

Manuscript version: Author's Accepted Manuscript

The version presented in WRAP is the author's accepted manuscript and may differ from the published version or Version of Record.

Persistent WRAP URL:

<http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/126169>

How to cite:

Please refer to published version for the most recent bibliographic citation information. If a published version is known of, the repository item page linked to above, will contain details on accessing it.

Copyright and reuse:

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work by researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions.

Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRAP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

Publisher's statement:

Please refer to the repository item page, publisher's statement section, for further information.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: wrap@warwick.ac.uk.

1 Cryo-EM of multiple cage architectures reveals a universal 2 mode of clathrin self assembly

3
4 Kyle L Morris^{1,5}, Joseph R Jones¹, Mary Halebian¹, Shenping Wu^{2,6}, Michael Baker^{1,7},
5 Jean-Paul Armache^{3,8}, Amaurys Avila Ibarra⁴, Richard B Sessions⁴, Alexander D
6 Cameron¹, Yifan Cheng^{2,3}, Corinne J Smith^{1*}

7
8 ¹School of Life Sciences, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom

9 ² Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of California San Francisco, California, United
10 States

11 ³ Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of California San Francisco,
12 California, United States

13 ⁴School of Biochemistry, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

14 ⁵Present address: MRC London Institute of Medical Sciences, London, United Kingdom

15 ⁶Present address: Yale University, West Haven, Connecticut, United States

16 ⁷Present address: School of Biosciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United
17 Kingdom

18 ⁸Present address: Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, The Huck Institutes of
19 the Life Sciences, Penn State University, University Park, Pennsylvania, United States

20
21 *Corresponding author: Corinne Smith; Email: Corinne.Smith@warwick.ac.uk

22 23 24 **Abstract**

25 Clathrin forms diverse lattice and cage structures that change size and shape rapidly
26 in response to the needs of eukaryotic cells during clathrin-mediated endocytosis and
27 intracellular trafficking. We present the cryo-EM structure and molecular model of
28 assembled porcine clathrin, providing new insights into interactions that stabilise key
29 elements of the clathrin lattice, namely, between adjacent heavy chains, at the light
30 chain-heavy chain interface and within the trimerisation domain. Furthermore, we
31 report cryo-EM maps for five different clathrin cage architectures. Fitting structural
32 models to three of these maps shows that their assembly requires only a limited range
33 of triskelion leg conformations, yet inherent flexibility is required to maintain contacts.
34 Analysis of the protein-protein interfaces shows remarkable conservation of contact
35 sites despite architectural variation. These data reveal a universal mode of clathrin
36 assembly that allows variable cage architecture and adaptation of coated vesicle size
37 and shape during clathrin-mediated vesicular trafficking or endocytosis.

38 39 **Introduction**

40 Endocytosis enables material to be absorbed via specific ligand-receptor interactions
41 through the assembly of specialised protein coats around vesicles formed from the
42 plasma membrane ^{1 2}. In the case of clathrin-mediated endocytosis (CME), three-
43 legged clathrin structures called triskelia form a latticed scaffold around the outside of
44 a vesicle derived from the plasma membrane and coordinate binding of a network of
45 adaptor proteins, which together drive cargo selection, vesicle formation and
46 detachment from the membrane. Clathrin-coated vesicles have been seen to emerge

47 directly from flat clathrin lattices indicating that clathrin assemblies adapt to changes
48 in membrane shape at endocytic sites³. Avinoam et al⁴ provided evidence that these
49 changes may be enabled by the rapid exchange of clathrin triskelia⁵ with the
50 membrane-bound clathrin coat. The multiple shapes adopted by those assemblies
51 observed in cells are also seen with purified clathrin, which forms cages with different
52 architectures⁶. The ability of clathrin to form diverse structures is inherently
53 determined by its molecular structure but how, has remained unclear.

54

55 Clathrin's role in endocytosis is mediated through engagement with adaptor proteins,
56 most notably the heterotetrameric complex AP-2, which interacts with clathrin through
57 a 'clathrin box' motif on an extended linker region within its β 2-adaptin subunit and
58 through a binding site on the β 2-appendage domain^{7,8,9}, which interacts with clathrin's
59 ankle domain¹⁰. AP-1, a homologue of AP-2, engages with clathrin during intracellular
60 trafficking. A series of elegant studies leading to structures of the AP-1^{11,12-14} and AP-
61 2¹⁵⁻¹⁸ core domains have made transformative advances but, despite these, the
62 nature of the interaction of these key complexes with assembled clathrin is not fully
63 understood. While NMR and X-ray studies of the clathrin terminal domain bound to β -
64 arrestin 2¹⁹ and adaptor binding motifs²⁰⁻²³ revealed multiple adaptor protein binding
65 sites on the clathrin terminal domain, understanding how such interactions are
66 coordinated within the context of a growing clathrin coat requires a molecular level
67 understanding of the clathrin scaffold with which they must engage. This highlights the
68 importance of obtaining high resolution structural information of clathrin in its
69 assembled form.

70

71 Clathrin can be purified from endogenous clathrin-coated vesicles and reconstituted
72 into cage structures reminiscent of the clathrin polyhedral lattices seen in cells. The
73 symmetry adopted by some of these cages led to their exploitation for single particle
74 structural studies by cryo-EM as early as 1986^{24,25}. Further, clathrin cryo-EM
75 structures have revealed the arrangement of clathrin triskelia within a cage²⁶⁻²⁸, and
76 the location of bound auxilin and Hsc70²⁹⁻³². X-ray structures of the clathrin proximal,
77 terminal and linker domains provided atomic resolution information for these individual
78 domains^{33,34} and a crystallographic study³⁵ of clathrin hubs revealed coordinated
79 changes in light and heavy chain conformation suggesting that the light chain could
80 regulate assembly by influencing changes in knee conformation. In 2004, a 7.9 Å cryo-
81 EM map of assembled clathrin provided an alpha carbon model of a hexagonal barrel
82 cage²⁸ that revealed the location of the clathrin light chains and a helical tripod
83 structure at the trimerization domain.

84

85 Here we present a higher resolution map and molecular model for assembled clathrin.
86 Details of the interactions made by the tripod of helices identified previously²⁸ are now
87 much clearer, experimentally proving that it adopts a coiled-coil structure. Density for
88 the key light chain tryptophans is now visible, enabling the light chain interaction with
89 the proximal domain to be further defined. Additional elements of the heavy chain
90 secondary structure can be visualised with confidence and energetic analysis based

91 on our molecular model has revealed interaction sites that are of potential importance
92 for assembly. Furthermore, structural analysis of three different clathrin cage
93 architectures reveals how these different architectures can arise as a result of flexibility
94 at defined positions on the clathrin leg combined with remarkable conservation of
95 contact sites between the heavy chain legs. Thus clathrin adopts a universal mode of
96 assembly that allows variable cage geometry and may facilitate rapid adaptation of
97 coated vesicle size and shape during clathrin mediated vesicular trafficking or
98 endocytosis.

99

100 **Results**

101 **Multiple clathrin cage architectures**

102 We determined structures of five clathrin cage architectures (Fig. 1a) from 12,785
103 particles selected from cryo-electron microscopy images of endogenous clathrin
104 assembled in the presence of the clathrin-binding domain of β 2-adaptin
105 (Supplementary Note 1a). We found that incorporating β 2-adaptin into the cages
106 promoted formation of more regular cage structures, as reported previously⁹. To
107 address the challenge that the multiple cage types in the sample presented for
108 structural analysis, cages were identified using a library of ten cage architectures built
109 *in silico* (Fig. 1b) that had been proposed by Schein and Sands-Kidner to be the most
110 likely stable structures to be formed for cages with fewer than 60 vertices³⁶. A
111 supervised and subsequent unsupervised structure classification scheme determined
112 the five cage architectures, which could be refined to nominal resolutions of 24 – 9.1
113 Å (Supplementary Notes 1c-e, 2, 3 and Table 1).

114

115 **Mini coat cage and hub substructure**

116 The most abundant clathrin architecture was the mini coat cage, which reached the
117 highest resolution of 9.1 Å (Fig. 1c, Supplementary Note 3). We note that the terminal
118 domain densities are weaker than the main cage density due to domain flexibility, and
119 consequently adaptor binding was not resolved. The density around the mini coat is
120 observed to be variable (Supplementary Video. 1) and presented an opportunity for
121 further structural averaging. Thus, in order to improve the resolution further, we used
122 subparticle extraction and refinement³⁷ to obtain the structure of the invariant mini
123 coat hub, which encompasses the vertex of the cage. This yielded an improved map
124 resolution of 5.1 Å. The subparticle extraction and refinement procedure was repeated
125 for all five of the cage architectures, determining the invariant hub structure at
126 resolutions of 7.8 – 5.1 Å (Supplementary Notes 1d-f, 3). All of the hub substructures
127 were combined and refined to determine a single consensus hub structure across all
128 determined cage types, with a global resolution of 4.7 Å, with local resolutions reaching
129 4.3 Å and a marked improvement in density quality (Fig. 2b-d and Supplementary
130 Notes 3, 4). Such improvement indicates the invariance across all the hub
131 substructures, even though they are from different cage structures. This improved map
132 enabled us to build a model of the clathrin hub (Table S2). The model, built into the
133 C3 averaged map, contains contiguous segments of three heavy chains (residues
134 635-1075, 809-1474, 1250-1629) and two light chains (residues 99-157 of one and

135 99-165,189-225 of the other). Together the heavy chain comprises heavy chain repeat
136 (HCR) 1g through to HCR7j, including the trimerization domain (TxD) (Fig 2a and
137 Table S3). Within these areas of the map local resolution measurements report
138 resolutions ranging 5.9 – 4.3 Å and 6.7 – 4.4 Å for the heavy and light chain
139 respectively with the worst density appearing in regions furthest from the hub. Bulky
140 side chains from landmark aromatic residues are well resolved to model the main
141 chain through the density and assign the register (Fig. 2c and Supplementary Fig. 1a).
142 The model was validated using emRinger, map-vs-model measurements and per
143 residue attribute plots (Supplementary Fig. 1a-b and Tables 1, S1 and S2). These
144 report reasonable statistics³⁸ for a model at this resolution. Although there is variation
145 in the quality of the map, due to the repeating structure of the hub (e.g. residues 1250-
146 1474 are seen in two chains) good per residue statistics are found across the whole
147 residue range modelled.

