

**Manuscript version: Author's Accepted Manuscript**

The version presented in WRAP is the author's accepted manuscript and may differ from the published version or Version of Record.

**Persistent WRAP URL:**

<http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/154696>

**How to cite:**

Please refer to published version for the most recent bibliographic citation information.

**Copyright and reuse:**

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work by researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions.

Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRAP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

**Publisher's statement:**

Please refer to the repository item page, publisher's statement section, for further information.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: [wrap@warwick.ac.uk](mailto:wrap@warwick.ac.uk).

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

## **Changes in the expression of photoperiodic bulbing genes in response to increasing daylength in Long-day and Short-day onion varieties.**

Wei Cheng<sup>1,3</sup>, Md. Harun Ar Rashid<sup>2</sup> and Brian Thomas<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Life Sciences, University of Warwick, CV4 7AL, UK

<sup>2</sup>Department of Horticulture, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh.

<sup>3</sup>Current address: Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Wellcome Trust Genome Campus, Hinxton, Saffron Walden, CB10 1RQ, UK

### **ABSTRACT**

Onion bulb initiation is photoperiod-dependent. Understanding this is crucial for adapting new varieties for growth at different latitudes as well as aiding germplasm screening for choice of current varieties. This study aims to gain further understanding of the molecular mechanisms involved in onion bulbing process based on the parallels with well characterised functional clock genes in the Arabidopsis flowering pathway. A comprehensive set of diurnal quantitative expression experiments was carried out to investigate the bulbing response in two different onion varieties, namely Renate, a long-day variety and Hojem, a short-day variety under increasing intermediate day-lengths. All onion homologous to Arabidopsis flowering time genes showed clear diurnal expression patterns peaking at different times of the day for both long/short-day onions, indicating their role in daylength dependent bulbing process at molecular level. Under intermediate daylengths, *AcFT1* expression level increased with daylengths in both varieties, while *AcFT4* was expressed in all daylengths. The two genes showed complementary expression with *AcFT4* peaking in the morning and *AcFT1* in the evening in longer days. The results indicate that *AcFT1* and *AcFT4* are negatively co-regulated, but *AcFT1* is the predominant regulator of bulb formation in response to daylength.

Keywords. Onion, bulbing, daylength, *FT* genes, *Alliums*, photoperiod.

\* Corresponding author.

# 1 INTRODUCTION

2

3 The onion (*Allium cepa* L.) is a monocotyledonous flowering plant belonging to genus *Allium* (USDA  
4 Plant Database, 2019). The genus comprises over 700 species including garlic (*A. sativum* and *A.*  
5 *scordoprasum*), shallot (*A. ascalonicum*), leek (*A. porrum*), or chive (*A. schoenoprasum*). Onions  
6 belong to the section *cepa* (Brewster, 2008). Commercial common onion varieties are cultivated under  
7 a worldwide geographic range from temperate to tropical regions, with the production being a major  
8 source of income for rural families selling their produce in local, regional and international markets.  
9 According to the statistics from FAO (2019), present world production of dry onion is about 93.2  
10 million tons of bulbs per annum, ranking the second important vegetable only exceeded by tomatoes  
11 in the light of global weight produced.

12

13 Onion is a biennial crop which only flowers after satisfying the vernalisation requirement. In the first-  
14 year spring after seed germinates, onion sends up leaves alternatively from a small flattened stem (base  
15 plate) from out to in, and photosynthesize to produce energy as the plant grows. Each leaf is composed  
16 of a photosynthetic leaf blade and a non-photosynthetic storage leaf base. During growth, base of  
17 leaves begins to swell, leaf scales thicken and form the character of bulb as central storage tissue. Bulb  
18 continues developing to its full expansion and being harvested for food in autumn (Lancaster et al.,  
19 1996).

20

21 The bulb initiation process in onion is a photoperiodic event along with other plant developmental  
22 changes such as flowering, tuberization, bud set, and many other responses that are triggered by the  
23 duration of illumination or pattern of light/dark cycles (Magruder and Allard, 1937; Heath, 1945; Kato,  
24 1964; Lancaster et al., 1996). The critical daylength (CDL) is the point at which the photoperiod  
25 switches from being noninductive to inductive, which means initiation of a bulb can only be triggered  
26 once the CDL is reached or exceeded for the onion plant. Onion varieties are divided into ‘short day’  
27 (SD, 10-12h/day), ‘long day’ (LD, >14h/day) and ‘intermediate’ (ID, 12-14h/day) by growers based  
28 on their CDL requirements.

29

30 At the molecular and genetic level, the photoperiodism of onion bulbing process has been compared  
31 with well characterized *Arabidopsis thaliana* flowering process. *Arabidopsis* is a facultative long-day  
32 plant that flowers only after being exposed to light periods longer than a CDL. In this model, leaf-to-  
33 apex communication initiates flowering in response to photoperiod (Navarro et al., 2011; Abelenda et  
34 al., 2014). The isolation of *Arabidopsis* mutants that had a compromised flowering response led to the

