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Neuronal communication relies on action potential discharge, with the frequency and the temporal precision of action potentials encoding information. Hippocampal mossy fibers have long been recognized as conditional detonators owing to prominent short-term facilitation of glutamate release displayed during granule cell burst firing. However, the spiking patterns required to trigger action potential firing in CA3 pyramidal neurons remain poorly understood. Here, we show that glutamate release from mossy fiber terminals triggers action potential firing of the target CA3 pyramidal neurons independently of the average granule cell burst frequency, a phenomenon we term action potential counting. We find that action potential counting in mossy fibers gates glutamate release over a broad physiologica timescale of frequency and action potential numbers. Using rapid Ca imaging we also show that the magnitude of evoked Ca influx stays constant during action potential trains and that accumulated residual Ca is gradually extruded on a time scale of several hundred milliseconds. Using experimentally constrained 3D model of presynaptic Ca influx, buffering, and diffusion, and a Monte Carlo model of Ca2+-activated vesicle fusion, we argue that action potential counting at mossy fiber boutons can be explained by a unique interplay between Ca2+ dynamics and buffering at release sites. This is largely determined by the differential contribution of major endogenous Ca2+ buffers calbindin-D28k and calmodulin and by the loose coupling between presynaptic voltage-gated Ca2+ channels and release sensors and the relatively slow Ca2+ extrusion rate. Taken together, our results identify a previously unexplored information-coding mechanism in the brain.
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Neurons encode and transmit information in the frequency and temporal precision of action potentials (APs) they discharge (1, 2). Presynaptic terminals are key elements involved in the translation of electrical signals to neurotransmitter release and further electrical signaling in the target postsynaptic cell (3). The distinct spatial assembly of voltage-gated Ca2+ channels (VGCCs), Ca2+ buffers and vesicular Ca2+ release sensors confers specific properties to presynaptic terminals (4). As such, neurotransmitter release is dynamically modulated during trains of APs and can be facilitated, depressed, or remain constant. This dynamic modulation of neurotransmitter release is thought to support the neuronal code used to transfer information (5). However, it remains generally unknown how a given presynaptic terminal leverages its attribute to transfer information to its postsynaptic partners.

During active states, several types of neurons fire in bursts. For example, hippocampal granule cells fire infrequently, but discharge bursts of APs with highly variable frequencies (6, 7). Remarkably, the probability of CA3 pyramidal cell firing increases several fold during granule cell burst firing in vivo (8). This increase in firing probability is supported by the extensive short-term facilitation observed at this synapse both in vitro (9–11) and in vivo (12). However, how presynaptic mossy fiber bouton (MFB) terminals decode the frequency and the number of APs in incoming bursts to transmit information remains poorly understood.

To probe how MFB terminals integrate bursts of APs, we combined electrophysiological measurements in acute hippocampal slices with rapid presynaptic two-photon Ca imaging and experimentally constrained modeling. We show that giant MFB terminals count the number of APs during bursts through a distinctive interplay between local and global presynaptic Ca2+ dynamics and buffering and Ca2+-triggered vesicular release and replenishment. This leads to postsynaptic CA3 pyramidal cell firing which is independent of the average burst frequency in the granular cell and only depends on the number of spikes and on the interspike interval between the two last APs in the burst. Altogether, our results elucidate how MFBs integrate incoming bursts of APs to propagate information to CA3 pyramidal neurons.

**Results**

We first aimed to determine how AP transmission to CA3 pyramidal cells is encoded by the frequency and the number of APs discharged by granule cells. We recorded CA3 pyramidal cells in current clamp and stimulated mossy fibers using trains of APs with the initial frequency of the first five stimuli delivered at 20 or 100 Hz and the last three stimuli fixed at 100 Hz (Fig. 1A). As expected, AP firing by CA3 cells progressively increased during

