Evolutionary trade-offs at the Arabidopsis \textit{WRR4A} resistance locus underpin alternate \textit{Albugo candida} race recognition specificities
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SUMMARY

The oomycete \textit{Albugo candida} causes white rust of \textit{Brassicaceae}, including vegetable and oilseed crops, and wild relatives such as \textit{Arabidopsis thaliana}. Novel \textit{White Rust Resistance} (\textit{WRR}) genes from Arabidopsis enable new insights into plant/parasite co-evolution. \textit{WRR4A} from Arabidopsis accession Columbia (Col-0) provides resistance to many but not all white rust races, and encodes a nucleotide-binding, leucine-rich repeat immune receptor. Col-0 \textit{WRR4A} resistance is broken by AcEx1, an isolate of \textit{A. candida}. We identified an allele of \textit{WRR4A} in Arabidopsis accession Øystese-0 (Oy-0) and other accessions that confers full resistance to AcEx1. \textit{WRR4A}Oy-0 carries a C-terminal extension required for recognition of AcEx1, but reduces recognition of several effectors recognized by the \textit{WRR4A}Col-0 allele. \textit{WRR4A}Oy-0 confers full resistance to AcEx1 when expressed in the oilseed crop \textit{Camelina sativa}.
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INTRODUCTION

Plants have evolved powerful defence mechanisms that can arrest attempted colonization by microbial pathogens. Timely defence activation requires perception of pathogen-derived molecules by cell-surface pattern-recognition receptors and intracellular nucleotide-binding (NB), leucine-rich repeat (LRR) (NLR), immune receptors (Jones and Dangl, 2006). Extensive NLR genetic diversity within plant populations is associated with robustness of NLR-mediated immunity (Baggs et al., 2017), and plant NLR sequences reveal diversifying selection on NLR genes compared with other genes (Kuang et al., 2004; Meyers et al., 1998; Monteiro and Nishimura, 2018). To investigate NLR diversity, next-generation sequencing technologies were combined with sequence capture to develop \textit{Resistance} (\textit{R})-gene enrichment sequencing (RenSeq) (Jupe et al., 2013). This method has shed new light on NLR repertoires in several plant genomes, including tomato, potato and wheat (Andolfo et al., 2014; Steuernagel et al., 2016; Witek et al., 2016). A comparison of 64 \textit{Arabidopsis thaliana} (Arabidopsis) accessions using RenSeq documented NLR sequence diversity within a single species, revealing the Arabidopsis "pan-NLRome" (Van de Weyer et al., 2019). Each Arabidopsis accession contains 150–200 NLR-encoding genes. About 60% are found in clusters (within 200 kb from each other) that show copy number variation (Lee and Chae, 2020). From all the NLRs of the 64 accessions, 10% are singletons and the rest are distributed among 464 orthogroups. Each accession contains a unique subset comprising, on average, 25% of the orthogroups. NLRs vary in their intramolecular architecture. Plant NLR proteins usually display either \textit{Toll}, \textit{Interleukin-1}, \textit{R}-gene (TIR), or \textit{Coiled-Coil} (CC), or \textit{Resistance to Powdery mildew 8} (RPW8) N-terminal domain, a central NB domain and a C-terminal LRR domain. Some NLRs also comprise additional C-terminal domains. For example, RRS1 is an
Arabidopsis TIR-NLR with a WRKY domain required to detect the effectors AvrRps4 (from the bacterium *Pseudomonas syringae*) and PopP2 (from the bacterium *Ralstonia solanacearum*). The integrated WRKY is called a decoy as it mimics the authentic AvrRps4 and PopP2 effector targets (Le Roux et al., 2015; Sarris et al., 2015). Several other integrated decoy domains have been described (Cesari, 2017). The analysis of NLR-integrated domains can potentially reveal novel effector targets (Kroj et al., 2016).