1 identification of the *GIGANTEA (GI)*, *FLAVIN KELCH F BOX 1 (FKF1)*, *CONSTANS (CO)* and  
2 *FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT)* genes, which all have a role in the photoperiod pathway. Plants are able  
3 to measure time by means of a circadian clock, an endogenous timekeeping mechanism controlled by  
4 various feedback loops (Jackson, 2009). In the leaf, light is perceived by different photoreceptors  
5 (cryptochromes in blue light and phytochromes in red/far-red light), which transmit light signals into  
6 the circadian clock. The clock drives the rhythmic expression of some of the key elements (*FKF1* and  
7 *GI*) (Fowler et al. 1999, Park et al., 1999, Nelson et al. 2000) and forms a *FKF1-GI* complex (Sawa et  
8 al., 2007). The complex regulates flower promoter *CO* (zinc-finger protein) transcription (Putterill et  
9 al., 1995) through the degradation of *CYCLING DOF FACTOR 1 (CDF1)*, a repressor of *CO*  
10 (Imaizumi et al. 2005, Sawa et al. 2007). Under long-days, the activated *CO* transcription will trigger  
11 the expression of floral integrating genes *FT (Flowering Locus T)*, *SOC1 (SUPPRESSOR OF*  
12 *OVEREXPRESSION OF CONSTANS 1)* and *TSF (TWIN SISTER OF FT)*. The RAF kinase inhibitor–  
13 like *FT* protein is afterwards translocated to the apical meristem and activates other genes which trigger  
14 the expression of *LEAFY (LFY)*, finally leading to floral initiation in Arabidopsis (Massiah, 2007;  
15 Nakamichi, 2011; Golembeski and Imaizumi, 2015). However, if the plant is grown in the dark, *COPI*  
16 (*CONSTITUTIVE PHOTOMORPHOGENIC1*) and *SPA1 (SUPPRESSOR OF PHYA-105)* proteins  
17 promote the degradation of the *CO* protein (Laubinger et al., 2006; Jang et al., 2008), leading to a delay  
18 or failure in flowering under short daylengths.

19

20 Although the mechanism underlying daylength-dependent bulbing in onion is not yet elucidated, there  
21 is good reason to use Arabidopsis flowering as a model. In both species, perception of daylength is in  
22 the leaves and the site of response is the apical meristem, which for Arabidopsis is in the shoot apex,  
23 whereas the meristem in onion is basal, which is where the bulb forms (Summerfield, 1991). Both  
24 processes therefore require a mobile signal to pass from the leaf to the apex. In Arabidopsis, this is *FT*,  
25 which is expressed under the control of *CO* in light at the latter part of the day. Studies have identified  
26 genes from Arabidopsis flowering pathway that are conserved in onion, including *AcFKF1* and *AcGI*  
27 (Taylor et al., 2010), six members of *AcFT* family (Lee et al., 2013), and three *CO-like (AcCOL)* genes  
28 (Rashid and Thomas, 2020). *AcGI* and *AcFKF1* were confirmed with the identity of their Arabidopsis  
29 homologs, with diurnal expression patterns similar to their Arabidopsis counterparts (Taylor et al.,  
30 2010); A new onion *CO* gene, *AcCOL2* was shown to be the only member of the *AcCOL* family that  
31 showed a diurnal pattern of expression similar to Arabidopsis *CO* (Rashid and Thomas, 2020). Two  
32 *AcFTs*, *AcFT1* and *AcFT4*, are bulbing regulators, acting as promoter and inhibitor respectively, and  
33 present different diurnal expression patterns peaking at different time of the day (Lee et al., 2013).  
34 Further studies also focused on the different daylength response in long-day and short-day onion

1 varieties. (Rashid and Thomas, 2020) compared diurnal expression in short-day onion type *Hojem*  
2 and long-day onion type *Renate* under 8h and 16h of daylengths and found that *AcFT1* was expressed  
3 in bulbing tissues at 16 h whereas *AcFT4* was more highly expressed in 8 h non – bulbing tissues. They  
4 also have shown that *AcFT 1* and *AcFT4* are expressed in the leaf but not in the bulbing tissues (Rashid  
5 et al. 2019) Although not conclusive, these studies indicate that a CO/FT mechanism based on  
6 daylength regulation of flowering in the model plant *Arabidopsis*, may be responsible for daylength  
7 regulation of onion bulbing with the added complexity of FT genes with opposite effects.

8 Onions are characterised by diversity in their CDL, depending on their geographical distribution. This  
9 raises the question of whether the CDL, as defined by the response of onion to increasing daylength,  
10 is determined by an increase in the promoter (*AcFT1*) or decrease in the inhibitor (*AcFT4*). The Rashid  
11 and Thomas (2020) paper compared expression in 16 h and 8 h treatments. However, these treatments  
12 would give the same bulbing responses in both varieties (bulbing under 16h and non-bulbing under  
13 8h). To address the question, the experiments in this study were carried out over a range of intermediate  
14 daylengths (10-14h) where differential bulbing responses between the varieties are observed (*Renate*  
15 bulbing at  $\geq 14h$ , *Hojem* bulbing at  $>10-12h$ ). By looking at the differences between diurnal expression  
16 profiles of *AcFT1* and *AcFT4* in increasing daylengths, the relative contributions of promoter and  
17 inhibitor can be compared.

## 19 MATERIAL AND METHODS

20  
21 The investigation was carried out at the School of Life Sciences and PhytoBiology Facility (PBF), the  
22 University of Warwick, UK, during the period from May 2016 to November 2017.

