

Manuscript version: Author's Accepted Manuscript

The version presented in WRAP is the author's accepted manuscript and may differ from the published version or Version of Record.

Persistent WRAP URL:

<http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/111598>

How to cite:

Please refer to published version for the most recent bibliographic citation information. If a published version is known of, the repository item page linked to above, will contain details on accessing it.

Copyright and reuse:

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work by researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions.

Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRAP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

Publisher's statement:

Please refer to the repository item page, publisher's statement section, for further information.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: wrap@warwick.ac.uk.

1 **Title:** The Relationship between Patients' Illness Beliefs and Recovery after Stroke

2

3 **Authors:** N Aujla^{1, 2, 3} PhD; M Walker² PhD; K Vedhara¹ PhD; N Sprigg³ DM

4 ¹Division of Primary Care, University of Nottingham, UK

5 ²Division of Rehabilitation and Ageing, University of Nottingham, UK

6 ³Stroke, Division of Clinical Neuroscience, University of Nottingham, UK

7 **Corresponding Author (Pre-Publication):**

8 Dr Navneet Aujla

9 Division of Primary Care/Division of Rehabilitation and Ageing

10 c/o Room 1305, Tower Building

11 University Park, University of Nottingham

12 Nottingham, NG7 2RD

13 Tel: 07487738027

14 E-Mail: N.Aujla@warwick.ac.uk

15 **Corresponding Author (Post-Publication):**

16 Professor Nikola Sprigg

17 Stroke, Division of Clinical Neuroscience

18 University of Nottingham, Room B60, Clinical Sciences Building

19 Nottingham City Hospital, Hucknall Road

20 Nottingham, NG5 1PB

21 Tel: 0115 823 1778

22 Fax: 0115 823 1767

23 E-Mail: nikola.spring@nottingham.ac.uk

25 **Abstract word count: 210**

26 **Manuscript word count (excluding title page, abstract, references, and tables):**

27 **1500**

28 **Number of tables: 2**

29 **Number of figures: 0**

30

Abstract

31 The Common Sense Model (CSM) is a useful framework for understanding adjustment
32 (mood and treatment adherence) amongst survivors in the acute phase of stroke (\leq three-
33 months). CSM stroke studies have thus far focused on the single outcomes, mood and
34 medication adherence, neglecting other pertinent aspects of post-stroke recovery (i.e., Health-
35 Related Quality of Life (HRQL) and disability). The purpose of this study was to examine
36 relationships between baseline illness beliefs and three-month post-stroke HRQL, mood and
37 disability. A longitudinal observational design was adopted, involving 50 survivors (mean
38 age=66.9 years, 68% male). The primary outcome, HRQL, was measured using EQ-5D-5L.
39 The secondary outcome, mood was measured using the Patient Health Questionnaire-9; and
40 disability, using the Nottingham Extended Activities of Daily Living Scale. A stroke-specific
41 version of the Illness Perception Questionnaire-Revised measured illness beliefs. Spearman's
42 correlations showed that beliefs about the fluctuating effects of stroke ($\rho=0.50$, $p<0.001$) and
43 perceptions of considerable distress at baseline were significantly associated with worse
44 mood three-months post-stroke ($\rho=0.41$, $p<0.001$). Baseline illness beliefs were not
45 significantly related to three-month post-stroke HRQL or disability. Despite being limited by
46 a modest sample size, the findings reiterated the need for routine clinical assessment of mood
47 immediately after stroke, and indicated that simultaneous measurement of timeline-cyclical
48 beliefs and emotional representations may also be beneficial.

49 **Keywords:** Common Sense Model; Illness Beliefs; Stroke; Mood; Depression;
50 Recovery; Disability; Health-Related Quality of Life.

51

Introduction

52 The Common Sense Model (CSM) suggests that when individuals suffer illness, they
53 experience a disequilibrium that they become motivated to resolve, and do so by constructing
54 beliefs about their illness and treatment that guide how they cope with their condition
55 (Leventhal, Meyer, & Nerenz, 1980). Illness beliefs have five core domains: ‘identity’ –
56 beliefs about the label of illness; ‘timeline’ – beliefs about illness duration; ‘consequences’ –
57 beliefs about illness severity/impact; ‘cure/control’ – beliefs about amenability to cure,
58 prevention or treatment; and ‘causes’ – beliefs about internal (e.g., genes) and external (e.g.,
59 germ or virus) causes of illness. These have been extended to include: ‘timeline-cyclical’ –
60 beliefs of an episodic illness; ‘personal control’ and ‘treatment control’ – beliefs about own
61 ability and that of treatment to manage the illness; ‘illness coherence’ – understanding of the
62 illness; and ‘emotional representations’ – illness-related distress (Moss-Morris et al., 2002).

