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A mechanistic modelling approach for the determination of the mechanisms of inhibition by cyclosporine on the uptake and metabolism of atorvastatin in rat hepatocytes using a high throughput uptake method

Simon J. Carter, Alex S. Ferecskó, Lloyd King, Karelle Ménochet, Ted Parton and Michael J. Chappell

ABSTRACT

1. Determine the inhibition mechanism through which cyclosporine inhibits the uptake and metabolism of atorvastatin in fresh rat hepatocytes using mechanistic models applied to data generated using a high throughput oil spin method.

2. Atorvastatin was incubated in fresh rat hepatocytes (0.05–150 nmol/ml) with or without 20 min pre-incubation with 10 nmol/ml cyclosporine and sampled over 0.25–60 min using a high throughput oil spin method. Micro-rate constant and macro-rate constant mechanistic models were ranked based on goodness of fit values.

3. The best fitting model to the data was a micro-rate constant mechanistic model including non-competitive inhibition of uptake and competitive inhibition of metabolism by cyclosporine (Model 2). The association rate constant for atorvastatin was 150-fold greater than the dissociation rate constant and 10-fold greater than the translocation into the cell. The association and dissociation rate constants for cyclosporine were 7-fold smaller and 10-fold greater, respectively, than atorvastatin. The simulated atorvastatin-transporter-cyclosporine complex derived using the micro-rate constant parameter estimates increased in line with the incubation concentration of atorvastatin.

4. The increased amount of data generated with the high throughput oil spin method, combined with a micro-rate constant mechanistic model helps to explain the inhibition of uptake by cyclosporine following pre-incubation.

Introduction

Movement from the blood into the liver is a key component of the elimination of drugs from the body. From there they may be metabolised, excreted into the bile or excreted back into the blood (Shitara et al., 2006). If the majority of the clearance from the blood into the liver is via a transporter protein, and this is much greater than the relevant metabolic and/or biliary clearance, then this is a rate limiting step in the elimination of the drug from the body (Kimoto et al., 2015; Patleja-Vrana & Unadkat, 2016). For example for carboxylic acids (e.g. atorvastatin), uptake of drugs into the liver via a saturable carrier protein such as organic anion transporting polypeptide (OATP) may be the rate limiting step in clearance from the blood (Shitara, 2011).

Atorvastatin is an HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor used to treat hypercholesterolemia, and is the third most prescribed drug in the USA (Fuentes et al., 2018). Atorvastatin is taken up into hepatocytes with; 96%–98% of the uptake as carrier mediated in rat hepatocytes, high intracellular binding (fraction unbound = 0.011–0.015; Kulkarni et al., 2016; Paine et al., 2008; Yabe et al., 2011), and metabolism through rCyp3a into ortho or para substituted hydroxylated metabolites (Lau et al., 2006; Watanabe et al., 2010).

Cyclosporine (CsA) is an effective immunosuppressant for use in organ transplantation, but its use is now limited due to cholestasis and nephrotoxicity (Fricker & Fahr, 1997) and has largely been replaced by immunosuppressants with less severe side effects, such as tacrolimus (Amundsen et al., 2012; Skalicka et al., 2009). CsA inhibits the uptake of both endogenous acids such as taurocholic acid (Fricker & Fahr, 1997) and xenobiotics such as atorvastatin (Amundsen et al., 2010) and pitavastatin (Shitara & Sugiyama, 2017) in a competitive (Amundsen et al., 2010) or non-competitive manner (Shitara & Sugiyama, 2017), depending on whether the inhibitor is co-incubated or pre-incubated respectively. Disposition of CsA in rat hepatocytes has been shown to be...
In vivo with atorvastatin and CsA, was also observed clinically in healthy volunteers with a 15-fold higher plasma exposure of atorvastatin and its metabolites compared to those on tacrolimus, with an increased risk of rhabdomyolysis in chronic patients (Lemahieu et al., 2005). The inhibition seen in vitro with atorvastatin and CsA, was also observed clinically in healthy volunteers with a 15-fold higher plasma exposure of atorvastatin and its metabolites compared to those on tacrolimus, with an increased risk of rhabdomyolysis in chronic patients (Lemahieu et al., 2005).

In order to investigate the uptake of substrates in suspended hepatocytes, some means of separating the cells from the media following incubation is required. Soars et al. (2007) and Harrison et al. (2018) rapidly centrifuged samples, and then analysed the loss from the media assuming no or little loss of material from the cells. A more complex method is to spin the media containing hepatocytes in an individual microtube through a layer of oil into a dense bottom layer in which the cells are lysed and then analyse the pellet. The use of the oil spin method, was initially limited to radiolabelled substrates (Schwarz et al., 1975) with possible confusion from metabolites, until the more readily accessible analysis by liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC-MS) was developed (Baker & Parton, 2007; Lu et al., 2006). The advantage of individual microtubes is that suitable centrifuges with a rapid acceleration and deceleration are available, and this determines when the earliest timepoint for measurement can be taken. Li et al. (2013) described a high throughput oil spin method in an 8-well format, with the first timepoint at 30 s, which was the first paper to show a method using more than a single microtube to increase the throughput of the oil spin method. To further increase the throughput of the assay, the method used in Li et al. (2013) needs to be miniaturised to make use of a centrifuge with an acceleration and deceleration similar to those used with individual microtubes.

