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Thesis Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic has exposed deep health inequalities between more economically developed and less economically developed countries: both in terms of diagnostics and vaccinations. Robust and low-cost point of care devices are needed to ease these diagnostic inequalities. Current point of care lateral flow immunoassays, utilise proteins, such as antibodies, to sense for analytes. This is epitomised by the malaria rapid diagnostic test and archetypal home pregnancy test. Glycans are emerging as alternative detection units due to their fundamental role in biological signalling and recognition events. Furthermore, the increased robustness, low-cost and synthetic possibilities offered by glycan-based systems, especially glycosylated polymers, make them a promising alternative to antibody-based biosensing and diagnostic systems.

Chapter 1 discusses the current use of protein-based lateral flow and flow-through devices; their advantages and disadvantages versus non-point of care techniques, and the potential of glycan-based lateral flow devices. The concepts introduced in Chapter 1 are then applied in Chapters 2 through 5. Chapter 2 demonstrates the use of glycosylated polymer-coated nanoparticles, produced by controlled radical polymerisation techniques for the sensitive, label-free detection of lectins in lateral flow and flow-through. The systems produced use only glycans, not antibodies, to provide recognition – a “lateral flow glyco-assay.” The lessons learned in Chapter 2 are applied in Chapter 3 to probe the glycan-binding of the SARS-COV-2 spike protein in a “flow-through glyco-assay” and target a pseudovirus mimic of the target coronavirus in a lateral flow glyco-assay. Chapter 4 builds on Chapters 2 and 3, applying the fledgling glyco-assay technology to the “real-world” by sensing for the SARS-COV-2 virus in patient samples, alongside exploring the robustness of the devices themselves. Having explored the concept of glycosylated polymer-coated nanoparticles in lateral flow and flow-through setups; Chapter 5 changes focus and explores the use of polymeric anchors for the design of all-polymer (“vegan”) lateral flow and flow-through devices. This work completely removes proteins as either detecting units or anchors from lateral flow for the first time.
Chapters 6 and 7 explore more fundamental Chemistry than the previous chapters. **Chapter 6** considers the use of the Mannich reaction to produce monosaccharides with amine functionality at C2, ideal for polymer conjugation, while maintaining hydroxyl functionality at C2. Although unsuccessful with the reagents used, the chapter highlights a potential avenue of future chemical exploration in novel glycan synthesis. **Chapter 7** pulls together the x-ray photoelectron analysis data and spectra collected across a range of studies, including data collected in previous chapters, and considers if x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy can be used to determine relative grafting density in glycosylated polymer-coated nanoparticle systems.

In summary, the key components of the emerging technology of lateral flow glyco-assays are introduced, interrogated and investigated. The prototype devices tested against model proteins, viral proteins and patient samples, are found to show specificities and sensitivities that rival lateral flow immunoassay systems. The understanding developed in this thesis could pave the way to the first generation of lateral flow glyco-assays that are low-cost, stable in a wide range of conditions, and able to target a wide range of analytes and diseases.
Chapter 1

Introduction
1.1 Abstract

Current point of care lateral flow immunoassays, such as the “pregnancy test”, rely on proteins as detection units (e.g., antibodies) to sense for analytes. Glycans are emerging as alternative detection units, due to their fundamental role in biological signalling and recognition events – hence they are promising alternatives to antibody-based biosensing and diagnostics. In this Introduction the potential of glycans coupled to gold nanoparticles as sensory systems in aggregation assays is reviewed, leading to discussion of how they can be deployed in lateral flow diagnostics. The concept of lateral flow, including specific examples of lateral flow use in the field compared to other diagnostic tools are introduced. Followed by a discussion of glycosylated materials and the affinity gains through the cluster glycoside effect. Finally, the potential role of glycans in lateral flow, including emerging examples and their use are shown.
1.2 Declaration

This Chapter contains a draft review paper discussing the potential use of glycosylated gold nanoparticle systems in lateral flow assays.

George Hawker-Bond assisted in carrying out a literature database search, article screening and drafting the sections around point of care testing in the NHS and similar health services. The search criteria used can be found in Appendix 1.

I led the literature search and drafting of the manuscript.

Myself, George Hawker-Bond, Panagiotis Georgiou and Matthew Gibson were responsible for preparation of the manuscript.

1.3 Point of Care

The diagnosis of infectious diseases should be achieved by; determining infection sites, considering patient needs and gaining a microbiological diagnosis. In many cases, however, diagnosis is guided by symptomatic presentation rather than rational or empirical therapeutic approaches; many methods can take hours or days to produce a result. Observational approaches alone often lead to poor patient outcomes and the injudicious prescribing of broad-spectrum drugs which can contribute to antimicrobial resistance (AMR).10

The first point of care (POC) diagnostic was developed in 1962 to measure blood glucose levels.11 This was followed by the patenting of a rapid pregnancy test circa 1969, by Margaret M. Crane.12,13 Both of these diagnostics revolutionised care: allowing for testing and diagnosis by or with the patient present, in the case of testing for pregnancy it replaced the _Xenopus laevis_ frog (African clawed frog) pregnancy test.14,15 In 2011, point of care tests (POCT), or “bedside testing”, devices were worth $15 billion USD with a projected increase of 4% per annum.16

While there is no accepted definition for point of care testing, it can be considered to be rapid testing at, or near to, the point of need that is used to make a medical decision.17–20 Current POCT devices include the aforementioned glucose biosensor, dipsticks and lateral flow-based devices,21 such as Malaria Rapid Diagnostic Tests (MRDTs)22 and pregnancy tests,23 that separate samples through a solid phase.16

Surveys of medical professionals, in relation to POCT, have indicated that sensitivity (> 90%) is considered the most important attribute, followed by a price of less than $20 USD and a short detection time.24 This is summarised by the World Health Organisation (WHO) guidelines for the design of POCT in the ASSURED acronym (Figure 1.1).25,26
Affordable – for those at risk of infection
Sensitive – minimal false negatives
Specific – minimal false positives
User-friendly – minimal steps to carry out
Rapid and robust – short turnaround and easy to store
Equipment-free – no complex equipment
Delivered – to end user

Figure 1.1. ASSURED acronym, WHO guidelines for the design of POCT

The cost-effectiveness of POCT compared to traditional laboratory techniques has been demonstrated in the UK National Health Service (NHS) Health Checks, an early detection tool for cardiovascular disease; a disease which costs the NHS approximately £7 billion GBP per year. The total expected costs (TEC) per completed Health Check was markedly reduced for POCT compared to laboratory techniques – £18 GBP per completed Health Check compared to £25 GBP, respectively, and attendance rates were higher for POCT.

It is equally important to consider the perspectives of patients and health care professionals (HCPs) to POCT. During an increase of Dengue fever cases in Singapore, POCT was found to be more convenient, and marginally better than non-POCT in improving the speed and accuracy of diagnoses.

The alternative to POC testing is laboratory-based testing, however these testing systems require highly trained staff, embedded health infrastructures and specialised facilities. This makes lab-based methods, considered standard in economically wealthier nations, cost-prohibitive in low- and middle-income countries. This is evidenced by the COVID-19 (caused by SARS-CoV-2) pandemic. PCR was the primary tool for diagnosis in the initial stages of the COVID-19 pandemic but did not allow for mass testing due to price and the need for centralised infrastructure.

Furthermore, it is estimated that laboratory-independent POCT for four common infections (syphilis, malaria, tuberculosis and bacterial pneumonia) could prevent more than 1.2 million deaths per annum in low- and middle-income countries. Therefore, chromatographic lateral flow paper-based immunoassays, as highlighted by MRDTs and pregnancy tests, are of particular interest as POCT because of their
low cost, speed of use, laboratory-independence and wide range of devices and analytes currently in use.\textsuperscript{33,34}

This chapter will first summarise the chemical basis of the POC lateral flow immunoassay (LFIA) diagnostic, based upon antibodies, as the current standard. It will then explore how glycans, and glyco-materials in particular, may present unique opportunities and advantages. Focus will be paid to glycosylated gold nanoparticles which are the most common, and versatile platform. These have been widely used in aggregation (colourimetric solution diagnostics) assays and are therefore ready to be translated into paper-based lateral flow glyco-assays (LFGA).
1.4 Lateral Flow – an overview

Lateral flow (immuno) assays (LFIA), “sol particle immunoassays” as they were originally termed by Leuvering et al.,35 or more broadly lateral flow devices (LFDs) have been performed on a wide variety of biological targets. These include; complement in plasma;36 anabolic steroids;37 prostate-specific antigen,38 leprosy39 and meningitis40 in serum (also identifiable in saliva41); evidence of kidney injury in urine;42 V. cholerae in faeces;43 and other marker proteins44,45 or pathogens46 in whole blood samples.47 This ability to target a broad range of analytes has led to the use of lateral flow devices outside of Medicine. Notably, in policing illicit drug use,48,49 such as MDMA and other drugs in sweat50,51 and saliva.52

Generic lateral flow devices contain two components; a mobile phase and a stationary phase, these components “sandwich” the analyte and produce a coloured line on the surface if the target analyte is present. When a sample is applied to the strip’s sample pad, it passes through the sample pad, drawn by capillary forces caused by the wick (Figure 1.2A, a). Then the sample passes through the conjugate pad. If the sample contains the target analyte it will bind specific antibody-coated gold nanoparticles (or other coloured nanomaterials) within the conjugate pad (Figure 1.2A, b). The sample and nanoparticles (both bound and unbound) pass into the nitrocellulose membrane where they move through two lines of deposited material. One of these lines is a control line that contains antibodies specific for the primary antibody (acting as an antigen for the control line antibody) in the conjugate pad (Figure 1.2A, d). Binding of the nanoparticles to the control line only, indicates a successful test but a negative sample (Figure 1.2B, e). The test line contains antibodies specific for the analyte. If the analyte is “sandwiched” between antibodies immobilised as the test line and antibodies immobilised on the nanoparticles, a line will form (Figure 1.2A, c). A successful and positive test requires both the control and test lines to bind their respective targets. Some researchers have utilised multiple test lines in “multiplexed” lateral flow tests.53 This multiplexed approach allows for greater efficiency, diagnostic precision and reduces overall cost when tests are combined into one device. An earlier form of the lateral flow test, called a flow-through assay, utilises immobilised sample as a test line – these devices are often less sensitive than lateral flow devices but are
employed when lateral flow is not possible for economic or chemical reasons, or during the prototyping and development stages of LFD design.\textsuperscript{16,54}

Figure 1.2. Schematic of a photometric lateral flow device.

A) Device constituents in a successful positive test and B) a successful negative test. Generic pregnancy tests for HCG detection inset.
1.5 The Components of Lateral Flow

1.5.1 The Stationary Phase

The stationary (immobile) phase comprises a receptor bound to the surface – in LFIAs this is an antibody. Larger antibodies do not require an immobilisation agent, with Immunoglobulin G isotypes having an absorption of $> 100 \mu g.cm^{-2}$ on nitrocellulose, however protein absorption can decrease with decreasing molecular weight.\(^{35,36}\) Bovine serum albumin (BSA), or less commonly ovalbumin, are used as carriers/immobilisation agents for small molecules such as nucleotides and small proteins (discussed further in Chapter 5).\(^{33,34}\) Work by Holstein et al. developed less common immobilisation approaches such as covalent epoxide-thiol attachment and “genetic fusion” to custom-designed proteins.\(^{57}\)

The low absorption of unconjugated antibodies was noted in polymeric systems by Aoyama et al.\(^ {58}\) To overcome this they produced high surface area microcone architectures on polycarbonate sheets. The increased surface area enabled high antibody immobilisation levels. This step was in part inspired by the use of plastic and paper microfluidic systems,\(^ {59}\) a rapidly growing technique that has been used to sense; Ebola virus RNA,\(^ {60}\) Salmonella typhimurium\(^ {61}\) and glucose;\(^ {62}\) amongst other biologics.\(^ {63,64}\)

Hiratsuka et al. have built on the work of Storhoff et al. by using nucleotide-functionalised systems to create a streptavidin immobilising system for polynucleotides.\(^ {65,66}\) Studies with cellulose paper have also exploited biotin and the ability to covalently modify the cellulose surface for easy test line immobilisation.\(^ {67,68}\) It is notable that covalent modification of nitrocellulose has also been carried out.\(^ {69}\)

While Tanaka et al. and Nagatani et al. have utilised the localised surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) phenomenon of gold nanoparticles in the stationary phase to enhance the signal-to-noise ratio when sensing for human chorionic gonadotrophin (HCG) and prostate-specific antigen respectively.\(^ {38,70}\) In these systems, a low concentration of functionalised nanoparticles are placed in the stationary phase to interact with the nanoparticles in the mobile phase, this approach allowed for lower detection limits of 1 pg.mL\(^{-1}\) of HCG,\(^ {70}\) the hormone detected in the common pregnancy test, compared to a commercial pregnancy test (~0.7 - 0.07 nmol.mL\(^{-1}\)).\(^ {71}\)
Others have utilised “proteinticles” (protein-coated nanoparticles) in the stationary phase of reverse lateral flow immunoassays to sense for human antibodies to HIV and hepatitis A and B in the mobile phase. While reverse immunoassays, such as the Epstein-Barr Virus Antibody Test (although not POC) provide precedence for reverse immunoassay approaches, reverse lateral flow immunoassay approaches are not without criticism. During the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic (2019-20), LFIA tests sensing for an adaptive immune response in patients previously infected with SARS-COV-2 proved controversial. This was due to a variety of factors including; questions around the ability of tests to differentiate SARS-COV-2 antibodies from other coronaviruses components, the societal risk of false positives and so-called “immunity passports”, the time for immunity to develop and data suggesting low immune responses in some patients. This led to WHO publishing a scientific briefing, on the 24th of April 2020, discouraging the use of antibody targeting tests for SARS-COV-2 and as of the 2nd of November 2020 no CDC or FDA approved antibody tests exist.

Chapter 5 will discuss the development of polymeric anchor systems for immobilising sensing agents onto the surface of lateral flow tests.

1.5.2 The Mobile Phase

Nanoparticles for biosensing have received significant scientific attention because their unique optical properties making them ideal for sensing activities, as well as their tunability in terms of morphology and composition. Plasmonic, especially gold, particles are the most common signal generating units used as the mobile phase in lateral flow devices.

Plasmonic nanoparticles are strongly coloured because their free electron density can couple with incident electromagnetic photons that have a wavelength greater than the size of the nanoparticle. This was quantitively described by Mie over 50 years after being first reported by Faraday; although the phenomenon was utilised far earlier by 4th Century Ancient Roman glassmakers in the Lycurgus Cup. The colour of a nanoparticle is not only dependent on its size but also its shape, the dielectric constant (relative permittivity) of the metal and medium it is in, as shown by the Fröhlich condition. Only electromagnetic radiation resonant to electron oscillation can excite the localised surface plasmon and be absorbed by it; this
absorption leads to localised surface plasmon resonance (LSPR), producing the distinctive colour of the nanoparticle system, which in the case of gold is from red to blue.

1.5.2.1 Localised Surface Plasmon Resonance (LSPR)

In these LSPR capable systems photons travelling near parallel to the surface (high angle of incidence) excite free electrons to form collective and uniform oscillating electron systems termed plasmons (Figure 1.3).\textsuperscript{90–92} These oscillating free electrons form a dipole displacement away from the lattice equilibrium position. The localised surface plasmon (LSP) formed occurs over the whole surface of the particle. This coherent electron displacement forms a coulombic restoring force that pulls the electrons back to the equilibrium, the system can therefore be considered as a harmonic oscillator driven by the light wave. The energy of the electron system is eventually dissipated through Auger excitations, Landau dampening and thermal dissipation, returning the electrons to below or equal to the Fermi energy. It is notable that only light resonant to the electron oscillation can excite the LSP and be absorbed by it; this absorption produces the distinctive colour of the nanoparticle system. The LSP effect cannot occur in bulk systems due to the low penetration of the electromagnetic radiation below the metal skin depth, preventing plasmon and photon energy curve overlap.

Figure 1.3. Diagrammatic representation of localised surface plasmon resonance.

Light wave present with a wavelength ($\lambda$) greater than the diameter of the particle (d). Plasmonic nanoparticles have high molar attenuation (extinction) coefficients ($\varepsilon$) and Rayleigh scattering far greater than nanoparticles not exhibiting LSPR,\textsuperscript{93,94} hence their use in LFDs. For example, 35 nm gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) and 30 nm silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) have attenuation coefficients far higher than common organic dyes such as Sudan III, methylene blue and crystal violet. It is noteworthy, that the best porphyrin dyes, such as 5,10,15,20-tetra-21H-23H-porphine zinc, have lower
attenuation coefficients than AuNPs (Table 1.1). These high attenuation coefficients lead to bright, vivid colours even at low concentrations, making them ideal for sensing applications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chromophore</th>
<th>Molar attenuation coefficient (ε, M⁻¹ cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>Wavelength, λ_max (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gold nanoparticles, 35 nm⁹⁵</td>
<td>~6.1 × 10⁹</td>
<td>506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver nanoparticles, 30 nm⁶⁶</td>
<td>~1.5 × 10¹⁰</td>
<td>406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudan III⁹⁷</td>
<td>~3.0 × 10⁴</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylene blue⁹⁷</td>
<td>~4.1 × 10⁴</td>
<td>654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal violet⁹⁷</td>
<td>~7.6 × 10⁴</td>
<td>590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,10,15,20-tetra-21H-23H-porphine zinc⁹⁷</td>
<td>~5.7 × 10⁵</td>
<td>422</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.1. Attenuation coefficients of a selection of nanoparticles and dyes

An alternative to lateral flow systems, that also harnesses LSPR, is surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS), which is outside of the scope of this work but is worth mentioning. Raman scattering occurs when the frequency of photons emitted, from a change in electronic energy level, is different to that of the incident wavelength (i.e. \(\nu_{\text{incidence}} \neq \nu_{\text{scattered}}\)). SERS exploits changes in surface chemistry when analytes are deposited on surface-roughened nanoparticles; since this increases the magnitude of scattering. This gives SERS the potential to be used as a technique in POCT.⁹⁸ The utility of SERS has been demonstrated in the detection of carbohydrate interactions⁹⁹ by both ConA¹⁰⁰ (to pM levels) and different human galectins.¹⁰¹ DNA targets have also been detected using a SERS primer assay. Namely *S. epidermidis* and MRSA: both common hospital-acquired infections.¹⁰²
1.5.2.2 Gold Nanoparticles

Gold nanoparticles (AuNPs, 15 - 800 nm) are the most widely used plasmonic particles\textsuperscript{47} due to their biocompatibility, simple synthesis, low cost (at the concentrations used) and straightforward functionalisation with organic molecules, in particular thiols. The most common method for AuNP synthesis uses HAuCl\textsubscript{4}, and sodium citrate as both a reducing and capping agent.\textsuperscript{103-105} By using seeded growth, anisotropic nanocrystals; such as rods, wires and triangles can be obtained and used in lateral flow systems.\textsuperscript{106}

AuNPs can be surface-modified with analyte targeting components by surface passivation using thiol-linked or electrostatically charged antibodies or DNA strands.\textsuperscript{65} Example capping ligands include; zwitterions, polymers, peptides, proteins, glycans and nucleic acids (Figure 1.4).\textsuperscript{83} The vast majority of lateral flow systems utilise antibody capping groups; these have been extensively reviewed.\textsuperscript{33,34,47,107}

![Figure 1.4. Example methods for surface modification of nanoparticles](image-url)
In addition to gold, but outside the scope of this work, other nanoparticles have also been tested in lateral flow systems, including: core-shell gold nanoparticles,\textsuperscript{108} quantum dots,\textsuperscript{109} graphene oxide\textsuperscript{110} and carbon nanotubes.\textsuperscript{111} Furthermore, Dou \textit{et al.} have produced nanomaterial-free antibody systems\textsuperscript{112} using crystal violet-stained antibodies, enabling a test to run in 14 minutes, despite the sizeable drop in molar attenuation coefficients (Table 1.1).

In summary, the simplicity, diversity, and broad applicability to many targets has made lateral flow systems an attractive diagnostic. Despite this, many other POCT devices and lab-based diagnostics have been designed for use in more economically developed countries (MEDCs), and are not compatible for use in less economically developed countries (LEDCs).\textsuperscript{113,114}
1.6 Malaria Rapid Diagnostic Tests – a case study

1.6.1 MRDTs in the Field

Malaria Rapid Diagnostic Tests (MRDTs) have been deployed primarily in low- and middle-income countries to combat various serotypes of malaria. MRDTs provide a cogent example of the benefits and drawbacks of lateral flow compared to other POC devices.

The World Health Organisation (WHO) estimated that there were 438,000 deaths from malaria in 2014, with 90% of these deaths occurring in sub-Saharan Africa (Figure 1.5). In 2001, artemisinin-based combination therapies (ACTs) were recommended by WHO as first-line treatments. Within the decade (2009), resistance to first-line ACT treatments was observed in the Greater Mekong Sub-region.

![Figure 1.5. Plasmodium falciparum Incidence per 1,000 people, 2017](https://malariaatlas.org)

Fortunately, resistance to ACTs has not been observed in Africa, however there is growing concern that resistance will soon be observed in Sudan and more widely in travellers returning from Africa. This is because health care practitioners in this region and other users of ACTs often do not follow WHO recommendations that the diagnosis of malaria should be based on parasite identification and not just observed patient symptoms. For example, during the period from 2008-15, 35% of...
suspected malaria cases in Africa were not confirmed with diagnostic tests, which led to antimalarial overuse, increasing the risk of ACT resistance.\textsuperscript{46} In the same period, The United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF) increased its procurement of Malaria Rapid Diagnostic Tests (MRDTs) (Figure 1.6.) from 3.8 million tests to 15 million.\textsuperscript{126}

Figure 1.6. Image of negative and positive MRDT, taken from WHO documents.\textsuperscript{127}

Resistance is further compounded by wider economic issues. Most malaria-affected countries use treatments costing less than $0.5 USD per capita while artemisinin-based combinatorial therapies cost ~$5 USD per capita.\textsuperscript{122,128,129} A shift to a diagnostics-led approach would likely alleviate this problem, with research in Nigerian community pharmacies demonstrating the cost-effectiveness of MRDT-led treatment versus treatment without MRDT diagnosis.\textsuperscript{130}

MRDTs are POC immunochromatographic, or photometric lateral flow devices, utilising peripheral blood samples, and selenium dyes or AuNPs conjugated to monoclonal antibodies as sensing units. They are handheld POCT for malaria detection and can differentiate four out of five malaria species (\textit{Plasmodium vivax}, \textit{P. ovale}, \textit{P. malariae} and \textit{P. falciparum}). They are, however, insensitive to \textit{P. knowlesi}: a simian parasite known to infect humans. MRDTs target a variety of antigen analytes such as histidine-rich protein 2 (HRP-2 is synthesised by all \textit{P. falciparum} parasites as an abundant water-soluble protein, unlike HRP-1 and HRP-3)\textsuperscript{131}, \textit{Plasmodium} lactate dehydrogenase (pLDH) and \textit{Plasmodium} aldolase.\textsuperscript{132,133} While the sensitivity and specificity of devices varies by manufacturer and analyte targeted, those tested by Abba \textit{et al.} showed values above 90\%.\textsuperscript{134,135}
Although MRDTs currently utilise antibodies, antigens of \(P. falciparum\) have been shown to bind to sialyllactoses and other derivatives found on human erythrocytic glycoprotein A.\(^{136}\) This highlights the potential for glycan-functionalised MRDTs instead of antibody-functionalised MRDTs, which is discussed later in this chapter.

### 1.6.2 Alternatives to MRDTs and Beyond

MRDTs are currently less sensitive than alternative non-POCT techniques, such as microscopy of thick blood films and PCR techniques which can detect low parasite concentrations of 50 parasites/\(\mu\)L and 5 parasites/\(\mu\)L, respectively; while MRDTs are not tested by WHO at concentrations below 200 parasites/\(\mu\)L.\(^{46,132}\) MRDTs are also less capable of determining parasite species beyond \(P. falciparum\) and \(P. vivax\), unlike Giesma-stained blood microscopy,\(^{137}\) the most common diagnostic technique, that can have a sensitivity of 95% and a specificity of 98%.\(^{46,132,138}\) Furthermore, this high sensitivity and specificity can be achieved at $0.2 USD per sample at a rate of one sample every 20 minutes.\(^{138}\) However, microscopy is a lab-based technique that requires an expert to carry out the analysis, leading to a potential sensitivity drop to just 10% in the field.\(^{139}\)

Beyond malaria diagnostics, a contemporary real-time reverse transcription-PCR (rRT-PCR) system, the GeneXpert\textsuperscript{\textregistered} instrument (Cepheid\textsuperscript{\textregistered}), deployed in a Liberian mobile laboratory to test for Ebola virus was able to test 180 - 250 samples per day (~2 hours per sample), an improvement from 64 - 100 samples using older rRT-PCR systems. However, the GeneXpert\textsuperscript{\textregistered} cost $17,000 USD to purchase with consumable cartridges costing ~$20 USD each, plus storage requirements of 2 - 28 °C. Moreover, although training was relatively short (~1 week) compared to other rRT-PCR systems, early trainees were required to travel to Toulouse, France for technical training. The GeneXpert\textsuperscript{\textregistered} laboratory setup deployed in Liberia performed well (May 2015 to March 2017),\(^{140}\) with the instrumentation receiving United States Food and Drug Association (FDA) approval in March 2015\(^{141}\) – however its high cost, infrastructure needs and storage requirements limit its application more broadly in LEDCs.

A timely piece by Phan \emph{et al.}\(^{142}\) on Ebola virus detection compared rRT-PCR and a lateral flow device (United States Naval Medical Research Centre Ebola virus Lateral Flow Immunoassay) designed to detect Ebola virus nucleoprotein and glycoprotein signature sequences. The average sensitivity of the lateral flow device was > 85% and
its specificity > 95%. The lateral flow assay could be run in 15 minutes compared to the PCR system that required 3 - 6 hours. A comparative study also assessing lateral flow devices versus rRT-PCR techniques showed similar findings.\textsuperscript{143} Despite the potential use of lateral flow systems to triage cases, WHO recommended in early 2015 at the start of a major Ebola outbreak, that lateral flow systems should only be used in two extreme scenarios (isolated areas without RT-PCR access and when RT-PCR facilities are overwhelmed) but not for normal triage purposes.\textsuperscript{144}

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, diagnostics have been rapidly rolled out globally with rRT-PCR being the gold standard; but turn-around time and cost remain limiting factors. In July 2020, in the United States, the average wait time for a COVID-19 RT-PCR test was 4 days and only 37% of people received results within 2 days.\textsuperscript{145} The availability of RT-PCR testing also varies significantly between countries; per 1000 people (31/7/2020)\textsuperscript{146} the United Kingdom (2.27) and the United States (2.91) have significantly out-tested LEDCs such as Zimbabwe (0.07) or Myanmar (0.01).\textsuperscript{146} In Iran, for example, CT scanners are used as they are more abundant per person (6.5 per million)\textsuperscript{147} than RT-PCR machines.\textsuperscript{148,149}

To address the time and cost issues of (the very accurate) rRT-PCRs, several other techniques have emerged. These loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay (LAMP) devices rely on three steps; sample preparation, amplification at a fixed temperature and detection.\textsuperscript{150} Bench-top devices integrating all three steps have been produced with detection of the analyte often via immunoassay methods,\textsuperscript{150} turbidity,\textsuperscript{151} fluorescence\textsuperscript{152} or nanoparticles.\textsuperscript{153} The overall device however is still expensive, complex and slow, with amplification times ranging between 20 - 90 minutes,\textsuperscript{150} this is compared to the 15 - 20 minutes required for an MRDT. A LAMP device for malaria diagnosis was field-tested in India and Thailand, and showed similar sensitivities and specificities to rRT-PCR.\textsuperscript{154,155} LAMP devices have also been developed for SARS-COV-2 and compare well to RT-PCR.\textsuperscript{156}

Aerts \textit{et al.},\textsuperscript{157} when discussing the cost effectiveness of LAMP, microscopy and lateral flow tests in diagnosing cutaneous leishmaniasis in Afghanistan, suggested that all these diagnostic platforms have a role to play in different healthcare settings. They found that lateral flow systems reduce overall cost when used outside of the clinic. Whereas microscopy is favourable in the clinic except in periods of high demand where LAMP and lateral flow devices are more cost-effective. Ultimately a range of
methods with varying cost versus accuracy parameters are desirable to control outbreaks as illustrated by the rapid development of a range of diagnostics for the COVID-19 pandemic.\textsuperscript{158–160}

1.6.3 MRDT Summary

MRDTs provide an excellent case study in the application of POC lateral flow as a low-cost, rapid diagnostic versus lab-based and more expensive POC systems. Moreover, studies carried out in the United States of America have found that MRDTs outperform blood smear microscopy too.\textsuperscript{161} In spite of the limited sample size, this study highlights the potential of lateral flow systems in MEDCs where patients and health care professionals value short turnaround times.\textsuperscript{16,24}

In summary, MRDT lateral flow systems are cost-effective, faster to run and do not require a specialist to perform; all areas vital to low- and middle-income countries lacking the expertise or funding to carry out lab-based techniques.\textsuperscript{138} Furthermore, MRDT use can reduce the cost of artemisinin-based combinatorial therapies where drug price is the greatest barrier in both the short- and long-term.\textsuperscript{128,129,162} Therefore, while more expensive reusable POCTs and lab-based diagnostic systems have the potential to provide higher specificities, they do not have the same ease of use, minimal power needs, token maintenance requirements, and low costs of lateral flow POCT deployed in low- and middle-income and, more economically developed countries.