RPP1 and Roq1, two TIR-NLRs from Arabidopsis and *Nicotiana benthamiana* respectively, form tetrameric resistosomes upon activation (Ma et al., 2020; Martin et al., 2020). In this structure, a C-terminal jelly-roll/Ig-like domain (C-JID) physically binds the cognate effector, along with the LRR domain. The C-JID corresponds to previously described motifs found after the LRR of many TIR-NLRs, called post-LRR motifs (Saucet et al., 2021; Van Ghelder et al., 2020). In this structure, a C-terminal jelly-roll/Ig-like domain (C-JID) physically binds the cognate effector, along with the LRR domain. The C-JID corresponds to previously described motifs found after the LRR of many TIR-NLRs, called post-LRR motifs (Saucet et al., 2021; Van Ghelder and Esmenjaud, 2016). We will refer to this domain as C-JID in the rest of the text.

*Albugo candida* causes white blister rust in *Brassica* spp. and serious annual yield losses in brassica crops *Brassica oleracea* *B. juncea*, *B. rapa* *J. campestris* in India (Gupta et al., 2018). It comprises several host-specific groups, which include race 2 from *B. juncea*, race 7 from *Brassica rapa*, race 9 from *Brassica oleracea* and race 4 from wild relatives (e.g. *Capsella bursa-pastoris*, *Arabidopsis* spp. and *Camelina sativa*) (Table S1) (Jouet et al., 2018; Pound and Williams, 1963). They have been proposed to evolve by rare recombination events that occurred between the races, followed by clonal propagation on susceptible hosts (McMullan et al., 2015). The Arabidopsis Columbia (Col-0) allele of *WRR4A* can confer resistance to isolates of all four races (Borhan et al., 2008, 2010). The allele encodes a canonical TIR-NLR and belongs to an orthogroup of three genes in Col-0 at the same locus. The accession Wassilewskija-2 (Ws-2; susceptible to *A. candida* race 4) lacks *WRR4A* but contains the two other paralogs, illustrating intraspecies copy number variation within clusters. Interestingly, one of these paralogs, *WRR4B*, also confers resistance to the Ac2V isolate of race 2 (Cevik et al., 2019). In addition, the CC-NLR-encoding *BjuWRR1*, which confers resistance to several *A. candida* isolates collected on *B. juncea*, was mapped and cloned from the European accession of *B. juncea* Donskaja-IV (Arora et al., 2019). Several Col-0-virulent isolates of *A. candida* race 4 have been collected from naturally infected Arabidopsis plants. They were used to identify an alternative source of broad-spectrum white rust resistance. One of these isolates, AcEx1, was used to reveal a source of resistance in Øystese-0 (Oy-0) that mapped to the *WRR4* locus (Castel, 2019; Fairhead, 2016). We set out to clone the gene conferring AcEx1 resistance in Oy-0, and characterize the corresponding pathogen effector(s).

AcEx1 was collected from *Arabidopsis halleri* in Exeter, UK. It is also virulent in *C. sativa*, an emerging oilseed crop that has been engineered to provide an alternative source of long chain omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (Petrie et al., 2014; Ruiz-Lopez et al., 2014). Transgenic camelina oil is equivalent to fish oil for salmon feeding and for human health benefits (Betancor et al., 2018; Napier et al., 2015; West et al., 2019). Despite challenges to distribute a product derived from a genetically modified crop (Napier et al., 2019), an increase in camelina cultivation can be expected in the near future. Fields of *C. sativa* will inevitably be exposed to *A. candida* and early identification of *R*-genes will enable crop protection. Furthermore, AcEx1 can suppress Arabidopsis non-host resistance to the potato late blight pathogen *Phytophthora infestans* (Bélhaj et al., 2017; Prince et al., 2017), and to downy mildews (Cooper et al., 2008) emphasizing the importance of protecting camelina fields from white rust.