63 Eleven studies have thus far examined relationships between illness beliefs and the
64 single post-stroke outcomes, mood and medication adherence (Ford, 2007; Johnston et al.,
65 2007; Johnston, Morrison, Macwalter, & Partridge, 1999; Joice, Bonetti, MacWalter, &
66 Morrison, 2003; Joice, Johnston, & Bonetti, 2002; Klinedinst, Dunbar, & Clark, 2012;
67 O'Carroll, Chambers, Dennis, Sudlow, & Johnston, 2013; O'Carroll et al., 2011; Phillips,
68 Diefenbach, Abrams, & Horowitz, 2015; Sjölander, Eriksson, & Glader, 2013; Twiddy,
69 House, & Jones, 2012). These identified multiple illness beliefs that are significantly
70 associated with post-stroke mood and medication non-adherence, including perceptions of a
71 highly symptomatic condition; serious consequences; chronicity; fluctuating effects of stroke;
72 inability of treatment to manage effects of stroke; poor disease understanding; and stroke-
73 related distress.

74 This short report examines relationships between illness beliefs and mood, as well as
75 other important markers of post-stroke recovery (HRQL and disability) that have been

76 defined by the International Classification of Functioning (ICF) framework for health and
77 disability (World Health Organization, 2001), but have mostly been neglected in CSM stroke
78 studies to date.

79

80 **Methods and Materials**

81 We employed a longitudinal observational design, collecting data at baseline (after
82 study enrolment) and three-months after stroke. Participants were recruited from acute stroke
83 and rehabilitation wards and outpatient clinics in one hospital in the United Kingdom (UK).
84 Inclusion criteria were adults (>18 years) with a confirmed diagnosis of acute stroke (within
85 8-weeks) and sufficient language and cognitive ability to participate. Ethical approval was
86 granted by the National Research Ethics Service Committee East Midlands – Leicester
87 (13/EM/0392).

88 **Measures**

89 Our outcomes were defined according to ICF domains ('impairments' – problems or
90 loss in body function; 'activities' – performance of a task or action; and 'participation' –
91 involvement in a life situation) (World Health Organization, 2001).

92 HRQL (ICF Participation) was measured using EQ-5D-5L (Brooks, 1996). Patient
93 Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) measured mood (ICF Impairments). We measured disability
94 (ICF Activities) or 'instrumental activities of daily living' (such as shopping, cooking etc.)
95 using the stroke-specific Nottingham Extended Activities of Daily Living Scale (Nouri &
96 Lincoln, 1987). Illness beliefs were measured using a version of the IPQ-R adapted to stroke
97 (Stroke IPQ-R) (Aujla, Vedhara, Walker, & Sprigg, 2018).

98 After providing written informed consent, we collected socio-demographic; medical
99 and family history; clinical and lifestyle data. Participants also completed the EQ-5D-5L,
100 PHQ-9, Nottingham Extended Activities of Daily Living Scale, and Stroke IPQ-R, which

101 were repeated at three-months post-stroke. Data were mostly collected via self-report, with
102 exception of clinician-reported data (e.g. stroke severity) which were abstracted from medical
103 records.

104 **Statistical Analysis**

105 The primary outcome was three-month post-stroke HRQL –a now prioritised outcome
106 in acute stroke studies (Deshpande et al., 2011). The secondary outcomes were mood and
107 disability. We estimated needing 55 participants to detect a correlation of 0.4 between illness
108 beliefs and markers of post-stroke recovery (e.g., mood), with 80% power, alpha=0.05 and
109 20% attrition.

110 Analyses were conducted using STATA 13 (StataCorp LP College Station, TX, USA).
111 Statistical significance was assessed at the 5% level ($p<0.05$), and a Bonferroni adjustment
112 corrected for multiple testing. We examined associations between illness beliefs and post-
113 stroke HRQL, mood and disability using Spearman's rho (ρ).