148

149 **Interface stabilisation analysis**

150 Our model provides new insights into the interactions made by triskelion legs within a
151 clathrin cage and our understanding of the cage assembly mechanism. A cage edge
152 is formed from four separate triskelion legs and is composed of two antiparallel
153 proximal domains that sit above two antiparallel distal domains (Fig. 1c and
154 Supplementary Fig. 2). We were interested to identify areas of the structure that
155 formed stable interactions and so performed an analysis of intermolecular interaction
156 energies predicted by our model using Rosetta. Complementary analysis that
157 accommodated uncertainty in rotamer position was made in a new application of the
158 molecular docking programme, BUDE^{39,40}, where we were able to calculate
159 interaction energies for all favourable rotamer positions in the model. Results from
160 both Rosetta and BUDE calculations (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Fig. 1c-d) showed
161 good agreement in energy scoring indicated by an overall cross-correlation of 0.80
162 and 0.81 for the heavy and light chains respectively. To illustrate the implications of
163 these results for an entire cage, the Rosetta results obtained for the consensus hub
164 model were mapped onto the minicoat cage map (Fig 3a). While the energy-per-
165 residue profiles between BUDE analysis of the EM structure and the Rosetta scored
166 and partially relaxed structure are similar, there are some differences. For example,
167 while BUDE identifies the interaction of light chain tryptophan 105 with the heavy
168 chain, this interaction is not evident in the Rosetta scoring, which is more sensitive to
169 the conformational uncertainties associated with a map in the 4 Å resolution
170 range. Nonetheless results from both approaches showed strong interaction energies
171 between light chain tryptophans 127 and 138 and the proximal domain, and at the
172 trimerization domain. This is consistent with biochemical studies that have shown that
173 two light chain tryptophans (W105 and W127) are required for light chain binding to
174 the heavy chain,⁴¹ and demonstrated the stability of the trimerization domain
175 interaction⁴². There were also strong interaction energies at additional positions along
176 the length of the cage edge. This led us to investigate our molecular model at these
177 positions, as discussed in the following sections.

178

179 **Leg to leg interactions**

180 Our analysis of interaction energy indicated several interaction ‘hotspots’ along
181 the length of the assembled legs (Fig. 3). Of these, two are close to point mutations in
182 the human clathrin gene which have been identified in patients with autosomal
183 dominant mental retardation-56 (MRD56)^{43,44}. These mutations, P890L and L1074P,
184 fall close to interaction hotspots formed between residues 883-888 and 981-984 of the
185 distal domain and between distal and proximal domain residues 1040-1046 and 1428-
186 1433 (Fig. 3c and supplementary Fig. 2). This emphasises the importance of such
187 stabilising interactions for the cellular function of clathrin. A number of studies have
188 highlighted the potential significance of histidines in clathrin assembly, which *in vitro*
189 is pH dependent^{45 46 47}. In our consensus hub structure, the only histidines involved
190 in potential intermolecular contacts are 1279 and 1432, which are close to asparagine
191 853 and glutamate 1042 respectively on neighbouring heavy chain legs, and have
192 favourable interaction energies in our Rosetta analysis. This does not rule out the
193 possibility that other histidines⁴⁶, not identified in our structure as forming stabilising
194 interactions, contribute to clathrin cage assembly via alternative mechanisms.

195

196 **Trimerisation domain stabilisation**

197 Our model of the assembled clathrin hub reveals the interactions that stabilise key
198 elements of the clathrin lattice, between adjacent heavy chains, at the light chain-
199 heavy chain interface and within the trimerisation domain (TxD). In the clathrin
200 trimerisation domain strong interactions between the C-termini of three heavy chains
201⁴² determine the triskelion structure. Biochemical studies have defined residues 1550-
202 1675 as the smallest region capable of trimerisation⁴⁸ and further shown that the C-
203 terminus of the light chain stabilises trimerisation⁴². It has also previously been shown
204 that the respective heavy chains of the TxD form a tripod of three helices²⁸ with two
205 further C-terminal light chain helices embedded in the heavy chain tripod structure³⁵.
206 Our structure reveals (Fig. 4a-b) that this helical tripod forms extensive coiled-coil
207 contacts between residues 1606-1617 (within helix TxD2). Cysteines 1565, 1569 and
208 1573 have been implicated in hub assembly⁴⁹. In our model these residues face helix
209 HCR7h-i₁₅₄₆₋₁₅₆₁ and align along one side of helix HCR7j₁₅₆₄₋₁₅₇₅ which interacts with
210 one of the light chain C-terminal helices (LC1 TxD) that engage the hub
211 (Supplementary Fig. 3). Their role in hub assembly may therefore be to stabilise the
212 helices involved in creating the interface for the energetically-favoured light chain –
213 heavy chain interaction (Fig. 3b and Supplementary Fig. 3).

214

215 **Light chain association at the proximal and trimerisation domain**

216 Our structure reveals in detail how the light chain associates with the heavy chain and
217 how the light chain C-terminal helices engage with the trimerisation domain. Light
218 chains of 23-26 kDa are tightly associated with heavy chains requiring strong chemical
219 denaturants such as sodium thiocyanate to remove them⁵⁰. They have been
220 implicated in the timing and productivity of vesicle formation⁵¹, in regulation of GPCR
221 endocytosis⁵², clathrin disassembly⁵³, and negative regulation of association of
222 huntingtin interacting proteins (HIP1 and HIP1R) with actin⁵⁴. Previous studies

223 showed that the light chain forms a helix that binds to the proximal domain of the heavy
224 chain²⁸ and crystallographic studies have suggested the presence of further light
225 chain helical structure at the trimerisation domain³⁵. In our map, this proximal binding
226 light chain helix is well-resolved, enabling fitting of the continuous density from
227 residues 99 to 157, spanning 11 helical repeats of the heavy chain proximal domain
228 from HCR6b to HCR7f (Fig. 4c). The sequence register of this area of our map and
229 model also agrees closely with the X-ray structure of residues 1210-1517³⁴ of the
230 proximal domain (Supplementary Fig. 1e). Our model explains yeast two-hybrid
231 studies⁴¹ that have previously demonstrated the importance of Trp 105 and Trp 127
232 for light chain binding and their stabilising role is highlighted by energetic analysis (Fig.
233 3). Mutation of Trp 105 to arginine abolishes LC-HC binding but is rescued by mutation
234 of lysine to glutamate at 1326⁴¹. In our model, Trp 105 forms an aromatic stacking
235 interaction with Phe 1327 and Phe 1296. In the yeast-2-hybrid study, binding was lost
236 upon mutation of Trp 127 to arginine but rescued by mutation of Lys 1415 to glutamate
237⁴¹. We show that LC Trp 127 binds in a hydrophobic pocket between HCR6h-HCR6i
238 created by Phe 1410, Phe 1414 and Trp 1386, further adjacent to Lys 1415. We
239 modelled the mutations resulting from yeast two-hybrid studies, using the most
240 common rotamers. In each case the rescue mutation forms a plausible salt bridge with
241 the originally disruptive mutation, with the charged groups for the pairs Arg 105/Glu
242 1326 and Arg 127/Glu 1415 within 3 Å of one another (Supplementary Fig. 3c-d).
243 Between residues 158 and 189 the light chain becomes disordered before rebinding
244 at the trimerisation domain in a helix-loop-helix arrangement. The further two helices
245 (LC TxD1 and TxD2) in the helix-loop-helix arrangement stably associate with two TxD
246 domains in trans, bridging adjacent legs that join to make the triskelion vertex (Fig. 4c
247 and Supplemental Fig. 3a).

