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Effects of POD Control on a DFIG Wind Turbine Structural System

Mohamed Edrah, Xiaowei Zhao*, William Hung, Pengyuan Qi, Benjamin Marshall,
Aris Karcanias, Shurooque Baloch

Abstract—This paper investigates the effects power oscillation damping (POD) controller could have on a wind turbine structural system. Most of the published work in this area has been done using relatively simple aerodynamic and structural models of a wind turbine which cannot be used to investigate the detailed interactions between electrical and mechanical components of the wind turbine. Therefore, a detailed model that combines electrical, structural and aerodynamic characteristics of a grid-connected Doubly Fed Induction Generator (DFIG) based wind turbine has been developed by adapting the NREL (National Renewable Energy Laboratory) SMW wind turbine model within FAST (Fatigue, Aerodynamics, Structures, and Turbulence) code. This detailed model is used to evaluate the effects of POD controller on the wind turbine system. The results appear to indicate that the effects of POD control on the WT structural system are comparable or less significant as those caused by wind speed variations. Furthermore, the results also reveal that the effects of a transient three-phase short circuit fault on the WT structural system are much larger than those caused by the POD controller.

Index Terms—Wind turbine modelling, POD, DFIG, blade edgewise vibration, Matlab/Simulink, FAST.

I. INTRODUCTION

RENEWABLE energy sources are being increasingly integrated into the grid owing to growing concerns over environmental and economic issues. Among various renewable energy technologies that are being developed, wind turbine (WT) generation system is a mainstream source of energy supply and is the fastest growing renewable energy technology in the world [1]. DFIG is one of the most popular technology for large-scale WTs due to their flexible controllability and relatively low price [2].

With the increasing penetration of wind power generation, WTs are gradually displacing conventional generating plants, and thus will influence power systems in a significant manner bringing many challenges to power system operators. Thus grid operators have been enforcing more stringent connection requirements on wind power integration and WTs are expected to provide more ancillary services than they currently do [3]. For provision of power oscillation damping capability, some WT developers are concerned that POD control could have negative impacts on their WT control and structural systems. However, this uncertainty has raised concern on more system operators regarding the need for WTs to provide power oscillation damping capability to better manage future low-frequency power oscillation risks which could lead to regional or large-scale power failure [4]. Since there is a system need and also variable speed WTs are capable of providing damping torque, the POD requirements for future WTs could be incorporated in future grid codes [3, 5].

DFIG based wind turbines are not synchronously coupled to the main grid as the power electronic converters act as an interface between the generators and the grid. Therefore, they do not directly participate in electromechanical oscillations nor do they create new power oscillation modes [6–8]. However, the steady increase of wind power integration leads to the displacement of conventional synchronous generators (SG), change of system load flow, and other topology changes. These changes could have potential influence on system oscillation modes [6, 9]. As more SGs equipped with power system stabilisers (PSSs) are replaced by WTs, the system damping capability will be in declined [10, 11]. The damping contribution from WTs will need to be made available by introducing an auxiliary POD controller into the DFIG controller [8].

Over the past few years, considerable research has been done on POD capability of DFIGs by using active or reactive power modulations [4, 7–19]. In [7, 10, 12, 15–17, 19], the auxiliary damping signal produced by the POD controller is attached to the active power reference signal of the active power control loop within rotor side converter (RSC). The RSC controls the active and reactive power outputs of the DFIG independently. Study results indicate that the active power modulation of DFIG is a powerful tool to introduce additional damping for power system oscillations. Moreover, It is shown in [10, 12, 15–17] that DFIG with POD can contribute to the POD much more effectively than the SG with a PSS. In contrast, in [11, 13, 18], the auxiliary damping signal produced by the POD controller is attached to the reactive power reference of the reactive power control loop within the RSC. The results indicate that the reactive power modulation can improve damping of power oscillations. However, it was reported [20] that the use of reactive power modulation can deteriorate the DFIG stator dynamics. As offshore wind farms are often remotely connected and the altering of their terminal
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voltage under weak connections with the grid can cause voltage oscillations and instability problems. The results of [21] indicated that active power modulation is generally much more effective than its reactive counterpart. Although the active power modulation is more effective in modulating the generator electromagnetic torque, it may increase the stress on the DFIG drivetrain and decrease the lifespan of the mechanical system [20].