### 24 *Plant material*

25  
26 Two onion (*Allium cepa* L.) varieties with different daylength responses were used: a long-day onion  
27 variety “*Renate*”, seeds obtained from the seed company (Elsoms Seeds Ltd., Spalding, UK); and a  
28 short-day variety “*Hojem*”, seeds obtained from VeGIN, UK (Vegetable Genetic Improvement  
29 Network Project Diversity Set).

### 31 *Experimental treatments*

32

1 All plants were grown under the operation of a central monitoring system, which monitors and logs  
 2 temperature and humidity in rooms and cabinets. Carbon dioxide content and light were also controlled  
 3 during plant growth (Table 2-1).

5 *Table 0-1 General conditions for growth compartment*

Conditions	PBF Grodome Compartment	PBF Sanyo 2279 Cabinets
Humidity Range	70%	60%
Day/Night temperature (°C)	20/18	22/18
Light	Daylight plus supplementary 400 W SONT lamps	Fluorescent + Tungsten lamps
CO <sub>2</sub> Injection	None / ambient	Maintained at ambient
Irrigation	Manual	Manual

6  
 7 During the seedling stage, *Renate* plants were grown in a glasshouse of PBF Grodome Compartment  
 8 with natural daylength condition during the period from 18th March to 25th May 2016 when the  
 9 daylight was 12h 3min to 16h 12min, respectively. At 69d from sowing when bulb initiation was  
 10 expected, the plants were separated randomly (using Completely Randomised Design) into three  
 11 groups and transferred to three SANYO 2297 controlled environmental cabinets with the daylength  
 12 treatments of 10h, 12h and 14h, respectively, with fluorescent lamps supplemented by incandescent  
 13 lamps. The beginning of photoperiod was set at 8:00am (ZT0) for all cabinets, and lasted for 10h, 12h  
 14 and 14h for each cabinet.

15  
 16 Sampling was conducted 14 days after transfer to the differential daylength treatment, to allow plants  
 17 to become acclimatized to the experimental environment. Harvesting of a 1 cm section from the mid-  
 18 point of the second newly expanded leaf was scheduled at 3h intervals starting from 8:00am (ZT0),  
 19 and covered two consecutive days. Six timepoints were set for sampling from ZT0 to ZT15 for each  
 20 day, and three plants were selected randomly from each cabinet (using Completely Randomised  
 21 Design) as biological replicates.

23 *Table 0-2 Sampling timepoints for Renate plants. Clear cells without shades indicate illuminated parts of a day, Lt Trellis shades indicate*  
 24 *dark period. Six samplings each day for consecutive two days, from ZT0 to ZT15 at 3 hours intervals.*

Sampling time	Sampling timepoints for the 1 <sup>st</sup> day	Sampling timepoints for the 2 <sup>nd</sup> day
---------------	---	---

		8:00	11:00	14:00	17:00	20:00	23:00	8:00	11:00	14:00	17:00	20:00	23:00
ZT time		ZT0	ZT3	ZT6	ZT9	ZT12	ZT15	ZT24	ZT27	ZT30	ZT33	ZT36	ZT39
Number of plants harvested & pooled	10h	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
	12h	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
	14h	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3

1

2

3 For the SD variety *Hojem*, a similar experimental design was employed. After germination, *Hojem*  
4 seedlings were kept in PBF Grodome Compartment with natural daylength conditions from  
5 22/06/2017 to 03/08/2017 when daylengths were 16h 48min to 15h 24min, respectively. At 69 days  
6 from sowing when bulbing had been initiated, plants were separated and transferred into three  
7 controlled SANYO cabinets with the same settings as used for the *Renate* experiment, providing 10h,  
8 12h and 14h of intermediate daylengths.

9

10 *Hojem* plants were also kept for 14 days in the cabinets for adapting to the provided daylengths before  
11 harvesting for molecular analysis. Similar sampling timepoints of that of *Renate* were conducted  
12 except for one additional timepoint at ZT21 for each day (Table 2-3).

13

14 *Table 0-3 Sampling timepoints for Hojem plants. Red shades indicate illuminated parts of a day, grey shades indicate dark period. Seven*  
15  *samplings each day for consecutive two days, from ZT21 to ZT15 at 3 hours intervals.*

Sampling time		Sampling timepoints for the 1 <sup>st</sup> day						Sampling timepoints for the 2 <sup>nd</sup> day							
		5:00	8:00	11:00	14:00	17:00	20:00	23:00	5:00	8:00	11:00	14:00	17:00	20:00	23:00
ZT time		ZT21	ZT0	ZT3	ZT6	ZT9	ZT12	ZT15	ZT21	ZT24	ZT27	ZT30	ZT33	ZT36	ZT39
Number of plants harvested & pooled	10h	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
	12h	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
	14h	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3

16

17

18 *RNA extraction, DNase treatment and first strand cDNA synthesis*

19

20 Total RNA was extracted from onion leaf material from specific growth conditions using the Z6 buffer  
21 method, following the manufacturer's (Roche manufacturing Ltd., Republic of Ireland) guidelines.  
22 Approximately 100 mg of frozen plant tissue was homogenised using a pestle and mortar. Followed  
23 by further grinding using a Dremel drill in liquid nitrogen. In this step, Z6 buffer reagent and  $\beta$ -

1 Mercaptoethanol were added in order to remove RNase. Two extra reagents, 3M sodium acetate  
2 (NaOAc) and 7.5M lithium chloride, which removes carbohydrates and polysaccharides, respectively,  
3 were included to obtain high quality RNA. After isolation, the quality and quantity of total RNA was  
4 measured with the Thermo Scientific NanoDrop™ 1000 Spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies,  
5 Inc., USA).