**Significance**

Neurons fire action potentials to transfer information through synaptic release of neurotransmitter. At presynaptic terminals, the pattern of action potential discharge is integrated through dynamic Ca2+ signaling by the presynaptic machinery which triggers the release of neurotransmitter. It is generally accepted that the rate and the temporal precision of action potential firing support information transfer between neurons. Here, we show that in contrast to rate and temporal coding, giant mossy fiber terminals count the number of action potentials during trains to trigger CA3 pyramidal cell firing. Our results shed light on the synaptic signal transfer mechanisms supporting an additional information coding strategy in the brain.
mossey fiber stimulation (Fig. 1 B–D). The probability of observing the first postsynaptic spike sharply increased at the sixth stimuli (Fig. 1E). Both the probability of CA3 pyramidal cell firing at the sixth stimulus and the probability of observing the first AP were independent from the initial burst frequency (Fig. 1 D and E). This suggests that AP transmission at MFB terminals is mainly determined by the number of spikes within the train and not by the average train frequency. Glutamate release from MFBs is greatly amplified during trains of stimuli (10, 13, 14), however how the frequency and number of stimuli are translated to specific patterns of glutamate release remains unknown. We varied the burst frequency and the number of stimuli to dissect the contribution of these two parameters. The sixth evoked postsynaptic current (EPSC) amplitude in a 5 × 20-Hz + 1 × 100-Hz burst was nearly identical to the sixth EPSC amplitude of a pure 100-Hz train (Fig. 2 A and B). Similarly, the sixth EPSC amplitude of a 5 × 100-Hz + 1 × 20-Hz burst closely matched the amplitude of the sixth EPSC in a 20-Hz train (Fig. 2 C and D). This indicates that the average frequency of a train is not a determining factor of the rate of glutamate release. Instead, the number of preceding stimuli and the timing of the last stimulus appear to dictate the efficiency of synchronous glutamate release at the last sixth spike. These data argue that MFB terminals use a counting logic. We confirmed that such counting logic was observed for any stimulus number between 2 and 10 (SI Appendix, Fig. S1 A and B) and for frequencies between 10 and 100 Hz (SI Appendix, Fig. S1C). Synaptic plasticity can be observed at various time scales and hence potentially can provide multiplexed coding strategies. We therefore investigated how post-tetanic potentiation (PTP) influences the number of presynaptic stimuli required to evoke a postsynaptic AP. In agreement with a recent report (15), we observed that PTP transiently reduces the number of presynaptic stimuli required to trigger a postsynaptic AP from 6 to 3, an effect which lasted on the order of 50 s (SI Appendix, Fig. S2). Thus, the counting logic in MFBs can be efficiently modulated by PTP. Ca2+-dependent neurotransmission involves both synchronous and asynchronous events. Although in our experimental conditions asynchronous release does not substantially contribute to the depolarization of a postsynaptic cell during short, high-frequency bursts of APs (SI Appendix, Fig. S3), our results argue that asynchronous release could further enhance the counting logic mediated by the synchronous component. Indeed, the linear dependency of the asynchronous component on the stimulation frequency (SI Appendix, Fig. S3B) implies that the rate of quanta released between any two presynaptic APs (which is the product of the asynchronous release rate and interstimulus interval) should not depend on the stimulation frequency.

To gather insights on the presynaptic determinants of the counting logic, we next performed fast whole-bouton two-photon random-access Ca2+ imaging using the low-affinity Ca2+ indicator Fluo-4FF to measure the dynamic modulation of presynaptic [Ca2+]i during AP trains (Fig. 3). We found that the amplitude of AP-evoked Ca2+-fluorescence transients remained constant during AP bursts (Fig. 3 B–E). This indicates that the total magnitude of the AP-evoked Ca2+ transients during 20- or 100-Hz stimulations and therefore, modulation of VGCC activity is unlikely to contribute to short-term plasticity in MFB terminals. We next explored the presynaptic Ca2+ dynamics by direct fitting of the experimental traces using a non-stationary single-compartment model (16, 17) (Fig. 3 B and C and Materials and Methods). The model, which incorporated three major endogenous Ca2+ buffers known to be present in MFBs [calbindin-D28k (CB), calmodulin (CaM), and ATP] provided close fits of the experimental data (Fig. 3 B and C). This simulation (SI Appendix, Fig. S4). It is noteworthy that such a complex model with a single fast high-affinity endogenous buffer (18) could not replicate the Ca2+ imaging data (SI Appendix, Fig. S5). The fitting allowed us to estimate Ca2+ removal rate in our experimental conditions (krem range 0.2–0.7 ms⁻¹), which was in close agreement with previous estimates obtained with high-affinity Ca2+ indicator Fluo-4 (16).

