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Transitions in synchronization states of model cilia through basal-connection coupling
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Despite evidence for a hydrodynamic origin of flagellar synchronization between different eukaryotic cells, recent experiments have shown that in single multi-flagellated organisms, coordination hinges instead on direct basal body connections. The mechanism by which these connections leads to coordination, however, is currently not understood. Here we focus on the model biflagellate Chlamydomonas reinhardtii, and propose a minimal model for the synchronization of its two flagella as a result of both hydrodynamic and direct mechanical coupling. A spectrum of different types of coordination can be selected, depending on small changes in the stiffness of intracellular couplings. These include prolonged in-phase and anti-phase synchronization, as well as a range of multistable states induced by spontaneous symmetry breaking of the system. Linking synchrony to intracellular stiffness could lead to the use of flagellar dynamics as a probe for the mechanical state of the cell.

INTRODUCTION

Cilia and flagella are structurally identical, whip-like cellular organelles employed by most eukaryotes for tasks ranging from sensing and locomotion of single cells [1], to directing embryonic development [2] and driving cerebrospinal fluid flow [3] in animals. Originally observed in 1677 by the Dutch pioneer Antoni van Leeuwenhoek, groups of motile cilia and flagella have a seemingly spontaneous tendency to coordinate their beating motion and generate large-scale patterns known as metachronal waves [4]. Coordination has often been proposed to provide an evolutionary advantage by improving transport and feeding efficiency [5–10], although estimates of the magnitude of this effect are notoriously difficult. Despite the uncertainty on its biological role, however, the universality of flagellar coordination is an empirical fact, and it suggests the existence of a correspondingly general mechanism for synchronization. Mechanical forces, transmitted either by the surrounding fluid or internally through the cells, have often been proposed as responsible for this coordination [11–15]. Understanding how synchronization emerges could therefore highlight novel and potentially subtle roles played by physical forces in cell biology. Here we develop a minimal model that links small changes in the mechanical properties of cells with the dynamics of their protruding flagella. In turn, this approach could lead to coordination being used as a probe to measure the internal mechanical state of a cell.

Reports of coordinated motion in nearby swimming sperm [16, 17] hint at the importance of hydrodynamic coupling. Hydrodynamic-led coordination of self-sustained oscillators, mimicking the active motion of cilia and flagella [18, 19], has been extensively investigated theoretically [12, 20–22], numerically [23–25], and experimentally with colloidal rotors [26, 27] and rowers [28, 29].

Despite the peculiar constraints of low-Reynolds number hydrodynamics, these studies suggest that hydrodynamic interactions can lead to ciliary coordination when coupled to either a phase-dependent driving force [12, 21], or axonemal elasticity [30]. Indeed, hydrodynamic-mediated synchronization has been confirmed experimentally between pairs of eukaryotic flagella from different somatic cells from the green alga Volvox carteri [14].

Inter-cellular coordination of flagella, however, does not necessarily imply intra-cellular coordination, and therefore it is not a priori clear whether hydrodynamic coupling is also responsible for the synchronization observed in individual multi-flagellated cells. Experimental studies of flagellar coordination within a single cell have focussed mainly on the biflagellate green alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii [31, 32] (CR), whose flagella are usually locked in a characteristic in-phase breaststroke motion. Early studies of flagellar coordination in CR [33–35] were recently refined [11, 36] and extended [37] using microfluidic devices and high-speed imaging of flagella [38]. These pointed at a fundamentally hydrodynamic origin for the observed synchronization, either through direct coupling or via a mechanism based on cell-body rocking [13]. However, a series of elegant novel experiments in CR and other flagellates challenged this view convincingly, showing instead that coordination requires the intracellular striated fibres that join flagellar basal bodies [39, 40]. For the CR mutant vfl3, with impaired mechanical coupling and a variable number of flagella, synchronization is completely disrupted [39] except for sporadic periods of synchrony in cells with two close flagella oriented in the same direction (see Fig. S1 in [40]). Even though the precise mechanism by which direct connections affect flagellar coordination remains to be clarified [15], the spontaneous transitions between extended in-phase (IP) and anti-phase (AP) beating in the CR mutant ptx1 [41], already suggest that multiple synchronization states should be achievable through changes in the fibres’ mechanical properties within the physiological range.