6  
7 Samples were then DNase treated using TURBO DNA-free™ (Ambion Inc, Cat. No. AM1907) in  
8 order to eliminate the genomic DNA contamination, procedures following the manufacturer's  
9 guidelines. A PCR was set up to check for genomic DNA contamination using primers for *ALLIINASE*  
10 gene and visualized on RNA gel electrophoresis.

11  
12 First-strand cDNA was synthesised using 2µg total RNA with ThermoScript™ Reverse transcription  
13 polymerase chain reaction System (Invitrogen by Life Technologies, Cat. No. 11146-016) following  
14 the manufacturer's guidelines. The primer used for this procedure was oligo<sup>(dT)</sup>. All samples were  
15 treated with RNase H.

#### 16 17 *qRT-PCR*

18  
19 After the first strand cDNA was obtained, the expression of reference and candidate genes was  
20 analysed by Real-time PCR quantification using the CFX384 Touch™ Real-time PCR machine from  
21 BioRad (Bio-Rad Laboratories Ltd., UK). All primer pairs used were initially tested to ascertain the  
22 optimum primer and cDNA concentrations. Each reaction contained 5 µl GoTaq® qPCR Master Mix,  
23 0.5 µl of cDNA, either 0.2 or 0.3 µM of each primer, 0.2 µL fluorescein dye and SDW to make up 10  
24 µl reaction volume. Each sample was run in triplicate and the average CT value calculated. The  
25 optimisation and selection of dilution series for making standard curves were conducted. Eight  
26 reference genes were examined (*PP2A1*, *PP2AA3*, *TIP41*, *ActTUA*, *ActTUB*, *UBQ1*, *UBC9* and *UBL*).  
27 The top three with the best stability and performance would be selected and further analysed together  
28 with the target genes. After each run, the quality and other running information of PCR was checked  
29 on the software screen. The acceptable PCR efficiency (standard curve, slopes on log amplification  
30 curves) is between 90-110%, R<sup>2</sup> higher than 0.985 and the melt curve should show a unique peak  
31 indicating only one single type of expected amplicon is present (Eurogentec qPCR guide).

32



1 The Real-time data obtained were then analysed using Biogazelle qBase+ software  
2 (www.biogazelle.com) after completion of each PCR run. Three reference housekeeping genes  
3 (*PP2AA3*, *PP2A1* and *TIP41*) were achieved by using qBase+ software, on the basis of the geNorm  
4 (Vandesompele et al., 2002) and qBase technology (Hellemans et al., 2007). Forty-eight-hour averages  
5 of expression were calculated and standard errors included. Normalisation was achieved by dividing  
6 the expression of the gene of interest at a specific time-point by the expression of the mean of three  
7 reference genes at that same timepoint.

8

## 9 **RESULTS**

10

### 11 *Expression of clock genes AcGI and AcFKF1*

12

13 Average relative mRNA expression was measured for both *Renate* and *Hojem* over two light/dark  
14 cycles of daylengths of 10h, 12h and 14h using RT-PCR (Figures 1 and 2). The expression of *AcGI* in  
15 both varieties showed obvious diurnal pattern which peaks at the latter part of the day at around ZT 9  
16 and 12. The peak time moved from ZT12 to ZT9 with longer daylengths for *Renate* and from ZT9 to  
17 12 in *Hojem*.

18

19 For *AcFKF1*, both LD and SD onion varieties showed obvious diurnal expression patterns under all  
20 intermediate daylengths with transcripts peaking in the evening. For *Renate*, the expression profile  
21 showed peaks moving forward from ZT12 to ZT9 with the increase of the daylengths. For *Hojem*, the  
22 peak time remained at ZT9 in all daylengths.

23

24

### 25 *Expression of AcCOL2*

26

27 *AcCOL2* showed a similar diurnal expression pattern under all intermediate daylengths in both *Renate*  
28 and *Hojem* (Figure 3). A clear pattern of increasing to the highest point at the end of a day can be  
29 observed. Both varieties showed low *AcCOL2* expression level during the day from ZT0 to ZT9, and  
30 began to increase around ZT9-ZT12. Under 10h and 12h of daylight, *AcCOL2* expression peaks in the  
31 darkness, whereas under 14h peaks towards the end of the day, for both varieties.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

### *Expression of AcFT1 and AcFT4*

Previous study Rashid (2020) found *AcFT1* had no expression under 8h but a distinct diurnal pattern if under 16h for both *Renate* and *Hojem*. This experiment confirmed and extended these results (Figure 4). The daylength response of *AcFT1* was similar in both varieties, showing higher expression levels with increased daylengths. Both showed very low expression of *AcFT1* under 10h of daylight, with a visible trend of increasing in the dark at the end of the day (ZT12). As the daylength extended, the level of transcripts increased, leading to a clear diurnal pattern, peaking at ZT12, for both varieties.

