Extending the energy-power balance of Li-ion batteries using graded electrodes with precise spatial control of local composition
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HIGHLIGHTS

- Energy-power trade-off in Li-ion cells is mitigated by composition graded electrodes.
- A composition gradient across an electrode thickness is realized by spray deposition.
- A heterogeneous electrode structure increases active materials utilization.
- A trapezoidal graded distribution increases the power performance of electrodes.
- C-rate response and cycling behaviour are enhanced by composition graded electrodes.

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
Lithium-ion batteries
Energy-power trade-off
Electrode engineering
Composition graded electrodes
Additive manufacturing

ABSTRACT

Commercial Li-ion cell electrodes comprise a random mix of the constituent materials largely unchanged for more than three decades. During fast charge/discharge, electrode-scale Li-ion concentration gradients develop, along with a spatially heterogeneous distribution of overpotential, utilization and degradation of active material, which ultimately restricts the range of realizable energy-power combinations. We expand energy-power characteristics and reduce cell degradation rate using electrodes that are compositionally graded at the microscale to homogenize active material utilization. Trapezoidal-graded composition LiFePO\textsubscript{4} cathodes, enabled by a layer-by-layer deposition technique, are compared with conventional electrodes: at an energy density of 500 Wh L\textsuperscript{-1}, the best graded electrode design increased power density from approximately 100 W L\textsuperscript{-1} to 630 W L\textsuperscript{-1}, while at a power density of 300 W L\textsuperscript{-1}, the energy density increased from approximately 420 Wh L\textsuperscript{-1} to 600 Wh L\textsuperscript{-1}.

The results highlight the potential for new manufacturing approaches and electrode designs to provide performance enhancements for existing and future Li ion battery chemistries.

1. Introduction

To meet the performance demands of energy storage applications in electric vehicles (EVs) there is a need for improved Li-ion batteries (LIBs) that combine high energy density with improved power density, slower capacity degradation and reducing cost [1–3]. Existing commercial LIBs
produce an identical, essentially random mixture of the constituents. From a microstructural point of view, the objective of manufacture is to position the actives and additives and dissolve the binder [9]. The mixture of low intrinsic capacity making optimization to sustain capacity performance valuable. We investigate active materials loadings of 90 wt% that are significantly higher than previous work [35,36]. The electrodes are additively manufactured by a layer-by-layer spray deposition technique, achieving through-thickness grading with near µm-scale resolution. Critically, we vary local composition while producing a range of electrodes with the same overall approximate weight, porosity, and proportion of materials. This level of compositional control, and the ability to use exactly the same materials used in the ubiquitous slurry casting electrode fabrication route allows detailed back-to-back comparison. While numerous works consider only monotonic through-thickness grading arrangements, we explore designs that increase local carbon and binder (CAB) fractions in the region of the electrode lower (electrode/current collector) and upper (electrode/separator) interfaces, and maximize active fraction in electrode central regions – producing a “trapezoidal” or “flat top mountain” distribution of active material through the thickness (e.g., Fig. 1b). Higher binder fraction at the current collector may increase adhesive strength and mechanical stability while more carbon can reduce contact resistance [45]. The benefits of more
CAB towards the separator are more speculative, and part of the hypothesis explored here. Benefits might include: (i) reducing the fraction of active material exposed to the highest local Li concentrations in the near-separator region and so helping to avoid excessive SEI formation or other degradation mechanisms \[46,47\], and (ii) increasing the local ionic mobility at the electrode-separator interface to facilitate ion transport and so prevent excessively steep gradients in bulk electrolyte concentration forming across the electrode \[44\]. Another feature of the graded arrangements is that for a constant overall CAB fraction as used here, relatively high local CAB fractions at the current collector and separator region must be balanced by a reduction in the CAB fraction in the electrode central region. In turn, this may reduce CAB blocking or shadowing of active particle surface in this region, which may help to promote higher achievable electrode capacities.