It is increasingly common to use compartmental mechanistic models to simultaneously describe the data obtained from hepatocytes across a range of concentrations and time-points (Baker & Parton, 2007; Grandjean et al., 2014a; Menochet et al., 2012b; Shitara & Sugiyama, 2017). Unlike the two-step method published by Ishigami et al. (1995), mechanistic models do not assume linearity over initial time-points (Li et al., 2013), and can be used to describe the kinetics of substrate and quantifiable metabolites as well as differences in passive rates travelling to and from the cell (Grandjean et al., 2014a; Menochet et al., 2012b), to enable better predictions of human pharmacokinetics for transporter substrates (Jones et al., 2012). The use of mechanistic models derived using the Michaelis-Menten kinetics equation (termed macro-rate constant models in this article) are based on assumptions originally designed for use in enzymology and applied to transporters: It is assumed that the association to the transporter is very rapid in comparison to the dissociation from the transporter and that the amount of substrate at the transporter is much larger than the total amount of transporters and thus the substrate is at equilibrium in a very short space of time (Grandjean et al., 2014a; Segel, 1993b). It then follows that the rate of translocation into the cell is the rate limiting step in the movement of substrate into the cell (Grandjean et al., 2014a; Segel, 1993b). However, these assumptions are not formally tested, but can be done so through the use of micro-rate constant mechanistic models.

The aim of this paper is to determine the mechanism of inhibition of CsA on the uptake and metabolism of atorvastatin in fresh rat hepatocytes. This will be met through the following objectives: develop a novel high throughput oil-spin method optimised for use with fresh rat hepatocytes that allows multiple concentrations to be assessed simultaneously; the amount of information obtained will then be used to develop and compare micro-rate constant and Michaelis-Menten (macro-rate constant) mechanistic models to simultaneously describe the initial uptake kinetics and metabolism of atorvastatin, and the complex inhibition exhibited by cyclosporine; the Michaelis-Menten assumptions described above can be formally tested; the mechanistic models will be guided by a structural identifiability analysis to aid in parameter estimation.

Methods

Chemicals

Atorvastatin sodium was obtained from Sequoia Research Products Ltd (Pangbourne, UK). Cyclosporine, Percoll, high temperature silicone oil (175633), Krebs-Henseleit buffer powder (KHB, 1L), sodium chloride, HEPES, collagenase (C5138) and Trypan blue (0.4%) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Poole, UK). Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), methanol and water were obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. (Loughborough, UK) and were of analytical grade.

Animals

Male Lewis rats (350–480 g) were obtained from Charles River (Margate, UK). They were housed three to a cage lined with sawdust, forage mix and shredded paper in rooms maintained at a temperature of 20 ± 2°C with a relative humidity of 45%–55% on a 12 h light:dark cycle with access to food and water ad libitum. All procedures performed were approved by the local UCB ethical review committee according to the Home Office animal procedures act (1986) by licenced individuals. Data were generated from cells isolated from three rats on different occasions.

Isolation of hepatocytes

Hepatocytes were isolated using a two stage liver perfusion with collagenase (Seglen, 1976), with the exception that...
collagenase was perfused at only 20–24 ml/min and not 50 ml/min to prevent undue pressure increases in the liver. Under terminal general anaesthesia with isoflurane, the liver was perfused with a calcium-free buffer (500 ml Liver Perfusion Medium, Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) at a rate of 25 ml/min in a humid 38 °C temperature controlled cabinet. The medium was then changed to modified KHB (118 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 1.1 mM MgSO4, 2.5 mM CaCl2, 1.2 mM KH2PO4, 25 mM NaHCO3, 10 mM glucose supplemented with 12.5 mM HEPES [pH 7.4]) and saturated with O2/CO2, containing collagenase (0.012%–0.013% w/v). Both the liver perfusion medium and modified KHB containing collagenase were kept at 38 °C in jacketed beakers and stirred and gassed continuously with 95% O2/5% CO2 for at least 20 min prior to use. The liver was then dissected free and transferred to ice cold modified KHB, the cells were released by the use of a cell scraper (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) and filtered through 70 μm filters into 50 ml falcons (BD, Oxford, UK). The cells were centrifuged at 50 g for 5 min at 4 °C and the supernatant removed. The pellets were re-suspended in a 30:70 mixture of Percoll: modified KHB and spun at 70 g for 5 min at 7 °C. This step led to cells of high viability (>98%) as determined by the trypan blue (0.4%) exclusion test with greater than 250 × 106 cells/liver. The cells were kept on ice throughout isolation and used within 3 h of isolation.

**Incubations**

Custom-made 16-channel Teflon blocks (two columns of eight round-ended and bottomed troughs from Radleys, Saffron Walden, UK, see Figure 1) were pre-incubated with eight round-ended and bottomed troughs from Radleys, Saffron Walden, UK, see Figure 1) were pre-incubated with 2 ml of 2 × 10^9 cells/ml for 20 min, at 38.9 °C (temperature inside the Teflon blocks was 37°C) and 109 strokes/min, placed lengthwise in an oscillating water bath (Julabo, Peterborough, UK); using this technique, cells were gently agitated to keep them in suspension, while the large interface between medium and air helped achieve adequate oxygen exchange. Kolhatkar & Polli (2010) simulated competitive and non-competitive experiments to determine what analysis method best returned the correct inhibition type. It was concluded that use of a single inhibitor concentration at or above the Ks and numerous substrate concentrations were sufficient to correctly identify the type of inhibition (Kolhatkar & Polli, 2010) and was therefore initiated experimentally. CsA (2 mmol/l) was dissolved in DMSO and added during pre-incubation where required (or DMSO alone in controls), with a final concentration of 10 nmol/ml. Omnistrip (0.32 ml) PCR eight-tube strips (Thermo Fisher Scientific) were layered with 50 μl 3 M NaCl and 100 μl high temperature silicone oil and placed in a six-position PCR strip rotor in an Eppendorf 5417R centrifuge (Eppendorf, Cambridge, UK).

