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Abstract

This work investigates the rheology, structure, and properties of novel thermal/cooling-gel biphasic systems formed by hybridization of hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC) as a thermal gel and hydroxypropyl starch (HPS) as a cooling gel. Due to the different gelation properties, HPS became the dispersed phase in the other continuous phase at low temperatures, and so did HPMC at high temperatures. However, the dispersed phase could play a dominant role in the viscosity, thixotropy, and gel properties of the blends, and subsequently affect the crystalline structure, fractal structure, mechanical properties, oxygen permeability, and thermal stability of the blend films. Moreover, the rheological properties and the film structure and performance could also be varied by the chemical modification of starch. Hydroxypropylation could break the starch intermolecular hydrogen bonding, disrupt its ordered structure, inhibit the molecular rearrangement, and result in a softer gel texture that was more compatible with HPMC. With a higher degree of hydroxypropyl substitution, the resultant blend films were more amorphous and flexible but exhibited decreased mechanical properties and oxygen permeability. The knowledge obtained from this work could provide guidance to further developing various thermal/cooling-gel multi-phasic systems with desired properties and functionality.
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1 Introduction

The blending of two or more polymers has been considered as one of the most cost-effective methods to modify the bulk properties of individual polymers, achieve enhanced and/or new material properties, reduce costs, and to expand the applications of polymer materials (Taguet et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2016a; Wang et al., 2016b).

Hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC), a derivative of cellulose, the richest natural polymer on the Earth, has good water solubility and biodegradability, and excellent film-forming, mechanical and barrier properties. Therefore, HPMC has been extensively used in food packaging, medicinal capsules, and other drug delivery systems (Imran et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2017a; Zhang et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2017b). However, the high prices of HPMC limit its general applications, even for use in therapeutic delivery systems (Wang et al., 2016a; Wang et al., 2016b; Zhang et al., 2013b; Zhang et al., 2013c). Regarding this, starch, which is widely used in food industry, can be a cheap material to decrease the price of HPMC. Native starch is a storage carbohydrate of plants and usually modified by chemical or physical methods to improve its processability and properties and to widen its applications (Adak and Banerjee, 2016; Lee and Yoo, 2011; Luallen, 2004; Masina et al., 2017).

Hydroxypropyl starch (HPS) is a typical chemically modified starch and is an inexpensive material being widely used in the food industry (Wang et al., 2016a; Zhang et al., 2015). Therefore, the commercial potential of HPMC/HPS is foreseeable for a broad range of applications such as food packaging, food, and medicines.

Edible materials from natural polymers can be easily produced via a wet process (Cuq et al., 1998). It is based on a film-forming solution or dispersion where polymers are first solubilized or dispersed into a liquid phase, which is then concentrated with the removal of the liquid (usually by drying at a higher temperature). This process is generally preferred to form edible pre-formed films, or to apply coatings in the liquid form directly onto products by dipping, brushing, or spraying. The design of processes for such materials requires accurate data regarding the rheological properties of
film-forming solutions or dispersions, which play an important role in controlling the defects in the thin films after coating (Peressini et al., 2003).

It is well known that both HPMC and HPS can form hydrogels, but their gelation behaviors are quite different. HPMC is a thermal gel that forms a hydrogel at a higher temperature and its gel disaggregates at a lower temperature, which is distinctly different from the conventional hydrogels such as gelatin (Dhillon and Seetharaman, 2011; Haque et al., 1993; Liu et al., 2008). The widely-accepted gelation mechanism of HPMC regards the intermolecular association of hydrophobic groups on the polymer chains, leading to crosslinking and gel formation (Haque and Morris, 1993; Haque et al., 1993; Li, 2002; Viriden et al., 2010). As the film-forming solutions need to be dried at a higher temperature, the thermal-gelation property can cause much difficulty in fabricating HPMC films. Regarding this issue, HPS has shown to be able to decrease the gelation point of HPMC since it has a cooling-gelation property (Zhang et al., 2015). The mechanism of the gelation of HPS relates to the formation of a three-dimensional network by interchain hydrogen bonding during the cooling process. Meanwhile, research has shown that HPMC can also influence the gelation property of starch (Correa et al., 2010; Kadokawa et al., 2009; Rosell et al., 2011). Therefore, for practical applications, it is fundamentally important to thoroughly understand the gelation and rheological properties of the thermal/cooling-gel bi-phasic systems based on HPMC/HPS.

It is known that a small change in chemical structure of starch can result in dramatic changes in their rheological properties. Thus, chemical modification further provides the possibilities to improve and control the rheological properties of the final bi-phasic systems involving starch (Chun and Yoo, 2007). In turn, the understanding of the changes in rheological properties of starch caused by chemical modification is helpful in understanding the structural properties and can also assist in the design of modified starches with improved properties (Lee and Yoo, 2011). HPS is generally prepared by etherification of native starch with propylene oxide in the presence of an alkaline catalyst. The hydroxypropyl groups are hydrophilic in nature. When these groups are introduced onto
the starch chains, they weaken or disrupt the internal hydrogen bonding that maintains the whole granule structure. Therefore, the physicochemical properties of starch could be influenced by hydroxypropylation depending on the molar substitution (MS) (Lee and Yoo, 2011; Moin et al., 2017; Schmitz et al., 2006; Woggum et al., 2015).

While the physicochemical properties of HPS with different MS has been concerned in many studies (Han et al., 2005; Kaur et al., 2004; Lawal et al., 2008; Schmitz et al., 2006), much less attention (Chun and Yoo, 2007; Lee and Yoo, 2011; Ren et al., 2017) has been paid to the rheological and gel properties of HPS, as well as the material structure properties of the blends that contain HPS. In this work, HPS with different MS was added to HPMC to prepare HPMC/HPS blends. The rheological properties and the film structure and performance of HPMC/HPS blends were examined as a function of MS. The knowledge obtained from this work would be vital to understanding the mechanistic relationship between structural modification and properties of the novel thermal/cooling-gel bi-phasic systems.

