved then $M^* = \{ x_0^* \}$; in other words, the action of $G$ on $M$ is transitive and $(M, d)$ is isometric to $(G(x_0), d_G(x_0))$. Then $(M, d)$ is isometric to a Riemannian manifold and the rigidity statement follows directly from Kobayashi’s Theorem 6.4. Note that the cases of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbb{H}^n$ are excluded by the compactness assumption on $G$. Finally, since $G$ is acting by measure-preserving isometries, it follows that $m$ must be a constant multiple of the standard Riemannian measure on $\mathbb{S}^n$ (respectively $\mathbb{R}^n$).

We conclude this section with the following two theorems which, to the best of our knowledge, are new also for Riemannian manifolds and Alexandrov spaces. Recall that the cohomogeneity of an action of a compact Lie group on a m.m. space is the (Hausdorff) dimension of its orbit space.
Theorem 6.8. Let \((M, d, m)\) be an \(\text{RCD}^*(K, N)\) m.m. space for some \(K \in \mathbb{R}, N \in [2, \infty)\) and let \(n\) be defined in (6.1). Let \(G\) be a compact Lie group acting effectively and locally Lipschitz and co-Lipschitz continuously by measure-preserving isometries on \((M, d, m)\). If the action is not transitive and if
\[
\dim(G) \geq \frac{(n-1)n}{2},
\]
then \(G\) has dimension \(\frac{(n-1)n}{2}\) and acts on \(M\) by cohomogeneity one with principal orbit homeomorphic to \(S^{n-1}\) or \(\mathbb{RP}^{n-1}\).

Moreover, \(M^*\) is isometric to either a circle or a possibly unbounded closed interval (i.e. possibly equal to the real line or half line). In the former case, \((M, d)\) is (equivariantly) homeomorphic to a fiber bundle with fiber the principal orbit and base \(S^1\). In particular in this case \((M, d)\) is a topological manifold.

Proof. Let \(x_0 \in M\) be an \(n\)-regular point of principal orbit type such that \(x_0^* = p(x_0)\) is an \(n(x_0^*)\)-regular point. Since by assumption \(G\) does not act transitively, we can assume \(n(x_0^*) \geq 1\) and hence using Theorem 6.3 we infer
\[
n = \dim(G(x_0)) + n(x_0^*) = \dim(G) - \dim(G_{x_0}) + n(x_0^*) \geq \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - \dim(G_{x_0}) + n(x_0^*),
\]
which gives
\[
(6.4) \quad \dim(G_{x_0}) \geq \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - (n - n(x_0^*)) \geq \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2},
\]
with equality if and only if \(n(x_0^*) = 1\), i.e. if and only if we have a cohomogeneity one action. We claim that equality holds in (6.4). Indeed, by applying Corollary 6.5 to the homogenous space \(G(x_0)\), we have
\[
\dim(G_{x_0}) \leq \frac{\dim(G(x_0))(\dim(G(x_0)) - 1)}{2} = \frac{(n - n(x_0^*)(n - n(x_0^*) - 1)}{2} \leq \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}.
\]
Therefore equality holds in (6.4) and in particular \(n(x_0^*) = 1\). In other words, the quotient space \((M^*, d^*, m^*)\) is an \(\text{RCD}^*(K, N)\)-space with a regular point \(x_0^*\) having unique tangent space isometric to \(\mathbb{R}\). By the work of Kitabeppu-Lakzian [47] it follows that \((M^*, d^*, m^*)\) is isomorphic as m.m. space either to a closed interval, or to a real line, or to a closed real half line, or to a circle endowed with a weighted measure equivalent to \(L^1\).

Observe that \(G\) acts effectively and transitively on any principal orbit. Since \((G(x_0), d_{G(x_0)})\) is isometric to a homogenous Riemannian manifold of dimension \(n - 1\) with an \(\frac{(n-1)n}{2}\)-dimensional compact Lie group of measure-preserving isometries acting on it, by Kobayashi’s Theorem 6.4 we infer that \((G(x_0), d_{G(x_0)})\) must be isometric to either \(S^{n-1}\) or \(\mathbb{RP}^{n-1}\). By Corollary 4.9, if \((M^*, d^*, m^*)\) is homeomorphic to a circle then \((M, d)\) is (equivariantly) homeomorphic to a fiber bundle over a circle with fiber equal to the principal orbit.

\[
\square
\]

Theorem 6.9. Let \((M, d, m)\) be an \(\text{RCD}^*(K, N)\) m.m. space for some \(K \in \mathbb{R}, N \in (1, \infty)\), let \(n\) be defined in (6.1) and assume \(n \geq 2\). Let \(G\) be a compact Lie group acting effectively and locally Lipschitz and co-Lipschitz continuously by measure preserving isometries on \((M, d, m)\) and denote with \((M^*, d^*, m^*)\) the quotient \(\text{RCD}^*(K, N)\)-space. If
\[
(6.5) \quad \dim(G) \geq \frac{(n-2)(n-1)}{2}
\]
then one and only one of the following three possibilities hold:

- \(m^*\)-a.e. point in \(M^*\) is regular with unique tangent space isomorphic to \((\mathbb{R}^2, d_E, L_2)\),
- \(m^*\)-a.e. point in \(M^*\) is regular with unique tangent space isomorphic to \((\mathbb{R}, d_E, L_1)\), and in this case the thesis of Theorem 6.8 holds,
- \(M^*\) is a singleton, or equivalently the action of \(G\) is transitive, and in this case \((M, d, m)\) is isomorphic as m.m. space to either \(S^n\) or \(\mathbb{RP}^n\), up to multiplying the measure \(m\) by a normalizing constant.
Proof. Let \( x_0 \in M \) be an \( n \)-regular point of principal orbit type such that \( x_0^* = p(x_0) \) is an \( n(x_0^*) \)-regular point. Combining Theorem 6.3 and the assumption (6.5) we know that
\[
\dim(G_{x_0}) = \dim(G) + n(x_0^*) - n \geq \frac{(n - 2)(n - 1)}{2} + n(x_0^*) - n = \frac{(n - 2)(n - 3)}{2} + n(x_0^*) - 2.
\]
On the other hand, by applying Corollary 6.5 to the homogenous manifold \( G(x_0) \) we have
\[
\dim(G_{x_0}) \leq \frac{\dim(G(x_0))(\dim(G(x_0)) - 1)}{2} = \frac{(n - n(x_0^*))(n - n(x_0^*))}{2}.
\]
The combination of (6.6) and (6.7) yields the inequality
\[
\frac{(n - 2)(n - 3)}{2} + n(x_0^*) - 2 \leq \frac{(n - n(x_0^*))(n - n(x_0^*))}{2} = \frac{(n - 2)(n - 3)}{2} - \frac{n(x_0^*) - 2}{2}(2n - 2n(x_0^*)) - 1,
\]
which in turn gives
\[
(n(x_0^*) - 2)(2n - 2n(x_0^*) + 1) \leq 0.
\]
Since by construction \( n(x_0^*) \leq n \), the last inequality implies that \( n(x_0^*) \leq 2 \). Therefore we have just the following three possibilities:

- \( n(x_0^*) = 0 \). In this case \( M^* \) is a singleton, or equivalently the action of \( G \) is transitive; by the proof of Theorem 6.7 it follows that \( (M, d, m) \) is isomorphic as m.m. space to either \( S^n \) or \( \mathbb{RP}^n \), up to multiplying the measure \( m \) by a normalizing constant.

- \( n(x_0^*) = 1 \). In this case, by [47] it follows that \( m^* \)-a.e. point in \( M^* \) is regular with unique tangent space isomorphic to \( (\mathbb{R}, d_E, L_1) \). We can then repeat verbatim the proof of Theorem 6.8 and get the result.

- the only remaining case is that where \( n(x_0^*) = 2 \), and this holds if and only if \( m^* \)-a.e. point in \( M^* \) is regular with unique tangent space isomorphic to \( (\mathbb{R}^2, d_E, L_2) \) otherwise we fall into one of the two cases above.

\( \square \)

Remark 6.10. The class of cohomogeneity one m.m. spaces satisfying RCD\(^*\)(\( K, N \)) includes many non-manifold examples. Indeed, by the work of Ketterer [44], cones over RCD\(^*\)(\( N - 1, N \))-spaces admit measure structures satisfying RCD\(^*\)(\( K, N + 1 \)), for any \( K \in \mathbb{R} \). Therefore, for instance, Euclidean and spherical cones over homogeneous \( N \)-dimensional Riemannian manifolds with Ricci curvature bounded below by \( N - 1 \) are examples of RCD\(^*\)(\( K, N + 1 \)) spaces admitting isometric actions of cohomogeneity one. Different examples of this type are presented by products of homogenous Riemannian manifolds with a lower Ricci curvature bound with 1-dimensional RCD-spaces, and more generally, with cohomogeneity one RCD\(^*\)(\( K, N \))-spaces. Regarding this point, recall that 1-dimensional RCD-spaces were characterized in [47].

7. Orbifolds and Orbispace

7.1. Orbifolds. An orbifold \( O \) is, roughly speaking, a topological space that is locally homeomorphic to a quotient of \( \mathbb{R}^n \) by an orthogonal action of some finite group. We recall the definitions from [48].

Definition 7.1. A local model of dimension \( n \) is a pair \((\tilde{U}, G)\), where \( \tilde{U} \) is an open, connected subset of a Euclidean space \( \mathbb{R}^n \), and \( G \) is a finite group acting smoothly and effectively on \( \tilde{U} \).

A smooth map \((\tilde{U}_1, G_1) \to (\tilde{U}_2, G_2)\) between local models \((\tilde{U}_i, G_i), i = 1, 2\), is a homomorphism \( \varphi : G_1 \to G_2 \) together with a \( \varphi \)-equivariant smooth map \( \tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{U}_1 \to \tilde{U}_2 \), i.e. \( \tilde{\varphi}(\gamma \cdot \tilde{u}) = \varphi(\gamma) \cdot \tilde{\varphi}(\tilde{u}) \), for all \( \gamma \in G_1, \tilde{u} \in \tilde{U}_1 \).

Given a local model \((\tilde{U}, G)\), denote by \( U \) the quotient \( \tilde{U}/G \). A smooth map \( \tilde{\varphi} : (\tilde{U}_1, G_1) \to (\tilde{U}_2, G_2) \) induces a map \( \varphi : U_1 \to U_2 \). The map \( \varphi \) is called an embedding if \( \tilde{\varphi} \) is an embedding. In this case, the effectiveness of the actions in the local models implies that \( \varphi \) is injective.

Definition 7.2. An \( n \)-dimensional orbifold local chart \((U_x, \tilde{U}_x, G_x, \pi_x)\) around a point \( x \) in a topological space \( X \) consists of:
If particular, \( \hat{\pi} \) abusing notation, a local chart

\[ \text{Definition 7.3.} \]

Two Hausdorff topological space \( (\hat{U}_x, \hat{G}_x, \hat{\pi}_x) \) also a local chart, not necessarily good, around any other point \( y \in U_x \). By abusing notation, a local chart \((U, \hat{U}, \hat{G}, \hat{\pi})\) will from now on be denoted simply by \( U \).

\[ \text{Definition 7.3.} \] An \( n \)-dimensional orbifold atlas for a topological space \( X \) is a collection of \( n \)-dimensional local charts \( A = \{U_{\alpha}\}_\alpha \) such that the neighborhoods \( U_{\alpha} \in A \) give an open covering of \( X \) and:

For any \( x \in U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \), there is a local chart \( U_\gamma \in A \) with \( x \in U_\gamma \subseteq U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \) and embeddings \( (\hat{U}_\gamma, \hat{G}_\gamma) \to (\hat{U}_\alpha, \hat{G}_\alpha), (\hat{U}_\gamma, \hat{G}_\gamma) \to (\hat{U}_\beta, \hat{G}_\beta) \).

Two \( n \)-dimensional atlases are called equivalent if they are contained in a third atlas.

\[ \text{Definition 7.4.} \]

An \( n \)-dimensional (smooth) orbifold, denoted by \( O^n \) or simply \( O \), is a second-countable, Hausdorff topological space \( |O| \), called the underlying topological space of \( O \), together with an equivalence class of \( n \)-dimensional orbifold atlases.