In contrast to *AcFT1*, *AcFT4* showed expression under all three daylengths, with the diurnal pattern becoming clearer with longer daylengths (Figure 5). For *Renate*, *AcFT4* had a low expression pattern under 10h, but showed clear diurnal expression, peaking in the early part of the daylight at ZT3 under 12h and 14h. For *Hojem*, the gene showed broader expression under 10h and 12h with expression in the morning and the evening. When the daylight reached 14h, a similar diurnal pattern to *Renate* with a definite morning peak was seen. Furthermore, *AcFT4* showed a reverse diurnal expression pattern to *AcFT1* under 14h, with *AcFT1* peaking at ZT12 in the evening, and *AcFT4* peaking at ZT3, shortly after dawn.

### *Expression of AcFT5 and AcFT6*

Generally, the gene *AcFT5* was expressed in all samples with no consistent diurnal pattern (Figure S1). The broad expression level was not affected by different daylengths. However, *AcFT5* began to show a distinct and repeatable diurnal pattern under 14h for both varieties, which was not seen in 10h or 12h. When daylength reached 14h, *AcFT5* appeared to have clear diurnal patterns, repeatable over both cycles, for both LD and SD varieties, though the peak time was different between varieties (ZT9 in *Renate* and ZT3 in *Hojem*).

Unlike *AcFT5* which showed no difference in expression level with different daylengths, *AcFT6* was seen to have more transcripts with longer days (Figure S2), for both varieties. For *Renate*, *AcFT6* showed very low expression under 10h with no obvious trend, but a peak at ZT3 can be seen in 12h. When the daylength reached 14h, a clear repeatable rhythm expression with an additional peak time at

1 ZT9 appeared. For *Hojem*, there was no expression of *AcFT6* in 10h plant. Under 14h, the gene showed  
2 rhythmic expression peaking at ZT6, and a lower peak at ZT12. The plants in 12h showed non-  
3 repeatable pattern but a similar peak time with 14h in the second day.

## 6 **DISCUSSION**

8 This work details the diurnal time-course expression of genes in onion that are linked to circadian  
9 regulation in Arabidopsis. All candidates, *AcGI*, *AcFKF1*, *AcCOL2*, *AcFT1* and *AcFT4*, presented  
10 clear diurnal expression patterns in both long-day and short-day varieties of onion consistent with the  
11 hypothesis that the photoperiodism genes under circadian regulation in Arabidopsis would also be  
12 under circadian regulation in onion.

14 The experimental design involved following quantitative gene expression over two diurnal cycles. The  
15 sampling pattern was limited by the capacity of the cabinets, the need for biological replication of  
16 samples and the need to sample over two cycles to confirm whether patterns were truly diurnal. Based  
17 on statistical advice, pooling samples with three technical replicates and assaying over two cycles as  
18 biological replicates was the approach we adopted. It was judged that it was more informative to have  
19 more frequent sampling points during the day than at night. Consequently, there is a longer gap during  
20 the dark period between the last sampling point of the first cycle and the first point of the second cycle.  
21 A further limitation of this study is that samples are all taken from the same 1 cm mid-section of the  
22 second recently expanded leaves. This tissue was chosen as it is likely to be exporting photosynthate  
23 to the basal bulbing tissues, which has been shown to be the route of transmission for leaf-generated  
24 FT proteins, the mobile flowering signal in Arabidopsis (Corbesier *et al.* 2007. It may not, however,  
25 be the site of maximum expression for all the genes assayed and thus quantitative comparisons of  
26 expression levels between different genes should be only be made with caution. Rashid and Thomas  
27 have looked at the spatial expression of several of these genes along the leaf (Rashid *et al.* 2019)

### 29 *Genes linked to daylength perception*

30 Photoperiodic responses can be separated into daylength perception and the consequent response of  
31 the organism. The working hypothesis is that the response to long days involves the interaction of light  
32 with CO protein, which is made when Co mRNA is expressed late in the day under the control of the  
33 circadian clock. Thus the timing perception is determined by the expression patterns of the onion  
34 homologues of *AcGI*, *AcFKF1* and *CO*. In Arabidopsis, *FKF1* peaks at around ZT10 in LD and ZT7

1 in SD (Imaizumi et al., 2003); *GI* mRNA peak levels occur around 8-10 hours after dawn (Fowler et  
2 al., 1999). In onion under intermediate daylengths, *AcFKF1* presented clear diurnal expression patterns  
3 for both *Renate* and *Hojem*. The peak time moves forward from ZT12 to ZT9 with increased  
4 daylengths in *Renate*, but in *Hojem*, was consistent at about ZT9. As these two genes work as a  
5 complex for internal controls, the shift of *AcFKF1* timing in *Renate* may be decisive in the timing of  
6 their action, causing insufficient *AcFKF1-GI* complex formed to activate *AcCOL2* transcription at the  
7 shorter daylengths. In *Hojem*, the expression of both genes peak at ZT9, at 10 and 12 hour daylengths,  
8 which may lead to earlier AcFT1 activation.

9  
10 The Arabidopsis *FKF1-GI* complex then binds to a repressor of *CO* (*CDF1*) and forms on the *CO*  
11 promoter, regulating *CO* expression. The regulation occurs in the late afternoon in LDs, leading to  
12 *CO* protein expression and eventually flowering in Arabidopsis (Sawa et al., 2007). The onion  
13 homolog *AcCOL2* showed a similar and constant diurnal expression pattern in both *Renate* and *Hojem*,  
14 peaking towards the end of the long day and slightly later into darkness in shorter daylengths. This is  
15 supportive evidence that *AcCOL2* is a candidate for being a homologue of Arabidopsis *CO* (Rashid  
16 and Thomas , 2020). There was no obvious relationship between the timing of *AcCOL2* mRNA  
17 expression and the differential response to daylengths at the intermediate daylengths. This could be  
18 because *AcCOL2* is not responsible for AcFT1 activation, either because an alternative mechanism is  
19 involved or another, as yet undiscovered, AcCOL gene is involved. However, it should be noted that  
20 *CO* has been shown to be subject to post transcriptional regulation in Arabidopsis and other species  
21 and daylength sensitivity could be established at that level.