In this study we identified two alleles of *WRR4A* conferring full resistance to AcEx1 from Arabidopsis accessions Oy-0 and HR-5. They both encode proteins with a C-terminal extension compared with the Col-0 *WRR4A* allele. This extension enables recognition of at least one effector from AcEx1. We propose that *WRR4A<sup>Oy-0</sup>* is the ancestral state, and that in the absence of AcEx1 selective pressure, an early stop codon in *WRR4A* generated the Col-0-like allele, enabling more robust recognition of other *A. candida* races while losing recognition of AcEx1. Finally, we successfully transferred *WRR4A<sup>Oy-0</sup>*-mediated resistance to AcEx1 from Oy-0 into *C. sativa*.

**RESULTS**

**Resistance to AcEx1 is explained by *WRR4A* alleles of HR-5 and Oy-0**

AcEx1 growth on Col-0 results in chlorosis that is not seen in the fully susceptible accession We-2 (Figure 1a). As *WRR4A* confers resistance to all other *A. candida* races tested and We-2 lacks *WRR4A*, we tested if the chlorotic response could be explained by *WRR4A*, by testing a Col-0 *wrr4a-6* mutant, and found that it shows green susceptibility to AcEx1. We also tested We-2 transgenic lines carrying *WRR4A* from Col-0 and observed chlorotic susceptibility (Figure 1b). Thus, *WRR4A* from Col-0 weakly recognizes AcEx1 and provides partial resistance. However, AcEx1 is still able to complete its life cycle on Col-0, which is therefore considered susceptible.

In a search for more robust sources of AcEx1 resistance, we tested 283 Arabidopsis accessions (Table S2). We identified 57 (20.1%) fully resistant lines, including Oy-0 and HR-5. We phenotyped 278 recombinant inbred lines (RILs) between Oy-0 (resistant) and Col-0 (susceptible) and conducted a quantitative trait locus (QTL) analysis that revealed one major QTL on chromosome 1 and two minor
QTLs on chromosomes 3 and 5 (Figure S1a). All loci contribute to resistance, with a predominant contribution of the QTL on chromosome 1 (see figure 3.7 of Fairhead, 2016). We did not investigate the minor QTL on chromosome 5. Fine mapping on chromosome 1 and 3 QTLs refined the QTL boundaries (Figures S2 and S3, see Experimental procedures). Based on sequence identity between the QTL in Col-0 and in an Oy-0 RenSeq dataset (Van de Weyer et al., 2019), we identified four NLRs associated with the QTLs in Oy-0: three TIR-NLR paralogs on chromosome 1 (WRR4A, WRR4B, and one absent in Col-0 that we called WRR4D) and a CC-NLR absent in Col-0 on chromosome 3 (that we called Candidate to be WRR11 and CWR11) (Figure S1b,c).

We expressed these genes, with their own promoters and terminators, in the fully susceptible accession Ws-2. Only WRR4A <sup>Oy-0</sup> conferred full resistance (Figure 1b). CWR11, the only NLR from the WRR11 locus, does not confer AcEx1 resistance. The gene underlying WRR11 locus resistance remains unknown.

We conducted a bulk segregant analysis using an F2 population between HR-5 (resistant) and Ws-2 (susceptible). RenSeq on bulked F2 susceptible segregants revealed a single locus on chromosome 1, which maps to the same position as the chromosome 1 QTL in Oy-0 (Figure S4a). As WRR4A <sup>Oy-0</sup> confers resistance to AcEx1, we expressed its HR-5 ortholog, in genomic context, in the fully susceptible accession Ws-2, and found that WRR4A<sup>HR-5</sup> also confers full resistance to AcEx1 (Figure 1b).

