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Low Defect, High Molecular Weight Indacenodithiophene (IDT) Polymers via C-H Activation: Evaluation of a Simpler and Greener Approach to Organic Electronic Materials
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Abstract: Development, optimization, and assessment of new methods for the preparation of conjugated materials is key to the continued progress of organic electronics. Direct C-H activation methods have emerged and developed over the last 10 years to become an invaluable synthetic tool for the preparation of conjugated polymers for both redox active and solid-state applications. Here, we evaluate direct (hetero)arylation polymerization (DHAP) methods for the synthesis of indaceno[1,2-b:5,6-b’]dithiophene (IDT)-based polymers. We demonstrate using a range of techniques, including direct visualization of individual polymer chains via high-resolution scanning tunneling microscopy (STM), that DHAP can produce polymers with a high degree of regularity and purity that subsequently perform in organic thin film transistors (OTFTs) comparably to those made by other cross-coupling polymerizations that require increased synthetic complexity. Ultimately, this work results in improved atom economy by reducing the number of synthetic steps to access high performance molecular and polymeric materials.
As research into the properties and application of organic semiconducting polymers has progressed over the past several decades, the need for simple and reliable polymerization methods has become increasingly important. To date, Migita-Kosugi-Stille (Stille)\textsuperscript{1,2,3} and Suzuki-Miyaura (Suzuki)\textsuperscript{4} polymerizations have been the leading methods for the preparation of soluble conjugated copolymers. However, both of these methods have limitations that increase the complexities of synthesis and hinder development of new materials. Suzuki polymerizations require the preparation of boronic esters that can undergo protodeborylation\textsuperscript{5} (as monomers or during polymerization) and typically cannot be purified by column chromatography. These units are then hydrolyzed \textit{in situ} during the polymerization,\textsuperscript{6,7} and optimization of this hydrolysis via base choice is typically required for effective cross-coupling.\textsuperscript{8,9} Stille polymerizations, on the other hand, are relatively simple and optimization is typically not required to obtain high molecular weight polymers, making this a preferred method for the development of new materials.\textsuperscript{10} Unfortunately, the trialkyltin monomers often suffer from poor stability, can be difficult to purify, and are prepared from highly toxic trialkyltin halides\textsuperscript{11} that are regenerated as byproducts\textsuperscript{2,3,12,13} during reactions. It also has been demonstrated that, even after extensive purification, residual tin remains in the final conjugated polymers.\textsuperscript{14}

Since the initial application of direct C-H activation towards the synthesis of organic semiconductors, first used to prepare oligomeric thiophenes in 1999\textsuperscript{15} and further exploited to prepare polymers in 2010,\textsuperscript{16} this method has received significant attention due to the simpler monomer preparation compared to Stille and Suzuki reactions, lack of excessively toxic intermediates and byproducts, and more recently, the use of environmentally benign and/or renewable solvents.\textsuperscript{17,18,19,20,21,22} Direct (hetero)arylation polymerization (DHAP, also termed DArP) has become the standard method for preparation of dioxyheterocycle polymers for redox active applications\textsuperscript{23,24,25} and has even been demonstrated to produce polymers with applicability in organic photovoltaics (OPVs) that show comparable power conversion efficiency (PCE) to polymers synthesized via Stille cross-couplings.\textsuperscript{20,26,27} DHAP also has been found to be relatively insensitive to atmospheric oxygen and tolerant to water, with truly anhydrous conditions inhibiting effective polymerization unless additional base is added.\textsuperscript{28} The high dielectric solvent reaction conditions (e.g. DMF, NMP, or DMAc as a solvent) favored for dioxythiophenes
(XDOTs) and phenylenes increase the catalytic turnover, resulting in rapid polymerizations. However, this increased polarity also increases the reactivity of C-H bonds on heteroaromatic β-carbons which can result in branched or cross-linked polymer chains, making these conditions unsuitable for monomers with unprotected reactive sites. Here we focus on the conditions needed to make polymers of thiophene-based cores and excluding dioxyheterocycles\textsuperscript{23} that cannot form branching defects. In these cases, low dielectric solvents (e.g. toluene, xylenes, chlorobenzene, or THF) are used, typically with additional additives, to yield low defect materials.\textsuperscript{29} Several “greener” solvents (e.g. 2-MeTHF or \textit{p}-cymene)\textsuperscript{19,30} also have been found to be effective for these reactions.