### 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. Graded electrode fabrication

A layer-by-layer, additive manufacture spray deposition route for LIB electrodes has been developed (Fig. 1a) that fabricates A5 (148 mm × 210 mm) area double-sided electrodes for pouch cells \[48-51\]. The process operates with conventional electrode slurries and compositions but with a greater dilution to enable atomization into a spray that deposits on a current collector foil. During spraying, a peristaltic pump controls the flow rate of suspension to the spray head where it is atomized using compressed air. The arising cone-shaped spray plume is scanned cyclically under computer control over a foil current collector in zig-zag pattern using a x-y-z manipulator gantry. The foil is attached to a heated vacuum chuck held at 140 °C to promote near-instant drying of the droplets and evaporation of the solvent on deposition. There is no significant re-suspension of previously deposited and dried layers, so the

![Fig. 1. (a) Schematic illustration of the experimental set-up for the fabrication of composition graded LIB electrodes. (b) Schematic depiction of a trapezoidal-graded active materials, carbon and binder distribution through the electrode thickness.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrode</th>
<th>Suspension A</th>
<th>Suspension B</th>
<th>Suspension C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AM (mg)</td>
<td>Carbon (mg)</td>
<td>Binder (mg)</td>
<td>NMP (mL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trapezoid-1</td>
<td>405.0</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wt.%</td>
<td>13.50</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>(3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(overall)</td>
<td>(93.0)</td>
<td>(3.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trapezoid-2</td>
<td>648.0</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wt.%</td>
<td>21.60</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(overall)</td>
<td>(93.0)</td>
<td>(3.5)</td>
<td>(3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trapezoid-3</td>
<td>648.0</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wt.%</td>
<td>21.60</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(overall)</td>
<td>(95.0)</td>
<td>(2.5)</td>
<td>(2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trapezoid-4</td>
<td>1080.0</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>28.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wt.%</td>
<td>36.00</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(overall)</td>
<td>(95.0)</td>
<td>(2.5)</td>
<td>(2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniform-90</td>
<td>2700.0</td>
<td>150.0</td>
<td>150.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wt.%</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniform-80</td>
<td>2400.0</td>
<td>300.0</td>
<td>300.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wt.%</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
instantaneous, local fraction of electrode components is “frozen” into the electrode structure. The principal benefit is the ability to change the spray composition over time, or to mix multiple sprays, allowing electrodes with through-thickness (or in-plane) variations in composition, particle size, binder fraction, discrete inter-layers, etc. to be fabricated reproducibly and relatively quickly.

Previous work showed the benefits of a simple, monotonically varying active material and carbon distribution in LFP-based electrodes [35], but here we investigate if any further benefit can arise for more complex trapezoidal arrangements, shown schematically in Fig. 1b, i.e. the active fraction starts relatively low at the current collector (and the CAB fraction is correspondingly relatively high), increases with electrode thickness to a maximum plateau fraction, and then reduces again at the separator. Table 1 lists the electrode materials for each arrangement. Table 1 shows electrode thickness to a maximum plateau fraction, and then reduces again at the separator. Table 1 lists the electrode materials for each arrangement.
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To fabricate the trapezoidal active material distributions, three suspensions A, B and C were used (Table 1). Step 1: suspensions A and B were divided into two equal volume suspensions A1 and A2, and B1 and B2. Step 2: suspension A1 was pumped into suspension B1 at a rate of 2.25 mL min$^{-1}$ and suspension B1 was sprayed at 4.5 mL min$^{-1}$ onto the heated current collector via a nozzle (ViscoMist, Lechler GmbH, Germany). Because suspension A1 flowed into suspension B1, the fraction of electrode materials deposited gradually changed. Both suspensions were magnetically stirred throughout until both suspensions were exhausted. Step 3: suspension C was sprayed at 4.5 mL min$^{-1}$ until exhausted. Step 4 was the exact inverse of Step 2: suspension B1 was pumped into A1 at a rate of 2.25 mL min$^{-1}$ and A1 was simultaneously sprayed at 4.5 mL min$^{-1}$ until both were exhausted. A MATLAB® code was used to calculate the resulting, nominal through thickness local weight ratios [35].

All the suspension were based on LiFePO$_4$ with a particle size of ~300 nm (Hydro-Quebec, Canada), Super-P carbon additive (MTI, USA), PVDF binder (polyvinylidene fluoride, Mw~534,000, Sigma-Aldrich), and a solvent mixture of 1-Methyl-2-pyrrolidinone, (>99.0%, Sigma-Aldrich) and IPA (2-propanol, 99.5%, Sigma-Aldrich) at 1:9 vol ratio. Suspensions were prepared by ball milling of the materials and solvent at 300 rpm for 1 h (FRITSCH Pulverisette 6, Germany) followed by high energy probe ultrasonication for 2 h (20Hz and 750W, Vibra-cell, Sonics Inc., US).