High temperature silicone oil was chosen as its density does not change significantly between room temperature and 37 °C (1.047 ± 0.006 and 1.043 ± 0.004 g/ml respectively, values are mean ± SD, n = 3) whilst still remaining more dense than modified KHB at 37 °C (1.024 ± 0.013 g/ml, values are mean ± SD, n = 3). The difference in density at 37 °C and shape of the menisci before centrifugation (Figure 1(a)) is critical to ensure that the aqueous layers do not mix due to ‘flipping’, and this was the driving factor in miniaturising the assay. The high throughput method was initially validated against that obtained using the single tube method for UCB-X and was found to be similar to that obtained by Baker & Parton (2007) (data not shown).

Following a pre-incubation of 20 min, 10 μl of atorvastatin solution (0.05, 0.25, 0.5, 2.5, 5, 25, 50 and 150 nmol/ml final concentration) in DMSO was spiked into the Teflon blocks using an 8-way multichannel pipette. 8 × 100 μl samples, containing an assumed 2 × 10^5 cells (the minimum number of cells required to spin through the oil layer) were taken from the Teflon blocks (Figure 1, step 1) at pre-defined time-points (0.25, 0.58, 0.92, 1.25, 2.5, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 min), gently pipetted down the side of the Omnistrips and immediately centrifuged for 5 s up to ~7000 rpm (Figure 1, steps 2 and 3). This was sufficient for the cells to pellet into the bottom of the 3 M sodium chloride (bottom layer), and enabled the generation of a large number of samples within a short space of time (up to 384 samples in 80 min). The Omnistrips were then transferred to a 96 well matrix latch rack on ice for sample extraction (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

**Carryover**

To check for carryover, 150 nmol/ml of atorvastatin was incubated in modified KHB in the absence of cells at 37 °C in a Teflon block. 100 μl of media was taken and treated as per samples with hepatocytes. Carryover of atorvastatin was negligible (<0.1%, data not shown).

**Sample extraction**

Within 2 h of finishing the experiment, the top media layer and most of the middle oil layer were aspirated carefully to prevent the flipping of layers, and leaving sufficient volume of the oil to cover the bottom layer (Figure 1, step 4). The remaining bottom and middle layer were refrigerated overnight to enable easier disruption of cells. Due to the centrifugation step, the menisci present before centrifugation were vastly decreased, making sample extraction more straightforward (see Figure 1, steps 2 and 3). Ice cold methanol (60 μl, containing 600 pmol/ml dextromethorphan as an internal standard) was added to each tube and mixed using a multichannel pipette on ice until the pellet was disrupted (Figure 1, step 5). The matrix racks containing the Omnistrips were centrifuged at 4000 g at 5 °C for 5 min (Figure 1, step 6), 50 μl of the supernatant was pipetted into a Phenomenex 2 ml deep well plate (Macclesfield, UK) and 250 μl of 40:60 methanol:water was added (Figure 1, steps 6 and 7). Standard curves for atorvastatin were prepared as follows: 5, 1, 0.5, 0.1, 0.05, 0.01 and 0.005 nmol/ml stocks were prepared in DMSO and then diluted by 1 in 100 in methanol giving final concentrations of 50, 10, 5, 1, 0.5, 0.1 and 0.05 nmol/ml, respectively. A 50 μl aliquot of this solution was then extracted as per the samples. To examine loss from the media, 50 μl of the top layer from the latter two studies was
carefully removed from the four lowest concentrations across a range of timepoints (0.25, 0.58, 0.92, 1.25, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 min) prior to aspiration of the top and middle layer, and frozen.

**HPLC-MS analysis**

Reconstituted samples were analysed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)–high resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) operated in positive ion mode using an Accela HPLC system and a Q-Exactive Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, Hemel Hempstead, UK). For HPLC analysis, a Luna C18 100 Å 50 × 2 mm, 5 μm column (Phenomenex, Cheshire, UK) was used with a flow rate of 0.6 ml/min at 40 °C. Mobile phase A was composed of H2O containing 0.1% formic acid, and mobile phase B was composed of acetonitrile containing 0.1% formic acid. The gradient system used was as follows: initially, 20% of mobile phase B was held for 0.1 min followed by a linear gradient to 95% of mobile phase B from 0.1 to 0.8 min, 0.5 min at 95% of mobile phase B, a third linear gradient to 20% of mobile phase B at 1.3–1.31 min, and finally, a 0.49 min re-equilibration period at 20% of mobile phase B. Injections of 10 μl were made by a CTC Prep and Load (PAL) autosampler (CTC Analytics, Zwingen, Switzerland). For MS analysis, the capillary temperature was set at 380 °C, the source potential was 3100 V, and the source heater was set at 350 °C. Data were acquired in centroid mode at a resolution setting of 35000 (full width half maximum). The mass spectrometer was operated in a selected positive ion scanning mode, monitoring for the protonated mass of atorvastatin (MH559.26028) with an isolation window of 4 Da. The internal standard (dextromethorphan) was monitored at MH272.20151. The HPLC-MS data were acquired in a single run, processed and analysed using LCQuan software (version 2.7, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.). Atorvastatin demonstrated good linearity up to 50 nmol/ml with $R^2 > 0.99$ and a limit of quantitation of 0.05 nmol/ml.