In conclusion, WRR4A from Col-0 can weakly recognize AcEx1 but does not provide full resistance. We identified two WRR4A alleles, in Oy-0 and HR-5, which confer full AcEx1 resistance.
To understand why the Oy-0 and HR-5 alleles of \textit{WRR4A} confer full resistance to AcEx1, while the Col-0 allele does not, we compared the gene and protein sequences (Figure 2). First, we defined the cDNA sequence of \textit{WRR4AOy-0}. The splicing sites are identical between the two alleles. There are 46 polymorphic amino acids among Col-0, HR-5, and Oy-0. Col-0 shares 96.03\% amino acid sequence identity with Oy-0 and 96.23\% with HR-5, while Oy-0 and HR-5 share 97.15\% amino acid sequence identity. \textit{WRR4ACol-0} carries a 156-nucleotide insertion in the first intron compared with Oy-0 and HR-5. A more striking polymorphism is a TGC-\textgreater TGA mutation in \textit{WRR4ACol-0}, resulting in an early stop codon compared with \textit{WRR4AOy-0} and \textit{WRR4AHR-5} (Figure 2), located 178 amino acids after the C-terminal extension is framed in yellow for Col-0 to indicate that an early stop codon avoids translation of this sequence. (a,b) Cartoons made with CLC Workbench Main. Green represents identity. Red represents polymorphism. Figures are to scale.
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We tried to generate Arabidopsis Col-0 lines with WRR4ACol-0-STOP using CRISPR adenine base editor (see Experimental procedures). Of 24 transformed plants, none displayed editing activity at all. Thus, we did not generate stable WRR4A stop codon mutants in Arabidopsis. We therefore cloned these alleles under the control of the
35S promoter and the Ocs terminator for transient overexpression in Nicotiana tabacum (Figure 3).

As many TIR-NLRs carry a C-JID, we conducted a Hidden Markov Model search and found one in WRR4A ([www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/hmmer/search/hmmssearch on A. thaliana](http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/hmmer/search/hmmssearch on A. thaliana) using the Hidden Markov Model previously reported (Ma et al., 2020), e-value = 5.7e-14). This C-JID is present in Oy-0, HR-5, and Col-0 alleles (Figure 2b). The C-terminal extension in WRR4A<sub>Oy-0</sub> relative to WRR4A<sub>Col-0</sub> does not show homology with known protein domains.

**Extension in WRR4A confers specific recognition of AcEx1 candidate effectors**

To identify AcEx1 effectors specifically recognized by WRR4A<sub>Oy-0</sub>, we tested for a hypersensitive response (HR), a typical phenotype upon NLR activation, after transient expression of WRR4A<sub>Oy-0</sub> along with AcEx1 candidate effectors in N. tabacum leaves. Secreted CxxCxxxxxG (CCG) proteins are expanded in the genomes of *Albugo* species and are effector candidates (Furzer et al., 2021; Kemen et al., 2021). We identified 55 CCGs in the AcEx1 genome (Jouet et al., 2018; Redkar et al., 2021), and polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-amplified and cloned 21 of them, prioritizing the 55 CCGs indistinguishably from WRR4A<sub>Col-0</sub>, indicating no influence of the C-terminal extension on their recognition.

In conclusion, we identified one AcEx1 effector specifically recognized by WRR4A<sub>Oy-0</sub>, which like Arabidopsis, can be transformed using the floral dip method ([www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/hmmer/search/hmmssearch on A. thaliana](http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/hmmer/search/hmmssearch on A. thaliana)). The read coverage was insufficient to resolve WRR4A<sub>Oy-0</sub> sequence in Bur-0 (susceptible) and Mt-0 (resistant). WRR4A<sub>Oy-0</sub> is absent from the WRR4 cluster in Ws-2, Edi-0, and No-0. Consistently, these accessions are fully susceptible to AcEx1. From the DNA sequence of the 20 other accessions, we predicted the protein sequence, assuming that the splicing sites correspond to those in Col-0 and Oy-0 (Figure 4 and Dataset S1). There are two well-defined groups of WRR4A alleles. One includes WRR4A<sub>Col-0</sub>; the other includes WRR4A<sub>Oy-0</sub>. The Col-0-like and Oy-0-like groups are also discriminated in a phylogeny constructed based on predicted protein sequences (Figure S5 and Dataset S2). All alleles from the Col-0 group carry TGA (apart from ULL2-5, TGC, but WRR4A is pseudogenized in this accession), while all alleles from the Oy-0 group carry TGC, at the Col-0 stop codon position. Several alleles from both groups, including Bay-0, ULL2-5, Wil-2, Ler-0, Ws-0, and Yo-0, carry an early stop codon (i.e. upstream of the Col-0 stop codon position), so the resulting proteins are likely not functional. Consistently, all the accessions from the Col-0 group and all the accessions carrying an early stop codon are susceptible to AcEx1. The only exception is Kn-0, which carries an Oy-0-like allele of WRR4A but is susceptible to AcEx1. Otherwise, the presence of an Oy-0-like C-terminal extension associates with resistance.