Given an orbifold \( O \) and any point \( x \in |O| \), one can always find a good local chart \( U_x \) around \( x \). Moreover, the corresponding group \( \hat{G}_x \) does not depend on the choice of good local chart around \( x \), and is referred to as the local group at \( x \). From now on, only good local charts will be considered. In particular, given a good local chart \( U_x \) around \( x \in |O| \), a point \( y \in U_x \) and \( \hat{y} \in \pi^{-1}_x(y) \), one can identify the local group \( \hat{G}_y \) at \( y \) with \( (\hat{G}_x)_{\hat{y}} \), where \( (\hat{G}_x)_{\hat{y}} \) is the isotropy of \( G_x \) at \( \hat{y} \in U_x \). We define the order of a point \( x \in |O| \) as the order of the local group \( \hat{G}_x \), and denote it by \( \text{ord}(x) \).

\[ \text{We define the order of } O \text{ by} \]

\[ \text{ord}(O) := \sup_{x \in O} \text{ord}(x). \]

If a discrete group \( \Gamma \) acts properly discontinuously on a manifold \( M \), then the quotient topological space \( M/\Gamma \) can be naturally endowed with an orbifold structure that will be denoted by \( M/\Gamma \). An orbifold \( O \) is good (or developable) if \( O = M/\Gamma \) for some manifold \( M \) and some discrete group \( \Gamma \). A bad (or non-developable) orbifold is one that is not good.

\[ \text{Definition 7.5} \]

A Riemannian (resp. Finsler) metric on an orbifold \( O \) is given by a collection of Riemannian (resp. Finsler) metrics on the local models \( \hat{U}_\alpha \) so that the following conditions hold:

1. The local group \( \hat{G}_\alpha \) acts isometrically on \( \hat{U}_\alpha \).
2. The embeddings \( (\hat{U}_3, \hat{G}_3) \to (\hat{U}_1, \hat{G}_1) \) and \( (\hat{U}_3, \hat{G}_3) \to (\hat{U}_2, \hat{G}_2) \) in the definition of orbifold atlas are isometric (with respect to the Riemannian metric).

Let us make the following observations:

- Any smooth orbifold admits an orbifold Riemannian (resp. Finsler) metric.
- One can assume \( g \cdot x = x \) for all \( g \in \hat{G}_x \). Each point \( x \in |O| \) with \( \hat{G}_x = \{e\} \) is called a regular point. The subset \( |O|_{\text{reg}} \) of regular points is called regular part; it is a smooth manifold that forms an open dense subset of \( |O| \). A point which is not regular is called singular.
- Using normal charts centered at \( \hat{x} = \pi^{-1}_x(x) \) for the \( \hat{G}_x \) invariant Riemannian structure \( (\hat{U}_x, \hat{g}_x) \), it is possible to assume that \( \hat{G}_x \) is a (possibly trivial) group of linear isometries acting effectively on \( (\hat{U}_x, \hat{g}_x) \).
- For Riemannian (resp. Finsler) orbifolds, it is possible to choose, shrinking \( U_x \) if necessary, \( \hat{U}_x \) and \( U_x \) to be geodesically convex.
In the rest of the section we assume that the orbifold satisfies the above properties.

Note that the Riemannian (resp. Finsler) structure induces a natural metric \( d \) on \( |O| \) that is locally isometric to the quotient metric of \( (\hat{U}_x, d_x) \) by \( G_x \), where \( d_x \) is induced by the Riemannian (resp. Finsler) structure on \( \hat{U}_x \). We say that \( (O, d) \) is a complete Riemannian (resp. Finsler) orbifold if it is complete as a metric space. From now on, for simplicity of presentation, we will just consider Riemannian orbifolds; the Finsler case can be carried out analogously but is slightly more involved. For any Riemannian orbifold \( O \) there is a natural volume measure \( \operatorname{vol}_O \) given on the local orbifold charts by

\[
\operatorname{vol}_O|_{\hat{U}_x} := \frac{1}{\operatorname{ord}(x)}(\pi_x)_* \operatorname{vol}_{g_x},
\]

where of course \( \operatorname{vol}_{g_x} \) is the Riemannian volume measure on \( \hat{U}_x, g_x \).

A continuous function \( \psi : |O| \to \mathbb{R} \) is said to be smooth if, for every local model \( \hat{U}_x \), the lift \( \hat{\psi}_x := \pi_\ast \psi = \psi \circ \pi_x : \hat{U}_x \to \mathbb{R} \) is smooth. If \( \psi : O \to [0, \infty) \) is a smooth non-negative function, we say that \( m = \psi \operatorname{vol}_O \) is a weighted measure on the Riemannian orbifold \( O \); moreover we will say that \((O, d, m)\) is a weighted Riemannian orbifold.

**Definition 7.6** (Curvature of a Riemannian orbifold). We say that the Riemannian orbifold \( O \) has sectional (resp. Ricci) curvature bounded below by \( K \in \mathbb{R} \) if the Riemannian metric on each local model \( \hat{U}_x \) has sectional (resp. Ricci) curvature bounded below by \( K \). Analogously, given \( N \geq n := \dim(|O|) \), we say that the weighted Riemannian orbifold \((O, d, \psi \operatorname{vol}_O)\) has (Bakry-Émery) \( N \)-Ricci curvature bounded below by \( K \) if every weighted local model \((\hat{U}_x, g_x, \hat{\psi}_x \operatorname{vol}_{g_x})\) has \( N \)-Ricci curvature bounded below by \( K \), i.e. if and only if

\[
\operatorname{Ric}_{g_x, \hat{\psi}_x, N} := \operatorname{Ric}_{g_x} - (N - n) \frac{\nabla^2 \hat{\psi}_x \psi_x^{-1}}{\psi_x^2} \geq Kg_x, \quad \text{on } \hat{U}_x, \quad n < N < \infty
\]

\[
:= \operatorname{Ric}_{g_x} - \nabla^2 (\log \hat{\psi}_x) \geq Kg_x, \quad \text{on } \hat{U}_x, \quad N = \infty.
\]

Observe that if \( O \) has sectional curvature bounded below by \( K \), then the associated metric space \((O, d)\) has curvature bounded below by \( K \) in the Alexandrov sense, since the triangle comparison condition is preserved by taking isometric quotients by isometric actions of finite groups.

As recalled above, the set of regular points \( |O|_\text{reg} \) is open and dense. Letting \( d_\text{reg} = d|_{|O|_\text{reg}} \) and \( m_\text{reg} = m|_{|O|_\text{reg}} \), we have that \((|O|_\text{reg}, d_\text{reg}, m_\text{reg})\) is a smooth open weighted Riemannian manifold; by density, it is clear that \((|O|_\text{reg}, d_\text{reg}, m_\text{reg})\) has \( N \)-Ricci \( \geq K \) in the usual sense of weighted smooth Riemannian manifolds if and only if \((O, d, m)\) has \( N \)-Ricci \( \geq K \) in the sense of Definition 7.6.

**Remark 7.7.** One can show that the volume form agrees with the \( n \)-dimensional Hausdorff measure of \((O, d)\). It is also possible to define the Busemann-Hausdorff measure, the Holmes-Thom森 measure and the weighted Ricci tensor on Finsler orbifolds in a similar way from the corresponding measure and Ricci tensor of the underlying Finsler manifold (see [58]).

The goal of this section is to characterize in a synthetic way the case of (weighted) Ricci curvature lower bounds. To this aim, let us first recall the following well-known equivalences for smooth metric measure spaces (see [70, Theorem 1.7], [10] [9], [28], [8], [19]).

**Theorem 7.8.** Let \((M^n, g, \psi \operatorname{vol}_g)\) be a weighted Riemannian \( n \)-manifold with empty or convex boundary. Then the following equivalences hold:

\[
\text{CD}(K, N) \iff \operatorname{CD}^*(K, N) \iff \operatorname{RCD}^*(K, N) \iff \operatorname{Ric}_{g, \psi, N} \geq Kg.
\]

Before proving the analogous result for orbifolds, let us recall the definition of local curvature-dimension conditions.

**Definition 7.9** (Local \( \operatorname{CD}^*(K, N) \) and \( \operatorname{RCD}^*(K, N) \) conditions). A metric measure space \((U, d, m)\) is said to satisfy the \( \operatorname{CD}^*(K, N) \)-condition locally at \( x \) if there is a neighborhood \( V_x \) of \( x \) such that for any \( \mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}^c_2(U) \) supported in \( V_x \) there is a \( W_2 \)-geodesic \( \{\mu_t\}_{t \in [0,1]} \subset \mathcal{P}^c_2(U) \) such that (2.8) holds. If
(2.8) holds for any $W_2$-geodesic $\{\mu_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ between measures $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2(U)$ with support in $V_x$ then we say that the strong $\text{CD}^*(K,N)$-condition holds locally at $x$. If moreover, for every $f, g \in W^{1,2}(U,d,m)$ supported in $V_x$ the parallelogram identity (5.6) holds, then we say that $(U,d,m)$ satisfies the $\text{RCD}^*(K,N)$-condition locally at $x$.

\textbf{Theorem 7.10.} Let $(\mathcal{O},d,m)$ be a weighted Riemannian orbifold and let $N \in [1,\infty]$. Then the following equivalences hold:

\[ \text{CD}(K,N) \iff \text{CD}^*(K,N) \iff \text{RCD}^*(K,N) \quad (\text{resp. } \text{RCD}(K,\infty) \text{ in case } N = \infty) \]

\[ \iff (\mathcal{O},d,m) \text{ has } N\text{-Ricci curvature bounded below by } K \text{ in the sense of Definition 7.6.} \]

\textit{Proof.} We will give the arguments for $N \in [1,\infty)$, the case $N = \infty$ can be proved verbatim. First of all, the implications $\text{CD}(K,N) \implies \text{CD}^*(K,N)$ and $\text{CD}^*(K,N) \implies \text{RCD}^*(K,N)$ are trivial. We will show that $(\mathcal{O},d,m)$ has $N$-Ricci $\geq K$ if and only if $(\mathcal{O},d,m)$ has $N$-Ricci $\geq K$, and that $(\mathcal{O},d,m)$ has $N$-Ricci $\geq K \implies \text{RCD}^*(K,N)$.

By assumption, every local model $(U_x,d_x,\hat{m}_x)$ is a weighted Riemannian manifold with convex boundary and with $N$-Ricci $\geq K$. Thus, by Theorem 7.8, it is an $\text{RCD}^*(K,N)$-space. Theorem 6.2 implies that the quotient space $U_x = U_x/[\sim]$ is also an $\text{RCD}^*(K,N)$-space. Thus $(\mathcal{O},d,m)$ satisfies $\text{RCD}^*(K,N)$ locally; the thesis then follows by the local-to-global property of $\text{RCD}^*(K,N)$ proved independently in [7, Theorem 7.8] and [28, Theorem 3.25].

$\text{CD}^*(K,N) \implies (\mathcal{O},d,m) \text{ has } N\text{-Ricci} \geq K$.

Since by construction $(U_x,d)$ is geodesically convex, the assumption that $(\mathcal{O},d,m)$ satisfies the $\text{CD}^*(K,N)$-condition implies that $(U_x,d,m,U_x)$ satisfies $\text{CD}^*(K,N)$ as well, for all $x \in [\mathcal{O}]$. Recalling that, for all regular points $x \in [\mathcal{O}]_{\text{reg}}, (U_x,d,m,U_x)$ is isomorphic as m.m.s to $(U_x,d_x,\hat{m}_x)$, we get that $(U_x,d_x,\hat{m}_x)$ satisfies $\text{CD}^*(K,N)$ as well. Since by construction also $U_x$ is geodesically convex, we can apply Theorem 7.8 and infer that $(U_x,d_x,\hat{m}_x)$ has $N$-Ricci $\geq K$. This gives the claim for $x \in [\mathcal{O}]_{\text{reg}}$.

Let now $x \in [\mathcal{O}]$ be arbitrary and assume, for the sake of contradiction, that $\text{Ric}_N < K$ for some $y \in U_x$. Since $[\mathcal{O}]_{\text{reg}}$ is open and dense in $[\mathcal{O}]$, and since the condition $\text{Ric}_N < K$ is open, it follows that there is a regular point $z \in U_x$ such that $\text{Ric}_N < K$ at $z \in \pi_x^{-1}(z)$. This contradicts the first part of the argument, as the condition $\text{Ric}_N \geq K$ at all regular points is independent of the chart.

$(\mathcal{O},d,m) \text{ has } N\text{-Ricci} \geq K \implies \text{CD}(K,N)$.

From the arguments above we know that $(\mathcal{O},d,m)$ is $\text{RCD}^*(K,N)$. The fact that $\text{RCD}^*(K,N)$ implies $\text{CD}(K,N)$ is proved for general metric measure spaces in [19]. For the reader’s convenience, we give here an independent, more self-contained, argument tailored to the orbifold case.

It is well-known (see, e.g. [16, Proposition 15]) that the set of regular points $[\mathcal{O}]_{\text{reg}}$ is open, dense and geodesically convex. Called $d_{\text{reg}} = d|_{[\mathcal{O}]_{\text{reg}}}$ and $m_{\text{reg}} = m|_{[\mathcal{O}]_{\text{reg}}}$, we then have that $(d_{\text{reg}}, m_{\text{reg}})$ is isometric to an open, geodesically convex, weighted Riemannian manifold $(\mathcal{O},d,m)$ having $N$-Ricci $\geq K$. Therefore, by [70, Theorem 1.7], for every $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2^w([\mathcal{O}]_{\text{reg}})$ supported in $[\mathcal{O}]_{\text{reg}}$, there exists a $W_2$-geodesic $\{\mu_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ satisfying the $\text{CD}(K,N)$ convexity condition.

To conclude the proof, let $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2^w([\mathcal{O}])$ be arbitrary. Since $\text{RCD}^*(K,N)$ is fulfilled on the whole $(\mathcal{O},d,m)$, by [36], we know there is a unique dynamical 2-optimal plan $\nu \in \text{GeoOpt}(\mu_0,\mu_1)$ and it is induced by a map $F : [\mathcal{O}] \to \text{Geo}(\mu)$ which is $\mu_0$-a.e. well defined and $\mu_0$-essentially injective.