However, some sources argue that MRDTs can be costly and difficult to use.\textsuperscript{138,163,164} Furthermore, immuno-based MRDTs degrade when not transported and stored at low temperatures (“cold-chained”), becoming less-sensitive in conditions common in the field.\textsuperscript{165} This highlights a potential weakness of protein-based LFDs that could be overcome with glycans.
1.7 Beyond Antibodies – Glycans as Recognition Units

Current POC diagnostics and in particular lateral flow systems as discussed in the previous sections, rely on antibodies as recognition units, owing to their high specificity and established methods for their production and nanoparticle conjugation. However, they are not the only biological recognition motifs; nucleic acids\textsuperscript{166} and lectins\textsuperscript{167} have also been incorporated into devices; but are still far less common than antibodies. Glycans (carbohydrates) offer an alternative to these recognition units and their study might bring new opportunities.

Glycans are ubiquitous in biological systems;\textsuperscript{168} from vancomycin producing bacteria\textsuperscript{169} (\textit{Amycolatopsis orientalis}) to glycosylated proteins in humans (e.g. β3 integrin)\textsuperscript{170} – with over half of all proteins believed to be glycosylated.\textsuperscript{171} Glycosylation covalently adds glycans to proteins, lipids or other molecules (including RNA\textsuperscript{172}) to form glycoconjugates in a dynamic fashion, regulated by glycosyl transferases and glycosidases.\textsuperscript{173} As it is not template driven, glycosylation cannot be (easily) predicted from genomic information alone.

Glycoconjugates, carbohydrates/glycans covalently linked to other chemical species such as proteins, peptides, lipids etc., perform a vast range of biological roles including; cell signalling,\textsuperscript{174} hormonal action,\textsuperscript{175} cancer progression,\textsuperscript{176} embryonic development,\textsuperscript{177} correct protein folding/structure\textsuperscript{178,179} and mediating immune responses.\textsuperscript{180} Many of these processes are mediated at the glycocalyx (Figure 1.7), a membrane-bound interface layer of glycan-presenting proteins and lipids. This layer is found on many eukaryotic and bacterial cells, providing the primary interface for cells with their external environment.\textsuperscript{181} For bacterial cells, the glycocalyx (also termed the “slime” or capsule layer) is known to modulate cell-to-cell binding for the purposes of resistance to host\textsuperscript{182,183} and pathogenesis.\textsuperscript{184} The nature of glycan presentation on mammalian cells is a key marker of a disease state,\textsuperscript{185,186} influenza species specificity\textsuperscript{187} and influenza A viruses’ zoonotic potential.\textsuperscript{188} Antigens from \textit{P. falciparum} have also been shown to bind to sialyllactoses and other derivatives found on human erythrocytic glycoprotein A.\textsuperscript{136}

Consequently, there exists a significant opportunity to both target the glycans themselves, or the “glycan-readers” termed lectins. Lectins are a broad family defined as glycan-binding proteins which are neither enzymes, transporters or antibodies.
These proteins often have highly conserved carbohydrate-binding domains (CBD). Examples of lectins relevant to healthcare include the Shiga toxins, cholera toxin and ricin; while also being found in snake venoms and biocides in marine algae. Notably, the use of lectins for staining histology samples, has been established for decades.

The use of lectins in biosensor design has been comprehensively reviewed. For example, Damborský et al. have reported a lectin-based lateral flow device that exploits immobilised lectin as the test line for prostate specific antigen (PSA). Just as lectins can be used to recognise glycans, glycans can be used to recognise and differentiate between lectins and other carbohydrate binding proteins. There is extensive literature in the use of glycans in various applications which is beyond the scope of this work, but includes; anti-adhesion therapies, glyconanotechnology, antimicrobial applications and, influenza and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) vaccine development. A challenge (and an opportunity) lies in the synthesis of oligosaccharides, which inhabit a vast chemical space beyond that of other biological macromolecules of nucleic acid and protein origin. However, access to glycans for recognition studies is rapidly expanding, thanks to the emergence of automated glycan synthesis and the use of chemo-enzymatic strategies for greater glycan diversification.
Figure 1.7. Electron micrograph of goat coronary capillary stained with Alcian blue and diagrammatic representation of the glycocalyx highlighting glycocalyx functions.

Electron micrograph reproduced from van den Berg et al. (figure 75.1.)
### 1.7.1 Target and Model Lectins

An example target lectin, with real world impact is the Cholera toxin (CTX) (Figure 1.8A) also known as choleragen. CTX was first hypothesised by Koch (“Koch’s poison”) in the late 19th century before being discovered by De in 1959. It is responsible for the watery diarrhoea symptomatic of *V. cholerae* infections. In 2015, CTX afflicted 2.86 million people in endemic countries and currently threatens the wellbeing of over 1.3 billion in those countries. The CTX lectin is composed of six protein subunits; a single copy of the enzymatic A subunit protomer and five copies of the B subunit involved in binding ganglioside GM1. GM1 contains an oligosaccharide portion (galactose-β 1→3 N-acetylglactosamine-β 1→4 N-acetylnearaminic acid-α 2→3 galactose-β 1→4 glucose to lipid) that is bound specifically by CTX. This protein-glycan binding event is necessary for the activity of CTX and its movement into the cell and can be inhibited using glycopolymer systems. CTX is therefore a potential target of glycan-based lateral flow technology.

Soybean agglutinin (SBA), harvested from *G. max*, (Figure 1.8B) is far less hazardous than CTX and more economically viable for research purposes. SBA has been used to separate lymphocytes and as a drug delivery system for breast cancer drugs. Like many members of the legume lectin family SBA possesses a carbohydrate binding site that requires Mn$^{2+}$ or Ca$^{2+}$ for activity. The carbohydrate binding site has an affinity for N-acetylgalactosamine (GalNAc) and galactose (Gal) although affinity for GalNAc is 40-fold higher than for Gal. Above pH 4.6, SBA is tetrameric allowing for cross-linking and agglutination. This makes SBA an attractive model lectin analyte for testing novel glycopolymer-based lateral flow systems and saccharides for diagnostics of particular interest. As such SBA will be a model target for this work.

Similarly, *Ricinus communis* Agglutinin I (RCA I or RCA$_{120}$, Figure 1.8C) is a lectin from the castor bean or castor bean oil plant (*R. communis*). It is one of the family of ricin lectins used in early erythrocyte agglutination studies (1888) following earlier hemagglutination observations with rattle snake venom. The toxic nature of ricin is well documented and has been harnessed by the United States and British in World War I and II respectively. However, RCA$_{120}$ is a non-toxic (unlike RCA II/RCA$_{60}$), 120 kDa tetrameric protein composed of two α- (27 kDa) and two β-chains (33 kDa), with an affinity for galactose (Gal) and N-acetylgalactosamine (GalNAc); notably it has an ~100 fold greater affinity for Gal > GalNAc (the reverse of SBA).
affinity of RCA$_{120}$ is similar to RCA II, making RCA$_{120}$ an ideal (safe) model target lectin. As such RCA$_{120}$ will also be used as a model target for this work.

Figure 1.8. Lectin structures

A) Cholera toxin from *V. cholerae*; B) Soybean agglutinin from *G. max* and C) *Ricinus communis* agglutinin I from *R. communis*.

Lectin structures taken from the Protein Data Bank; Cholera toxin (CTX) – 1XTC, Soybean agglutinin (SBA) – 1SBF and *Ricinus communis* agglutinin I (RCA$_{120}$) – 1RZO.
1.7.2 Multivalency and Glyco-Materials

Glycan-lectin interactions are typically weak with $K_d$ (dissociation constants) ranging from mM to µM values\textsuperscript{244,245} which is significantly weaker than antibody-ligand interactions (in the nM to pM range).\textsuperscript{246–248} This is overcome in nature by the presentation of multiple copies of glycans leading to statistical rebinding and chelation, resulting in significant enhancements to binding affinities relative to the free glycans – known as the “cluster glycoside effect”.\textsuperscript{249,250} The observed affinity enhancement (per glycan) as valency increases is non-linear and is dependent on the architecture of the glycan, its linker and its accessibility to the target. Due to this, there has been significant interest in using materials chemistry (polymers, particles and surfaces) to present multiple copies of glycans to facilitate the cluster glycoside effect.\textsuperscript{244}

In the context of diagnostic devices, the cluster glycoside effect is crucial to obtaining the necessary affinity and selectivity, which is not possible using individual glycans. Figure 1.9 shows a summary of 37 glycoconjugates of various valences and architectures taken from a previous review.\textsuperscript{244} This simple representation highlights enhancement effects, which can range from 2 to > 10,000-fold increases associated with multivalency. However, it also shows that the simplified assumption that “ever larger, higher valency systems always lead to increased affinity,” does not hold true – the effects are more subtle. For example, one of the most potent inhibitors of the cholera toxin, has just five, precisely placed glycans.\textsuperscript{251}

Figure 1.9. Graphical representation of a selection of glycoconjugates

Data adapted from “Table 1” of Lundquist et al.,\textsuperscript{244} highlighting the maximum enhancement in binding (corrected for ligand valency) as valency changes.
1.8 Structural and Synthetic Strategies for Glycan Presentation

The idea of iterative or modular approaches in carbohydrate chemistry is not novel. However, the structures produced can increase valency and mimic more complex polysaccharides facilitating the cluster glycoside effect without requiring expensive and complex syntheses. Glycoclusters, dendrimers, linear polymers and spherical polymers (polymers on a core, often a protein or nanoparticle) (Figure 1.9) provide a variety of ways to present multiple carbohydrates, often monosaccharides, in the correct spatial arrangement for protein binding. As such, they have found multiple applications, including as anti-pathogenic agents.

1.8.1 Non-Polymeric Approaches

1.8.1.1 Glycoclusters

Non-polymeric approaches such as glycoclusters provide well defined and controlled glycan presenting architectures often displaying only a handful of glycans. André et al. designed a series of glycoclusters to increase affinity relative to the free glycan and enhance selectivity for the target protein. The clusters were based around ethylene glycol, glycerine and pentaerythritol cores. While more complex glycoccluster systems have allowed for the tuning of linker length and valency, to target virulence factors such as LecA of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and the fimbiae-mediated adhesion of *E. coli*, respectively. Porkolab et al. have produced high valences (for glycoclusters), such as 16 glycans on a complex organic core. Whereas others have harnessed non-synthetic cores and directed evolution to design glycoclusters. The structural versatility available in glycoclusters can be used to not only display a glycan but precisely adjust the nature of its presentation in 3D space (as seen for native glycans) providing opportunities to tune the interaction.

1.8.1.2 Dendrimers

In the mid-1980s dendrimers were independently developed by Newkome and Tomalia. Dendrimers are oligomeric or even polymeric structures produced iteratively, forming tree-like highly branched regular structures often using poly(amidoamine) cores. Many reviews have been carried out into the synthesis and applications of dendrimers and glycodendrimers. While a study by
Woller et al. tracked the binding enhancement realised as dendrimer generation increased in mannose-functionalised dendrimers.\textsuperscript{272}

Glycodendrimers often present a compromise. Higher valences are accessible with dendrimers but at the cost of decreased structural control; unlike in glycoclusters where structural control is often favoured over high valences. The high tunability of dendrimers also makes them an attractive approach for glycan presentation; with void spaces in larger dendrimers providing room for molecular cargo, potentially for clinical applications.\textsuperscript{273}

\subsection*{1.8.2 Polymeric Approaches}

Glycopolymers present glycans, typically, on their side chains but also on end groups\textsuperscript{274} therefore they can be considered synthetic glycoconjugates that are capable of presenting a high density of glycans. Due to the relative ease of polymer synthesis, glycopolymers present a convenient route to engineer multivalency. There have been many reviews on this topic\textsuperscript{275–280} that have focused on glycopolymer synthesis so this will not be covered here in significant detail.

Advancements in controlled reversible deactivation radical polymerisation techniques, including atom transfer radical polymerisation (ATRP),\textsuperscript{281} reversible addition-fragmentation chain transfer (RAFT)\textsuperscript{282} polymerisation, ring-opening metathesis polymerisation (ROMP)\textsuperscript{283} and nitroxide-mediated polymerisation (NMP)\textsuperscript{284} enables the synthesis of macromolecules with different chain lengths, compositions and architectures. This unique class of materials has the potential to harness the discriminatory power of saccharides\textsuperscript{285,286} without the thermal instability of proteins, such as antibodies, while maintaining the convenient size and shape tunability of polymers. Furthermore, steric stabilisation from large, bulky polymers can prevent the approach of molecules that may compete for binding sites, increasing the observed affinity.\textsuperscript{244}

\subsubsection*{1.8.2.1 Reversible Addition-Fragmentation Chain Transfer (RAFT)}

RAFT (reversible addition-fragmentation chain transfer) is a reversible-deactivation radical living polymerisation technique.\textsuperscript{287} It allows for a wide range of functionality, complexity and reaction conditions; while producing low molecular weight dispersity range (degree of polymerisation (DP)) and predictable molecular weight polymers.\textsuperscript{288,289} RAFT polymerisation utilises a chain transfer agent (CTA or “RAFT
agent”) commonly a thiocarbonylthio compound, however xanthates can be used in a MADIX process (macromolecular design by interchange of xanthate) (Figure 1.10).²⁹⁰

![Figure 1.10. Chain Transfer Agents](image)

A) A RAFT agent (Pentafluorophenyl-2-dodecylthiocarbonothioylthio)-2-methylpropanoate (PFP-DMP); B) A MADIX agent (N-succinimide 2-(ethyl xanthate)-2-methylpropanoate (“MADIX1”)) and C) Reversible addition-fragmentation of a chain transfer agent, highlighting the Z and R/R’ groups

The chain transfer agent in RAFT mediates the polymerisation, an example being thiocarbonylthio compounds that are used in this work. CTAs are made up of three key groups; a group that is reactive to radical addition (e.g., C=S), a Z-group that modifies addition and fragmentation rates by dictating radical stability and R groups that are able to reinitiate polymerisation and act as a free radical leaving group (Figure 1.10C).²⁸⁹,²⁹¹ By adjusting these groups CTAs can be designed to control polymerisations of a wide range of monomers.²⁹²

The monomers used in RAFT polymerisations must be able to form stable radical structures, an example monomer is 2-hydroxyethyl acrylamide (HEA) that will be used in this work. Previous work using HEA monomers has shown the monomer to be suitable for RAFT polymerisations, giving reasonable degrees of polymerisation under benign reaction conditions.²⁹³

The RAFT mechanism using PFP-DMP (Figure 1.11) is initiated by radical formation, for example thermal initiation using a radical initiator such as 4,4’-azobis(4-
cyanovaleric acid) (ACVA) (Figure 1.11A) and propagation/chain growth on a monomer (Figure 1.11B). This radical species then reacts with the CTA forming an intermediate that collapses back into a π-system with loss of a CTA R-group (a constituent of the CTA that can stabilise a radical) as a radical. The radical R-group then propagates the cycle by reacting with another monomer (radical polymeric chain). The rapid equilibrium that forms between the active chain (radical polymeric chain) and the dormant chain (polymeric chain attached to a CTA) controls molecular weight growth. To maintain controlled polymer growth the radical polymeric chain should undergo chain growth by only a few monomers (ideally one) before returning to the dormant chain. Thus, the CTA R groups cycle in and out of the radical and dormant chain.

Figure 1.11. RAFT mechanism
A) Initiation and B) Propagation
Molecular weight control is achieved by controlling the initial ratio between the [monomer] and [CTA] and the rate of addition/fragmentation, controlled by the Z-group. Deviations from this ratio give broader molecular weight ranges and are often due to incorrect Z-group choice and poor handling of the CTA – thiocarbonylthio groups are sensitive to oxidizing agents in some solvents, pH changes, high temperatures and light. The ratio of [CTA]:[initiator] is also usually greater than one to make sure the number of dormant CTA chains is greater than the number of free radicals.

Most polymerisation reactions use thermally-initiated systems – however externally controllable alternatives do exist, such as; light, voltage in atom transfer radical polymerisation (ATRP), chemical redox in ring-opening metathesis polymerisation (ROMP) and mechanical force. This work will use thermally-initiated polymer reactions. Although, photo-controlled radical polymerisations (photo-CRPs) using either separate photo-induced initiators or RAFT/MADIX agents as an initiator-transfer-agent-terminator (iniferter) allow for more controlled and sustained polymerisations by removing additional thermal radical initiator, therefore favouring photolysis of the C-S bond as the initiation step. This can allow for higher conversions and better dispersities in the synthesis of higher $M_n$ polymers of both telechelic PHEA and PVP. Photo-polymerisation has the added advantages of being possible at room temperature and in the presence of oxygen – this makes the process more industrially viable than standard RAFT approaches.

1.8.2.2 Linear Polymers

Linear polymers (unlike dendrimers) provide a simple synthetic approach to present a high valence system with limited structural control. This is because the polymer backbone is flexible, decreasing topological control of the molecular architecture; however, the backbone is functionalised with multiple glycan units allowing for higher valences. Glucose-functionalised linear polymers have been used to interfere with quorum sensing and sequester bacteria, building on work discussed by Pieters, that shows bacterial adhesion can be interfered with by multivalent carbohydrate systems. While sialoside-functionalised linear polymers have been used to inhibit virus binding. It is emerging how the polymer backbone, which presents the glycans, can itself play a key role in fine tuning affinity and selectivity. For example Kiessling showed that $cis$-backbones (from ROMP) gave mucin mimetics which were
more potent inhibitors than *trans* equivalents.\textsuperscript{307} Whereas amide-linked mannose side-chains were found to bind ConA less than ester-linked side-chains, this difference was attributed to subtle mobility differences.\textsuperscript{308} 

The glycan unit of linear glycopolymers is often attached to the polymer backbone by a pendent linker such as ethylene glycol or similar short chain unit. This is not always the case though with some groups making polymers out of glycopeptides such as vancomycin.\textsuperscript{309} In this study the polymer was found to have a higher affinity for cell wall intermediate mimics than monomeric vancomycin. Interestingly a dendrimer-based approach has also been developed to target drug-resistant cell walls.\textsuperscript{310} While others have tuned the length of the pendent linker and glycan density on the backbone to influence affinity.\textsuperscript{311–313} Semsarilar et al. increased the valency of the linear backbone by hyperbranching at the pendent sites, overcoming the chain length limitations of a linear polymer where one monomer unit often has a valency of one.\textsuperscript{314} While Mahon et al. used Polymer-Scaffolded Dynamic Combinatorial Libraries and a lectin scaffold to increase the affinity of a linear polymer, by dynamically selecting for high affinity pendent groups (Figure 1.12).\textsuperscript{286}

![Figure 1.12. Dynamic combinatorial selection of avid lectin binder using polyaldehydes and acylhydrazide glycans.](image)

1.8.2.3 *Pre- versus Post-Polymerisation Functionalisation*

A key consideration in the synthesis of linear (and spherical polymers) is whether to add glycans pre-polymerisation or post-polymerisation. The addition of glycans onto monomer units pre-polymerisation,\textsuperscript{315} can be done by chemical or enzymatic means.\textsuperscript{316} Pre-polymerisation glycan functionalisation, can lead to excessive and unnecessary use of a glycan (e.g. loss during synthesis and incomplete monomer conversion) unless used in a copolymerisation technique.\textsuperscript{317} This can make it
unfeasible for economic reasons when using complex saccharides. It is also usually necessary to protect the glycan before polymerisation (Figure 1.13A),\textsuperscript{318,319} however, this is not always the case.\textsuperscript{320} Post-polymerisation functionalisation along the length of the polymer chain has been carried out by click chemistry (Figure 1.13B)\textsuperscript{321,322} but often requires priming of the glycan\textsuperscript{323,324} or full conversion is not realised.\textsuperscript{325} Activated esters and aminated glycans have also been used to carry out post-polymerisation chain functionalisation, however the conversion was not total.\textsuperscript{326} While a ring-opening approach utilising poly(azalactone) yielded high conversions with aminated monosaccharides; however this approach does limit monomer choice, a key design variable of polymer chemistry.\textsuperscript{327} Post-polymerisation terminal functionalisation using amino-oxy terminated polymers and reducing glycans has also been developed.\textsuperscript{328} Notably, terminal functionalisation can also be obtained using pre-polymerisation glycan functionalisation, but this additional, usually hydrophilic, glycan group can interfere with the polymerisation reaction.

Figure 1.13. Examples of pre- and post-polymerisation glycan addition approaches
A) pre-polymerisation\textsuperscript{319} and B) post-polymerisation\textsuperscript{322}

Further structural diversity can be achieved by varying the carbon position on the glycan used for attachment, this can also tailor binding.\textsuperscript{230,329} For example pyranose glycans are often functionalised through C1, with aminated glycans such as galactosamine favouring functionalisation via C2, while C3\textsuperscript{330} and C6\textsuperscript{331,332} functionalisation is less common. A rare example of C4 functionalisation was carried out using a vinyl-benzaldehyde monomer at the \textit{para}-position, but the linkage was also via C6.\textsuperscript{333}
1.8.2.4 Spherical Polymers

The Miura and Gibson groups have independently shown that linear glycopolymers constructs on spherical gold cores (“spherical polymers”) can mimic GM1 \(^{334-336}\) (Figure 1.14A), a membrane-bound monosialosylganglioside with a high affinity for cholera toxin.\(^{337}\) These approaches build on work illustrating lectins, such as cholera toxin, show good affinity for fragments of their polysaccharide target.\(^{338}\) While the Miura group focused on heterogenous glycan functionalisation along the length of the polymer chain (Figure 1.14B),\(^{335}\) the Gibson group sought to mimic the structure of the GM1 scaffold and its terminal groups using bifunctional monomer units (Figure 1.14C).\(^{334}\) Interestingly, both groups mimicked the same two glycans in their structures, the terminal glycans of GM1; galactose and neuraminic acid, illustrating well that glycan presentation can tune binding. Others have varied side chain length (i.e. linker length between backbone and glycan) to enable control over the access of galactose into the relatively deep GM1 binding pocket of the Cholera toxin.\(^{202,339}\) While by adding branching units on the glycan-polymer linker, the allosteric sialic acid binding site could be targeted to further increase selectivity.\(^{334,340,341}\) This approach also utilised multiple different glycans within a single polymer chain and was shown to increase affinity through a range of mechanisms\(^{342}\) beyond just targeting a second binding site – potentially steric shielding too.\(^{343}\)

Other groups have moved away from the commonly used protein and nanoparticle cores. Wu et al. has favoured self-assembling polymeric glycomicelles that use polyester cores.\(^{344}\) While the Percec group have harnessed amphiphilicity to make coreless glycodendrimersomes from dendritic units.\(^{345,346}\)
1.8.3 Glycan Presentation Summary

The synthetic mimicking of GM1 to bind cholera toxin,\textsuperscript{347} highlights well the structural variety and number of different synthetic avenues that researchers have taken to imitate the same binding event. For example, galactose dendrimers have been used to mimic GM1 and were shown in an octavalent system to have an \( IC_{50} \), lower than GM1, for cholera toxin.\textsuperscript{348} While the work of the Miura and Gibson groups highlights the use of spherical polymers for the same target,\textsuperscript{334–336} Plus linear polymers have also been used as GM1 mimics.\textsuperscript{349}

In summary, the structural versatility of multivalent glycan presenting systems can be used to overcome a broad range of challenges. Glycopolymers have been deployed, or show potential for, a variety of therapeutic roles and biomedical applications\textsuperscript{350,351} including; binding flu virus\textsuperscript{352} (glycopolymers in vaccine development is discussed in

Figure 1.14. GM1 and synthetic mimics

A) GM1; B) Gibson Group GM1 mimic and C) Miura Group GM1 mimic
detail by Sunasee et al.), MRI contrast agents, drug delivery, anti-cancer agents, metabolic labelling of cell-surfaces and to re-program the glycocalyx. Chikae et al. demonstrated that glycopolymer-coated nanoparticles, immobilised on carbon electrodes can detect amyloid-β, a peptide produced in Alzheimer’s disease. Others have shown the potential of glycopolymer-coated AuNPs as anti-cancer and transfection agents. Notably, Miura et al. have written a review that discusses the role of glycopolymers in nanobiotechnology in more depth. The final sections of this chapter will focus more directly on spherical polymers, specifically those that use a gold nanoparticle core.
1.9 Glycosylated Gold Nanoparticles

As with antibody-functionalised gold nanoparticles which form the basis of most lateral flow devices, there are extensive reports of glycans being immobilised onto gold nanoparticles. Several reports conjugate the glycan directly to the nanoparticle surface, or with short linkers, which give a high density (valency) but can lead to problems with colloidal stability. This can lead to irreversible aggregation when used in biologically relevant media, such as saline buffers or blood plasma. Hence the use of polymeric tethers which provide steric stabilisation, as well as acting as non-fouling interfaces, has been explored.\textsuperscript{364}

Polymer chains can be added to the surface of AuNPs by three methods; \textit{grafting to} (a polymer is added to the surface of pre-prepared AuNPs), \textit{in situ} (a polymer is added to the surface of growing AuNPs) and \textit{grafting from} (polymerisation occurs on CTAs or initiators bound to the pre-prepared AuNP surface) (Figure 1.15).\textsuperscript{365} The advantage of \textit{grafting to} is that a well-defined polymer can be synthesised before addition to the surface: this allows for increased control of the reaction. However, the diffusion rate of a large macromolecule to the target surface is low and decreases as more bound macromolecules impede the path to the surface. This is overcome with \textit{grafting from} at the expense of losing control over the polymer synthesis, as the polymer is grown from the surface in a poorly-defined manner.\textsuperscript{366,367} In addition, to analyse a \textit{grafting from} polymer, the polymer must be laboriously cleaved from the surface.

![Figure 1.15. Representation of the three conceptual methods of polymer grafting to surfaces](image-url)
Poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) is widely used as a nanoparticle coating, due to its low-biofouling, low cytotoxicity and commercial availability. Russel and co-workers used a PEG linker to immobilise a tri-functional sialoside to gold nanoparticles, enabling tuning of avian versus human influenza binding (dictated by the 2,3 versus 2,6 linkages). Penadés and co-workers have used PEGylated gold nanoparticles to investigate glycosphingolipid mediated carbohydrate-carbohydrate interactions when coupled with an SPR sensor.

Whilst PEG is widely used, there are thousands of other potential monomeric building blocks for the polymer tether. RAFT polymerisation has attracted interest in this respect, as it installs an α-terminal (protected) thiol on every chain (the α-terminal di/tri-thioester (trithiocarbonate) is easily reduced to a thiol for direct gold particle immobilisation), which is suitable for immobilisation onto gold surfaces.