### 22 23 *Genes linked to response.*

24 In Arabidopsis, *FT* is an early target of *CO*, where its expression is directly regulated by *CO* protein  
25 (Kobayashi et al., 1999). In Arabidopsis, LD-specific *FT* induction occurs in leaf phloem companion  
26 cells with expression around dusk in long days (Mouradov et al., 2002; Song et al., 2013). In onion,  
27 six AcFT genes (*FT1-6*) were identified by Lee et al. (2013), and bulb initiation was proposed to be  
28 regulated by upregulation of *AcFT1* along with downregulation of *AcFT4*, which were proposed as  
29 bulbing promoters and inhibitors respectively

30  
31 The previous study by Rashid et al. (2020) suggested *AcFT1* showed a diurnal pattern, being expressed  
32 in the later part of the day under 16h of long-daylength while showing no expression under 8h short-  
33 daylengths. The results presented in the current paper show *AcFT1* with a similar diurnal pattern, and  
34 also describes dynamic transcripts changes in long- and short-day varieties with increasing

1 intermediate daylengths between 10 h and 14 h. In both *Renate* and *Hojem*, *AcFT1* transcript levels  
2 increase with daylengths. There are limited transcripts at 10 h and a clear diurnal pattern can be seen  
3 only in longer daylengths. *Hojem* had higher expression and a stronger diurnal pattern than *Renate* at  
4 12 h, particularly in the first cycle. This result is supportive of *AcFT1* being responsible for the  
5 correlation of bulbing with LD conditions (Lee et al., 2013), and consistent with *Hojem*'s better  
6 bulbing response under 12h. However, as the results represent only relative levels of expression against  
7 the particular reference genes selected, it is not possible to know what level of expression is sufficient  
8 to promote bulbing. A study conducted in tropical SD conditions (Lyngkhai et al., 2019) also suggested  
9 that the *AcFT1* expression short-day onion increased more rapidly compared with long-day varieties.

10  
11 In contrast, *AcFT4* was expressed in all daylengths, suggesting it may have a less dominate role than  
12 *AcFT1* in establishing the critical daylength. With increasing daylengths, a repeatable diurnal pattern  
13 occurred earlier in *Renate* but at 14 h, both varieties showed clear rhythmic expression of *AcFT4*,  
14 peaking at dawn and with a lower peak around dusk. The complementary daily expression pattern of  
15 *AcFT1*, which peaks in the evening, and *AcFT4*, which peaks in the morning, may indicate that their  
16 expression is negatively correlated. Nevertheless, the expression of *AcFT4* in all the intermediate  
17 daylengths may suggest it has a less important role in onion bulb initiation than *AcFT1*, which showed  
18 increased expression with intermediate daylengths in both varieties. Again, some caution is needed  
19 when considering what level of relative expression is required for inhibition by *AcFT4*.

20  
21 Lee et al. (2013) identified *AcFT5* and *AcFT6* in onion but in limited studies did not identify roles for  
22 these genes. In this study, for *AcFT5* and *AcFT6*, a clearer and repeatable diurnal pattern only appears  
23 with longer daylengths for both varieties. *AcFT5* showed measurable transcripts under all daylengths,  
24 and shifted peak times in different daylengths. On contrast, *AcFT6* showed higher expression level  
25 with longer daylengths, and the peak times remained the same within variety. In addition, *AcFT6*  
26 peaked at 14h in *Renate* and earlier in the day at 12-14h in *Hojem*. Those daylengths match the varieties'  
27 daylength requirement for bulb initiation therefore *AcFT6* may have a role in determine the onion's  
28 ability to bulb under a particular daylength. Rashid *et al.* (2019) showed that *AcFT5* and *AcFT 6* had  
29 a wider tissue distribution in onion leaves, being expressed in bulbing and intermediate tissue. It may  
30 be that multiple *AcFT* genes with different daylength specificities have a role in daylength dependent  
31 bulbing. However, any such roles for *AcFT5* and *AcFT6* would be required to be confirmed in  
32 functional studies.