**Data analysis**

Assuming that $2 \times 10^5$ cells were centrifuged into the 50 μl of 3 M NaCl, and that extraction of atorvastatin from the pellet into the methanol was complete, the bottom layer concentration was converted to cellular concentration using a cellular volume of per $1 \times 10^6$ cells (Baker & Parton, 2007; Reinoso et al., 2001; Yoshikado et al., 2017):

$$[\text{cell}] \ (\text{nmol/ml}) = \frac{[\text{bottom layer}] (V_b + V_{cell})}{V_{cell}}$$

where $V_b$ is the volume of the bottom layer of 3 M NaCl (0.05 ml) and $V_{cell}$ is the cell volume for $2 \times 10^5$ cells. As
multiple volumes have been reported for the cellular volume from 0.0022 to 0.0065 ml per $1 \times 10^6$ cells (Baker & Parton, 2007; Reinoso et al., 2001; Yoshikado et al., 2017), initial micro-rate constant mechanistic models for atorvastatin only (see Figure 2(a) without inhibition) were evaluated in Monolix 2018R2 (Lixoft, Antony, France) with the inverse of the different volumes used as the observation in the model (see Table 1, Equation (11)). The $V_{cell}$ estimate which best described the data was determined using the weighted Bayesian information criterion (wBIC; Burnham & Anderson, 2002):

$$wBIC = \frac{e^{-0.5\Delta_i} \sum \frac{e^{-0.5\Delta_i}}{C_0}}{\sum_i e^{-0.5\Delta_i}}$$

and

$$\Delta_i = BIC_i - BIC_{min}$$

where $n$ is the total number of different models evaluated (in this case, three different volume models), $e^{-0.5\Delta_i}$ is the relative likelihood of the difference between the individual BIC ($BIC_i$) and the lowest BIC and $\sum_i e^{-0.5\Delta_i}$ is the sum of relative likelihoods from the mechanistic models. A volume 0.0011 ml/2 $\times 10^5$ cells, obtained using an initial estimate of 0.0013 ml/2 $\times 10^5$ cells (Baker & Parton, 2007), with a wBIC $\sim 1$ and was thus the most likely cell volume given the data. The volumes of 0.00078 ml/2 $\times 10^5$ cells (Yoshikado et al., 2017) and 0.0004 ml/2 $\times 10^5$ cells (Reinoso et al., 2001) each had a wBIC $\sim 0$, and were therefore not used further. The reciprocal of $V_{cell}$ from 0.0011 ml/2 $\times 10^5$ cells = 906/ml used in the model observations (see Supplementary Material) was then fixed in the rest of the parameter estimations from the mechanistic models. Due to the large level of extraction of atorvastatin from the media into the cell, atorvastatin could only be detected in the media in four out of 24 samples in the incubations at 0.05 nmol/ml, and only up to 5 min at 2.5 nmol/ml. The data from medium loss were therefore not used in any further analysis due to their sparsity (data not shown). Qualitative metabolite identification for atorvastatin was conducted, but only semi-quantitative profiles were generated, metabolite standards were not available. Therefore whilst the semi-quantitative metabolite information is useful, it was not included in the mechanistic models, and can be found in the Supplementary Material.

**Mechanistic models**

The development of a nonlinear mathematical micro-rate constant model, with the use of two rate constants to describe passive movement of substrate between the medium and cells ($k_{fa}$ and $k_{fd}$ respectively), and the movement of substrates via a transporter compartment has been published for pitavastatin, defined as micro-rate constant models here (Grandjean et al., 2014a). Whilst a single passive rate constant, with transporter mediated uptake through Michaelis-Menten kinetics, with the inclusion of fraction unbound in the cell and medium and Michaelis-Menten metabolism was described by Menochet et al. (2012a). Michaelis-Menten uptake models are defined as macro-rate constant models here.

In the present study, both micro-rate constant mechanistic models (Figure 2(a,b), Models 1 and 2, see Supplementary Material for ODEs) and macro-rate constant mechanistic models (Figure 2(c,d), Models 3 and 4, see Supplementary Material for ODEs) were evaluated for the uptake and metabolism of atorvastatin and the inhibition by CsA with the two passive rate constants given above, and the inclusion of metabolic clearance through the Michaelis-Menten equation. Two separate passive rate constants ($k_{fa}$ and $k_{fd}$) were used, as the use of a single passive rate constant with a fraction unbound in the cell led to large deviations of the predicted concentrations from the observed concentrations for the macro-rate constant models (see Supplementary Material).

The amount of free transporters available for the uptake of atorvastatin ($T_f$) are not normally known, but the total amount of transporters ($T_o$) is assumed to be constant, then $T_f$ can be eliminated via the conservation law derivation for transporters (Grandjean et al., 2014b) to give:

$$T_r = T_o - S_2 - I_2 - I_3$$

where $S_2$ and $I_2$ are the amount of atorvastatin and CsA bound to transporter respectively and $I_3$ is the atorvastatin-transporter-CsA complex involved in non-competitive inhibition.