Over the past decade indaceno[1,2-b:5,6-b’]dithiophene (IDT) copolymers have become ubiquitous in the field of OTFTs.\textsuperscript{31} Of particular note, is the alternating donor-acceptor (D-A) copolymer of IDT bearing \textit{n}-hexadecyl side chains with 2,1,3-benzothiadiazole (BT).\textsuperscript{32,33} This polymer, termed IDT-BT, has provided a standard for the development of new high mobility \textit{p}-type polymers. Since its development, a variety of derivatives have been reported varying the fused core, side chains, and acceptor comonomer, leading to organic thin film transistor (OTFT) hole mobility (\(\mu_h\)) values approaching 3 \(\text{cm}^2/\text{Vs}\) in optimized devices.\textsuperscript{31,34} While IDT-BT is typically prepared via Suzuki polymerizations, as shown in Scheme 1, the majority of other polymers using IDT-based cores are prepared using Stille polymerizations.\textsuperscript{31,35} The IDT unit, typically with \textit{n}-octyl, 2-ethylhexyl, or \textit{p}-(\textit{n}-hexyl)phenyl side chains, also serves as a key building block for discrete molecular acceptors used in OPVs,\textsuperscript{36} and are conventionally prepared via either Stille or Suzuki reactions.
Here, we report that high molecular weight IDT-BT can be prepared via DHAP with comparable OTFT performance to batches synthesized via Suzuki methods, with OTFT hole mobilities exceeding 2 cm²/Vs. Simple polymerization conditions are used with a select few variations to evaluate how variables, such as precatalyst, ligand, or co-ligand, influence molecular weight, cross-coupling defects, and, ultimately, device properties. A discussion of different DHAP conditions, and how the reagents used in this work were selected is included to provide insight for those intending to use DHAP. Various methods, including high resolution scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) imaging, are used to elucidate the polymer structures. Finally, we demonstrate that the simple C-H activation conditions reported here can be used to prepare IDT-based acceptor cores used for OPVs, simplifying synthesis for development of high-performance conjugated polymers, new molecular acceptors, and, subsequently, industrial scale-up.

As a means to initially assess our C-H activation methodology with the IDT core, we utilized C-H activation for the synthesis of discrete acceptor molecules (previously termed non-fullerene acceptors (NFAs) in the literature) typically used in OPV and OTFT applications. Currently, IDT-based small molecules represent a significant fraction of state-of-the-art acceptor materials for OPV and have found additional application in organic photodetectors and OTFTs. These materials are generally prepared by stannylation of the IDT core or bromination of IDT and borylation of the complimentary acceptor reactant. This introduces additional synthetic steps using toxic reagents to yield intermediates that can be difficult to purify. Here, we apply simple C-H activation methodology previously used to synthesize ion sensing molecules to prepare a commonly

Scheme 1. Synthetic outline of IDT-BT prepared using Suzuki, Stille, or DHAP conditions.
studied acceptor core. As detailed in the SI and shown in Scheme 2a, n-octyl functionalized IDT was coupled to commercially available 7-bromo-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole-4-carboxaldehyde with a high yield of 86% in one step. This protocol demonstrates a significant reduction in the synthetic complexity of OPV acceptor units via direct arylation methods and provides an initial set of conditions to be used for polymerizations.

Scheme 2. a) Synthesis of an IDT-based molecular acceptor model system and b) synthesis of IDT-BT via Suzuki and DHAP. In the DHAP conditions: L1 = (o-MeOPh)₃P and L2 = (o-MeOPh)₃P + TMEDA.