It follows that we can write $\nu = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \nu^n$, where the $\nu^n$ satisfy the following properties:

- $(\nu_0)_2 \nu^n$ and $(\nu_1)_2 \nu^n$ are absolutely continuous with respect to $\text{vol}_\mathcal{O}$;
- for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, letting $c_n := \nu^n(\text{Geo}(\mathcal{O})) > 0$, we have that $\nu^n := \frac{1}{c_n} \nu^n$ is (the unique) dynamical 2-optimal plan from $\tilde{\mu}_0^n := (\nu_0)_2 \nu^n$ to $\tilde{\mu}_1^n := (\nu_1)_2 \nu^n$;
- for every $t \in [0,1]$, the measure $\hat{\mu}_t^n := (\nu_t)_2 \nu^n$ is supported in $[\mathcal{O}]_{\text{reg}}$. In particular, by the first part of the argument, the geodesic $\{\hat{\mu}_t^n\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ satisfies the $\text{CD}(K,N)$ convexity condition.
Using that the map \( F \) above is \( \mu_\nu \)-essentially injective, summing up all the CD(\( K, N \)) convexity conditions of \( \{ \mu_\nu^n := c_n \mu_\nu \}_{t \in [0,1]} \) over all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) we conclude that \( (e_1)_2^t = \mu_t = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_\nu^n \) satisfies the CD(\( K, N \)) convexity condition as well, giving the thesis. \( \square \)

In the next result we generalize Cheng’s Maximal Diameter Theorem to arbitrary weighted orbifolds with \( N-Ricci \geq N-1 \); let us mention that the generalization to a good Riemannian orbifold with \( N-Ricci \geq n-1 \) (i.e. a quotient of a Riemannian \( n \)-manifold with \( N-Ricci \geq n-1 \)) of Cheng’s Maximal Diameter Theorem was established by Borzellino [16, Theorem 1].

**Theorem 7.11.** Let \((O,d,m)\) be a weighted Riemannian \( n \)-dimensional orbifold with \( N-Ricci \geq N-1 \). Then \( \text{diam}(O) \leq \pi \) and equality is achieved if and only if \((O,d,m)\) is isomorphic as a m.m. space to a spherical suspension over a smooth quotient \( \Sigma \) of \( S^{n-1}/\Gamma \) under a finite group of isometries \( \Gamma \), endowed with a weighted measure \( m_\Sigma \) so that \((S^{n-1}/\Gamma, d_{S^{n-1}/\Gamma}, m_\Sigma)\) has \( N-1 \)-Ricci curvature bounded below by \( N-2 \). In other terms,

\[
(O,d,m) \simeq [0,\pi] \times_{\sin} S^{n-1} \times \Sigma
\]

\( \Sigma \) satisfies the \( \text{CD}(K,N) \)-convexity conditions above by \( \Sigma \) and equality is achieved if and only if \((\Sigma, d_\Sigma, m_\Sigma)\) is isometric to \( S^{n-1}/\Gamma \). Moreover, the orbifold assumption implies that \( \Sigma \) has a singular point \( x \), then all the segment \([0,\pi] \times \{x\}\) would be made of singular points, contradicting the orbifold structure of \( \Sigma \). This proves the first part of the statement.

For the last claim, just observe that if \( m = \text{vol}_O \) (i.e. if \( O \) is an \( n \)-dimensional Riemannian orbifold with \( N-Ricci \geq n-1 \)) with diameter \( \pi \), then it is isomorphic as a m.m. space to a quotient of \( S^{n-1} \) by a finite group of isometries.

**Proof.** Since by Theorem 7.10 we know that \((O,d,m)\) is an \( \text{RCD}^*(N-1, N) \)-space, by the Maximal Diameter Theorem in \( \text{RCD}^*(N-1, N) \)-spaces proved by Ketterer [44], we know that \( \text{diam}(O) \leq \pi \) and if equality is achieved then there exists an \( \text{RCD}^*(N-2, N-1) \)-space \((\Sigma, d_\Sigma, m_\Sigma)\) such that \((O,d,m)\) is isomorphic as a m.m. space to the spherical suspension \([0,\pi] \times_{\sin} S^{n-1} \times \Sigma \).

The orbifold structure implies that at every \( x \in O \), the tangent cone to \((O,d,m)\) is unique and isometric to \( \mathbb{R}^n/G_x \), where \( G_x \) is a finite subgroup of \( O(n) \). Let \( p_0 = \{0\} \times \Sigma \), \( p_\Sigma = \{\pi\} \times \Sigma \in O \) be the two vertices of the above spherical suspension. It is easily seen that the tangent cones at \( p_0 \) and \( p_\Sigma \) are metric-measure cones with cross section \((\Sigma, d_\Sigma, m_\Sigma)\). It follows that \((\Sigma, d_\Sigma)\) is isometric to \( S^{n-1}/G_{p_0} \simeq S^{n-1}/G_{p_\Sigma} \). Moreover, the orbifold assumption implies that \((\Sigma, d_\Sigma, m_\Sigma)\) is a smooth weighted Riemannian manifold. Indeed, if \( \Sigma \) had a singular point \( x \), then all the segment \([0,\pi] \times \{x\}\) would be made of singular points, contradicting the orbifold structure of \( \Sigma \). This proves the first part of the statement.

For the last claim, just observe that if \( m = \text{vol}_O \) (i.e. if \( O \) is an \( n \)-dimensional Riemannian orbifold with \( N-Ricci \geq n-1 \)) with diameter \( \pi \), then all the segment \([0,\pi] \times \{x\}\) would be made of singular points, contradicting the orbifold structure of \( \Sigma \). This proves the first part of the statement.

For the last claim, just observe that if \( m = \text{vol}_O \) (i.e. if \( O \) is an \( n \)-dimensional Riemannian orbifold with \( N-Ricci \geq n-1 \)) with diameter \( \pi \), then all the segment \([0,\pi] \times \{x\}\) would be made of singular points, contradicting the orbifold structure of \( \Sigma \). This proves the first part of the statement.

In what follows, \( M^n_K \) denotes the simply connected \( n \)-dimensional space form of sectional curvature equal to \( K \in \mathbb{R} \). The next result was proved via an independent argument by Borzellino [16, Proposition 20].

**Proposition 7.12** (Bishop inequality). If \((O,d,vol)\) is an \( n \)-dimensional orbifold with \( \text{Ricci} \geq K \), then

\[
\text{vol}_O(B_r(x)) \leq \frac{1}{\text{ord}(x)} \text{vol}_{M^n_K}(B_{rK}^x).
\]

**Proof.** By the definition of the volume form on an orbifold, we have

\[
\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\text{vol}_O(B_r(x))}{r^n} = \frac{1}{\text{ord}(x)}
\]

Furthermore,

\[
\frac{\text{vol}_{M^n_K}(B_{rK}^x)}{r^n} \to 1.
\]
Since the CD\((K,N)\)-condition implies Bishop-Gromov volume comparison (see [70]), we get

\[
\frac{\text{vol}_\mathcal{O}(B_R(x))}{\text{vol}_{\mathcal{M}_K^n}(B^\mathcal{M}_K_R(x))} \leq \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\text{vol}_\mathcal{O}(B_r(x))}{\text{vol}_{\mathcal{M}_K^n}(B^\mathcal{M}_K_R(x))} = \frac{1}{\text{ord}(x)}
\]

which proves the claim. \(\Box\)

**Definition 7.13 (Maximal Volume).** An \(n\)-dimensional orbifold with Ricci \(\geq K\) is said to have maximal volume if

\[
\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\text{vol}_\mathcal{O}(B_r(x))}{\text{vol}_{\mathcal{M}_K^n}(B^\mathcal{M}_K_R(x))} = \frac{1}{\text{ord}(x)}.
\]

In case \(\mathcal{O}\) (resp. \(\mathcal{M}_K^n\)) is bounded, the limit above has to be read as the eventual value of the ratio.

**Theorem 7.14.** If an \(n\)-dimensional orbifold \((\mathcal{O}, d, m)\) with Ricci \(\geq K\) has maximal volume, then it is isometric to \(\mathcal{M}_K^n/G_x\), where \(x \in \mathcal{O}\) is a point with ord\(\mathcal{O}\) = ord\(x\). In case \(K > 0\) and \(M\) is not a manifold, i.e. ord\(\mathcal{O}\) > 1, there are exactly two singular points. If \(K \leq 0\) and ord\(\mathcal{O}\) > 1 then there is exactly one singular point.

**Proof.** Let us first consider the case \(K > 0\). Up to a rescaling we can assume \(K = n - 1\), where \(n = \text{dim}(\mathcal{O})\). The Bishop inequality Proposition 7.12, combined with the maximal volume assumption, implies that diam\(\mathcal{O}\) = \(\pi\). The thesis follows then from Theorem 7.11.

Let now \(K < 0\). The maximal volume assumption combined with the Bishop inequality (Proposition 7.12) gives that

\[
\frac{\text{vol}_\mathcal{O}(B_r(x))}{\text{vol}_{\mathcal{M}_K^n}(B^\mathcal{M}_K_R(x))} = \frac{1}{\text{ord}(x)}
\]

for every \(r > 0\). Since, by Theorem 7.10, we know that \((\mathcal{O}, d, m)\) is an RCD\(^*\)(\(K, n\))-space, we can apply the “volume cone implies metric cone” Theorem proved in RCD\(^*\)(\(K, n\))-spaces by Gigli and De Philippis [34] and infer that \((\mathcal{O}, d, m)\) is isomorphic as a m.m. space to a metric-measure (hyperbolic, in case \(K < 0\)) cone over an RCD\(^*\) space \((\Sigma, d_\Sigma, m_\Sigma)\). The end of the argument is then analogous to the proof of Theorem 7.11. \(\Box\)

### 7.2. Orbispaces

The proof of the CD\(^*\)(\(K, N\))-condition did not require the full structure theory of orbifolds. Indeed, a similar proof holds for the following more general class of spaces. An *orbispace* is obtained by replacing the local \(n\)-dimensional models in the definition of orbifolds by local models defined as pairs \((\hat{U}, G)\), where \(U\) is a topological space and \(G\) a finite group of homeomorphisms acting effectively on \(\hat{U}\). The concepts of maps of local models, charts at points and atlases are defined in a similar way. At each point \(x \in \mathcal{O}\) we obtain good charts \((\hat{U}_x, U_x, G_x, \pi_x)\) in the sense \(G_x\) acts effectively on \(U_x\) and \(\pi^{-1}(x)\) contains exactly one point \(\hat{x} \in \hat{U}_x\), i.e. \(G_x\) fixes \(\hat{x}\). Furthermore, for any \(y \in U_x\) and good chart \((\hat{U}_y, U_y, G_y, \pi_y)\) at \(y\), the group \(G_y\) can be identified with \((G_x)_{\hat{y}}\) where \(\hat{y} \in \pi_x^{-1}(y)\). Thus, up to isomorphism, for each \(x \in \mathcal{O}\) the group \(G_x\) and ord\(x\) = \#\(G_x\) are well-defined and it is easy to see that the set \(\mathcal{O}_{reg} = \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid \text{ord}(x) = 0\}\) of regular points is open and dense.

**Definition 7.15 (Metric Orbispace).** We say that \((\mathcal{O}, d)\) is a metric orbispace if for each good chart \((\hat{U}_x, U_x, G_x, \pi_x)\), there is a metric \(d\) on \(\hat{U}_x\) such that \(G_x\) acts isometrically on \((\hat{U}_x, d)\) and the metric \(d\) agrees with the quotient metric, i.e. for all \(y, z \in U_x\) and \(\hat{y} \in \pi_x^{-1}(y), \hat{z} \in \pi_x^{-1}(z)\), the following holds:

\[
d(y, z) = \inf_{g, h \in G_x} d(\hat{y}, \hat{z}).
\]

Furthermore, the embeddings \((\hat{U}_3, G_3) \to (\hat{U}_1, G_1)\) and \((\hat{U}_3, G_3) \to (\hat{U}_2, G_2)\) in the definition of atlas are isometric embeddings (in the the metric sense, i.e. they preserve distances).

**Remark 7.16.** This definition does not take into account the global nature of a metric. However, if each local chart is locally geodesic then there is a unique global geodesic metric on \(\mathcal{O}\). Recall that \((U, d)\) is locally geodesic at \(x\) if there is a neighborhood \(V_x\) of \(x\) such that each point \(y, z \in V_x\) is connected by a geodesic lying in \(U\).
Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a $\sigma$-finite, non-negative Borel measure on $(\mathcal{O}, d)$. We call $(\mathcal{O}, d, \mathfrak{m})$ a metric measure orbispace. As in the case of orbifolds, it is possible to locally lift $\mathfrak{m}$ in each chart, i.e. given a local chart $(\hat{U}, U, G, \pi)$ there is a unique $G$-invariant measure $\mathfrak{m}_U$ on $\hat{U}$ such that $\mathfrak{m}_U = \frac{1}{|G|} \pi_{\sharp} \mathfrak{m}_G$. Note that in general, the metric embeddings in the definition of atlas are not measure preserving.