The active power modulation may have impact on the turbine’s mechanical parts via the electromagnetic torque variations. These may excite the mechanical vibrations of the WT blades, tower, and drivetrain, leading to mechanical failure. Such interactions of the WT mechanical structure with DFIG control system have not been adequately investigated.

For instance, previous research on POD capability of DFIG WTs has been done using relatively simple mechanical and aerodynamic models that neglect a number of important characteristics from a mechanical representation perspective. The work was focused mainly on the electrical aspect and this could lead to inaccurate interactions between electrical and mechanical components during the activation of POD control. In this paper, a more detailed model including electrical, structural and aerodynamic characteristics of a grid-connected DFIG-WT is presented to evaluate the impacts that POD controller could have on the WT structural system. The developed model was tested by time-domain simulations to examine the interactions between electrical and mechanical parts when providing POD control. The natural vibration modes of the DFIG-WT structural system are identified and evaluated under different operating conditions. The obtained results are compared with those caused by a grid fault. The results offer a deeper understanding of the inherent electro-mechanical dynamics of the DFIG-WT during either normal operation or with POD control.

The structure of the paper is as follows: Section II describes the development of the grid and DFIG-WT models including the mechanical parts of the WT, Section III is about the POD control design. Section IV shows the simulation results of the developed models. Finally, Section V summarises the key findings of the investigation.

II. MODEL DEVELOPMENT

A detailed model of grid-connected DFIG-WT that includes electrical, mechanical and aerodynamic characteristics is developed in this section by combining two simulation codes. National Renewable Energy Laboratory’s (NREL’s) Fatigue, Aerodynamics, Structures, and Turbulence (FAST) simulation tool [22] is used to provide detailed simulation of the aerodynamics and mechanical aspects of WT whereas Matlab/Simulink simulation package [23] is used to simulate DFIG, converters, collector systems, and grid aspects. The developed DFIG-WT model was integrated into a four-machine two-area test system as shown in Fig. 1. An oscillation was introduced between the two areas and a POD controller was implemented in the DFIG-WT model to improve the oscillation damping between the two areas.

A. Two-Area System Model

The studies were carried out on the two-area benchmark power test system shown in Fig. 1. The test system is based on the well-known two-area benchmark system which is widely used to study the low-frequency electromechanical oscillations in power systems [24]. It is reduced to a smaller equivalent system to match the rating of 5 MW WT model in this study. Each area of the reduced system is equipped with two identical round rotor SGs rated at 10 MVA modelled using Matlab/Simulink. Each machine is equipped with a turbine governor and an excitation control system. However, none of the SGs has a PSS for a more straightforward assessment. The load is split between the two areas in such a way that area 1 is exporting power to area 2.

![Fig. 1 Two-area test system configuration with DFIG-WT.](image)

B. Modelling of DFIG-WT system

The typical simulation structure of DFIG-WT is shown in Fig. 2. The DFIG-WT consists of a WT with a DFIG including a wound rotor induction generator and back-to-back converters. The stator of the induction generator is directly connected to the utility grid whereas the rotor is connected via slip rings to the back-to-back converters namely, RSC and grid side converter (GSC). With the back-to-back converters, DFIG can operate over a wide range of rotational speeds at constant voltage and frequency. Therefore, active power flow magnitude and direction between the grid and the rotor of the induction generator is controlled. The rotor of the induction generator is mechanically connected to the WT over a mechanical shaft system, which consists of a high-speed shaft, a gearbox, and a low-speed shaft.

1) Mechanical parts of WT Model

The structural system of WTs can be modelled by different methods. With increasing sizes, WTs are becoming more and more flexible and the interactions between their electrical and the mechanical aspects need to be assessed. In this case, their main components e.g., the tower, the rotor blades and the drivetrain, have to be considered as flexible structures [25]. In this paper, the mechanical parts of the WT with detailed structural modelling considering the dynamics of the flexible structure is modelled using FAST [22]. The equations of motion are formulated in the FAST S-function and solved using Matlab/Simulink. With the consideration of WT flexible
structure, flapwise and edgewise blades deflections, tower fore-aft and side-to-side bending and drivetrain torsion can be examined.