114

115 **Results**

116 Sample characteristics are summarised in Table 1 and elaborated elsewhere (Aujla,
117 Walker, Sprigg, & Vedhara, 2018). In brief, 88 of 1085 patients assessed for eligibility over a
118 12-month period were eligible and approached for participation. The main reasons for non-
119 eligibility were non-stroke diagnosis ($N = 249$) and stroke onset over 8 weeks before ($N =$
120 186). Fifty patients consented, with 16% attrition. Average age was 66.9 years ($SD=14.5$
121 years), with 68% males and 98% White-British ethnicity. Around 78% reported a first stroke
122 and 18% a recurrence. The majority of participants reported few symptoms, but believed their
123 stroke to be chronic, with fluctuating effects, greatly impacting on their lives, and leading to
124 considerable distress, and despite having an unsatisfactory understanding (particularly of the
125 causes) of their stroke, perceived that it was controllable.

126 Our analysis used complete cases. Following Bonferroni adjustment, Spearman's
127 correlations showed that participants who perceived the effects of their stroke to be episodic
128 ($\rho=0.50$, $p<0.001$) and causing considerable distress ($\rho=0.41$, $p<0.001$) at baseline also
129 reported worse mood three-months after stroke. No significant correlations emerged between
130 baseline illness beliefs and three-month post-stroke HRQL and disability (see Table 2).

131

132 **Discussion**

133 We have shown that mood during the acute phase of recovery after stroke is affected by
134 maladaptive beliefs about the episodic nature of stroke and stroke-related distress. These
135 findings were consistent with prior CSM stroke studies, including Ford (2007), Joice et al.
136 (2003), Klinedinst et al. (2012) and Twiddy et al. (2012). We also uniquely examined
137 relationships between illness beliefs, HRQL and disability within the first three-months of
138 stroke. It was surprising that significant associations did not emerge given findings from the
139 wider CSM literature on physical illnesses (e.g., Damman, Liu, Kaptein, Rosendaal, and
140 Kloppenburg (2014); Dalbeth et al. (2011); and Spain, Tubridy, Kilpatrick, Adams, and
141 Holmes (2007)). We suspect that this is likely to relate to our sample. In addition to being
142 modest in size and inevitably resulting in inadequate statistical power and inflated risk of type
143 2 error, it also comprised highly functioning survivors of a less severe stroke. An important
144 limitation of ours and prior CSM stroke studies.

145 CSM theory argues that illness beliefs form when people experience illness (Leventhal
146 et al., 1980). This implies that if people do not experience symptoms (i.e., are functioning
147 well post-illness), the health threat may not be considered enough of a problem for
148 (mal)adaptive illness beliefs to manifest. In order to gain a more thorough picture of how
149 illness beliefs relate to these specific aspects of post-stroke recovery, it may instead be better
150 to examine patients most affected by stroke (i.e., survivors of more severe strokes).

However, this is a hard group to reach in acute stroke research (Newington & Metcalfe, 2014). The post-stroke impairments that commonly affect these patients (e.g., paralysis, perceptual difficulties, and impaired cognition) undoubtedly limit their ability to engage with and provide informed consent for complex studies such as ours. Therefore, it is necessary for future research to consider ways other than questionnaires to elicit illness beliefs in stroke survivors with complex needs. One possibility is the ‘Talking Mats’ framework, which supports people with communication problems (including stroke survivors with aphasia) to express their views (Murphy, 2000; Murphy, Gray, van Achterberg, Wyke, & Cox, 2010).

In view of these limitations, our findings should be considered exploratory. Nonetheless, we have shown that the CSM may be a useful framework for understanding psychological adjustment during the acute phase of stroke, and in particular, that early post-stroke mood may be affected by maladaptive timeline-cyclical beliefs and emotional representations. These relationships were found even in survivors of a less severe stroke with little residual disability and mild depressive symptomatology. Therefore, our findings further emphasise an already recognised need to identify patients with low mood early after stroke and tie in with the most recent UK stroke clinical guidelines (Intercollegiate Stroke Working Party, 2016).

168 **Acknowledgements:** This research was undertaken as part of a PhD Studentship
169 awarded to FIRST AUTHOR by the NAME OF UNIVERSITY. We would like to thank our
170 participants for giving up their time to be involved in this research.

Disclosures: None of the authors have any conflicts of interest to declare.