2.2. Coin cell assembly

The areal materials loading of all the LFP cathodes, irrespective of the materials arrangement, was 15.4 ± 0.7 mg cm$^{-2}$. Note these areal materials loadings are significantly higher than most comparable studies [52]. Higher loadings were chosen to amplify any electrode thickness, the calendared thickness were 121 ± 2 μm, electrode densities were 1.30 ± 0.02 g cm$^{-3}$, and electrode porosities were 56.3 ± 0.7%. The electrode porosity $p$ was calculated according to:

$$p = \left[ 1 - \rho \left( \frac{f_{AM}}{\rho_{AM}} + \frac{f_C}{\rho_C} + \frac{f_B}{\rho_B} \right) \right] \times 100\%$$ (1)

where $p$ is the electrode density; $f_{AM}$, $f_C$, and $f_B$ are weight fractions of active materials, carbon and binder, respectively; $\rho_{AM} = 3.6$ g cm$^{-3}$, $\rho_C = 1.8$ g cm$^{-3}$, and $\rho_B = 1.74$ g cm$^{-3}$ are the densities of active materials, carbon (super-P) and binder (PVDF), respectively. Although the electrodes with $f_{AM} = 80\%$ had a lower calendared density than those with $f_{AM} = 90\%$, once the relative densities of the differing fractions were accounted for, the electrode porosities were all closely similar (55–56%) with an electrode materials loading of 15.4 ± 0.7 mg cm$^{-2}$.

CR2032 half-cells were assembled with the LFP-based positive electrodes working against Li foil, a Celgard separator, and 1 M LiPF$_6$ in ethylene carbonate and dimethyl carbonate electrolyte (EC/DMC = 50/50 v/v, Sigma-Aldrich, UK) electrolyte. Before assembling, all cell components were stored in a vacuum oven at 70 °C inside an Ar filled glovebox for more than 5 h and assembled into cells within the same glovebox. Assembled cells were aged for 6–12 h before testing.

2.3. Electrochemical testing

Coin cells were tested using a battery cycler (Arbin Instruments, USA, Models: BT-G-25 and IBT21084LC) in the potential range 2.5–4.2 V vs. Li/Li$^+$ for LFP half-cells at room temperature and at various C-rates from 0.1 to 7C. Here, 0.1C corresponded to 17.0 mA g$^{-1}$. Within each cycle, charging and discharging were performed at the same C-rate.

2.4. Materials characterisation

After electrode calendaring, pristine electrode cross-sections were observed in a CarlZeiss Merlin (Germany) high-resolution field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM) combined with an Oxford Instruments (UK) Xmax 150 energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) detector. EDX element mapping and line scanning across the electrode thickness were performed to obtain qualitative element distributions. Electrode cross-sections shown in Fig. 2 were prepared by breaking the electrodes with tweezers.

3. Results and discussion

The plots in Fig. 2a–d shows the ideal, designed local fraction variations as a function of distance from the electrode surface (close to the separator) “down” towards the current collector for the electrodes given in Table 1. All the electrodes had the same overall composition ratio of active material LFP:carbon:binder of 90:5:5 (wt.%), the same overall coating thickness and density, but with different local composition variations through the electrode thickness: a uniform distribution in Fig. 2a (U90 hereafter) and trapezoidal distributions 2, 3 and 4 in Fig. 2b, c and d (T2, T3, T4 hereafter). Superimposed solid symbols in Fig. 2a–d show EDX line scan intensity data from spray printed electrode cross-sections for Fe K$_{\alpha 1}$, C, and F K$_{\alpha 1}$ that depict the distribution of LFP, carbon conductive additive and PVDF binder, respectively. The EDX intensity data cannot be interpreted directly as the local weight fraction of each of the materials. Nonetheless the EDX traces provided reassurance that experimental distributions qualitatively matched and differentiated the uniform (Fig. 2a) and the trapezoidal designs (Fig. 2b–d). For example, the increased thickness of the carbon and binder rich region at the electrode periphery from 15% of total thickness in T3 (Fig. 2c) to 25% in T4 (Fig. 2d) was clearly differentiated. As intended, the electrode thicknesses were almost identical.

Fig. 2e–h shows EDX element maps superimposed on the SEM images (shown in Fig. S1 of the Supplementary Data) of the uniform and graded electrodes cross-section corresponding to the designs in Fig. 2a–d,
respectively. The micrographs and maps show there were no step changes in local composition and no internal delamination.

For ease of comparison, Fig. 3a shows the electrode materials design for U90 and the graded electrodes T1, T2 and T3 (Table 1) in a single plot. The relative thickness of the central plateau of high LFP fraction was maintained at 70% of the total thickness, but the graded regions differed. For example, in the plateau region, T1 and T2 had the same composition ratio of 93:3.5:3.5 (wt.%) and T3 had a ratio of 95:2.5:2.5, but at the edges of the graded region the ratios were 76:12:12 for T1, 59.8:20.1:20.1 for T2, and 49.4:25.3:25.3 for T3.