FAST uses equations of motion to calculate the degree of freedom. The active forces $F_a$ and inertia forces $F_{ra}$ balance is achieved during motion as following [26]:

$$\vec{F}_a + \vec{F}_{ra} = \vec{0}$$

(1)

Where $r$ is the index of $r^{th}$ degree of freedom

The beam axis displacement and beam mode shapes of the flexible structure can be defined by:

$$u(z,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \Phi_i(z) q_i(t)$$

(2)

$$\Phi_a(z) = \sum c_{a,b} \phi_b(z) \hspace{0.5cm} \text{and} \hspace{0.5cm} (a = 1, 2, ..., m)$$

(3)

Where $q_i(t)$ is the beam generalised coordinates, $c_{a,b}$ is the interpolating polynomial coefficients, and $\phi_b(z)$ is the shape functions.

FAST software is a complex tool that can be used to analyse a range of onshore and offshore WTs and it is adequate to simulate many complex situations concerning WT performance. FAST-V8 [27], which is the most recent version, is used to conduct the required simulations within this paper. The mechanical model in FAST is coupled with an aerodynamic model based on the Blade Element Momentum (BEM) theory [28]. In this paper, FAST is used to simulate the NREL 5-MW offshore baseline WT which is a representative of a typical utility-scale WT. It is a conventional horizontal-axis, three-bladed, variable speed WT. Table 1 lists its main specifications, more details can be found in [29].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rating</td>
<td>5 MW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor configuration</td>
<td>3 Blades</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drivetrain</td>
<td>High speed, multiple-stage gearbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor, Hub diameter, Hub Height</td>
<td>126 m, 3 m, 90 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cut-in, Rated, Cut-out wind speed</td>
<td>3 m/s, 11.4 m/s, 25 m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cut-in, Rated rotor Speed</td>
<td>6.9 rpm, 12.1 rpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal generator speed</td>
<td>1173.7 rpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gearbox ratio</td>
<td>97:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaft tilt angle</td>
<td>5 deg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blade length</td>
<td>61.5 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Main specifications of NREL 5-MW baseline WT.

FAST simulation tool reads mechanical system parameters of NREL 5-MW offshore baseline WT from specific input files and then creates output files. FAST can be used to exchange data with Simulink introducing great flexibility for electrical system and control implementation. This enables users to implement an electrical system and advanced turbine control design in Simulink block while the aerodynamics and structural parts are modelled in FAST.

2) Modelling of DFIG controllers

Although FAST is very popular to model and analyse WT’s mechanical parts, the generator representation in FAST is very simple. In this paper, the FAST is modified and interfaced with Matlab/Simulink to include a detailed and well-presented generator model in Matlab/Simulink. This allows examining the loadings of different WT components under both grid transients and/or wind turbulence conditions. Thus, the impacts of the turbine control system on its mechanical components can be observed. The interface between FAST and Simulink is shown in Fig. 2.

The DFIG-WTs can be fully controlled by using the back-to-back converters. The RSC can independently control the generated active and reactive power while the GSC is mainly used to maintain the converters DC link voltage within the limit. Both converters are modelled as current controlled voltage source converters.

Fig. 3 Control scheme of the RSC and POD (dashed blue lines).

In Fig. 3, the vector control scheme of the RSC is shown where the stator active power $P_s$ and reactive power $Q_s$ are independently controlled by the outer control loops. The measured active and reactive power are compared with reference signals obtained by maximum power point tracking (MPPT) curve and reactive power set point respectively. The generated error signals are passed through proportional integral (PI) controllers to form two output signals $i_{sp}^*$ and $i_{qr}^*$, of the dq axes current components which are referred to active and reactive power control loop respectively. These two signals are compared to the measured current signals $i_{sp}$ and $i_{qr}$ in the dq axes and passed through a PI controller to form two voltage

| Blade, Rotor, Tower masses | 17,740 kg, 110,000 kg, 347,460 kg |
| Hub inertia about low-speed shaft | 115,926 kg.m² |
| Maximum blade pitch angle rate | 8 deg/s |
signals \(v_{qr1}\) and \(v_{dr1}\) respectively. The formed two voltage signals are then compensated by the corresponding cross-coupling voltage in \(dq\) axes to form the \(dq\) voltage signals \(v_{qr}\) and \(v_{dr}\) respectively. These signals are then connected to a pulse width modulation (PWM) to create signals for the IGBTs gate control.