2 Materials and method

2.1 Materials

A commercially available pharmaceutical-grade HPMC (HT-E15, from HopeTop Pharmaceutical Company, China: viscosity (2%), 6.3 mPa·s; pH 6.0; methoxyl content on the dry basis, 29%; hydroxypropyl oxygen content on dry basis 8.4%) was used in this work. Three food-grade hydroxypropylated corn starches (G80, A939, and A1081) with different MSs (the average amount of hydroxypropyl per unit mole of glucose) (0, 0.04, and 0.11, respectively) were supplied by Penford (Australia).
2.2 Film preparation

For rheological measurement, solutions of 15 wt.% concentration containing HPMC/HPS of different ratios (100/0, 50/50, 0/100) were prepared. For the preparation of these solutions, HPMC and HPS (dry powder) were firstly mixed. Then, the mixtures were dispersed in hot water (70 °C) with stirring for 30 min to ensure a proper distribution of HPMC in the solutions. Afterwards, the solutions were heated to 95 °C and maintained for 1 h to gelatinize HPS in a water bath. For G80, the solutions were gelatinized in a high-pressure reactor at 1500 psi (103 bar) and 110 °C for 30 min instead, since its gelatinization temperature is higher than 100 °C (Liu et al., 2006). The solutions were cooled down to room temperature to dissolve HPMC under stirring before testing.

For film preparation, solutions of 8 wt.% concentration were added with 2.4% PEG as a plasticizer. Solutions were kept at 70 °C for 40 min with slow continuous stirring before film casting. For film casting, 20 g of solutions were poured onto a Petri dish (15 cm diameter) and then dried at 37 °C. The dry films were peeled off from the dishes and kept under 75% RH at 25 °C for at least three days before further characterization.

2.3 Rheological measurement

The rheological properties of samples were investigated using a Discovery HR-2 rheometer (TA Instruments, New Castle, DE, USA). A parallel-plate geometry (60 mm diameter) with the gap set at 1.0 mm was used for the measurements.

Flow patterns were used to understand the steady rheological properties and thixotropy. At a constant shear treatment, the viscosity of a polymer may vary with time before a stable value could be achieved (Tajuddin et al., 2011). As a result, pre-shearing with a shear rate of 800 s⁻¹ at room temperature (25 °C) for 1000 s was performed to ensure all the samples to achieve a stable state before further measurement. Steady rheological properties were tested at 25 °C with shear rate
increased from zero to 1000 s\(^{-1}\) for 1 min, then kept at 1000 s\(^{-1}\) for 1 min, and finally decreased to zero for another 1 min. The curve of shear stress (\(\tau\)) vs. shear rate (\(\dot{\gamma}\)) corresponds to the equation:

\[
\tau = K\dot{\gamma}^n
\]  

(1)

From this equation, the fluid consistency index (\(K\)) and flow behavior index (\(n\)) can be calculated.

Small-amplitude oscillatory shear tests were used to explore the dynamic rheological properties. To obtain the linear range of viscoelasticity, strain sweep measurements were performed from 0.01\% to 100\% at a frequency of 1 Hz at 25 °C. Temperature sweeps involved heating from 5 °C to 85 °C, holding at 85 °C for 5 min, and then cooling to 5 °C. A heating/cooling rate of 2 °C/min was used. The frequency was set at 1 Hz and the strain at 0.1\% (to be in the linear range of viscoelasticity). The sample was placed between the parallel plates, and then a small amount of silicone oil was applied to the periphery of the sample to prevent moisture evaporation. Frequency sweeps from 1 rad/s to 100 rad/s were also performed after isothermal equilibration for 5 min at both 5 °C and 85 °C. The strain was set at 0.1\% (to be in the linear range of viscoelasticity). Storage modulus (\(G'\)), loss modulus (\(G''\)), and \(\tan \delta\) were recorded. The frequency-dependence of \(G'\) and \(G''\) can be shown in the following power-law equations:

\[
G' = G'_0\omega^{n'}
\]  

(2)

\[
G'' = G''_0\omega^{n''}
\]  

(3)

From these equations, the slopes (\(n'\) and \(n''\)) and intercepts (\(G'_0\) and \(G''_0\)) of log \(G'\)–log \(\omega\) and log \(G''\)–log \(\omega\) can be calculated.

The thixotropy was probed with three-interval thixotropic tests. The tests were carried out at 25 °C with a low-shear stage with shear rate kept at 1 s\(^{-1}\) for 50 s, a high-shear stage with shear rate
kept at 1000 s\(^{-1}\) for 20 s, then a structural recovery stage with shear rate kept at 1 s\(^{-1}\) for 250 s. The structural recovery ratio (DSR) can be defined by the equation:

\[ DSR = \frac{\eta_t}{\eta} \times 100\% \quad (4) \]

Here, \(\eta_t\) is the viscosity in the structural recovery stage at a certain time \((t)\) and \(\eta\) is the final viscosity in the first stage.