**AcEx1 resistance can be transferred from Arabidopsis to Camelina**

AcEx1 can grow on *C. sativa* (Figure 5), which like Arabidopsis, can be transformed using the floral dip method (Liu et al., 2012). We generated a WRR4A<sub>Oy-0</sub>-transgenic camelina line. We obtained four independent transformants, including two with a single-locus T-DNA insertion (Figure S6). From these lines we obtained five and four lines showing no symptoms upon AcEx1 inoculation, from which we obtained one bag of homozygous seeds (the others giving either no seeds or segregating seeds). We tested the single homozygous resistant line obtained for AcEx1 inoculation, from which we obtained one bag of homozygous seeds (the others giving either no seeds or segregating seeds). We tested the single homozygous resistant line obtained for stable resistance to AcEx1 (Figure 5). Of 12 individuals, eight showed resistance without symptoms, three showed resistance with a chlorotic response (probably WRR4A-mediated HR) and one showed susceptibility (white pustule formation caused by sporulation of *A. candida*). All 12 wild-type camelina control plants showed mild to severe white rust symptoms. This indicates that WRR4A<sub>Oy-0</sub> can confer resistance to AcEx1 in *C. sativa*.

**DISCUSSION**

Col-0 and HR-5 WRR4A alleles recognize effectors from AcEx1

A screen for novel sources of resistance to AcEx1 identified accessions HR-5 and Oy-0 as worthy of further
Positional cloning from Oy-0 and then allele mining in HR-5 showed that this immunity is mediated by alleles of WRR4A in HR-5 and Oy-0 with distinct recognition capacities compared with the Col-0 allele. In Oy-0, two additional dominant loci, WRR11 on chromosome 3 and WRR15 on chromosome 5 contribute resistance to AcEx1 but the molecular basis of these resistances was not defined. Further investigation on WRR11 was conducted but did not reveal the causal gene (Castel, 2019, Chapter 3).

WRR4AOy-0 recognizes at least one AcEx1 effector that is not recognized by WRR4ACol-0 (Figure 3). Conceivably, WRR4AOy-0 could be combined with WRR4ACol-0 and WRR7Col-0 to expand the effector recognition spectrum of a stack of WRR genes that could be deployed in B. juncea or C. sativa (Pedersen, 1988).

WRR4A alleles fall into two groups that can or cannot confer AcEx1 resistance

Analysis of WRR4A allele diversity in Arabidopsis revealed WRR4AOy-0-like and WRR4ACol-0-like alleles. As WRR4ACol-0-like alleles show near-identity to WRR4AOy-0-like alleles in nucleotide sequence after the premature stop codon, the latter are likely to be ancestral, and the WRR4ACol-0-like early stop codon occurred once, in the most recent common ancestor of Sf-2 and Col-0. Other early stop codons, resulting in loss-of-function proteins, occurred randomly in both Oy-0- and Col-0-containing groups. About one-third of the investigated accessions contain another early stop codon resulting in a likely non-functional allele (Figure 4). The full-length Oy-0-like alleles are associated with resistance to AcEx1, while the Col-0-like alleles are associated with susceptibility (Figure 4). The only exception is Kn-0, which displays a full-length Oy-0-like allele but is susceptible to AcEx1. Susceptibility in Kn-0 could be explained by single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), lack of expression or mis-splicing of WRR4A Kn-0.