In a similar way, the GSC can be modelled to regulate dc link voltage and the reactive power that is exchanged between the GSC and the grid [13, 30].

### III. POD CONTROL DESIGN

The aim of this section is to develop a POD controller for DFIG-WT to damp low-frequency power oscillations between 0.1-2 Hz by adding an additional signal to the active power control loop of DFIG as shown in Fig. 3 with blue dashed lines. The considered POD is based on the conventional lead-lag controller which is the most widely implemented in industrial application due to its robustness, simple design and low cost [31]. The conventional lead-lag controller was chosen because of its simple design and it can easily satisfy the requirement of implementation in practice. The transfer function of the considered POD can be expressed by (4).

\[
POD_{out} = K_{pod} \left( \frac{ST_r}{1 + ST_r} \right) \left( \frac{1 + ST_i}{1 + ST_i} \right) POD_{in} \tag{4}
\]

The input signal to the POD is the active power deviation between the measured tie-line active power and the steady state value. The generated signal is passed to a stabiliser gain \(K_{pod}\) which is used to determine the amount of damping introduced by the POD. A washout filter has a time constant \(T_w\) (s) that only allows a certain range of frequency to pass unchanged and eliminate steady state variations. A second-order lead-lag compensator with time constants \(T_r - T_i\) (s) is used to create the required phase compensation between the input and the output signals. In addition, a POD limiter is used to ensure that the output signal is under the control limits, which has been taken as ±15% of nominal power in our conducted studies.

In order to enhance the damping of the poorly damped low-frequency power oscillations, critical oscillatory modes have to be modified by the POD. This can be done by choosing optimal parameters for \(T_r - T_i\) and \(K_{pod}\) to guarantee optimal POD performance.

Various methods could be used to obtain optimal settings of POD parameters. In this work, the particle swarm optimization (PSO) algorithm is used to search the optimal parameters of the proposed POD controller based on time-domain simulation of the developed nonlinear DFIG-WT and grid models. PSO is a widely used optimization method in power systems [32, 33]. As the power system oscillations are reflected in the deviations in the transferred active power between the two areas of the test system, the PSO is used to minimize active power deviation between the measured tie-line active power and the steady state value. The optimal parameters of the POD are given in (2).

\[
POD_{out} = 0.06 \left( \frac{10S}{1 + 0.4427S} \right) \left( \frac{1 + 0.4427S}{1 + 0.2827S} \right) \left( \frac{1 + 0.2827S}{1 + 0.17478S} \right) POD_{in} \tag{2}
\]

These parameters are obtained under a steady wind speed condition of 14 m/s with an export of about 10.4 MW from area 1 to area 2.

### IV. SIMULATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The time-domain simulation is based on the grid-connected DFIG-WT model developed in Section II to evaluate the performance of POD controller and its effects on the WT structural parts such as blades, tower, and drivetrain. The simulation results are obtained with a steady (14 m/s) and variable (covers above and below rated value) wind speed scenarios. The results prior to 50 seconds are omitted to allow the starting up of the wind turbine model.

#### A. POD controller performance

To evaluate the POD controller performance, a grid disturbance, which can lead to power oscillation between the two areas, is applied on machine G3 under the following operating conditions: wind speed of 14 m/s, export of 10.4 MW from area 1 to area 2. The grid-connected DFIG-WT model is injected with a step-voltage on generator G3 in Fig. 1. The voltage increases temporarily for 300 ms from 1 pu to 1.05 pu at 60s leading to a power system oscillation of 0.6 Hz on the tie line between area 1 and area 2 as shown in Fig. 4 (a) where the power system oscillations of the two cases (without and with POD) are plotted. In the case without POD, the WT maintains its rated output power at 5 MW during the entire simulation period as shown in Fig. 4 (b). However, in the POD control case, the active power output is modulated by the POD via the active power control loop. The difference in generated active power between the two cases (without and with POD) occurs only when the POD controller starts to damp the power transfer oscillation between the two areas at 60 s as shown in Fig. 4 (b).