Fig. 3b shows that at low C-rate (0.1C) the electrodes had similar discharge capacities of ~147 mAh g$^{-1}$ and microstructural design played no differentiating role. As the C-rate increased up to 3C, all the electrodes had reduced capacity, which should be expected, particularly given relatively high electrode thicknesses of ~100 μm after calendaring. Electrode T3, with the highest CAB fraction and lowest active fraction at the periphery but the highest active fraction in the plateau region, retained the highest capacity of ~3 times the uniform counterpart at 1C. All the electrodes had similar degradation rates during cycling at 0.5C (Fig. 3c), but the improved capacity retention of the graded electrodes compared with the uniform electrode was maintained. These data suggest that electrode capacity was sensitive to the micro-arrangement of constituents: the C-rate performance was improved by redistributing CAB to the electrode upper and lower regions, with a consequent increase in active material fraction in central regions.

Galvanostatic charge-discharge curves for U90, T1, T2 and T3 electrodes are plotted in Fig. 4a–d respectively. The ability to maintain capacity with increasing rate C-rate from 0.1C to 1C was confirmed as U90 < T1 < T2 < T3 with discharge capacities at 1C of 43, 62, 89 and 120 mAh g$^{-1}$, respectively. The corresponding first derivative of capacity to voltage (dQ/dE) for each electrode is plotted as a function of voltage in Fig. 4e–h. The peak of dQ/dE identifies the voltage position of the redox
reactions of Li-ion intercalation/deintercalation. With increasing C-rate, both anodic and cathodic peak voltages shifted from the open-circuit-voltage of \(~3.43~V\), arising from a change in the associated overpotential \(\eta\).

In the widely used Newman model of Li ion batteries [53], the Butler-Volmer equation is used to describe the reaction kinetics at the active particle/electrolyte interface.

\[
i_n = i_0 \exp \left( \frac{\alpha_a F}{RT} \eta \right) - \exp \left( \frac{\alpha_c F}{RT} \eta \right)
\]

(2a)

\[
i_0 = F(\kappa_a) \alpha_a (c_{s,max} - c_s) \alpha_a (c_s)c_{s,max}
\]

(2b)

\[
\eta = \Phi_s - \Phi_e - U(c_s)
\]

(2c)

where \(i_n\) is the current density normal to the surface of active material due to the Li intercalation/deintercalation, \(i_0\) is the exchange current density, \(\alpha_a\) and \(\alpha_c\) are transfer coefficients of anodic and cathodic directions of a reaction, \(\kappa_a\) and \(\kappa_c\) are rate constants for the anodic and cathodic directions of the reaction, \(\eta\) is the local value of surface overpotential, \(\Phi_s\) and \(\Phi_e\) are electric potentials of solid phase and the liquid (electrolyte) phase, \(c_s\) and \(c_e\) are the Li\(^+\) concentrations in the electrolyte and the active material at the interface, \(c_{s,max}\) is the maximum Li\(^+\) concentration in the active material, and \(U(c_s)\) is the open-circuit potential of active material that is \(c_s\) dependent. Eq. (2) shows the reaction rate strongly depends on the local overpotential \(\eta\) and the local Li\(^+\) concentrations in the active material \(c_s\) and the electrolyte \(c_e\) at the interface.

During discharge of a LFP cathode, Li\(^+\) is intercalated first at the active particle surface and is then slowly transported through the particle according to Fick’s 2nd law of diffusion [53].

\[
\frac{\partial c_s}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ D_a r^{2} \frac{\partial c_s}{\partial r} \right] \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < r < R_s
\]

(3a)

\[-D_e \frac{\partial c_e}{\partial r} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad r = 0\]

(3b)

\[-D_e \frac{\partial c_e}{\partial r} = \frac{i_n}{F} \quad \text{for} \quad r = R_s\]

(3c)

The active particle was assumed to be spherical with a radius \(R_s\). \(D_a\) is the diffusion coefficient of Li in the active particle. In Eq. (3c), the rate of