Here we propose a minimal model for flagellar dynamics for CR which can sustain both stable IP and stable
constant tangential driving forces \( F \) in addition to external flagellar interactions, consider-promote AP synchronization [15, 41, 44, 45] (Fig. 1b). flagella, the resulting effective coupling will coordinates \( l \) \( \omega \) \( R \) \( a \) domonas counter-rotating oscillators like those describing torque, and indirectly by modifying the orbits’ radii. For actions. Following previous theoretical work [20, 44], positions around the centres of their respective orbits. and waveform elasticity [14], the two flagella of and experimental measurements of flagellar flow fields inclusion of hydrodynamic coupling leads to the emergence of a region in parameter space where non-trivial states can emerge as a result of spontaneous symmetry breaking through pitchfork bifurcations of limit cycles.

I. MINIMAL MODEL AND LEADING ORDER DYNAMICS

Figure 1a summarises our minimal model of flagella coupled through hydrodynamic and basal-body interactions. Following previous theoretical work [20, 44], and experimental measurements of flagellar flow fields and waveform elasticity [14], the two flagella of Chlamydomonas are represented here by two spheres of radius \( a \), immersed in a three-dimensional fluid of viscosity \( \mu \), and driven around circular orbits of radii \( R_i \) (\( i = 1, 2 \)) by constant tangential driving forces \( F^{(i)}_{\text{int}} \). Springs of stiffness \( \lambda \) resist radial excursions from the equilibrium length \( R_0 \); and the magnitude of the internal driving forces, \( F^{(i)}_{\text{int}} = 6\pi \mu a R_0 \omega_1 \) guarantees that, when isolated, the \( i \)-th oscillator will rotate at the intrinsic angular speed \( \omega_1 \). The orbits are centred along the \( x \)-axis, a distance \( l \) apart, and lie along the \( xy \) plane. Polar and radial coordinates \((\phi_i, R_i)\) define the oscillators’ instantaneous positions around the centres of their respective orbits. We will refer to \( R_i(t) \) as the external rotor radii. Both hydrodynamic and direct elastic interactions couple these minimal cilia. Hydrodynamic coupling is mediated by the fluid disturbance generated by each sphere’s motion, modelled here as the flow from a point force. These interactions affect the instantaneous angular speeds \( \omega_i \), both directly, through a hydrodynamic torque, and indirectly by modifying the orbits’ radii. For counter-rotating oscillators like those describing Chlamydomonas flagella, the resulting effective coupling will promote AP synchronization [15, 41, 44, 45] (Fig. 1b). In addition to external flagellar interactions, consider-

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\phi}_1 &= \frac{R_0}{R_1} \omega_1 + \rho \frac{R_2}{R_1} \phi_2 J(\phi_1, \phi_2) + \rho \frac{\dot{R}_2}{R_1} K(\phi_1, \phi_2) \\
&\quad + \frac{s^2}{\zeta R_1^2} (k_x + k_y) [G(\phi_1 + \theta, \phi_2 - \theta) - G(\phi_1 + \theta, \phi_1 + \theta)] \\
\dot{\phi}_2 &= \frac{R_0}{R_2} \omega_2 + \rho \frac{R_1}{R_2} \phi_1 J(\phi_2, \phi_1) + \frac{\dot{R}_1}{R_2} K(\phi_2, \phi_1) \\
&\quad + \frac{s^2}{\zeta R_2^2} (k_x + k_y) [G(\phi_2 - \theta, \phi_1 + \theta) - G(\phi_2 - \theta, \phi_2 - \theta)] \\
\dot{R}_1 &= -\frac{\lambda}{\zeta} (R_1 - R_0) + \rho R_2 \phi_2 K(\phi_2, \phi_1) + \rho \dot{R}_2 H(\phi_1, \phi_2) \\
\dot{R}_2 &= -\frac{\lambda}{\zeta} (R_2 - R_0) + \rho R_1 \phi_1 K(\phi_1, \phi_2) + \rho \dot{R}_1 H(\phi_2, \phi_1)
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \rho = 3a/8l \) (\( \rho \ll 1 \) as \( a \ll R_0 \); \( \zeta = 6\pi \mu a \) is the viscous drag coefficient of the rotating sphere; and

\[
\begin{align*}
J(a, b) &= 3 \cos(a - b) - \cos(a + b), \\
K(a, b) &= -3 \sin(a - b) - \sin(a + b), \\
H(a, b) &= 3 \cos(a - b) + \cos(a + b), \\
G(a, b) &= \frac{1}{2} k_y - \frac{k_x}{2} \sin(a + b) - \frac{1}{2} \sin(a - b).
\end{align*}
\]

In order to model the configuration typical of Chlamydomonas we will focus here on identical but counter-rotating oscillators, \( \omega_1 = -\omega_2 = \omega \). Parameter values are given in Table I unless otherwise specified.