**Lemma 7.17.** Let $(\mathcal{O}, d, \mathfrak{m})$ be a metric measure orbispace and assume that, for each local chart $(\hat{U}, U, G, \pi)$, the set of fixed points $\text{Fix}_U(g)$ in $\hat{U}$ of each element $g \in G$, $g \neq 1_G$, has zero measure w.r.t. the lift $\mathfrak{m}_U$. Then the measure $\mathfrak{m}$ is concentrated on the set $[\mathcal{O}_{\text{reg}}]$ of regular points.

**Proof.** Let $\mathfrak{m}_U$ be the lift of a chart $(\hat{U}, U, G, \pi)$. Note that for $x \in U$, $\text{ord}(x) > 1$ if and only if $G_x \neq \{1_G\}$. Hence, we have

$$\pi^{-1}(\{\text{ord} > 1\} \cap U) = \pi^{-1}(\{\text{ord} > 1\}) \cap \hat{U} = \{\hat{x} \in \hat{U} | \exists g \in G, g \neq 1_G : \hat{x} \in \text{Fix}_U(g)\} = \bigcup_{g \in G, g \neq 1_G} \text{Fix}_U(g).$$

By assumption $\mathfrak{m}_U(\text{Fix}_U(g)) = 0$ for all $g \in G$. Thus $\mathfrak{m}(\{\text{ord} > 1\} \cap U) = 0$. By $\sigma$-finiteness we see that $\mathfrak{m}(\{\text{ord} > 1\}) = 0$. $\square$

By Theorem 3.7 we immediately obtain the following.

**Lemma 7.18.** Let $(\mathcal{O}, d, \mathfrak{m})$ be a metric measure orbispace and $x \in \mathcal{O}$. If there is a metric measure chart $(\hat{U}_x, d_x, \mathfrak{m}_x)$ at $x$ that satisfies the strong $CD^*(K, N)$-condition at $\pi_x^{-1}(x)$, then $(\mathcal{O}, d, \mathfrak{m})$ satisfies the strong $CD^*(K, N)$-condition locally at $x$.

Combining this with the local-to-global property of the $CD^*(K, N)$-condition under essential non-branching [9, 20] we obtain the following.

**Theorem 7.19.** Let $(\mathcal{O}, d, \mathfrak{m})$ be an essentially non-branching m.m. orbispace and assume that for each $x \in \mathcal{O}$ there is a chart at $x$ such that the local model satisfies the strong $CD^*(K, N)$-condition locally at $\pi_x^{-1}(x)$. Then $(\mathcal{O}, d, \mathfrak{m})$ satisfies the strong $CD^*(K, N)$-condition.

Analogously, by using the local-to-global property of $\text{RCD}^*(K, N)$ proved independently in [7, Theorem 7.8] and [28, Theorem 3.25], we have the next result.

**Theorem 7.20.** Let $(\mathcal{O}, d, \mathfrak{m})$ be a metric measure orbispace and assume that for each $x \in \mathcal{O}$ there is a chart at $x$ such that the local model satisfies the $\text{RCD}^*(K, N)$-condition locally at $\pi_x^{-1}(x)$. Then $(\mathcal{O}, d, \mathfrak{m})$ satisfies the $\text{RCD}^*(K, N)$-condition.

7.2.1. **An application to almost free discrete group actions.** In this subsection we assume that $G$ is an infinite discrete closed subgroup of the group of isometries acting measure-preserving on the proper metric measure space $(M, d, \mathfrak{m})$. Note that this implies that the action is effective. Furthermore, we assume that $G$ acts almost freely, i.e. for each $x \in M$ the isotropy subgroup

$$G_x = \{g \in G | g \cdot x = x\}$$

is finite. We say $x \in M$ is a regular point if $G_x = \{1_G\}$. Under our assumptions the action of $G$ has closed orbits.

**Lemma 7.21.** The set of regular points is an open subset of $M$.

**Proof.** Let $x_n \to x$ and $g_n \cdot x_n = x_n$. Since $(M, d)$ is proper and

$$d(g_n \cdot y, x) \leq d(g_n \cdot y, g_n \cdot x_n) + d(g_n \cdot x_n, x) \leq d(y, x) + d(x_n, x),$$

we see that $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is precompact in the space of isometries. In particular, because $G$ is a closed subgroup, there is a $g \in G$ such that, up to passing to a subsequence, $g_n \to g$ with $g \cdot x = x$. 


Since $G$ is discrete we have that $g_n = g$ for every sufficiently large $n$. Therefore, if $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of points which are not regular, i.e. $G_{x_n} \neq \{1_G\}$ then we can pick $g_n \neq 1_G$. This implies that $G_x \neq \{1_G\}$. In particular, the set of regular points is open. 

The assumptions on $(M, d, m)$ and $G$ imply that the quotient space $(M^*, d^*)$ is a proper metric space and for each $x^*, y^* \in M^*$ and $x \in p^{-1}(x^*)$ there is a $y \in p^{-1}(y^*)$ with $d(x, y) = d^*(x^*, y^*)$.

**Lemma 7.22.** For all $x \in M$ there is an $\epsilon = \epsilon_x > 0$ such that for all $y \in B_\epsilon(x)$ and $g \in G\setminus G_x$, 

$$d(x, y) = d^*(x^*, y^*) = d(g \cdot x, y),$$

and

$$d(g \cdot x, y) > d(x, y),$$

for all $g \notin G_x$. Finally, if $g \in G_y$, then

$$d(x, y) = d(g \cdot x, y),$$

which implies that $g \in G_x$. 

**Theorem 7.23.** Let $\epsilon_x > 0$ be given by Lemma 7.22. Then $(B_\epsilon^{\#}(x^*), d^*)$ is isomorphic to the quotient of $(B_\epsilon^{\#}(x), d)$ by $G_x$. In particular, $(M^*, d^*)$ is a metric orbifold with good local charts

$$\left\{ ((B_\epsilon^{\#}(x), d), (B_\epsilon^{\#}(x^*), d^*), G_x, p) \right\}_{x \in M^*}.$$  

**Proof.** Let $y, z \in B_\epsilon^{\#}(x)$. Then, for $g \in G \setminus G_x$,

$$d(y, g \cdot z) \geq d(x, g \cdot x) - d(x, y) - d(g \cdot x, g \cdot z)$$

$$\geq d(x, g \cdot x) - \epsilon_x$$

$$> \epsilon_x/2.$$  

Since $B_\epsilon^{\#}(x)$ is $G_x$-invariant,

$$\inf_{g \in G_x} d(y, g \cdot z) < \epsilon_x/2$$

implying

$$d^*(y^*, z^*) = \inf_{g \in G_x} d(y, g \cdot z).$$

In other words, $(B_\epsilon^{\#}(x^*), d^*)$ is isometric to the quotient of $(B_\epsilon^{\#}(x), d)$ by $G_x$. 

Now, similarly to the Riemannian orbifold volume form, it is possible to push-down the measure $m$. We next define a (unique) measure $m^*$ on $(M^*, d^*)$, by specifying its restriction on $B_\epsilon^{\#}(x^*)$:

$$m^*|_{B_\epsilon^{\#}(x^*)} = \frac{1}{\#G_x} p^* \left( m|_{B_\epsilon^{\#}(x)} \right).$$

In the following we call $m^*$ the natural quotient measure of $(M, d, G)$.

Combining Theorem 7.20 and Theorem 7.23 we obtain the next result.
Theorem 7.24. Let \((M, d, m)\) be a m.m. space satisfying the \(\text{RCD}^*(K,N)\)-condition. Let \(G\) be a discrete closed subgroup of the measure-preserving isometry group acting almost freely on \((M, d, m)\). Then \((M^*, d^*, \pi^*)\) is a metric measure orbispace satisfying the \(\text{RCD}^*(K,N)\)-condition.

Via a two-step procedure (i.e. first consider the quotient by \(G/L\) and then by \(L\)) we obtain the following general result for non-compact Lie groups.

Corollary 7.25. Let \(G\) be a non-compact Lie group admitting a normal lattice \(L\), i.e. a discrete normal subgroup \(L\) such that \(G/L\) is compact. Let \(G\) and \(L\) be closed subgroups of the measure-preserving isometry group acting almost freely on a metric measure space \((M, d, m)\) that satisfies the \(\text{RCD}^*(K,N)\)-condition. Then there is a quotient measure \(m^*\) on the quotient metric space \((M^*, d^*)\) making \((M^*, d^*, m^*)\) an \(\text{RCD}^*(K,N)\)-space.

In the rest of the subsection we will prove that, under natural assumptions, the set of regular points has full measure.

The following lemma was observed by the fourth author [68] and, independently, by Guijarro–Santos-Rodríguez [38]. We present an independent argument for the reader’s convenience. Before stating the result, recall that the good transport behavior property was introduced in Definition 4.1 and that it includes strong \(\text{CD}^*(K,N)\)-spaces and essentially non-branching MCP\((K,N)\)-spaces, \(N \in [1, \infty)\), as well as \(\text{RCD}^*(K,\infty)\)-spaces.

Lemma 7.26. Let \((M, d, m)\) be a m.m. space having good transport behavior \((\text{GTB})_p\) for some \(p \in (1, \infty)\). Then, for every non-trivial isometry \(\varphi : (M, d) \to (M, d)\), the set of fixed points \(\text{Fix}(\varphi)\) has zero \(m\)-measure.

Proof. Since \(\varphi\) is not the identity we can find \(\bar{x} \in M\) so that \(\varphi(\bar{x}) \neq \bar{x}\). Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that there is a compact set \(A \subset \text{Fix}(\varphi)\) with \(m(A) \in (0, \infty)\). Let

\[
\mu = \frac{1}{m(A)} m\llcorner A, \quad \nu = \frac{1}{2} \delta_\bar{x} + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\varphi(\bar{x})}.
\]

Let \(\pi_1\) be a \(p\)-optimal coupling for \((\mu, \delta_\bar{x})\) and \(\pi_2\) a \(p\)-optimal coupling for \((\mu, \delta_{\varphi(\bar{x})})\). The assumed \((\text{GTB})_p\) implies that both couplings are induced by a transport map. Note that \(\pi := \frac{1}{2} \pi_1 + \frac{1}{2} \pi_2\) is a coupling for \((\mu, \nu)\) which cannot be represented by a transport map, since by construction \(\varphi(\bar{x}) \neq \bar{x}\).

If we prove that \(\pi\) is \(p\)-optimal we then get a contradiction with \((\text{GTB})_p\). To this aim, it suffices to show that \(\pi\) is concentrated on a \(d^p\)-cyclically monotone set. However, this holds trivially since for all \(y \in A\)

\[
d^p(y, \bar{x}) = d^p(\varphi(y), \varphi(\bar{x})) = d^p(y, \varphi(\bar{x})).
\]

\(\square\)

Corollary 7.27. Let \((M, d, m)\) be a proper m.m. space with good transport behavior \((\text{GTB})_p\) for some \(p \in (1, \infty)\). If a discrete closed subgroup \(G\) of isometries acts almost freely on \((M, d, m)\), then

\[m\llcorner \{x \in M \mid G_x \neq \{1_G\}\} = 0.\]

In particular, the set of regular points is open and dense, and has full \(m\)-measure.

Remark 7.28. Let \((M, d, m)\) be a m.m. space satisfying the strong \(\text{CD}^*(K,N)\)-condition. Since \(M\) is locally compact, every closed discrete group \(G\) acting isometrically on \(M\) has finite isotropy groups (see [49, Ch. II, Theorem 1.1]).

8. Foliations

In this section we show that most results on isometric group actions can be generalized to foliations that are compatible with the metric measure structure.

Recall that the class of spaces with sectional curvature bounded below by \(k \in \mathbb{R}\) is closed under quotients of equidistant closed foliations, which we call metric foliations, see for instance [17, Section 4.6]. However, such a result in the context of m.m. spaces and Ricci curvature would be too much to expect without requiring a connection between the foliation and the measurable structure. An essential
step in the construction of an equivariant Wasserstein geometry in Theorem 3.2 is to provide a family of measures representing a natural lift of Dirac masses in the quotient. It turns out that foliations for which we can find such a family of measures preserve curvature-dimension conditions.

**Definition 8.1** (Metric Foliation). A partition $\mathcal{F}$ of a metric space $(M, d)$ into closed subsets is called a **foliation**. Elements of the foliation $F, G \in \mathcal{F}$ are called **leaves**. Furthermore, we refer to a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ as a **metric foliation** if, for all $F, G \in \mathcal{F}$ and $x \in F$,

$$d(F, G) = d(x, G),$$

where the first distance is the distance between subsets of $M$. That is, if the distance from a point $x \in F$ to a leaf $G$ is independent of the choice of point in the leaf $F$. In case that each leaf is bounded we say that the foliation is **bounded**.