### 2.4 Synchrotron small/wide angle X-ray scattering (SAXS/WAXS)

Synchrotron small/wide-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS/WAXS) measurements were carried out on the SAXS/WAXS beamline (flux, \(10^{13}\) photons per second) at the Australian Synchrotron (Clayton, Vic, Australia) at a wavelength \(\lambda = 1.47\ \text{Å}\). The 2D scattering patterns were collected using a Pilatus 1M camera (active area, \(169 \times 179\ \text{mm}\); and pixel size, \(172 \times 172\ \mu\text{m}\)). The scatterBrain software was used to acquire the one-dimensional (1D) data from the 2D scattering pattern, and the data in the angular range of \(0.015 < q < 0.15\ \text{Å}^{-1}\) and \(0.095 < q < 2\ \text{Å}^{-1}\) were used as the SAXS and WAXS pattern, respectively, where \(q = 4\pi \sin \theta / \lambda\), in which \(2\theta\) is the scattering angle and \(\lambda\) is the X-ray wavelength. All data were normalized, and the background intensity and smeared intensity were removed for further analysis.

### 2.5 Mechanical properties

Tensile properties were evaluated in accordance with the ASTM D5938 standard using an Instron tensile testing apparatus (5565). Tensile strength \((\sigma_t)\), elongation at break \((\varepsilon_b)\) and Young’s modulus \((E)\) were measured at a crosshead speed of 10 mm/min. Seven specimens were tested for each sample and the mean values were reported.
2.6 Oxygen permeability (OP)

Oxygen transmission rates of the films were measured by Mocon OXTRAN® 2/21H Master (MH) and Satellite (SH) systems (Mocon Inc., Minneapolis, MN) according to the ASTM D-3985 standard. The exposure area for testing was 50 cm² for each sample.

2.7 Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)

Thermal stability of the samples was evaluated using a PerkinElmer Pyris 1 TGA system. Samples were heated from 30 °C to 700 °C at 10 °C/min in a nitrogen atmosphere.

3 Results and Discussions

3.1 Rheological properties of film-forming solutions

3.1.1 Steady rheological properties

The pre-shearing carried out before the real shearing test was to ensure the samples to achieve a homogeneous and stable state so that the effect of time could be eliminated. Fig. 1 shows the effect of shear rate on the viscosity of the HPMC/HPS solution with different MSs of HPS. It can be seen that the viscosity of all the samples decreased with increasing shear rate and showed a shear-thinning behavior. Under shear force, most polymer solutions of high concentrations will undergo significant disentanglement and molecule rearrangement, showing a pseudoplastic behavior (Heyman et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015). For pure HPS, the viscosity was higher and the shear-thinning behavior was stronger than for the blends, whereas pure HPMC showed the lowest viscosity and the least shear-thinning behavior. Regarding this, at low temperatures, HPMC was a sol, of which the
viscosity was much lower than that of HPS. The addition of HPMC decreased the shear-thinning behavior of HPS. Besides, with a higher MS of HPS, the viscosity of the HPMC/HPS solution at a fixed blend ratio displayed a reduced viscosity. This can be explained by the reduced intermolecular hydrogen bonding because of hydroxypropylation. In the low-shear stage, there was a stable-value region in the samples containing HPS with a high MS, and the stable-value region was narrowed with the addition of HPMC.

Fig. 1. Viscosity vs. shear rate curves for the solutions of HPMC, HPSs with different MSs, and HPMC/HPS blends with the different HPSs at 25 °C (with pre-shearing)

Table 1 lists the \( n \) (flow behavior index) and \( K \) (fluid consistency index) values for the different samples calculated in the shear rate range of \( 10^{-1} \text{–} 10^{3} \) 1/s. For all the samples, \( n \) was less than 1, indicating that they are all pseudoplastic fluids. With a higher HPMC content, HPMC/HPS blends displayed a higher \( n \) value, suggesting an enhanced Newtonian behavior. However, \( K \) (proportional to viscosity) was decreased with a higher amount of HPMC. For pure HPS, both \( n \) and \( K \) were decreased with a higher MS of HPS, meaning hydroxypropylation can improve the pseudoplasticity and reduce the viscosity of the starch solution. For the blend samples, \( n \) was close to 1 and decreased

Table 1
with the increased MS of HPS, which shows the effect of starch hydroxypropylation on the overall rheological behavior of the blends.

According to blending principle, the smaller the difference in viscosity between two phases, the greater is the compatibility between two components (Larson, 1999). HPMC showed a Newton-like liquid \((n \text{ close to 1})\) at low temperatures. Therefore, in this study, a higher \(n\) value of HPS suggests better compatibility in the blends.

3.1.2 Dynamic rheological properties

3.1.2.1 Linear viscoelastic regions

It is well known that for a hydrogel, \(G'\) is controlled by the hardness, junction zone strength, and bonds quantities of effective molecular chains. In addition, \(G''\) is contributed by the friction energy consumption in a liquid state, which involves the mobility, movement and friction of small molecules, and the vibration and rotation of functional groups. The crossover of \(G'\) and \(G''\) (\(\tan \delta = 1\)) indicates the sol-gel transition. \(G'\) and \(G''\) can be used to detect the gelation behavior, the speed of gel network formation, and structural characteristics (Clark and Ross-Murphy, 1987). They also reflect the inner structural development and molecular interactions during the gel network formation (Musampa et al., 2007).

Fig. 2 shows the results from strain sweep measurements performed over a strain range of 0.01–100% at a frequency of 1 Hz. It can be seen that at low strains (0.01–1%), all the samples except HPMC were gel \((G' > G'')\). For HPMC, \(G'\) was always lower than \(G''\) in the test range, indicating HPMC was a solution. Besides, different samples had viscoelasticity that had different degrees of dependence on strain. For G80, this dependence was more apparent — when the strain was higher than 0.3%, there was an apparent decrease in \(G'\), a remarkable increase in \(G''\), and a dramatic
An increase in $\tan \delta$. Moreover, a crossover of $G'$ and $G''$ was observed for G80 at a strain of 1.7%. This means that G80 became a solution when the strain was over 1.7%.