To understand whether the interplay between presynaptic Ca2+ dynamics and endogenous Ca2+ buffering can lead to AP counting, we performed quantitative modeling of AP-evoked Ca2+ influx, buffering and diffusion, and glutamate release in MFBs. The 3D model incorporated key ultrastructural and functional properties of MFBs including multiple release sites, experimentally constrained presynaptic Ca2+ dynamics, and loose coupling between VGCCs and vesicular release sensors (16, 18–20) (Fig. 4 and Materials and Methods). The simulation unit, which represented a part of MFB with a single release site, was modeled as a parallelepiped of size 0.5 μm × 0.5 μm × 0.79 μm with a single VGCC cluster in the middle of the bottom base (Fig. 4-4). As in the case of the single-compartment model, we assumed the presence of the major MFB endogenous buffers: CB, ATP, and CaM. At physiological conditions CaM is known to be distributed between membrane-bound and mobile states, and this distribution is regulated by intracellular [Ca2+]i (21–23). We first considered a limiting case of “Mobile CaM” model. We simulated spatial MFB Ca2+ dynamics in response to
bursts of APs and used the obtained \([Ca^{2+}]\) transients at the release site (90 nm away from the VGCC cluster, Fig. 4B) to perform simulations of vesicular release using a Monte Carlo implementation of \(Ca^{2+}\)-activated vesicle fusion model (20) (Fig. 4C and SI Appendix, Fig. S6). To account for vesicle replenishment during AP bursts we included a vesicle replenishment step in the model and experimentally constrained the replenishment rate constant \((k_{rep} = 20 \, \text{s}^{-1})\) (SI Appendix, Fig. S7). We found that Mobile CaM model indeed replicated the AP counting during mixed 20- and 100-Hz AP trains (Fig. 4D and E and SI Appendix, Fig. S8). What mechanisms underline the counting logic? The model predicted that the peak values of \(Ca^{2+}\) transients \([Ca^{2+}]_{\text{peak}}\) were gradually augmented during AP bursts which was mainly attributed to the increase in residual \([Ca^{2+}]_{\text{residual}}\) and was mostly independent of the stimulation frequency (Fig. 4B). This argues that EPSC facilitation predicted by Mobile CaM model was due to \([Ca^{2+}]_{\text{residual}}\) accumulation and not due to endogenous \(Ca^{2+}\) buffer saturation which normally leads to a progressive increase of the amplitudes of individual AP-evoked \(Ca^{2+}\) transients \([Ca^{2+}]_{\text{lamp}} = [Ca^{2+}]_{\text{peak}} - [Ca^{2+}]_{\text{residual}}\) (18). Indeed, the model revealed that fast and low-affinity CaM N lobe did not show progressive saturation. However, slower and high-affinity buffers CB and CaM C lobe did saturate during AP bursts (SI Appendix, Fig. S9). This at first sight contradictory observation was fully in line with the dominant effect of CaM N lobe on release site \(Ca^{2+}\) dynamics and vesicle fusion (21) (SI Appendix, Fig. S10).

Although Mobile CaM model replicated AP counting, the overall level of EPSC facilitation predicted by this model was \(~40\%\) lower than the experimentally observed values (Fig. 2B and D). Therefore, we considered another limiting case, “CaM dislocation” model. In this model (21) we considered that CaM was initially bound to the presynaptic membrane via interaction of its C lobe with neuromodulin and with other IQ-motif presynaptic membrane proteins (e.g., VGCCs) (21–23), while ATP and CB were considered as mobile buffers. The model assumed that \(Ca^{2+}\) binding by the CaM C lobe during AP bursts led to dissociation of CaM from its membrane binding partners and thus resulted in a stimulation-dependent reduction of \(Ca^{2+}\) buffering capacity in the active zone (AZ) (Fig. 4F). This in turn led to progressive increase of \([Ca^{2+}]\) transients at the AZ (Fig. 4G) and to facilitation of EPSCs (Fig. 4H). The progressive reduction of AZ \(Ca^{2+}\) buffering capacity predicted by the model did not depend on the frequency of AP bursts. Thus, CaM dislocation model also supported the counting logic at MFB terminals. In contrast to Mobile CaM model the dislocation model predicted substantial increase of local AP-evoked \([Ca^{2+}]_{\text{lamp}}\) at the release site, which resulted in stronger EPSC facilitation (Fig. 4G and I). Overall, the experimentally observed level of EPSC facilitation in MFB terminals is likely to be attributed to a joint contribution of the Mobile CaM and CaM dislocation limiting cases (Fig. 4I and SI Appendix, Fig. S11). Interestingly, the effect of somewhat stronger augmentation of \([Ca^{2+}]_{\text{peak}}\) on vesicular release at higher frequencies was compensated in both models by lower vesicle occupancy at the release site during high-frequency stimulation (SI Appendix, Fig. S12). This indicates that frequency-dependent differences in release site occupancy also contribute to the counting logic behavior of MFBs.