References

- 174 Aujla, N., Vedhara, K., Walker, M., & Sprigg, N. (2018). Evaluating a stroke-specific version
175 of the Illness Perception Questionnaire-Revised, using the Think-Aloud method *J*
176 *Health Psychol.* doi:10.1177/1359105318781942

- 177 Aujla, N., Walker, M., Sprigg, N., & Vedhara, K. (2018). Do individual versus illness belief
178 schema differ in the prediction of post-stroke recovery? *J Health Psychol.*
179 doi:10.1177/1359105318785446
- 180 Brooks, R. (1996). EuroQol: the current state of play. *Health Policy*, 37(1), 53-72.
181 doi:10.1016/0168-8510(96)00822-6
- 182 Dalbeth, N., Petrie, K. J., House, M., Chong, J., Leung, W., Chegudi, R., . . . Taylor, W. J.
183 (2011). Illness perceptions in patients with gout and the relationship with progression
184 of musculoskeletal disability. *Arthritis Care and Research*, 63(11), 1605-1612.
185 doi:10.1002/acr.20570
- 186 Damman, W., Liu, R., Kaptein, A. A., Rosendaal, F. R., & Kloppenburg, M. (2014).
187 Negative illness perceptions are associated with short-term disability in patients with
188 hand osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*, 22, S200-S201.
189 doi:10.1016/j.joca.2014.02.383
- 190 Ford, C. (2007). *The relationship between beliefs about stroke and post-stroke depression*
191 (Doctor of Clinical Psychology), University of East Anglia,
- 192 Intercollegiate Stroke Working Party. (2016). *National clinical guideline for stroke. Fifth
193 edition*. London, United Kingdom: Royal College of Physicians Retrieved from
194 [https://www.strokeaudit.org/SupportFiles/Documents/Guidelines/2016-National-Clinical-Guideline-for-Stroke-5t-\(1\).aspx](https://www.strokeaudit.org/SupportFiles/Documents/Guidelines/2016-National-Clinical-Guideline-for-Stroke-5t-(1).aspx)
- 195 Johnston, M., Bonetti, D., Joice, S., Pollard, B., Morrison, V., Francis, J. J., & MacWalter, R.
196 (2007). Recovery from disability after stroke as a target for a behavioural
197 intervention: results of a randomized controlled trial. *Disability & Rehabilitation*,
198 29(14), 1117-1127. doi:10.1080/03323310600950411
- 199 Johnston, M., Morrison, V., Macwalter, R., & Partridge, C. (1999). Perceived control, coping
200 and recovery from disability following stroke. *Psychology & Health*, 14(2), 181-192.
201 doi:10.1080/08870449908407322
- 202 Joice, S., Bonetti, D., MacWalter, R., & Morrison, V. (2003). *Illness representations and
203 distress in stroke patients: an analysis using the SRM*. Paper presented at the British
204 Psychological Society, Division of Health Psychology Conference.
- 205 Joice, S., Johnston, M., & Bonetti, D. (2002). *Using Leventhal's self-regulation model to
206 explore non-adherence to a workbook intervention for stroke patients*. Paper presented at the British
207 Psychological Society, Division of Health Psychology Conference.
- 208 Klinedinst, N. J., Dunbar, S. B., & Clark, P. C. (2012). Stroke survivor and informal
209 caregiver perceptions of post-stroke depressive symptoms. *The Journal of
210 Neuroscience Nursing*, 44(2), 72-81. doi:10.1097/JNN.0b013e3182477944
- 211 Leventhal, H., Meyer, D., & Nerenz, D. R. (1980). The common sense representation of
212 illness danger. In S. Rachman (Ed.), *Contributions to medical psychology* (Vol. 2, pp.
213 7-30). New York, United States of America: Pergamon.
- 214 Moss-Morris, R., Weinman, J., Petrie, K. J., Horne, R., Cameron, L. D., & Buick, D. (2002).
215 The revised illness perception questionnaire (IPQ-R). *Psychology & Health*, 17(1), 1-
216 16. doi:10.1080/08870440290001494
- 217 Murphy, J. (2000). Enabling people with aphasia to discuss quality of life. *British Journal of
218 Therapy and Rehabilitation*, 7(11), 454-458. doi:10.12968/bjtr.2000.7.11.13835
- 219 Murphy, J., Gray, C. M., van Achterberg, T., Wyke, S., & Cox, S. (2010). The effectiveness
220 of the Talking Mats framework in helping people with dementia to express their
221 views on well-being. *Dementia*, 9(4), 454-472. doi:10.1177/1471301210381776
- 222 Newington, L., & Metcalfe, A. (2014). Factors influencing recruitment to research:
223 qualitative study of the experiences and perceptions of research teams. *BMC Medical
224 Research Methodology*, 14(1), 10. doi:10.1186/1471-2288-14-10
- 225
- 226