248

249 **Cage structural modelling and analysis**

250 Clathrin is notable for forming a wide variety of lattice types, including multiple cage
251 architectures, flat lattices³ and even tubular structures⁵⁵. In order to find out how
252 triskelion legs adapt to form varying cage architectures, we locally fitted triskelion leg
253 segments from our consensus hub structure into the whole cage maps determined in
254 this study. Three cages, the 28 mini coat, 36 barrel and 36 tennis ball (Fig. 5) were at
255 sufficient resolution to allow docking of the segments 1629-1281, 1280-1131 and
256 1130-840 and 839-635 for each leg. The independent local fitting of each cage type
257 provides an unbiased view of the variable leg conformations and angles across three
258 different cage types (Supplemental Movie 2–3). We found across cage types, as
259 expected, that there were variable leg conformations (Supplementary Fig. 4a). These
260 were characterised by consistent angular differences of ~18° in the proximal to distal
261 joint region, ~12° in the distal to ankle region, and more substantial angular variation
262 of 7 – 17° between the distal joint and distal domain. We note that the heavy chain
263 helices from the N-terminus to residue 965 are twisted relative to previously published
264 alpha carbon models (Supplementary Fig. 4b-c). This indicates that a different ankle-

265 distal surface is presented to the inside of the cage which may have implications for
266 understanding adaptor protein binding.

267 It might be expected that the distribution of the leg angles would correlate with
268 the local cage geometry that a leg contributes to, i.e. whether a leg segment
269 associates with a hexagon or a pentagon. In order to address this question, we
270 assigned each leg a geometric signature describing its local geometric context within
271 the cage architecture and plotted the leg angles for each individual conformation
272 (Supplementary Fig. 5). We found that legs related by symmetry in the mini coat and
273 barrel cages had, as expected, comparable conformations. However, where some
274 legs shared the same geometric signature but were not related by global symmetry,
275 as frequently found in the tennis ball, this was not the case. This shows that legs can
276 fit within a particular geometric context with a variety of conformations and suggests
277 that individual leg conformations may result more from longer range influences from
278 other legs rather than simply the local geometric environment.

279
280

281 **Conserved interaction patterns between cage types**

282 In order to determine how universal the leg-leg contacts were in the formation of
283 different clathrin structures we determined contact maps for the complete cage maps
284 of the mini coat, hexagonal barrel and tennis ball structures based on the individual
285 fitting of triskelion legs into these maps discussed above (Fig. 5). The envelope
286 provided by the lower resolution whole cage maps provides information on the path of
287 a particular triskelion leg, which we observed to vary in whole cages. Such changes
288 in the path of a leg might be presumed to result in differences in the contacts made
289 between legs in a whole cage in comparison to the consensus hub structure. To
290 investigate this, we determined contact maps reporting contact pairs between alpha
291 carbons on different chains that were within 6 Å of one another for individual legs
292 within whole cage models. At the resolutions of the whole cage maps (Supplemental
293 Notes 1-3) we do not expect to be able to define precise molecular contacts, however
294 changes in the pattern of intermolecular contacts could indicate broader changes in
295 interaction between legs in whole cages. Interestingly we see no obvious qualitative
296 change in the pattern of these contacts across cage types nor in comparison to the
297 consensus hub structure contact map (Fig. 5). Thus while angular changes, which
298 may result from small movements within the hub, enable a leg to adapt to different
299 geometries, they do not significantly alter the pattern of intermolecular contacts that
300 are formed. We do note intriguing differences in the distributions of contacts between
301 heavy chain legs of different geometries (Supplementary Fig. 6) but higher resolution
302 structures of these whole cages would be required for further interpretation. Overall
303 our data suggest that leg-leg contacts are well-preserved between cages with different
304 architectures and leg conformations.

305
306
307
308

308 **Discussion**

309 Our map and molecular model for assembled clathrin in combination with analysis of
310 five distinct cage architectures provide new insights into the assembly mechanism of
311 clathrin coats. The pattern of interactions we observe, combined with a limited range
312 of leg conformations, suggests that gentle flexing of the legs between established
313 contact points allows clathrin to adapt its conformation to form the architecture
314 required to support membrane and adaptor dynamics, without the requirement for new
315 contacts specific to a particular cage architecture. This extends previous observations
316 on the contribution of variations in leg conformation to the hexagonal barrel cage
317 structure ^{27,28,56}. The series of interaction points that we have identified at intervals
318 along the clathrin legs suggests the strength of the leg-leg interaction would depend
319 on the degree of alignment of these multiple binding sites. Thus we can speculate that,
320 where binding sites are aligned this would result in a stable lattice which may
321 nonetheless be easily destabilised by factors that alter that alignment. This scenario
322 is consistent with the rapid exchange of triskelia observed during clathrin-coated
323 vesicle formation ^{4,5,57}. Our data thus reveal a universal mode of clathrin assembly that
324 supports its role in rapidly changing and morphologically varied cellular coat
325 structures.

326

327 For rapid exchange of triskelia to take place, clathrin-adaptor interactions must also
328 be considered. Clathrin is recruited to the growing coated vesicle at the plasma
329 membrane by adaptor proteins, primarily AP-2 which, through specific binding to
330 receptor internalisation motifs, enables selection of cargo for inclusion in the vesicle.
331 AP-2 recruits further adaptor proteins, through its appendage domains, which can
332 bring additional cargo to the vesicle ⁵⁸ or influence the size or shape of the resulting
333 coated vesicle ^{59,60}. Aguet et al ⁶⁰ showed that removing the α -appendage domain
334 from AP-2 resulted in clathrin-coated structures that lacked curvature suggesting that,
335 in cells, interaction between AP-2 and certain adaptor proteins is required to generate
336 a curved clathrin lattice. This contrasts with experiments *in vitro* ⁶¹ in which clathrin
337 polymerisation on liposomes incubated with a clathrin binding epsin domain drove
338 membrane curvature sufficiently to form clathrin-coated buds. This highlights the fine
339 balance of competing interactions involved in coated vesicle formation. In allowing
340 diverse cage architectures to be formed using relatively small changes in leg
341 conformation and common sites of interaction, the structure of clathrin presents a
342 neutral framework amenable to adaptation by changing conditions.

343

344 The cage architectures examined in this study are relatively small compared to many
345 of the structures likely to occur in cells. However, along with other larger (less
346 symmetrical) cage arrangements, the tennis ball structure has been observed to
347 enclose a membrane in purified coated vesicles ⁶². Since the hub structure does not
348 significantly vary across cage architecture, it seems possible that the interaction
349 patterns observed in this study are relevant for other cage types formed from
350 pentagons and hexagons. Whether these interactions are universal for other types of
351 clathrin lattice, for example flat ³ or cylindrical lattices ⁵⁵ or coats with heptagonal^{3,62} or
352 square faces⁶³ remains to be determined.

354 **Acknowledgements.** CJS was funded by BBSRC grants BB/K003461/1 and
355 BB/N008391/1 and KLM by BBSRC travel award BB/L018888/1. CJS was a Royal
356 Society Leverhulme Trust Senior Research Fellow. YC was funded by National
357 Institute of Health (NIH) awards R01GM098672 and P50GM082250. YC is a Howard
358 Hughes Medical Institute investigator. RBS and AAI thank the EPSRC for support
359 (EP/N013573/1). JRJ was funded by the EPSRC via the MOAC Doctoral Training
360 Centre. MH was supported by the Medical Research Council Doctoral Training
361 Partnership (MR/J003964/1). MB was funded by BBSRC (MIBTP) grant
362 BB/J014532/1. We acknowledge the use of EM facilities at the MRC-LMB (Cambridge,
363 UK), NeCEN and UCSF Mission Bay for data collection and in particular K. R.
364 Vinothkumar for microscope operational assistance at the MRC-LMB. We
365 acknowledge Diamond for access and support of the Cryo-EM facilities at the UK
366 national electron bio-imaging centre (eBIC), proposals EM13142 and EM13909,
367 funded by the Wellcome Trust, MRC and BBSRC. Sample preparation and
368 development was supported by I. Hands-Portman, Warwick Life Sciences Imaging
369 Suite (now Advanced Bioimaging Research Technology Platform), using equipment
370 funded by the Wellcome Trust (grant no. 055663/Z/98/Z). We thank S. Royle, T.
371 Burnley (CCP-EM), J. Huiskonen and S. Scheres for helpful discussions.

372

373

374 **Author Contributions.** C.J.S. conceived the overall project. C. J. S and Y. C.
375 supervised research. K.L.M., J. R. J., M. H., S. W., M. J. B., A. A. I., R. B. S., A. D. C
376 and C.J.S. performed research. K.L.M designed and developed the experimental
377 analysis strategy, performed EM and image analysis, collected data, prepared the
378 samples and purified the protein. J. R. J. constructed the cage library. K. L. M. and A.
379 D. C. conducted modelling. R. B. S. and A. A. I. performed BUDE and Rosetta
380 calculations. M.J.B assisted with protein preparation and M.H with data acquisition.
381 S.W. assisted with data acquisition. J.P.A. assisted with data analysis. C. J. S. and K.
382 L. M. wrote the initial manuscript with assistance and editing by all authors equally.

383

384 **Competing interests.** The authors declare no competing interests.

385

386 REFERENCES

387

- 388 1 Brodsky, F. M. Diversity of clathrin function: new tricks for an old protein. *Annual*
389 *review of cell and developmental biology* **28**, 309-336, doi:10.1146/annurev-cellbio-
390 101011-155716 (2012).
- 391 2 Brodsky, F. M., Chen, C. Y., Knuehl, C., Towler, M. C. & Wakeham, D. E. Biological
392 basket weaving: formation and function of clathrin-coated vesicles. *Annual review of*
393 *cell and developmental biology* **17**, 517-568, doi:10.1146/annurev.cellbio.17.1.517
394 (2001).
- 395 3 Heuser, J. Three-dimensional visualization of coated vesicle formation in fibroblasts.
396 *J Cell Biol* **84**, 560-583 (1980).
- 397 4 Avinoam, O., Schorb, M., Beese, C. J., Briggs, J. A. G. & Kaksonen, M. Endocytic sites
398 mature by continuous bending and remodeling of the clathrin coat. *Science* **348**,
399 1369-1372, doi:10.1126/science.aaa9555 (2015).