---

**Fig. 4.** (a–d) Galvanostatic charge-discharge curves of the LFP half-cells with U90, T1, T2, and T3 cathodes, respectively. (e–f) First derivative of capacity with respect to voltage \((dQ/dE)\) against voltage, corresponding to (a–d). (i) Discharge capacity as a function of C-rate. (j) Polarization obtained from the voltage difference between galvanostatic charge and discharge curves as a function of C-rate. (k) Discharge capacity as a function of polarization.
Li\textsuperscript{+} ion transport at the particle surface corresponds to the rate of Butler-Volmer reaction kinetics. At fast C-rates, the vacancies at the particle surface to host Li\textsuperscript{+} may be saturated before Li vacancies beneath the surface are fully occupied, i.e. $c_{i} \rightarrow c_{i,max}$ at the particle surface, and $c_{i} \ll c_{i,max}$ at the particle core. From Eq. (2b), the exchange current density ($i_0$) tends to zero because $c_{i} \rightarrow c_{i,max}$ at the particle surface. From Eq. (2a), in order to maintain the high current output at fast C-rates, the overpotential $\eta$ has to be elevated to provide a larger driving force for the reaction to take place. As a result, the cell cut-off voltage will be the moderately concentrated electrolyte theory [53, 54].

When all the active particles are now considered across the electrode thickness, macroscopic heterogeneity at the electrode level arises due to the inhomogeneous Li\textsuperscript{+} concentration in the electrolyte, which follows the moderately concentrated electrolyte theory [53, 54].

\[ \varepsilon \frac{dc_{i}}{dt} = \frac{D_{eff}}{x} \frac{dc_{i}}{dx} + \frac{a(1 - c_{i})}{F} \]

(4a)

\[ D_{eff} = D_{a} e^\delta \]

(4b)

where $x$ is along the electrode (and the cell) thickness, $\varepsilon$ is electrode porosity, $a$ is specific interfacial area of the electrode, $\delta$ is the effective diffusion coefficient of the electrolyte, $D_{a}$ is the intrinsic diffusion coefficient of the electrolyte, and $b$ is the Bruggeman coefficient. According to numerical solutions of Newman’s model [54], $c_{i}$ reduces steadily from the electrode/separator interface to the electrode/current collector interface during discharging of a cathode, and this concentration gradient becomes steeper with increasing C-rate.

Under these conditions, for particles located close to the current collector of the cathode, the relatively low local $c_{i}$ leads to reduced $i_0$ according to Eq. (2b). The local reaction rate will be consequently reduced and there is not enough Li\textsuperscript{+} in the electrolyte to intercalate fully into the active cathode particle. For particles located closer to the separator where $c_{i}$ will be relatively high, the particles tend towards fully intercalated. Therefore, particles across the electrode thickness as a whole are not homogeneously utilized at electrode thickness scale. To address this inhomogeneity in active material utilization, recent simulations of compositionally graded electrodes predicted that the reaction rate could be homogenized by rearranging the electrode materials micro-distribution through the electrode thickness [44] and similar to the ideas explored experimentally here.

Here, we assume the voltage difference between matching anodic and cathodic peaks gives an indication of the polarization between Li\textsuperscript{+} deintercalation (anodic) and intercalation (cathodic) reactions, which are marked by the dashed vertical green lines in Fig. 4e-h. This polarization is approximately twice the overpotential for either deintercalation or intercalation reactions for a given C-rate [55].

Fig. 4i summarizes the discharge capacity data in Fig. 4a-d; Fig. 4j summarizes the polarization data from Fig. 4e-h as a function of C-rate; and finally, Fig. 4k then combines the data to show the strong relationship between discharge capacity and extent of polarization. Graded electrodes with higher capacity retention had lower polarization at each C-rate, i.e. a lower overpotential for redox reactions. Note electrode U90 had a lower polarization than T1 electrode (Fig. 4j) but its C-rate performance was nonetheless inferior; U90 also had a faster capacity decay with increasing polarization. As overpotential increases, the rate of side reactions generally also increases, driving the thickening of the SEI layer that increases internal resistance and further elevates overpotential [35, 46]. Fig. 4k shows that in terms of discharge capacity as a function of polarization, the behaviour of graded electrodes was similar but distinctly superior to the uniform electrode.

Fig. S2 in the Supplementary Data and Table 1 gives details of the design and performance of a further T4 arrangement in which the high fraction active material plateau was reduced from 70% of the overall electrode thickness to 50%, and consequently, the edge gradient was less steep. In terms of C-rate capacity retention and degradation rate, T4 was inferior to T3, emphasising the importance of a wide plateau region rich in the active material, and redistributing more CAB to the electrode edges.

In this study the local binder fraction scaled with the local carbon fraction as it was assumed necessary always to have sufficient binder to embed the carbon into a CAB mixture. However, the binder is electrochemically inert and wastes mass and volume, does not contribute to electronic conductivity and may obscure active surface to Li-ions. Although not pursued in this paper, the spray deposition route allows for full decoupling of local binder and carbon fractions, even as the active material fraction also changes, and could be investigated as a route to minimise the overall fraction of parasitic binder in the electrode.