**Structural identifiability of the mechanistic models**

Prior to parameter estimation, it is important to determine, whether all of the parameters or combinations of parameters are identifiable and that the model is at least structurally locally identifiable (Bearup et al., 2013; Grandjean et al., 2014b). If any parameters are unidentifiable, then they can be effectively assigned any value during parameter estimation, without affecting the observations, making conclusions regarding the unidentifiable parameters and model responses difficult (Evans et al., 2002). All the mechanistic models were evaluated for structural identifiability given known inputs and output observations (see Supplementary Material) using the Identifiability Analysis package in Mathematica 11.3 (Anguelova et al., 2012; Karlsson et al., 2012).

**Parameter estimation**

The incubation concentrations of atorvastatin and CsA were converted to amounts (nmol) by multiplying by the sampled medium volume that holds $2 \times 10^5$ cells ($V_s = 0.1$ ml). The final chosen model was based on the wBIC (Equation (2)) to more harshly penalise over-parameterisation within the models compared to the Akaike information criterion (Aho et al., 2014; Burnham & Anderson, 2002), as well as the sum of the individual and population predictions of the relative mean square root error (RMSRE) given by:

$$\% \text{ RMSRE} = 100 \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{m} \sum \left( \frac{\text{Observed}_i - \text{Predicted}_i}{\text{Observed}_i} \right)^2}$$
where $m$ is the total number of datapoints, and the sum of all individuals ($i$) and datapoints in the model.

Initial parameter estimates were obtained for atorvastatin only micro-rate constants using starting values of 1 for the transporter mediated parameters ($k_a^A, k_d^A, k_{tA}$ and $T_o$) and the passive rate constant out of the cell ($k_bA$), as no literature information was available regarding the determination of these estimates within Monolix 2018R2 (Lixoft, Antony, France), whilst for the macro-rate constant parameters ($V_{\text{max,up}}, Km_{\text{up}}, V_{\text{max,met}}$ and $Km_{\text{met}}$), initial atorvastatin parameter estimates were obtained through the use of a Yamazaki plot (Yamazaki et al., 1993) and a double-reciprocal (Lineweaver-Burke plot) for uptake and a double-reciprocal plot for metabolism (see Supplementary Material). For the simultaneous determination of parameter estimates for atorvastatin in the presence of CsA, all parameters were assumed to follow a log-normal distribution with a proportional residual error model in Monolix 2018R2. Only the parameter estimates for the best fitting model will be discussed (Figure 2(b), Model 2), whilst the other models are included in the Supplementary Material for comparison purposes. To evaluate whether the parameter estimates for Model 2 were sensitive to the initial starting point, an assessment was undertaken in Monolix 2018R2 whereby the initial starting point was taken as a random number based on the final parameter estimates. This was conducted five times and the

Table 1. Structural identifiability of the micro-rate constant models and macro-rate constant models, and goodness of fit values obtained during parameter estimation (Models 1–4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Inhibition type</th>
<th>No. of unknown parameters</th>
<th>No. of states</th>
<th>Identifiability result</th>
<th>No. of parameters to be identifiable (d.o.f.)</th>
<th>BIC (wBIC)</th>
<th>% RMSRE (ind + pop)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5514.2 (0.45)</td>
<td>21 + 61 = 82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5513.8 (0.54)</td>
<td>21 + 49 = 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>2: $Km_{\text{up}}, V_{\text{up}}, K_{\text{met},2}$</td>
<td>5608.0 (0)</td>
<td>29 + 94 = 123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>2: $V_{\text{max,up}}, K_{\text{met},2}$</td>
<td>5524.8 (0)</td>
<td>29 + 96 = 125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Best fitting model outlined in bold.

BIC: Bayesian information criterion; C: competitive; d.o.f.: degrees of freedom; NC: non-competitive; RMSRE: relative mean square root error; SI: structurally (locally) identifiable; U: unidentifiable; wBIC: weighted BIC.
Comparison of parameter estimates to literature

To allow comparison between the parameter estimates obtained from the micro-rate constant models to known literature values, the equation used to describe saturable uptake is re-arranged to yield the classic Michaelis-Menten form for the parameter estimates obtained. This is based on the assumption that binding to the uptake transporter active site is extremely rapid and therefore considered to be at a pseudo steady state (Grandjean et al., 2014a), and that the amount of drug at the uptake transporter binding site is greater than the total amount of uptake transporter binding sites (Segel, 1993b) to give the maximum uptake velocity 

\[ V_{\text{max,up}} = \frac{k_{da} T_o \times 1000}{k_{at}} \]

where \( k_{at} \) is the atorvastatin translocation rate constant into the cell, \( T_o \) is the total amount of transporters involved in the uptake of atorvastatin (see Supplementary Material) and 1000 is the scalar translation from \( \text{nmol/min} / 1 \times 10^6 \text{ cells} \) to \( \text{pmol/min} / 1 \times 10^6 \text{ cells} \). To enable the concentration at which 50% of uptake transporter binding sites are occupied \( (K_{m,up}) \), calculated in \( \text{nmol/ml} \), the transporter association rate constant from the translocation \( (k_{da}) \) must first be converted into \( \text{ml/nmol/min} / 1 \times 10^6 \text{ cells} \) by multiplying by 0.5055 ml, the medium volume in which 1 \( \times 10^6 \text{ cells} \) are present:

\[ K_{m,up} = \frac{(k_{da} + k_{at})}{5 \times V_1 k_{at}} \]