There has been particular interest in exploiting RAFT polymerisation to generate polymeric ligands for gold nanoparticles. The loading of thiol-terminated glycopolymers produced by RAFT was investigated by Dave et al. In this work, fluorescein isothiocyanate was displaced from the gold surface to determine the effects of polymer length on loading. Similarly, Jin et al. used thiols projecting from a poly(methyl methacrylate) surface to immobilised AuNPs. The AuNPs were further functionalised with glycopolymers via a thiol linker. Cameron and co-workers used poly(galactosides) derived by RAFT for the in situ formation of small (< 20 nm) glycosylated AuNPs, capable of lectin recognition, to generate glyco-conjugate cancer vaccines. RAFT polymerisation has also been used to make pH responsive and thermo-responsive glycopolymers on AuNPs. Other approaches using disulphide, double-headed ATRP initiators or grafting-from methods have also been used.

A more novel approach for gold functionalisation was used by Boyer et al. to immobilise glycopolymers. Their “layer-by-layer” approach formed a charged spherical polymer, around a gold core, that was later functionalised with a charged glycopolymer.
1.10 AuNPs in Sensors and Diagnostics – Aggregation to Lateral Flow Glyco-Assays

The surface plasmon absorption energy maximum of a plasmonic nanoparticle is altered by collective conduction-band electron oscillation that occurs when nanoparticles become localised – i.e when they aggregate there is strong colour change.\(^{83,89}\) Zsigmondy and Thiessen established that proteins could be used to stabilise gold and prevent gold aggregation.\(^{420}\) While Mirkin et al. demonstrated that oligonucleotide-functionalised AuNPs aggregated in the presence of complementary DNA sticky ends showing how specific DNA sequences can be identified by a simple colour change in solution.\(^{381}\) Due to the fact that many lectins have multiple binding sites (i.e. Concanavalin A (ConA) has 4,\(^{382}\) Soybean agglutinin (SBA) has 4)\(^{383}\), multivalent glycosylated gold nanoparticles have been explored as colourimetric sensors for lectins based on red-blue colour shifts.

Figure 1.16. Major nanoparticle design considerations and common lectin analytes for aggregation assays and potential for use in lateral flow assays

Lectin structures were taken from the Protein Data Bank\(^{240}\) as follows; Soybean agglutinin (SBA) – 1SBF,\(^{242}\) Concanavalin A (ConA) – 3CNA,\(^{384}\) Cholera toxin
While early aggregation studies using glycopolymer functionalised AuNPs did not harness the potential of glycans to bind lectins, instead using biotin functionalised polymers, in conjunction with glycopolymers, to bind streptavidin. More contemporary studies have focused on model (plant) lectins, using; mannose-functionalised poly(ethylene glycol) chains to bind ConA, galactose-functionalised glycopolymers to bind RCA, and lactose-functionalised glycopolymers to bind cholera toxin. Although Jiang et al. would later show bifunctional systems of glycan and biotin are able to bind both streptavidin and lectins (ConA, ricin and WGA), allowing for lectin immobilisation on an avidin-coated dotLab Sensor Surface.

Aggregation assay users have deployed many different polymer linkers. The use of polymeric linkers introduces steric stabilisation ensuring particles do not aggregate in e.g. saline conditions, compared to using glycans directly-conjugated to the AuNP surface. Von der Ehe et al. synthesised a copolymer of unfunctionalised N-isopropylacrylamide (NIPAM) and 2-mercaptoethylacrylamide functionalised with monosaccharides via a sulphur linker. Despite using RAFT polymerisation, the thiocarbonylthio group was not degraded to a thiol before immobilisation onto gold nanoparticles. Aggregation assays were carried out using ConA – no aggregation was observed with the glucose-functionalised copolymer or poly(NIPAM) homopolymer. Aggregation was only observed with the mannose-functionalised copolymer, leading to a small shift in the UV-vis spectra on aggregation.

The use of polymer tethers also enables additional functionality and properties, such as thermoresponsivity. This was further developed by Won et al. who deployed gold nanoparticles functionalised with poly(hydroxyethyl acrylamide) glycopolymers and poly(NIPAM). These systems demonstrated that lectin binding can be triggered by both temperature changes, and changes in calcium ion concentrations. In both cases glycan functionalisation was through an amide or ester linker, either before or after polymerisation. Samoilova et al. also used an amide linker for glycan functionalisation but with poly(ethylene-alt-maleic anhydride) and poly(N-vinylpyrrolidone-alt-maleic anhydride). This study also found negligible differences between gold and silver nanoparticles, highlighting the potential of
possible alternatives to spherical AuNPs. It is also notable that gold nanorods have reported protein detection, down to 100 pg.mL$^{-1}$.\textsuperscript{401} This was built on by Georgiou \textit{et al.} who harnessed gold nanorods functionalised with neuraminic acids to sense for SARS-COV-2 in clinical samples (unpublished data).

Click reactions have also been used to form glycan linkages. This can require “priming” (preparation before use) of the glycan and protection of the glycan hydroxyls,\textsuperscript{323} something not necessary in other syntheses.\textsuperscript{402,403} A click approach allowed Boden \textit{et al.} to present mannose, sequestered via the C1 position, on a variety of precision-made polymers using solid phase polymer synthesis.\textsuperscript{317} Three short polymers of varying hydrophobicity with either monovalent or pentavalent mannose functionalisation were produced. Although, all the glycopolymers successfully bound the lectin, the pentavalent system exhibited the lowest binding. This is likely due to steric hindrance preventing the lectin from binding the mannose residues. While precise solid phase polymer synthesis is unlikely to be industrially viable for integration into POC devices, this work does illustrate the importance of appropriate glycan presentation, regardless of high glycan concentrations.\textsuperscript{404}

The importance of glycan presentation was further illustrated by Otten \textit{et al.} who presented two different monosaccharides: mannosamine and galactosamine, on AuNPs. This heterogenous approach allowed for differentiation of lectins such as ConA, SBA and \textit{Ricinus communis} agglutinin I (RCA\textsubscript{120}).\textsuperscript{405} These modest changes to glycan heterogeneity allowed for increased discriminatory power and emphasises the ease with which monosaccharides can be deployed to good effect.

Toyoshima \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{406} synthesised mannose- and \textit{N}-acetylglucosamine-functionalised glycopolymers immobilised on 15, 40 and 100 nm AuNPs. Pre-functionalised \textit{p}-acrylamidophenyl \textit{α}-mannoside and \textit{p}-acrylamidophenyl \textit{N}-acetyl-\textit{β}-glucosamine were polymerised by RAFT. The level of glycan functionalisation on the backbone varied from 13% to 100%. Aggregation experiments were carried out with target and off-target lectins; and the colour change measured by UV-vis and aggregation confirmed by TEM. The mannose functionalised AuNPs were assayed against ORN178 (an \textit{E. coli} species specific for \textit{α}-mannose) and aggregated (Figure 1.17A).\textsuperscript{406} Similar work was carried out by Richards \textit{et al.} who showed how fimbrae-differing phenotypes (FimH+ and FimH-) of \textit{E. coli} can be discriminated by glycosylated AuNPs.\textsuperscript{407}
Figure 1.17. Representative structures of glycosylated AuNPs, and flow-through and lateral flow dipsticks used by the Miura group

A) Representative structure of glycosylated acrylamide coated AuNPs used by Toyoshima et al.\textsuperscript{315} and Ishii et al.;\textsuperscript{408} B) Schematic of the flow-through dipstick used by Toyoshima et al.;\textsuperscript{315} and C) Schematic of the lateral flow dipstick used by Ishii et al.;\textsuperscript{408}

1.10.1 Lateral Flow Glyco-Assays

Considering the large body of literature showing that multivalent presentation significantly increases glycan binding affinity and the importance of carbohydrate binding to proteins as biomarkers, there exists a significant opportunity to develop lateral flow-based diagnostics using glycans as the capture/recognition units. As glycans can be chemically synthesised, there is no need to raise antibodies against emergent pathogens and the sheer range of tools to alter their presentation make them appealing targets. However, thus far there have been few lateral flow glyco-assays (LFGAs).

The first step towards a lateral flow glyco assay was carried out by Toyoshima \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{315} who utilised \(\alpha\)-galactose- and \(\alpha\)-mannose- \(p\)-acrylamidophenyl pyranosides against
target and off-target lectins in aggregation assays and surface plasmon resonance (SPR) (Figure 1.17A). This work highlighted that glycopolymers could be used to sense for targets, such as Shiga toxin-1, by aggregation assay and SPR. Interestingly, a flow-through glyco-assay using Shiga toxin-1 as the test line (Figure 1.17B), showed mild levels of binding to a galactose-functionalised AuNP system (Figure 1.18C).

Figure 1.18. Representative test lines of flow-through and lateral flow immuno- and glyco-assays

This minor foray into lateral flow technology was advanced by the Miura group using mannose-functionalised p-acrylamidophenyl polymers to sense for ConA in the mobile phase.\(^{408}\) They employed RAFT polymerisation to form a polymer with varying amounts of mannose-functionalised groups (0% to 50%) along the length of the polymer. This was immobilised on 40 nm AuNPs and run in the mobile phase. The test line was a rabbit anti-ConA antibody (Figures 1.17C & 1.18D) – a hybrid lateral flow immuno-glyco-assay. The results were analysed by UV-vis, but the red line response from higher concentrations could be seen with the naked eye.

Further work was carried out using similarly synthesised AuNPs to determine whether copolymers with varying glycan (mannose) densities or a mixture of functionalised and unfunctionalised polymers gave the best responses in aggregation and lateral flow assays.\(^{409}\) They found that an AuNP coated in functionalised and unfunctionalised chains with high glycan concentrations gave excellent responses. It is notable that smearing of the nanoparticles was observed in some cases, indicating the importance of the polymer coating, and using an appropriate particle blocking agent such as BSA or casein. The importance of the polymer coating has previously been observed in
aggregation assays where a compromise must be struck between stability in aqueous media and rapid optical readout, usually tuned by adjusting polymer length.\textsuperscript{394,407} Similarly, alterations to the polymer itself i.e. to a more sterically bulky polymer can be used to stabilise AuNPs\textsuperscript{410} and favour binding, but avoid aggregation.\textsuperscript{6}

This is likely to be of importance in lateral flow assays where early deposition leads to smearing and undesirable signal-to-noise ratios. Nevertheless, the work of the Miura group has shown the knowledge transfer possible from aggregation assays to flow-through assays to lateral flow assays. This coupled with knowledge gained from glycosylated AuNP aggregation studies into targets such as Influenza hemagglutinins,\textsuperscript{7} has led to the development of the first lateral flow glyco-assay (LFGA) diagnostic devices by the Gibson Group, presented in this work and the following publications.

Baker \textit{et al.}, discovered that SARS-COV-2 (the causative agent of COVID-19) spike protein has affinity towards certain sialic acids,\textsuperscript{2,3} and later further confirmed with microarrays\textsuperscript{411} and STD NMR.\textsuperscript{412} This built on research highlighting sialic acid affinity in other coronaviruses,\textsuperscript{413,414} such as the coronavirus that causes Middle East Respiratory syndrome (MERS).\textsuperscript{415} N-acetyl neuraminic acid functionalised hydroxyethyl acrylamide polymers immobilised on AuNPs were used to detect a SARS-COV-2 spike protein bearing pseudovirus in a lateral flow glyco-assay device (using a BSA-glycoconjugate test-line) (Figure 1.18E), discussed further in Chapter 3. While a flow-through glyco-assay device, where the specimen is deposited as the test line, was used for the diagnosis of SARS-COV-2 in primary clinical samples (Figure 1.18C), discussed further in Chapter 4.\textsuperscript{4} These works established that lateral flow glyco-assays have potential applications in rapid diagnostics, surveillance and as accessible research tools for evaluating glycan-binding protein function. Further work showed that by tuning the polymer coating (glycan density and polymer length) and AuNP size, different lectins could be targeted, discussed further in Chapter 2.\textsuperscript{1} This demonstrated the tuneability and robustness of lateral flow glyco-assay systems.
1.11 Conclusions

Research into carbohydrate-based, rather than antibody- or nucleotide-based, aggregation assays highlights the potential of carbohydrate-based systems to provide cost-effective and sensitive diagnostics for healthcare at the point of care and need.\textsuperscript{416} This collection of research builds on the extensive role of carbohydrates in nature, and coupled with the robustness of glycan-based systems and the benefits of lateral flow POCT, could allow for the targeting of a wider range of potential epitopes and analytes.\textsuperscript{325,417}

In summary, glycan-based systems offer an alternative to immuno-based detection systems.\textsuperscript{418} The potential benefits of glycan-based systems compared to proteomic or immuno-based systems are the ease of both storage and manufacture.\textsuperscript{202} The potential for glycopolymers-functionalised AuNPs, integrated into lateral flow systems, for use as POCT devices is vast. This is especially true if the glycopolymers can be functionalised economically with monosaccharides or branched polysaccharide mimics. These low-cost immuno-free glycopolymers-functionalised lateral flow diagnostic devices (Lateral Flow Glyco-Assays (LFGA)) could be ideal for low- and middle-income, and more economically developed countries alike.\textsuperscript{202,419}

Herein, the first created lateral flow glyco-assay is discussed (Chapter 2) and its performance tuned against a variety of lectin analytes by adjusting, polymer chain length, glycan linkage position and particle engineering. The first published LFGA is then discussed in Chapter 3 and its use demonstrated against the S1 spike protein of SARS-COV-2 and a pseudovirus system bearing the S1 spike protein. This device, in a flow-through glyco-assay format, is then applied to a real-world problem, as it is used to detect the presence of the SARS-COV-2 virus in clinical samples (Chapter 4).

In Chapter 5, the focus shifts away from the nanoparticle system to the stationary phase, where the first fully synthetic (“vegan”) lateral flow test is demonstrated using a glycan functionalised poly(vinyl pyrrolidone) test line. The performance of this fully synthetic test line is interrogated using a variety of analytes.

In Chapter 6, the synthesis of mannose and glucose derivatives with C2 conjugate functionality but conserved C2 hydroxyl functionality is explored using a Mannich-based approach.
Finally in Chapter 7, the x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy data collected over the course of this work and others is collated and explored for its potential to study grafting density and its effects in nanoparticle systems.
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Chapter 2

Lateral Flow Glyco-Assays for the Rapid and Low-Cost Detection of Lectins – Polymeric Linkers and Particle Engineering are Essential for Selectivity and Performance
2.1 Abstract

Lateral flow immunoassays, such as the home pregnancy test, are rapid point of care diagnostics that use antibody-coated nanoparticles to bind antigens/analytes (e.g., viruses, toxins, or hormones). Ease of use, no need for centralised infrastructure and low-cost, makes these devices appealing for rapid disease identification, especially in low-resource environments. Here glycosylated polymer-coated nanoparticles are demonstrated for the sensitive, label-free detection of lectins in lateral flow and flow-through. The systems introduced here use glycans, not antibodies, to provide recognition: a “lateral flow glyco-assay,” providing unique biosensing opportunities. Glycans are installed onto polymer termini and immobilised onto gold nanoparticles, providing colloidal stability but crucially also introducing assay tunability and selectivity. Using soybean agglutinin and *Ricinus communis* agglutinin I (RCA\textsubscript{120}) as model analytes, the impact of polymer chain length and nanoparticle core size are evaluated, with chain length found to have a significant effect on signal generation – highlighting the need to control the macromolecular architecture to tune response. With optimised systems, lectins are detectable at sub-nanomolar concentrations, comparable to antibody-based systems. Complete lateral flow devices are also assembled to show how these devices could be deployed in the “real world.” This work shows that glycan-binding can be a valuable tool in rapid diagnostics.
2.2 Declaration

This chapter has been published as a paper discussing the detection of SBA and RCA\textsubscript{120} using flow-through and lateral flow diagnostics by harnessing glycans as capture agents.

Asier Muguruza helped carry out some of the DLS and UV-vis analysis of the particles and helped prepare and carry out the lateral flow testing targeting SBA, Sarah-Jane Richards helped prepare and carry out the lateral flow testing targeting RCA\textsubscript{120}, Panagiotis Georgiou carried out the TEM analysis, Stephan Goetz provided guidance and support in designing the LFD systems and Marc Walker supported the XPS analysis and supported model fitting the XPS data.

I synthesised the RAFT agent, the polymers, the gold particles; functionalised the polymers with glycans; characterised the polymer systems by NMR, SEC and FTIR; characterised the particles by XPS; and synthesised and characterised the glycans by NMR. I designed, constructed, and ran the prototype flow-through and lateral flow devices, and analysed the data from the devices.

Myself, Simone Dedola, Robert A. Field and Matthew Gibson were responsible for preparation of the manuscript.

2.3 Introduction

Lateral flow devices (LFDs), such as the home pregnancy test,\(^1\) can be used to provide rapid point of care testing at low cost. The cost-effectiveness and clinical usefulness of LFDs has been well demonstrated by malaria rapid diagnostic tests,\(^2,3\) in the diagnosis of cutaneous leishmaniasis\(^4\) and in comparisons with reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) approaches for Ebola diagnosis.\(^5\) More recently LFDs have been used to detect SARS-COV-2, as rapid and low-cost diagnostics allowing for early detection when deployed appropriately.\(^6\) LFDs are chromatographic paper-based devices which function by flowing the analyte past a functionalised stationary phase with affinity for the analyte. As the analyte passes through the device it is bound by both the stationary phase and the signal generating mobile phase, most commonly gold nanoparticles functionalised with receptors for the analyte, “sandwiching” the analyte.\(^7\) This leads to a visible colour forming at the test line, indicating a positive test. Gold nanoparticles are the most commonly\(^7\) used mobile phase due to their strong colouration associated with their localised surface plasmon resonance band,\(^8–10\) and ease of functionalisation by nonspecific surface passivation (e.g., absorption of proteins), or through aurophilic functionalities such as thiols. Gold nanoparticles are also easy to synthesise by reduction of gold salts. Notably, other signal generating units such as; quantum dots,\(^11\) graphene oxide\(^12,13\) and carbon nanotubes\(^14\) have also been used in LFDs.

Typically, the capture units for the analyte, on both the test line and nanoparticle surface, are antibodies, owing to their high affinity and selectivity. However, antibodies are not essential components in lateral flow devices. Other recognition units such as; nucleic acids,\(^15\) lectins\(^16\) and glycans can also be used, so long as the analyte is bound with sufficient affinity and specificity. Glycans are ubiquitous in biological systems\(^17\) with over half of all mammalian proteins estimated to be glycosylated\(^18\) and glycoconjugates playing a variety of roles from cell signalling\(^19\) to mediating immune responses.\(^20\) They are also the site of pathogen adhesion during many viral infections,\(^21,22\) especially respiratory viruses such as influenza.\(^23\)

The diverse range of biological recognition processes driven by glycans presents many opportunities to either target the glycans themselves or the proteins that sense for them (lectins) in biosensing or diagnostic applications. Lectins are found in a wide-array of
environments, for example the cholera toxin, ricin, lectins in snake venoms and as biocides in algae. Furthermore, lectins have been used for decades as histological stains, to identify diseased tissue based on glycosylation, while lectins-containing biosensors have been extensively reviewed. Damborský et al. have reported a lateral flow device that utilises immobilised lectins (in place of antibodies) as test lines for prostate specific antigen and Bayoumy et al. have used antibodies to target glycans. However, to the best of our knowledge, there are very few examples of the exploration of glycans as the detection units in lateral flow, that is, using glycans to sense for an analyte – rather than targeting glycans as an analyte or a glycosylated analyte. A mannose-functionalised p-acrylamidophenyl polymer coated AuNP, with an antibody as the test line, was used by Ishii et al. to detect Concanavalin A (ConA) in a lateral flow device. We believe this is the first report of glycans forming part of an LFD. Miura and co-workers built on this work further by preparing a small panel of glycopolymer functionalised AuNPs for the detection of ConA – again using an antibody as the stationary phase. These two examples demonstrated that glyco-nanoparticles could be deployed in LFDs, however, both reports relied on using antibodies in part, and were only demonstrated against the plant lectin ConA. Baker et al., discovered that SARS-COV-2 (the causative agent of COVID-19) spike protein has affinity towards sialic acids, as had been reported for previous coronaviruses including the coronavirus that causes Middle East Respiratory syndrome (MERS). Using N-acetyl neuraminic acid-terminated polymer ligands, immobilised onto gold nanoparticles, it was demonstrated that a SARS-COV-2 spike protein bearing pseudovirus could be detected in a lateral flow glyco-assay (using a BSA-glycoconjugate test-line), and that a flow-through assay (LFD without a test line) device could be used for the detection of S1 spike protein. This clearly demonstrated that lateral flow glyco-assays, lateral flow devices that use glycans as capture agents (on the test line and particle) for an analyte, have potential applications in rapid diagnostics, surveillance, and as accessible research tools for evaluating glycan-binding protein function. Further work utilising a flow-through glyco-assay demonstrated that glycans could be used as capture agents to sense for the SARS-COV-2 virus in patient samples and that these tests were thermally robust, which could be an advantage of glycan-based devices versus antibody-based devices. To advance the study of glyco-LFD technology, LFDs that use glycans as capture agents
on test lines and/or particles, it is crucial to understand how each component (particle, surface) impacts performance.

Herein we explore how the role of polymer chain length, glycan density and nanoparticle size affect the performance of lateral flow glyco-assays, for the detection of lectin analytes, as a model system to further validate glyco-LFDs. This study reveals that the outputs (signal, nonspecific binding and background) were dependent on the nanoparticle’s structural parameters. In particular, the precise chain length of the polymeric tether required for optimal detection of different lectins (SBA (soybean agglutinin) and RCA_{120} (\textit{Ricinus communis} agglutinin I)) was shown to be different. This provides the opportunity to introduce selectivity not just through the glycan, but also through macromolecular engineering, which is a unique feature of this technology. Guided by these results, complete diagnostic devices were fabricated and used to detect SBA in 10 minutes at concentrations as low as 5 \(\mu\text{g.mL}^{-1}\).
2.4 Results and Discussion

The primary aim of this work was to develop lateral flow glyco-assay technology to enable the sensitive detection of lectins, using glycosylated polymer-stabilised gold nanoparticles, as an alternative to traditional antibody-based detection systems. To achieve this, an understanding of how particle/polymer structure impacts lateral flow performance was required. Therefore, a library-based screening approach was undertaken, with SBA chosen as the model lectin for detection. The precise chain length, surface glycan density, and particle size have been previously shown to be crucial in plasmonic (aggregation) glyco-assays, by modulating particle/analyte interactions and outcomes, while also ensuring colloidal stability in complex media.\textsuperscript{42,43} Reversible addition-fragmentation chain transfer (RAFT) polymerisation was used to synthesise a panel of poly(hydroxyethyl acrylamide)s (PHEA) using pentfluorophenyl-2-(dodecylthiocarbonothioylthio)-2-methylpropanoate (PFP-DMP) as the RAFT agent to install a pentfluorophenyl group at the ω-chain end, and a protected thiol at the α-end (for AuNP immobilisation), Figure 2.1. PHEA was chosen because of its solubility and colloidal stability when immobilised onto gold nanoparticles.\textsuperscript{44} The polymers were characterised (Table 2.1) by size exclusion chromatography (SEC, Figure 2.1C) showing low dispersity values, and the structure confirmed by $^1$H, $^{13}$C and $^{19}$F NMR spectroscopy (Experimental section and Appendix 2). Galactosamine (2-deoxy-2-amino-galactose) was conjugated to the polymer by displacement of the PFP end-group, to mimic the structure of N-acetyl galactosamine (GalNAc) due to formation of the amide linkage. Glycan addition was confirmed by FTIR, $^{19}$F and $^1$H NMR. Non-glycosylated polymers were produced by reaction with n-pentylamine and used (below) to dilute the density of glycans on the particle surface.

Citrate stabilised 16 and 40 nm gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) were synthesised by a seeded growth approach and characterised by dynamic light scattering (DLS), transmission electron microscopy (Appendix 2 Figure S8) and UV-vis analysis.\textsuperscript{45,46} The AuNPs were then functionalised with varying ratios of glycosylated and non-glycosylated polymers to produce 100%, 66%, 33% and 0% glycan-densities on the AuNPs for each polymer length and AuNP size, to produce a library of 34 particles (including the two citrate-stabilised AuNPs), Figure 2.1. UV-vis spectroscopy and DLS confirmed functionalisation (Appendix 2 Figures S9-S17 and Table S1). In some
cases, the particles were unstable (fully aggregated): all GalPHEA$_{26}$@AuNP$_{40}$’s and all GalPHEA$_{40}$@AuNP$_{40}$’s aggregated except 100% glycan functionalised; hence these particles were excluded from further analysis. It is worth noting that a hydrophobic amine was used in place of the glycan for the non-glycosylated polymers (to remove the PFP group) which contributes to the observed aggregation. A hydrophobic amine was chosen as some aggregation of the particles with both antigen and test line in an LFD may aid detection, and therefore even the dispersed samples showed some populations of larger particles in the DLS (Appendix 2) but were all suitable for this screening step. Therefore, this does not prevent their application here where the LFD performance is the primary outcome. To further characterise the surface of the particles, x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was conducted on dried particles (Appendix 2 Figures S32-S42 and Tables S15-S16). XPS confirmed the presence of amide (C(O)NC) and amine (C(O)NC) peaks in the C 1s (Figure 2.1D), and N 1s scans (amine and amides have similar/overlapping binding energies so were not distinguishable), showing the presence of the PHEA, which were not present in the naked AuNP samples. Similarly, ether (XPS cannot easily distinguish ether from alcohol and are combined in the model employed here) peaks in the C 1s scans were far larger in samples containing 100% glycan than in the citrate stabilised AuNPs with no polymer functionalisation. It is important to note the presence of carbonyls and carboxylic acid carbons are from atmospheric contaminants, and the presence of carbide likely from the silicon wafer/particle interface.
Figure 2.1. Synthesis of gold nanoparticle library functionalised with glycan-terminated polymeric tethers at various densities.

A) Polymerisation of N-hydroxyethyl acrylamide (HEA) by RAFT, followed by displacement of the PFP ester with amino-glycans; B) Assembly of polymers onto pre-formed gold nanoparticles to give variable glycan densities; C) Normalised size exclusion chromatography analysis of PHEA polymers from Table 2.1; D) C 1s x-ray photoelectron spectrum of 100% GalPHEA\textsubscript{72}@AuNP\textsubscript{16}; E) Graphical representation of AuNP library illustrating the 3 variables of diameter, coating DP, and glycan density.
Table 2.1. Polymers prepared for detecting SBA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>[M]:[CTA]</th>
<th>(M_n\text{(theo)}) (g.mol(^{-1}))^a</th>
<th>(M_n\text{(SEC)}) (g.mol(^{-1}))^b</th>
<th>(M_n\text{(NMR)}) (g.mol(^{-1}))^c</th>
<th>(D_n)^b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PHEA(_{26})</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>4100</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHEA(_{40})</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>5100</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHEA(_{72})</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>5100</td>
<td>8900</td>
<td>8600</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHEA(_{110})</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>8600</td>
<td>13000</td>
<td>14000</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) Calculated from the feed ratio of monomer to chain transfer agent; b) Calculated against poly(methyl methacrylate) standards using 5 mM NH\(_4\)BF\(_4\) in DMF as eluent; c) Determined from \(^1\)H NMR end-group analysis.

With this library of glycoparticles to hand, their function was screened in a lateral flow assay. Figure 2.2 shows the set-up of the assay. A dipstick was made, where the test line (to capture the lectin analyte) was made by depositing 1 µL of 1 mg.mL\(^{-1}\) Gal\(\alpha_1\)-3Gal\(\beta_1\)-4GlcNAc-bovine serum albumin conjugate (Gal\(\alpha_1\)-3Gal\(\beta_1\)-4GlcNAc-BSA) which has affinity for SBA (Figure 2.2A). For this evaluation no control line was employed, which would be essential for a real diagnostic to demonstrate a device is functioning (and is used in the final devices at the end of this study, below).\(^47\) The mobile phase was SBA (0.05 mg.mL\(^{-1}\), ~0.4 nmol.mL\(^{-1}\)) and OD1 (optical density at \(\text{UV}_{\text{max}}\), the standard measurement for concentration) AuNPs (Figure 2.2D). Notably the OD used was kept constant (OD = 1) for all dipsticks and devices to provide a constant concentration across and between assays allowing for easy comparison. Negative controls were run of the AuNPs versus Gal\(\alpha_1\)-3Gal\(\beta_1\)-4GlcNAc-BSA only (Figure 2.2C), and unfunctionalised BSA (Figure 2.2B) test lines to determine if any off-target binding to the test line itself occurred. Further negative controls were run using AuNPs versus Gal\(\alpha_1\)-3Gal\(\beta_1\)-4GlcNAc-BSA test lines with \textit{Ulex Europaeus} Agglutinin I (UEA, 0.05 mg.mL\(^{-1}\), Figure 2.2E), a lectin with no affinity for GalNAc.
Figure 2.2. Schematic of dipstick lateral flow assay.