## 33 34 **CONCLUSIONS**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

This work details the diurnal time-course expression of genes in different onion types that are linked to circadian regulation in Arabidopsis. All candidates presented clear diurnal expression patterns in both LD and SD variety of onion, consistent with a role in daylength-dependent bulbing process at the molecular level, and confirming the hypothesis that the clock genes in Arabidopsis would be circadian regulated in onion. Both *AcFKF1* and *AcGI* showed distinct diurnal expression with similar patterns to that of Arabidopsis. *AcCOL2* showed a consistent diurnal pattern in both varieties under all daylengths, peaking towards the end of the long day and slightly later into darkness in shorter daylengths. There was no obvious relationship between the timing of *AcCOL2* mRNA expression and the differential response to daylengths at the intermediate daylengths. Further research is required to confirm a role for *AcCOL2* in daylength-dependent bulbing. The *AcFTs* all showed different diurnal expression patterns, peaking at different times of the day. *AcFT5* and *AcFT6*, showed peaks at different time between varieties. A clearer and repeatable diurnal pattern only appears with longer daylengths for both varieties, suggesting they might be the active components present for circadian or diurnal regulation under LD conditions. The expression pattern of *AcFT6* suggested a possible role in responding to daylength but that would need to be tested in further studies. With regard to the original question of the relative roles of promotion and inhibition in the response to increasing daylengths, it was found that *AcFT1* expression level increased with daylength in both LD and SD onion. In contrast *AcFT4* was expressed in all daylengths, suggesting it has a less important role in daylength dependent bulbing process. The results indicate that *AcFT1* and *AcFT4* are negatively co-regulated, but *AcFT1* is the predominant regulator of bulb formation in response to daylength.

**REFERENCES:**

**Abelenda, J. A., Navarro, C. and Prat, S.** (2014) Flowering and tuberization: a tale of two nightshades, *Trends in Plant Science*, 19(2), pp. 115-122.

**Corbesier, L., Vincent, C., Jang, S., Fornara, F., Qingzhi F., Searle, I., GiaKountis, A., Farrona, S., Gissot, L., Turnbull, C. and Coupland, G.** (2007) FT protein movement contributes to long-distance signalling in floral induction of Arabidopsis. *Science*, 316 pp 1030 - 1033

**Fowler, S., Lee, K., Onouchi, H., Samach, A., Richardson, K., Morris, B., Coupland, G. and Putterill, J.** (1999) GIGANTEA: a circadian clock-controlled gene that regulates photoperiodic

1 flowering in *Arabidopsis* and encodes a protein with several possible membrane-spanning domains,  
2 *The EMBO Journal*, 18(17), pp. 4679-4688.

3

4 **Golembeski, G. S. and Imaizumi, T.** (2015) Photoperiodic regulation of florigen function in  
5 *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *The Arabidopsis Book/American Society of Plant Biologists*, 13.

6

7 **Heath, O.** (1945) Formative effects of environmental factors as exemplified in the development of the  
8 onion plant, *Nature*, 155(3943), pp. 623.

9

10 **Imaizumi, T., Tran, H. G., Swartz, T. E., Briggs, W. R. and Kay, S. A.** (2003) FKF1 is essential  
11 for photoperiodic-specific light signalling in *Arabidopsis*, *Nature*, 426(6964), pp. 302.

12

13 **Jackson, S. D.** (2009) Plant responses to photoperiod, *New Phytologist*, 181(3), pp. 517-531.

14

15 **Jang, S., Marchal, V., Panigrahi, K. C., Wenkel, S., Soppe, W., Deng, X. W., Valverde, F. and**  
16 **Coupland, G.** (2008) *Arabidopsis* COP1 shapes the temporal pattern of CO accumulation conferring  
17 a photoperiodic flowering response, *The EMBO Journal*, 27(8), pp. 1277-1288.

18

19 **Kato, T.** (1964) Physiological studies on the bulbing and dormancy of onion plant. III, *Journal of the*  
20 *Japanese Society for Horticultural Science*, 33(1), pp. 53-61.

21

22 **Kobayashi, Y., Kaya, H., Goto, K., Iwabuchi, M. and Araki, T.** (1999) A pair of related genes with  
23 antagonistic roles in mediating flowering signals, *Science*, 286(5446), pp. 1960-1962.

24

25 **Lancaster, J., Triggs, C., De Ruiter, J. and Gandar, P.** (1996) Bulbing in onions: photoperiod and  
26 temperature requirements and prediction of bulb size and maturity, *Annals of Botany*, 78(4), pp. 423-  
27 430.

28

29 **Laubinger, S., Marchal, V., Gentilhomme, J., Wenkel, S., Adrian, J., Jang, S., Kulajta, C., Braun,**  
30 **H., Coupland, G. and Hoecker, U.** (2006) *Arabidopsis* SPA proteins regulate photoperiodic  
31 flowering and interact with the floral inducer CONSTANS to regulate its stability, *Development*,  
32 133(16), pp. 3213-3222.

33

1 **Lee, R., Baldwin, S., Kenel, F., McCallum, J. and Macknight, R.** (2013) FLOWERING LOCUS T  
2 genes control onion bulb formation and flowering, *Nature Communications*, 4, pp. 2884.  
3

4 **Lyngkhai, F., Khar, A., Mangal, M., Gaikwad, A.B. and Thirunavukkarasu, N.** (2019)  
5 Expression analysis and association of bulbing to FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT) gene in short day  
6 onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *Indian J. Genet*, 79(1), pp.77-81.  
7

8 **Magruder, R. and Allard, H.** (1937) Bulb formation in some American and European varieties of  
9 onions as affected by length of day, *Journal of Agricultural Research*, 54, pp. 719-752.  
10

11 **Massiah, A.** (2007) Understanding flowering time, *CAB Reviews: Perspectives in agriculture,*  
12 *veterinary science, nutrition and natural resources*, 2(082).  
13

14 **Mouradov, A., Cremer, F. and Coupland, G.** (2002) Control of flowering time: interacting pathways  
15 as a basis for diversity, *The Plant Cell*, 14(suppl 1), pp. S111-S130.  
16