where \( k_{da} \) is the dissociation rate constant from the transporter for atorvastatin and \( V_1 \) is 0.5055 ml. To enable the inhibition dissociation constant \( (K_{i,up}) \) to be calculated in \( \text{nmol/ml} \), the transporter association rate constant for CsA \( (k_{dc}) \) must be converted into \( \text{ml/nmol/min} / 1 \times 10^6 \text{ cells} \) by multiplying by 0.5055 ml as per \( K_{m,up} \), and then calculated according to:

\[ K_{i,up} = \frac{k_{dc}}{5 \times V_1 k_{dc}} \]

where \( k_{dc} \) is the transporter dissociation rate constant from the transporter for CsA. \( K_{m,met} \) and \( K_{i,met} \) were converted to concentration (\( \text{nmol/ml} \)) by dividing by \( V_{cell} \) (0.0011 ml) and then multiplied up to per 1 \( \times 10^6 \text{ cells} \):

\[ K_{m,met} \text{ or } K_{i,met} (\text{nmol/ml}) = \frac{\text{nmol}}{5 \times V_{cell}} \]

For comparison of non-competitive inhibition of uptake, \( K_{i,up} \) needs to be multiplied by the dimensionless \( \alpha \) term, which is used to determine the effect that CsA binding to the transporter has on the subsequent atorvastatin binding to transporter (Copeland, 2013):

\[ K_{inact} (\mu M) = K_{i,up} \alpha \]

Transporter uptake clearance \( (CL_{up}) \) and metabolic clearance \( (CL_{met}) \) were calculated by dividing \( V_{\text{max}} \) by \( K_m \) for the relevant clearance:

\[ CL_{up} \text{ or } CL_{met} (\mu l/min / 1 \times 10^6) = \frac{V_{\text{max}}}{K_m} \]

For the passive component, conversion to a passive diffusion clearance into the cell \( (P_{diff}) \) or out of the cell \( (P_{diss}) \) were obtained by multiplication of the passive rate constants \( (k_{fa} \text{ and } k_{pa}) \) respectively by the medium volume into which 1 \( \times 10^6 \text{ cells} \) were present (i.e. \( k_{fa} \times 500 \text{ ml} \)), or the volume of 1 \( \times 10^6 \text{ cells} \) (i.e. \( k_{pa} \times 5.5 \text{ ml} \)).

The figures in this paper were all generated in Inkscape version 0.93, or RStudio version 1.1.463 (RStudio Inc.) running R version 3.5.2 using cowplot with its dependencies (Wilke, 2016) and extrafont (Chang, 2014).

Results

High throughput method

The method described here took multiple concentrations simultaneously over a timecourse using eight-way Omnistrips, with an early sample possible at 15 s. Timecourses over 0.25–60 min for incubations of atorvastatin from 0.05 to 150 nmol/ml, in the absence or presence of CsA were obtained for all three experiments (see Figure 3), with no samples lost over the three experiments due to mixing of the oil and media layers. A total of 192 samples were obtained relatively simply using the high throughput method over a time period of 68 min, enabling substrate and substrate with inhibitor samples to be obtained from the same set of hepatocytes within the 3 h window for the use of fresh hepatocyte suspensions. The analysis of data from the three experiments in a single HPLC-MS run was undertaken to decrease inter-run variability, with a mean relative standard deviation across all datapoints of 25% and 33% for atorvastatin in the absence and presence of cyclosporine respectively.

Structural identifiability of the mechanistic models

The use of micro-rate constants to describe the uptake of atorvastatin in the presence of CsA, combined with competitive inhibition of the Michaelis-Menten metabolism (Figure 2(a,b), Models 1 and 2 for competitive and non-competitive inhibition of uptake, respectively) and measurement of cellular atorvastatin were at least structurally locally identifiable, with no parameters required to be known a priori (see Table 1). For the macro-rate constant models (Figure 2(c,d), Models 3 and 4 for competitive and non-competitive inhibition of uptake respectively) with two Michaelis-Menten nonlinearities and measurement of cellular atorvastatin, the models were structurally unidentifiable unless two of the following parameters were known: \( V_{\text{max,up}} \), \( K_{inact,up} \), \( K_{i,up} \), \( K_{m,up} \), \( K_{up} \) and \( K_{i,met} \) (see Table 1) for Model 3 and 4 respectively. The structural unidentifiability for the macro-rate constant models was manifested in the parameter estimates associated with the metabolism of atorvastatin, with unrealistic estimates for the two unidentifiable parameters in Models 3 and 4 (\( K_{m,met} = 340 [251–407] \) and 436 [296–544] nmol/ml,
respectively, $K_{i,net} = 1.1 [1–1.3]$ and $0.32 [0.27–0.36]$ nmol/ml respectively, see Supplementary Material).

**Mechanistic modelling and parameter estimates**

The best fitting model based on the % RMSRE and wBIC was Model 2 (micro-rate constant model, including non-competitive inhibition of atorvastatin uptake by CsA, and competitive inhibition of atorvastatin metabolism by CsA (see Table 1, and Supplementary Material for ODEs). Both Models 1 and 2 were almost equal in terms of BIC values (5514.2 and 5513.8, respectively, Table 1), with a wBIC and total % RMSRE that made Model 2 more favourable over Model 1 (wBIC $= 0.54$ and $0.45$, respectively, % RMSRE $= 69$ and $82$, respectively, Table 1). The macro-rate constant models (Models 3 and 4, Figure 2(c,d)) were unsupported as the best fitting models (wBIC $= 0$, Table 1), which coincides with the structural identifiability analysis results above.