A) Design of dipstick; B) Lateral flow with unfunctionalised BSA - particles flow without engaging the test line; C) Lateral flow with Galα1-3Galβ1-4GlcNAc-BSA test line and no analyte - particles do not engage test line; D) Lateral flow with Galα1-3Galβ1-4GlcNAc-BSA test line and SBA (analyte) resulting in capture and signal generation; E) Lateral flow with Galα1-3Galβ1-4GlcNAc-BSA test line and UEA (negative control) - hence no signal generation.
All dipsticks were run in triplicate for 20 minutes before being scanned and analysed with image analysis software to evaluate binding (photographs of all strips are in Appendix 2 Figures S18-S22, S24-27 and Tables S2-S6, S8-11). This process of running in triplicate and averaging (mean) the data was carried out for all dipsticks and devices in this study. The test line is situated on the strip around 15 to 35 relative distance units (i.e., x-axis output from image analysis) along the strip, noting that the strip length is set to 100 relative distance units. An example of positive (with SBA as analyte) and negative (buffer alone) dipsticks are shown in Figure 2.3A, with the direction of flow, the test line area and the wick area labeled. The wick area, where unbound nanoparticles gather (at the end of the assay), is typically “hidden” in the housing of a full lateral flow cassette. An example image analysis of these dipsticks is shown in Figure 2.3B and a summary of the best performing systems is show in Figure 2.3C. Full analysis of all strips as a function of nanoparticle composition and original images are included in Appendix 2.

Consideration of the data revealed three trends; i) as polymer length increases the total amount of binding to SBA decreases, but the nonspecific binding in negative controls was also reduced; ii) decreasing the density of the glycan on the particles decreases binding to SBA but also leads to some increases in nonspecific binding; and iii) increasing AuNP diameter led to increased signal intensity but also increased noise from the background. Taking this into account, the particles that gave optimal performance against SBA were 100% glycan functionalised GalPHEA$_{72}$@AuNP$_{16}$ and GalPHEA$_{72}$@AuNP$_{40}$. While GalPHEA$_{110}$@AuNP$_{40}$ gave higher signals the background signal was also very high. These three particle systems were further analysed by considering their signal-to-noise ratios (Figure 2.3C, Appendix 2 Figures S23&S28 and Tables S7&S12); 100% glycan functionalised GalPHEA$_{72}$@AuNP$_{16}$ was found to have the highest signal-to-noise ratio despite producing less signal than 100% glycan functionalised GalPHEA$_{72}$@AuNP$_{40}$.

There are limited examples of lateral flow assays based only on glycans, but in our previous report of a system for SARS-COV-2 detection, larger nanoparticles (35 nm) were optimal. This highlights how each system can be fine-tuned to the detection challenge, with this data illustrating how tuning the particle/polymer/ligand interfaces enables modulation of the observable outputs. Notably buffer conditions, and
materials used in the LFD were kept constant in this work but could also be further optimised to modulate output.

Figure 2.3. Optimisation of the gold nanoparticle in dipstick format using SBA as the analyte.

A) Example lateral flow dipsticks showing test line (Galα1-3Galβ1-4GlcNAc-BSA, 1 mg.mL⁻¹) and direction of flow; B) Example image analysis result using 100% GalPHEA₇₂@AuNP₁₆; C) Summary of selected nanoparticle performance from image analysis. Signal-to-noise ratio is indicated above each pair of bars. Images shown have been enhanced for clarity (all original dipstick photos and image analyses are included in Appendix 2). Test lines for (B) are unfunctionalised BSA (BSA, 1 mg.mL⁻¹), and Galα1-3Galβ1-4GlcNAc-BSA (BSA-Gal, 1 mg.mL⁻¹) with (or without) lectins in solution (SBA or UEA, 0.05 mg.mL⁻¹).
The identified optimum particle, 100% glycan functionalised GalPHEA$_{72}$@AuNP$_{16}$, was next explored for its limit of detection (LoD) in the dipstick assays. A serial dilution of SBA was prepared in the buffer and run, Figure 2.4 (Appendix 2 Table S13 and Figure S29). The limit of detection was found to be 0.02 mg.mL$^{-1}$ (0.17 nmol.mL$^{-1}$). This is similar to a commercial pregnancy test (~0.7 – 0.07 nmol.mL$^{-1}$) showing that glycans can achieve the necessary LoD to be a viable alternative/companion, to antibody-based LFDs. It should be noted that no attempts to reduce background (via buffer tuning) were made here, but a lower background was achieved in the final device (below).

![Figure 2.4](image)

**Figure 2.4.** Lateral flow data from SBA dipstick assays to determine limit of detection. A) Lateral flow dipsticks run with the indicated concentrations of SBA using 100% GalPHEA$_{72}$@AuNP$_{16}$; B) Analysed lateral flow intensity data from the lateral flow strips in A.

The above data showed that the lateral flow glyco-assay approach can be used to detect SBA and that the exact nanoparticle used (size, coating, density of ligands) can be easily tuned and is a key determinant in their output. Therefore, another lectin was also explored, RCA$_{120}$, which has affinity towards galactose and N-
acetylgalactosamine (GalNAc). PHEA\textsubscript{40}, PHEA\textsubscript{72} and PHEA\textsubscript{110} were functionalised with 1-deoxy-1-amo-galactose due to known affinity of this isomer towards RCA\textsubscript{120}. This is a different galactosamine isomer than used for the SBA study above. Shorter polymers, less than 100% glycan functionalisation and 40 nm gold nanoparticles were not explored based on the experiments with SBA where there was significant particle aggregation. Particle characterisation is provided in Appendix 2 Figures S43-S44, S49-S54 and Tables S17, S20-S21.

It was not possible to find a commercially available BSA-glycoconjugate with sufficient affinity for RCA\textsubscript{120} to generate a suitable test line. Therefore, an alternative approach, a “flow-through assay,”\textsuperscript{52,53} was used based on direct deposition of the target (RCA\textsubscript{120} at 5 mg.mL\textsuperscript{-1}) onto the test line, followed by running the dipstick. Whilst unconventional, we have previously used this methodology in S1 spike protein detection.\textsuperscript{36} The dipsticks were run in the same manner as the SBA system and the results are summarised in Figure 2.5. In addition to RCA\textsubscript{120} the following controls were tested; Wheat Germ Agglutinin (WGA) at 5 mg.mL\textsuperscript{-1}, a lectin with known affinity for N-acetyl-glucosamine,\textsuperscript{54} used to assess off-target binding; Galâ1-3Galß1-4GlcNAc-BSA at 1 mg.mL\textsuperscript{-1}, used to determine if a BSA glycoconjugate may serve as a viable test line in the future; and SBA at 5 mg.mL\textsuperscript{-1}. SBA was used as it has a known affinity to galactose residues,\textsuperscript{55} providing a challenge to design a flow-through assay that only generates signal against RCA\textsubscript{120}. All images and analysis are available in Figure S45 and Table S18 of Appendix 2.

In contrast to what was observed with SBA, the averaged triplicate dipstick data for GalPHEA\textsubscript{40}@AuNP\textsubscript{16} (Figure 2.5A) showed binding to RCA\textsubscript{120} (and SBA) while the longer polymer PHEA\textsubscript{72} (Figure 2.5B) showed very weak binding to RCA\textsubscript{120} only (GalPHEA\textsubscript{110}@AuNP\textsubscript{16} (Figure 2.5C) showed no clear binding to any lectins or controls). Notably 2-deoxy-2-amino-GalPHEA\textsubscript{72}@AuNP\textsubscript{16} (Figure 2.5D) showed binding to both RCA\textsubscript{120} and SBA but gave a stronger signal with SBA. This further shows that the optimal presentation of the glycan for each lectin is subtly different; but offers opportunities for tuning selectivity and affinity. Two additional polymers were therefore synthesised to fall between the 40 to 72 range of chain lengths already tested, to improve the assay, Table 2.2.
Figure 2.5. Analysed flow-through data from RCA\textsubscript{120} screen and inset are example dipstick photos.

A) GalPHEA\textsubscript{40}@AuNP\textsubscript{16}; B) GalPHEA\textsubscript{72}@AuNP\textsubscript{16}; C) GalPHEA\textsubscript{110}@AuNP\textsubscript{16}; D) 2-deoxy-2-amino-GalPHEA\textsubscript{72}@AuNP\textsubscript{16}. Test lines were RCA\textsubscript{120}, SBA or WGA at 5 mg.mL\textsuperscript{-1}, or BSA-Gal (Galα1-3Galβ1-4GlcNAc-BSA) at 1 mg.mL\textsuperscript{-1}.

Table 2.2. Additional polymers prepared for detecting RCA\textsubscript{120}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>[M]:[CTA]</th>
<th>(M_n(\text{theo}))\textsuperscript{a} (g.mol\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>(M_n(\text{SEC}))\textsuperscript{b} (g.mol\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>(M_n(\text{NMR}))\textsuperscript{c} (g.mol\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>(\bar{D})\textsuperscript{d}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PHEA\textsubscript{50}</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3400</td>
<td>6400</td>
<td>5500</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHEA\textsubscript{58}</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>7200</td>
<td>6700</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a) Calculated from the feed ratio of monomer to chain transfer agent; \textsuperscript{b) Calculated from SEC using poly(methyl methacrylate) standards; \textsuperscript{c) Determined from \textsuperscript{1}H NMR end-group analysis.}
The two additional polymers, PHEA_{50} and PHEA_{58} (Table 2.2) were functionalised with 1-deoxy-1-amino-galactose, immobilised onto 16 nm AuNPs, as described above, and fully characterised (Appendix 2). Subsequent evaluation in the same dipstick format found both bound to RCA_{120}, generating positive test lines. Whilst both AuNPs bound to the RCA_{120}, the GalPHEA_{58}@AuNP_{16} generated significantly weaker signal intensity against SBA and WGA controls (Figure 2.6B) compared to GalPHEA_{50}@AuNP_{16} (Figure 2.6A). This confirmed that precision tuning the polymer chain length enables control of the overall signal generated and can provide additional discriminatory power to the assay. The identified optimum particle, 100% glycan functionalised GalPHEA_{58}@AuNP_{16}, was next explored for its limit of detection in the dipstick assay. A serial dilution of RCA_{120} was prepared and deposited onto the strips (Figure 2.6C and D, Appendix 2 Table S19 and Figure S47). The lowest concentration that could be detected, above the signal of a 5 mg.mL^{-1} SBA control, was found to be 0.5 mg.mL^{-1} (4.2 nmol.mL^{-1}).^{56}
Figure 2.6. Flow-through dipstick assays against RCA\textsubscript{120}.

A) Data from GalPHEA\textsubscript{50}@AuNP\textsubscript{16} and inset example dipsticks; B) Data from GalPHEA\textsubscript{58}@AuNP\textsubscript{16} and inset example dipsticks; C) GalPHEA\textsubscript{58}@AuNP\textsubscript{16} dipstick assays to determine limit of detection of RCA\textsubscript{120}; D) Analysed limit of detection data of GalPHEA\textsubscript{58}@AuNP\textsubscript{16} for RCA\textsubscript{120}. Test lines for (A) and (B) were RCA\textsubscript{120}, SBA or WGA at 5 mg.mL\textsuperscript{-1}; or BSA-Gal (Gal\textalpha{}1-3Gal\beta{}1-4GlcNAc-BSA) at 1 mg.mL\textsuperscript{-1}. 
The dipsticks used above demonstrate the principle of lateral flow and flow-through glyco-assays for detecting lectins. However, a full device in a cassette format is required for a diagnostic which can be packaged, stored, distributed, and used easily. Therefore, cassettes designed to detect SBA (for which valid test and control lines were available) were assembled as proof of principle and as a prototype for a complete lateral flow glyco-assay for lectin detection.

2-deoxy-2-amino-GalPHEA_{72}@AuNP_{16} was selected as the optimal particle setup (from above), so particles were dried onto conjugate pads (from which they are released when the analyte solution is applied) and integrated into a complete cassette. A control line of 1 µL (5 mg.mL\(^{-1}\)) SBA was also added to the cassettes. A control line is essential in a functioning device to prove the device is running correctly (e.g., to distinguish between a negative result, and one where the particles did not flow) but was not used in the screening experiments above. Design schematics (Figure 2.7) and images of complete cassettes are shown in Figure 2.8 (and in full detail in Appendix 2 Figure S31 and Table S14). Using this setup, concentrations of SBA as low as 5 µg.mL\(^{-1}\) (0.042 nmol.mL\(^{-1}\)) could be detected in the buffer in 10 minutes (Figure 2.8). The drop in binding at 0.03 and 0.02 mg.mL\(^{-1}\) indicates the difficulty in scanning the cassettes (when visually compared to the strips after removal from the devices, Appendix 2 Table S14) and variability between the hand-made devices. Notably all devices in the triplicates produced an observable signal and when averaged gave the values presented in Figure 2.8. In summary, Figure 2.8 validates the principle of the lateral flow glyco-assay, which can be adapted to other glycan-binding antigens, such as toxins or viruses. In each cassette a control line was also visible, confirming the devices ran correctly.
Figure 2.7. Schematic of complete cassette lateral flow for SBA binding and inlaid images of example cassettes.

A) Labelled schematic of cassette; B) Lateral flow with SBA target in sample buffer; C) Lateral flow with no protein in buffer.
Figure 2.8. Lateral flow data from SBA cassette assays after 10 minutes to determine limit of detection.

A) Example lateral flow cassette photographs for varying concentrations of SBA; B) Analysed lateral flow intensity data for varying concentrations of SBA.
2.5. Conclusions

Here the emerging concept of lateral flow glyco-assays, as a tool for rapid diagnostics/sensing of glycan-binding analytes is validated. Polymeric ligands were used to install glycans onto gold nanoparticles (which are the signal generating units) and provide both colloidal stability in solution while ensuring that the particles resuspend and flow in the lateral flow devices. A library of polymer linker lengths (synthesised using RAFT polymerisation), glycan density (by using polymers without glycans) and nanoparticle size was assembled and the impact of each feature on performance evaluated. A crucial observation was that the optimal polymer-coating required for the detection of SBA was not the same as required for RCA\textsubscript{120}. This is a unique advantage of employing the polymeric tethers, in that the final device’s performance and specificity can be tuned by macromolecular engineering, in addition to varying the exact glycan used. In general, too short polymers increase nonspecific binding, longer polymers reduced nonspecific binding but could reduce signal intensity also, while larger gold particles increase the signal of both nonspecific and specific binding. Therefore, tuning is essential to ensure that accurate and specific diagnostics can be developed.

The optimised glyconanoparticles were incorporated into “real” lateral flow cassettes, that is, a single device where a solution of analyte is applied to a well and run without any additional machine/user interfaces. Using this setup, SBA could be detected as low as 5 µg.mL\textsuperscript{-1} (0.042 nmmol.mL\textsuperscript{-1}) which is below the (molar) detection limits of commercial lateral flow pregnancy tests which use antibody-functionalised gold nanoparticles and falls within the range of values (microgram to nanogram per millilitre) for antibody-based LFDs\textsuperscript{49,57}. Taken together, this work demonstrates the power of using glycans in easy to use, disposable, paper-based lateral flow glyco-assay diagnostics. By using glycans it is possible to probe function (e.g., is the antigen folded) and may provide opportunities for monitoring pathogenic state, rather than simply identifying if a pathogen is present.
2.6 Experimental

2.6.1 Physical and Analytical Methods

NMR Spectroscopy

$^1$H-NMR, $^{13}$C-NMR and $^{19}$F-NMR spectra were recorded at 300 MHz or 400 MHz on a Bruker DPX-300 or DPX-400 spectrometer respectively, with chloroform-$d$ (CDCl$_3$) or deuterium oxide (D$_2$O) as the solvent. Chemical shifts of protons are reported as $\delta$ in parts per million (ppm) and are relative to either CDCl$_3$ (7.26) or D$_2$O (4.79).

Mass Spectrometry

Low resolution mass spectra (LRMS) were recorded on a Bruker Esquire 2000 spectrometer using electrospray ionisation (ESI). $m/z$ values are reported in Daltons.

FT-IR Spectroscopy

Fourier Transform-Infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy measurements were carried out using an Agilent Cary 630 FT-IR spectrometer, in the range of 650 to 4000 cm$^{-1}$.

Size Exclusion Chromatography

Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) analysis was performed on an Agilent Infinity II MDS instrument equipped with differential refractive index (DRI), viscometry (VS), dual angle light scattering (LS) and variable wavelength UV detectors. The system was equipped with 2 x PLgel Mixed D columns (300 x 7.5 mm) and a PLgel 5 $\mu$m guard column. The mobile phase used was DMF (HPLC grade) containing 5 mM NH$_4$BF$_4$ at 50 $^\circ$C at flow rate of 1.0 mL.min$^{-1}$. Poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) standards (Agilent EasyVials) were used for calibration between 955,000 – 550 g.mol$^{-1}$. Analyte samples were filtered through a nylon membrane with 0.22 $\mu$m pore size before injection. Number average molecular weights ($M_n$), weight average molecular weights ($M_w$) and dispersities ($D_M = M_w/M_n$) were determined by conventional calibration using Agilent GPC/SEC software.

X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS)

The samples were attached to electrically-conductive carbon tape, mounted on to a sample bar and loaded into a Kratos Axis Ultra DLD spectrometer which possesses a base pressure below 1 x 10$^{-10}$ mbar. XPS measurements were performed in the main analysis chamber, with the sample being illuminated using a monochromated Al K$\alpha$
x-ray source. The measurements were conducted at room temperature and at a take-off angle of 90° with respect to the surface parallel. The core level spectra were recorded using a pass energy of 20 eV (resolution approx. 0.4 eV), from an analysis area of 300 μm x 700 μm. The spectrometer work function and binding energy scale of the spectrometer were calibrated using the Fermi edge and 3d_{5/2} peak recorded from a polycrystalline Ag sample prior to the commencement of the experiments. In order to prevent surface charging the surface was flooded with a beam of low energy electrons throughout the experiment and this necessitated recalibration of the binding energy scale. To achieve this, the C-C/C-H component of the C 1s spectrum was referenced to 285.0 eV. The data were analysed in the CasaXPS package, using Shirley backgrounds and mixed Gaussian-Lorentzian (Voigt) lineshapes. For compositional analysis, the analyser transmission function has been determined using clean metallic foils to determine the detection efficiency across the full binding energy range.

*Dynamic Light Scattering*

Hydrodynamic diameters ($D_h$) and size distributions of particles were determined by dynamic light scattering (DLS) using a Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS with a 4 mW He-Ne 633 nm laser module operating at 25 °C. Measurements were carried out at an angle of 173° (back scattering), and results were analysed using Malvern DTS 7.03 software. All determinations were repeated 5 times with at least 10 measurements recorded for each run. $D_h$ values were calculated using the Stokes-Einstein equation where particles are assumed to be spherical.

*UV-vis Spectroscopy*

Absorbance measurements were recorded on an Agilent Cary 60 UV-Vis Spectrophotometer and on a BioTek Epoch microplate reader.

*Transmission Electron Microscopy*

Dry-state stained TEM imaging was performed on a JEOL JEM-2100Plus microscope operating at an acceleration voltage of 200 kV. All dry-state samples were diluted with deionised water and then deposited onto formvar-coated copper grids.
Image Collection of Lateral Flow Dipsticks and Devices

All devices were scanned using a Kyocera TASKalfa 5550ci printer to a pdf file that was converted to a jpeg. The jpeg was analysed in ImageJ 1.51. None of the images in Appendix 2 have been image adjusted i.e. no changes/enhancements have been made from the original scan images.
2.6.2 Materials

All chemicals were used as supplied unless otherwise stated. N-Hydroxyethyl acrylamide (97%), 4,4'-azobis(4-cyanovaleonic acid) (ACVA, 98%), 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP, > 98%), mesitylene (reagent grade), triethylamine (> 99%), sodium citrate tribasic dihydrate (> 99%), gold(III) chloride trihydrate (99.9%), ammonium carbonate (reagent grade), potassium phosphate tribasic (≥ 98%, reagent grade), potassium hexafluorophosphate (99.5%), deuterium oxide (D2O, 99.9%), deuterated chloroform (CDCl3, 99.8%), diethyl ether (≥ 99.8%, ACS reagent grade), methanol (≥ 99.8%, ACS reagent grade), toluene (≥ 99.7%,), Tween-20 (molecular biology grade), HEPES, PVP40 (poly(vinyl pyrrolidone) (Average Mw ~40,000)), sucrose (Bioultra grade), carbon disulphide (≥ 99.8%), acetone (≥ 99%), 1-dodecane thiol (≥ 98%), n-pentylamine (99%) and pentafluorophenol (≥ 99%, reagent plus) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Anhydrous trehalose was purchased from Alfa Aesar. DMF (> 99%) and 2-bromo-2-methyl propionic acid (98%) were purchased from Acros Organics. Galactosamine HCl and 1-Ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDCI, > 98%) were purchased from Carbosynth. HPLC grade acetonitrile (≥ 99.8%), glucose (lab-reagent grade), hexane fraction from petrol (lab reagent grade), DCM (99% lab reagent grade), sodium hydrogen carbonate (≥ 99%), ethyl acetate (≥ 99.7%, analytical reagent grade), sodium chloride (≥ 99.5%), calcium chloride, 40-60 petroleum ether (lab reagent grade), hydrochloric acid (~37%, analytical grade), glacial acetic acid (analytical grade) and magnesium sulphate (reagent grade) were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific.

Nitrocellulose Immunopore RP 90-150 s/4cm 25 mm was purchased from GE Healthcare. Lateral flow backing cards 60 mm by 301.58 mm (KN-PS1060.45 with KN211 adhesive) and lateral flow cassettes (KN-CT105) were purchased from Kenosha Tapes. Cellulose fibre wick material 20 cm by 30 cm by 0.825 mm (290 gsm and 180 mL/min) (Surewick CFSP223000) was purchased from EMD Millipore. Glass fibre conjugate pads (GFCP103000) 10 mm by 300 mm and unfunctionalised BSA were purchased from Merck. Thick Chromatography Paper (for sample pads), Grade 237, Ahlstrom 20 cm by 20 cm was purchased from VWR International.

Soybean agglutinin, Ricinus communis Agglutinin I (RCA120), Ulex Europaeus Agglutinin I and wheat germ agglutinin (WGA) were purchased from Vector
Laboratories. Galα1-3Galβ1-4GlcNAc-BSA (3 atom spacer, NGP0334) was purchased from Dextra Laboratories.

Ultra-pure water used for buffers was MilliQ grade 18.2 mΩ resistance.
2.6.3 Synthetic Methods

Synthesis of 2-(dodecylthiocarboxanethionylthio)-2-methyl propionic acid (DMP)

This was synthesised, according to a previously published procedure.\textsuperscript{58} 2.00 g (9.88 mmol) of 1-dodecane thiol was added dropwise to stirring 2.10 g (9.89 mmol) of \( \text{K}_3\text{PO}_4 \) in 30 mL of acetone at RTP, the mixture was left to stir for 25 minutes to form a white suspension. 2.05 g (26.93 mmol) of carbon disulphide was then added and left for 10 minutes, a yellow solution formed. 1.5 g (8.98 mmol) of 2-bromo-2-methyl-propionic acid was then added and the solution left to stir for 16 hours. The solvent was removed under vacuum. The crude product was dissolved in 100 mL of 1 M HCl and extracted with DCM (2×100 mL). The organic layer was washed with 200 mL of water and 200 mL of brine. The organic layer was dried with \( \text{MgSO}_4 \) and filtered under gravity. The solvent was then removed from the filtrate under vacuum. The crude product was purified using a silica column (40-60 PET:DCM:glacial acetic acid 75:24:1) and recrystallised in n-hexane to give a yellow solid (58%). \( \delta_H \) (300 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) 3.28 (2H, t, \( J \) 7.5, SC\( \text{H}_2\text{CH}_2 \)), 1.80 - 1.45 (8H, m, C(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2} and SCH\( _2\text{CH}_2 \)), 1.45 - 1.2 (18H, m, (CH\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{9}CH\textsubscript{3}), 0.87 (3H, t, \( J \) 6.0, CH\textsubscript{3}). \( \delta_C \) (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) 221.0 (1C, SC(S)S), 178.3 (1C, C(O)), 55.7 (1C, C(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}), 37.7 (1C, SCH\textsubscript{2}), 32.1 - 28.0 (9C, SCH\textsubscript{2}(CH\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{9}), 25.4 (2C, C(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}), 22.8 (1C, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 14.3 (1C, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}). m/z calculated as 364.16; found for ESI [M+H]\textsuperscript{+} 365.3 and [M+Na]\textsuperscript{+} 387.3. FTIR (cm\textsuperscript{-1}) – 2956, 2916.6 & 2850 (methyl and methylene), 1702 (ester C=O), 1459, 1437 & 1413 (methyl and methylene), 1280 (C(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}), 1064 (S-C(S)-S).
Synthesis of pentafluorophenyl-2-dodecylthiocarbonothioylthio)-2-methylpropanoate (PFP-DMP)

This was synthesised, according to a previously published procedure. 4.06 g (11.13 mmol) of DMP, 3.65 g (19.04 mmol) of EDC and 2.30 g (18.82 mmol) of DMAP were dissolved in 160 mL of DCM and degassed for 30 minutes. 7.28 g (39.55 mmol) of pentafluorophenol was added in 20 mL of DCM and the mixture stirred for 18 hours at RTP. The organic layer was washed with 3 M HCl (200 mL), 1 M NaHCO₃ (200 mL) and 0.5 M NaCl (200 mL). The organic layer was dried with MgSO₄ and filtered under gravity. The solvent was then removed from the filtrate under vacuum. The crude product was recrystallised from ethyl acetate (or hexane) overnight at -8 °C and dried to give yellow crystals (90.9%). δH (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 3.31 (2H, t, J 7.5, SC(H₂)₂), 1.86 (6H, s, C(CH₃)₂), 1.69 (2H, qn, J 7.5, SCH₂), 1.48 - 1.16 (18H, m, C(H₂)C(H₂)C(H₂)C(H₂)C(H₂)C(H₂)C(H₂)CH₃), 0.94 - 0.82 (3H, m, CH₃). δC (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 220.1 (1C, SC(S)S), 169.7 (1C, C(O)), 143.1 (2C, meta C), 139.8 (1C, ipso C), 139.6 (1C, para C), 136.3 (2C, Ortho C), 55.5 (1C, C(CH₃)₂), 37.3 (1C, SCH₂), 32.0 - 22.8 (10C, SCH₂(CH₂)₁₀), 25.4 (2C, C(CH₃)₂), 14.1 (1C, CH₂CH₃). δF (300 MHz, CDCl₃) -151.4 - -151.6 (2F, m, OCC₂H₂C₂H₂CH), -148.5 (1F, t, J 21.5, OCC₂H₂C₂H₂CH), -162.2 - -162.5 (2F, m, OCC₂H₂C₂H₂CH). m/z calculated as 530.14; found for ESI [M+Na]+ 553.3 and [M+CH₃CN+Na]+ 593.5. FTIR (cm⁻¹) – 2956, 2917 & 2850 (methyl and methylene), 1702 (ester C=O), 1519 (aromatic C=C or C-F), 1460, 1437 & 1413 (methyl and methylene), 1280 (C(CH₃)₂), 1068 (S-C(S)-S).
Representative Polymerisation of 2-hydroxyethyl acrylamide

PHEA40 as representative example. 2.0 g (17.37 mmol) of 2-hydroxyethyl acrylamide, 0.043 g (0.15 mmol) of ACVA and 0.368 g (0.69 mmol) of PFP-DMP was added to 16 mL 1:1 toluene:methanol and degassed with nitrogen for 30 minutes. The reaction vessel was stirred and heated to 70 °C for 2 hours. The solvent was removed under vacuum. The crude product was dissolved in the minimum amount of methanol. Diethyl ether cooled in liquid nitrogen was added to the methanol to form a precipitate. The mixture was centrifuged for 2 minutes at 13 krpm and the liquid decanted off. The solid was dissolved in methanol and removed under vacuum to give a yellow crystalline solid.