Col-0 allele C-terminal truncation correlates with gain of recognition for some CCGs and loss of recognition for others, suggesting an evolutionary trade-off

Albugo candida isolates that are identical or almost identical to AcEx1 are broadly distributed, at least across Europe (Jouet et al., 2018). Similarly, the WRR4ACol-0 allele is not associated with a geographic location, indicating that it is maintained by a non-climatic factor (Figure S7).
propose that, in the absence of AcEx1 selection pressure, the Col-0-like early stop codon occurred to provide a new function, along with the loss of AcEx1 effector recognition. This new function enables recognition of additional CCGs from other *A. candida* races.

By combining the C-terminal extension on WRR4A<sup>Oy-0</sup> with the core region of WRR4A in Col-0 (Figure 3e), recognition of additional AcEx1 CCGs was enabled. Furthermore, Arabidopsis natural accessions carrying the core region of the Col-0-like allele also lack the C-terminal extension (Figure 4; Figure S5, Dataset S1 and S2). This could be an example of intramolecular genetic suppression (Brasseur et al., 2001; Davis et al., 1999; Kondrashov et al., 2002; Schülein et al., 2001). The combination between the core region of the Col-0 allele with the C-terminal extension may form a hyperactive WRR4A allele with excessive fitness cost for the plant, which may explain why no transgenic Arabidopsis could be recovered that carry WRR4A<sup>Col-0, LONG</sup>. The early stop codon may have occurred in Col-0 to compensate for hyperactivation of an ancestral WRR4A allele. Hyperactivation of the immune system is deleterious, as shown for example by hybrid incompatibility caused by immune receptors (Wan et al., 2021). Many TIR-NLRs contain conserved post-LRR motifs (Meyers et al., 2002; Van Ghelder and Esmenjaud, 2016), which cover a functional C-JID motif involved in effector binding (Ma et al., 2020; Martin et al., 2020). We found that WRR4A also contains this domain. Both WRR4A<sup>Col-0</sup> and WRR4A<sup>Oy-0</sup> carry the C-JID, so it does not explain the unique CCG recognition of each allele. Instead, the polymorphism that explains AcEx1 recognition is a short sequence, particularly enriched in negatively charged residues (Glu and Asp, Figure 2), located after the C-JID. Polymorphism within the C-JID between RPP1 and Roq1

---

**Figure 5.** WRR4A confers resistance to AcEx1 in camelina crop.

Five-week-old camelina (cultivar Celine) plants were sprayed inoculated with AcEx1 race of the white rust oomycete pathogen *Albugo candida*. Pictures were taken 12 days postinoculation (dpi). Top row: 12 wild-type plants all show mild to severe white rust symptoms. Bottom row: 12 lines transformed with WRR4A<sup>Oy-0</sup> were tested. One shows mild white rust symptoms, three show local chlorotic response and eight show complete green resistance. White dash line indicates sporulation; red dash line indicates a chlorotic response with no pustule formation.
contributes to effector recognition specificity (Ma et al., 2020; Martin et al., 2020). In the case of WRR4A, it seems that polymorphism after the C-JID also contributes to specific effector recognition. Biochemical studies of WRR4A should provide more insights into the mechanism of CGG recognition.

Arabidopsis WRR4A resistance to AcEx1 can be transferred to the crop camelina

*Camelina sativa* was recently engineered to produce long chain omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids, an essential component in the feed used in fish farming (Petrie et al., 2014). Currently, fish farming uses wild fish-derived fish oil. Fish oil-producing camelina offers a solution to reduce the need for wild fish harvesting, potentially reducing pressure on world marine fish stocks (Betancor et al., 2018). There are challenges in delivering products derived from transgenic crops but fish oil-producing crops could reduce the environmental impact of fish farming. White rust causes moderate symptoms on camelina. Moreover, the environmental impact of fish farming.