**Remark 8.2.** One may verify that any Riemannian foliation induces a metric foliation in the sense above (see [73]).

Given a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on a metric space $M$, its quotient $M^* = M/\sim$ is the set of equivalence classes under the equivalence relation

$$x \sim y \mbox{ if and only if } \mathcal{F}_x = \mathcal{F}_y,$$

where $\mathcal{F}_x$ denotes the leaf containing $x$. That is, $M^*$ is the leaf space of the foliation. Anologously to the case of group actions, we denote the projection onto the quotient by $p : M \rightarrow M^*$ and elements of $M^*$ with $p(x) = x^* \in M^*$. Note that for every $x^* \in M^*$ there is a canonical association of a leaf $\mathcal{F}_{x^*} \in \mathcal{F}$, namely, the unique leaf such that $p(\mathcal{F}_{x^*}) = x^*$; we can then write the foliation as $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_{x^*}\}_{x^* \in M^*}$. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a metric foliation we define a quotient distance $d^*$ on $M^*$ as

$$d^*(x^*, y^*) := \inf_{x' \in F^*} d(x', \mathcal{F}_{y^*}) = d(\mathcal{F}_{x^*}, \mathcal{F}_{y^*}),$$

for $x^*, y^* \in M^*$.

Another notion of use to us is that of a **submetry**.

**Definition 8.3** (Submetry). A map $f : M \rightarrow N$ between metric spaces is called **submetry** if, for all $x \in M$ and $r > 0$,

$$f(B_r(x)) = B_r(f(x)).$$

The next lemma shows that the concepts of submetry and metric foliation are equivalent.

**Lemma 8.4.** There is a one-to-one correspondence between metric foliations and submetries up to an isometry. Namely, the projection $p : M \rightarrow M^*$ of a metric foliation is a submetry and, given a submetry $f : M \rightarrow N$, the foliation given by $\{f^{-1}(y)\}_{y \in N}$ is a metric foliation for which there is an isometry $i_f : N \rightarrow M^*$ with

$$i_f \circ f = p.$$

**Proof.** The fact that $p : M \rightarrow M^*$ is a submetry follows directly from the definitions. Now consider a submetry $f : M \rightarrow N$. The continuity of $f$ guarantees that $\mathcal{F}_f = \{f^{-1}(y)\}_{y \in N}$ is a foliation so we just have to check the equidistance property. This follows from the next observation. Let $F, G \in \mathcal{F}$ and suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there exists $x \in F$ such that $d(x, G) - 2\varepsilon \geq r := d(F, G)$, for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exist $x' \in F$, $y' \in G$ with $d(x', y') < r + \varepsilon$ and the submetry assumption gives that

$$f(y') \in B_{r+\varepsilon}(f(x')).$$

Therefore, there exists $y \in G \cap B_{r+\varepsilon}(x)$, contradicting that $d(x, G) \geq r + 2\varepsilon$. Next, by noting that $p : M \rightarrow M^*$ is by construction independent of the representative $x \in F \in \mathcal{F}$, we see that the function $i_f : N \rightarrow M^*$ given by $i_f := p \circ f^{-1}$ is a well defined isometry by using the definition of the quotient metric.

Finally, suppose that there exists another submetry, $g : M \rightarrow \hat{N}$, which induces the same foliation of $f$, that is $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G} = \{g^{-1}(z)\}_{z \in \hat{N}}$. Then we have that $i_f^{-1} \circ i_g : \hat{N} \rightarrow N$ is an isometry and $g = i_g^{-1} \circ i_f \circ f$. Thus, up to isometries, $f$ is unique. \[\square\]
To be able to obtain a foliated Wasserstein geometry in the class of metric measure spaces we require a consistent interaction of the foliation and the measure. We write again \( m^* = p_* m \) for the push-forward of \( m \) under \( p \). By applying the Disintegration Theorem to the measure \( m \) with respect to \( p : M \to M^* \), we get that there exists a measurable assignment \( m(\cdot) : M^* \to \mathcal{P}(M) \) such that

\[
(8.1) \quad m = \int_{M^*} m_{x^*} \, dm^*.
\]

Note that \( m_{x^*} \) is concentrated on the leaf \( \mathcal{F}_{x^*} \).

**Definition 8.5.** [Bounded Metric Measure Foliation] A bounded metric foliation \( \mathcal{F} \) of a m.m. space \((M, d, m)\) is called a bounded metric measure foliation if

\[
(8.2) \quad W_2(m_{x^*}, m_{y^*}) = d(\mathcal{F}_{x^*}, \mathcal{F}_{y^*}) = d^*(x^*, y^*), \quad \text{for } m^* - \text{a.e. } x^* \in M^*.
\]

Examples of bounded metric measure foliations are given by the foliations induced by isomorphic actions of compact groups on m.m. spaces. Many other examples arise from Riemannian submersions (with bounded leaves) of Riemannian manifolds equipped with the natural volume measure, as in the case of submanifolds (with bounded leaves) of Alexandrov spaces of curvature bounded below with the Hausdorff measure.

**Remark 8.6.** In the definition above, it is possible as well to consider a general \( p \)-Wasserstein space with \( p \in (1, \infty) \). Moreover, note that since we have considered bounded metric foliations, the push-forward measure \( m^* \) is \( \sigma \)-finite. It is also possible to consider more general leaves, however, the measure \( m^* \) might not be \( \sigma \)-finite or unique. For this one may replace \( m_{x^*} \) by a family of measures \( \nu_{x^*} \) supported on the leaves that satisfy Equation 8.2 and whose naturally defined lifts preserve the entropy up to a fixed constant.

Analogously to the group action sections, we define a lifting function \( \Lambda : \mathcal{P}(M^*) \to \mathcal{P}(M) \) as follows:

\[
(8.3) \quad \hat{\mu} := \Lambda(\mu) = \int_{M^*} m_{x^*} \, d\mu(x^*).
\]

We refer to \( \hat{\mu} \) as the lift via the metric measure foliation, as one can verify that \( p_* \hat{\mu} = \mu \). Consider the subset \( \mathcal{OD} \) (defined in (3.1)) of pairs of points in \( M \times M \) that achieve the distance between leaves. For every \( x, y \in \mathcal{OD} \) let \( \pi_{x,y} \in \mathcal{P}(M \times M) \) be a \( p \)-optimal coupling for \((m_{x^*}, m_{y^*})\). Note that, assumption (8.2) and the next inequality

\[
(8.4) \quad W_p(m_{x^*}, m_{y^*})^p = \int_{\mathcal{F}_{x^*} \times \mathcal{F}_{y^*}} d(x^*, y^*)^p \, d\pi_{x,y}(x^*, y^*) \geq d^*(x^*, y^*)^p,
\]

imply that for all \( x, y \in \mathcal{OD} \), the \( p \)-optimal coupling \( \pi_{x,y} \in \mathcal{P}(M \times M) \) for \((m_{x^*}, m_{y^*})\) is concentrated on \( \mathcal{OD} \cap (\mathcal{F}_{x^*} \times \mathcal{F}_{y^*}) \). With such a notation one can follow verbatim the proof of Theorem 3.2 and show that the Wasserstein geometry is well-behaved under metric measure foliations.

**Proposition 8.7.** Let \((M^*, d^*, m^*)\) be a bounded metric measure foliation of the m.m. space \((M, d, m)\). Then the following hold:

1. The lift \( \Lambda|_{\mathcal{P}_p} : \mathcal{P}_p(M^*) \to \mathcal{P}_p(M) \) is an isometric embedding onto its image which preserves absolutely continuous measures. In particular, if \((M, d)\) is geodesic, then the subset \( \Lambda(\mathcal{P}_p(M^*)) \subset \mathcal{P}_p(M) \) is geodesically convex.

2. Given a measurable section \( M^* \times M^* \ni (x^*, y^*) \mapsto \bar{\pi}(x^*, y^*) \in M \times M \) with \( (\bar{x}(x^*, y^*), \bar{y}(x^*, y^*)) \in \mathcal{OD} \cap (\mathcal{F}_{x^*} \times \mathcal{F}_{y^*}) \), if \( \pi \in \mathcal{P}(M^* \times M^*) \) is a \( p \)-optimal coupling for \((\mu, \nu) \in \mathcal{P}_p(M^*) \times \mathcal{P}_p(M^*)\), then the lift

\[
(8.5) \quad \bar{\pi} := \int_{M^* \times M^*} \pi_{\bar{x}(x^*, y^*), \bar{y}(x^*, y^*)} \, d\pi(x^*, y^*)
\]

is a \( p \)-optimal coupling for \((\hat{\mu}, \hat{\nu})\).
Theorem 8.8. Let \((M, d, m)\) be a strong \(CD(K, N)\) (resp. \(CD(K, \infty)\) or \(CD^*(K, N)\)) m.m. space and let \((M^*, d^*, m^*)\) be a bounded metric measure foliation of \((M, d, m)\). Then \((M^*, d^*, m^*)\) is a strong \(CD(K, N)\) (resp. \(CD(K, \infty)\) or \(CD^*(K, N)\)) m.m. space as well.

Proof. We give the proof for the strong \(CD(K, N)\)-condition, the other cases are analogous.

Let \(\mu_0 = g_0^*m^*\), \(\mu_1 = g_1^*m^* \in \mathcal{P}^\infty_2(M^*)\) and let \(\{\mu_t = g_t^*m^*\}_{t \in [0, 1]}\) be a \(W_2\)-geodesic between them inducing the 2-optimal coupling \(\pi\). For every \(t \in [0, 1]\), let \(\hat{\mu}_t := \Lambda(\mu_t)\) be the lift of \(\mu_t\) given in Proposition 8.7. We first claim that

\[
\hat{\mu}_t = \hat{g}_t^*m, \quad \text{where} \quad \hat{g}_t(x) := g_t(p(x)).
\]

Indeed, by definition of the functions \(g_t\) and \(\hat{g}_t\) and the lift (8.3) we have, for every measurable set \(B \subset M\), that

\[
\hat{\mu}_t(B) = \int_{M^*} \hat{g}_t(x) d\mu_t(x^*) = \int_{B} \hat{g}(x) dm(x).
\]

The last equality is simply a consequence of the disintegration of the measure \(m\).

By the strong \(CD(K, N)\)-condition on \((M, d, m)\), we have that

\[
\int_M \hat{\rho}_t^{-\frac{1}{K+N}} dm \geq \int_{M \times M} \left[ \tau_{K, N}^{(1-t)}(d(x, y)) \hat{\rho}_0^{-\frac{1}{K+N}}(x) + \tau_{K, N}^{(t)}(d(x, y)) \hat{\rho}_1^{-\frac{1}{K+N}}(y) \right] d\hat{\pi}(x, y),
\]

for every \(t \in [0, 1]\) and every \(N' \geq N\), where \(\hat{\pi}\) is the lift of \(\pi\) defined in (8.5) or, equivalently, the 2-optimal coupling from \(\hat{\mu}_0\) to \(\hat{\mu}_1\) induced by the geodesic \(\{\hat{\mu}_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]}\).

Therefore,

\[
\int_{M^*} \hat{\rho}_t^{-\frac{1}{K+N}}(x^*) dm^*(x^*) = \int_{M^*} \int_{x^*} \hat{\rho}_t^{-\frac{1}{K+N}}(y) dm^*(x^*) dm^*(y) = \int_{M^*} \hat{\rho}_t^{-\frac{1}{K+N}}(y) dm(y) \geq \int_{M \times M} \left[ \tau_{K, N'}^{(1-t)}(d(x, y)) \hat{\rho}_0^{-\frac{1}{K+N'}}(x) + \tau_{K, N'}^{(t)}(d(x, y)) \hat{\rho}_1^{-\frac{1}{K+N'}}(y) \right] d\hat{\pi}(x, y)
\]

\[
= \int_{M \times M} \left[ \tau_{K, N'}^{(1-t)}(d^*(x^*, y^*)) \hat{\rho}_0^{-\frac{1}{K+N'}}(x^*) + \tau_{K, N'}^{(t)}(d^*(x^*, y^*)) \hat{\rho}_1^{-\frac{1}{K+N'}}(y^*) \right] d\hat{\pi}(x^*, y^*),
\]

for every \(t \in [0, 1]\) and \(N' \geq N\), where we have used that \(\hat{\rho}_i(x^*) = \hat{\rho}_i(x)\) and \(d(x, y) = d^*(x^*, y^*)\) for \(\hat{\pi}\)-almost all \((x, y) \in M \times M\).

Furthermore, repeating verbatim the proofs of Proposition 5.2, Proposition 5.4, Corollary 5.5, and Corollary 5.6, we obtain the next results.

Proposition 8.9. Let \((M, d, m)\) be a m.m. space and denote by \((M^*, d^*, m^*)\) its quotient induced by a bounded metric measure foliation. Then

1. If \((M, d, m)\) satisfies the Lip-lip-condition, then \((M^*, d^*, m^*)\) does as well.

Let \(q \in (1, \infty)\) and assume further that on \((M, d, m)\) the upper asymptotic Lipschitz constant and the \(q\)-minimal relaxed slope agree \(m\)-a.e. for every locally Lipschitz function in \(W^{1,q}(m)\). Then the following holds,

2. The upper asymptotic Lipschitz constant and the \(q\)-minimal relaxed slope agree \(m^*\)-a.e. for every local Lipschitz function in \(W^{1,q}(m^*)\) for \((M^*, d^*, m^*)\).

