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Abstract

This paper reports a pilot study for a student response system used in an English school. The technology used is the “Wireless Response System” SRS developed at Huddersfield University, and the learning activities were conducted in Mathematics and English classes.

The results of the study in the school were successful, evidenced by the data collected, and the children and teachers were interested in using it. We conclude that the SRS can assist teachers in classroom teaching at primary school level, especially in the observations of engagement and effectiveness of students’ learning.

1. Introduction

A Student Response System – SRS – is popular in educational communities, especially in Northern American and European universities where it has been in use for more than 20 years [1-18]. Anthony et al. used SRS to evaluate students’ perceptions of the Socratic application [6], Suzanne et al. addressed the learning effectiveness of SRS [7], and Nicholas et al. discuss student engagement when using an SRS [8]. Melody’s article argued about the advantages and disadvantages of using SRS [9], and Grez et al. talked about using SRS to improve the presentation skills [10]. George provided some interesting facts relating to teaching students how to think [11], and his article could be a good inspiration for using SRS to improve teaching. It follows that although some arguments for using or not using the system are still the subject of debate – e.g. commercial products are not cost effective, devices are not flexible, networks are not reliable, there are some barriers to staff familiarity with the technologies – the contributions to the higher educational institutes are generally acceptable [5].

Unlike traditional use of SRS in higher education, this paper reports an empirical study of using SRS in an English primary school. The children involved were from age 7 to 11 years old, reading in key stage 2 (KS2), and at the end of KS2 the children take an academic assessment (SATs) in English and Mathematics. For English, the spelling, punctuation and grammar (so called “SPaG”), comprehension form one of children’s main learning tasks. For both subjects, teachers follow the requirements of national curriculum.

2. Technology employed

The project was carried out in the Linthwaite Clough Junior Infant and Early Years School, in Huddersfield in the UK. Several groups of pupils, including one consisting of academically able children, were organised to join the project. Using the SRS for Mathematics learning was arranged as the first activity, and then learning SPaG as the second.

The technology used is a system developed in Huddersfield University. The system has been supported by four EU grants since 2009 and has
been used in more than 10 EU universities, colleges and industrial companies. As it is a research project, the detailed technical development has been reported to the funders and academic outcomes have been published in international journals and conferences [12-16].

To support the school to conduct the project, the University provided several handheld devices to the school. The teachers also used their own devices, including netbooks. For the trial, the University provided free software for both staff and pupils to use.

3. Data collection

The data were collected from the two activities, using the SRS for students learning Mathematics and English.

3.1. Data collected from learning Mathematics

Several sessions were conducted by the teachers. Figure 1 shows the four children who attended the activities, and a session which used a multiple choice question, where once the question is initiated by the teacher, three children answered ‘C’, and one answered ‘D’. The options are from A to D, and no child selected ‘B’ or ‘D’.

![Figure 1. Learning mathematics using WRS – case one](image)

Figure 1. Learning mathematics using WRS – case one

Figure 2 shows a different distribution of children’s answers, for a different question.

![Figure 2. Learning mathematics using WRS – case two](image)

Figure 2. Learning mathematics using WRS – case two

Numeracy is part of children’s learning components at key stage 2. Figure 3 illustrates numeric answers input by the children – in this case, all the children agreed that 180 should be the answer of the given question.
3.2. Data collected from learning English

Learning English was the second activity, and the following evidences how SPaG learning is delivered in the classroom using the SRS.

Figure 4 shows that two children answered the question perfectly and were awarded a mark of 100. Two children input the answers different to the required answer and received a mark of zero.

Evidence for learning spelling and grammar is shown in Figures 5 and 6. The children entering the correct answer were awarded a mark of 100.

The first answer is wrong because the input should not have been capitalised.
4. Discussion

Generally speaking, the technology used in the school was successful as reported from the teachers [19]. With the teacher’s guidance, the children are interested in using it to acquire the knowledge required for numeracy and literacy.

The activities can assist teachers to observe learning behaviour in a measurable way, as shown in the marked results in Figures 4 and 5, and shows that the teacher can immediately identify who answered questions correctly and who answered wrongly (see Figures 1 to 5).

Pedagogically, the learning model in the school is teacher oriented and kids are listening to the teachers. Thus, the pupils found it relatively easy to engage in the activities, while in universities, learners are relatively independent, thus, the engagement issue is always a challenge, as discussed by Nicholas et al [8]. Meanwhile, the learning effectiveness has been observed as the results can be viewed at the time of the running the class. The children may receive the necessary support in time if they have not understood the lesson. This point agrees with Suzanne et al. [7].

The technology, to some extent, may help teachers to teach based on the capabilities of children, see Figures 3 and 5. Thus, the children’s great potential can be observed because the evidence has shown that one group of pupils always performed well with given questions. This group of children can be identified as able children. Meanwhile, the children who need additional help can be identified as well, according to the subject that they need the help with, e.g. is it fraction in Mathematics or spelling, punctuation or grammar in English?

However, the project is a pilot study. The system is still not perfect and needs further improvement in terms of data visualisation and integration of data analytic tools.

5. Conclusion

The pilot trials of SRS conducted in the English School were well organised and coherent, which resulted in a successful outcome. We can therefore draw the following conclusions.

1. The learning effectiveness of using the SRS has been observed in the classroom and potential bright pupils could be identified easily.
2. The engagement of pupils has worked well, although the learning models for children are different from those for adults.
3. The SRS needs further research to move forward, especially for data visualization and data analytic tool integration.
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