To explore further the materials distribution effects, cyclic voltammetry was conducted and the resulting plots for U90, T2, T3 and T4 electrodes are shown in Fig. 5a-d respectively. As the scan rate increased from 0.05 to 0.09 mV s\textsuperscript{-1} both anodic and cathodic peak currents of the uniform electrode were similar while the peak area tended to shrink, indicative of sluggish reaction kinetics and reducing active material utilization as seen in the earlier C-rate data (Fig. 4). T2 and T4 electrodes exhibited slightly higher peak currents than U90, and the peak areas expanded over the range 0.05–0.08 mV s\textsuperscript{-1}. For electrode T3, both peak current and peak area increased with scan rate, and the difference between peak potentials widened, consistent with its higher capacity retention.

To compare Li-ion mobility in the different electrodes anodic and cathodic peak currents were plotted as a function of the square root of the scan rate, as shown in Fig. 5e and f. Assuming diffusion controlled reactions, the slope of a best-fit line to the Randles-Sevcik equation is proportional to the Li-ion diffusion coefficient [56-58]:

\[ \text{slope} = \frac{i_p}{\sqrt{v}} = 0.4463 \left( \frac{F^3 A D^1/2}{RT} \right)^{1/2} C^* D^{1/2} \alpha \delta^{1/2} \]

(5)

where $i_p$ is the peak current, $v$ is the potential scan rate, $F$ is the Faraday constant, $R$ is the gas constant, $T$ is absolute temperature, $C^*$ is the initial Li-ion concentration, $A$ is the electrochemical active surface area, i.e. the sum of the active particle/electrolyte interfacial area, and $D = D_0 e^\delta$ is the effective Li-ion diffusion coefficient for porous electrode, where $b$ is the Bruggeman coefficient, $\delta$ is electrode porosity, and $D_0$ is the diffusion coefficient without porosity [44]. $C^*$ and $A$ were assumed constant for all electrodes. It has been suggested that when the LFP electrode thickness is $>20 \mu$m (or the electrode loading $>4 \text{mg cm}^{-2}$), the Li-ion diffusion coefficient describes the overall diffusivity of the electrode rather than diffusivity in the LFP particles [59-61]. Given an electrode thickness $\sim100 \mu$m and electrode loading $\sim15 \text{mg cm}^{-2}$, the Li-ion diffusion coefficients estimated here were not the intrinsic diffusivity of Li in LFP particles but reflected the effective Li-ion diffusivity, integrated over both particulate and electrode length-scales. Recent work has shown that for LIBs, Li\textsuperscript{+} diffusion limitations in the electrolyte are the principal restriction to high energy retention at fast charging [10].

Fig. 5e shows that for electrodes T2 to T4 there was a linear dependence of $i_p$ (anodic) on $1/2$ at scan rates $<0.07 \text{mV s}^{-1}$. For electrode U90, there was no best-fit because the scan rates were too high for electrodes of this high mass loading and thickness functioning. Note that due to the sluggish ion diffusion at the fast rates, U90 did not show inflection points (current peaks) at 0.09 mV s\textsuperscript{-1}. Instead, the maximum and minimum current obtained at the positive and negative potential ends are plotted in Fig. 5e and f to provide some indication of rate response, but no fitting to these data was performed. As shown in Fig. 5e, the slope (and therefore Li-ion mobility) increased from T4 (2.05 A g\textsuperscript{-1} mV\textsuperscript{-1/2} s\textsuperscript{1/2}) to T2 (0.341 A g\textsuperscript{-1} mV\textsuperscript{-1/2} s\textsuperscript{1/2}) and then T3 (0.640 A g\textsuperscript{-1} mV\textsuperscript{-1/2} s\textsuperscript{1/2}), and similar to the trend for the cathode peak current.
Variations in pore fraction or tortuosity can have significant effects on Li-ion diffusivity throughout the electrode, but, to a first approximation, pore fraction was essentially the same for all the electrodes. Consequently, it is interesting to speculate on the reasons for the differing Li-ion diffusivity. Given that the aim of grading is to improve the spatial homogeneity of overpotential and local reaction current, it is consistent to infer that the apparent increasing diffusivity arose from more active material being utilized in the diffusion-limited energy storage reactions.