![Fig. 4 (a): Power system oscillation with and without POD control. (b): Active power output of the WT with and without POD control.](image_url)
The time-domain simulation clearly shows that the inter-area power oscillation can be damped quickly if the DFIG-WT is equipped with the proposed POD as shown by the red dashed line in Fig. 4 (a). This shows the effectiveness of the designed POD controller in damping out the inter-area power oscillation. The power system oscillation reaches steady state value in less than 10 s. Moreover, it can be observed that the amount of injected energy during the active time of POD controller is almost zero as the kinetic energy from DFIG-WT rotating mass is utilized as storage from which damping power is derived. This indicates that the operator of the WT with POD control will not lose energy and hence revenue during the operational time of the POD controller.

B. Effects of POD on the WT’s mechanical performance with steady wind speed

In this section, the effects of POD on the WT generator, blades, tower, and drivetrain performances are evaluated under the following operating conditions: wind speed of 14 m/s, export of 10.4 MW from area 1 to area 2, and a temporary voltage step-up of 0.5% at 60 s for 300 ms at the terminal of G3. Fig. 5 shows the transient response of NREL 5-MW WT model without and with POD control. As can be seen in Fig. 5 (a), the generator speed is maintained at its rated value when there is no POD controller. To damp power oscillation, the NREL model decreases its output power at the beginning of the power oscillation leading to a rapid decrease in the generator torque, and thus, the generator speed increases, where the pitch controller tries to keep that constant. The change in generator torque is shown in Fig. 5 (c) which only starts to vary after 60 s when the POD controller is activated to damp power oscillation. Moreover, as the WT is operating in a wind speed region above the rated wind speed, the pitch angle is activated with 8.1 deg to maintain the generator speed at its rated value as shown in Fig. 5 (b). The pitch angle increases at 60 s to control the increase in rotor speed only in the case with POD control.

After the power oscillation is damped, the control schemes of the DFIG operate as normal and the generator speed, pitch angle and generator torque reach their steady-state operation point in about 10 s. It is worth mentioning that the generator speed fluctuates around the rated speed as the generator rotational speed is dictated by the overall system dynamics including the effects of aerodynamic torque, blade vibrations, hub inertia, generator inertia, and drivetrain dynamics.

The blade 1 flapwise and edgewise tips deflections along with tower fore-aft and side-to-side displacements are shown in Fig. 6 for the two cases with and without POD control. It can be observed that the blade 1 flapwise and edgewise tip deflections vibrate at 0.2 Hz which is known as 1P frequency as shown in Fig. 6 (a, b). The 0.2 Hz frequency component is due to the rotation of the turbine blades at a speed of 12.1 rpm. A similar kind of vibration is expected to be found on blades 2 and 3. Therefore, the effects of three blades vibrations produce a periodic reduction in mechanical torque at a frequency of 0.6 Hz called the 3P frequency. From Fig. 6 (a, b), it can be observed that the POD has a negligible effect on the blade 1 edgewise tip deflections. However, the blade 1 flapwise tip deflection is affected slightly for a short period after the POD controller is activated. The sudden changes in the generator electromagnetic torque can therefore slightly excite the blades flapwise tip deflections.

![Fig. 5 Dynamic response of WT (a) rotor speed in rpm, (b) pitch angle in deg, (c) generator torque in kN.m.](image)

![Fig. 6 WT blade 1 flapwise & edgewise tip deflections and tower fore-aft & side-to-side displacements with and without POD control.](image)
The simulation results also show the tower is oscillating at two similar frequencies of 0.272 Hz for fore-aft and side-to-side as shown in Fig. 6 (c, d). The tower vibration frequencies are caused by WT aerodynamics and blade pitching in combination with generator torque variation and inertia. Fig. 6 (c, d) shows that these frequencies can marginally be excited by the electrical side of the DFIG-WT. The POD control can have some but insignificant effects on both fore-aft and side-to-side displacements of the tower. Although the tower fore-aft vibration experiences larger amplitude than tower side-to-side, it is damped faster. The (insignificant) side-to-side vibration persists for the entire simulation time with the same magnitude and frequency.

From Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 it can be concluded that the POD can have some minor effects on the mechanical behaviour of WT. The rapid change in generator torque can develop a considerable generator speed variation, which in turn causes mechanical vibrations on the turbine blades and tower.

The Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) method is applied to generator speed, flapwise and edgewise tips deflections, fore-aft and side-to-side displacements, to analyse their spectrums as shown in Fig. 7. The frequency domain analysis of the generator speed reveals that there are several frequencies associated with the generator speed as shown in Fig. 7 (a). Some frequency components come from other parts of the WT structure. The frequency of 0.27 Hz is due to tower fore-aft and side-to-side frequency, which has been identified previously. The frequency of 0.6 Hz is due to the effects of three blades frequency (3P). Other frequency components are harmonic of rotating speed (6P, 9P etc). Equipping the WT with a POD controller can excite some of the existing natural frequencies. The first frequency of the generator speed is slightly excited. The frequency domain analysis also shows the natural frequencies of the turbine blade 1 which comes from 1P frequency which was identified previously and its harmonics (2P, 3P, etc). The WT blades flapwise tip deflections are slightly excited whereas the blades edgewise tip deflections have not been changed or excited by the POD controller as shown in Fig. 7 (b, c). The tower fore-aft and side-to-side natural frequencies have been slightly affected by the POD controller as shown in Fig. 7 (d, e). The magnitude of the first tower frequencies in the fore-aft and side-to-side planes are slightly larger when the WT is equipped with POD controller.

Fig. 8 shows the drivetrain acceleration, twist angle of the shaft and frequency domain analysis of drivetrain acceleration for the cases with and without POD control. The drivetrain acceleration shows how POD control may excite the drivetrain vibration. During normal operation without POD controller, the acceleration of drivetrain fluctuates around zero. However, as soon as the POD is activated, the acceleration of the drivetrain increases to about 2 deg/sec² as shown in Fig. 8 (a). The frequency domain analysis of the drivetrain acceleration reveals that there are several frequencies associated with the drivetrain acceleration as shown in Fig. 8 (b). The frequency components of drivetrain acceleration largely agreed with the frequency components of generator speed shown in Fig. 7.

![Fig. 7 Frequency domain analysis of the WT](image1)

![Fig. 8 (a) Drivetrain acceleration and (b) frequency domain analysis of drivetrain acceleration for the two cases (with and without POD).](image2)

C. Effects of POD on the WT’s mechanical performance with variable wind speed.

Variable wind speed with a mean value of 12 m/s and standard IEC type B turbulence characteristic is used within this section to evaluate the effect of the POD on the WT structural system as shown in Fig. 9 (a). Area 1 is exporting about 10.4 MW to area 2, and a temporary voltage step-up of 0.5% at 60 s for 300 ms at the terminal of G3. The variable wind speed is generated by stochastic, full-field, turbulent-wind simulator Turbsim [34]. At 60 s, the generator torque starts to fluctuate as a result of the change in the WT output power when the POD controller is activated to dampen power oscillation as shown in Fig. 9 (c). The generator torque decreased from 38 kN.m to 35 kN.m in the first cycle, which is started at 60 s when the POD is activated. For the second cycle, the generator torque increased to about 44 kN.m from 35 kN.m. These variations only last for about 5 s after the POD activation. Similar generator torque changes are observed due to the change in the wind speed such as the change in the generator torque at 80 s from 32 kN.m to about 40 kN.m as shown in Fig. 9 (c). The corresponding pitch angle response is shown in Fig. 9 (b).
The blade 1 tip deflections along with tower fore-aft and side-to-side displacements are shown in Fig. 10 for the cases with and without POD control. From Fig. 10 (a), it can be observed that the POD has a negligible effect on the blade 1 flapwise tip deflection when compared to the steady wind speed case. This is because of the changes caused by the wind speed variation are larger than that are caused by the POD. However, similar results to the steady wind speed case have been obtained for the edgewise tip deflections of the turbine blades as the POD has a negligible effect on edgewise tip deflections as shown in Fig. 10 (b). Fig. 10 (c, d) shows the tower fore-aft and side-to-side displacements with and without POD with variable wind speed. Consistent with the steady wind speed results, the POD control has some but insignificant effects on both fore-aft and side-to-side displacements of the tower. The tower fore-aft vibration experiences slightly larger vibration amplitude than tower side-to-side, whereas the fore-aft vibrations are damped faster.