Model 2 visually fitted the atorvastatin data in the absence and presence of CsA relatively well (Figure 3, blue and red, respectively), with a rapid increase up to a maximum at the same time as the data, which was more prolonged in the presence of CsA. CsA strongly inhibited the metabolism of atorvastatin within rat hepatocytes, as can be seen in the flat line in the individual predictions (see Figure 3). The population fits for each model had two to four times the % RMSRE compared to the individual fits (49%–96% and 21%–29%, respectively, see Table 1), and therefore the individual parameter estimates are included and discussed for Model 2 only. A plot of the individual weighted residuals (IWRES) against time for all the data were normally distributed with a LOESS close to 0 (Figure 4, solid line).

The passive rate into the cell for atorvastatin ($k_{iA}$) was nine times smaller than the passive rate out of the cell for atorvastatin ($k_{oA}$), with large ranges on both parameters (1.1 [0.2–23.3] and 9.3 [0.6–36.5]/min/1 × 10^6 cells, respectively, Table 2). The atorvastatin transporter association rate constant ($k_{aA} = 2.6 [1.2–13.3]/$nmol/min/1 × 10^6 cells, Table 2) was 10-fold greater than the translocation constant ($k_{tA} = 0.3 [0.2–0.3]$/min/1 × 10^6 cells, Table 2) and 150-fold greater than the atorvastatin transporter dissociation ($k_{dA} = 0.017 [0.015–0.021]/$min/1 × 10^6 cells, Table 2). It follows then that very quickly $k_{aA}$ approaches $T_o$ (see Figure 5, dotted blue line), and once atorvastatin is bound it is likely to be translocated into the cell. The CsA transporter association rate constant ($k_{aC} = 0.36 [0.33–0.37]/$nmol/min/1 × 10^6 cells, Table 2), was seven times smaller than $k_{aA}$ whilst the CsA transporter dissociation rate constant ($k_{dC} = 0.16 [0.13–0.2]/$min/1 × 10^6 cells) was 10 times greater than $k_{dA}$.

The Michaelis-Menten assumptions discussed previously (Grandjean et al., 2014a; Segel, 1993a) hold here for atorvastatin. This partially explains why the BIC values obtained for Models 2 and 4 are close between the micro-rate and macro-rate constant models ($\Delta$, for the BIC = 11, Table 1). To illustrate how the different aspects of non-competitive inhibition affect atorvastatin and CsA, parameter estimates from Model 2 associated with the transporter ($k_a, k_b, k_o, T_o$ and $\alpha$, see Table 2 and Supplementary Material) were used to simulate the transporter response following pre-incubation with CsA (Figure 5) using the deSolve package in R.

---

**Figure 3.** Plots of atorvastatin cellular concentration against time following the addition of atorvastatin (0.05, 0.25, 0.5, 2.5, 5, 25, 50 and 150 nmol/ml) in the absence (circles) and presence (triangles) of 10 nmol/ml of CsA. Each time course represents one experiment from one Teflon block trough. Shapes are data from the three separate experiments, the solid line and dashed line is the average individual prediction from Model 2 in the absence and presence of 10 nmol/ml of CsA, bounded by the max and min individual predictions (shading). CsA: cyclosporine.
In the absence of CsA (Figure 5, dotted blue lines), as the incubation concentration of atorvastatin was increased, so the $k_{dA}$ had a larger effect, seen as a broadening of the peak. After pre-incubation with CsA, the higher affinity atorvastatin competes with CsA, leading to a decrease in atorvastatin bound (blue solid line), and also a perturbation in the amount of CsA bound (red dotted-dashed line). This recovers to near the initial amount of CsA at lower atorvastatin incubation concentrations, as atorvastatin is transported into the cell. The formation of the atorvastatin-transporter-CsA complex initially starts at zero and increases over time, as the incubation of atorvastatin increases, so the complex increases (Figure 5, red dashed line).

Discussion

To compare the passive rate constants to the values found in the literature for atorvastatin, the passive rate constants ($k_{fA}$ and $k_{BA}$) were scaled from Model 2 to clearances ($P_{dif}$ and $P_{def}$) respectively by multiplying by the relevant volume. $P_{dif}$ was 11-fold greater than $P_{def}$ ($0.55 \ [0.1–11.7]$ and $0.051 \ [0.03–0.2] \ \mu l/min/1 \times 10^6 \ cells$ respectively), possibly due to intracellular binding of atorvastatin (Kulkarni et al., 2016; Paine et al., 2008; Yabe et al., 2011). $P_{dif}$ for atorvastatin was minor ($<1\%$) compared to the transporter mediated uptake clearance ($CL_{up} = 3375 \ [2722–10750] \ \mu l/min/1 \times 10^6 \ cells$, Equation (11)), therefore even though the range of values on $k_{fA}$ and thus $P_{dif}$ estimation were large it is of little consequence in the uptake of atorvastatin. The percentage of passive clearance to the overall uptake clearance is similar to the literature contribution of passive movement to the uptake of atorvastatin in rat hepatocyte suspensions of 1.5 \% (Yabe et al., 2011), but less than the estimated value in plated rat hepatocytes of a 10 \% passive contribution (Liao et al., 2018).