PHEA40 - δ_H (300 MHz, D_2O) 8.35 - 7.95 (21H, m, NH), 3.97 - 3.56 (78H, m, NHCH_2), 3.56 - 3.03 (80H, m, CH_2OH & SCH_2), 2.41 - 1.90 (41H, m, CH_2CHC(O) & C(CH_3)_2), 1.90 - 0.99 (108H, m, CH_2CHC(O) & CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_3), 0.83 - 0.72 (5H, m, CH_2CH_3). δ_F (300 MHz, D_2O) -152.0 -164.3 (5F, m, C_6F_5). FTIR (cm⁻¹) – 3263 (OH, broad), 3088 & 2924 (C(O)NH and NH), 1638 & 1541 (C(O)NH).

Yield - 73%

PHEA26 - δ_H (300 MHz, D_2O) 8.38 - 7.88 (13H, m, NH), 3.96 - 3.54 (55H, m, NHCH_2), 3.55 - 3.09 (78H, m, CH_2OH & SCH_2), 2.53 - 1.90 (31H, m, CH_2CHC(O) & C(CH_3)_2), 1.90 - 1.01 (86H, m, CH_2CHC(O) & CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_3), 0.84 - 0.73 (5H, m, CH_2CH_3)

PHEA50 - δ_H (300 MHz, D_2O) 8.31 - 7.97 (23H, m, NH), 3.99 - 3.55 (86H, m, NHCH_2), 3.55 - 3.09 (100H, m, CH_2OH & SCH_2), 2.49 - 1.90 (46H, m, CH_2CHC(O) & C(CH_3)_2), 1.90 - 0.98 (110H, m, CH_2CHC(O) & CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_3), 0.84 - 0.72 (5H, m, CH_2CH_3)

PHEA58 - δ_H (300 MHz, D_2O) 8.36 - 7.98 (29H, m, NH), 4.00 - 3.55 (H, 108H, m, NHCH_2), 3.55 - 3.15 (127H, m, CH_2OH & SCH_2), 2.36 - 1.88 (56H, m, CH_2CHC(O)
& C(CH₃)₂, 1.87 - 1.09 (128H, m, CH₂CHC(O) & CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 0.83 - 0.72 (5H, m, CH₃CH₃)

PHEA72 - δH (300 MHz, D₂O) 8.30 - 7.96 (34H, m, NH), 3.96 - 3.52 (126H, m, NHCH₂), 3.52 - 3.07 (155H, m, CH₂OH & SCH₂), 2.36 - 1.88 (70H, m, CH₂CHC(O) & C(CH₃)₂), 1.88 - 1.03 (148H, m, CH₂CHC(O) & CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 0.82 - 0.70 (5H, m, CH₃CH₃)

PHEA110 - δH (300 MHz, D₂O) 8.24 - 8.02 (28H, m, NH), 3.83 - 3.51 (239H, m, NHCH₂), 3.51 - 3.08 (293H, m, CH₂OH & SCH₂), 2.40 - 1.90 (117H, m, CH₂CHC(O) & C(CH₃)₂), 1.90 - 1.03 (273H, m, CH₂CHC(O) & CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 0.86 - 0.73 (5H, m, CH₃CH₃)
Representative Poly(N-hydroxyethyl acrylamide) (PHEA40) Glycan Functionalisation

0.25 g (0.088 mmol) of poly(2-hydroxyethyl acrylamide) and 0.090 g (0.50 mmol) of galactosamine HCl were added to 25 ml of DMF containing 0.05 M TEA. The reaction was stirred at 50 °C for 16 hours. Solvent was removed under vacuum. The crude product was dissolved in the minimum amount of methanol at RTP before cooling in a liquid nitrogen bath. Diethyl ether cooled in liquid nitrogen was added to the methanol to form a precipitate. The mixture was centrifuged for 2 minutes at 13 krpm and the liquid decanted off. The solid was dissolved in methanol and removed under vacuum to give an orange/brown crystalline solid. δH (300 MHz, D2O) 8.03 - 7.86 (6H, m, NH), 4.96 - 4.87 (2H, anomeric protons), 4.13 - 3.51 (~90H, m, NHCH2 & glycan protons), 3.51 - 3.09 (~80H, m, CH2OH & SCH2 & glycan protons), 2.47 - 1.90 (~50H, m, CH2CHC(O), C(CH3)2 & glycan protons), 1.90 - 1.42 (98H, m, CH2CHC(O) & CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH3), 0.93 - 0.72 (5H, m, CH2CH3). FTIR (cm⁻¹) – 3267 (OH, broad), 3094 & 2926 (C(O)NH and NH), 1638 & 1545 (C(O)NH).

Representative Poly(2-hydroxyethyl acrylamide) (PHEA40) PFP Removal with n-pentylamine

0.4 g (0.14 mmol) of poly(2-hydroxyethyl acrylamide) and 0.05 ml (3.28 mmol) of n-pentylamine were added to 40 ml of DMF containing 0.05 moldm⁻³ TEA. The reaction was stirred at 50 °C for 16 hours. Solvent was removed under vacuum. The crude product was dissolved in the minimum amount of methanol at RTP before cooling in a liquid nitrogen bath. The crude product was dissolved in the minimum amount of methanol. Diethyl ether cooled in liquid nitrogen was added to the methanol to form a precipitate. The mixture was centrifuged for 2 minutes at 13 krpm and the liquid decanted off. The solid was dissolved in methanol and removed under vacuum to give a pale yellow crystalline solid. Removal of PFP was determined by 19F NMR.
Synthesis of 1-deoxy-1-amino-galactose

This was synthesised, according to previously published procedures.\textsuperscript{59,60} 0.36 g (2 mmol) of galactose and 0.158 g (2 mmol) ammonium hydrogen carbonate were added to a 10 mL solution of 16 mol.dm\textsuperscript{-3} ammonia solution. The mixture was heated for 16 hours at 42 °C. The liquid was removed under vacuum and resuspended in 5 mL of water. The solution was lyophilised to give a cream or off-white crystalline solid, that did not smell of ammonia. (94.6%) (α:β 0.71:0.38). $\delta_H$ (300 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) 4.24 (0.38H, d, $J 8.81$, C\textsubscript{1}H\textsubscript{α}), 4.03 (0.71H, d, $J 8.75$, C\textsubscript{1}H\textsubscript{β}), 3.93 (1H, d, $J 3.00$, C\textsubscript{3}H or C\textsubscript{4}H), 3.90 - 3.45 (8H, m, C\textsubscript{3}H or C\textsubscript{4}H, C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{2}, C\textsubscript{5}H and hydroxyls), 3.39 (1H, t, $J 9.0$, C\textsubscript{2}H). $\delta_C$ (300 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) 87.6 (1C, C\textsubscript{1}H\textsubscript{α}), 85.5 (1C, C\textsubscript{1}H\textsubscript{β}), 76.0 (1C, C\textsubscript{5}), 73.4 (1C, C\textsubscript{3} or C\textsubscript{4}), 72.0 (1C, C\textsubscript{2}), 68.96 (1C, C\textsubscript{3} or C\textsubscript{4}), 61.1 (1C, C\textsubscript{6}). m/z calculated as 179.171; found for ESI [2M+Na]\textsuperscript{+} 381.3 and [M-H]\textsuperscript{+} 178.1. FTIR (cm\textsuperscript{-1}) – 3650 - 2500 (hydroxyl), 2924 & 2878 (alkane), 1646 & 1584 (amine), 1465 & 1420 (hydroxyl).
Citrate-Stabilised 16 nm Gold Nanoparticle Synthesis

Synthesised by a previously reported protocol. To 500 mL of water was added 0.163 g (0.414 mmol) of gold(III) chloride trihydrate, the mixture was heated to reflux and 14.6 mL of water containing 0.429 g (1.46 mmol) of sodium citrate tribasic dihydrate was added. The reaction was allowed to reflux for 30 minutes before cooling to room temperature over 3 hours. The solution was centrifuged at 13 k rpm for 30 minutes and the pellet resuspended in 40 mL of water to give an absorbance at 520 nm of ~1 Abs.

Citrate-Stabilised 40 nm Gold Nanoparticle Synthesis

40 nm gold nanoparticles were synthesised by a modified step growth method developed by Bastús et al. A solution of 2.2 mM sodium citrate in Milli-Q water (150 mL) was heated under reflux for 15 min under vigorous stirring. After boiling had commenced, 1 mL of HAuCl₄ (25 mM) was injected. The colour of the solution changed from yellow to bluish gray and then to soft pink in 10 min, 1 mL was taken for DLS and UV/Vis analysis. Immediately after the synthesis of the Au seeds and in the same reaction vessel, the reaction was cooled until the temperature of the solution reached 90 °C. Then, 1 mL of a HAuCl₄ solution (25 mM) was injected. After 20 min, the reaction was finished. This process was repeated twice. After that, the sample was diluted by adding 85 mL of MilliQ water and 3.1 mL of 60 mM sodium citrate. This solution was then used as a seed solution, and three further portions of 1.6 mL of 25 mM HAuCl₄ were added with 20 min between each addition. Following completion of this step 1 mL was taken for DLS and UV/Vis analysis. The sample was diluted by adding 135 mL of MilliQ water and 4.9 mL of 60 mM sodium citrate. This solution was then used as a seed solution, and the process was repeated with three further additions of 2.5 mL of 25 mM HAuCl₄, this solution was analysed by DLS and UV/Vis and target size of 40 nm was reached, so the solution was allowed to cool.

Gold Nanoparticle Polymer Coating Functionalisation – 16 nm

100 mg of glycopolymer was agitated overnight with 10 mL of 16 nm AuNPs ~1 Abs at UV max. The solution was centrifuged at 13 k rpm for 30 minutes and the pellet resuspended in 10 mL of water, the solution was centrifuged again at 13 k rpm for 30 minutes and the pellet resuspended in 1 mL aliquots and centrifuged at 14.5 k rpm for 10 minutes. The pellets were combined into a 1 mL solution with an absorbance at 520 nm of ~10 Abs.
Gold Nanoparticle Polymer Coating Functionalisation – 40 nm

100 mg of glycopolymer was agitated overnight with 10 mL of 40 nm AuNPs ~1Abs at UV\textsubscript{max}. The solution was centrifuged at 8 krpm for 30 minutes and the pellet resuspended in 10 mL of water, the solution was centrifuged again at 8 krpm for 30 minutes and the pellet resuspended in 1 mL aliquots and centrifuged at 8 krpm for 10 minutes. The pellets were combined into a 1 mL solution with an absorbance at UV\textsubscript{max} of ~10 Abs.
2.6.4 Lateral Flow Strip production, Running and Analysis Protocols

The procedure to produce flow-through and lateral flow devices was identical, apart from the deposition of the analyte directly to the nitrocellulose (flow-through), versus application of tests lines to the nitrocellulose (lateral flow).

Protocol for Manufacturing Lateral Flow Strips

Backing cards were cut to size by removal of 20 mm using a guillotine. Nitrocellulose was added to the backing card by attaching the plastic backing of the nitrocellulose to the self-adhesive on the card. The wick material was then added to the backing card so it overlaps with the nitrocellulose by ~5 mm. The lateral flow strips were cut to size of width 2 - 3 mm.

![Figure E2.1. Lateral flow strip dimensions](image)

Protocol for Test Line Addition to the Lateral Flow Strips

1 µL of the test line solution was added to the test strip using a micropipette fitted with 10 µL tip, the test line was spotted ~1 cm from the non-wick end of the strip. The strips were dried at 37 °C in an oven for 30 minutes. The test strips were allowed to cool to room temperature before testing.

Protocol for Running Lateral Flow Test Without Target Analyte in Buffer

The running buffer of total volume 50 µL was made as follows; 5 µL AuNPs (OD10), 5 µL lateral flow assay buffer – 10 × HEPES buffer, 40 µL water. The running solution was then agitation on a roller for 5 minutes. 45 µL of this solution was added to a 0.2 mL PCR tube, standing vertically.
A small “v” (~3 mm) was cut into the test strips at the non-wick end and the strips added to the PCR tubes, so they protrude from the top and the immobile phase (1 cm from non-wick end) is not below the solvent line. There was one test per tube. All tests were run in triplicate.

The tests were run for 20 minutes before removal from the tubes. The test strips were allowed to dry at room temperature for ~5 minutes. The test strips were mounted test-face down onto a clear and colourless piece of acetate sheeting.

The Protocol for Running Lateral Flow Test Without Target Analyte in Buffer was used for the flow-through assays as the target analyte is deposited on the nitrocellulose as a “test line” i.e. the analyte is not in the running buffer.

Protocol for Running Lateral Flow Test with Target Analyte in Buffer

The running buffer of total volume 50 µL was made as follows; 5 µL AuNPs (OD10), 5 µL lateral flow assay buffer – 10 × HEPES buffer, 40 µL of water - x µL, where x is the volume of target analyte added to make the required concentration of the lectin. The running solution was then agitated on a roller for 5 minutes. 45 µL of this solution was added to a 0.2 mL PCR tube, standing vertically.

A small “v” (~3 mm) was cut into the test strips at the non-wick end and the strips added to the PCR tubes, so they protrude from the top and the immobile phase (1 cm from non-wick end) is not below the solvent line. There was one test per tube. All tests were run in triplicate.

The tests were run for 20 minutes before removal from the tubes. The test strips were allowed to dry at room temperature for ~5 minutes. The test strips were mounted test-face down onto a clear and colourless piece of acetate sheeting.

Standard Protocol for Lateral Flow Strip Analysis

The acetate sheets were scanned using a Kyocera TASKalfa 5550ci printer to a pdf file that was converted to a jpeg, scans were taken within 1 hour of strip drying. The jpeg was analysed in ImageJ 1.5148 using the plot profile function to create a data set exported to Microsoft Excel for Mac. The data was exported to Origin 2019 64Bit and trimmed to remove pixel data not from the strip surface. The data was aligned and averaged (mean). The data was then reduced by number of groups to 100 data points.
(nitrocellulose and wick) and plotted as Grey value (scale) vs Relative distance along the 100 data points.

Figure E2.2. Representative dipstick (Top), raw grey value plot (Middle) and processed grey value plot (Bottom)

*Lateral Flow Signal-to-Noise Analysis*

Relative distance pixel 15 to 35 (area around the test line) was averaged (mean) to provide average noise around the test line for strips vs. Galα1-3Galβ1-4GlcNAc-BSA (BSA-Gal) (1 mg/mL) as a test line. The signal value was determined by selecting the lowest grey value between 15 to 35 relative distance pixels as a test line. Equation E2.1 was then used to determine the signal-to-noise ratio.

\[
\text{Signal – to – noise} = \frac{255 - \text{Signal}}{255 - \text{Noise}}
\]

Equation E2.1. Equation for determining signal-to-noise ratio

NB: 255 is the grey value for the blank nitrocellulose surface.
Lateral Flow Signal Intensity Analysis

Relative distance pixel 15 to 35 (area around the test line), excluding pixels that contributed to the signal peak were averaged (mean). This average was subtracted from the lowest grey value between 15 to 35.

Lateral Flow Assay Buffer - 10× HEPES buffer (10% PVP\textsubscript{400}) in 100 mL H\textsubscript{2}O

2.38 g (100 mmol.dm\textsuperscript{-3}) of HEPES, 8.77 g (1.50 mol.dm\textsuperscript{-3}) of NaCl, 0.011 g (1.0 mmol.dm\textsuperscript{-3}) of CaCl\textsubscript{2}, 0.8 g (0.8% w/v., 123 mmol.dm\textsuperscript{-3}) of NaN\textsubscript{3}, 0.5 g (0.5% w/v., 4.07 mmol.dm\textsuperscript{-3}) of Tween-20 and 10 g (10% w/v.) of poly(vinyl pyrrolidone)\textsubscript{400} (PVP\textsubscript{400}, Average Mw ~40,000) were dissolved in 100 mL of water. The buffer was not pH adjusted.
2.6.5 Lateral Flow Complete Device Production, Running and Analysis Protocols

Protocol for Manufacturing Lateral Flow Complete Devices/Cassettes

Nitrocellulose was added to the backing card by attaching the plastic backing of the nitrocellulose to the self-adhesive on the card. The wick material was then added to the backing card so it overlaps with the nitrocellulose by ~5 mm. The strips were then cut to size of width ~3 mm so they sit in the cassettes without the need for excess force to fit. Tests lines were then added before addition of the conjugate pad. 1 µL of the test line solution was added to the nitrocellulose strip using a micropipette fitted with 10 µL tip, the test line was spotted ~1 cm from the non-wick end of the nitrocellulose surface. A control line was added ~1.5 cm from non-wick end of the nitrocellulose surface. The control for galactosamine systems was 1 µL (5 mg.mL⁻¹) SBA. The strips were dried at 37 °C in an oven for 30 minutes. The test strips were allowed to cool to room temperature before addition of the conjugate pad. The conjugate pad was added to the backing card so it overlaps with the nitrocellulose by ~3.5 mm. The sample pad, was cut to size (20 mm by 6 mm) and added to the backing card, overlapping with the conjugate pad by ~6.5 mm and straddling the backing card evenly. The completed strip was then added to the cassettes and sealed.

![Diagram of Lateral Flow Complete Device](image)

Figure E2.3. Lateral flow complete strip dimensions
Protocol for Conjugate Pad Production

Strips of the conjugate pad material were agitated for 30 minutes in a solution of 0.1% Tween-20 (blocking solution). The strips were then patted dry and baked overnight at 37 °C in an oven. The conjugate pads were cut to size (3 mm width) and placed individually into the wells of a 384-well microplate. 20 µL 1× conjugate pad buffer solution containing OD1 AuNPs was added to the top of each conjugate pad in the wells. The pads were dried for 3 hours at 37 °C in an oven before curing overnight in an airtight box containing desiccant. The completed pads were always stored in an airtight box containing desiccant.

Protocol for Running Lateral Flow Test Without Target Analyte in Buffer

8 µL 10× HEPES buffer (20% PVP400) was added to 72 µL distilled water. 80 µL was added to the sample pad and allowed to absorb. The test was run for 10 minutes before scanning the cassettes using a Kyocera TASKalfa 5550ci printer, the images were exported to a pdf file that was converted to a jpeg. Within ~1 hour the strips were removed from the cassettes and added to acetate sheets. These were scanned using a Kyocera TASKalfa 5550ci printer to a pdf file that was converted to a jpeg, acetate scans were taken within 1 hour of strip drying. The jpegs were analysed in Image J 1.51 using the plot profile function to create a data set exported to Microsoft Excel for Mac. The data was exported to Origin 2019 64Bit and trimmed to remove pixel data not from the strip surface. The data was aligned and averaged (mean). The data was then reduced by number of groups to 100 data points (just the nitrocellulose surface) and plotted as Grey value (scale) vs Relative distance along the 100 data points.

Protocol for Running Lateral Flow Test with Target Analyte in Buffer

8 µL 10× HEPES buffer (20% PVP400) was added to 72 µL of water - x µL, where x is the volume of target analyte added to make the required concentration of the lectin. 80 µL was added to the sample pad and allowed to absorb. The test was run for 10 minutes before scanning the cassettes using a Kyocera TASKalfa 5550ci printer, the images were exported to a pdf file that was converted to a jpeg. Within ~1 hour the
strips were removed from the cassettes and added to acetate sheets. These were scanned using a Kyocera TASKalfa 5550ci printer to a pdf file that was converted to a jpeg, acetate scans were taken within 1 hour of strip drying. The jpegs were analysed in Image J 1.51 using the plot profile function to create a data set exported to Microsoft Excel for Mac. The data was exported to Origin 2019 64Bit and trimmed to remove pixel data not from the strip surface. The data was aligned and averaged (mean). The data was then reduced by number of groups to 100 data points (just the nitrocellulose surface) and plotted as Grey value (scale) vs Relative distance along the 100 data points.

Figure E2.4. Representative cassette (Top left) and strip (Top right), raw grey value plot (Middle) and processed grey value plot (Bottom)

*Lateral Flow Signal Intensity Analysis*

Relative distance pixel 1 to 10 and 51 to 60 (area around the test line), excluding pixels that contributed to the signal peak were averaged (mean). This average was subtracted from the lowest grey value between 11 to 50 (test line region).
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Chapter 3

The SARS-COV-2 Spike Protein Binds Sialic Acids, and Enables Rapid Detection in Flow-Through and Lateral Flow Point of Care Diagnostic Devices
3.1 Abstract

There is an urgent need to understand the behavior of the novel coronavirus (SARS-COV-2), which is the causative agent of COVID-19, and to develop point of care diagnostics. Here, a glyconanoparticle platform is used to discover that N-acetyl neuraminic acid has affinity toward the SARS-COV-2 spike glycoprotein, demonstrating its glycan-binding function. Optimisation of the particle size and coating enabled detection of the spike glycoprotein in flow-through and showed selectivity over the SARS-COV-1 spike protein. Using a virus-like particle and a pseudotyped lentivirus model, paper-based lateral flow detection was demonstrated in under 30 minutes showing the potential of this system as a low-cost detection platform.
3.2 Declaration

This chapter contains the paper discussing the detection of SARS-COV-2 spike protein using flow-through and lateral flow diagnostics by harnessing sialic acids as capture agents.

Sarah-Jane Richards carried out the BLI studies, synthesised the 35 to 70 nm gold particles, functionalised the polymers with glycans, characterised the particles by DLS and UV-vis, and helped run the flow-through and lateral flow devices; Collette Guy, Simona Chessa and Giulia Pergolizzi synthesised the N-acetyl neuraminic acid derivatives; Collette Guy also carried out the pseudotyped lentivirus experiments; Tom Congdon assisted with LFD construction and polymer characterisation; Muhammad Hasan carried out the sequence alignments, expressed the spike protein used for the thermal shift assays and carried out the thermal shift assays; Alexander Zwetsloot and Anne Straube expressed the spike protein used for testing the devices; Angelo Gallo and Józef Lewandowski carried out the STD NMR; Peter Stansfeld produced the hypothesised sialic binding site model of the spike protein; and Marc Walker carried out the XPS analysis and supported model fitting the XPS data.

I synthesised the RAFT agent, the polymers, the 16 nm gold particles; functionalised the polymers with glycans; characterised the polymer systems by NMR, SEC and FTIR; characterised the particles by XPS; and characterised the glycans by NMR. I designed, constructed, and ran the prototype flow-through and lateral flow devices (including the synthesis of the polystyrene systems), and analysed the data from the devices.

Myself, Sarah-Jane Richards, Simone Dedola, Robert Field and Matthew Gibson were responsible for preparation of the manuscript.


Myself, Sarah-Jane Richards and Matthew Gibson have a patent related to this work.
3.3 Introduction

In December 2019 a novel zoonotic coronavirus (SARS-COV-2), reported in Wuhan (China), led to a pandemic of the respiratory disease COVID-19.\textsuperscript{1} While vaccinations are becoming available (if unequally despite the COVID-19 Vaccines Global Access Facility (COVAX)),\textsuperscript{2,3} there are still no therapeutics. Diagnostics, surveillance and case isolation are the primary tools for many countries for controlling the spread of the virus and driving down the basic reproduction ($R_0$) value. Following the successful genome sequencing of SARS-COV-2, RT-PCR-based (reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction) diagnostics were rapidly developed. These require dedicated laboratory facilities, trained personnel and do not provide an instant output, and while highly specific, false negative results are reported at $3\%$ versus chest CT scans.\textsuperscript{4} There are also reports of conflicting RT-PCR results in samples from the same patient.\textsuperscript{5,6} The results can depend on the sampling location \textit{i.e.} throat \textit{versus} lower respiratory tract,\textsuperscript{7} and the false negative rate is highest during the early stages of infection.\textsuperscript{8}

An alternative detection platform to RT-PCR is the lateral flow device (LFD), such as the home pregnancy test,\textsuperscript{9} which typically uses antibodies as the detection units immobilised to both the stationary phase (e.g., nitrocellulose paper) and the mobile phase (e.g., gold nanoparticle), forming a “sandwich” with the analyte. While flow-through devices utilise deposition of sample as a test line (stationary) phase, sometimes termed a “Half” lateral flow assay.\textsuperscript{10} Both systems show a positive (red line) response by eye at the test line. Such devices require little or no clinical infrastructure or training, and they can be used in the patient’s home. The cost-effectiveness of these inexpensive devices has been demonstrated by various studies of malaria rapid diagnostic tests\textsuperscript{11,12} and were found to compare well to the more expensive RT-PCR for Ebola diagnosis.\textsuperscript{13} In addition to antibodies, other biological recognition units such as nucleic acids,\textsuperscript{14} glycans, and lectins\textsuperscript{15} could be used. Glycans have not been widely applied in lateral flow\textsuperscript{16} but offer new opportunities and advantages compared with antibody-based systems. Glycans have reduced cold chain needs compared with proteins\textsuperscript{17} and are thus suited to low resource, triage, or emergency settings. A further benefit of glycans, as the capture unit, would be the detection of intact viruses. For SARS-COV-2, viral RNA \textit{(e.g., from a positive RT-}
PCR result) is detected past the point where patients are no longer infectious, resulting in extended hospital stays.\textsuperscript{18}

Glycans (carbohydrates) direct myriad binding and recognition events in biology from cell-cell communication to being markers of disease. Analysis of the 2009 swine (zoonotic) influenza pandemic showed that porcine viral hemagglutinins, which normally bind $\alpha 2,3'$-linked sialic acids, switched to binding $\alpha 2,6'$-linked sialic acids found in human respiratory tracts.\textsuperscript{19,20} This demonstrates the importance of glycan-binding during infection. This switch in glycan specificity has enabled the establishment of glycan-functional biosensors to rapidly identify strains without using nucleic acid based detection methods.\textsuperscript{21,22} All coronaviruses display homotrimers of spike glycoproteins on their surface. Sialic acid binding by the S1 spike protein subunits is crucial for coronavirus to engage host cells, while the S2 domain initiates viral fusion.\textsuperscript{23} Tortorici \textit{et al.} showed the structural basis for 9-\textit{O}-acetylated sialic acid binding to a human coronavirus (strain OC43) by cryo-EM, and affinity of this ligand to the HKU1-HE strain, has also been reported.\textsuperscript{24,25} MERS S1 preferentially binds $\alpha 2,3'$-linked over $\alpha 2,6'$-linked sialic acids but any acetylation of the sialic acids decreases affinity,\textsuperscript{26} which is distinct from OC43. This evidence shows that sialic acid binding is crucial in coronavirus infection and potentially in its zoonosis to human hosts,\textsuperscript{27,28} but that the exact glycan partner can vary between strains. It has also emerged that sulphated glycosaminoglycans (including heparin sulphates) bind SARS-COV-2 spike protein, and can inhibit viral entry.\textsuperscript{29–31} The above examples demonstrate that glycan “anchoring” of coronaviruses may offer opportunities for detection using capture techniques such as LFD. Since this was first demonstrated by Baker \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{32} further reports showing the binding of sialic acids to SARS-COV-2 \textit{in silico},\textsuperscript{33,34} and \textit{in vitro}\textsuperscript{35,36} have occurred.

Individual glycans display low affinity to their protein targets, but this is overcome in nature by multivalent display. Due to the cluster glycoside effect,\textsuperscript{37} displaying multiple copies of glycans can result in several orders of magnitude enhancement in the observed affinity. This has been widely exploited in materials chemistry\textsuperscript{38,39} using dendrimers,\textsuperscript{40,41} peptides/proteins,\textsuperscript{42} polymers\textsuperscript{43,44} and nanoparticles\textsuperscript{22,45} to generate high avidity.