The best-performing T3 graded electrode with 5 wt% carbon and 5 wt% binder was compared with a conventional uniform electrode in which carbon and binder fraction were doubled to 10 wt% and the active fraction correspondingly decreased to 80 wt% (U80). A comparison of the carbon, binder and active distributions is shown in Fig. 6a. Usually, for electrodes of thickness ~100 μm, increasing the carbon fraction to 10 wt% would be expected to improve the C-rate response [62,63]; indeed, Fig. 6b and c confirm that U80 outperformed U90 in terms of capacity per unit weight of active material. The C-rate response of U80 was now similar to T3 up to 1C, although T3 maintained its superior capacity at 2C and 3C. In terms of capacity degradation during cycling at 0.5C, U80 was more similar to T3 than to U90, although T3 was again superior to both uniform electrodes. Fig. 6e and f shows the same gravimetric data replotted using the total electrode materials mass (active + carbon + binder). At 0.1C, the extra carbon in U80 provided little benefit and undermined gravimetric capacity, and thereafter, the C-rate response and degradation rate were now markedly inferior to T3. For example, T3 specific capacities were 133 mAh g⁻¹ (0.1C) and 102 mAh g⁻¹ (1C), while U80 capacities were 118 mAh g⁻¹ (0.1C) and 83 mAh g⁻¹ (1C); the T3 average degradation rate was 0.34 mAh g⁻¹ per cycle while for U80 it was 0.50 mAh g⁻¹ per cycle.

Although the response of the trapezoidal graded electrodes in Fig. 6e and f is significantly better than uniform electrodes, the response should also be considered in terms of linear graded arrangements that were the focus of previous work [35,36]. At a lower, less useful active fraction of 80 wt% LFP, the previous studies showed that linear grading (carbon-rich at the current collector for LFP electrodes) was superior to trapezoidal grading in terms of capacity with increasing C-rate. However, trapezoidal grading gave the slowest capacity degradation rate of all the electrodes studied during long term cycling. Therefore, combining prior and current work, the following trends can be seen: (i) linear and trapezoidal graded electrodes outperform uniform LFP-based electrodes in all principal figures of merit; (ii) linear grading from a carbon-rich current collector region produces the best dynamic response of electrode capacity to increasing C-rate; and (iii) trapezoidal grading sustains electrode capacity most effectively in long term cycling, consistent with our original hypothesis.

It should be emphasised that local variations in electrode composition will change the local electronic conductivity, ionic conductivity, diffusivity, etc, which in turn will change the overpotential distribution (and its various ionic and electronic contributions), and therefore local reaction rates. The best performing electrodes here, for a given C-rate, in effect represent the best balance of both local electronic and ionic limitations on the electrochemical response, integrated over the electrode thickness. These aspects are explored in further detail by impedance simulations elsewhere [44].

Fig. 7 shows the gravimetric/volumetric power density against energy density for LFP-based half-cells with various uniform and graded
For electrodes with a composition ratio of 90:5:5 (wt.%), the gravimetric power versus energy performance could be ranked as $U_{90} < T_1 \sim T_4 < T_2 < T_3$ (Fig. 7a). As seen before, at low C-rate all electrodes had similar energy density; with increasing C-rate, the materials distribution played an increasing differentiating role in power versus energy performance. For example, at the same power density of 250 W kg$^{-1}$ (0.5C), the energy density increased from 243 Wh kg$^{-1}$ for $U_{90}$ to 473 Wh kg$^{-1}$ to $T_3$ i.e. an increase of nearly 100%. Among graded electrodes, power versus energy performance was sensitive to the materials distribution (Fig. S2 further compares T4 and T3). $U_{80}$ had a power-energy curve that sat between $T_2$ and $T_3$ when only active material mass was considered in the power/energy density calculation (Fig. 7a, pink line/symbols); however, when the whole electrode mass was considered, the $U_{80}$ energy density reduced faster with increasing power than all the other electrodes due to the higher inactive material content (Fig. 7b, pink line/symbols). In terms of volumetric power density versus energy density in Fig. 7c, the power-energy curve for $U_{80}$ shifted to the left in comparison with the graded electrodes (to lower energy densities), principally due to its lower electrode density at a similar porosity as other electrodes, as described in the Experimental section.

In balancing power and energy densities for a uniform electrodes, increasing the carbon content from 5 wt% ($U_{90}$) to 10 wt% ($U_{80}$) is usually expected to increase the power significantly and reduce energy density, as confirmed by comparing black and pink curves above 0.2C in Fig. 7a–c, but sacrificing energy density at 0.1C by 10% (gravimetric, Figs. 7b) and 17% (volumetric, Fig. 7c).