**Albugo candida** infection assay

For propagation of *A. candida*, zoospores from the infected leaf inoculum were suspended in water (approximately $10^5$ spores/ml) and incubated on ice for 30 min. The spore suspension was then sprayed on plants using a Humbrol® spray gun (approximately 700 µl per plant) and plants were incubated at 4°C in the dark overnight to promote spore germination. Infected plants were kept under 10-h light (20°C) and 14-h dark (16°C) cycles. Phenotypes were monitored 14–21 days after inoculation.

**QTL analysis**

QTL mapping of the bipartite F8 Oy-0 × Col-0 population (470 RILs, http://publiclines.versailles.inra.fr/page/27) (Simon et al., 2008) was performed on a genetic map of 95 markers across the five linkage groups that accompanied the population using R/qtl (Broman et al., 2003). Standard interval mapping using a maximum likelihood estimation under a mixture model (Lander and Botstein, 1989) was applied for interval mapping. Analysis revealed two major QTLs: on chromosome 1 and on chromosome 3.

Chromosome 1 QTL is located between 20 384 and 22 181 Mb (Figure S2). It includes the TIR-NLR cluster WRR4 and the CC-NLR cluster *RP7*. Six RILs (three resistant and three susceptible) recombine within the QTL and were used for fine mapping. We designed a SNP (21 195 Mb, forward (F): TCAGATTTGAACTGCAGTTCGAAGG, reverse (R): CCATCAAGCACCAGATTTCCA), ampiclon contains two SNPs, Oy-0: A and G, Col-0: G and C) and an amplified fragment length polymorphism (21 681 Mb, F: AAGCCAATGCAGATTGGACAAA, R: GCGGGTTCCTCAGTTGAAG, Oy-0: 398 bp, Col-0: 399 bp) markers between WRR4 and RP7. Four lines eliminate RP7 from the QTL. The only NLR cluster in chromosome 1 QTL is WRR4.

Chromosome 3 QTL is located between 17 283 and 19 628 Mb (Figure S3). It includes the atypical resistance-gene cluster RPW8, the CC-NLR *ZAR1* and the paired TIR-NLRs At3g51560-At3g51570. Six RILs (three resistant and three susceptible) recombine within the QTL and were used for fine mapping. We designed an amplified fragment length polymorphism (18 016 Mb, F: gctacgccactgccattatttgcttgaaagagaagagg, R: GCCCTCCAAAA-GATTTCGAAATGAAG, enzyme: DdeI, Oy-0: uncleaved, Col-0: cleaved) markers between the QTL border and RPW8. We designed a CAPS marker (18 850 Mb, F: TCTCGGGGAATAATGATTAGA, R: GGTTTATTTTATGGTATCGT, enzyme: Sval, Oy-0: cleaved, Col-0: uncleaved) between RPW8 and ZAR1. We designed a SNP (18 937 Mb, F: CCACAGGTCGAAGATCTGTAAC, R: TGCACAAGAACTCCACAAAC, Oy-0: C, Col-0: T) and a CAPS (19 122 Mb, F: ACCACACCTCAGATTTTCC, R: CTTTCTCCTGGAAGAAGACTG, enzyme: BsrI, Oy-0: uncleaved, Col-0: cleaved) markers between ZAR1 and the TIR-NLR pair. Three recombinants eliminate the TIR-NLR pair, two eliminate ZAR1 and one eliminates RPW8. None of the Col-0 NLR clusters orthologs are present in the QTL. The gene underlying chromosome three resistance is located between the border of the QTL and RPW8.

**Bulk segregant analysis and RenSeq**

We generated an F2 population from a cross between HR-5 (resistant) and Ws-2 (susceptible). We phenol/chloroform extracted C. sativa (cultivar Celine), seeds were sown directly on compost and plants were grown at 21°C, with cycles of 16 h of light and 8 h of dark, at 55% humidity.