**Fig. 2.** Strain sweep curves for the solutions of HPMC, HPSs with different MSs, and HPMC/HPS blends with the different HPSs at a frequency of 1 Hz at 25 °C. In (a) and (b), the solid and hollow symbols represent $G'$ and $G''$, respectively.

For HPS, the linear viscoelastic region was narrowed with a lower MS. In other words, with the increased MS, the sudden change in $\tan \delta$ occurred at a higher strain. G80 had the smallest
viscoelastic range among all the samples. This value was taken into consideration for the choice of
strain in the following studies.

For HPMC/HPS blends, the linear viscoelastic region was also narrowed with a lower MS,
although this MS-induced narrowing of the linear viscoelastic region became less apparent.

3.1.2.2 Viscoelastic property during heating and cooling

Fig. 3 shows the dynamic viscoelastic properties of HPMC, HPS samples with different MSs,
and HPMC/HPS blends with different HPSs. It can be seen that for HPMC, there were four regions
during heating, namely a plateau, two structural formation stages, and then another plateau. During
the first plateau, $G'$ was below $G''$ and both of them were very small and decreased slowly with
increasing temperature. This indicates a common viscoelastic behavior of a liquid. The thermal
gelation of HPMC had two distinct stages of the structural formation and the divide was the
crossover of $G'$ and $G''$ (i.e., the sol-gel transition at ca. 49 °C), which was consistent with other
reports (Haque and Morris, 1993; Haque et al., 1993). At higher temperatures, due to the association
of hydrophobic groups and that of hydroxyl groups, a crosslinked network was gradually formed
(Chen, 2007; Dziesak, 1991; Schmitz et al., 2006). The last plateau with higher $G'$ and $G''$ indicates
the eventual formation of a gel network.
Fig. 3. Storage modulus ($G'$), loss modulus ($G''$) and tan δ vs. temperature curves for the solutions of HPMC, HPSs with different MSs, and HPMC/HPS blends with the different HPSs.

With decreasing temperature, the sequence of these regions was reversed. The crossover of $G'$ and $G''$ shifted to a lower temperature of about 32 °C during cooling. This shift could be due to hysteresis (Haque and Morris, 1993) and/or the condensation of chains at a lower temperature (Chen, 2007; Zhang et al., 2015). Like HPMC, most of the other samples displayed these four regions during heating, which were reversed during cooling. However, we can see that G80 and A939 showed a simpler pattern with no crossover of $G'$ and $G''$. G80 even did not reach any plateau finally.

For HPS, a higher MS could shift both the starting and end temperatures for the structural formation (gelation). Specifically, the starting temperatures of G80, A939 and A1081 were 61 °C, 62 °C and 54 °C, respectively. Besides, the higher the MS, the lower were both $G'$ and $G''$, which was in agreement with other studies (Kim et al., 1992a; Kim et al., 1992b). This suggests that the gel texture became softer with the increased MS. Regarding this, the ordered structure of starch was disrupted, and its hydrophilicity was increased when native starch was hydroxypropylated (Morikawa and Nishinari, 2000).
For all the blends, both $G'$ and $G''$ were decreased with a higher MS of HPS, which was consistent with results for pure starches. Also, the effect of MS on both $G'$ and $G''$ for the blends became less apparent with the addition of HPMC.

All the blend samples showed a similar pattern of viscoelastic properties, which was parallel to those for HPS at low temperatures and HPMC at high temperatures. In other words, HPS controlled the viscoelastic property of the blends at low temperatures whereas HPMC dominated the behavior at high temperatures. These results might be accounted for by the different gelation properties of HPS and HPMC. Specifically, HPS is a cooling gel, shifting from gel to sol during heating. In contrast, HPMC, as a thermal gel, congeals with increasing temperature. For HPMC/HPS blends, at low temperatures, HPS mainly contributed to the gelation, while at high temperatures, HPMC was responsible for the gel formation.

The blends had intermediate moduli between those for individual HPS and HPMC. Besides, $G'$ was always higher than $G''$ during the whole temperature range. In this regards, both HPS and HPMC could individually form intermolecular hydrogen bonding with water and with each other. Furthermore, all the blends showed a tan $\delta$ peak at about 45 $^\circ$C, suggesting a change of the continuous phase in the matrix.

### 3.1.2.3 Dynamic mechanical properties

Fig. 4a and b show the moduli ($G'$ and $G''$) vs. frequency results at 5 $^\circ$C for HPMC, HPSs with different MSs, and HPMC/HPS blends with different HPSs. All the HPS samples showed a typical solid-like behavior ($G'$ > $G''$), whereas HPMC was fluid-like ($G'$ < $G''$). All the blends had a solid-like behavior. For most of the samples, a higher frequency led to higher $G'$ than $G''$, indicating the materials were more solid-like.
Fig. 4. Storage modulus ($G'$) and loss modulus ($G''$) vs. frequency curves for HPMC, HPSs with different MSs, and HPS/HPMC blends with the different HPSs at 5 °C (a and b) and 85 °C (c and d). The closed and open symbols correspond to $G'$ and $G''$, respectively.

Table 2 lists the $n'$, $n''$, $G_0'$ and $G_0''$ values for different samples at 5 °C. For all the HPS samples, $n'$ was close to 0, and $G_0'$ was much higher than $G_0''$, confirming their solid-like behavior (Ortega-Ojeda et al., 2004). HPMC showed clearly a fluid-like behavior since the slopes were close to 1. For the blends, $n'$ and $n''$ were slightly higher than those for HPSs, suggesting that the blends behaved less like a solid than HPSs.