II. FIBRES-ONLY COUPLING

Despite the apparent simplicity, this minimal system displays rich dynamics, and it is therefore convenient to analyse its behaviour following steps of increasing complexity. Let us begin by considering the case in which hydrodynamic coupling is completely neglected. The hydrodynamic drag is still necessary for each model cilium
TABLE I. Minimal model parameters used throughout, unless stated otherwise.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>model cilium radius [14]</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>0.75 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interflagellar spacing</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>15 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>int./ext. rotor angle</td>
<td>θ</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>equilibrium external rotor</td>
<td>R₀</td>
<td>5 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>radius</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>0.1 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fixed internal rotor radius</td>
<td>λ</td>
<td>4 × 10⁻⁷ Nm⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>external spring stiffness [22]</td>
<td>μ</td>
<td>10⁻³ Pa s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>viscosity of water</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>50 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ciliary beat frequency [11]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 2. Synchronization dynamics for pairs of model cilia in the absence of hydrodynamic interactions. Phase sum, σ(t) = φ₁(t) + φ₂(t), for (a) k_y - k_x = ±10⁻² Nm⁻¹, (b) k_y - k_x = ±10⁻³ Nm⁻¹ and (c) k_y - k_x = ±10⁻⁴ Nm⁻¹. In each case (starting with φ₁(0) = 0), IP (blue) and AP (red) synchronized states are obtained for k_y > k_x and k_y < k_x, respectively, over a time-scale inversely proportional to max(k_y, k_x). (d) Values of δ/σ measured from numerical simulations (circles) compare favourably with Eq. (4) for sufficiently soft internal springs. Other model parameters as given in Table I.

As shown in Fig. 2d. The instantaneous and average phase speed profile can be solved analytically (see Supplementary Information S3). In the case of k_x (in IP) > k_y (in AP), the model predicts a lower average phase speed in IP than in AP which is in qualitative agreement with experimental observations [41]. Recent studies argue that hydrodynamics plays a negligible role in flagellar synchronization for single cells [39, 40]. Without hydrodynamics, our model predicts that the effective interflagellar coupling should be given by 2πκ = k_s²/ωζ_R². Using the known value for Chlamydomonas, |κ| ≃ 0.015 [11, 41], we obtain κ ≃ 10⁻³ Nm⁻¹. The Young’s modulus for the bundle of striated fibres can then be estimated, E = k_sL/A, where L and A are the length and cross-sectional area of the bundle, respectively. Taking L = 250 nm and A = π · 25² nm² results in the value E ≃ 10⁵ Pa [42, 47]. This is a biologically plausible estimate, midway between the elastic modulus of relaxed skeletal muscle (E ≃ 10⁶ Pa) and elastin (E ≃ 10⁸ Pa) [43].

III. STIFF FLAGELLA HYDRODYNAMICS

We begin now to include the effect of hydrodynamic interactions under the assumption of artificially stiff flagella, implemented in this section by increasing the radial spring stiffness to λ = 4 × 10⁻⁶ Nm⁻¹ (10× the value in Table I). Increasing λ reduces the typical response time of the radial coordinate (ζ/λ) and, when this is much smaller than the angular timescale (2π/ω), it allows us to simplify the dynamics by assuming an instantaneous radial response [44]. Then, to first order in the small parameter ρ, Eqs. (1) imply that σ will obey

\[ \dot{\sigma} = \frac{k_y - k_x}{\zeta R_0^2} \sin\sigma, \]

once the dynamics have been averaged over the fast variable δ. The coefficient of sin(σ) above provides an intuitive understanding of the roles of basal body coupling and elasto-hydrodynamic interactions in determining the synchronization state of the system, which is useful as a general rule of thumb to assess the relative importance of these phenomena even beyond the ‘stiff flagella’ case.
Within this approximation, hydrodynamics appears to simply shift the location of the transition between AP and IP states, determined by the steady state time average $\langle \sigma \rangle$, from $k_y = k_x$ to $k_y = k_x + 2\rho R_0^3/s^2(\omega \zeta/\lambda)^2\lambda$. This is indeed confirmed by simulations of the full system for large $l$ (see Fig. 4b).