IDT-BT batches (referred to as DHAP-L, DHAP-M, and DHAP-H, for low-, medium-, and high-molecular weight batches, respectively) were prepared, as shown in Scheme 2, using conditions described in detail in the Supporting Information (SI) and briefly discussed here. The solvent, proton transfer shuttle, and base were kept constant in this work, as these play more subtle roles in the polymerization, while the precatalyst and ligand systems were manipulated to yield the different DHAP batches, as shown in Table 1. The conventional proton transfer shuttle and base pair of pivalic acid and cesium carbonate were selected due to their broad use in DHAP. Mesitylene was chosen as the low dielectric solvent for this study as it has been reported to be less reactive and yields higher molecular weight polymers compared to other common aromatic solvents and was found to effectively dissolve IDT-BT. A total monomer concentration was maintained at 0.1-0.2
M to a balancing of reaction kinetics and effective solvation of the growing polymer chains. Palladium(0) and palladium(II) precatalysts both have been used in DHAP to prepare high performance materials. While the Pd(II) precatalysts, Pd(OAc)$_2$ and Herrmann's catalyst, are most commonly used, PdCl$_2^{44,45}$ was selected for this work as it has been reported that the free Cl anion can improve the $M_n$ of resulting polymers compared to Pd(OAc)$_2$. Pd$_2$dba$_3$ is the Pd(0) precatalyst of choice for C-H activation as Pd(PPh$_3$)$_4$ has repeatedly been found to be ineffective for this methodology. Pd$_2$dba$_3$ and PdCl$_2$ were used to produce DHAP-H and DHAP-L, respectively. While ligands are typically not used for DHAP in high dielectric solvents, ligands are generally needed when using a low dielectric and noncoordinating media to more effectively solubilize the Pd complex. Tris(o-methoxyphenyl)phosphine [(o-MeOPh)$_3$P] was selected as the ligand as it has been found highly effective in C-H activation reaction for small molecules$^{42,46}$ and polymers.$^{47,48}$ The diamine $N,N,N',N'$-tetramethylethlenediamine (TMEDA) has been reported to function as a co-ligand with o-MeOPh)$_3$P in DHAP reactions, yielding increased polymer molecular weights by suppressing side reactions.$^{49,50}$ Motivated by these reports, the TMEDA “mixed-ligand” system was evaluated in conjunction with Pd$_2$dba$_3$ to produce DHAP-M.

The polymers were purified via precipitation into methanol followed by Soxhlet washing with methanol, acetone, hexanes, and finally dissolution into chloroform followed by reprecipitation and washing with methanol, as detailed in the SI. The yields of the polymerization, in terms of the CHCl$_3$ soluble and isolated fractions, varied significantly based on the Pd precatalyst used, with a low yield of 33% for DHAP-L and excellent yields of 96% and 94% for DHAP-M and DHAP-H, respectively. The lower yield observed from DHAP-L is due to a significant amount of the material, presumably lower molecular weight oligomer/polymer chains, being removed in Soxhlet fractions (primarily hexanes) prior to extraction of the polymer in chloroform. The composition of the polymers was probed using elemental analysis and was found to slightly vary from the expected values for DHAP-L and DHAP-M, while matching the expected values for DHAP-H, as listed with the synthetic details in the SI. From this we can expect some structural variation in DHAP-L and DHAP-M from the proposed polymer repeat units. The structure of the repeat unit was further probed and confirmed via $^1$H NMR, as shown in Figure S1.
Table 1. Synthetic and molecular weight information for the IDT-BT batches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Ligand</th>
<th>Reaction Yield (%)</th>
<th>GPC</th>
<th>STM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$M_n$</td>
<td>$M_w$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suz-M</td>
<td>Pd$_2$dba$_3$</td>
<td>(oTol)$_3$P</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHAP-L</td>
<td>PdCl$_2$</td>
<td>(oMeOPh)$_3$P</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHAP-M</td>
<td>Pd$_2$dba$_3$</td>
<td>(oMeOPh)$_3$P + TMEDA</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHAP-H</td>
<td>Pd$_2$dba$_3$</td>
<td>(oMeOPh)$_3$P</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>214</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) Estimated vs. polystyrene standards in TCB at 140 °C. b) $D = M_w/M_n$.

The number and weight average molecular weight ($M_n$ and $M_w$, respectively) and molecular weight dispersity ($D = M_w/M_n$) of the of the polymers, listed in Table 1, were estimated using gel permeation chromatography (GPC) with 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene (TCB) as the eluent relative to narrow molecular weight polystyrene standards, shown in Figure S2. These polymers were compared to a representative batch of IDT-BT of medium molecular weight prepared via Suzuki polymerization (Suz-M), with molecular weight information also listed in Table 1. Both of the DHAP batches prepared using the Pd(0) precatalyst are comparable to Suz-M in terms of molecular weight, albeit with broader dispersities. Use of TMEDA does not, in this case, increase the $M_n$ of the polymer and actually lowers the $M_n$ relative to the exact same conditions without it. The Pd(II) precatalyst resulted in a lower molecular weight polymer, as expected, due to the stoichiometric imbalance introduced from homocoupling of the IDT units to produce the active Pd(0) catalyst. This type of homocoupling has been directly observed for XDOT copolymers prepared using a Pd(II) catalyst in DHAP via matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization (MALDI) mass spectrometry. Additionally, the lower yield and $M_n$ of DHAP-L suggest that the reported benefit of Cl anions is not generally applicable.
The molecular weights of the polymers also were examined by using a recently developed methodology that utilizes electrospray deposition (ESD) coupled with ultrahigh vacuum STM to image polymers at high spatial resolution\textsuperscript{52} and determine their length distribution.\textsuperscript{53,54} The resulting molecular weight distributions are reported in Figure S3 and the corresponding $M_n$ values are listed in Table 1. While the trend in $M_n$ is consistent between the two methods used for molecular weight estimation, the GPC results indicates higher molecular weights than those observed via STM. It has been previously noted that GPC measurements can overestimate molecular weights\textsuperscript{55} for conjugated polymers due to various factors including aggregation. While the STM analysis should not be affected by these factors, it could however be biased towards lower molecular weights, due to a combination of lower solubility of longer polymers and the finite size of the images used in evaluating the $M_n$ values (see SI).