HPMC showed an apparent frequency-dependence, whereas such a dependence could hardly be observed for HPSs. The blends showed some degree of dependence on frequency. For all the
samples containing HPS, $n'$ was much lower than $n''$, and $G''$ was more dependent on frequency than $G'$, suggesting that these samples were more elastic and less viscous (Clark and Ross-Murphy, 1987; Park et al., 2004; Rosalina and Bhattacharya, 2002). Therefore, the properties of the blends were mainly contributed by HPS. This was especially true considering HPMC was a liquid with a lower viscosity at a lower temperature.

Both $n'$ and $n''$ for HPS were increased with a higher MS, indicating that hydroxypropylation reduced the solid-like behavior of the starch and increased the frequency-dependence at low temperatures. This trend regarding the effect of hydroxypropylation was also evident in the blend samples. For both HPSs and the blends, $G_0'$ and $G_0''$ were also decreased with the increased MS of HPS, which may be attributed to the weakened viscoelasticity of HPS as the main contributing component.

**Fig. 4c and d** show the moduli ($G'$ and $G''$) vs. frequency results for HPSs with different MS, HPMC, and HPMC/HPS blends with different HPSs at 85 °C. All HPSs, except A1081, showed typical solid-like behavior. For A1081, $G'$ was very close to but lower than $G''$, indicating a fluid-like behavior. This might be ascribed to the gel-sol transition of A1081 as a cooling gel at a high temperature. On the other hand, an increased MS could decrease $n'$, $n''$, $G_0'$ and $G_0''$ (**Table 2**), indicating that hydroxypropylation made the starch behave less like a solid at 85 °C. Specifically, for G80, both $n'$ and $n''$ were close to 0, indicating its solid-like behavior. In contrast, for A1081, $n'$ and $n''$ were close to 1, showing its fluid-like behavior. These results of $n'$ and $n''$ were also in accordance with the $G'$ and $G''$ data. Furthermore, it can be seen in **Fig. 4c and d** that a higher MS significantly increased the frequency-dependence of HPS.

**Fig. 4c and d** show that at 85 °C, HPMC had a typical solid-like behavior ($G''>G'$), which could be ascribed to its thermal-gelation property. In addition, for HPMC both $G'$ and $G''$ were not apparently varied, indicating their negligible frequency-dependence.
For all the blends, both $n'$ and $n''$ were close to 0, and $G_0'$ was much higher than $G_0''$ (Table 2), confirming their solid-like behavior at 85 °C. On the other hand, while a higher MS could change the behavior of HPS from being solid-like to liquid-like (as discussed above), this phenomenon was not observed in the blends. Besides, for the blends containing HPMC, both $G'$ and $G''$ remained stable with increased frequency. Moreover, for all the blends, $n'$ and $n''$ were close to those for HPMC. All these results suggest that it was HPMC that mainly contributed to the properties of the blend gels at a high temperature (85 °C).

3.1.3 Thixotropic property

Fig. 5 shows a three-interval thixotropic behavior of the HPMC/HPS blend solutions with different MSs of HPS. All the samples were thixotropic since the viscosity of the third stage (the structural recovery stage) was lower than that of the first stage. At the low-shear stage (the first stage), the solution viscosity was decreased with the increased content of HPMC and a higher MS of HPS. These are consistent with the results of steady rheological properties.
Fig. 5. Three-interval thixotropic curves for the solution of HPMC, HPSs with different MSs, and HPMC/HPS blends with the different HPSs at 5 °C.

The structural recovery data of three-interval thixotropic tests were listed in Table 2. A higher structural recovery ratio (DSR) led to a weakened thixotropic behavior. The DSR values of pure HPSs were obviously lower than that of pure HPMC in the same structural recovery time. Regarding this, HPMC molecular chains were rigid with a short relaxation time, which means the structure could recover within a short time. In contrast, HPS molecular chains were flexible and the relaxation time was relatively long, thus the structural recovery of HPS was slow. For pure HPS, the DSR was decreased with a higher MS, indicating that hydroxypropylation improved the flexibility of starch molecular chains and prolonged the relaxation time of HPS. For the blend samples, the DSR values were lower than those of pure ones, and increased with a higher MS of HPS. This result suggests that the thixotropic behavior of the blends was weakened with the increased MS of HPS.
3.2 Characteristics of films

3.2.1 Crystalline structure

Fig. 6 shows the SAXS patterns of HPMC/HPS blend films. All the samples present apparent characteristic peaks in a relatively larger scale range ($q > 0.3 \, \text{Å}^{-1}$). From Fig. 6a, HPMC showed a well-defined peak at about $0.569 \, \text{Å}^{-1}$, corresponding to its crystalline structure at $7.7^\circ$. In contrast, HPSs showed a well-defined peak at about $0.397 \, \text{Å}^{-1}$, corresponding to the typical B-type crystalline structure of starch at $5.3^\circ$. Besides, the characteristic peak area of A939 was higher than that of A1081, suggesting that a higher MS led to a decreased characteristic peak area. Regarding this, the incorporation of hydroxypropyl groups in the starch molecules may destroy the original crystalline structure of starch, and make it less easy for intermolecular rearrangement and crosslinking, which inhibit the recrystallization of starch.

Fig. 6. SAXS patterns for the films of HPMC, HPSs with different MSs, and HPMC/HPS blends with the different HPSs.
Fig. 6b shows the SAXS patterns of the blend films. All the blend samples showed two SAXS peaks at about 0.569 Å⁻¹ and 0.397 Å⁻¹, corresponding to the characteristic peak of HPMC (at 7.7°) and HPS (at 5.3°), respectively. The HPS characteristic peak area of HPMC/A939 was larger than that of HPMC/A1081, attributed to the inhibition of orderly rearrangement between starch molecules due to the increase of hydroxypropylation. This relationship between the peak area and the MS of HPS corresponds to that in pure HPS. On the other hand, the area of the characteristic peak of HPMC at 7.7° did not show apparent changes with the variation in MS. Moreover, compared with the corresponding pure samples, both the HPMC and HPS characteristic peak areas for the blends were smaller. This observation indicates that both HPMC and HPS could inhibit the recrystallization of the other component to a certain degree in the blends.