The effect of POD control on the drivetrain with variable wind speed is shown in Fig. 11. The drivetrain acceleration changes due to the POD action are shown in Fig. 11 at around 60 s is about 2 deg/s² as compared to 1 deg/s² in the case without POD. At 78 s, the drivetrain acceleration rises to 3 deg/s² due to the change in the wind speed. The drivetrain acceleration changes following the activation of the POD are therefore similar or less than that caused by wind variations. The changes in the magnitude of the drivetrain acceleration that is caused by POD are less than that caused by the wind speed variations.

In general, the simulation results under the variable wind speed show that the POD control has some effects on the WT structural system, but the scale of the observed changes are comparable or less significant as those caused by wind speed variations that WT's encounter daily.

D. Effects of three-phase short circuit on the WT’s mechanical performance.

A self-clearing three-phase short circuit fault at the terminal of the DFIG-WT was applied for 140 ms at t = 40 s. The terminal voltage of the WT sags to about 0.15 pu during the fault and then recovers to normal voltage level as shown in Fig. 12 (a). The transient voltage dip reduces momentarily the generator output power leading to the instant acceleration of the generator and rotor speed and hence the immediate dynamic corrective actions from the torque and pitch controllers to stabilise the WT to the pre-disturbance operating condition. It is important to note that the maximum change in the generator speed under this transient fault condition is about 10 times that caused by POD for the same wind speed (i.e. 14 m/s), as shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 12.
Similar transient changes are observed in flapwise tip deflections of the WT, tower fore-aft displacements, and drivetrain acceleration and all of these transient changes are considerably larger than that caused by the POD as shown in Fig. 13. As the drivetrain rotational flexibility is highly influenced by the differences between mechanical and electrical torques, the fault creates large fluctuations in the drivetrain twisting angle and acceleration for about 20 s as shown in Fig. 13 (c). The scale of these fluctuations is about 20 times higher than that caused by the POD. The results of three-phase short circuit analysis show that the effects of three-phase short circuit fault have on the DFIG-WT structural system are much higher than those caused by the POD control as shown in Fig. 6.

Fig. 12 DFIG-WT (a) terminal voltage, (b) pitch angle, (c) generator speed and (d) generator power during steady state condition and a three-phase short circuit.

In addition, the inherent vibration frequencies of the WT structural system were identified and compared with those arising from additional POD interactions. The results showed that the POD slightly excited some of the natural frequencies of the WT structural system. For instance, the first drivetrain frequency could be excited by POD implementation but its magnitude and duration appears to be insignificant. In general, the simulation results indicated that the effects of POD control on the WT structural system are comparable or less significant than those caused by wind speed variations that WT's encountered daily. Moreover, the effects of a three-phase short circuit fault on the WT structural system are much larger than those caused by the POD control. In a similar way, the obtained results within this paper could be applied to offshore PMSG wind turbines, but this will be further investigated.

The results within this paper are obtained with wind speed around the rated value and low-damping power oscillation, which are the worst-case scenarios. In practice, the effects of the POD on the DFIG-WT system would therefore be expected to be lower than that observed in this study.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, the effects of POD controller on the WT structural system were simulated using a detailed model that combines electrical, structural and aerodynamic characteristics of a grid-connected utility-scale wind turbine. The POD control for active power modulation was designed and tuned by the widely adopted PSO algorithm. The results showed that incorporating DFIG-WT with a POD controller within the active power control loop can have effective damping on the power system oscillations. However, the POD controller also appeared to have a small influence on the WT structural system. This was arising from the POD induced changes on the generator electromagnetic torque which in turn influenced the WT drivetrain dynamics and hence the blades and the tower of the WT.

The results within this paper are obtained with wind speed around the rated value and low-damping power oscillation, which are the worst-case scenarios. In practice, the effects of the POD on the DFIG-WT system would therefore be expected to be lower than that observed in this study.
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