Here we report the synthesis of polymer-stabilised, multivalent gold nanoparticles bearing sialic acid derivatives and their interaction with the spike glycoprotein from
SARS-COV-2. We find that α,N-acetyl neuraminic acid binds the spike glycoprotein and subsequently exploit this interaction as the detection unit in prototype flow-through glyco-assay and lateral flow glyco-assay rapid diagnostics, which requires no centralised infrastructure.
3.4 Results and Discussion

Figure 3.1A shows the sequence alignments between the S1 domains of coronavirus spike proteins from MERS and SARS-COV-2. There is some conservation of the sialic acid binding site, notably residues His69 and Phe79. Figure 3.1B and C shows models constructed from the Cryo-EM structure of SARS-COV,\textsuperscript{46} with missing loops and the α2,3’-sialyllactose modeled into it using the Cryo-EM structure of MERS.\textsuperscript{47} The modeling data suggests that Arg21 and Leu24 coordinates the glycan, while Gln23 may also have a role in binding. Adjacent to the hairpin containing His69 and Phe79, it seems likely that Arg246 also has a role in coordination. The limited conservation of the sialic acid binding groove sequence (Appendix 3 Figure S1) is in marked contrast to the entirety of the spike S protein, which is often highly conserved between coronaviruses.\textsuperscript{48} In the MERS sialic acid binding site in complex with α2,3’-sialyllactose, only the neuraminic acid unit, not the lactose, is engaged. This is in contrast to influenza hemagglutinins, which contact the galactose residues.\textsuperscript{49} This evidence suggests that N-acetyl neuraminic acid is a reasonable target for SARS-COV-2 binding (also hypothesised here\textsuperscript{50}) and hence a potential capture ligand for a new “glyco-LFD” diagnostic device.
Figure 3.1. Sequence alignment of spike protein S1 domains of coronaviruses and a model showing the hypothesised sialic acid binding site for the SARS-COV-2 spike protein trimer.

A) Sequence alignment between the S1 domains of the SARS-COV-2 and MERS spike proteins. Regions important for sialic acid binding are highlighted by red boxes; B) Model showing the hypothesised sialic acid binding sites (yellow CPK colouring) for the SARS-COV-2 spike protein trimer; C) A comparison between the sialic acid binding sites from MERS (PDB entry 6Q04) and the SARS-COV-2 model (PDB entry 6VSB) in complex with α2,3'-sialyllactose.

Figure 3.2A shows a design schematic for a “lateral flow glyco-assay” (“glyco-LFD”). Typically LFDs use antibodies, but here the glycan is immobilised (as a BSA-glycoconjugate) on the test strip and also in the mobile phase onboard gold nanoparticles, providing multivalency (and hence affinity), for dissecting SARS-COV-2 binding and for the LFD. Our nanoparticle design concept uses telechelic polymer tethers which conjugate the glycans, by displacement of an ω-terminal
pentafluorophenyl (PFP) group, and immobilisation onto gold particles via the α-terminal thiol. Poly(N-hydroxyethyl acrylamide), PHEA, was chosen as the polymer to give colloidally stable particles and as an acrylamide, it is not easily hydrolysable unlike acrylates for example (Figure 3.2B and Table 3.1).⁴⁵,⁵¹ PHEA was synthesised using RAFT (reversible addition-fragmentation chain transfer) polymerisation resulting in dispersities below 1.3. The PHEA lengths were selected on the basis of performance in initial lateral flow screening assays (Chapter 2) and from reports of their colloidal stability.⁴⁵,⁵¹ Amino-glycans were synthesised by reduction of anomeric azides and subsequently conjugated to the PHEAs by displacement of the PFP group, which was confirmed by ¹⁹F NMR. Polymers were then assembled onto citrate-stabilised gold nanoparticles and excess ligand removed by centrifugation/resuspension cycles. The nanoparticles were elucidated by UV-Vis, dynamic light scattering (DLS), transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and XPS (x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy) to confirm surface coating (Table 3.2 and Appendix 3). Following observations via DLS and UV-vis that 16 nm sialyllactose particles were less stable than 35 nm particles, the latter were selected for initial glycan-binding assays.
Figure 3.2. Design concept for lateral flow glyco-assay devices.

A) Lateral flow assay for virus, using glycan capture units; B) Synthetic procedure for glyconanoparticles.
Figure 3.3. Normalised size exclusion chromatography RI molecular weight distributions of telechelic PHEA obtained in DMF versus PMMA standards.

Table 3.1. Polymer Characterisation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>M:CTA</th>
<th>$M_{\text{ntho}}$</th>
<th>$M_{\text{SEC}}$</th>
<th>$M_{\text{NMR}}$</th>
<th>$D_M$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PHEA40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>5100</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHEA50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3400</td>
<td>6400</td>
<td>5500</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHEA58</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>7200</td>
<td>6700</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHEA72</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>5100</td>
<td>8900</td>
<td>8600</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) Estimated from [M]:[CTA], b) From DMF SEC versus PMMA standards; c) $^1$H NMR end-group analysis.
Table 3.2. Nanoparticle Characterisation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>UV&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (nm)</th>
<th>A&lt;sub&gt;SPR&lt;/sub&gt;/A&lt;sub&gt;450&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>D&lt;sub&gt;h&lt;/sub&gt;(UV)&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; (nm)</th>
<th>D&lt;sub&gt;h&lt;/sub&gt;(DLS)&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt; (nm)</th>
<th>D&lt;sub&gt;h&lt;/sub&gt;(TEM)&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt; (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AuNP&lt;sub&gt;16&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20.7±0.8</td>
<td>14±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NeuNAc-PHEA&lt;sub&gt;40&lt;/sub&gt;AuNP&lt;sub&gt;16&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>40.9±0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NeuNAc-PHEA&lt;sub&gt;30&lt;/sub&gt;AuNP&lt;sub&gt;16&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>44.2±0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNP&lt;sub&gt;35&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>34.5±0.5</td>
<td>35±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NeuNAc-PHEA&lt;sub&gt;40&lt;/sub&gt;AuNP&lt;sub&gt;35&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>46.2±0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NeuNAc-PHEA&lt;sub&gt;30&lt;/sub&gt;AuNP&lt;sub&gt;35&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>55.3±0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) SPR absorption maximum; b) Absorbance ratio of SPR to 450 nm; c) Estimated from UV-Vis<sup>52</sup>; d) From dynamic light scattering; e) From TEM, from average of > 100 particles, showing ±S.D.

With the glyconanoparticles to hand, recombinant S1 subunit (SARS-COV-2 S1) spike protein was immobilised onto biolayer interferometry (BLI) sensors<sup>22</sup> to replicate a lateral flow situation, which is the primary aim of this work. Since there are 22 N-linked glycans per protein which are not present in bacteria-expressed protein,<sup>53</sup> we used protein expressed in mammalian cells (HEK) to ensure glycosylation. Figure 3.4A shows α,NeuNAc-AuNPs bind to a greater extent compared to both sialyllactose isomers (α2,3'/α2,6') and the monosaccharide control (glucose). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy analysis (Appendix 3) of these particles revealed that the NeuNAc/Glc monosaccharide-terminated polymers had a higher relative grafting density than the sialyllactose trisaccharides by a ratio of 2:1 (35 nm)/3:1 (16 nm), because of the difference in glycan size. It is therefore important to note that this data does not rule out sialyllactose binding (and indeed, in LFD we do see binding), but that in this system NeuNAc gave the strongest response and consequently was taken forward. Thermal shift assays further confirmed that NeuNAc bound selectively over galactose and glucose, and preliminary STD (saturation transfer difference) NMR spectroscopy showed NeuNAc binding also (both in Appendix 3). While outside the scope of this work, the identification of the sialic acid binding function of the spike protein may provide fundamental guidance as to how the virus engages host cells, or is processed within them, and this (to the best of our knowledge) is the first report of this matter.<sup>32</sup>

The next step was to evaluate the impact of particle size on binding. Both 16 and 35 nm gold (relevant diameters for LFDs) NeuNAc particles were used to interrogate
SARS-COV-2 S1 (Figures 3.4B and C). End point dose dependency (Fig 3.3D) showed similar binding trends for both particles, with an apparent $K_d \sim 1$ nM, noting that for multivalent systems exact $K_d$'s cannot be extracted. The plots are shown in terms of OD (SPR absorption maximum) as this is standard for AuNP concentration.

Figure 3.4. Biolayer interferometry analysis of SARS-COV-2 spike protein with glyconanoparticles.

A) Screening using PHEA$_{50}$@AuNP$_{35}$ at OD = 1; Dose dependent binding of NeuNAc-PHEA$_{50}$ using B) @AuNP$_{16}$ and C) @AuNP$_{35}$. OD = 1 (-, black), 0.5(-, red), 0.25(-, blue), 0.125(-, pink); D) Binding curves.

With the successful identification of NeuNAc as a target ligand, its application as the capture unit in lateral flow was examined. The performance of an LFD depends on not only the affinity of the capture ligand (NeuNAc) but also the flow of the particles. Flow-through (“Half” lateral flow assays) (Figure 3.5A) were established to optimise the particles. The negative test line was (commercial) 2,3’-sialyllactose-BSA, which the glyco-nanoparticle should not bind to (to avoid false positives in “full” lateral flow where it would serve to capture viral antigen). The positive control was immobilised
(monomeric) SARS-COV-2 S1 (which mimics capture in full lateral flow) and the nanoparticles were flowed over them (original strips are in Appendix 3). Pleasingly, all particles bound SARS-COV-2 S1 showing this detection method is valid. NeuNAcPHEA$_{50}$@AuNP$_{35}$ gave the strongest signal-to-noise compared to other particles, owing to their lower background compared to 16 nm particles (noting that the 16 nm did give a strong signal too). The 16 nm also showed some binding to the 2,3’-sialyllactose-BSA control, however. Hence, NeuNAcPHEA$_{50}$@AuNP$_{35}$ particles were used from this point onward (Figure 3.5B and C). α2,3’- and α2,6’-sialyllectosamine particles were also tested, and on larger AuNPs (55 - 70 nm) and longer polymers (PHEA$_{72}$) too but gave no improvement over NeuNAcPHEA$_{50}$@AuNP$_{35}$ (Appendix 3). Blocking of the NeuNAc particles with BSA before running was also explored in an attempt to further reduce the background, as is common in LFDs. BSA blocking did not improve the performance of the NeuNAc systems but it did reduce off-target binding in the α2,3’-sialyllectosamine systems tested (casein and PVP$_{10}$ were also used) (Appendix 3). Encouraged by these results, the specificity and function of the NeuNAcPHEA$_{50}$@AuNP$_{35}$ particles were tested against a panel of test-line immobilised lectins 1 mg.mL$^{-1}$. Total signal intensity is plotted in Figure 3.5D confirming that NeuNAc-AuNPs have no nonspecific binding. The only lectin that bound was RCA$_{120}$, which is known to have some affinity toward sialic acids.$^{54}$ WGA and SNA also have some affinity to sialic acids but did not show signal here, highlighting an advantage (and challenge) of LFDs, that glycan presentation is a strong determinant of the signal generation in addition to binding affinity. To test binding specificity in a more challenging scenario, the particles were screened against the spike protein, SARS-COV-2 S1, (the desired target) and also against the S1 spike domain of a previous zoonotic coronavirus SARS-COV-1,$^{55}$ responsible for the 2003 “SARS” outbreak. As can be seen in Figure 3.5E, the NeuNAc particle system has a clear preference for SARS-COV-2, demonstrating selectivity in this glyconanoparticle system. While this does not rule out binding, it does show the particles/glycan do not generate sufficient signal against SARS-COV-1. This data further supports the notion that the terminal NeuNAc is the key binding motif.
Figure 3.5. Flow-through analysis of NeuNAcPHEA₅₀@AuNP₃₅ particles.

A) Flow-through assay setup with target protein immobilised on the test line; B) Effect of polymer chain length and particle size on flow-through binding; C) Signal:noise analysis; D) Selectivity of NeuNAcPHEA₅₀@AuNP₃₅ against a panel of lectins (inset example strips). E) Selectivity of NeuNAcPHEA₅₀@AuNP₃₅ against S1 protein from different coronavirus strains. Data is the mean from 3 repeats. Original LFD strips are in Appendix 3. Test lines are within the dashed-line box. 2,3’SL-BSA = 2,3’-sialyllactose-functionalised BSA.

To explore the detection limits and specificity of this system, NeuNAc (positive) and galactose (negative) nanoparticles were screened against a dilution series of SARS-COV-2 S1 (Figure 3.6A and B). At the highest concentration (0.5 mg mL⁻¹) galactose particles showed weak binding to SARS-COV-2 S1. NeuNAc particles showed
significantly stronger binding, with an apparent limit of detection below 8 µg.mL⁻¹ or 8 nM. Encouraged by successful binding, a dipstick sandwich assay was established where the analyte was added to the gold particle solution, rather than dried onto the nitrocellulose paper. The test line was NeuNAc-BSA (validated to capture the particles by BLI, Appendix 3) and RCA₁₂₀ as a control line, which is essential in lateral flow devices to ensure each device is functional. To mimic the virus in a model system without cell debris, which may complicate initial LFD development, the spike glycoprotein was immobilised onto 100 nm polystyrene nanoparticles which match the diameter of the coronavirus. Figure 3.7C shows the results of testing this system (original lateral flow strips with no image enhancement are in the Appendix 3). In this system 2,3’-sialyllactose-BSA is the test (capture) line as the data in Figure 3.5 confirmed no nonspecific binding by the nanoparticles to this line. The lateral flow devices could clearly detect the virus-like particles at a concentration of just 5 µg.mL⁻¹ (5 nM) protein, which is in line with the detection limits from Figure 3.6B. Controls using naked polystyrene colloids showed no binding to the test line, ruling out nonspecific interactions, and a control (with no polystyrene analyte) only showed control line binding. The resolution of the test spots could be further enhanced using a silver-staining protocol,⁵⁶ which improves the “by eye” detection (Figure 3.6C). An additional control of two influenza strains (which bind sialyllactoses) were shown to have little off-target binding as influenza hemagglutinins require the galactose linker in addition to the sialic acid, for strong binding (Appendix 3).⁵⁷ As a final proof-of-concept, SARS-COV-2 spike protein pseudotyped lentivirus were tested in flow-through devices. Pseudovirus was applied to the test line and ran in a flow-through cassette (Appendix 3 for full photos). Using NeuNAc particles, detection at 1.5x10⁴ transduction units/mL was achieved. Galactose-functional particles failed to detect the virus, confirming the role of sialic acid binding. Direct comparison of transduction units/mL to viral load (typical copies/mL) is not possible, but values as high as 10⁸ copies.mL⁻¹ are reported from COVID positive swabs,¹⁸ suggesting this method may have relevant detection limits. A hybrid LFD using antibody capture in one component may also be possible to further improve this.¹⁶
Figure 3.6. Limit of detection of NeuNAc functionalised AuNPs and use in lateral flow and flow-through versus viral mimics

A) Detection limit analysis of galactose or NeuNAc functionalised AuNPs against immobilised SARS-COV-2 S1 using flow-through assays and, B) Signal intensity analysis; C) Dipstick lateral flow tests using NeuNAcPHEA_{50}@AuNP_{35} and NeuNAc-BSA as the test line and RCA_{120} as the control line. PS-NP = 100 nm polystyrene colloid, or + SARS-COV-2 S1. N/A is with no polystyrene analyte. D) Flow-through analysis of SARS-COV-2 Spike pseudotyped lentivirus against NeuNAcPHEA_{50}@AuNP_{35} or GalPHEA_{50}@AuNP_{35} nanoparticles. In each image, the test line region is indicated by the dashed box. Complete original images are in Appendix 3.
3.5 Conclusions

In conclusion, we have demonstrated flow-through glyco-assay and lateral flow glyco-assay detection systems that can detect the spike glycoprotein from the SARS-COV-2 virus in under 30 mins. Guided by sequence alignment against other coronavirus spike proteins it was hypothesised that sialic acids may bind this protein, to enable capture/detection. Using a nanoparticle based biolayer interferometry platform we demonstrated that α,N-acetyl neuraminic acid is a ligand for the spike glycoprotein. The gold nanoparticles and polymer tethers (for glycan capture) were optimised and it was found in flow-through assays that α,N-acetyl neuraminic acid particles have selectivity toward the SARS-COV-2 spike protein, including specificity over SARS-COV-1 and a panel of lectins. Guided by this, we successfully detected a virus mimic particle bearing SARS-COV-2 S1 in under 30 mins, with a detection limit of the spike protein around 5 µg.mL⁻¹ in a lateral flow assay. Furthermore, a SARS-COV-2 spike protein-presenting pseudotyped lentivirus was successfully detected in a robust flow-through proof-of-concept. This work provides proof that glycan binding can be exploited to create rapid point of care diagnostics in a format which requires no infrastructure and limited training and, to the best of our knowledge, is the first reported all-glycan lateral flow system. This approach may find application for disease surveillance or mass testing at transport/work hubs or even for self/home testing. Finally, the observation that SARS-COV-2 can engage sialic acids found on human respiratory cells may provide insight into its zoonosis and infection pathways to help guide new interventions.

Following publication of the paper underlying this chapter in September 2020 (and pre-print in June 2020), the binding of sialic acids to the SARS-COV-2 spike protein has been further verified in silico and, in vitro by saturation transfer difference (STD) NMR, glycan microarray and ELISA – validating that lateral flow and flow-through systems can be used to study glycan binding. The concept of targeting the spike protein over the nucleocapsid of the virus has also been discussed and considered further too. This has occurred alongside broader studies that discuss the immunological role of the spike protein, and if the spike protein or sialic acids on the cell surface can be a target for combatting SARS-COV-2. While the
importance of sialic acid glycolipids in mediating SARS-COV-2 viral entry has also been shown too.67
3.6 Experimental

3.6.1 Physical and Analytical Methods

NMR Spectroscopy

$^1$H-NMR, $^{13}$C-NMR and $^{19}$F-NMR spectra were recorded at 300 MHz, 400 MHz or 500 MHz on a Bruker DPX-300, DPX-400 or DPX-500 spectrometer respectively, with chloroform-$d$ (CDCl$_3$), acetonitrile-$d_3$ (CD$_3$CN) or deuterium oxide (D$_2$O) as the solvent. Chemical shifts of protons are reported as $\delta$ in parts per million (ppm) and are relative to either CDCl$_3$ (7.26), CD$_3$CN (1.94) or D$_2$O (4.79).

Mass spectrometry

Low resolution mass spectra (LRMS) were recorded on a Bruker Esquire 2000 spectrometer using electrospray ionisation (ESI). m/z values are reported in Daltons.

FT-IR Spectroscopy

Fourier Transform-Infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy measurements were carried out using an Agilent Cary 630 FT-IR spectrometer, in the range of 650 to 4000 cm$^{-1}$.

Size Exclusion Chromatography

Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) analysis was performed on an Agilent Infinity II MDS instrument equipped with differential refractive index (DRI), viscometry (VS), dual angle light scattering (LS) and variable wavelength UV detectors. The system was equipped with 2 x PLgel Mixed D columns (300 x 7.5 mm) and a PLgel 5 $\mu$m guard column. The mobile phase used was DMF (HPLC grade) containing 5 mM NH$_4$BF$_4$ at 50 ºC at flow rate of 1.0 mL.min$^{-1}$. Poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) standards (Agilent EasyVials) were used for calibration between 955,000 – 550 g.mol$^{-1}$. Analyte samples were filtered through a nylon membrane with 0.22 $\mu$m pore size before injection. Number average molecular weights ($M_n$), weight average molecular weights ($M_w$) and dispersities ($D_M = M_w/M_n$) were determined by conventional calibration using Agilent GPC/SEC software.
X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS)

The samples were attached to electrically-conductive carbon tape, mounted on to a sample bar and loaded into a Kratos Axis Ultra DLD spectrometer which possesses a base pressure below $1 \times 10^{-10}$ mbar. XPS measurements were performed in the main analysis chamber, with the sample being illuminated using a monochromated Al Kα x-ray source. The measurements were conducted at room temperature and at a take-off angle of 90° with respect to the surface parallel. The core level spectra were recorded using a pass energy of 20 eV (resolution approx. 0.4 eV), from an analysis area of 300 μm x 700 μm. The spectrometer work function and binding energy scale of the spectrometer were calibrated using the Fermi edge and 3d$_{5/2}$ peak recorded from a polycrystalline Ag sample prior to the commencement of the experiments. In order to prevent surface charging the surface was flooded with a beam of low energy electrons throughout the experiment and this necessitated recalibration of the binding energy scale. To achieve this, the C-C/C-H component of the C 1s spectrum was referenced to 285.0 eV. The data were analysed in the CasaXPS package, using Shirley backgrounds and mixed Gaussian-Lorentzian (Voigt) lineshapes. For compositional analysis, the analyser transmission function has been determined using clean metallic foils to determine the detection efficiency across the full binding energy range.

Dynamic Light Scattering

Hydrodynamic diameters ($D_h$) and size distributions of particles were determined by dynamic light scattering (DLS) using a Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS with a 4 mW He-Ne 633 nm laser module operating at 25 °C. Measurements were carried out at an angle of 173° (back scattering), and results were analysed using Malvern DTS 7.03 software. All determinations were repeated 5 times with at least 10 measurements recorded for each run. $D_h$ values were calculated using the Stokes-Einstein equation where particles are assumed to be spherical.

UV-vis Spectroscopy

Absorbance measurements were recorded on an Agilent Cary 60 UV-Vis Spectrophotometer and on a BioTek Epoch microplate reader.
**Transmission Electron Microscopy**

Dry-state stained TEM imaging was performed on a JEOL JEM-2100Plus microscope operating at an acceleration voltage of 200 kV. All dry-state samples were diluted with deionised water and then deposited onto formvar-coated copper grids.

**Biolayer Interferometry (BLI)**

Biolayer Interferometry was carried out on ForteBio Octet Red96 (Forte Bio, USA). Assays were performed in black 96 well plates. Assays were carried out at 30 °C and agitated at 1,000 rpm. Amine reactive (ARG2) biosensor tips (Forte Bio, USA) were hydrated in milliQ H2O water for at least 10 mins prior to use. A stable baseline was established in milliQ water for 1 minute. The biosensors were first activated using EDC/NHS for 10 minutes and functionalised by loading with 50 µg.mL⁻¹ protein in pH 6 Acetate buffer for 10 mins followed by 5 minutes quenching with 1 M ethanolamine and 1 minute equilibration step in 10 mM HEPES with 0.15 M NaCl, 0.1 mM CaCl₂ and 0.01 mM MnCl₂ to remove any unbound protein and to establish a stable baseline. Following protein immobilisation, the binding association with glycan-functionalised AuNPs was carried out in 10 mM HEPES with 0.15 M NaCl, 0.1 mM CaCl₂ and 0.01 mM MnCl₂, for 30 minutes followed by dissociation in 10 mM HEPES with 0.15 M NaCl, 0.1 mM CaCl₂ and 0.1 mM MnCl₂ for 10 minutes.

**Protein Modelling**

Models of the S1 domain were constructed based principally on the Cryo-EM structure of SARS-COV-2 (PDB entry 6VSB), with missing loops and the α2,3’-sialyllactose modeled in from the Cryo-EM structure of MERS (PDB entry 6Q04), using a combination of Swiss-model, Pymol and energy minimisation using Gromacs.

**¹H STD NMR Experiments**

All the STD NMR spectra were acquired on a Bruker Avance 700.24 MHz at 298 K. The STD NMR experiments were performed in Potassium Phosphate 50 mM and 10% D₂O buffer, pH 7.4. For the complexes SARS-COV-2 Spike protein, the protein concentration was ~50 µM while each ligand concentration was 5 mM. The on- and off-resonance spectra were acquired using a train of 50 ms Gaussian selective saturation pulses (4 mW) using a total of 3s of saturation time, and a relaxation delay (D1) of 3.5s. The water signal was suppressed using the excitation sculpting technique (stddiffesgp.3) while the residual protein resonances were filtered using a T₁ρ-filter of
All the spectra were acquired with a spectral width of 15 kHz (20 ppm) and 32K data points using 128 scans. The on-resonance spectra were acquired by saturating at 0.91 ppm while the off-resonance spectra were acquired by saturating at 40 ppm.

**Protein Thermal Shift Assay**

The thermal shift reaction was performed with a BioRad CFX96 real-time PCR machine. The sample was heated from 25 ℃ to 95 ℃ and the fluorescence intensity change monitored using the Protein Thermal Shift™ Dye kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat # 4461146). Analysis for binding induced shifts in thermal transition was performed in PBS buffer with Precision Melt Analysis Software provided by the manufacturer (BioRad) and a protein concentration of 0.2 mg/mL. The data was collected over 5 runs for each glycan and glycan concentration.
3.6.2 Materials

All chemicals were used as supplied unless otherwise stated. N-Hydroxyethyl acrylamide (97%), 4,4’-azobis(4-cyanovaleric acid) (98%), mesitylene (reagent grade), triethylamine (> 99%), sodium citrate tribasic dihydrate (> 99%), gold(III) chloride trihydrate (99.9%), ammonium carbonate (reagent grade), potassium phosphate tribasic (≥ 98%, reagent grade), potassium hexafluorophosphate (99.5%), deuterium oxide (D₂O, 99.9%), deuterated chloroform (CDCl₃, 99.8%), acetonitrile-d₃ (CD₃CN, ≥ 99.8%), diethyl ether (≥ 99.8%, ACS reagent grade), sodium azide (≥ 99.5%, reagent plus grade), hydrazine hydrate (50-60%), methanol (≥ 99.8%, ACS reagent grade), Ammonium carbonate (reagent grade), potassium phosphate tribasic (≥ 98%, reagent grade), sodium chloride (≥ 99.5%), calcium chloride, 40-60 petroleum ether (lab reagent grade), hydrochloric acid (~37%, analytical grade), glacial acetic acid (analytical grade) and magnesium sulphate (reagent grade) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

2,3'-sialyllactose, 2,6'-sialyllactose, lactosamine and galactosamine HCl were purchased from Carbosynth. Palladium hydroxide (20% on carbon) and anhydrous trehalose were purchased from Alfa Aesar. 2-chloro-1,3-dimethylimidazolium chloride (90%), DMF (> 99%) and 2-bromo-2-methyl propionic acid (98%) were purchased from Acros Organics. HPLC grade acetonitrile (≥ 99.8%), glucose (lab-reagent grade), hexane fraction from petrol (lab reagent grade), DCM (99% lab reagent grade), sodium hydrogen carbonate (≥ 99%), ethyl acetate (≥ 99.7%, analytical reagent grade), sodium chloride (≥ 99.5%), calcium chloride, 40-60 petroleum ether (lab reagent grade), hydrochloric acid (~37%, analytical grade), glacial acetic acid (analytical grade) and magnesium sulphate (reagent grade) were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific.

Nitrocellulose Immunopore RP 90-150 s/4 cm 25 mm was purchased from GE Healthcare. Lateral flow backing cards 60 mm by 301.58 mm (KN-PS1060.45 with KN211 adhesive) and lateral flow cassettes (KN-CT105) were purchased from Kenosha Tapes. Cellulose fibre wick material 20 cm by 30 cm by 0.825 mm (Surewick CFSP223000) was purchased from EMD Millipore.
Glass fibre conjugate pads (GFCP103000) 10 mm by 300 mm was purchased from Merck. Thick Chromatography Paper (for sample pads), Grade 237, Ahlstrom 20 cm by 20 cm were purchased from VWR International.

Soybean agglutinin, Ricinus communis Agglutinin I (RCA120), Ulex Europaeus Agglutinin I and wheat germ agglutinin were purchased from Vector Laboratories. 2,3’-sialyllactose-BSA (3 atom spacer, NGP0702), 2,6’-sialyllactose-BSA (3 atom spacer, NGP0706), Galα1-3Galβ1-4GlcNAc-BSA (3 atom spacer, NGP0330) and N-acetylneuraminic acid-BSA (6 atom spacer, NGP6111) were purchased from Dextra Laboratories. Appendix 3 Figures S18, 19, 20 and 21; and Tables S2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 13, 14 and 15 used SARS-COV-2 spike glycoprotein S1, Sheep Fc-Tag (HEK293) from The Native Antigen Company; SARS-COV-1 spike glycoprotein S1, His-Tag (HEK293) was also purchased from here. Commercial spike protein was used for the BLI studies (Figure 3.4). Commercial and expressed (details are included below) in-house spike protein was used for flow-through and lateral flow analyses.

Clear and black half area 96-well plates were purchased from Greiner Bio-one. Streptavidin (SA) biosensors were purchased from Forte Bio.

Spike (SARS-COV-2) pseudotyped lentivirus (Luc Reporter) (Catalogue number: 79942, Lot number: 200730) was purchased from amsbio.