Irrespectively of the polymerization method and the precatalyst used in DHAP, individual polymers appear as flexible, elongated structures that occasionally display high-curvature bends, as seen in representative STM images of the different polymer batches (Figure 1a-d). For all four batches, the molecular chains adsorb face-on onto the Au(111) substrate and, despite the absence of long-range order,\textsuperscript{56} they locally tend to align parallel to each other, particularly in the regions of higher molecular density. DHAP-L polymers form 2D films visually more compact and ordered (Figure 1b) than their higher $M_n$ counterparts (Figures 1c,d). This behavior is largely ascribable to an average shorter length that facilitates the parallel arrangement of the polymers with respect to the DHAP-M and DHAP-H batches.
Figure 1. STM images of a) Suz-M, b) DHAP-L, c) DHAP-M, and d) DHAP-H IDT-BT polymers deposited in vacuum by ESD on a Au(111) substrate. e) High resolution STM image of a self-assembled island of DHAP-L, showing a region with several parallel arranged macromolecules and highly interdigitated side chains. f) Zoom-in area of e) with a superposed molecular model. Images a), b), c) and d) were acquired at −145 °C with a sample bias between −1.5 and −1.3 V and a tunneling current between 30 and 50 pA; images e) and f) at −196 °C with a sample bias of −1.0 V and +1.0 V respectively and a tunneling current of 90 pA. The black scale bars correspond to 40 nm in a), b), c), and d), to 3 nm in e) and 2 nm in f).

Scheme S1 provides the structures of theoretical defects that could potentially be formed during the DHAP synthesis. In DHAP, homocoupling of the dibromide species (Scheme S1a) is not expected based on the catalytic cycle, but homocoupling of the dihydrogen species (Scheme S1b) is expected to occur in equal quantity to the catalyst loading when using a Pd(II)-based catalyst, and could potentially be found in greater abundance if an oxidant (e.g. O₂) is present in the reaction media. Finally, branching of the polymer chain (Scheme S1c), referred to as a β-defect, is caused by unselective C-H activation. This is typically observed when using a high dielectric solvent, or when a bromine atom is adjacent to an active C-H bond. By using mesitylene as the solvent and
the molecular design of the IDT and BT comonomers, observation of β-defects is not expected in this study under the selected reaction conditions.

To find possible indications of these defects, multiple STM images were analyzed. If present, polymer chain branching should be apparent in large scale images as the splitting of a single polymer strand into two exiting branches. No evidence of this behavior was observed in any of the samples studied. There are a few cases where four polymer strands can be seen emerging from the same position but the use of higher magnification reveals this to be a crossing point between two individual, regular polymer chains. Although not very frequently, these crossings can indeed result from the random deposition of the macromolecules onto the gold surface. Because the observed crossings invariably involved four strands, they should not be mistaken for actual branching points of the polymers’ backbones. If these crossings corresponded to branching defects, connectivity between three strands would be visualized (see Scheme S1c). Moreover, in all of the observed crossings, a brighter protrusion is typically recognizable at the position of overlap, indicating a locally higher surface topography, which is what is expected as two polymer chains cross one another (see Figure S4 as an example).