3. The space \(W^{1,q}(m^*) \cap \text{LIP}(M^*, d^*)\) and the subspace of functions in \(W^{1,q}(m) \cap \text{LIP}(M, d)\) constant on each leaf are isometric.
Lastly if, in addition, Lipschitz functions are dense in both $W^{1,q}(m)$ and $W^{1,q}(m^*)$ it follows that

(4) The natural lift of functions defined on $M^*$ induces an isometric embedding of $W^{1,q}(m^*)$ into $W^{1,q}(m)$ whose image is the set of functions in $W^{1,q}(m)$ which are $m$-a.e leaf $x^*$. In particular, all functions $f \in D(\text{Ch}^M_q)$ satisfy that

$$\text{Ch}^M_q(f) = \text{Ch}^M_q(f).$$

(5) $(M^*, d^*, m^*)$ is infinitesimally Hilbertian granted that $(M, d, m)$ is so as well.

Combining Theorem 8.8 and (4) of Proposition 8.9 with Remark 5.7, we obtain the stability of the $RCD^*(K, N)$-condition under quotients induced by bounded metric measure foliations.

**Corollary 8.10.** Let $(M, d, m)$ be a m.m. space and denote by $(M^*, d^*, m^*)$ its quotient induced by a bounded metric measure foliation.

- If $(M, d, m)$ is an $RCD^*(K, N)$-space for some $K \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N \in [1, \infty)$, then $(M^*, d^*, m^*)$ is also an $RCD^*(K, N)$-space.
- If $(X, d, m)$ is an $RCD(K, \infty)$-space such that the upper asymptotic Lipschitz constant and the 2-minimal relaxed slope agree $m$-a.e. for every locally Lipschitz function in $W^{1,2}(m)$, and that Lipschitz functions are dense in both $W^{1,2}(m)$ and $W^{1,2}(m^*)$, then also $(M^*, d^*, m^*)$ is an $RCD(K, \infty)$-space.

We conclude this section with Theorem 8.11 below, an application to Riemannian submersions of weighted Riemannian manifolds. The result can be extracted also from Lott’s article on Ricci curvature calculation.

**Theorem 8.11.** Let $f : (M^n, g, \Phi \cdot \text{vol}_g) \to (L^i, g^*, \Psi \cdot \text{vol}_{g^*})$ be a Riemannian submersion with $f_\sharp(\Phi \cdot \text{vol}_g) = \Psi \cdot \text{vol}_{g^*}$, whose natural foliation is a bounded metric-measure foliation. Then

$$\text{Ric}_{g^*, \Phi, N}(v^*, v^*) \geq \text{Ric}_{g, \Phi, N}(v, v)$$

for all horizontal vectors $v \in TM$ such that $g(v, v) = g^*(v^*, v^*)$ and $f_\sharp v = v^*$.

**Proof.** The proof of the equivalence $CD(K, N) \Leftrightarrow \text{Ric}_{g, \Phi, N} \geq K$ in [70, Proof of Theorem 1.7] and [58, Proof of Theorem 1.2] proves actually the existence of a Wasserstein geodesic with specific direction where the interpolation inequality holds. We repeat the construction and refer to [58, p. 240] for details on the calculation.

If $\text{Ric}_{g, \Phi, N}(v, v) > K$, then for all $w$ in a sufficiently small neighborhood $U$ of $v$ in $TM$ it holds $\text{Ric}_{g, \Phi, N}(w, w) > K$. The proof of the interpolations inequality shows that if the transport is performed in the direction of a vector $w$ with $\text{Ric}_{g, \Phi, N}(w, w) > K$, then the $CD(K, N)$-convexity inequality holds along this interpolation.
Let $m = \Phi \cdot \text{vol}$ and $m^* = \Psi \cdot \text{vol}_{g^*} = e^{-V} \cdot \text{vol}_{g^*}$. Choose a geodesic $\eta : (-\delta, \delta) \to L$ with $\hat{\eta}(0) = v^* \in TL$, set $a = dV(v^*)/(N - l)$ and for $0 < \epsilon < r \ll \delta$ consider the balls
\[
A_0 = B^g_{\epsilon(1-r/a)}(\eta_r),
A_1 = B^g_{\delta(1+r/a)}(\eta_r).
\]

Let $A_\frac{1}{2}$ be the set of $\frac{1}{2}$-midpoints defined as
\[
A_\frac{1}{2} = \{ \gamma \mid \gamma \text{ is a geodesic connecting } x \in A_0 \text{ and } y \in A_1 \}.
\]

Now we can lift the geodesic $\eta$ to a geodesic $\xi = \hat{\eta}$ in $M$ such that $\hat{\xi}(0) = v$ for a horizontal vector with $f_\star v = v^*$. Then there is a neighborhood $\hat{A}_0 \subset p^{-1}(A_0)$ such that $p(\hat{A}_0) = A_0$. Analogously, we obtain lifts $A_1$ (resp. $\hat{A}_1$) of $A_1$ (resp. $\hat{A}_1$). Note that we can choose $\epsilon > 0$ and $\hat{A}_0$ such that each geodesic connecting $A_0$ and $A_1$ has tangent vector arbitrarily close to $v$.

Because the fiber transport preserves the fiberwise measure, we have
\[
m^*(A_0) = \frac{m^*(A_\frac{1}{2})}{m(A_\frac{1}{2})} = \frac{m^*(A_1)}{m(A_1)}.
\]

Thus, if $\text{Ric}_{g,\Psi,N}(v, v) > K$, we can choose $\epsilon > 0$ and $\hat{A}_0$ so that the $(K,N)$-Brunn–Minkowski inequality holds for the lifted sets $\hat{A}_0$ and $\hat{A}_1$. Since, by (8.7), the volume ratios agree, we get that the $(K,N)$-Brunn–Minkowski inequality also holds for $A_0$ and $A_1$, yielding
\[
m^*(A_\frac{1}{2}) \geq \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{K,N}(2r + O(\epsilon))^\frac{1}{2} \left\{ m^*(A_0)^\frac{1}{2} + m^*(A_1)^\frac{1}{2} \right\}
\]
if we choose $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small. Then the choice of $a = dV(v^*)/(N - l)$ gives
\[
m^*(A_\frac{1}{2}) \geq e^{-V(\hat{\eta})} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left( K - \nabla^2_{g^*} V(v^*, v^*) + \frac{(dV(v^*))^2}{N - l} \right) r^2 \right\}.
\]

Now, since we always have
\[
m^*(A_\frac{1}{2}) = e^{-V(\hat{\eta})} \left( 1 + \frac{\text{Ric}_{g^*}(v^*, v^*)}{2} r^2 \right) + O(r^3),
\]
we get
\[
\text{Ric}_{g^*}(v^*, v^*) \geq K - \nabla^2_{g^*} V(v^*, v^*) + \frac{(dV(v^*))^2}{N - l}.
\]

This shows that $\text{Ric}_{g^*}(v^*, v^*) \geq K$.

To finish the proof assume that the claim is wrong, then $\text{Ric}_{g,\Psi,N}(v, v) > \text{Ric}_{g^*}(v^*, v^*)$. In particular, there is a $K \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\text{Ric}_{g,\Psi,N}(v, v) > K > \text{Ric}_{g^*}(v^*, v^*)$. But we have just proved that this implies $\text{Ric}_{g^*}(v^*, v^*) \geq K$, which is a contradiction.

\[\square\]

### Appendix A. Discrete curvature notions

In this Appendix we show that the ideas developed in the article also apply to discrete spaces. As the proofs are simpler in this setting, we present a self-contained account on quotients with respect to Ollivier’s curvature condition and Ricci curvature of graphs. For simplicity, we only consider isometric, measure-preserving group actions. It is also possible to prove the results in the more general case of metric measure foliations.
A.1. Ricci curvature of Markov chains. Let \((M,d)\) be a separable complete metric space.

**Definition A.1** (Markov Chains). A measurable assignment

\[ \mu(\cdot) : M \to \mathcal{P}(M) \]

is called a Markov chain.

Assume a compact Lie group \(G\) acts isometrically on \((M,d)\). As usual, denote by \(\nu_G\) the Haar measure on \(G\). Then there is a natural Markov chain defined on \((M^*,d^*)\) given by

\[ x^* \mapsto \bar{\mu}_{x^*} = \int p_t \mu_{g x} \, d\nu_G(g). \]

A.1.1. Ollivier’s coarse Ricci curvature. Assume that the Markov chain \(\mu(\cdot)\) has image in \(\mathcal{P}_1(M)\).

**Definition A.2** (Coarse Ricci curvature). The triple \((M,d,\mu(\cdot))\) is said to have coarse Ricci curvature bounded below by \(k \in \mathbb{R}\) (in the sense of Ollivier [59]) if

\[ W_1(\mu_x, \mu_y) \leq (1 - k) d(x, y). \]

**Proposition A.3.** If \((M,d,\mu(\cdot))\) has coarse Ricci curvature bounded below by \(k \in \mathbb{R}\), then so does \((M^*,d^*,\bar{\mu}(\cdot))\).

**Proof.** We first claim that we can assume that \(\mu(\cdot)\) is \(G\)-invariant, i.e. \((\tau_g)_* \mu_x = \mu_{g^{-1}x}\) for all \(g \in G\). To see this, note that \(x \mapsto \int \mu_{g x} \, d\nu_G(g)\) is \(G\)-invariant and by [72, Theorem 4.8],

\[
W_1 \left( \int \mu_{g x} \, d\nu_G(g), \int \mu_{g y} \, d\nu_G(g) \right) \leq \int W_1(\mu_{g x}, \mu_{g y}) \, d\nu_G(g) \\
\leq (1 - k) \int d(gx, gy) \, d\nu_G(g) \\
= (1 - k) d(x, y).
\]

In the following, assume \(\mu(\cdot)\) is \(G\)-invariant. In this case, \(\bar{\mu}_{x^*} = p_t \mu_x\). Since \(p\) is 1-Lipschitz we obtain

\[ W_1(\bar{\mu}_{x^*}, \bar{\mu}_{y^*}) \leq W_1(\mu_x, \mu_y) \leq (1 - k) d(x, y). \]

In particular,

\[ W_1(\bar{\mu}_{x^*}, \bar{\mu}_{y^*}) \leq (1 - k) \inf_{x^* \in \mathcal{P}^{-1}((x^*))} \inf_{y^* \in \mathcal{P}^{-1}((y^*))} d(x', y') = (1 - k) d^*(x^*, y^*). \]

\[ \square \]

**Remark A.4.** Instead of a constant lower bound \(k \in \mathbb{R}\) a similar result holds for a varying lower bound \(k\), i.e.

\[ W_1(\mu_x, \mu_y) \leq (1 - k(x,y)) d(x,y). \]

In that case, a natural choice for \(k^*\) is given by

\[ k^*(x^*, y^*) = \sup_{d(x,y) = d(x^*, y^*)} \int k(gx, gy) \, d\nu_G(g). \]

If \(\mu(\cdot)\) is \(G\)-invariant, then one can choose \(k\) to be \(G\)-invariant, i.e. \(k(gx, gy) = k(x,y)\) so that \(k^*(x^*, y^*) = k(x,y)\).
A.1.2. Maas-Mielke Ricci curvature on finite spaces. In this section we show that the Ricci curvature proposed independently by Maas [54] and Mielke [55] (see also [29]) on discrete spaces is preserved under taking quotients.

In the following, let $M$ be a finite set and $\mu(\cdot)$ be a Markov chain. In this case the Markov chain $\mu(\cdot)$ can be described as a function $K : M \times M \to \mathbb{R}$, called Markov kernel, as follows. Consider $A \subset M$ and let

$$\mu(x)(A) = \sum_{y \in A} K(y, x).$$

Recall that, for any measure $\mu$ on $M$, there is a function $\rho : M \to [0, \mu(M)]$ such that

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{x \in A} \rho(x).$$

We say that $\rho$ is a probability function if $\mu$ is a probability measure. We denote the set of probability functions by $\mathcal{P}(M)$. We also assume that $K$ is irreducible, i.e. for any $x, y \in M$ there are $x_0 = x, \ldots, x_n = y$ such that $K(x_{i-1}, x_i) > 0$. It is known that any irreducible Markov chain on a finite set has a unique stationary probability measure $\mu$ such that

$$\mu = \int \mu(x) d\mu(x).$$

If represented as a function $\pi : M \to [0, 1]$, this means that

$$\pi(y) = \sum_{x \in M} K(x, y) \pi(x).$$

In order to show that the metric on the space of probability measures on $M$ constructed below is symmetric we also need to assume the Markov chain is symmetric, i.e.

$$K(x, y) \pi(x) = K(y, x) \pi(y), \text{ for all } x, y \in M.$$

Using the Markov kernel, Maas [54] and Mielke [55] defined a non-local metric on the space of probability measures which imitates the Benamou-Brenier characterization of the Wasserstein metric in $\mathbb{R}^n$ [14]. Instead of the original definition, we present an equivalent approach due to Erbar and Maas [29], which behaves better under convex combinations and which will allow to us to prove that the space of probability functions $\mathcal{P}(M^*)$ in the quotient (endowed with a suitable distance that we will define below) is isometric to the subset $\mathcal{P}^G(M)$ of $G$-invariant probability functions on $M$.