Biological reagents are listed as used in Expression and Purification of SARS-COV-2 Spike S1 in HEK293 Cells and Recombinant Expression and Purification of SARS-COV-2 (first 300 amino acids) for Thermal Shift Assay.

Distilled water used for buffers was MilliQ grade 18.2 mΩ resistance.
3.6.3 Synthetic Methods

Synthesis of 2-(dodecylthiocarbanothionylthio)-2-methyl propionic acid (DMP)

This was synthesised, according to a previously published procedure.\(^{45}\) 2.00 g (9.88 mmol) of 1-dodecane thiol was added dropwise to stirring 2.10 g (9.89 mmol) of K\(_3\)PO\(_4\) in 30 mL of acetone at RTP, the mixture was left to stir for 25 minutes to form a white suspension. 2.05 g (26.93 mmol) of carbon disulphide was then added and left for 10 minutes, a yellow solution formed. 1.5 g (8.98 mmol) of 2-bromo-2-methyl-propionic acid was then added and the solution left to stir for 16 hours. The solvent was removed under vacuum. The crude product was dissolved in 100 mL of 1 M HCl and extracted with DCM (2×100 mL). The organic layer was washed with 200 mL of water and 200 mL of brine. The organic layer was dried with MgSO\(_4\) and filtered under gravity. The solvent was then removed from the filtrate under vacuum. The crude product was purified using a silica column (40-60 PET:DCM:glacial acetic acid 75:24:1) and recrystallised in n-hexane to give a yellow solid (58%). \(\delta^1\)H (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 3.28 (2H, t, \(J = 7.5\), SCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)), 1.80 - 1.45 (8H, m, C(CH\(_3\))\(_2\) and SCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)), 1.45 - 1.2 (18H, m, (CH\(_2\))\(_9\)CH\(_3\)), 0.87 (3H, t, \(J = 6.0\), CH\(_3\)). \(\delta^13\)C (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 221.0 (1C, SC(S)S), 178.3 (1C, C(O)), 155.7 (1C, C(CH\(_3\))\(_2\)), 37.7 (1C, SCH\(_2\)), 32.1 - 28.0 (9C, SCH\(_2\)(CH\(_2\))\(_n\)), 25.4 (2C, C(CH\(_3\))\(_2\)), 22.8 (1C, CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)), 14.3 (1C, CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)). m/z calculated as 364.16; found for ESI [M+H]\(^+\) 365.3 and [M+Na]\(^+\) 387.3. FTIR (cm\(^{-1}\)) – 2955.8, 2916.6 & 2849.5 (methyl and methylene), 1701.5 (ester C=O), 1459.3, 1436.9 & 1412.7 (methyl and methylene), 1280.3 (C(CH\(_3\))\(_2\)), 1064.2 (S-C(S)-S).
Synthesis of Pentfluorophenyl-2-dodecylthiocarbonothioylthio)-2-methylpropanoate (PFP-DMP)

This was synthesised, according to a previously published procedure.\(^4\) 4.06 g (11.13 mmol) of DMP, 3.65 g (19.04 mmol) of EDC and 2.30 g (18.82 mmol) of DMAP were dissolved in 160 mL of DCM and degassed for 30 minutes. 7.28 g (39.55 mmol) of pentafluorophenol was added in 20 mL of DCM and the mixture stirred for 18 hours at RTP. The organic layer was washed with 3 M HCl (200 mL), 1 M NaHCO\(_3\) (200 mL) and 0.5 M NaCl (200 mL). The organic layer was dried with MgSO\(_4\) and filtered under gravity. The solvent was then removed from the filtrate under vacuum. The crude product was recrystallised from ethyl acetate (or hexane) overnight at -8 °C and dried to give yellow crystals (90.9%).

\(\delta\)\(_H\) (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 3.31 (2H, t, J\(=\)7.5, S\(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\)), 1.86 (6H, s, C\((\text{CH}_3)_2\)), 1.69 (2H, qn, J\(=\)7.5, S\(\text{CH}_2\)), 1.48 - 1.16 (18H, m, \(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3\)), 0.94 - 0.82 (3H, m, \(\text{CH}_3\)).

\(\delta\)\(_C\) (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 220.1 (1C, S\(\text{C(S)S}\)), 169.7 (1C, C(O)), 143.1 (2C, meta C), 139.8 (1C, ipso C), 139.6 (1C, para C), 136.3 (2C, Ortho C), 55.5 (1C, C\((\text{CH}_3)_2\)), 37.3 (1C, S\(\text{CH}_2\)), 32.0 - 22.8 (10C, S\(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\)), 25.4 (2C, C\((\text{CH}_3)_2\)), 14.1 (1C, \(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3\)).

\(\delta\)\(_F\) (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) -151.4 - -151.6 (2F, m, OCC\(_2\text{H}_2\text{C}_2\text{H}_2\)), -148.5 (1F, t, J\(=\)21.5, OCC\(_2\text{H}_2\text{C}_2\text{H}_2\)), -162.2 - -162.5 (2F, m, OCC\(_2\text{H}_2\text{C}_2\text{H}_2\)).

m/z calculated as 530.14; found for ESI [M+Na]\(^+\) 553.3 and [M+CH\(_3\)CN+Na]\(^+\) 593.5. FTIR (cm\(^{-1}\)) – 2955.8, 2916.6 & 2849.5 (methyl and methylene), 1701.5 (ester C=O), 1518.9 (aromatic C=C or C-F), 1459.3, 1436.9 & 1412.7 (methyl and methylene), 1280.3 (C\((\text{CH}_3)_2\)), 1067.9 (S-C(S)-S).
Representative Polymerisation of 2-hydroxyethyl acrylamide

PHEA40 as representative example. 2.0 g (17.37 mmol) of 2-hydroxyethyl acrylamide, 0.043 g (0.15 mmol) of ACVA and 0.368 g (0.69 mmol) of PFP-DMP was added to 16 mL 1:1 toluene:methanol and degassed with nitrogen for 30 minutes. The reaction vessel was stirred and heated to 70 °C for 2 hours. The solvent was removed under vacuum. The crude product was dissolved in the minimum amount of methanol. Diethyl ether cooled in liquid nitrogen was added to the methanol to form a precipitate. The mixture was centrifuged for 2 minutes at 13 krpm and the liquid decanted off. The solid was dissolved in methanol and removed under vacuum to give a yellow crystalline solid.

PHEA40 - \(\delta_H\) (300 MHz, D\(_2\)O) 8.35 - 7.95 (21H, m, NH), 3.97 - 3.56 (78H, m, NHCH\(_2\)), 3.56 - 3.03 (80H, m, CH\(_2\)OH & S(CH\(_2\))\(_2\)), 2.41 - 1.90 (41H, m, CH\(_2\)CHC(O) & C(CH\(_3\))\(_2\)), 1.90 - 0.99 (108H, m, CH\(_2\)CHC(O) & CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\))\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)), 0.83 - 0.72 (5H, m, CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)). \(\delta_F\) (300 MHz, D\(_2\)O) -152.0 - -164.3 (5F, m, C\(_6\)F\(_5\)). FTIR (cm\(^{-1}\)) – 3263.3 (OH, broad), 3088.1 & 2924.1 (C(O)NH and NH), 1638.2 & 1541.3 (C(O)NH). Yield - 73%

PHEA50 - \(\delta_H\) (300 MHz, D\(_2\)O) 8.31 - 7.97 (23H, m, NH), 3.99 - 3.55 (86H, m, NHCH\(_2\)), 3.55 - 3.09 (100H, m, CH\(_2\)OH & S(CH\(_2\))\(_2\)), 2.49 - 1.90 (46H, m, CH\(_2\)CHC(O) & C(CH\(_3\))\(_2\)), 1.90 - 0.98 (110H, m, CH\(_2\)CHC(O) & CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\))\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)), 0.84 - 0.72 (5H, m, CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\))

PHEA58 - \(\delta_H\) (300 MHz, D\(_2\)O) 8.36 - 7.98 (29H, m, NH), 4.00 - 3.55 (H, 108H, m, NHCH\(_2\)), 3.55 - 3.15 (127H, m, CH\(_2\)OH & S(CH\(_2\))\(_2\)), 2.36 - 1.88 (56H, m, CH\(_2\)CHC(O) & C(CH\(_3\))\(_2\)), 1.87 - 1.09 (128H, m, CH\(_2\)CHC(O) & CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)), 0.83 - 0.72 (5H, m, CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\))

PHEA72 - \(\delta_H\) (300 MHz, D\(_2\)O) 8.30 - 7.96 (34H, m, NH), 3.96 - 3.52 (126H, m, NHCH\(_2\)), 3.52 - 3.07 (155H, m, CH\(_2\)OH & S(CH\(_2\))\(_2\)), 2.36 - 1.88 (70H, m, CH\(_2\)CHC(O) & C(CH\(_3\))\(_2\)), 1.88 - 1.03 (148H, m, CH\(_2\)CHC(O) & CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)), 0.82 - 0.70 (5H, m, CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\))
Representative DP40 Poly(N-hydroxyethyl acrylamide) glycan functionalisation using 2-amino-2-deoxy-N-acetyl-D-neuraminic acid

0.2 g (0.039 mmol) of poly(2-hydroxyethyl acrylamide)₄₀ and 0.078 mmol of glycan were added to 20 mL of DMF containing 0.05 M TEA. The reaction was stirred at 50 °C for 16 hours. Solvent was removed under vacuum. The crude product was dissolved in the minimum amount of methanol. Diethyl ether cooled in liquid nitrogen was added to the methanol to form a precipitate. The mixture was centrifuged for 2 minutes at 13 krpm and the liquid decanted off. The solid was dissolved in methanol and solvent removed under vacuum to give an orange/brown crystalline solid. Loss of fluorine signal in the ¹⁹F NMR was used to indicate the reaction had gone to completion. δ_H (300 MHz, D₂O) 8.21 - 7.99 (25H, m, NH), 4.10 - 3.57 (~90H, m, NHCH₂ & glycan protons), 3.57 - 2.99 (~82H, m, CH₂OH & SCH₂ & glycan protons), 2.40 - 1.87 (50H, m, CH₂CHC(O), C(CH₃)₂ & glycan protons), 1.87 - 0.99 (110H, m, CH₂CHC(O) & CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃ & glycan protons), 0.86 - 0.74 (5H, m, CH₂CH₃).

NB: This approach was used for other glycans also.

Figure E3.1. ¹⁹F NMR After (top) and before (bottom) reaction with α2-amino-2-deoxy-N-acetyl-D-neuraminic acid functionalisation.
Synthesis of 2-chloro-1,3-dimethylimidazolinium hexafluorophosphate

Synthesis was carried out following the procedure of Lim et al. In a 100 mL round bottom flask equipped with a rubber septum, 2-chloro-1,3-dimethylimidazolinium chloride I (5 g, 29.6 mmol, 1.0 eq.) is dissolved in anhydrous acetonitrile (15 mL) and stirred under an N$_2$ atmosphere. KPF$_6$ (5.40 g, 29.6 mmol, 1 eq.) is added by temporary removal of the septum. After 2 h the mixture is filtered off using a sintered funnel packed with dry Celite® (2 g). The filtered cake is washed with acetonitrile and the filtrate is concentrated in vacuo. The resultant solid is dissolved in a small amount of acetonitrile and diethyl ether is added until a precipitate is formed. Stir for 3 - 5 min. The precipitate is collected by suction filtration, washed with diethyl ether and dry under vacuum to afford product as an off-white solid (7.5 g, 90%). δ$_H$ (400 MHz, CD$_3$CN) 3.93 (4H, s, CH$_2$), 3.13 (6H, s, CH$_3$). δ$_C$ (400 MHz, CD$_3$CN) 50.7 (2C, CH$_2$), 35.1 (2C, CH$_3$).
Synthesis of 2-azido-1,3-dimethylimidazolinium hexafluorophosphate (ADMP)

Synthesis was carried out following the procedure of Lim et al. 2-chloro-1,3-dimethylimidazolinium hexafluorophosphate (7 g, 25.1 mmol, 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in anhydrous acetonitrile (25 mL) and stirred at 0 °C under N\textsubscript{2} atmosphere. NaN\textsubscript{3} (2.45 g, 27.7 mmol, 1.5 eq.) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 6 h, then filtered off using a sintered funnel packed with dry Celite\textsuperscript{®} (2 g). The resultant solid was dissolved in a small amount of acetonitrile and diethyl ether was added until a precipitate was formed. The precipitate was collected by suction filtration, washed with diethyl ether and dried under vacuum for 12 h to afford the crude product which recrystallised from toluene:acetone (1:1) to give the product as a white solid. (6.5 g, 90%). \(\delta\)\textsubscript{H} (400 MHz, CD\textsubscript{3}CN) 3.78 (4H, s, CH\textsubscript{2}), 3.05 (6H, s, CH\textsubscript{3}). \(\delta\)\textsubscript{C} (400 MHz, CD\textsubscript{3}CN) 49.8 (2C, CH\textsubscript{2}), 33.8 (2C, CH\textsubscript{3}).
Synthesis of 1-Azido-1-deoxy-D-glucose

1-Azido-1-deoxy-D-glucose (0.555 mmol) (from above) was dissolved in methanol (5 mL) under nitrogen. Pd(OH)$_2$/C (20 wt%, 39 mg, 0.055 mmol) and hydrazine hydrate (50%, 89 µL, 1.39 mmol) were then added and the reaction heated to reflux for 16 hours. The reaction mixture was then cooled, filtered (to remove Pd/C) and concentrated in vacuo (to remove hydrazine) to give the product as a white solid (257 mg). This reagent was used directly, as unreacted azide would not take part in the reaction with pentafluorophenyl leaving group on the polymer. Reduction was confirmed by TLC and ninhydrin staining. $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR confirmed multiple anomic peaks supported by HSQC) including starting material. Product was a mixture of anomers.
Figure E3.2. $^1$H NMR spectra of GlcNH$_2$. Highlighted areas are the anomeric protons.

Figure E3.3. $^{13}$C NMR spectra of GlcNH$_2$. Highlighted areas are the anomerics.
Synthesis of O-(N-acetyl-α-neuraminosyl)-(2→3)-O-β-D-galactopyranosyl-(1→4)-1-azido-1-deoxy-glucose. (2,3SL-N₃)

O-(N-acetyl-α-neuraminosyl)-(2→3)-O-β-D-galactopyranosyl-(1→4)-glucose (50 mg, 0.076 mmol) was dissolved in 4:1 D₂O/MeCN (1 mL) under nitrogen and cooled to 0 °C. Triethylamine (53 µL, 0.381 mmol) was then added and mixture stirred at 0 °C for 10 mins. 2-azido-1,3-dimethylimidazolinium hexafluorophosphate (65 mg, 0.229 mmol) was then added and the reaction stirred at 0 °C for 3 hours, and then left at 4 °C for a further 16 hours. The reaction mixture was then diluted with water (15 mL) and washed with DCM (3 x 20 mL). The aqueous phase was then passed through a column of Amberlite® IR120 (H⁺, previously treated with 1 M NaOH solution), and lyophilised to give a white solid (95 mg) which was used directly in the next reaction. m/z calculated as 658.22; found for ESI [M-H]⁻ 657.1

Synthesis of O-(N-acetyl-α-neuraminosyl)-(2→3)-O-β-D-galactopyranosyl-(1→4)-1-amino-1-deoxy-glucose. (2,3SL-NH₂)

Crude O-(N-acetyl-α-neuraminosyl)-(2→3)-O-β-D-galactopyranosyl-(1→4)-1-azido-1-deoxy-glucose (0.076 mmol) was dissolved in methanol (5 mL) under nitrogen. Pd(OH)₂/C (20 wt%, 5.4 mg, 0.0076 mmol) and hydrazine hydrate (50%, 12.2 µL, 0.191 mmol) were then added and the reaction heated to reflux for 16 hours. The reaction mixture was then cooled, filtered (to remove Pd/C) and concentrated in vacuo (to remove hydrazine) to give the product as a white solid (51 mg). Product was a 2:1 mix of α:β anomers at the Glc anomeric centre. δH (500 MHz, D₂O) 5.37 (0.65H, d, J 1.5, Glc α anomeric CH), 4.54 (1H d, J 8.0, Gal anomeric CH), 4.44 (0.35H, d, J 7.5, Glc β anomeric CH), 4.04 (1H, dd, J 10.0, 3.0), 3.98 - 4.02 (1H, m), 3.43 - 3.92 (18H, m), 2.68 (1H, dd, J 12.5, 4.5, Neu5Ac H₁b), 1.95 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.76 - 1.68 (1H, m, Neu5Ac H₂b). δC (126 MHz, D₂O) 175.0, 173.9 (2C, CO), 102.6, 101.8, 101.4 (3C, anomeric CH), 99.8 (1C, anomeric C), 77.7, 75.5, 75.1, 74.1, 72.8, 71.8, 71.4, 70.0, 69.1, 68.4, 68.1, 67.4 (12C, CH), 65.1, 62.5, 61.1 (3C, CH₂O), 51.7 (1C, CH₂N), 39.7 (1C, CCH₂C), 22.0 (1C, CH₃).
Figure E3.4. $^1$H NMR spectrum of $O$-(N-acetyl-$\alpha$- neuraminosyl)-(2→3)-$O$-$\beta$-D-galactopyranosyl-(1→4)-1-amino-1-deoxy-glucose.
Figure E3. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of $O$-$\text{(N-acetyl-}$\alpha$-neuraminosyl)$-(2\rightarrow3)$-$O$-$\beta$-$D$-galactopyranosyl-(1$\rightarrow4$)-$\text{1-amino-1-deoxy-glucose}$.
Synthesis of O-(N-acetyl-α-neuraminosyl)-(2→6)-O-β-D-galactopyranosyl-(1→4)-1-azido-1-deoxy-glucose. (2,6 SL-N₃)

O-(N-acetyl-α-neuraminosyl)-(2→6)-O-β-D-galactopyranosyl-(1→4)-1-azido-1-deoxy-glucose (50 mg, 0.076 mmol) was dissolved in 4:1 D₂O/MeCN (1 mL) under nitrogen and cooled to 0 °C. Triethylamine (53 µL, 0.381 mmol) was then added and mixture stirred at 0 °C for 10 mins. 2-azido-1,3-dimethylimidazolinium hexafluorophosphate (65 mg, 0.229 mmol) was then added and the reaction stirred at 0 °C for 3 hours, and then left at 4 °C for a further 16 hours. The reaction mixture was then diluted with water (15 mL) and washed with DCM (3 x 20 mL). The aqueous phase was then passed through a column of Amberlite® IR120 (H⁺, previously treated with 1 M NaOH solution), and lyophilised to give a white solid (86 mg) which was used directly in the next reaction. m/z calculated as 658.22; found for ESI [M-H]- 657.1

Synthesis of O-(N-acetyl-α-neuraminosyl)-(2→6)-O-β-D-galactopyranosyl-(1→4)-1-amino-1-deoxy-glucose. (2,6SL-NH₂)

Crude O-(N-acetyl-α-neuraminosyl)-(2→6)-O-β-D-galactopyranosyl-(1→4)-1-azido-1-deoxy-glucose (0.076 mmol) was dissolved in methanol (5 mL) under nitrogen. Pd(OH)₂/C (20 wt%, 5.4 mg, 0.0076 mmol) and hydrazine hydrate (50%, 12.2 µL, 0.191 mmol) were then added and the reaction heated to reflux for 16 hours. The reaction mixture was then cooled, filtered (to remove Pd/C) and concentrated in vacuo (to remove hydrazine) to give the product as a white solid (48 mg). Product was a 2.35:1 mix of α:β anomers at the Glc anomeric centre. δH (500 MHz, D₂O) 5.37 (0.58H (relative to Glc β anomeric), s, Glc α anomeric CH), 4.44 (1H, d, J 8.0, Gal anomeric CH), 4.33 (0.42H (relative to Glc α anomeric), d, J 7.5, Glc β anomeric CH), 4.02 (1H, d, J 8.0), 3.93 - 3.37 (19H, m), 2.66 - 2.60 (1H, m, Neu5Ac H³α), 1.94 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.67 - 1.56 (1H, m, Neu5Ac H³β). δC (126 MHz, D₂O) 175.0, 173.5 (2C, CO),
101.9, 101.3, 100.5 (3C, anomeric CH), 98.5 (1C, anomeric C), 77.1, 76.2, 73.9, 73.6, 73.5, 71.7, 71.6, 71.3, 70.5, 69.7, 68.4, 68.2 (12C, CH), 65.0, 63.5, 62.6 (3C, CH$_2$O), 51.8 (1C, CH$_2$N), 39.5 (1C, CCH$_2$C), 22.0 (1C, CH$_3$).

$^{13}$C spectra were assigned using HSQC and HMBC.
Figure E3.6. \(^1\)H NMR spectrum of O-(N-acetyl-\(\alpha\)-neuraminosyl)-(2→6)-O-\(\beta\)-D-galactopyranosyl-(1→4)-1-amino-1-deoxy-glucose.

Figure E3.7. \(^{13}\)C NMR spectrum of O-(N-acetyl-\(\alpha\)-neuraminosyl)-(2→6)-O-\(\beta\)-D-galactopyranosyl-(1→4)-1-amino-1-deoxy-glucose.
N-Acetyl Neuraminic Acid derivative synthesis

The overall procedure is shown in Figure E3.8. based upon established procedures, which are indicated.

Figure E3.8. α2-Amino-2-deoxy-N-acetyl-D-neuraminic acid synthesis
Compound 3 was prepared according to the literature. Briefly, N-acetyl neuraminic acid (1) (5g, 16 mmol) and Dowex 50WX8 200 (H⁺) resin (12 g) were stirred in anhydrous MeOH (120 mL) at RTP overnight. The mixture was filtered and the resin extensively washed with MeOH; the combined filtrates were evaporated under reduced pressure to dryness to give compound 2 as a white solid (5.8 g). Compound 2 (2 g, 6.1 mmol) was suspended in acetic anhydride (16 mL) and the mixture cooled down to 0°C in ice bath. Then, pyridine (14 mL) was added dropwise and the reaction was left to warm up to RTP overnight. After TLC (toluene:acetone, 1:1) showed complete conversion, the reaction was evaporated under reduced pressure to dryness and co-evaporated with toluene (3x). The residue was dissolved in dichloromethane and the organic phase washed successively with 10% HCl solution, sat. NaHCO₃ and water. The organic phase was dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure to dryness to give compound 3 as a glassy solid (3.4 g, quantitative), and used directly in the next step.
Figure E3.9. $^1\text{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound 2.

Figure E3.10. $^1\text{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound 3.
Synthesis of Methyl 4,7,8,9-tetra-O-acetyl-2-chloro-5-(acetamido)-2,3,5-trideoxy-D-glycero-β-D-galacto-non-2-ulopyranosonate (4)

Synthesis was conducted following an established procedure.\textsuperscript{70} Anhydrous MeOH (3 mL, 11.5 eq.) was added dropwise to a round bottom flask containing AcCl (5.5 mL, 12 eq.) cooled with an ice bath. The resulting mixture was added to a solution of compound 3 (3.4 g, 1 eq.) in 30 mL of anhydrous dichloromethane and 5.5 mL of AcCl (12 eq.) cooled with an ice bath. The reaction was allowed up to RTP and stirred overnight. After TLC (elution with 100% EtOAc for 3 times) showed complete conversion of the starting material, the volatile were evaporated under reduced pressure to dryness and the oily residue co-evaporated with toluene (3x) to give compound 4 as a glassy solid (3.2 g, quantitative). It was used directly in the next step.

Synthesis of Methyl 4,7,8,9-tetra-O-acetyl-2-azido-5-(acetamido)-2,3,5-trideoxy-D-glycero-β-D-galacto-non-2-ulopyranosonate (5).

Synthesis was conducted following an established procedure.\textsuperscript{71} To a solution of halide 4 (1 g, 1 eq.), TBAHS (0.665 g, 1 eq.) and NaN\textsubscript{3} (0.637 g, 5 eq.) in dichloromethane (1 mL/100 mg of halide), saturated NaHCO\textsubscript{3} (1 mL/100 mg of halide) was added. The two-phase mixture was stirred vigorously at RTP overnight after which time TLC showed complete conversion (TLC 100% EtOH). To the crude reaction mixture, EtOAc was added (10 times the volume of dichloromethane); then, the organic phase was separated and successively washed with sat. NaHCO\textsubscript{3}, water (2x) and brine. The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous Na\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}, filtered, and evaporated under reduced pressure to dryness. Flash chromatography (Hex/EtOAc) afforded the product (5) as a white solid (0.750 g, 74% yield). This was used directly in the next step.
**Synthesis of 2-azido-5-(acetamido)-3,5-dideoxy-D-glycero-a-D-galacto-non-2-ulopyranosonate (6). a2-azido-2-deoxy-N-acetyl-D-neuraminic acid.**

Compound 5 (0.4 g) was dissolved in MeOH:TEA:H2O (4:1:5) (55 mL) and stirred at RTP overnight. The reaction mixture was evaporated under reduced pressure to dryness, dissolved in water, and freeze-dried to afford the Neu5Ac azide (6) as a white solid (0.256 g, full conversion). A single anomer was obtained (α) based on the $^{13}$C shift at 90.9 of C2 (anomeric carbon), in agreement with literature value of 89.1 for the per-acetylated equivalent.\(^1\) There is no anomeric proton in this compound, hence the use of $^{13}$C. \(\delta_H\) (400 MHz, D$_2$O) 3.93 - 3.80 (3H, m, H$^4$, H$^6$, H$^9$), 3.80 - 3.72 (1H, m, H$^5$), 3.66 - 3.61 (1H, m, H$^8$), 3.61 - 3.53(1H, m, H$^9$), 3.39 (1H, q, J 7, H$^7$), 2.68 (1H, dd, J 12.5, 4.5, H$^3$), 2.03 (3H, s, CH$_3$), 1.63 (1H, t, J 12.5, H$^3$). \(\delta_C\) (400 MHz, D$_2$O) 175.1 (1C, NHCO), 172.4 (1C, C$^1$), 90.9 (1C, C$^2$), 73.8 (1C, C$^6$), 71.5 (1C, C$^8$), 68.1 (1C, C$^7$), 62.7 (1C, C$^9$), 51.6 (1C, C$^5$), 38.9 (1C, C$^3$), 22.0 (1C, CH$_3$)

NB: The peaks at ~1.25 ppm and ~3.25 ppm in the proton NMR and ~9 ppm and ~45 ppm are triethylamine salt impurities
Figure E3.11. $^1$H NMR spectrum of α2-azido-2-deoxy-N-acetyl-D-neuraminic acid.

Figure E3.12. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of α2-azido-2-deoxy-N-acetyl-D-neuraminic acid.
Synthesis of $\alpha_2$-Amino-2-deoxy-$N$-acetyl-$D$-neuraminic acid (7).

2-Azido-2-deoxy-$N$-acetyl-$D$-neuraminic acid (40 mg, 0.120 mmol) was dissolved in methanol (5 mL) under nitrogen. Pd(OH)$_2$/C (20 wt%, 8.4 mg, 0.012 mmol) and hydrazine hydrate (50%, 19.2 µL, 0.299 mmol) were then added and the reaction heated to reflux for 4 hours. The reaction mixture was then cooled, filtered (to remove Pd/C) and concentrated in vacuo (to remove hydrazine) to give the product as a colourless oil (35 mg). A single anomer was identified in the $^{13}$C spectra with a chemical shift of 96.1, compared to 89.1 in the azido precursor, consistent with no anomeric inversion during the reduction of azide to amine. $\delta_H$ (500 MHz, D$_2$O) 3.93 - 3.98 (1H, m, H$^4$), 3.89 - 3.93 (1H, m, H$^6$), 3.84 (1H, q, J 10.0, H$^5$), 3.75 (1H, dd, J 12.0, 2.5, H$^{9a}$), 3.67 (1H, ddd, J 9.0, 6.5, 2.5, H$^9$), 3.50 - 3.55 (1H, m, H$^{9b}$), 3.42 - 3.45 (1H, m, H$^7$), 2.15 (1H, dd, J 13.0, 5.0, H$^{3a}$), 1.96 (3H, s, CH$_3$), 1.75 (1H, dd, J 13.0, 11.5, H$^{3b}$). $\delta_C$ NMR (126 MHz, D$_2$O) 175.8 (1C, COCH$_3$), 174.7 (1C, C$^1$), 96.1 (1C, C$^2$), 70.2, 70.2 (2C, C$^6$ and C$^8$), 68.4 (1C, C$^7$) 67.1 (1C, C$^4$), 63.2 (1C, C$^9$), 52.2 (1C, C$^5$), 39.2 (1C, C$^3$), 22.1 (1C, CH$_3$).