**Discussion**

We aimed to understand how granule cells generate CA3 pyramidal cell firing, which represents the first relay of information transfer in the hippocampus (24). This question is important because discharge of a single AP by a single CA3 pyramidal cell has dramatic network consequences as, for example, initiation of sharp-wave ripples (25). Our main finding is that MFBs count the number of APs during granule cell bursts with the temporal precision of only the last AP in the train being important to generate CA3 pyramidal cells firing. The counting logic can be explained by a combination of several structural and functional properties specific to MFB terminals. First, MFB is a synaptic terminal with loose coupling between VGCCs and \(Ca^{2+}\) vesicular release sensors (average coupling distance in the range of 70–100 nm) (18). Thus, AP-induced \(Ca^{2+}\) influx leads to only moderate \([Ca^{2+}]_{\text{peak}}\) amplitude at the release sites \((~10–15 \, \mu M)\). Therefore, in contrast to synapses with tight coupling, gradual accumulation of \([Ca^{2+}]_{\text{residual}}\) during AP bursts, in the range of \(~1–3 \, \mu M,
significantly contributes to facilitation of glutamate release. Accumulation of $[Ca^{2+}]_{\text{residual}}$ is largely independent of stimulation frequency due to a relatively slow $Ca^{2+}$ removal rate $k_{\text{rem}}$, and therefore should contribute to AP counting mechanism. Another possible scenario that supports AP counting is $Ca^{2+}$-dependent translocation of CaM molecules from the plasma membrane to the cytosol. CaM is a major presynaptic $Ca^{2+}$ sensor and our model predicts that such CaM translocation should progressively reduce local $Ca^{2+}$-buffering capacity in the AZ. This in turn should lead to facilitation of local $[Ca^{2+}]_{\text{peak}}$ at the release sites and as a consequence to facilitation of glutamate release. Again, CaM translocation mechanism is in line with AP counting because the model predicts that it should be largely independent of stimulation frequency. Further mechanisms could also contribute to short-term facilitation in MFB terminal, including the presence of release sites with different release probability (10), involvement of high-affinity $Ca^{2+}$ sensors such as synaptotagmin 7 (26), different functional roles of VGCCs (11), presynaptic autoreceptor activation (27), $Ca^{2+}$-induced CaM release from intracellular stores (16, 28), as well as the mechanisms modulating synaptic vesicles dynamics in the activity-dependent manner (29, 30). It is likely that the above mechanisms also contribute to shaping short-term facilitation and AP counting in MFB. On the other hand, the AP broadening observed in MFBs is unlikely to significantly contribute to AP counting, given our observation that AP-evoked $Ca^{2+}$ fluorescence transients remain constant during short (up to 10) trains of APs. This direct presynaptic measurement is consistent with less than 10% increase in presynaptic AP width observed for the 10th AP during 100-Hz trains (16, 31). Is there a postsynaptic contribution to AP counting? It is important to note that we cannot exclude possible postsynaptic mechanisms to CA3 pyramidal cell detonation. Indeed, the CA3 pyramidal cell needs to reach firing threshold, which requires sufficient membrane depolarization. Such postsynaptic factors may include a slow membrane time constant, efficient summation of EPSPs, and synaptic inputs from other sources which could play significant roles that will need to be explored in future studies. In this context, dissecting the role of postsynaptic factors would benefit from dynamic clamp experiments. However, as short-term facilitation is purely presynaptic at MF-CA3 synapses (10), we note that MF terminals can accomplish the counting function. Indeed, the experimentally constrained presynaptic release model presented here closely replicates the observed counting logic. This argues that the dynamic changes of $[Ca^{2+}]$ and of $Ca^{2+}$-buffering at release sites during AP bursts are the major contributors that determine short-term plasticity and AP counting in MFBs.

Synapses act as dynamics filters of information. It is commonly accepted that low-release probability synapses act as high-pass filters, while high-release probability synapses act as low-pass filters (32). Dynamic modulation of neurotransmitter release during bursts of APs further enriches the computational power of synapses (32). Short-term synaptic facilitation has been associated with the preferential transmission of information during burst-like activity (5, 33). In this regard, AP counting by MFBs appears to be an extreme example of burst detection for CA3 pyramidal cells for which the granule cell burst frequency structure is not important.