A closer inspection, however, reveals more intriguing dynamics which become particularly evident for small inter-flagellar separations. Figure 3a-c shows the steady state dynamics of $\sigma$ for $l = 15 \mu m$, as $k_y$ is swept across the transition. Between AP and IP coordination (Figs. 3a,c) there is a distinct region of $k_y$-values for which the system synchronizes in a non-trivial intermediate state (Fig. 3b). This is accompanied by a permanent difference in the average values of the oscillators’ radii (Fig. 3c), with the asymmetry depending on which of the equally probable signs of $\langle \sigma \rangle$ is chosen by the system. Figure 3g shows the full positive branch of $\langle \sigma \rangle$ as $k_y$ is swept between AP and IP values (simulations: green solid line). This can be compared to the leading-order behaviour with and without hydrodynamics (black dashed and solid lines); and the one predicted by refining Eq. (5) to next-to-leading order in $R_0/l$ (black dotted line; see Supplementary Information S2)

$$\dot{\sigma} = \left[ (k_y - k_x) \frac{s^2}{\zeta R_0^2} - 2\rho \frac{\omega \zeta}{\lambda} \left( 1 - \frac{15 R_0^2}{2 T^2} \cos(\sigma) \right) \right] \sin(\sigma). \quad (6)$$

The semi-quantitative agreement between the simulated and predicted dependence of the steady-state $\langle \sigma \rangle$ extends also to the $k_y$-dependence of the time-averaged radii (Fig. 3h), which follows in the same approximation

$$R_{1,2} = R_0 \left[ 1 \pm \frac{\rho \omega \zeta}{\lambda} \left( 1 - \frac{15 R_0^2}{2 T^2} \cos(\sigma) \right) \sin(\sigma) \right]. \quad (7)$$

Figure 4a shows that the agreement extends across the full range of separations $l \geq 15 \mu m$, with particularly accurate estimates for the values of $k_y$ marking the beginning and end of the transition (Fig. 4b). These results suggest that the simple expression in Eq. (6) captures the essential features of the dynamics, and can therefore be used to analyse the nature of the transition. For small deviations $\delta k$ in $k_y$ around the transition from AP, Eq. (6) can be approximated as

$$\dot{\sigma} \simeq \left( \delta k \frac{s^2}{\zeta R_0^2} \right) \sigma - \left( \frac{15 \rho \omega^2 \zeta R_0^2}{2 \lambda \frac{1}{T^2}} \right) \sigma^3, \quad (8)$$

which therefore suggests that the emergence of non-trivial coordination follows a supercritical pitchfork bifurcation [48]. A similar argument leads to an equivalent conclusion for the bifurcation from IP as $k_y$ is decreased. AP and IP domains are therefore bounded by a pitchfork bifurcation of limit cycles.

Within the intermediate regime, the system becomes naturally bistable through a spontaneous symmetry breaking from a state where both oscillators follow the same limit cycle to one where they sustain a stable difference in their average oscillation amplitudes (see Fig. 3e). Transitions between homogeneous and inhomogeneous oscillation states, and bistability, have only recently been discovered in pairs of coupled limit cycle oscillators, also as a consequence of non-equilibrium symmetry-breaking pitchfork bifurcations [49, 50]. Here we discover their emergence in a simple model of hydrodynamic- and basal-body-coupled flagella. Intermediate equilibrium states appear when the internal elastic interaction promoting IP coordination is approximately compensated by the leading order hydrodynamic coupling favouring AP, amplifying the importance of higher-order hydrodynamic effects. In this parameter range, the oscillators are able to sustain a stable difference in their average amplitude (external rotor radii). Interestingly, the permanent difference in the average radii of the two oscillators after the bifurcation could be easily interpreted by an observer as a difference in intrinsic frequency (since $\omega \propto 1/R_0$). The rotors would then appear intrinsically different despite in fact being identical. Eventually, a sufficient increase of the internal stiffness can overcome the antagonistic effect.
Radial and phase dynamics have comparable timescales and drive the system to a stable IP state. Of hydrodynamic interactions at any given separation $l$, and drive the system to a stable IP state.

**IV. THE FULL MODEL**

We conclude by looking at the full system with realistic parameters throughout (see Table I). In this case, radial and phase dynamics have comparable timescales ($\zeta\omega/2\pi\lambda \sim 2$) and the radii cannot be considered as approximately slaved to the phases anymore. Together with the sizeable radial deformations ($\delta R/R_0 \sim R_0/2l$ here and $\sim 0.2$ for *Chlamydomonas*-like $l = 15\,\mu m$) this results in a complex interplay between radial and phase variables, and implies the need to consider the full system of governing equations (see Supplementary Information S1). These will be explored here through numerical simulations only.