DNA from 200 bulked F2 lines fully susceptible to AcEx1. The bulked DNA sample was prepared as an Illumina library and enriched using the Arabidopsis v1 RenSeq bait library (Arbor Bioscience, MI, USA) (Table S3), as described by (Jupe et al., 2013). The sample was sequenced in a pooled MiSeq run (data available on request). First, reads were aligned with BWA mem (Li andDurbin, 2009) to the Col-0 reference genome and SNPs called with Samtools (Li et al., 2009). The genome was scanned for regions of high linkage with the next generation mapping tools at http://bar.utoronto.ca/ngm/ (Austin et al., 2011). Secondly, the reads were mapped using BWA to the RenSeq reference genome and caller generated for HR-5 (Van de Weyer et al., 2019). Highly linked regions were con- firmed visually using the integrated genome viewer (Robinson et al., 2017).

Gene cloning

Vectors were cloned with the USER method (NEB, Ipswich, MA, USA) following the manufacturer’s recommendations. For expression of resistance gene candidates in Arabidopsis, genes were cloned with their natural 5' and 3' regulatory sequences into LBBJJ233-OD (containing a FAST-Red selectable marker, pre-linearized with Pacl and Nt. BbvI restriction enzymes). For overexp- ression in \textit{N. tabacum}, genes were cloned into LBBJJ234-OD (containing a FAST-Red selectable marker and a 35S/Ocs expres- sion cassette, pre-linearized with Pacl and Nt. BbvI restriction enzymes). Primers, template and vectors are indicated in (Table S4). \textit{WRR4A} 

Gene expression by reverse transcription-PCR

RNA was extracted from leaves using the RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) and treated with RNase-Free DNase Set (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Reverse transcription was carried out using the Super- Script IV Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA). PCR was conducted using F: TCTGATGTCCGCAACACACAAAC (in the first exon) and R: GTGCTCTTGGCCTATCCTCT (in the last exon) with the Taq polymerase enzyme (NEB) following the manu- facturer’s protocol. The 2848 nt amplicon sequence, correspond- ing to the cDNA sequence (i.e. with already spliced introns) was resolved by capillary sequencing. It indicates that the splicing sites are identical between \textit{WRR4A} \textsuperscript{20} and the splicing sites reported in the database TAIR10 for \textit{WRR4A} \textsuperscript{20}.

Resolutton of \textit{WRR4A} \textsuperscript{20} cDNA sequence

RNA was extracted from leaf tissue using the RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) and treated with RNase-Free DNase Set (Qiagen). Reverse transcription was carried out using the SuperScript IV Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo Fisher). PCR was conducted using primers indicated in Table S4 with the Taq polymerase enzyme (NEB) following the manufacturer’s protocol.

Protein extraction and Western blot

Proteins were extracted from leaf tissue using TruPAGE LDS Sample Buffer (Sigma-Aldrich) following the manufacturer’s recom- mendations. They were separated by sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and analysed by immunoblot- ting. After electrophoresis, separated proteins were transferred to Immunobilon-P PVDF (Merck Millipore) membranes for immunoblotting. Membranes were blocked for 2 h in 5% non-fat milk, probed with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated antibodies overnight and imaged.
performed using a CFX96 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection System. Primers for qPCR analysis of WRR4A<sup>Oy</sup>-<sup>6</sup> are GCAAGATAGCGAGCTCAGA and GCAAGAAGACATAAGGTCTGTCA. Primers for qPCR analysis of EF1α are CAGGCTGATGTGCTGTCTTA and GTGGATACGCCATCTCTCAGG. Data were analysed using the double delta G method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). We measured the segregation of FAST-Red in T2 seeds. Two lines are segregating 15:1 indicating a dual loci T-DNA insertion and two are segregating 3:1 indicating a single locus insertion. From the two single-locus insertion lines, we obtained five and four AcEx1 resistant lines, without any symptoms of infection. From these nine lines, one produced a bag of homozygous T3 seeds (the others produced 1:2:1 segregating 15:1 indicating a dual loci T-DNA insertion and two are segregated 3:1 indicating a single locus insertion). Twelve plants from this line were tested with AcEx1, 11 showed resistance (eight without symptoms and three with a chlorotic response) and one showed susceptibility (Figure 5).
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