3.2.2 Fractal structure

Fractal geometry has been used as a natural description for disordered objects possessing dilation symmetry, meaning that they look geometrically self-similar under transformation of scale such as changing the magnification of a microscope. The fractal dimension ($D$) can be calculated according to the Porod equation:

$$I(q) \propto q^{-\alpha}$$  \hspace{2cm} (5)

where $I$ is the SAXS intensity and $\alpha$ is an exponent called the Porod slope. The relation between $\alpha$ and $D$ follows $D_m = \alpha$ ($\alpha < 3$) representing a mass fractal, or $D_s = 6 - \alpha$ ($3 < \alpha < 4$) indicating a surface fractal. $D_m$ is used to indicate the compactness while $D_s$ can be regarded as an indicator of the degree of smoothness (Zhang et al., 2013a). For polysaccharides such as starch and cellulose, the average chain length is between 1000 and 1500 nm. If this value is called $R$, we have $qR >> 1$. In our
work, the Porod slopes $\alpha$ of all samples are below 3 and thereby $D_m = \alpha$, which we called $D$ in the following text. Fig. 7 shows the ln$I(q)$–ln$q$ patterns and its fit curves for HPMC/HPS blend films. All the samples were seen to have a self-similar fractal structure within a certain limit. All the Porod slopes were smaller than 3, indicating HPMC/HPS films had a smooth surface. The fractal structure parameters of HPMC/HPS blend films were provided in Table 3. When pure HPS was concerned, the $D$ value of A939 was higher than that of A1081, suggesting the density of the self-similar structure in the films decreased with a higher MS. Regarding this, the incorporation of hydroxypropyl groups in starch molecules prevented the association of HPS chains and led to a self-similar structure with a lower density. The hydrophilic hydroxypropyl groups could form intermolecular hydrogen bonding with water, thus the interaction between HPS chains was lessened. The bulky hydroxypropyl groups could also limit the reassociation and crosslinking of starch molecular chains. Therefore, the self-similar structure of HPS films became looser with the increased MS of HPS.
Fig. 7. $\ln I(q)$–$\ln q$ patterns and their fit curves for the films of HPMC, HPSs with different MSs, and HPMC/HPS blends with the different HPSs.

The $D$ value of pure A939 was higher than that of pure HPMC. This higher $D$ value of pure A939 could be attributed to the starch recrystallization, the more ordered structure between starch molecular chains, and a self-similar structure with a higher density that were formed in its films. The $D$ value of the HPMC/A939 blend was the lower than either pure A939 or pure HPMC, as blending could hamper the chain association of individual components and reduce the density of the self-similar structure of the starch.

In contrast, for HPMC/A1081, the $D$ value of A1081 was lower than that of HPMC. This might be due to the inhibition of the starch retrogradation with the incorporation of hydroxypropyl groups, thus the self-similar structure in the film became looser. The $D$ value of the HPMC/A1081 blend was higher than that of pure A1081, which is different from the case of the HPMC/A939 system.

Regarding this, the HPS with a high MS could possibly form a self-similar structure with larger cavities, and the linear HPMC molecules could enter the cavities resulting in a higher density of the self-similar structure. This also implies that a more homogeneous compound could be formed by HPMC and HPS with a high MS. In agreement with this, the rheological results have shown that...
hydroxypropylation could reduce the viscosity of starch and the viscosity difference between the two components in the blends, which is favorable for forming more homogeneous blends.

3.2.3 Tensile properties

The $E$, $\sigma_t$, and $\varepsilon_b$ of HPMC/HPS films were listed in Table 4. For pure HPS films, a higher MS of HPS led to lower $E$ and $\sigma_t$ and higher $\varepsilon_b$, indicating that hydroxypropylation reduced the film rigidity and improved the flexibility. Given this, the hydroxypropyl groups might have resulted in a looser film structure (as confirmed by the fractal structure results) and inhibited the starch retrogradation (as shown by the crystallinity analysis).

For the blend films, increasing the MS of HPS led to decreased $E$ and increased $\sigma_t$ and $\varepsilon_b$. The change in the MS of HPS could vary not only the properties (viscosity and mechanical properties) of HPS itself but also the compatibility between the two polymers. A higher MS could result in a lower viscosity of the HPS, which could improve the compatibility between HPS and HPMC. On the other hand, for HPMC/A1081 blends, with the increased content of the starch, $E$ and $\sigma_t$ were decreased, whereas $\varepsilon_b$ was increased apparently. This result is in agreement with a previous study (Wang et al., 2016a) and suggests that a higher degree of hydroxypropylation may decrease the intermolecular interactions and thus the mechanical properties.

3.2.4 Oxygen permeability

Edible films with a good oxygen barrier property can help to improve food quality and extend food shelf life since that the oxidation caused by oxygen is an initial stage of several forms of food deterioration (Klangmuang and Sothornvit, 2016). Thus, we studied the oxygen permeability (OP) of HPMC/HPS films with the varied MS of HPS and the results are provided in Table 5. It is seen that the OP values of pure HPS films were all much lower than that of the pure HPMC film, confirming
the better oxygen barrier property of starch films (Ortega-Toro et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2016a). For pure HPS films, the content of hydroxypropyl groups had a significant effect on the oxygen barrier property. The OP of A1081 (0.64) was almost six times that of A939 (0.11). The OP increased with a higher MS of HPS. Regarding this, as confirmed by the fractal structure results, the incorporation of hydroxypropyl groups declined the density of films, which could enlarge the permeability channel for oxygen in the film.