Define the functions $\theta : M \times M \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)^2 \to [0, \infty]$ by

$$\theta(s, t) = \int_0^1 s^p t^{1-p} dp$$

and

$$\alpha(x, s, t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \theta(s, t) = 0, x = 0; \\ x^2 & \theta(s, t) \neq 0; \\ \infty & \theta(s, t) = 0, x \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Given $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ and a function $V : M \times M \to \mathbb{R}$, define

$$\mathcal{E}(\rho, V) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x,y \in M} \alpha(V(x, y), \rho(x), \rho(y)) K(x, y) \pi(x).$$

Given two probability functions $\bar{\rho}_0$ and $\bar{\rho}_1$, define a set $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{E}(\bar{\rho}_0, \bar{\rho}_1)$ composed of pairs $(\rho, V)$, where

$\rho : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}^M$ is continuous with values in $\mathcal{P}(M)$, with $\rho_0 = \bar{\rho}_0$, $\rho_1 = \bar{\rho}_1$,

$V : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}^{M \times M}$ is locally integrable.
and for all \( x \in M \) the following holds in the sense of distributions
\[
\dot{\rho}_t(x) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y \in M} (V_t(x, y) - V_t(y, x)) K(x, y) = 0.
\]

Now we define a geodesic metric as follows
\[
\mathcal{W}(\bar{\rho}_0, \bar{\rho}_1)^2 = \inf \left\{ \int_0^1 \mathcal{A}'(\rho_t, V_t) dt \mid (\rho, V) \in \mathcal{CE}(\bar{\rho}_0, \bar{\rho}_1) \right\}.
\]

Assume \( G \) is a compact group acting on \( M \) which preserves the Markov chain. Let \( \mathcal{P}^G(M) \) be the set of \( G \)-invariant probability functions. Note that \( (\mathcal{P}^G(M), \mathcal{W}) \) is a subspace of \( (\mathcal{P}(M), \mathcal{W}) \). Given \( \bar{\rho}_0, \bar{\rho}_1 \in \mathcal{P}(M) \) and \((\rho, V) \in \mathcal{CE}(\bar{\rho}_0, \bar{\rho}_1)\), define the \( G \)-averages \((\rho^G, V^G)\) by
\[
\rho^G_t(x) = \int_G \rho_t(gx) \, d\nu(g),
\]
\[
V^G_t(x, y) = \int_G \int_G V_t(gx, g'y) \, d\nu(g) \, d\nu(g').
\]

It is easy to see that \((\rho^G, V^G) \in \mathcal{CE}(\bar{\rho}_0^G, \bar{\rho}_1^G)\).

One can verify that \( \mathcal{A}' \) is convex w.r.t. linear interpolations (see [29, Corollary 2.8]), thus
\[
\mathcal{A}'(\rho^G, V^G) \leq \mathcal{A}'(\rho, V).
\]

Hence, letting \( \mathcal{CE}^G(\bar{\rho}_0, \bar{\rho}_1) \) be the pairs which agree with their \( G \)-averages, we get
\[
\mathcal{W}(\bar{\rho}_0, \bar{\rho}_1)^2 = \inf \left\{ \int_0^1 \mathcal{A}'(\rho_t, V_t) dt \mid (\rho, V) \in \mathcal{CE}^G(\bar{\rho}_0, \bar{\rho}_1) \right\}, \quad \text{for all } \bar{\rho}_0, \bar{\rho}_1 \in \mathcal{P}^G(M).
\]

Let \( K^* \) be the quotient Markov chain, i.e.
\[
K^*(x^*, y^*) = \sum_{x \in \rho^{-1}(x^*)} K(x, y).
\]

As above, it is possible to define a metric \( \mathcal{W}^* \) via the \( K^* \) on \( \mathcal{P}(M^*) \) and show that the natural lift \( \Lambda : \mathcal{P}(M^*) \to \mathcal{P}(M) \) defined by
\[
\Lambda(\bar{\rho})(x) = \bar{\rho}^G(x) = \bar{\rho}(x^*)
\]
gives a bijection between \( \mathcal{P}(M^*) \) and \( \mathcal{P}^G(M) \). Furthermore, given \( \bar{\rho}_0, \bar{\rho}_1 \in \mathcal{P}(M^*) \) there is also a one-to-one correspondence between \( \mathcal{CE}(\bar{\rho}_0, \bar{\rho}_1) \) and \( \mathcal{CE}^G(\bar{\rho}_0, \bar{\rho}_1) \) given by lifting each \((\rho, V)\), i.e. by defining
\[
\bar{\rho}_t(x) := \rho_t(x^*),
\]
\[
\tilde{V}_t(x, y) := V_t(x^*, y^*).
\]

Directly from the definitions, it is not difficult to prove the next result.

**Proposition A.5.** The natural lift \( \Lambda : \mathcal{P}(M^*) \to \mathcal{P}(M) \) induces an isometry between \( (\mathcal{P}(M^*), \mathcal{W}^*) \) and \( (\mathcal{P}^G(M), \mathcal{W}) \). In particular, \( \mathcal{P}^G(M) \) is a weakly convex subspace of \( \mathcal{P}(M) \), i.e. between any two points in \( \mathcal{P}^G(M) \) there is a geodesic lying in \( \mathcal{P}^G(M) \) connecting those points.

Given a probability function \( \bar{\rho} \in \mathcal{P}(M) \), we define the *entropy* of the Markov chain as follows
\[
\mathcal{H}(\bar{\rho}) = \sum_{x \in M} \bar{\rho}(x) \log \bar{\rho}(x) \pi(x).
\]

**Definition A.6** (Maas-Mielke Ricci curvature). The Markov chain given by the kernel \( K \) is said to have *Ricci curvature bounded below by \( K \) in the sense of Maas [54] and Mielke [55] if, for any constant speed geodesic \( \{\rho_t\}_{t \in [0,1]} \) in \((\mathcal{P}(M), \mathcal{W})\),
\[
\mathcal{H}(\rho_t) \leq (1 - t)\mathcal{H}(\rho_0) + t\mathcal{H}(\rho_1) - \frac{K}{2} t(1 - t)\mathcal{W}^2(\rho_0, \rho_1).
\]
On the quotient space there is also a (Markov chain) invariant probability function called $\pi^*$ and a corresponding entropy $\mathcal{H}^*$. Since by assumption $G$ preserves the Markov chain, the group also preserves the (Markov chain) invariant probability function $\pi$. In particular, $\pi$ agrees with the natural lift of the invariant probability function $\pi^*$ on $M$. Therefore, if $\bar{\rho} \in \mathcal{P}(M^*)$, we obtain
\[
\mathcal{H}^*(\bar{\rho}) = \sum_{x \in M} \bar{\rho}^*(x) \log \bar{\rho}^*(x) \pi(x)
\]
\[
= \sum_{x \in M^*} \sum_{x \in \rho^{-1}(x^*)} \bar{\rho}(x) \log \bar{\rho}(x^*) \pi(x)
\]
\[
= \sum_{x \in \rho^{-1}(x^*)} \bar{\rho}(x) \log \bar{\rho}(x^*) \pi(x^*) = \mathcal{H}^*(\bar{\rho}).
\]
Therefore we can entirely work in $\mathcal{P}^G(M)$ instead of $\mathcal{P}(M^*)$.

**Proposition A.7.** If the Markov chain $K$ has Ricci curvature bounded below by $K \in \mathbb{R}$ and $G$ preserves the Markov chain, then the quotient Markov chain has Ricci curvature bounded below by $K \in \mathbb{R}$ as well.

### A.2. Bakry-Émery and curvature-dimension conditions on graphs

Based on the Bakry-Émery condition for diffusion operators [10], Lin-Yau [51] introduced the Bakry-Émery condition to the setting of graph Laplacians. A stronger variant yielding the Li-Yau inequality appeared recently in [11].

An **undirected graph** $G = (V, E)$ is a countable possibly infinite set of vertices $V$ and a set of edges $E$ such that each element $e \in E$ is a subset of $V$ with one or two elements. For two vertices $x, y \in V$ we write $x \sim y$ whenever $\{x, y\} \in E$.

A **weight** on $G$ is a symmetric function $\omega : V \times V \to [0, \infty)$ such that $\omega(x, y) > 0$ if and only if $x \sim y$ and $x \neq y$. The graph is said to be **locally finite** if
\[
d(x) = \sum_{x \sim y} \omega(x, y) < \infty.
\]
Given any undirected graph there is a natural weight function $\omega(x, y) = 1$, whenever $x \sim y$. Such graphs are usually called **unweighted graphs**.

A final ingredient is a **positive, locally finite measure** given as a function $m : V \to [0, \infty)$. A natural choice is given by $m(x) = d(x)$.

#### A.2.1. Function spaces on graphs and curvature-dimension conditions

Let $C_0(V)$ be the set of real-valued functions on $V$. For $p \in [1, \infty)$, the $\ell^p$-space is given by
\[
\ell^p(V, m) = \left\{ f \in C_0(V) \mid \| f \|_p^p := \sum_{x \in V} |f(x)|^p m(x) < \infty \right\}
\]
and
\[
\ell^\infty(V, m) = \left\{ f \in C_0(V) \mid \| f \|_\infty := \sup_{x \in V} |f(x)| < \infty \right\}.
\]
The $\ell^2$-norm is associated to an inner product, i.e. for $f, g \in \ell^2(V, m)$ the inner product
\[
\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{x \in V} f(x)g(x)m(x)
\]
induces the norm $\| \cdot \|_2$. The $p$-power of the modulus of the discrete gradient is defined as
\[
|\nabla f|^p(x) = \frac{1}{m(x)} \sum_{y \sim x} \omega(x, y) |f(y) - f(x)|^p,
\]
see [51, 57].

It is now possible to define the discrete Sobolev spaces $w^{1,p}(V, m)$ as
\[
w^{1,p}(V, m) = \left\{ f \in \ell^p(V, m) \mid \| |\nabla f|^p|_{w^{1,p}} < \infty \right\}
\]
with the norm given by
\[
\| f \|_{w^{1,p}}^p = \| f \|_p^p + \| |\nabla f|^p \|_1.
\]
If \( p = 2 \) this is induced by a scalar product denoted by
\[
2\Gamma(f, g)(x) = \frac{1}{m(x)} \sum_{y \sim x} \omega(x, y)(f(y) - f(x))(g(y) - g(x)).
\]
This functional is often called \( \Gamma \)-operator or carré-du-champ.

The discrete \( m \)-Laplacian is defined as
\[
\Delta f(x) = \frac{1}{m(x)} \sum_{y \sim x} \omega(x, y)(f(y) - f(x)).
\]
The definition implies that
\[
2\Gamma(f, g) = \Delta(f \cdot g) - f \cdot \Delta g - g \cdot \Delta f.
\]
Following Bakry-Émery [10, 51] we define the \( \Gamma_2 \)-functional (carré-du-champ itÉrÈ) as follows:
\[
2\Gamma_2(f, g) = \Delta(\Gamma(f, g)) - \Gamma(f, \Delta g) - \Gamma(\Delta f, g).
\]

Definition A.8 (Bakry-Émery condition). The graph \( G \) is said to satisfy the discrete curvature-dimension condition \( \text{CD}(K, N) \), \( K \in \mathbb{R}, N \in [1, \infty] \) if, for every function \( f : V \to \mathbb{R} \), the following inequality holds:
\[
\Gamma_2(f, f) \geq K\Gamma(f, f) + \frac{1}{N}(\Delta f)^2.
\]

This condition already makes it possible to characterize certain graphs and to obtain eigenvalue bounds (see [51]). However, the lack of a chain rule prevents to transfer the result from diffusion operators and continuous times heat flows to the discrete setting. In [11] the authors introduced a variant of this condition which gives a Li-Yau-type inequality for the heat flow.

Definition A.9 (Exponential curvature-dimension). The graph \( G \) satisfies the exponential curvature-dimension condition \( \text{CDE}(K, N) \) if
\[
\Gamma_2(f, f) - \Gamma\left(f, \frac{\Gamma(f, f)}{f}\right) \geq K\Gamma(f, f) + \frac{1}{N}(\Delta f)^2.
\]

Remark A.10. It is possible to obtain also a pointwise curvature condition by assuming that \( K : V \to \mathbb{R} \) and \( N : V \to [1, \infty] \) are functions on \( V \).

A.2.2. Group actions on graphs. We say that a group \( G \) acts isometrically on the graph \( G \), if for all \( g \in G \), \( g \cdot x \sim g \cdot y \) whenever \( x \sim y \). We say \( G \) preserves weights if
\[
\omega(g \cdot x, g \cdot y) = \omega(x, y).
\]

Note that if \( G \) preserves weights then \( G \) also preserves the weighted degree, i.e. \( d(g \cdot x) = d(x) \).

If \( m(x) = m(g \cdot x) \), then the action is said to be measure-preserving. The action is said to be locally of locally finite order if, for all \( x \in V \), the orbit
\[
G(x) = \{ g \cdot x \mid g \in G \}
\]
is finite.