The scaled $V_{max,up}$ value obtained from Model 2 ($850 \ [680–1340] \ \text{pmol/min/1} \times 10^6 \ \text{cells}$, Equation (6)) was similar to that obtained from the initial estimate from a Yamazaki plot ($1253 \ \text{pmol/min/1} \times 10^6 \ \text{cells}$, see Supplementary Material), and compared favourably to that obtained from rat hepatocytes ($1340 \pm 320 \ \text{pmol/min/1} \times 10^6 \ \text{cells}$, Yabe et al., 2011). The scaled $K_{m,up}$ ($0.2 \ [0.04–0.41] \ \text{nmol/ml}$, Equation (7)) was similar to that seen in plated hepatocytes ($0.3 \ \text{nmol/ml}$, although the $V_{max,up}$ was low at 58 \text{pmol/min/mg}$, Liao et al., 2018), but less than rat hepatocyte suspensions ($4 \pm 4 \ \text{nmol/ml}$, Yabe et al., 2011). Cellular volume estimates have a large effect on both the cellular concentration calculation prior to parameter estimation, and within the models themselves with normalised data. Yabe et al. (2011) used the value of 0.0039 ml/1 \times 10^6 \ cells (Reinoso et al., 2001), which gave a higher BIC value for the data presented here, and is therefore a major contribution to the source of the differences.

The difference in $k_a$ and $k_d$ between atorvastatin and CsA explains the co-incubation inhibition conclusions in the
literature with the $K_{up} = 0.9 \ (0.8-1) \ \text{nmol/ml}$ value determined here (Equation (8)), that was similar to the $IC_{50}$ value in HEK293-OATP1B1 cells of $0.48 \pm 0.34 \ \text{nmol/ml}$ (Amundsen et al., 2010), and $0.3 \ \text{nmol/ml}$ for cis-inhibition with estradiol-17β-glucuronide (Shitara & Sugiyama, 2017). The inhibition on pre-incubation therefore derives from the $\alpha$ value of $0.013 \ (0.007-0.023)$, which, using Equation (10), gives a $K_{inact,up}$ value of $0.012 \ (0.0057-0.023) \ \text{nmol/ml}$, and was the same as that for pre-incubation with atorvastatin in HEKOATP1B1 cells ($0.021 \pm 0.004 \ \text{nmol/ml}$, Amundsen et al., 2010), as well as the trans-inhibition value of $0.026 \ \text{nmol/ml}$ calculated using estradiol-17β-glucuronide as a substrate (Shitara & Sugiyama, 2017).

The $V_{max,met}$ and $K_{m,met}$ values obtained from Model 2 (393 [285–500] pmol/min/1 $\times 10^6$ cells and 18 [8–31] nmol/ml) combined to give a metabolic clearance ($CL_{met}=22 \ (16–35) \ \mu l/min/1 \times 10^6$ cells, Equation (11)) that was higher than the value in the literature ($4.3 \pm 0.65 \ \mu l/min/1 \times 10^6$ cells, Paine et al., 2008), and 7.6 ± 0.4 μl/min/1 $\times 10^6$ cells (adjusted from rat liver microsomes, Watanabe et al., 2010). $K_{m,met}$ and $K_{m,met}$ were similar (18 [8–31] and 11 [6–29] nmol/ml respectively, Table 2), on the assumption that for CsA, the concentration inside the cell is approximately equal to the concentration outside the cell. This was supported by the literature, where it was reported that 86% of the initial concentration of CsA was measured in the cell after 5 min, whilst only 16% of the initial CsA was lost over 4 h (Prueksaritanont et al., 1992).

In conclusion, the data presented here show that the high throughput oil spin method developed enabled multiple concentrations to be taken simultaneously for timepoints over a 68 min experiment (192 samples). The data obtained are adequate for the assessment of atorvastatin uptake in rat hepatocytes and its inhibition by CsA given the models fits and parameter estimates. Through the use of mechanistic modelling and comparison of the % RMSRE and wBIC, a micro-rate constant model for the non-competitive inhibition of atorvastatin uptake by CsA and competitive inhibition of metabolism in rat hepatocytes was supported as the best fitting model to the data (Model 2). The improvement in model fit with micro-rate constant models over the frequently used macro-rate constant models is concurrent with those of Grandjean et al. (2014a) with pitavastatin in hepatocytes; with improved goodness of fit values with micro-rate constant mechanistic models over macro-rate constant mechanistic models, and helps to further the knowledge between substrate and inhibitor binding at a transporter level and within the cell. The ability to return the parameter estimates from a mechanistic model, linked to the structural identifiability analysis results, highlights how the two are closely related and should become routine moving forwards. The quantification of CsA simultaneously with atorvastatin, as well as quantification of metabolites with reference standards, should be considered in future work to potentially improve the robustness of parameter estimation. Inclusion of CsA quantification would also lead to the macro-rate constant model becoming at least structurally (locally) identifiable. It should also be noted that the assay is currently limited to rat hepatocytes, but the technique should be applicable to cryopreserved human hepatocytes with modifications to the oil layer and bottom layer, and adaptation of the slosh plates used. The availability of fresh rat hepatocytes, the use of the high throughput method, and low cost in comparison to cryopreserved human hepatocytes makes...
transporter mediated drug–drug interaction potential in rat hepatocytes a good starting point which gave similar pre-incubation non-competitive inhibition estimates in human cell-lines with different substrates (Amundsen et al. 2010; Shitara & Sugiyama, 2017). Optimisation of the assay for cryopreserved human would therefore improve the modelling and prediction of transporter mediated drug–drug interactions in the clinic.
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