400 5 Wu, X. *et al.* Clathrin exchange during clathrin-mediated endocytosis. *J Cell Biol* **155**,
401 291-300, doi:10.1083/jcb.200104085 (2001).

402 6 Crowther, R. A., Finch, J. T. & Pearse, B. M. On the structure of coated vesicles.
403 *Journal of molecular biology* **103**, 785-798 (1976).

404 7 Shih, W., Gallusser, A. & Kirchhausen, T. A clathrin-binding site in the hinge of the
405 beta 2 chain of mammalian AP-2 complexes. *The Journal of biological chemistry* **270**,
406 31083-31090 (1995).

407 8 Dell'Angelica, E. C., Klumperman, J., Stoorvogel, W. & Bonifacino, J. S. Association of
408 the AP-3 adaptor complex with clathrin. *Science* **280**, 431-434 (1998).

409 9 Owen, D. J., Vallis, Y., Pearse, B. M., McMahon, H. T. & Evans, P. R. The structure and
410 function of the beta 2-adaptin appendage domain. *Embo J* **19**, 4216-4227,
411 doi:10.1093/emboj/19.16.4216 (2000).

412 10 Kneuhl, C. *et al.* Novel binding sites on clathrin and adaptors regulate distinct aspects
413 of coat assembly. *Traffic* **7**, 1688-1700, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0854.2006.00499.x
414 (2006).

415 11 Ren, X., Farias, G. G., Canagarajah, B. J., Bonifacino, J. S. & Hurley, J. H. Structural
416 basis for recruitment and activation of the AP-1 clathrin adaptor complex by Arf1.
417 *Cell* **152**, 755-767, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.12.042 (2013).

418 12 Shen, Q. T., Ren, X., Zhang, R., Lee, I. H. & Hurley, J. H. HIV-1 Nef hijacks clathrin
419 coats by stabilizing AP-1:Arf1 polygons. *Science* **350**, aac5137,
420 doi:10.1126/science.aac5137 (2015).

421 13 Heldwein, E. E. *et al.* Crystal structure of the clathrin adaptor protein 1 core. *Proc*
422 *Natl Acad Sci U S A* **101**, 14108-14113, doi:10.1073/pnas.0406102101 (2004).

423 14 Morris, K. L. *et al.* HIV-1 Nefs Are Cargo-Sensitive AP-1 Trimerization Switches in
424 Tetherin Downregulation. *Cell* **174**, 659-671 e614, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.07.004
425 (2018).

426 15 Collins, B. M., McCoy, A. J., Kent, H. M., Evans, P. R. & Owen, D. J. Molecular
427 Architecture and Functional Model of the Endocytic AP2 Complex. *Cell* **109**, 523-535,
428 doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674\(02\)00735-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(02)00735-3) (2002).

429 16 Kelly, B. T. *et al.* A structural explanation for the binding of endocytic dileucine
430 motifs by the AP2 complex. *Nature* **456**, 976-979, doi:10.1038/nature07422 (2008).

431 17 Jackson, L. P. *et al.* A Large-Scale Conformational Change Couples Membrane
432 Recruitment to Cargo Binding in the AP2 Clathrin Adaptor Complex. *Cell* **141**, 1220-
433 1229, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2010.05.006 (2010).

434 18 Kelly, B. T. *et al.* Clathrin adaptors. AP2 controls clathrin polymerization with a
435 membrane-activated switch. *Science* **345**, 459-463, doi:10.1126/science.1254836
436 (2014).

437 19 Kang, D. S. *et al.* Structure of an Arrestin2-Clathrin Complex Reveals a Novel Clathrin
438 Binding Domain That Modulates Receptor Trafficking. *The Journal of biological*
439 *chemistry* **284**, 29860-29872, doi:10.1074/jbc.M109.023366 (2009).

440 20 ter Haar, E., Harrison, S. C. & Kirchhausen, T. Peptide-in-groove interactions link
441 target proteins to the β -propeller of clathrin. *Proceedings of the National Academy*
442 *of Sciences* **97**, 1096-1100 (2000).

443 21 Miele, A. E., Watson, P. J., Evans, P. R., Traub, L. M. & Owen, D. J. Two distinct
444 interaction motifs in amphiphysin bind two independent sites on the clathrin
445 terminal domain [beta]-propeller. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **11**, 242-248 (2004).

- 446 22 Zhuo, Y. *et al.* Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Structural Mapping Reveals Promiscuous
447 Interactions between Clathrin-Box Motif Sequences and the N-Terminal Domain of
448 the Clathrin Heavy Chain. *Biochemistry* **54**, 2571-2580,
449 doi:10.1021/acs.biochem.5b00065 (2015).
- 450 23 Muenzner, J., Traub, L. M., Kelly, B. T. & Graham, S. C. Cellular and viral peptides bind
451 multiple sites on the N-terminal domain of clathrin. *Traffic (Copenhagen, Denmark)*
452 **18**, 44-57, doi:10.1111/tra.12457 (2017).
- 453 24 Vigers, G. P., Crowther, R. A. & Pearse, B. M. Three-dimensional structure of clathrin
454 cages in ice. *Embo J* **5**, 529-534 (1986).
- 455 25 Vigers, G. P., Crowther, R. A. & Pearse, B. M. Location of the 100 kd-50 kd accessory
456 proteins in clathrin coats. *Embo J* **5**, 2079-2085 (1986).
- 457 26 Smith, C. J., Grigorieff, N. & Pearse, B. M. F. Clathrin coats at 21 angstrom resolution:
458 a cellular assembly designed to recycle multiple membrane receptors. *Embo Journal*
459 **17**, 4943-4953, doi:10.1093/emboj/17.17.4943 (1998).
- 460 27 Musacchio, A. *et al.* Functional organization of clathrin in coats: Combining electron
461 cryomicroscopy and x-ray crystallography. *Molecular Cell* **3**, 761-770,
462 doi:10.1016/s1097-2765(01)80008-3 (1999).
- 463 28 Fotin, A. *et al.* Molecular model for a complete clathrin lattice from electron
464 cryomicroscopy. *Nature* **432**, 573-579, doi:10.1038/nature03079 (2004).
- 465 29 Smith, C. J. *et al.* Location of auxilin within a clathrin cage. *Journal of molecular*
466 *biology* **336**, 461-471 (2004).
- 467 30 Fotin, A. *et al.* Structure of an auxilin-bound clathrin coat and its implications for the
468 mechanism of uncoating. *Nature* **432**, 649-653, doi:10.1038/nature03078 (2004).
- 469 31 Heymann, J. B. *et al.* Visualization of the binding of Hsc70 ATPase to clathrin baskets:
470 implications for an uncoating mechanism. *The Journal of biological chemistry* **280**,
471 7156-7161, doi:10.1074/jbc.M411712200 (2005).
- 472 32 Xing, Y. *et al.* Structure of clathrin coat with bound Hsc70 and auxilin: mechanism of
473 Hsc70-facilitated disassembly. *Embo J* **29**, 655-665, doi:10.1038/emboj.2009.383
474 (2010).
- 475 33 ter Haar, E., Musacchio, A., Harrison, S. C. & Kirchhausen, T. Atomic Structure of
476 Clathrin: A β Propeller Terminal Domain Joins an α Zigzag Linker. *Cell* **95**, 563-573,
477 doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674\(00\)81623-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81623-2) (1998).
- 478 34 Ybe, J. A. *et al.* Clathrin self-assembly is mediated by a tandemly repeated superhelix.
479 *Nature* **399**, 371-375, doi:10.1038/20708 (1999).
- 480 35 Wilbur, J. D. *et al.* Conformation Switching of Clathrin Light Chain Regulates Clathrin
481 Lattice Assembly. *Developmental Cell* **18**, 841-848, doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2010.04.007
482 (2010).
- 483 36 Schein, S. & Sands-Kidner, M. A geometric principle may guide self-assembly of
484 fullerene cages from clathrin triskelia and from carbon atoms. *Biophys J* **94**, 958-976,
485 doi:10.1529/biophysj.107.110817 (2008).
- 486 37 Ilca, S. L. *et al.* Localized reconstruction of subunits from electron cryomicroscopy
487 images of macromolecular complexes. *Nature Communications* **6**,
488 doi:10.1038/ncomms9843 (2015).
- 489 38 Barad, B. A. *et al.* EMRinger: side chain-directed model and map validation for 3D
490 cryo-electron microscopy. *Nat Methods* **12**, 943-946, doi:10.1038/nmeth.3541
491 (2015).

492 39 McIntosh-Smith, S., Wilson, T., Ibarra, A. Á., Crisp, J. & Sessions, R. B. Benchmarking
493 Energy Efficiency, Power Costs and Carbon Emissions on Heterogeneous Systems.
494 *The Computer Journal* **55**, 192-205, doi:10.1093/comjnl/bxr091 (2012).