Dynamic modulation of neurotransmitter release during bursts of APs supports the neuronal code used by the presynaptic terminal (5, 33). AP counting by MFBs contrasts the rate and temporal codes observed in other types of synapses (2). In parallel, an AP counting mechanism was recently described in the...
Venus flytrap plant (34). What are the advantages, if any, of AP counting for the reliability and precision of information transfer? We propose that AP counting enforces both the reliability and precision of information transfer by allowing independent modulation of these two parameters. The reliability of CA3 pyramidal cell firing is largely gated by the number of APs in the granule cell burst, while the temporal precision of CA3 pyramidal cell firing depends solely on the timing of the last AP. This mechanism ensures burst detection and temporally precise information transfer. As a result, AP counting may ensure conditional and precise information transfer by eliminating possible errors associated with stochastic variations in intraburst frequencies.

Granule cells are known to discharge bursts of APs with variable frequency (35). However, our results highlight that the average burst frequency is not transferred to CA3 pyramidal neurons. Granule cells innervate 10 times as many interneurons than pyramidal cells through their filopodial extensions (36, 37). Interestingly, short-term plasticity at interneurons synapses varies in a target-specific manner (13). This may suggest that CA3 pyramidal cells and interneurons simultaneously receive different information from a granule cell burst (32, 33). In addition, the dynamics of information transfer between granule cells and their targets may be largely modulated by long-term plastic changes, with the count required for CA3 pyramidal cell detonation possibly altered in an activity-dependent manner (15, 38).

Determining whether the counting logic is a unique property of hippocampal mossy fiber terminals or a feature shared by other strongly facilitating synapses will enhance our understanding of synaptic information transfer.

Materials and Methods

Electrophysiological Recordings in Acute Hippocampal Slices. Acute hippocampal slices from P17–P25 male rats were prepared according to accepted procedures (10). Experiments involving the use of animals were performed in accordance with guidelines provided by the Animal Protection Committee of Laval University. The slice was perfused with oxygenated warmed recording artificial cerebrospinal fluid solution, containing (in millimolar): NaCl 124, NaHCO3 25, KCl 2.5, MgCl2 2.5, CaCl2 1.2, and glucose 10. The solution was oxygenated by bubbling a gas mixture composed of 95% O2 and 5% CO2. Temperature was maintained at 32 ± 1 °C throughout all experiments. The perfusion rate was adjusted to a constant 2 mL/min. Visually guided whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were obtained from CA3 pyramidal cells with a solution containing: K-glucuronate 120, KCl 20, Hepes 10, MgCl2 2, Mg2ATP 2, NaGTP 0.3, phosphocreatine 7, EGTA 0.6 (pH = 7.2, 295 mMOS).

Random-Access Two-Photon Calcium Imaging. A titanium:sapphire laser (Chameleon Ultra II, Coherent) tuned at 800 nm provided the two-photon excitation source (80 MHz, 140-fs pulse width and with an average power >4 W). The laser beam was redirected by a pair of acoustooptic deflectors (A-A Opto Electronics) to enable random access over the field of view. The laser beam was focused on the brain slice through a high-N.A.
water-immersion objective (25× objective, with an N.A. = 0.95). Detailed procedures for two-photon calcium imaging experiments can be found in the SI Appendix, Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Nonstationary Single-Compartment Model of Presynaptic Ca2+ Dynamics. Experimental Ca2+ fluorescence traces were analyzed using a nonstationary single-compartment model (16, 17), which assumes spatial homogeneity of [Ca2+] in the nerve terminal. SI Appendix, Supplementary Materials and Methods describes the details of the model.

Spatial VCell Model of MFB Ca2+ Dynamics. Three-dimensional modeling of AP-evoked presynaptic Ca2+ influx, buffer, and diffusion was performed in the Virtual Cell (VCell) simulation environment (vcell.org) using the fully implicit adaptive time-step finite-volume method on a 10-nm meshed geometry. The detailed modeling procedures can be found in SI Appendix, Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Modeling of Ca2+-Triggered Synaptic Vesicle Fusion. We assumed that the vesicular Ca2+ release sensor was located at coupling distance d = 90 nm from the edge of VGCC cluster (Fig. 4A). To simulate glutamate release we used [Ca2+]i(t) profiles obtained in VCell at this location for each specific AP firing pattern inMonte Carlo simulations (implemented in MATLAB).