In order to establish the occurrence of the other two types of potential polymerization defects, highly resolved images are needed to sequence individual molecular units along the backbones, as previously reported.53 Such resolution has been achieved in the case of the more ordered DHAP-L batch, as shown in Figure 1e. The polymer backbones display a periodic sequence of bright protrusions (average separation 1.6 ± 0.1 nm), each of which is caused by the two non-planar sp³ bridging quaternary carbons in the IDT units. The solubilizing side chains appear as paired elongated structures extending perpendicular from the backbones and interdigitating with the side chains of neighboring polymers in a way that bears some analogies with the assembly expected in solid-state thin films.59 While the relatively high density of quaternary carbon atoms makes it difficult to attain the resolution needed to directly sequence the polymers, the location of the IDT sub-units can be estimated from the bright protrusions along the backbone and from the exit position of the alkyl chains with respect to the backbone. Using this methodology, as shown in Figure 1f, it is possible to construct a molecular model that
satisfactorily fits the STM image, showing that the DHAP-L polymer chains are mostly defect free. Only in an extremely small number of cases, have we managed to detect locations along the backbones, where the distances between successive IDT sub-units appeared to be smaller than the regular ones (see Figure 2). In these instances, a satisfactory molecular modelling could only be attained by assuming that two successive IDT sub-units were directly connected to each other without any BT sub-unit in between (see Figure 2b); in other words, these defects correspond to dihydrogen homocouplings (Scheme S1b), as is expected in trace amounts when using a Pd(II) precatalyst. As far as can be determined by examination of high-resolution images, the few observed defects account for <1% of the total analyzed monomer couplings and consist only of occasional examples of dihydrogen homocouplings. Details of the defect analysis are reported in Table S2.

**Figure 2.** a) High-resolution STM image of a high-density region of the DHAP-L polymer; b) same area of a) with a superposed molecular model. The red circles indicate the position of a dihydrogen homocoupling polymerization defect, appearing like a four-fold clover in STM. The double arrows in b) indicate the separation between successive polymer sub-units for a regular polymer (white) and for a polymer with a homocoupling (red). The image was acquired at a sample temperature of 196 °C with a tunneling current \( I = 60 \) pA and a sample bias voltage \( V_{\text{bias}} = +1.25 \) V. The white scale bars correspond to 3 nm.

Proton (\(^1\)H) nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy is another useful method for probing polymer structures for defects. As seen in Figure 3a, the aromatic region of the spectra shows the same dominant peaks for all DHAP batches and Suz-M.
The spectrum of DHAP-L shows several additional peaks, denoted with *, between 7.70 and 8.10 ppm. These peaks (ca. 8.08, 7.88, and 7.79 ppm) can be attributed to terminal BT units based on their chemical shifts and splitting patterns. Visualization of these terminal units is indicative of a low $M_n$ polymer and a relatively higher fraction of chain ends. The IDT $\beta$-proton peak, centered at ~7.43 ppm, shows a clear shoulder that could also be the result of end IDT units, however, the overlap of these peaks limits definitive determination. All of these features are absent in the DHAP-M and -H spectra, which is attributed to their higher molecular weights. No homocoupling defects are observed in the DHAP batches, however, due to the breadth of polymer NMR peaks it is impossible to definitively say there is no homocoupling based on these results. The spectrum of Suz-M shows a doublet at ~7.91 ppm, indicated by a + sign. While the origin of this proton shift is unclear, the fact it is unique to the Suz-M suggests it is the proton resulting from protodeborylation of BT units.

Figure 3. a) $^1$H-NMR spectra of the aromatic region of the polymers in CDCl$_3$, showing potential end groups with * and unknown defects with +. b) UV-vis spectra of films of the IDT-BT batches on glass.

UV-vis absorbance spectroscopy shows nearly identical absorption profiles between the polymers prepared from different methods. As seen in Figure 3b, there is minimal difference between the higher weight batches from DHAP and the Suz-M batch, which is indicative of all of the polymers reaching sufficiently high molecular weights to achieve saturated electronic properties. DHAP-L shows slight tailing of the absorption profile at low energy, potentially due to the previously noted homocoupling of IDTs. Electrochemical analysis of the polymers shows larger variations between batches. From
the cyclic voltammograms (CVs) of the polymer films, shown in Figure S6, it can be seen that there is almost no difference between the DHAP-H, DHAP-M, and Suz-M. DHAP-L shows similar behavior, but with less well resolved oxidation and reduction features.