Figure 5a,b shows a representative set of curves for a $k_y$ sweep with $k_x = 5 \times 10^{-3}\,\text{Nm}^{-1}$ and $l = 15\,\mu m$. Supplementary movies 1–5 show the dynamics for panels (i)–(v) respectively. Similarly to the case of stiffer flagella, low and high values of $k_y$ correspond respectively to AP (panels (i)) and IP (panels (v)) synchronization, and in these states the oscillators follow the same dynamics. The average phase speed, however, is observed to be lower in IP than in AP (a difference of $\sim 13\%$ between $k_y = 0\,\text{Nm}^{-1}$ and $k_y = 0.02\,\text{Nm}^{-1}$), in qualitative agreement with experimental observations of CR mutants [41] (see Supplementary Information S3). In between, there is a range of $k_y$ values for which the system synchronizes around intermediate values of $\langle \sigma \rangle$, with the two oscillators following again different limit cycles (panels (iii,iv)).

A new state, however, appears as $k_y$ approaches the symmetry breaking transition from the AP side (panels (ii)). Despite correspondingly formally to AP ($\langle \sigma \rangle = 0$), the system displays symmetric excursions in the relative phase difference which are long lived and not much smaller than $\pi$. In this state, the oscillators spend most of their time at values of $\sigma$ far from 0, and the null average is only guaranteed by the symmetry of the dynamics. Although the system oscillates here by about $\pi/3$, amplitudes $\sim \pi$ can be easily obtained just by increasing $a$ (Supplementary Information Fig. S2). In this condition the system will not appear synchronized in AP at all, but will rather be continuously alternating between IP at $\pi$ and IP at $-\pi$. Figure 5c,e shows that this situation is typical for all of the separations displaying a discontinuous, rather than continuous, transition out of the AP state (here all $l < 35\,\mu m$). In the IP case, instead, the transition maintains its continuous nature throughout, and in fact the bifurcation point is still well predicted by the first order expression from Eq. (5) (see inset).

From the AP side, discontinuous transitions are always preceded by a region of $k_y$ values where the system displays a large excursion dynamics, which therefore acts as a predictor of the impending discontinuity [51]. Figure 5e shows the variance, $\langle \sigma(t)^2 \rangle - \langle \sigma(t) \rangle^2$, of the time-dependent signal, $\sigma(t)$, averaged over 7 seconds (> 300 beats). The large excursions evident in Fig. 5a(ii) manifest in the peak of Fig. 5e.

The presence of the discontinuity in $\langle \sigma \rangle$, and the preceding large fluctuations, depend on both the separation $l$ and $k_x$, as shown in Fig. 6 for a $(k_x, k_y)$ parameter sweep. For the realistic inter-flagellar separation ($l = 15\,\mu m$), Fig. 6a shows that the region of discontinuous transition out of AP, marked by the large standard deviation of $\sigma(t)$, exists only for $k_x \lesssim 9 \times 10^{-3}\,\text{Nm}^{-1}$. Above this value, the bifurcation changes its nature and becomes continuous but sharp. At the slightly larger separation of $l = 25\,\mu m$, the width of the extended transition zone observed previously for $k_x \lesssim 9 \times 10^{-3}\,\text{Nm}^{-1}$ is reduced (see Figs. 6b, 5c). Further increasing the separation to $l = 100\,\mu m$ reduces hydrodynamic forces by an order of magnitude compared to the $l = 15\,\mu m$ case, and for all the $k_x$ values explored, the system follows a sharp continuous transition from AP to IP as $k_y$ is increased.

Although exploring in detail the nature of these bifur-
FIG. 5. Basal body coupling and hydrodynamic interactions. (a) Steady state phase sum $\sigma(t) = \phi_1(t) + \phi_2(t)$ and (b) external radii $R_1(t)$ and $R_2(t)$. Results are shown for $k_y = 0.0055, 0.006, 0.007, 0.017, 0.020 \text{ Nm}^{-1}$ with $k_x = 0.005 \text{ Nm}^{-1}$ and $l = 15 \text{ \mu m}$. For intermediate values of $k_y$, the external rotors possess a permanent difference in their intrinsic frequencies. Supplementary movies 1–5 show the dynamics for panels (i)–(v) respectively. (c) Value of $\langle \sigma(t) \rangle$ as a function of $k_y$ for various values of $l$ (results shown for $l = 15, 20, 25, 35, 50, 100 \text{ \mu m}$). The transition zone boundaries are quantified by $\langle \sigma(t) \rangle = 0.02\pi$ (red crosses) and $\langle \sigma(t) \rangle = 0.98\pi$ (blue crosses) respectively; dashed black lines show far-field analytical predictions to leading order (from Eq. (5)). (d) Measured boundaries compared with leading-order far-field analytical results. (e) The variance of the time-dependent phase sum, $\langle \sigma(t)^2 \rangle - \langle \sigma(t) \rangle^2$, for given values of $k_y$ and $l$, reveals large excursions in the phase sum prior to the bifurcation (see panel (a)ii for the raw time-dependent signal).