In understanding the uniform versus graded performance, $U_{80}$ may be considered a power-oriented formulation (higher carbon content) and $U_{90}$ as an energy-oriented formulation (higher active material content). Graded formulations $T_1$ to $T_3$ are closer to energy-oriented because they have 90 wt% active material. However, graded electrode $T_3$ has energy and power densities that are both significantly larger than the power-oriented electrode $U_{80}$ i.e. blue versus pink in Fig. 7b and c and also highlighted in Fig. 7d. For example, at the same energy density of 500 Wh L$^{-1}$, the power density increases from $\sim$100 W L$^{-1}$ ($U_{80}$) to $\sim$630 W L$^{-1}$ ($T_3$) whereas at the same power density of 300 W L$^{-1}$, the
energy density increases from \( \sim 420 \text{ Wh L}^{-1} \) (U80) to \( \sim 600 \text{ Wh L}^{-1} \) (T3). This is achieved by reduced polarization (Fig. 4 j) and consequently increased reaction currents that more efficiently utilizes the active materials as power demand (C-rate) increases.

Recent modelling work suggests that LFP might be particularly sensitive to carbon and/or CAB grading in electrodes [44] because LFP has a relatively low intrinsic electronic conductivity (\( \sim 10^{-9} \text{ S cm}^{-1} \)) [64], although conductivity can vary depending on the extent of reduction/oxidation and the presence and effectiveness of any carbon coating. The results here confirm LFP electrodes can benefit from more precision in the CAB placement, and in comparison to previous work, the grading performance improvements are more compelling and achieved at a higher, practical active material loading of 90 wt% and in relatively thick electrodes. Further, while the most significant effect of carbon redistribution may be to reduce interfacial resistance that can be problematic in LFP-based electrodes [44], the results here show that energy storage behaviour is surprisingly responsive to the particular detail of this redistribution i.e. the range of graded electrode responses is diverse.

Other active materials with higher intrinsic electrical conductivity than LFP may not benefit from CAB redistribution as strongly, or may only show worthwhile grading sensitivity under high C-rate conditions and/or for relatively thick electrodes. However, we note that significant grading benefits for Li\(_4\)Ti\(_5\)O\(_12\)-based anodes have been shown where similar constant thickness/loading comparisons have been made [36]. Further, by combining linearly graded LFP-based positive electrodes with LTO-based negatives electrodes in a full cell arrangement significant C-rate and cycling performance improvement were realized, along with new power-energy combinations unavailable with uniform electrodes [36]. Finally, the trapezoidal shapes explored here may still be sub-optimal for LFP (or any active material), and both simpler or more complex variations can be conceived – layer by layer fabrication opens a very large electrode design space. Given the possibilities, trial and error exploration of this design space is impractical, and highlights the increasing importance of a model-guided electrode design methodology [44].

Although in this study overall electrode porosity was constant for all the electrode arrangements, in terms of a generic, flexible approach to electrode design, a potentially confounding factor is that local pore fraction – so far – cannot be independently controlled with the same accuracy as local binder, carbon and active material fraction. Although pore templates might be used to control pore fraction and tortuosity [30], templating is in general, difficult to scale whereas a benefit of the spray deposition, layer by layer approach is that it is readily scalable to larger areas.

4. Conclusions

To advance understanding of the possible benefits of graded composition electrodes in LIB applications, we manufactured LiFePO\(_4\)-based electrodes in which the distribution of active materials, carbon and binder through an electrode thickness was controlled with approximately micron-scale precision. Critically, weight per unit area, overall porosity and overall ratio of all materials was kept constant, regardless of the micro-distribution of materials, allowing for a fair back-to-back comparison of electrochemical performance. In the graded electrodes, the local carbon and binder (CAB) fraction was smoothly increased towards the interface with the current collector and with the separator, with a proportionate decrease in the local active fraction; in
central regions of the electrode, the local active materials fraction was relatively high (>90 wt%) and the CAB fraction reduced. Different graded and uniform material distributions were explored, showing significant differences in electrochemical behaviour, particularly as C-rate was increased.

The best performing graded electrode with overall 90 wt% active material and ~100 μm thickness had a higher power density than a high-power uniform electrode with twice as much carbon (and binder), while simultaneously providing higher gravimetric and volumetric energy density.

The principal advantage of grading was to reduce overpotential compared with identical uniform electrodes, and both anodic and cathodic reaction currents were strongly enhanced, which was interpreted as better utilization of the available active materials at high rates, resulting in higher capacity retention under all conditions studied. The reduced overpotential and more uniform utilization supported a marked reduction in degradation rate during intermediate C-rate cycling.
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