- 227 Nouri, F. M., & Lincoln, N. B. (1987). An extended activities of daily living scale for stroke
228 patients. *Clinical Rehabilitation*, 1(4), 301-305. doi:10.1177/026921558700100409
- 229 O'Carroll, R. E., Chambers, J. A., Dennis, M., Sudlow, C., & Johnston, M. (2013). Improving
230 adherence to medication in stroke survivors: a pilot randomised controlled trial.
231 *Annals of Behavioural Medicine*, 46(3), 358-368. doi:10.1007/s12160-013-9515-5
- 232 O'Carroll, R. E., Whittaker, J., B, H., Johnston, M., Sudlow, C., & Dennis, M. (2011).
233 Predictors of adherence to secondary preventive medication in stroke patients. *Annals*
234 *of Behavioral Medicine*, 41, 383-390. doi:10.1007/s12160-010-9257-6
- 235 Phillips, L. A., Diefenbach, M. A., Abrams, J., & Horowitz, C. R. (2015). Stroke and TIA
236 survivors' cognitive beliefs and affective responses regarding treatment and future
237 stroke risk differentially predict medication adherence and categorised stroke risk.
238 *Psychology & Health*, 30(2), 218-232. doi:10.1080/08870446.2014.964237
- 239 Sjölander, M., Eriksson, M., & Glader, E.-L. (2013). The association between patients'
240 beliefs about medicines and adherence to drug treatment after stroke: a cross-sectional
241 questionnaire survey. *BMJ Open*, 3(9). doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2013-003551
- 242 Spain, L. A., Tubridy, N., Kilpatrick, T. J., Adams, S. J., & Holmes, A. C. N. (2007). Illness
243 perception and health-related quality of life in multiple sclerosis. *Acta Neurologica*
244 *Scandinavica*, 116(5), 293-299. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0404.2007.00895.x
- 245 Twiddy, M., House, A., & Jones, F. (2012). The association between discrepancy in illness
246 representations on distress in stroke patients and carers. *Journal of Psychosomatic*
247 *Research*, 72, 220-225. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychores.2011.12.004
- 248 World Health Organization. (2001). *International classification of functioning, disability and*
249 *health*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization Retrieved from
250 <http://www.who.int/classifications/icf/en/>
- 251

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of the study sample

	N Mean (SD)/Frequency (%), unless otherwise stated
Socio-Demographics	
Age	N=50 66.9 (14.5)
Sex-Male	N=50 34 (68.0%)
Ethnic group-White	N=50 49 (98.0%)
University or higher education	N=44 9 (20.5%)
Employment status	N=46 Unemployed 6 (13.0%) Employed full-time 7 (15.2%) Employed part-time 3 (6.5%) Self-employed 5 (10.9%) Retired 25 (54.4%)
IMD rank*	N=44 Median=20706.5 (IQR=17158.0)
IMD decile*	N=44 Median=7 (IQR=6)
Medical history	
National Institute of Health Stroke Scale score¥	N=44 Median=2 (IQR=4.5)
Pre-morbid Modified Rankin Scale scoreYY	N=48 0 (0)
Previous stroke	N=46 36 (78.3)
Previous TIA	N=46 13 (28.3)
History of heart attack	N=46 6 (13.0%)
History of hypertension	N=46 31 (67.4%)
History of high cholesterol	N=46 24 (52.2%)
History of atrial fibrillation	N=46 10 (21.7%)
History of blood clots	N=46 5 (10.9%)
History of angina	N=46 6 (13.0%)
History of diabetes	N=46 11 (23.9%)
History of depression	N=46 11 (23.9%)
History of anxiety	N=46 9 (19.6%)
Co-morbidities	N=49 34 (69.4%)
Family history-first degree relative (mother, father, sibling)	
History of stroke	N=45 15 (33.0%)
History of TIA	N=46 4 (8.7%)
Clinical data	
Systolic blood pressure (mm/HG)	N=48