For the blend films, the OP was decreased with a higher MS of HPS, which is opposite to the case of pure HPS. In the HPMC/HPS (5:5) blend, the HPS with a higher viscosity was dispersed in the continuous phase of HPMC with a lower viscosity. Again, the viscosity of the HPS decreased with a higher MS, and leading to better compatibility between the two polymers. There could be competing effects of hydroxypropylation on expanding the oxygen permeation area and of blending on enhancing the tortuosity of oxygen permeation channel. With a better compatibility, the latter effect outperformed the former, leading to the decreased OP of the blend films.

### 3.2.5 Thermal degradation

Fig. 8 shows the TGA results of the pure HPMC film, pure HPS films, and HPS/HPMC blend films. For all the films, there were two thermal degradation stages, namely the moisture evaporation from the materials in the temperature range of 30–180 °C and the thermal decomposition of polysaccharides in the temperature range of 300–400 °C. Pure HPS films displayed a similar peak at 310 °C (A939) and 305 °C (A1081), respectively. The pure HPMC film showed a higher thermal stability, with its decomposition occurring at 365 °C. All the blend samples showed two decomposition peak representing HPS and HPMC respectively. However, for the blends, the HPS thermal decomposition occurred at a reduced temperature while the HPMC peak temperature was not apparently affected. Thus, blending reduced the thermal stability of the HPS component, which
might be due to the interaction of HPMC with HPS and thus the further reduced intermolecular interactions between HPS molecular chains and the reduced ordered structure in HPS.

Fig. 8. TGA curves (a) and their derivative curves (b) of the films of HPMC, HPSs with different MSs, and HPMC/HPS blends with the different HPSs.

3.2.6 Relationship between rheological properties, structure, and film performance

For the blends, the compatibility between and the physicochemical properties of the constituents exert varying levels of influence on rheology (Chhabra and Richardson, 2008). However, the rheological history and structure ultimately determine the performance of the products. For each product, there is a degree of its own complexity and peculiarities, which need to be incorporated into the structure-rheology link.

Basing on the rheology results, it is noticeable that the rheological properties showed an obvious dependence on the MS of HPS. For pure HPS, a higher MS caused a lower viscosity and an enhanced Newtonian-behavior, which reduced the different in viscosity between the two components and thus induced a greater compatibility in the blends. The thixotropic property was increased with a higher MS, attributed to the improved flexibility of starch chains by hydroxypropylation. Such changes
resulted in a much more flexible texture of the films, which conformed to the change in $E$ and $\sigma_t$ in the tensile properties. The SAXS results clearly show that the fractal dimension ($D$) was strongly depended on the MS of HPS. For pure HPS, a higher MS caused greater compactness of the self-similar structure. Such changes induced larger permeable channels for oxygen in the films and the reduced rigidity of the films, which correspond to the changes in oxygen permeability, $E$ and $\sigma_t$. The WAXS results clearly suggest that the crystalline structure strongly depended on the MS of HPS. A higher MS caused a decreased characteristic peak area. This change was due to the inhibition of starch recrystallization, and induced a much higher $\epsilon_b$ value.

The changes in the structure and rheological properties certainly affected the blend film performance. The SAXS data show that a good compatibility between the two components caused a much denser structure and better tensile properties of the films. Therefore, the film performance of the blends could be largely affected by the changes in MS and the compatibility between the two components. A higher MS caused larger permeable channels for oxygen in the films. Besides, an increased MS also led to a better compatibility between two components, which resulted in increased tortuosity of the oxygen permeation channels. The final OP of blends was determined by these two competing factors. Regarding the tensile properties, both a higher MS of HPS and a better compatibility induced higher $\epsilon_b$; therefore, the $\epsilon_b$ value of the blends was increased with a higher MS. The thixotropic behavior was also balanced by the MS of HPS and the storage modulus of the gel. With a higher MS, the thixotropic property was declined due to the decreased storage modulus of HPS. At a low temperature, HPS was the dispersion phase with a high viscosity, which was confirmed by the changes in viscoelastic properties during heating and cooling. The competition between these two aspects could reduce the influence of MS on the thixotropic behavior.
4 Conclusion

This work concerns the effect of hydroxypropylation of starch on the rheological properties and the film structure and performance of a novel thermal/cooling-gel bi-phasic system. This system was based on two natural biopolymer-based hydrogels, HPMC and HPS, which could form continuous phases at low and high temperatures, respectively. The variation of this phase conformation significantly influenced the rheological properties, thixotropy, and gel properties of the blend systems, and subsequently affected the crystalline structure, fractal structure, mechanical properties, OP, and thermal stability of the blend films. In particular, HPS at low temperatures, and HPMC at high temperatures, play a dominant role in controlling these different properties.

The rheological and gel properties were also significantly affected by the starch chemical modification. A higher MS of HPS decreased the viscosity and weakened the shear thinning behavior of the blend solutions at low temperatures and also declined the $G'$ and $G''$ of the hybrid gels. Regarding this, the hydroxypropylation of native starch could break the intermolecular hydrogen bonding, disrupt its ordered structure, increase its hydrophilicity, and result in a softer gel texture. A higher MS could also increase $n'$ and $n''$, indicating that hydroxypropylation reduced the solid-like behavior and increased the frequency-dependence. However, blending HPS with HPMC made these effects of the MS of HPS on the rheological and gel properties less apparent. On the other hand, the film structure and performance was also significantly affected by the starch hydroxypropylation.