Assume in the following that \( G \) acts isometrically on a locally finite graph \( G \). We can define a quotient graph \( G^* \) as follows. The vertex set \( V^* \) is given by the set of orbits; as usual, \( x^* \) denotes the orbit corresponding to \( x \) and \( p \) is the quotient map. Furthermore, whenever \( \{ x, y \} \in E \) then by definition \( \{ x^*, y^* \} \in E^* \). The quotient graph \( V^* \) can be endowed with the quotient measure function \( m^* \) given by
\[
m^*(x^*) = \sum_{x \in p^{-1}(x^*)} m(x).
\]
If \( G \) acts measure-preserving, then \( m^*(x^*) = \#G(x) \cdot m(x) \). Finally, the weight function \( \omega^* \) of the quotient graph is given by
\[
\omega^*(x^*, y^*) = m^*(x^*) \sum_{x \in p^{-1}(x^*), y \in p^{-1}(y^*)} \frac{\omega(x, y)}{m(x)}.
\]
Remark A.11. (1) The edge set allows one to define a metric which makes \((V,d)\) into a discrete metric space by just defining the distance to be the number of edges of a shortest path. Then it is easy to see that the metric of the quotient graph obtained in this way agrees with the quotient metric

\[
\text{dist}^*(x^*, y^*) = \inf_{g \in G} d(x, g \cdot y),
\]

(2) One may replace the action \(G\) by any partition \(\mathcal{F} = \{F_x\}_{x \in V}\) of \(V\) into finite sets such that whenever \(x' \in F_x\) and \(x \sim y\) then there is a \(y' \in F_y\) with \(x' \sim y'\). Or, equivalently, if \(p : V \to V / \mathcal{F}\) is the natural quotient map and \(E^* := p \times p((V \times V) \cap E)\) then

\[
\{(x^*) \times V^*\} \cap E^* = p \times p((\{x\} \times V) \cap E)
\]

for all \(x \in V\). As in the metric setting, we call such a partition a *metric foliation*.

Given a function \(f : V^* \to \mathbb{R}\) there is a natural lift \(\hat{f} : V \to \mathbb{R}\) defined as usual by

\[
\hat{f}(x) = f(x^*).
\]

From the definition we see that

\[
\sum_{x^* \in V^*} |f(x^*)|^p m^*(x^*) = \sum_{x \in V} |\hat{f}(x)|^p m(x).
\]

Furthermore, the weight and measure functions are chosen to show that the Dirichlet form on \(G^*\) agrees with the Dirichlet form of \(G\)-invariant functions on \(G\).

**Proposition A.12.** Let \(f : V^* \to \mathbb{R}\) be a function and \(p \in [1, \infty)\). Then

\[
\frac{1}{m^*(x^*)} \sum_{y^* \sim x^*} \omega^*(x^*, y^*)(f(y^*) - f(x^*))^p = \sum_{x \in p^{-1}(x^*)} \frac{1}{m(x)} \sum_{y \sim x} \omega(x, y)(\hat{f}(y) - \hat{f}(x))^p.
\]

In particular, \((\Delta^* f)^\wedge = \Delta \hat{f}\) and \((\Gamma^* (f, g))^\wedge = \Gamma(\hat{f}, \hat{g})\) for all functions \(f, g : V^* \to \mathbb{R}\), where \(\Delta^*\) and \(\Delta\) are the Laplacian on \(G\) and \(G^*\) and \(\Gamma\) and \(\Gamma^*\) are the corresponding \(G\)-operators.

**Proof.** Since \(\hat{f}\) is constant along orbits, we see that

\[
f(y^*) - f(x^*) = \hat{f}(y) - \hat{f}(x)
\]

whenever \(p(x) = x^*\) and \(p(y) = y^*\). Thus, for \(y^* \sim x^*\), we have

\[
\frac{1}{m^*(x^*)} \omega^*(x^*, y^*)(f(y^*) - f(x^*))^p = \left( \sum_{x \in p^{-1}(x^*)} \frac{\omega(x, y)}{m(x)} \right) \cdot (f(y^*) - f(x^*))^p = \sum_{x \in p^{-1}(x^*)} \frac{1}{m(x)} \sum_{y \sim x} \omega(x, y)(\hat{f}(y) - \hat{f}(x))^p.
\]

Finally, recalling that \(\omega(x, y) > 0\) if and only if \(x \sim y\), it follows that, for fixed \(x^*\) and \(x\),

\[
\sum_{x^* \sim y} \sum_{y \sim x} \omega(x, y)(\hat{f}(y) - \hat{f}(x))^p = \sum_{y \sim x} \omega(x, y)(\hat{f}(y) - \hat{f}(x))^p
\]

which gives the claim. \(\square\)

**Corollary A.13.** The natural lift \(f \mapsto \hat{f}\) induces an isometry between the \(L^p\)-spaces \(L^p(V^*, m^*)\) and the closed subspaces of \(G\)-invariant function of \(L^p(V, m)\). Similarly, it induces an isometry between the discrete Sobolev spaces \(H^1 \cap L^p(V^*, m^*)\) and the \(G\)-invariant functions in \(H^1 \cap L^p(V, m)\).

Since the calculation of \(\Gamma^*\) and \(\Delta^*\) can be done either on the quotient graph \(G^*\) or via the lift on \(G\) we obtain the following theorem.
Theorem A.14. Assume \( G \) is a locally finite graph satisfying the CD\((K,N)\)-condition (resp. CDE\((K,N)\)-condition). If \( G \) acts isometrically and locally of finite order on \( G \), then the quotient graph satisfies the CD\((K,N)\)-condition (resp. CDE\((K,N)\)-condition).

Remark A.15. Again as in the setting of general metric spaces, the curvature-dimension conditions are only preserved in the weighted theory. Indeed, the quotient of an unweighted graph in general can be weighted.

Appendix B. Group actions and (super-)Ricci flow

In this section we show that the ideas of the paper also apply to super-Ricci flows. First of all, recall that a super-solution to the Ricci flow (a super-Ricci flow for short) is a time-dependent Riemannian manifold \((M,g_t)_{t \in [0,T]}\) satisfying

\[
\partial_t g_t + 2\text{Ric}_{g_t} \geq 0.
\]

Super-Ricci flows have many common features with spaces with non-negative Ricci curvature. Based on a characterization of super-Ricci flow by contractivity of the induced time-dependent heat flow, Sturm [71] analyzed the time-convexity of the entropy functional and used it to characterize super Ricci flows.

Let \((M, d_t, m_t)_{t \in [0,T]}\) be a time-dependent family of m.m. spaces. We assume that each \(d_t\) induces the same topology on \(M\) and that the measures \(m_t\) are mutually absolutely continuous with respect to each other. Also denote by \( (\mathcal{P}^2_t(M), W_t) \) the 2-Wasserstein space and its metric induced by \( d_t \).

Let \( u : (t - \epsilon, t + \epsilon) \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\} \). Define

\[
\partial^+_u u(t) = \limsup_{s \to t^{-}} \frac{u(t) - u(s)}{t - s}, \quad \partial^+_u u(t) = \liminf_{s \to t^{-}} \frac{u(t) - u(s)}{t - s},
\]

\[
\partial^+_u u(t) = \limsup_{s \to t^+} \frac{u(t) - u(s)}{t - s}, \quad \partial^+_u u(t) = \liminf_{s \to t^+} \frac{u(t) - u(s)}{t - s}.
\]

Let \( \text{Ent} : [0,T) \times M \) denote the time-dependent Shannon entropy functional

\[
\text{Ent}_t(\mu) = \begin{cases} 
\int f^{(t)} \log f^{(t)} d\mu, & \mu = f^{(t)} m \\
\infty, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

Definition B.1 (Super-N-Ricci flow [71, Definition 0.6]). The time-dependent m.m. space \((M, d_t, m_t)_{t \in [0,T]}\) is a super-N-Ricci flow if the Shannon entropy \( \text{Ent} \) is dynamical \( N \)-convex, i.e. if for almost all \( t \in [0,T) \) and every \( \mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}^2_t(M) \) with \( \text{Ent}_t(\mu_0), \text{Ent}_t(\mu_1) \in \mathbb{R} \), there is a geodesic \( \tau \mapsto \mu_\tau \) in \( \mathcal{P}^2_t(M) \) connecting \( \mu_0 \) and \( \mu_1 \) such that \( \tau \mapsto \text{Ent}_t(\mu_\tau) \) is absolutely continuous and

\[
\frac{d}{d\tau} \text{Ent}_t(\mu_{\tau-}) - \frac{d}{d\tau} \text{Ent}_t(\mu_{\tau+}) \geq -\frac{1}{2} \partial^+_u \text{Ent}_t(\mu_{\tau-})^2 + \frac{1}{N} \text{Ent}_t(\mu_0) - \text{Ent}_t(\mu_1)^2.
\]

We say it is a strong super-N-Ricci flow if the inequality holds along all geodesics \( \tau \mapsto \mu_\tau \).

Proposition B.2. Assume \((M, d_t, m_t)_{t \in [0,T]}\) is a time-dependent m.m. space and let \( G \) be a compact Lie group acting effectively by isomorphisms of m.m. spaces on each \((M, d_t, m_t)\). Furthermore, assume the induced quotient metrics \( d^*_t \) induce all the same topology on \( M^* \) and the quotient measures \( m^*_t \) are all mutually absolutely continuous. If \((M, d_t, m_t)_{t \in [0,T]}\) is a strong super-N-Ricci flow then \((M^*, d^*_t, m^*_t)\) is a strong super-N-Ricci flow as well.
ON QUOTIENS OF SPACES WITH RICCI CURVATURE BOUNDED BELOW

Proof. Just note that by Theorem 3.2, for fixed $t \in [0, T)$ the $G$-invariant Wasserstein space $\mathcal{P}^{(1)}_2(G(M))$ is isometric to $\mathcal{P}^{(1)}_2(M^*)$ and the entropy with respect to $\mu_t$ of a measure in $\mathcal{P}_2(M^*)$ is given by the entropy with respect to $\mu_t$ of the $G$-invariant lift. Thus if we let $\text{Ent}^*$ denote the entropy of the quotient spaces and $\tau \mapsto \mu_\tau$ the $G$-invariant lift of a geodesic $t \mapsto \mu_t$, then

$$\partial_\tau^+ \text{Ent}_t^*(\mu_1) - \partial_\tau^- \text{Ent}_t^*(\mu_0) = \partial_\tau^+ \text{Ent}_t(\hat{\mu}_1) - \partial_\tau^- \text{Ent}_t(\hat{\mu}_0)$$

$$\geq -\frac{1}{2} \partial_\tau^+ W_t^*(\mu_0, \mu_1)^2 + \frac{1}{N} |\text{Ent}_t(\mu_0) - \text{Ent}_t(\mu_1)|^2$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \partial_\tau^+ W_t^*(\mu_0, \mu_1)^2 + \frac{1}{N} |\text{Ent}_t(\mu_0) - \text{Ent}_t(\mu_1)|^2.$$

Remark B.3. Let $G$ be as in Proposition B.2 and assume furthermore that the action of $G$ is independent of the time $t \in [0, T)$. Then the assumption that all the metrics $d_t$ induce the same topology on $M$ and all the measures $\mu_t$ are mutually absolutely continuous ensure that the same is true on the quotient $M^*$; i.e. the induced quotient metrics $d_t^*$ induce all the same topology on $M^*$ and the quotient measures $\mu_t^*$ are all mutually absolutely continuous. So in this case the quotient of a strong $N$-super-Ricci flow is an strong $N$-super-Ricci flow in the quotient spaces without extra assumptions on the quotient structures.

Combining Remark B.3 with Proposition B.2, together with the fact that a smooth $N$-dimensional Ricci flow is a strong super-N-Ricci flow, we get the next result.

Corollary B.4. Let $(M, g_t \in [0, T))$ be a time-dependent family of complete $N$-dimensional Riemannian manifolds with bounded curvature solving the Ricci flow, and let $G < Isom(M, g_0)$ be a compact Lie subgroup of the isometry group. Then the induced quotient metric-measure spaces $(M^*, d_t^*, \mu_t^*) \in [0, T]$ are a strong super-$N$-Ricci flow. Moreover, if the induced quotient spaces are smooth weighted Riemannian manifolds $(M^*, g_t^*, \Psi_t \text{vol}_{g_t^*}) \in [0, T)$, with $\Psi_t \text{vol}_{g_t^*} = p_2 \text{vol}_{g_t}$, then

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_\tau g_t^* + \text{Ric}_{g_t^*} - (N - n) \frac{\nabla^2 g_t^*(\Psi_t^*)^{\frac{n}{N-n}}}{(\Psi_t^*)^{\frac{n}{N-n}}} \geq 0$$

where $n = \dim M^*$.

Remark B.5. Under the assumptions of the last part of Corollary B.4, since the orbits $G(x)$ at different times $t \in [0, T)$ are bi-Lipschitz equivalent, their Haar measure $\nu_{x^*}$ is time independent. Thus it is possible to obtain $f_t$ via the formula

$$\text{vol}_{g_t} = \int \nu_{x^*} e^{-h} d\text{vol}_{g_t^*}(x^*).$$
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