	147.6 (33.7)
Diastolic blood pressure (mm/HG)	N=48 78.6 (20.0)
Blood glucose (mmol/L)	N=37 Median = 6.6 (IQR=2.8)
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	N=44 4.74 (1.30)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/L)	N=40 Median = 1.3 (IQR=0.6)
LDL cholesterol (mmol/L)	N=38 Median = 2.7 (IQR=1.9)
BMI (kg/m ²)	N=40 Median = 28 (IQR=9.7)
Lifestyle	
Current smoking status	N=41 Non/never smoked 18 (39.1%) Ex-smoker 24 (52.2%) Current smoker 4 (8.7%)
Number smoked daily	N=22 10 (13)
Units of beer	N=41 0 (7)
Units of wine	N=41 0 (2)
Units of spirits	N=41 0 (0)
30-minutes of exercise x4 times a week	N=41 36 (78.3%)
Low-fat diet	N=41 24 (52.2%)
Low-sugar diet	N=41 29 (63.0%)
Low-salt diet	N=41 29 (64.4%)

Symbols and abbreviations: *: Computed using postcode data collected from participants; ¥: High NIHSS scores indicate a more severe stroke; §§: High Modified Rankin Scale scores indicate greater disability; §§§: High Barthel Index scores indicate greater independence; BMI: Body mass index; HDL: High Density Lipoprotein; IMD: Index of Multiple Deprivation; IQR: Interquartile range; LDL: Low Density Lipoprotein; SD: Standard deviation; TIA: Transient Ischaemic Attack

Table 2. Correlation matrix for baseline illness belief domains and follow-up markers of recovery (N=41)

	Identit y	Timeline acute- chronic	Timeline -cyclical	Consequences	Personal control	Treatment control	Illness coherenc e	Emotional representatio ns	EQ-5D-5L Descriptiv e System - Index score	EQ-5D-5L 'Your health today' VAS score	Mood	Nottingham Extended ADL
Identity												
Timeline acute-chronic	0.47 p<.01											
Timeline- cyclical	<u>0.62</u> <u>p<.001</u> <u>*</u>	0.26 p=0.10										
Consequences	<u>0.66</u> <u>p<.001</u> <u>*</u>	0.45 p<.01	0.35 p<.05									
Personal control	0.19 p=0.23	-0.19 p=0.22	0.12 p=0.45	0.06 p=0.73								
Treatment control	-0.04 p=0.78	-0.17 p=0.30	-0.12 p=0.47	-0.00 p=0.99	0.30 p=0.05							
Illness coherence	0.17 p=0.28	0.00 p=0.99	-0.09 p=0.57	0.11 p=0.49	0.30 p=0.06	0.11 p=0.48						
Emotional representatio ns	<u>0.56</u> <u>p<.001</u> <u>*</u>	0.27	<u>0.51</u> <u>p<.001*</u>	<u>0.63</u> <u>p<.001*</u>	-0.07 p=0.65	-0.16 p=0.30	-0.00 p=0.98					
EQ-5D-5L Descriptive System - Index score	-0.27 p=0.09	-0.41 p<.01	-0.34 p<.05	-0.19 p=0.24	0.17 p=0.29	-0.11 p=0.49	0.26 p=0.10	-0.26 p=0.10				
EQ-5D-5L 'Your health today' VAS score	-0.11 p=0.49	-0.22 p=0.18	-0.28 p=0.08	-0.10 p=0.53	0.09 p=0.58	0.06 p=0.69	0.17 p=0.30	-0.27 p=0.09	<u>0.51</u> <u>p<.001*</u>			
Mood	0.26 p=0.10	0.04 p=0.80	<u>0.50</u> <u>p<.001*</u>	0.28 p=0.07	-0.06 p=0.71	-0.06 p=0.69	-0.13 p=0.43	<u>0.41</u> <u>p<.001*</u>	-0.21 p=0.18	-0.20 p=0.22		
Nottingham Extended ADL	-0.18 p=0.26	-0.27 p=0.09	-0.02 p=0.90	-0.04 p=0.77	0.02 p=0.88	-0.17 p=0.29	-0.27 p=0.09	-0.03 p=0.84	0.49 p<0.01	0.32 p<0.05	0.05 p=0.78	

Symbols and abbreviations: *: P-value significant at the Bonferroni-adjusted significance level ($p<0.002$); ADL: Activities of Daily Living; VAS: Visual Analogue Scale