With a higher MS of HPS, the starch crystallinity was decreased and the elongation at break of films was increased, indicating the hydroxypropylation of starch could inhibit the molecular rearrangement and improve the flexibility of films. However, an increase in the MS of HPS also led to reduced fractal structure and OP. This suggests that a higher film density could be linked to a better oxygen barrier property.

Our results here have shown that the processability and performance of HPMC/HPS biphasic systems could be improved by the chemical modification of starch. With the versatile chemistry of
starch and cellulose, new materials based on this kind of systems could be developed to suit the
needs of high-value applications such as biomedical materials, food, and coatings.
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Table 1. Flow behavior index ($n$), fluid consistency index ($K$) during increasing shear rate and the degree of structural recovery (DSR) after a certain recovery time for the solution of HPMC, HPSs with different MSs, and HPMC/HPS blends with the different HPSs at 25 °C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample names</th>
<th>Increasing shear rate</th>
<th>DSR (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>$K$ (Pa·s$^n$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A939</td>
<td>0.377±0.002$^a$</td>
<td>82.1±0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1081</td>
<td>0.471±0.004</td>
<td>32.0±0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A939-HPMC</td>
<td>0.778±0.002</td>
<td>3.1±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1081-HPMC</td>
<td>0.754±0.002</td>
<td>3.7±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMC</td>
<td>0.923±0.006</td>
<td>1.1±0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Mean ± standard deviation.
Table 2. $n'$, $n''$, $G_0'$ and $G_0''$ for the solution of HPMC, HPSs with different MSs, and HPMC/HPS blends with the different HPSs at 5 °C and 85 °C as determined from Eqs. (2) and (3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>$n'$</th>
<th>$G_0'$</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>$n''$</th>
<th>$G_0''$</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G80</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.030±0.001</td>
<td>12,557±4,947</td>
<td>0.9912</td>
<td>0.157±0.009</td>
<td>4,802±393</td>
<td>0.9527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.122±0.012</td>
<td>86,930±12,709</td>
<td>0.9920</td>
<td>0.056±0.001</td>
<td>14,489±3,382</td>
<td>0.9528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A939</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.061±0.000</td>
<td>1,220±17</td>
<td>0.9805</td>
<td>0.313±0.002</td>
<td>70±2</td>
<td>0.9816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.299±0.001</td>
<td>32±1</td>
<td>0.9899</td>
<td>0.447±0.030</td>
<td>11±3</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1081</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.083±0.001</td>
<td>315±6</td>
<td>0.9564</td>
<td>0.479±0.001</td>
<td>19±0</td>
<td>0.9956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.680±0.000</td>
<td>1±0</td>
<td>0.9955</td>
<td>0.673±0.000</td>
<td>1±0</td>
<td>0.9981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G80-HPMC</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.080±0.001</td>
<td>6,198±675</td>
<td>0.9723</td>
<td>0.370±0.002</td>
<td>449±17</td>
<td>0.9971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.071±0.009</td>
<td>4,083±256</td>
<td>0.9942</td>
<td>0.136±0.028</td>
<td>345±38</td>
<td>0.9371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A939-HPMC</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.159±0.000</td>
<td>233±2</td>
<td>0.9757</td>
<td>0.480±0.005</td>
<td>32±1</td>
<td>0.9962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.153±0.015</td>
<td>1,370±326</td>
<td>0.9979</td>
<td>0.241±0.009</td>
<td>246±85</td>
<td>0.9953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1081-HPMC</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.232±0.001</td>
<td>58±0</td>
<td>0.9647</td>
<td>0.603±0.001</td>
<td>12±0</td>
<td>0.9983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.089±0.019</td>
<td>810±55</td>
<td>0.9969</td>
<td>0.175±0.019</td>
<td>95±22</td>
<td>0.9777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMC</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.404±0.025</td>
<td>0.04±0</td>
<td>0.9951</td>
<td>0.974±0.001</td>
<td>2.2±0</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.071±0.004</td>
<td>2,062±20</td>
<td>0.9957</td>
<td>0.102±0.007</td>
<td>204±5</td>
<td>0.9761</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
* Mean ± standard deviation.
Table 3. Fractal structure parameters of the films of HPMC, HPSs with different MSs, and HPMC/HPS blends with the different HPSs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HPMC-HPS</th>
<th>Fractal dimension ($D$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10:0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A939</td>
<td>2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1081</td>
<td>2.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Tensile properties of the films of HPMC, HPSs with different MSs, and HPMC/HPS blends with the different HPSs at 25 °C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample names</th>
<th>Young’s modulus (MPa)</th>
<th>Tensile strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Elongation at break (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A939</td>
<td>518.59±20.31&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13.63±0.48</td>
<td>26.77±3.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1081</td>
<td>27.37±1.85</td>
<td>10.11±0.47</td>
<td>135.33±3.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A939-HPMC</td>
<td>319.83±23.78</td>
<td>8.04±0.44</td>
<td>4.64±0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1081-HPMC</td>
<td>235.02±9.97</td>
<td>9.14±0.46</td>
<td>20.35±2.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMC</td>
<td>270.28±9.27</td>
<td>15.05±0.51</td>
<td>24.86±1.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Mean ± standard deviation.
Table 5. Oxygen permeability of the films of HPMC, HPSs with different MSs, and HPMC/HPS blends with the different HPSs at 25 °C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample names</th>
<th>OP (cc/m²/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A939</td>
<td>0.19±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1081</td>
<td>0.64±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A939-HPMC</td>
<td>70.74±0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1081-HPMC</td>
<td>39.25±0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMC</td>
<td>261.62±4.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean ± standard deviation.