495 40 Wood, C. W. *et al.* CCBUILDER: an interactive web-based tool for building, designing
496 and assessing coiled-coil protein assemblies. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 3029-3035,
497 doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu502 (2014).

498 41 Chen, C. Y. *et al.* Clathrin light and heavy chain interface: alpha-helix binding
499 superhelix loops via critical tryptophans. *Embo Journal* **21**, 6072-6082,
500 doi:10.1093/emboj/cdf594 (2002).

501 42 Ybe, J. A. *et al.* Light chain C-terminal region reinforces the stability of clathrin heavy
502 chain trimers. *Traffic* **8**, 1101-1110, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0854.2007.00597.x (2007).

503 43 DeMari, J. *et al.* CLTC as a clinically novel gene associated with multiple
504 malformations and developmental delay. *Am J Med Genet A* **170A**, 958-966,
505 doi:10.1002/ajmg.a.37506 (2016).

506 44 Hamdan, F. F. *et al.* High Rate of Recurrent De Novo Mutations in Developmental
507 and Epileptic Encephalopathies. *Am J Hum Genet* **101**, 664-685,
508 doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2017.09.008 (2017).

509 45 Wilbur, J. D., Hwang, P. K. & Brodsky, F. M. New faces of the familiar clathrin lattice.
510 *Traffic* **6**, 346-350, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0854.2005.00277.x (2005).

511 46 Boecking, T. *et al.* Key Interactions for Clathrin Coat Stability. *Structure* **22**, 819-829,
512 doi:10.1016/j.str.2014.04.002 (2014).

513 47 Crowther, R. A. & Pearse, B. M. F. ASSEMBLY AND PACKING OF CLATHRIN INTO
514 COATS. *Journal of Cell Biology* **91**, 790-797, doi:10.1083/jcb.91.3.790 (1981).

515 48 Liu, S. H., Wong, M. L., Craik, C. S. & Brodsky, F. M. Regulation of clathrin assembly
516 and trimerization defined using recombinant triskelion hubs. *Cell* **83**, 257-267 (1995).

517 49 Ybe, J. A., Ruppel, N., Mishra, S. & VanHaften, E. Contribution of cysteines to
518 clathrin trimerization domain stability and mapping of light chain binding. *Traffic* **4**,
519 850-856, doi:10.1046/j.1600-0854.2003.00139.x (2003).

520 50 Winkler, F. K. & Stanley, K. K. Clathrin heavy chain, light chain interactions. *Embo J* **2**,
521 1393-1400 (1983).

522 51 Loerke, D. *et al.* Cargo and Dynamin Regulate Clathrin-Coated Pit Maturation. *Plos*
523 *Biology* **7**, 628-639, doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1000057 (2009).

524 52 Ferreira, F. *et al.* Endocytosis of G Protein-Coupled Receptors Is Regulated by
525 Clathrin Light Chain Phosphorylation. *Current Biology* **22**, 1361-1370,
526 doi:10.1016/j.cub.2012.05.034 (2012).

527 53 Young, A. *et al.* Hsc70-induced Changes in Clathrin-Auxilin Cage Structure Suggest a
528 Role for Clathrin Light Chains in Cage Disassembly. *Traffic* **14**, 987-996 (2013).

529 54 Boettner, D. R., Friesen, H., Andrews, B. & Lemmon, S. K. Clathrin light chain directs
530 endocytosis by influencing the binding of the yeast Hip1R homologue, Sla2, to F-
531 actin. *Molecular Biology of the Cell* **22**, 3699-3714, doi:10.1091/mbc.E11-07-0628
532 (2011).

533 55 Elkhatib, N. *et al.* Tubular clathrin/AP-2 lattices pinch collagen fibers to support 3D
534 cell migration. *Science* **356**, doi:10.1126/science.aal4713 (2017).

535 56 Kirchhausen, T., Owen, D. & Harrison, S. C. Molecular structure, function, and
536 dynamics of clathrin-mediated membrane traffic. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **6**,
537 a016725, doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a016725 (2014).

538 57 Wu, X. *et al.* Adaptor and clathrin exchange at the plasma membrane and trans-Golgi
539 network. *Mol Biol Cell* **14**, 516-528, doi:10.1091/mbc.e02-06-0353 (2003).

540 58 Traub, L. M. Tickets to ride: selecting cargo for clathrin-regulated internalization. *Nat*
541 *Rev Mol Cell Biol* **10**, 583-596 (2009).

542 59 Morgan, J. R. *et al.* A role for the clathrin assembly domain of AP180 in synaptic
543 vesicle endocytosis. *J Neurosci* **19**, 10201-10212 (1999).

544 60 Aguet, F., Antonescu, C. N., Mettlen, M., Schmid, S. L. & Danuser, G. Advances in
545 analysis of low signal-to-noise images link dynamin and AP2 to the functions of an
546 endocytic checkpoint. *Dev Cell* **26**, 279-291, doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2013.06.019
547 (2013).

548 61 Dannhauser, P. N. & Ungewickell, E. J. Reconstitution of clathrin-coated bud and
549 vesicle formation with minimal components. *Nat Cell Biol* **14**, 634-639,
550 doi:10.1038/ncb2478 (2012).

551 62 Cheng, Y., Boll, W., Kirchhausen, T., Harrison, S. C. & Walz, T. Cryo-electron
552 tomography of clathrin-coated vesicles: structural implications for coat assembly.
553 *Journal of molecular biology* **365**, 892-899, doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2006.10.036 (2007).

554 63 Heymann, J. B. *et al.* Clathrin-coated vesicles from brain have small payloads: a cryo-
555 electron tomographic study. *J Struct Biol* **184**, 43-51, doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2013.05.006
556 (2013).

557

558 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

559

560 **Figure 1 | Identification of multiple clathrin cage architectures.** (a) Cryo-EM maps
561 of five clathrin cage architectures with resolutions between 24 – 9.1 Å. (b) Library of
562 cage architectures with 20 – 38 vertices³⁶ used for particle classification. (c) Cryo-EM
563 map of the mini coat architecture (left) with four triskelia highlighted to show quaternary
564 level interactions. A triskelion is shown in isolation (middle) and in the context of
565 neighbouring heavy chains (right). Structural features are coloured according to the
566 domain structure of the clathrin heavy and light chains defined in Fig. 2a.

567

568 **Figure 2 | The consensus hub substructure from all and individual clathrin cage**
569 **architectures.** (a) The domain structure of the clathrin heavy and light chains. (b) The
570 consensus hub structure resulting from subparticle refinement of all cage types at 4.7
571 Å resolution. The domain structure is coloured according to (a) and the unsharpened
572 density is shown as transparent. (c) The consensus map density and model between
573 HCR6c-7c are shown as well as (d) the gold-standard FSC curves for the consensus
574 hub structure and each cage type with its respective hub substructure.

575

576 **Figure 3 | Clathrin cage stabilisation.** (a) Rosetta energy scores mapped onto the
577 mini coat. Inset is an equivalent view to the left panel in (c). (b) Interaction energies
578 between clathrin subunits predicted from analysis by Rosetta of the consensus hub
579 structure for heavy chain (Top) and light chain (Bottom). BUDE interaction energies
580 are shown in grey. (c) A view of the proximal-distal contacts made by heavy chains of
581 the cage inner and outer shell is shown from the side (left) and the distal-distal contacts
582 made by heavy chains on the coat inner shell are shown from below (right). See also
583 Supplementary Fig. 2. The locations of the disease-related mutations P890L and
584 L1074P are shown by gold and green spheres respectively.

585

586 **Figure 4 | Structural features of the trimerisation domain, coiled-coil and light**
587 **chain interactions.** (a) Close up view of the trimerisation domain (TxD) composed of
588 three heavy chains (TxD1 and TxD2) and three associated light chains (LC TxD1 and
589 LC TxD2). A whole mini coat is shown for reference. (b) Molecular model and density
590 for the TxD helical tripod, showing coiled-coil interactions between the three helices.
591 (c) Detail of light chain – heavy chain interactions. Panels show the molecular model
592 and consensus hub map density for interactions involving four key tryptophans. At
593 HCR7f the light chain becomes disordered (LC₁₆₆₋₁₈₉). The light chain becomes
594 ordered again at LC TxD 1 and 2 which bind at the trimerisation domain (TxD). The
595 newly determined sequence register for LC TxD helices 1 and 2 places them between
596 two adjacent TxD heavy chains in trans. Colouring as for Fig. 2a.

597
598

599 **Figure 5 | Contacts between triskelion legs for different cage architectures.** The
600 panels show the pattern of intermolecular contacts for molecular models fitted into the
601 mini coat, hexagonal barrel and tennis ball cage structures. Intermolecular contacts
602 for the consensus hub model are also shown. Aligned, individually modelled heavy
603 chain legs for each cage structure are shown, with cylinders depicting each domain.
604 See also Supplementary Fig. 6.

605

606 **TABLE 1**

607

608 **Cryo-EM data collection, refinement and validation statistics.** Whole cage and consensus
 609 hub substructure statistics are shown. See Supplementary Tables 1 and 2 for additional
 610 information. *Datasets with different total doses were combined. The maximum dose is
 611 shown.