17 **Nakamichi, N.** (2011) Molecular mechanisms underlying the Arabidopsis circadian clock, *Plant and*  
18 *Cell Physiology*, 52(10), pp. 1709-1718.  
19

20 **Navarro, C., Abelenda, J. A., Cruz-Oró, E., Cuéllar, C. A., Tamaki, S., Silva, J., Shimamoto, K.**  
21 **and Prat, S.** (2011) Control of flowering and storage organ formation in potato by FLOWERING  
22 LOCUS T, *Nature*, 478(7367), pp. 119.  
23

24 **Nelson, D. C., Lasswell, J., Rogg, L. E., Cohen, M. A. and Bartel, B.** (2000) FKF1, a clock-  
25 controlled gene that regulates the transition to flowering in Arabidopsis, *Cell*, 101(3), pp. 331-340.  
26

27 **Park, D. H., Somers, D. E., Kim, Y. S., Choy, Y. H., Lim, H. K., Soh, M. S., Kim, H. J., Kay, S.**  
28 **A. and Nam, H. G.** (1999) Control of circadian rhythms and photoperiodic flowering by the  
29 Arabidopsis GIGANTEA gene, *Science*, 285(5433), pp. 1579-1582.  
30

31 **Putterill, J., Robson, F., Lee, K., Simon, R. and Coupland, G.** (1995) The CONSTANS gene of  
32 Arabidopsis promotes flowering and encodes a protein showing similarities to zinc finger transcription  
33 factors, *Cell*, 80(6), pp. 847-857.  
34



- 1 **Rashid, H., Cheng, W, Thomas, B.** (2019) Temporal and Spatial Expression of Arabidopsis Gene  
2 Homologs Control Daylength Adaptation and Bulb Formation in Onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *Scientific*  
3 *Reports* 9, 14629.
- 4
- 5 **Rashid, M.H.A. and Thomas, B.** (2020) Diurnal expression of Arabidopsis Gene homologs during  
6 daylength-regulated bulb formation in onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *Scientia Horticulturae*, 261, p.108946  
7
- 8 **Sawa, M., Nusinow, D. A., Kay, S. A. and Imaizumi, T.** (2007) FKF1 and GIGANTEA complex  
9 formation is required for day-length measurement in Arabidopsis, *Science*, 318(5848), pp. 261-265.  
10
- 11 **Song, Y. H., Ito, S. and Imaizumi, T.** (2013) Flowering time regulation: photoperiod-and  
12 temperature-sensing in leaves, *Trends in Plant Science*, 18(10), pp. 575-583.  
13
- 14 **Summerfield, R., Roberts, E., Ellis, R. and Lawn, R.** (1991) Towards the reliable prediction of time  
15 to flowering in six annual crops. I. The development of simple models for fluctuating field  
16 environments, *Experimental Agriculture*, 27(1), pp. 11-31.  
17
- 18 **Taylor, A., Massiah, A. J. and Thomas, B.** (2010) Conservation of Arabidopsis thaliana  
19 photoperiodic flowering time genes in onion (*Allium cepa* L.), *Plant and Cell Physiology*, 51(10), pp.  
20 1638-1647.  
21  
22

1 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

2 Figure 1: Expression of *AcGI* in long-day (*Renate*) and short-day (*Hojem*) varieties of onion over a  
3 48h period using qRT-PCR, relative to *PP2AA3*, *PP2A1* and *TIP41*. Light and dark shades denote  
4 light/dark cycles. Error bars represent the SEM.

5 Figure 2: Expression of *AcFKF1* in long-day (*Renate*) and short-day (*Hojem*) varieties of onion over  
6 a 48h period using qRT-PCR, relative to *PP2AA3*, *PP2A1* and *TIP41*. Light and dark shades denote  
7 light/dark cycles. Error bars represent the SEM.

8 Figure 0: Expression of *AcCOL2* in long-day (*Renate*) and short-day (*Hojem*) varieties of onion over  
9 a 48h period using qRT-PCR, relative to *PP2AA3*, *PP2A1* and *TIP41*. Light and dark shades denote  
10 light/dark cycles. Error bars represent the SEM.

11 Figure 4: Expression of *AcFT1* in long-day (*Renate*) and short-day (*Hojem*) varieties of onion over a  
12 48h period using qRT-PCR, relative to *PP2AA3*, *PP2A1* and *TIP41*. Light and dark shades denote  
13 light/dark cycles. Error bars represent the SEM.

14 Figure 5: Expression of *AcFT4* in long-day (*Renate*) and short-day (*Hojem*) varieties of onion over a  
15 48h period using qRT-PCR, relative to *PP2AA3*, *PP2A1* and *TIP41*. Light and dark shades denote  
16 light/dark cycles. Error bars represent the SEM.

17

18 **SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES**

19

20 Figure S1: Expression of *AcFT5* in long-day (*Renate*) and short-day (*Hojem*) varieties of onion over  
21 a 48h period using qRT-PCR, relative to *PP2AA3*, *PP2A1* and *TIP41*. Light and dark shades denote  
22 light/dark cycles. Error bars represent the SEM.

23 Figure S2: Expression of *AcFT6* in long-day (*Renate*) and short-day (*Hojem*) varieties of onion over  
24 a 48h period using qRT-PCR, relative to *PP2AA3*, *PP2A1* and *TIP41*. Light and dark shades denote  
25 light/dark cycles. Error bars represent the SEM.

26

27