Coexistence of three different states, all of which are locally stable for the dynamics, means that the system displays multi-stability: presence of noise might then induce the system to jump between these locally stable states and therefore alternate between periods of AP synchronization an other non-trivial types of coordination, with transitions dictated by escape rate arguments [52–54].
FIG. 6. Transition between AP and IP states is mediated by internal spring constants. Mean and standard deviation of $\sigma(t)$ as function of $k_x$ and $k_y$ for external rotor separations (a) $l = 15 \mu m$, (b) $l = 25 \mu m$, and (c) $l = 100 \mu m$. White dotted lines correspond to the blue bifurcation plot in Fig. 5(c). For small $k_x$, the system is capable of supporting intermediate phase-locked states, with $0 < \langle \sigma(t) \rangle < \pi$. However, for larger $k_x$, an abrupt transition between AP and IP occurs.

V. CONCLUSIONS

While mechanisms for hydrodynamic-led synchronization of cilia and flagella have been extensively studied [12, 14, 20, 21, 23–27, 55], the impact of direct intracellular connections on flagellar dynamics is only starting to be recognised [15, 39, 40]. Here we have extended a simple and popular minimal model for the hydrodynamically interacting flagella pair of *Chlamydomonas* to account for intracellular mechanical coupling. The clearly anisotropic ultrastructure of striated fibres [42] is mirrored in the use of a non-isotropic elastic interaction between the oscillators ($k_x \neq k_y$), and results in a phase-phase coupling that can promote by itself either IP or AP synchronization, within a biologically plausible range of Young’s moduli. Transitions would then result simply through changes in the relative magnitude of $k_x$ and $k_y$. Given that intracellular calcium can control the contraction of striated fibres in *Chlamydomonas* [56], we hypothesise that the transitions in coordination observed experimentally could be the result of localised apical variations in cytoplasmic $[Ca^{2+}]$ [57–59]. Natural extensions of this model to amplitude-phase coupling do not influence the leading order coordination dynamics (see Supplementary Information S1 for brief discussion) and have been omitted here. In-phase coordination has recently been proposed to result from a different nonlinear interplay between hydrodynamic and intracellular mechanical coupling [15], with AP due to either one of them operating in isolation. However, several experimental observations, from the absence of phase-locking in mutants lacking striated fibres [39, 40], to the complex synchronization observed in multi-flagellated algae [40], suggest that hydrodynamics plays in fact only a minimal role in this system. The model introduced here can sustain both AP and IP states without the need for external coupling, through a mechanism potentially under the direct control of the cell. Unequal tightening of the different fibres joining the basal bodies of cells with more than two flagella could then lead to the complex synchronization patterns observed experimentally [40]. Yet, subtle hydrodynamic effects can exist, and need to be investigated through dedicated experiments blocking fluid-mediated coupling between flagella. These are currently underway.

With coordination of cilia and flagella within single cells being sensitive to the direct intracellular coupling between the filaments, we believe that better understanding its emergence will eventually enable synchronization to be used as a new and sensitive probe for the intracellular mechanical state of a cell.

DATA ACCESSIBILITY

Additional data are included in the electronic supplementary material.

AUTHORS’ CONTRIBUTION

M.P. and D.R.B. designed the research; M.P., D.R.B., and R.C. developed the initial model; D.R.B. and Y.L. developed the full model and performed the simulations; M.P., D.R.B. and Y.L. analysed the data and wrote the manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

We declare we have no competing interests.

FUNDING

This work was supported by: a Discovery Early Career Researcher Award (DECRA) from the Australian Research Council (D.R.B.); the University of Melbourne through an Albert Shimmings International Fellowship (M.P. and D.R.B.), and a Mathematics Vacation Scholarship (Y.L.).
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

M.P. gratefully acknowledges the hospitality of the School of Mathematics and Statistics from the University of Melbourne, where part of this work was done.

[31] Jeanneret R, Contino M, Polin M. 2016 A brief introduction to the model microswimmer *Chlamydomonas rein-