612

	28 mini coat cage (EMD-0114)	32 sweet potato cage (EMD-0115)	36 barrel cage (EMD-0116)	36 tennis cage (EMD-0118)	37 big apple cage (EMD-0120)	Consensus hub (EMD-0126, PDB 6SCT)
Data collection and processing						
Magnification	82,111	82,111	82,111	82,111	82,111	82,111
Voltage (kV)	300	300	300	300	300	300
Electron exposure (e ⁻ /Å ²)	69*	69*	69*	69*	69*	69*
Defocus range (μm)	1.8 – 2.8	1.8 – 2.8	1.8 – 2.8	1.8 – 2.8	1.8 – 2.8	1.8 – 2.8
Pixel size (Å)	1.71	1.71	1.71	1.71	1.71	1.71
Symmetry imposed	T	D3	D6	D2	C1	C3
Initial particle images (no.)	12,785	12,785	12,785	12,785	12,785	12,785
Final particle images (no.)	2,945	1,761	1,160	1,624	2,010	313,406
Map resolution (Å)	9.07	23.7	12.2	13.8	23.7	4.69
FSC threshold	0.143	0.143	0.143	0.143	0.143	0.143
Map resolution range (Å)	6.5 – 50.0	12.7 – 50.0	8.5 – 50.0	9.1 – 50.0	10.1 – 50.0	3.4 – 50.0
Refinement						
Initial model used (PDB code)	6SCT	6SCT	6SCT	6SCT	6SCT	3IYV, 1B89
Model resolution (Å)						4.59
FSC threshold						0.5
Model resolution range (Å)						4.3 – 6.7
Map sharpening <i>B</i> factor (Å ²)						-164.9
Model composition						
Nonhydrogen atoms						40,680
Protein residues						4,836
Ligands						–
<i>B</i> factors (Å ²)						
Protein						77.4
Ligand						–
R.m.s. deviations						
Bond lengths (Å)						0.00
Bond angles (°)						0.76
Validation						
MolProbity score						1.80
Clashscore						6.06
Poor rotamers (%)						0.30
Ramachandran plot						
Favored (%)						92.4

Allowed (%)	7.47
Disallowed (%)	0.12

613
614
615

616 METHODS

617 **Buffer compositions.** Polymerisation buffer. 100 mM MES pH 6.4, 1.5 mM MgCl₂,
618 0.2 mM EGTA, 0.02 w/v NaN₃. Depolymerisation buffer. 20 mM TEA pH 8.0, 1 mM
619 EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 0.02 w/v NaN₃. Tris buffer. 1 M Tris pH 7.1, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM
620 DTT, 0.02 w/v NaN₃. HKM buffer. 25 mM HEPES pH 7.2, 125 mM K Ac, 5 mM Mg Ac,
621 0.02 w/v NaN₃. Ficoll/Sucrose buffer. 6.3 w/v Ficoll PM 70, 6.3 w/v sucrose in HKM
622 pH 7.2. Saturated ammonium sulphate. Excess ammonium sulphate dissolved in 10
623 mM Tris pH 7, 0.1 mM EDTA. Precission buffer. 50 mM tris-HCl pH 7.0, 150 mM
624 NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1mM DTT, 0.02 w/v NaN₃.

625

626 **Protein purification and expression.** Endogenous clathrin coated vesicles were
627 extracted from *Sus scrofa* brains and clathrin purified from them as triskelia using
628 previously described methods⁶⁴. The initial assembly for harvesting cages was
629 performed by dialysis into polymerisation buffer pH 6.2 and subsequent
630 ultracentrifugation with concentration by resuspension of the pellet into a small volume
631 of polymerisation buffer. All subsequent uses of polymerisation buffer utilised a pH of
632 6.4. Clathrin concentration was assayed by A₂₈₀ of triskelia to avoid effects from light
633 scattering.

634

635 The GST β 2-adaptin₆₁₆₋₉₅₁ plasmid was a kind gift from Steve Royle, University of
636 Warwick⁶⁵. β 2-adaptin₆₁₆₋₉₅₁ was expressed as a GST fusion protein in an *E. coli* BL21
637 strain and purified using GSH resin (GE Healthcare), the GST tag was subsequently
638 removed by cleavage using a commercially available GST fusion 3C protease
639 (Precission, GE Healthcare) overnight at 4 °C in Precission buffer. Cleavage
640 enzyme was removed by GSH resin and the cleaved protein collected from the flow
641 through after which it was concentrated and exchanged into Tris buffer on vivaspin
642 columns (Sartorius).

643

644 **Complex preparation.** The clathrin cage β 2-adaptin complex was made by
645 reconstitution in depolymerisation buffer (pH 8.0) at 4 °C, at 3.0 μ M clathrin with a 6-
646 fold molar excess of β 2-adaptin and dialysis overnight into polymerisation buffer at pH
647 6.4. Clathrin- β 2 cages were harvested by centrifugation at 230,000 g for 30 mins and
648 concentrated 10-fold by pellet resuspension into a small volume of polymerisation
649 buffer pH 6.4.

650

651

652 **Negative stain transmission electron microscopy.** 4 μl of 1 μM assembled clathrin
653 cages was applied to a glow-discharged formvar carbon 300-mesh copper grid (Agar
654 Scientific) and allowed to adsorb for 1 minute. The sample was removed by blotting
655 and 4 μl of 2% w/v uranyl acetate (UA) rapidly applied to the grid. This was incubated
656 at room temperature for 1 minute to stain the sample after which the UA removed by
657 blotting. Grids were air dried at room temperature before transfer to the microscope.
658 Samples were imaged using a JEOL 2010F FEG transmission electron microscope
659 with a Gatan Ultrascan 2000™ camera, at an accelerating voltage of 200kV.

660

661 **Cryo-electron microscopy.** Samples of clathrin- $\beta 2$ cages were inspected by
662 negative stain transmission electron microscopy (TEM) before freezing in vitreous ice
663 for cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM). The concentration of clathrin for cryo-EM grid
664 preparation was between 15-30 μM and determined by inspection of particle
665 distribution in negative stain prior to freezing. 3 μl of clathrin- $\beta 2$ cages were applied
666 to glow-discharged 300 mesh copper Quantifoil R1.2/1.3 grids and blotted at ambient
667 temperature and humidity for 3 seconds before plunging into an ethane/propane
668 (80/20%) mix liquefied and cooled by liquid nitrogen using a hand freezing device (built
669 by Birkbeck mechanical workshop, University of London). Cryo-EM micrographs were
670 collected automatically in movie mode and acquired in four datasets using a Titan
671 Krios (MRC-LMB) operated at 300 kV each equipped with a Falcon II detector. Using
672 EPU for data acquisition of each dataset, a total dose of 42 – 69 $\text{e}^-/\text{\AA}^2$ were collected
673 over 3 seconds at dose rates of between 1 – 1.2 $\text{e}^-/\text{\AA}^2/\text{s}$ and a magnified pixel size of
674 1.705 $\text{\AA}/\text{px}$ using a 1.5 μm beam and 70 μm C2 aperture to ensure illumination of the
675 carbon support with one image acquired per hole. Micrographs were targeted for
676 collection between 1.4 – 3.2 μm defocus.

677

678 **Cage library construction.** A list was made of those cage species that are
679 geometrically 'probable' conformations, according to the 'head-to-tail dihedral angle
680 discrepancy exclusion' rule that has been proposed by Schein and co-workers³⁶, and
681 such that the number of vertices was less than or equal to 38. The software CaGe^{66,67}
682 <http://www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/~CaGe> <http://caagt.ugent.be/CaGe> was used to
683 generate a set of labelled coordinates in three dimensions and a tabulation of vertex
684 connectivity that identifies the edges of the polyhedron. These coordinates were then
685 manipulated in R⁶⁸ so that the centroid was positioned at the origin; the fullerene's
686 axes of symmetry were correctly orientated for application of symmetrical averaging
687 at a later stage (see Data processing); alternate 'handed' versions of the (chiral) 32-
688 and 38-vertex fullerenes were obtained by a reflection; the coordinates were scaled to
689 give a distance between connected vertices of 185 \AA ²⁶; and the coordinate set was
690 augmented by points at a spacing of 1 \AA along each edge. Hence, a library of 10
691 species of fullerene or cage architecture were compiled. The R library 'bio3d'⁶⁹ was
692 used to record each coordinate set in PDB format, which was then converted to an
693 MRC volume suitable for use as a reference for classification using the program

694 e2pdb2mrc.py from the EMAN2 package ⁷⁰. Coordinates were converted to a volume
695 at a low pass filtered resolution of 60 Å.

696

697 **Data processing.** The movies were motion corrected using MotionCor2 ⁷¹ to produce
698 motion corrected summed micrographs, with and without dose weighting. The contrast
699 transfer function of the micrographs was estimated from the non-dose weighted
700 micrographs using gctf v1.06 ⁷² using the validation and EPA functions. 12,785
701 particles were manually picked from the motion corrected micrographs using
702 e2boxer.py from the Eman2 package. Non dose weighted particles at a binned pixel
703 size of 10.2 Å/px were extracted and subjected to reference-free 2D classification over
704 28 iterations in Relion. High quality 2D classes were selected for further classification.
705 The selected subset of particles contained 9,500 particles and was used in a
706 supervised asymmetric 3D classification into 10 structural classes (see Cage library
707 construction sections). The 38 big apple reference contains 38 triskelia however the
708 resulting 3D classification volume contained only 37 triskelia indicative of the
709 robustness against reference bias in this particular analysis. The volumes from the
710 classification and associated particles were used for subsequent classification and
711 refinement. Reconstructions with and without imposing symmetry were found to
712 correlate, further indicating a lack of reference and symmetry bias.