The performance of each polymer was evaluated in OTFT devices. Initial IDT-BT samples were found to have $\mu_h$ of $\sim 1$ cm$^2$/Vs and optimization of molecular weight and device architecture has produced devices with $\mu_h$ as high as $\sim 3$ cm$^2$/Vs.$^{32,56}$ The molecular weight of a polymer can have either a dramatic or minimal effect on the electronic properties of a system depending on both the specific polymer backbone and the application of interest. Many redox active polymers, such as dioxythiophenes,$^{25}$ do not show significant molecular weight dependencies above an initial low $M_n$, while other systems, such as poly(3-hexylthiophene),$^{60,61}$ show clear molecular weight trends for a range of applications. As the mobility of IDT-BT is known to be sensitive to molecular weight,$^{56}$ two additional batches prepared via Suzuki were also assessed. From the transfer and output characteristics of the polymers, shown in Figure 4 for DHAP-H and in Figure S7 for the other polymers, it can be seen that the DHAP materials compare favorably to those synthesized via Suzuki polymerizations. As seen in Table 2, all of the polymers demonstrated high $\mu_h$, indicative of low defect materials as OTFT device performance is sensitive to impurities and/or polymer defects. While the Suzuki batches show on/off ratios ranging from $10^4$ to $10^6$, the DHAP batches are all on the order of $10^6$. This could potentially be due to a higher purity of the polymers, fewer coupling defects, or the lack of residual boronic ester/acid functionalities on polymer chain ends.
Figure 4. a) Representative saturation transfer and b) output characteristics for Suz-H OTFT devices. c) Representative saturation transfer and d) output characteristics for DHAP-H OTFT devices.

Table 2. Summary of IDT-BT OTFT device performance for all Suzuki and DHAP batches.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>$\mu_h$ (cm$^2$/Vs)</th>
<th>$V_{th}$ (V)</th>
<th>$I_{on}/I_{off}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Suz-L</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.06</td>
<td>-19±1.5</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suz-M</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>-10±2.2</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suz-H</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>-30±0.7</td>
<td>$7 \times 10^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHAP-L</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.07</td>
<td>-8±1.4</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHAP-M</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>-18±2.7</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHAP-H</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-33±2.3</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As seen in Figure 5, the various batches of IDT-BT show the expected trend of increasing mobility with $M_n$, for this system. Due to the relatively low crystallinity of IDT-BT, the magnitude of the $D$ does not seem to affect the observed trend. While the $M_n$ of DHAP-H is ~ 40 kg/mol lower than Suz-H, the mobilities of the samples are within error of each other, indicating a statistically insignificant difference in values and demonstrating that polymers prepared via DHAP can match those produced by other methods.

**Figure 5.** Mobility of IDT-BT OTFTs as a function of molecular weight, as determined by GPC, for both Suzuki (red) and DHAP (blue) batches, with error bars representing one standard deviation from the mean across more than 10 devices.

In summary, we show that simple DHAP conditions can produce high molecular weight and high purity copolymers with OTFT performance comparable to batches prepared from more traditionally used methods. Reagent selection can be used to control the molecular weight of IDT-BT, with a catalyst system prepared from a Pd(0) precatalyst and electron rich phosphine ligand providing the highest weight, and highest mobility, material. Introduction of a diamine coligand was found to be unnecessary for this class of monomers, with a slightly higher $M_n$ observed when TMEDA was omitted from the reaction. The previously observed benefit of free chloride ions in solution, relative to
acetate, could not overcome the drawbacks of using a Pd(II) precatalyst, with the polymer prepared by this route having the lowest synthetic yield, $M_n$, and OTFT mobility. Further research will be needed to determine if free chloride ions used in conjunction with a Pd(0) precatalyst could yield improved results.

STM imaging confirms the GPC trends in the mass distributions and indicates that the polymers contain minimal defects. High resolution NMR spectra do not show any clear defects in the polymers prepared using DHAP and elemental analysis shows excellent agreement between the theoretical values and those obtained experimentally for the optimized DHAP batch (namely DHAP-H). This finding expands the scope and ease of development for new high mobility fused polymers. Additionally, as the IDT unit is the benchmark core for non-fullerene acceptors (NFAs), this methodology can reduce the synthetic complexity of NFA synthesis, which will be vital for large-scale commercialization of OPVs. However, it is important to emphasize that while C-H activation has demonstrated significant progress in regards to the scope of monomers amendable to DHAP polymerization conditions, several challenges still remain. A few select monomers show poor C-H bond selectivity even using optimized conditions, resulting in polymer chain branching and/or chain crosslinking. Additionally, some monomers (e.g. thiophene or furan) have low boiling points that limit their implementation in DHAP. In these situations, methods such as Stille, Suzuki, and GRIM should be considered as potentially more productive routes.

Associated Content

Materials, polymerization conditions, characterizations, and instrumentation details can be found in the Supporting Information. This material is available free of charge from the authors or via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.
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