713 Further image processing was performed using Relion-2.1 ⁷³. Phase flipped particles
714 were extracted from the dose weighted micrographs. The extracted box size was 500
715 px at a pixel size of 1.705 Å to include cages up to a size of 850 Å. Each cage
716 architecture was refined unbinned without imposing symmetry (Table 1) from the
717 supervised 3D classification subsets and volumes low pass filtered to 40 Å. A mask
718 was generated from the C1 reconstruction at 3σ, extended and softened by 4 and 9
719 pixels. This mask was used to refine the respective structures imposing symmetry
720 whilst employing solvent flattening and a Gaussian noise background. The resolutions
721 of each reconstruction were estimated using the gold-standard FSC measurement
722 within a mask created from the refinement volume at 3σ, expanded by 4 pixels and
723 softened by 9 pixels (3σ/e4/s9). The MTF of the Falcon II camera operated at 300 KeV
724 was applied and the B-factor of the map automatically calculated when the resolution
725 exceeded 10 Å ⁷⁴. The resolution of each reconstruction was found to correlate with
726 the number of asymmetric units utilised in the refinement (Supplementary Note 1d).
727 Further unsupervised 3D classification of each structure was performed into 3 classes
728 with a regularisation parameter *T* of 4, no imposed symmetry and no mask to ensure
729 no reference bias was present in the particle subsets (Supplementary Note 2).

730

731 **Localised subparticle extraction and reconstruction.** Relion localised
732 reconstruction python scripts ³⁷ and in-house written scripts were utilised to extract
733 trimerisation hub subparticles from the whole cage particles. The hub subparticles
734 were then refined and reconstructed independently to obtain the highest resolution
735 hub structure. This is described in detail in the supplemental methods. A structure of
736 the hub containing residues 581-1180, 700-1550, 1100-1630 was generated from

737 3IYV and fitted into the hub of the 9.1 Å 28 mini coat volume. This model was fitted at
738 each of the hub vertexes of each cage and used to define a vector in UCSF Chimera
739 describing the location of the hub in the respective C1 whole cage reconstruction. The
740 hubs were extracted and recentred as new subparticles in 256 px boxes. The
741 subparticles were extracted from whole cage particles boxed in at 750 px at 1.705 Å
742 without phase flipping to include all signal delocalised due to the defocus⁷⁴ applied
743 during data collection. This was repeated for each cage architecture and thus in each
744 case the subparticle extraction expanded each whole cage dataset to n times the
745 original particle number where n is equal to the number of hubs in the respective cage
746 architecture. Reconstructions of the newly extracted hub subparticles were made to
747 serve as references for refinements. Refinements were first conducted in C1 without
748 masking, and further refinements made using C3 symmetry, masking applied from a
749 3σ extended 4px and softened 9px mask ($3\sigma/e6/s9$), with solvent flattening and a
750 Gaussian noise background. Due to the special case where protein density extends
751 outside of the refinement mask and box, for the hub subparticles it was necessary to
752 apply a soft spherical mask (320 Å diameter, 10 Å softened) to the unfiltered half maps
753 before resolution estimation, to remove protein density outside the sphere of
754 refinement. Gold-standard resolution estimation of each hub subparticle then followed
755 and estimated the reconstructions to be between 5.1 and 7.8 Å. Each hub subparticle
756 particle set was combined to create a consensus refinement of the hub structure in
757 every cage architecture that had been determined. This structure was refined as
758 previously described and the map used for subsequent final model building and
759 optimisation (see model building). Global resolution was measured as described by
760 gold-standard FSC ($3\sigma/e6/s11$) and found the reconstruction to have converged at a
761 resolution of 4.7 Å, with a measured map B-factor of -165 \AA^2 . Local resolution
762 estimations were made using Relion revealing resolutions extending to 4.3 Å in the
763 areas that were modelled.

764

765 **Protocol for localised subparticle extraction and reconstruction.** The invariant
766 hub structures at the vertices of all cages types present an opportunity for symmetry
767 averaging. However the formal symmetry of these cages does not describe the
768 location of every hub due to the presence of additional local symmetry and potentially
769 cage deformation. We used localised reconstruction³⁷ as mentioned in the previous
770 section to identify the locations of all repeating hub subunits within each cage structure
771 and adopted a generalised protocol for this as follows:

772

- 773 1. Complete C1 refinement of whole cage complex
- 774 2. Generate and fit a hub PDB to the repeating subparticle of the whole complex
- 775 3. Use localrec_create_cmm.sh to create a vector defining the origin of the map
776 and the centre of mass each PDB fitted to the subparticle
- 777 4. Use localrec_create_subparticles.sh and relion_localized_reconstruction.py to
778 localise the position of the subparticle in the C1 reconstruction and by reference

- 779 to the Relion star file, the subparticle in each original particle image.
780 Subparticles will be reboxed and extracted with new origins.
- 781 5. Use `localrec_create_substructures.sh` and `relion_localized_reconstruction.py`
782 to reconstruct the substructure volume representing each subparticle
 - 783 6. Join all subparticle star files to process in 2D/3D classification, and refinement.
 - 784 7. Use `localrec_create_subtration_masks.sh` to generate softened volumes minus
785 the subparticle of interest for partial signal subtraction.
 - 786 8. Use `localrec_create_subparticles.sh` to generate the same localized
787 subparticles with and without signal subtraction.
 - 788 9. Use `localrec_create_substructures.sh` to reconstruct the substructure volume
789 representing each partial signal subtracted subparticle
 - 790 10. Join all star files for the partially signal subtracted subparticles and process in
791 2D and 3D classification, and refinement.
- 792
793

794 **Model building.** Density for bulky side chains was visible, and comparison of the
795 relevant map density to an X-ray structure of residues 1210-1517 of the proximal
796 domain showed a good agreement in residue register ³⁴. The crystal structure of the
797 proximal clathrin heavy chain (1B89) fitted to the hub substructure was thus used to
798 obtain the initial register of the map. The remaining helices were built as ideal
799 polyalanine helices in *Coot*⁷⁵. Register was assigned manually in *Coot* using landmark
800 residues, secondary structure prediction and comparison to the existing C α cryo-EM
801 model of clathrin (3IYV). Loops were initially modelled using `phenix.fit_loops`. The light
802 chain modelled as isoform B (Accession: F1S398_PIG) was assigned sequence
803 register by landmark tryptophan residues in the proximal region (W105/127/138). The
804 TxD associated helix-loop-helix sequence register was assigned by density consistent
805 with the landmark tryptophan residue (W191) as well as a C-terminal phenylalanine
806 (F200). For clarity and consistency with the literature, we have referred to light chain
807 residues using the bovine numbering. Further modelling proceeded iteratively
808 between *Coot*⁷⁵, *O*⁷⁶ and `phenix.real_space_refine`⁷⁷ aiming to maintain idealised
809 geometry and sequence register. Map-to-model comparison in `phenix.mtriage`
810 validated that no overfitting was present in the structures. Atomic displacement factor
811 refinement was used to calculate the residue B-factors and EMringer to score side
812 chains. Model geometry was validated using MolProbity⁷⁸. All map and model
813 statistics are detailed in Table 1.

814

815 **Interface energy analysis with BUDE and Rosetta.** Interface energy analysis was
816 performed on the cryo-EM consensus hub structure using Rosetta and the docking
817 program Bristol University Docking Engine (BUDE). Rosetta (v3.10) was used to
818 calculate interface energy scores, reported in rosetta energy units (REU) using the
819 `residue_energy_breakdown` application and the ref2015 scoring function^{79,80}. The
820 structure was prepared for energy scoring by minimising the structure with the *relax*
821 application^{81,82} with all-atom constraints for five iterations and a final single iteration
822 of backbone-only constrained relaxation. The RMSD between the starting and relaxed

823 structure for C-alpha positions and all atoms was 0.22 and 1.48 Å respectively. A utility
824 was written to sum the energy contribution of all pairwise attractive and repulsive
825 intermolecular contacts identified by Rosetta for each residue in the consensus hub
826 structure, which were subsequently summed over five residue windows for
827 comparison to the analysis by BUDE. BUDE (v1.2.9)^{39,40} was configured to report the
828 theoretical free energy ($\Delta G/kJ/mol$) of binding between two static input structures
829 using the heavy_by-atom_2016-v1.bhff forcefield. BUDE is suitable for use with a
830 medium resolution model because it uses soft-core atoms and distance-based
831 functions (with 2–6 Å range beyond the sum of the atomic radii permitted) to describe
832 hydrophobicity, hydrogen bonding and salt bridges and is therefore tolerant of model
833 inaccuracies inevitable in the 4 Å resolution range. BUDE analysis was initially
834 performed on the consensus hub structure. Then, to examine the influence of
835 sidechain positioning, the interaction energy between pairs of sidechain residues
836 across the interface was calculated as the average of all favourable rotamer-rotamer
837 interactions using a customised version of BUDE. A utility was written to extract one
838 chain from the consensus hub structure and assign the rest of the structure as the
839 BUDE receptor. The extracted chain was split into five residue segments, at one-
840 residue increments, and passed to BUDE as the ligand. This produced a sliding
841 window of interaction energies along the interfaces of the clathrin structure. A good
842 agreement in the energy scoring determined by BUDE and Rosetta is indicated by
843 high cross correlations (Supplementary Fig. 1c-d). Energy minima in the Rosetta and
844 BUDE energy scoring data were found using a five residue sliding window and using
845 threshold criteria rejecting minima that are below 20% of the largest minima of the data
846 (Table S4). We note that BUDE successfully reports realistic energy scoring without
847 the necessity to modify the input structure.

848
849 **Cage architecture model building.** Molecular models for the whole cage maps were
850 constructed in UCSF Chimera by building a complete triskelion (635-1629) from the
851 consensus hub structure as follows. Firstly, the consensus hub model was fitted as a
852 rigid body into each hub of the 28 mini coat, 36 barrel and 36 tennis ball. Each hub
853 contains segments of 9 clathrin heavy chains (chains A,F,K: 1248-1629; chains B,G,L:
854 809-1474; chains C,H,M: 635-1075) and 6 clathrin light chains (chains D,I,N: 99-225;
855 chains E,J,O). To construct a full triskelion the common portions of each of these
856 chains were structurally superimposed keeping the TxD-proximal 1629-1281 region
857 fixed to maintain the invariant hub. The fit of the triskelion from 1280-635 was then
858 optimised by local model to map rigid body fitting of heavy chain segments. In
859 summary the hub structure from 1629-1281 was maintained and three fragments of
860 1280-1131, 1130-840 and 839-635, were fitted to the map. These fragments were
861 selected manually through inspection of the density and we note that an analysis of
862 the alpha carbon models of clathrin heavy chains from the previously published 36
863 barrel²⁸ reveal bend points at 1281-1130 and 837-840, consistent with the choice of
864 fragments for fitting in this study. The heavy chain modelling was repeated individually
865 for each heavy chain in every triskelia of the 28 mini coat, 36 barrel and 36 tennis ball
866 to produce molecular models that reflect the changing heavy chain conformations in

867 the cages studied. Principal axes were defined through the regions 1629-1594 (TxD),
868 1550-1280 (proximal), 1230-1135 (distal junction), 1090-870 (distal), 815-635 (ankle)
869 using UCSF Chimera. The angles between the regions were measured in every heavy
870 chain for each cage type, using the minor principal axes. The proximal to TxD angle
871 was found to be effectively invariant and as such only the remaining angles were
872 plotted in python.

873

874 **Contact maps.** Contact maps were generated using UCSF Chimera 'Find
875 Clashes/Contacts' to detect alpha carbons in proximity of one another (less than 6 Å).
876 The points on each map represent a contact between residues on separate chains.

877

878 **Map and model visualisation.** Maps and models were visualised using UCSF
879 Chimera⁸³.

880

881 **Reporting Summary statement.** Further information on experimental design is
882 available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

883

884 **Code availability.** BUDE is available under a free academic license from the
885 developer Richard Sessions (<http://www.bris.ac.uk/biochemistry/research/bude>). All
886 utilities and scripts are available on github (<https://github.com/kylelmorris>).

887

888 **Data availability.** Structural data have been deposited into the Worldwide Protein
889 Data Bank (wwPDB), the Electron Microscopy Data Bank (EMDB) and EMPIAR⁸⁴. EM
890 electron density maps were deposited in the EMDB with accession numbers EMD-
891 0114, 0115, 0116, 0118, 0120 for the 28 mini coat, 32 sweet potato, 36 D6 barrel, 36
892 tennis ball and 37 big apple respectively. The corresponding hub structure maps were
893 deposited as EMD-0121, 0122, 0123, 0124, 0125 respectively. The consensus hub
894 substructure map was deposited with the accession number EMD-0126. The atomic
895 coordinates for the consensus hub were deposited with the PDB accession code
896 6SCT. Particle stacks associated with EMD-0114–0120 were deposited to EMPIAR
897 as 10294. Particle stacks associated with EMD-0114–0120 without phase flipping and
898 suitable for subparticle extraction were deposited to EMPIAR as 10295. Particle stacks
899 associated with EMD-0121–0125 and EMD-0126 were deposited to EMPIAR as
900 10296. Other data are available upon reasonable request.

901

902 **Methods-only references**

903

- 904 64 Rothnie, A., Clarke, A. R., Kuzmic, P., Cameron, A. & Smith, C. J. A sequential
905 mechanism for clathrin cage disassembly by 70-kDa heat-shock cognate protein
906 (Hsc70) and auxilin. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United*
907 *States of America* **108**, 6927-6932, doi:10.1073/pnas.1018845108 (2011).
908 65 Hood, F. E. *et al.* Coordination of adjacent domains mediates TACC3-ch-TOG-clathrin
909 assembly and mitotic spindle binding. *J Cell Biol* **202**, 463-478,
910 doi:10.1083/jcb.201211127 (2013).

911 66 G. Brinkmann, O. D. F., A. Dress, T. Harmuth. CaGe – a virtual environment for
912 studying some special classes of large molecules. *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput.*
913 *Chem.* **36**, 233–237 (1997).

914 67 G. Brinkmann, O. D. F., S. Lischen, A. Peeters, N. Van Cleemput. CaGe - a Virtual
915 Environment for Studying Some Special Classes of Plane Graphs - an Update. *MATCH*
916 *Commun. Math. Comput. Chem* **63**, 533-552 (2010).

917 68 R_Core_Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
918 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. . <http://www.R-project.org/>
919 (2014).

920 69 Grant, B. J., Rodrigues, A. P., ElSawy, K. M., McCammon, J. A. & Caves, L. S. Bio3d: an
921 R package for the comparative analysis of protein structures. *Bioinformatics* **22**,
922 2695-2696, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btl461 (2006).

923 70 Tang, G. *et al.* EMAN2: an extensible image processing suite for electron microscopy.
924 *J Struct Biol* **157**, 38-46, doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2006.05.009 (2007).

925 71 Li, X. *et al.* Electron counting and beam-induced motion correction enable near-
926 atomic-resolution single-particle cryo-EM. *Nat Methods* **10**, 584-590,
927 doi:10.1038/nmeth.2472 (2013).

928 72 Zhang, K. Gctf: Real-time CTF determination and correction. *J Struct Biol* **193**, 1-12,
929 doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2015.11.003 (2016).

930 73 Scheres, S. H. RELION: implementation of a Bayesian approach to cryo-EM structure
931 determination. *J Struct Biol* **180**, 519-530, doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2012.09.006 (2012).

932 74 Rosenthal, P. B. & Henderson, R. Optimal determination of particle orientation,
933 absolute hand, and contrast loss in single-particle electron cryomicroscopy. *Journal*
934 *of molecular biology* **333**, 721-745 (2003).

935 75 Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W. G. & Cowtan, K. Features and development of
936 Coot. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **66**, 486-501,
937 doi:10.1107/S0907444910007493 (2010).

938 76 Jones, T. A., Zou, J. Y., Cowan, S. W. & Kjeldgaard, M. Improved methods for building
939 protein models in electron density maps and the location of errors in these models.
940 *Acta Crystallogr A* **47 (Pt 2)**, 110-119 (1991).

941 77 Adams, P. D. *et al.* PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for
942 macromolecular structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **66**, 213-221,
943 doi:10.1107/S0907444909052925 (2010).

944 78 Davis, I. W. *et al.* MolProbity: all-atom contacts and structure validation for proteins
945 and nucleic acids. *Nucleic Acids Res* **35**, W375-383, doi:10.1093/nar/gkm216 (2007).

946 79 Alford, R. F. *et al.* The Rosetta All-Atom Energy Function for Macromolecular
947 Modeling and Design. *J Chem Theory Comput* **13**, 3031-3048,
948 doi:10.1021/acs.jctc.7b00125 (2017).

949 80 Park, H. *et al.* Simultaneous Optimization of Biomolecular Energy Functions on
950 Features from Small Molecules and Macromolecules. *J Chem Theory Comput* **12**,
951 6201-6212, doi:10.1021/acs.jctc.6b00819 (2016).

952 81 Nivon, L. G., Moretti, R. & Baker, D. A Pareto-optimal refinement method for protein
953 design scaffolds. *PLoS One* **8**, e59004, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059004 (2013).

954 82 Conway, P., Tyka, M. D., DiMaio, F., Konerding, D. E. & Baker, D. Relaxation of
955 backbone bond geometry improves protein energy landscape modeling. *Protein Sci*
956 **23**, 47-55, doi:10.1002/pro.2389 (2014).

957 83 Pettersen, E. F. *et al.* UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research
958 and analysis. *Journal of computational chemistry* **25**, 1605-1612,
959 doi:10.1002/jcc.20084 (2004).
960 84 A. Iudin, P.K. Korir, J. Salavert-Torres, G.J. Kleywegt & A. Patwardhan. "EMPIAR: A
961 public archive for raw electron microscopy image data." *Nature Methods* 13 (2016).
962 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3806>.
963
964