NB: The peaks at ~2.7 ppm and ~2.9 ppm in the proton NMR are DMF impurities.
Figure E3.13. $^1$H NMR spectrum of 2-amino-2-deoxy-\(N\)-acetyl-D-neuraminic acid.

Figure E3.14. Zoom in of $^1$H NMR spectrum (4.15 ppm to 3.15 ppm) of 2-amino-2-deoxy-\(N\)-acetyl-D-neuraminic acid.
Figure E3.15. $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 2-amino-2-deoxy-N-acetyl-D-neuraminic acid.

A single anomeric signal was observed (96 ppm).
**Citrate-stabilised 16 nm Gold Nanoparticle Synthesis**

Synthesised by a previously reported protocol.\textsuperscript{72} To 500 mL of water was added 0.163 g (0.414 mmol) of gold(III) chloride trihydrate, the mixture was heated to reflux and 14.6 mL of water containing 0.429 g (1.46 mmol) of sodium citrate tribasic dihydrate was added. The reaction was allowed to reflux for 30 minutes before cooling to room temperature over 3 hours. The solution was centrifuged at 13 krpm for 30 minutes and the pellet resuspended in 40 mL of water to give an absorbance at 520 nm of ~1Abs.

**Citrate-stabilised 35 nm Gold Nanoparticle Synthesis**

35 nm gold nanoparticles were synthesised by a modified step growth method developed by Bastús et al.\textsuperscript{73} A solution of 2.2 mM sodium citrate in Milli-Q water (150 mL) was heated under reflux for 15 min under vigorous stirring. After boiling had commenced, 1 mL of HAuCl\textsubscript{4} (25 mM) was injected. The colour of the solution changed from yellow to bluish gray and then to soft pink in 10 min, 1 mL was taken for DLS and UV/Vis analysis. Immediately after the synthesis of the Au seeds and in the same reaction vessel, the reaction was cooled until the temperature of the solution reached 90 °C. Then, 1 mL of a HAuCl\textsubscript{4} solution (25 mM) was injected. After 20 min, the reaction was finished. This process was repeated twice. After that, the sample was diluted by adding 85 mL of MilliQ water and 3.1 mL of 60 mM sodium citrate. This solution was then used as a seed solution, and three further portions of 1.6 mL of 25 mM HAuCl\textsubscript{4} were added with 20 min between each addition. Following completion of this step 1 mL was taken for DLS and UV/Vis analysis. The sample was diluted by adding 135 mL of MilliQ water and 4.9 mL of 60 mM sodium citrate. This solution was then used as a seed solution, and the process was repeated with three further additions of 2.5 mL of 25 mM HAuCl\textsubscript{4}, this solution was analysed by DLS and UV/Vis and target size of 35 nm was reached and the solution was cooled and a sample taken for TEM analysis.

This process of HAuCl\textsubscript{4} additions followed by dilution was repeated until a size of 55 nm and 70 nm was reached as determined by UV/Vis and DLS.
Gold Nanoparticle Polymer Coating Functionalisation – 16 nm

100 mg of glycopolymer was agitated overnight with 10 mL of 16 nm AuNPs ~1Abs at UV_{\text{max}}. The solution was centrifuged at 13 krpm for 30 minutes and the pellet resuspended in 10 mL of water, the solution was centrifuged again at 13 krpm for 30 minutes and the pellet resuspended in 1 mL aliquots and centrifuged at 14.5 krpm for 10 minutes. The pellets were combined into a 1 mL solution with an absorbance at 520 nm of ~10 Abs.

Gold Nanoparticle Polymer Coating Functionalisation – 35, 55 and 70 nm

100 mg of glycopolymer was agitated overnight with 10 mL of 35 nm AuNPs ~1Abs at UV_{\text{max}}. The solution was centrifuged at 8 krpm for 30 minutes and the pellet resuspended in 10 mL of water, the solution was centrifuged again at 8 krpm for 30 minutes and the pellet resuspended in 1 mL aliquots and centrifuged at 8 krpm for 10 minutes. The pellets were combined into a 1 mL solution with an absorbance at UV_{\text{max}} of ~10 Abs.

BSA/Casein/PVP10 blocking of Nanoparticle surface

1 mL of UV_{\text{max}} at 2.5 Abs AuNPs were centrifuged at 15,00 rpm for 30 mins and supernatant was removed and replaced with 1 mL 1 mg/mL BSA for 30 minutes. The blocking agent was then removed by centrifugation (2 × 30 minutes at 15,000 krpm for 16 nm AuNPs and 2 × 30 minutes at 8,000 rpm for 35 nm AuNPs). The particles were then taken to an Absorbance of ~10 at UV_{\text{max}}.

Expression and purification of SARS-COV-2 Spike S1 in HEK293 Cells

Codon-optimised SARS-COV-2 Spike S1 subunit (amino acids 1-685) with a C-terminal 10x polyhistidine tag was expressed under control of a CMV promoter (pCMV3-S1-10xHis, Sino Biological, #VG40591-CH). HEK293 cells were grown in suspension to a density of 1.0 x 10^6 cells/mL in FreeStyle 293 Expression Medium (Thermo Scientific, #12338018), then transfected with 0.5 µg of pCMV3-S1-10xHis, 1.5 µg of linear polyethyleneimine (Alfa Aesar, #43896.01) and 50 µL Opti-MEM-I per 1 mL of cells (Thermo Scientific, #31985-062). After transfection, cells were grown to a density of 2.0 x 10^6 cells/mL and supplemented with 4 mM valproic acid (Sigma Aldrich, #P4543). 96 hours post transfection, the media was cleared by centrifugation, 6,000 x g in a Fiberlite F10-4 x 1000 LEX rotor (Thermo Scientific, #096-041053) for 10 minutes.
To purify Spike S1, cleared media was adjusted to 20 mM HEPES pH 7.5 and 10 mM imidazole, and was loaded on to a 5 mL HisTrap HP column (cytiva, #17524801) at a flow rate of 20 mL/min for ~16 hours. A purification buffer comprising 20 mM HEPES, 300 mM NaCl and 1 mM DTT +/- 1 M imidazole was used (for buffer lines A and B respectively), and the column was washed with 30 CVs of 2% buffer B (20 mM imidazole) before eluting the protein over a 2 - 50% gradient over 30 CVs. Fractions containing Spike S1 were pooled and concentrated using a 10 KDa molecular-weight cut-off spin concentrator (Sigma Aldrich, #UFC910008), before being buffer exchanged into 20 mM HEPES 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol using a 5 mL HiTrap desalting column (cytiva, #29048684). Peak fractions were pooled, and the final concentration was measured by absorbance at 280 nm, yielding a concentration of 1.25 mg/mL. Aliquots of protein were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 ℃.

Figure E3.16. Gel electrophoresis of expressed spike protein. P1 and P2 were used here.
Recombinant Expression and Purification of SARS-COV-2 (first 300 amino acids) for Thermal Shift Assay

A pET21a plasmid encoding for a hexahistidine-tag, SUMO-tag and the first 300 amino acids of SARS-COV-2 was purchased from Genscript Inc. The plasmid was transformed into competent Escherichia coli BL21(DE3) cells (New England Biolabs). A colony was selected to inoculate 100 mL of LB-medium containing 100 µg.mL-1 kanamycin and was grown overnight at 37 °C under continuous shaking of 180 rpm. The following day, 10 mL of the preculture was added to 1 L of LB-medium (supplemented with 100 µg.mL-1 kanamycin) in a 2.5 L Ultra Yield™ flask and grown at 37 °C with a shaking speed of 180 rpm until an OD600 of 0.6 was reached. The temperature was then reduced to 16 °C and the cells incubated for another hour before adding IPTG to a final concentration of 0.2 mM. The overexpression of the protein was allowed to take place overnight following which the cells were centrifuged at 5000 g for 10 minutes at 4 °C. Pelleted cells were resuspended in PBS supplemented with Pierce protease inhibitor mini-tablets. The suspension was passed through a STANSTED “Pressure Cel” FPG12800 homogenizer in order to lyse the cells. The cell lysate was centrifuged at 48,000 g and the supernatant was passed through a 0.45 µm filter before being added to a 3 mL column of IMAC cOmplete His-Tag Purification Resin (Roche) pre-equilibrated with PBS. The column was washed with 20 column volumes of PBS. Bound protein was eluted using 6 mL of 300 mM Imidazole in PBS. Further purification of was achieved using a HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 200 pg gel filtration column (GE Healthcare) with PBS as the running buffer. Purity was estimated using SDS-PAGE and protein concentration determined using Thermo Scientific Pierce BCA assay kit. Various volumes of the protein contained in PBS solution were aliquoted into 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tubes and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen to store at -80 °C until required.
**Synthesis of Low Concentration SARS-COV-2 S1-coated Polystyrene Nanoparticle Virus Mimics**

12.5 µL of 0.5 mg/mL SC2 S1 from Pool 2 and 125 µL of polystyrene nanoparticles were added to 1000 µL 10 mM HEPES saline buffer (0.15 M NaCl). This was agitated for 1 hour. It was not centrifuged before use in testing. This gave a maximum SC2 S1 concentration on polystyrene of 5.5 µg/mL.

The low concentration naked polystyrene control was made up as above except the SC2 S1 protein was replaced with distilled water of equal volume (12.5 µL).

**Synthesis of High Concentration SARS-COV-2 S1-coated Polystyrene Nanoparticle Virus Mimics**

30 µL of 0.5 mg/mL SC2 S1 from Pool 2 and 125 µL of polystyrene nanoparticles were added to 845 µL 10 mM HEPES saline buffer (0.15 M NaCl). This was agitated for 1 hour. It was not centrifuged before use in testing. This gave a maximum SC2 S1 concentration on polystyrene of 15 µg/mL.

The high concentration naked polystyrene control was made up as above except the SC2 S1 protein was replaced with distilled water of equal volume (12.5 µL).
3.6.4 Flow-Through and Lateral Flow Strip Production, Running and Analysis Protocols

Protocol for manufacturing flow-through and lateral flow strips

Backing cards were cut to size by removal of 20 mm using a guillotine. Nitrocellulose was added to the backing card by attaching the plastic backing of the nitrocellulose to the self-adhesive on the card. The wick material was then added to the backing card so it overlaps with the nitrocellulose by ~5 mm. The strips were cut to size of width 2 - 3 mm.

![Figure E3.17. Flow-through and lateral flow strip dimensions](image)

Protocol for test line addition to the flow-through and lateral flow strips

1 µL of the test line solution was added to the test strip using a micropipette fitted with 10 µL tip, the test line was spotted ~1 cm from the non-wick end of the strip. The strips were dried at 37 °C in an oven for 30 minutes. The test strips were allowed to cool to room temperature before testing.

Protocol for running flow-through and lateral flow tests without target analyte in buffer

The running buffer of total volume 50 µL was made as follows; 5 µL AuNPs (OD10), 5 µL flow-through and lateral flow assay buffer – 10 × HEPES buffer, 40 µL water. The running solution was then agitated on a roller for 5 minutes. 45 µL of this solution was added to a 0.2 mL PCR tube, standing vertically.

A small “v” (~3 mm) was cut into the test strips at the non-wick end and the strips added to the PCR tubes so they protrude from the top and the immobile phase (1 cm
from non-wick end) is not below the solvent line. There was one test per tube. All tests were run in triplicate.

The tests were run for 20 minutes before removal from the tubes. The test strips were allowed to dry at room temperature for ~5 minutes. The test strips were mounted test-face down onto a clear and colourless piece of acetate sheeting.

**Protocol for flow-through and running lateral flow tests with polystyrene nanoparticle virus mimic analyte in buffer**

The running buffer of total volume 50 µL was made as follows; 5 µL AuNPs (OD10), 5 µL flow-through and lateral flow assay buffer – 10 × HEPES buffer, 40 µL polystyrene solution. The running solution was then agitated on a roller for 5 minutes. 45 µL of this solution was added to a 0.2 mL PCR tube, standing vertically.

A small “v” (~3 mm) was cut into the test strips at the non-wick end and the strips added to the PCR tubes so they protrude from the top and the immobile phase (1 cm from non-wick end) is not below the solvent line. There was one test per tube. All tests were run in triplicate.

The tests were run for 20 minutes before removal from the tubes. The test strips were allowed to dry at room temperature for ~5 minutes. The test strips were mounted test-face down onto a clear and colourless piece of acetate sheeting.

**Standard protocol for flow-through and lateral flow strip analysis**

The acetate sheets were scanned using a Kyocera TASKalfa 5550ci printer to a pdf file that was converted to a jpeg, scans were taken within 1 hour of strip drying. The jpeg was analysed in Image J 1.51 using the plot profile function to create a data set exported to Microsoft Excel for Mac. The data was exported to Origin 2019 64Bit and trimmed to remove pixel data not from the strip surface. The data was aligned and averaged (mean). The data was then reduced by number of groups to 100 data points (nitrocellulose and wick) and plotted as Grey value (scale) vs Relative distance along the 100 data points.
Figure E3.18. Representative dipstick (Top), raw grey value plot (Middle) and processed grey value plot (Bottom)

*Flow-through and lateral flow signal-to-noise analysis*

Relative distance pixel 15 to 35 (area around the test line) was averaged (mean) to provide average noise around the test line for strips vs. 2,3’-sialyllactosamine-BSA (1 mg/mL) as a test line. The signal value was determined by selecting the lowest grey value between 15 to 35 relative distance pixels for strips vs. SARS-COV-2 S1 (0.39 mg/mL) as a testline. Equation E3.1 was then used to determine the signal-to-noise ratio.

\[
\text{Signal} - \text{to} - \text{Noise} = \frac{255 - \text{Signal}}{255 - \text{Noise}}
\]

Equation E3.1. Equation for determining signal-to-noise ratio

NB: 255 is the grey value for the blank nitrocellulose surface.
Flow-through and lateral flow signal intensity analysis

Relative distance pixel 15 to 35 (area around the test line), excluding pixels that contributed to the signal peak were averaged (mean). This average was subtracted from the lowest grey value between 15 to 35.

Silver Staining Procedure

Staining solution was prepared as kit guidelines. 2 mL of solution A and 2 mL of solution B were added to a watch glass and mixed thoroughly. The wick of the strip was removed and the nitrocellulose strip immersed for 1 minute. Samples were removed from the solution, washed with still water and dried room temperature for 10 minutes before scanning with a Kyocera TASKalfa 5550ci printer to a pdf file that was converted to a jpeg.

Flow-through and lateral flow assay buffer - 10× HEPES buffer (10% PVP<sub>400</sub>) in 100 mL H<sub>2</sub>O

2.38 g (100 mmoldm<sup>-3</sup>) of HEPES, 8.77 g (1.50 moldm<sup>-3</sup>) of NaCl, 0.011 g (1.0 mmoldm<sup>-3</sup>) of CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.8 g (0.8% w/v., 123 mmoldm<sup>-3</sup>) of NaN<sub>3</sub>, 0.5 g (0.5% w/v., 4.07 mmoldm<sup>-3</sup>) of Tween-20 and 10 g (10% w/v.) of poly(vinyl pyrrolidone)<sub>400</sub> (PVP<sub>400</sub>, Average Mw ~40,000) was dissolved in 100 mL of water. The buffer is not pH adjusted. All experiments with 2,3′- and 2,6′-Sialyllactosamine (2,6SL) functionalised PHEA polymers used a 1% PVP<sub>400</sub> buffer.

Neuraminic acid (NeuNAc) functionalised PHEA polymers of varying lengths on 35 nm AuNPs with BSA versus a test line of SARS-COV-2 S1 protein (SC2, 0.39 mg/mL), SARS-COV-1 spike protein (SC1, 0.4 mg/mL) or neuraminic acid-BSA (NeuNAc-BSA, 1 mg/mL) also used a 1% PVP<sub>400</sub> buffer. Neuraminic acid (NeuNAc) functionalised PHEA polymers of varying lengths on 16 nm and 35 nm AuNPs versus a test line of SARS-COV-2 S1 protein (SC2, 0.39 mg/mL) or 2,3′-sialyllactosamine BSA (2,3SL-BSA, 1 mg/mL) also used a 1% PVP<sub>400</sub> buffer.

All other experiments with neuraminic acid glycopolymer functionalised AuNPs used a 10× HEPES buffer (20% PVP<sub>400</sub>) buffer to further decrease background noise.

The percentage of PVP<sub>400</sub> in the buffer is listed in the supplementary figure and table headings (Appendix 3).
3.6.5 Flow-Thorugh Complete Device Production, Running and Analysis Protocols

*Protocol for manufacturing flow-through complete devices*

Nitrocellulose was added to the backing card by attaching the plastic backing of the nitrocellulose to the self-adhesive on the card. The wick material was then added to the backing card so it overlaps with the nitrocellulose by ~5 mm. The strips were then cut to size of width ~3 mm so they sit in the cassettes without the need for excess force to fit. Tests lines were then added before addition of the conjugate pad. 1 µL of the test line solution was added to the nitrocellulose strip using a micropipette fitted with 10 µL tip, the test line was spotted ~1 cm from the non-wick end of the nitrocellulose surface. A control line was added ~1.5 cm from non-wick end of the nitrocellulose surface. The strips were dried at 37 °C in an oven for 30 minutes. The test strips were allowed to cool to room temperature before addition of the conjugate pad. The conjugate pad was added to the backing card so it overlaps with the nitrocellulose by ~3.5 mm. The sample pad, was cut to size of 20 mm by 6 mm and was added to the backing card, overlapping with the conjugate pad by ~6.5 mm and straddling the backing card evenly. The completed strip was then added to the cassettes and sealed.

![Flow-through complete strip dimensions](image)

Figure E3.19. Flow-through complete strip dimensions
Protocol for Conjugate Pad Production

Strips of the conjugate pad material were agitated for 30 minutes in a solution of 0.1% Tween-20 (blocking solution). The strips were then patted dry and baked overnight at 37 °C in an oven overnight. The conjugate pads were cut to size (3 mm width) and placed individually into the wells of a 384-well microplate. 20 µL 1× conjugate pad buffer solution containing OD1 (unless otherwise specified) AuNPs was added to the top of each conjugate pad in the wells. The pads were dried for 3 hours at 37 °C in an oven before curing overnight in an airtight box containing desiccant. The completed pads were always stored in an airtight box containing desiccant.

10× Conjugate Pad Buffer

10% w/v. of poly(vinyl pyrrolidone)₄₀₀ (Average Mw ~40,000 g.mol⁻¹), 50% w/v. trehalose, 10% w/v. sucrose and 0.1% w/v. Tween-20 were added to distilled water and allowed to dissolve.
Protocol for running flow-through test without target analyte in buffer

8 µL 10× HEPES buffer (20% PVP400) was added to 72 µL distilled water. 80 µL was added to the sample pad and allowed to absorb. The test was run for 10 minutes before scanning in the cassettes by a Kyocera TASKalfa 5550ci printer to a pdf file that was converted to a jpeg. After ~1 hour the strips were removed from the cassettes, and added to acetate sheets. These were scanned using a Kyocera TASKalfa 5550ci printer to a pdf file that was converted to a jpeg, acetate scans were taken within 1 hour of strip drying. The jpegs were analysed in Image J 1.51 using the plot profile function to create a data set exported to Microsoft Excel for Mac. The data was exported to Origin 2019 64Bit and trimmed to remove pixel data not from the strip surface. The data was aligned and averaged (mean). The data was then reduced by number of groups to 100 data points (just the nitrocellulose surface) and plotted as Grey value (scale) vs Relative distance along the 100 data points.

Figure E3.20. Representative cassette (Top left) and strip (Top right), raw grey value plot (Middle) and processed grey value plot (Bottom)
Flow-through signal-to-noise analysis

Relative distance pixel 1 to 10 and 51 to 60 (area around the test line) was averaged (mean) to provide average noise around the test line for strips. The signal value was determined by selecting the lowest grey value between 11 to 50 relative distance pixels. Equation 1 was then used to determine the signal-to-noise ratio.

Flow-through signal intensity analysis

Relative distance pixel 1 to 10 and 51 to 60 (area around the test line), excluding pixels that contributed to the signal peak were averaged (mean). This average was subtracted from the lowest grey value between 11 to 50.
3.7 References


Chapter 4

4.1 Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic, and future pandemics, require diagnostic tools to track disease spread and guide the isolation of (a)symptomatic individuals. Lateral flow diagnostics (LFDs) are rapid and of lower cost than molecular (genetic) tests, with current LFDs using antibodies as their recognition units. Herein, we develop a prototype flow-through device (related, but distinct to LFDs), utilizing N-acetyl neuraminic acid functionalised, polymer-coated, gold nanoparticles as the detection/capture unit for SARS-COV-2, by targeting the sialic-acid binding site of the spike protein. The prototype devices give rapid results, with higher viral loads being faster than lower viral loads. The prototype’s effectiveness is demonstrated using spike protein, lentiviral models and a panel of heat-inactivated primary patient nasal swabs. The device was also shown to retain detection capability toward recombinant spike proteins from several variants (mutants) of concern. This demonstrates the proof of principle that glyco-assays could be used in the tracking and monitoring of infectious agents, to complement, or as alternatives to antibody-based systems.
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4.3 Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic has led to > 171 million confirmed cases and ~3.7 million deaths worldwide, reported to WHO, as of the 4th of June 2021. COVID-19 is caused by the coronavirus SARS-COV-2, first reported in Wuhan (China). Despite global efforts, there are still a limited number of effective therapeutics. Vaccines have now been approved for use, but with limited supplies, a major mechanism for controlling disease spread remains testing, identification, and patient isolation.

The testing system deployed by more economically developed countries (MEDCs) and less economically developed countries (LEDCs) has been based primarily on molecular (genetic) approaches such as real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (rRT-PCR). However, RT-PCR-based approaches require dedicated laboratory facilities and trained personnel, meaning early in the pandemic CT scans, which are not recommended for routine use, were initially employed.

Due to the infrastructure needs of RT-PCR and long processing times, RT-PCR does not typically provide a rapid turnaround, especially in a high-volume laboratory setting, although it is considered the gold standard for COVID-19 diagnosis. In July 2020 during the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic, in the United States, the average wait time for a RT-PCR test result was 4 days with 37% of people receiving the results within 2 days. The availability of RT-PCR testing also varies significantly between countries; per 1000 people (31/7/2020) the United Kingdom (2.27) and the United States (2.91) have significantly out-tested LEDCs such as Zimbabwe (0.07) or Myanmar (0.01). In Iran, for example, CT scanners are more abundant than RT-PCR machines. Faster RT-PCR devices, such as those based on DAnudge™, have been developed and allow for decentralised testing outside of hospital or lab environments but do have capacity requirements of one machine to one test.

Other molecular genetic techniques have also been developed, which similarly do not require centralised testing infrastructure. For example, loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) can return a diagnosis in just over 90 minutes (LamPORE™ device). Although faster than conventional RT-PCR, neither of these offer rapid results at a capacity that would facilitate mass screening or at a cost per device that would allow point of care testing in the home or in lower-resource environments.
Lateral flow devices (LFD) are established tools for rapid diagnosis, giving results often in under 30 minutes and therefore can rapidly identify infected individuals. LFDs, such as the home-pregnancy test,\textsuperscript{17} use antibodies as detection units in both the stationary phase (test line bound to nitrocellulose) and as a coating for the mobile phase (on the surface of a gold nanoparticle). Upon binding the target analyte, the stationary and mobile phase form a “sandwich” with the analyte in the middle. The results are visible by eye as a red or blue line depending on the precise gold formulation, although other nanomaterials, such as fluorescent particles, can be used.\textsuperscript{18} LFDs are typically cheap (compared to molecular methods), require little to no training or clinical infrastructure to use, and can be scaled up to enable large population testing. LFDs tend to have lower sensitivity (some false negatives) but high selectivity (few false positives). The cost-effectiveness and clinical usefulness of LFDs has been demonstrated by malaria rapid diagnostic tests,\textsuperscript{19,20} in the diagnosis of cutaneous leishmaniasis\textsuperscript{21} and in comparisons with more expensive RT-PCR approaches for Ebola diagnosis.\textsuperscript{22} Consequently, the appeal of LFDs in the COVID-19 pandemic are that their low cost and rapid turnaround time may enable mass testing of large populations.\textsuperscript{23} This is especially true in non-clinical environments. Moreover, modelling from Müller et al. argues that the cost/performance trade off of a cheaper test used to carry out large scale testing could be used to track and control virus spread in conjunction with RT-PCR for symptomatic patients.\textsuperscript{24} This mirrors analysis by Moghadas and Fitzpatrick et al. who argue that asymptomatic transmission accounts for over 50% of the attack rate in outbreaks and that scaling up testing of asymptomatic and presymptomatic cases is crucial.\textsuperscript{25} In both studies, the likely viable solution to mass testing, estimated at \(~1\) million tests per day in Switzerland by Müller et al. – is the use of LFD POC devices. The “Vò experiment” further highlights the importance of mass testing and its positive application in a municipality in Italy.\textsuperscript{26} In summary, a mass testing approach, facilitated by LFDs, could find asymptomatic individuals spreading the virus, who would not be identified by symptomatic RT-PCR testing only,\textsuperscript{24,25,27} currently the preferred option in most healthcare systems.

The first LFDs for the COVID-19 pandemic were designed to detect antibodies in patient blood samples produced in response to SARS-COV-2 infections.\textsuperscript{28–30} These were intended to report if a patient has previously been infected; not to indicate active infection, so could not effectively be used in screening/triage settings or mass testing.
for active infections. Antigen LFDs, in contrast, are designed to diagnose the presence of the virus i.e., an active infection. Several antigen lateral flow tests, by late 2020, had passed Phase 3 testing in the United Kingdom,\textsuperscript{31} gained WHO “Emergency Use Listing” approval\textsuperscript{32} or had emergency approval granted by The United States Food & Drug Administration.\textsuperscript{33,34} These devices all utilise antibodies as detection/capture units. To the best of our knowledge, these devices all use antibodies to target the nucleocapsid protein of SARS-COV-2. A university-based validation process, between LFDs and PCR, confirmed that LFDs cannot detect lower viral loads but were estimated to be capable of identifying up to 85\% of infections in the cohort trialed.\textsuperscript{35} This illustrates their potential for frequent, low cost testing when deployed appropriately.

It is important to note that antibodies are not essential components in LFDs, and other recognition moieties could be used, including nucleic acids,\textsuperscript{36} glycans, and lectins.\textsuperscript{37} Glycan-based LFDs could offer advantages over other recognition moieties. For example, glycans are the site of pathogen adhesion during many viral infections\textsuperscript{38,39} especially respiratory viruses such as influenzas,\textsuperscript{40} and glycans can be chemically synthesised at scale. Glycan binding can also explore the “state” of a pathogen; for example, LecA/B are upregulated by \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa} during infection.\textsuperscript{41,42} Furthermore, glycans are often more thermally robust than proteins\textsuperscript{43} making them ideal candidates for low-resource environments. Glycosylated gold-nanoparticles (the mobile phase) are well established having been used in colourimetric/aggregation-based diagnostics, surface enhanced Raman and other bioassays.\textsuperscript{44–47} Despite this, glycans as capture units have not been widely applied in lateral flow\textsuperscript{48} and to the best of our knowledge have not been shown to function using clinical samples, only models.

We have previously reported that the S1 domain of the SARS-COV-2 spike protein can bind \(\alpha, N\)-acetyl neuraminic acid (Neu5NAc), a sialic acid (Chapter 3),\textsuperscript{49} and similar binding has been observed for other zoonotic coronaviruses toward sialic acids\textsuperscript{50–52} (e.g., SARS or MERS). Incorporation of \(\alpha, N\)-acetyl neuraminic acid onto a polymer-stabilised glyconanoparticle platform enabled detection of (purified) spike protein in a LFD (5 \(\mu\)g.mL\(^{-1}\)) and also detection of a pseudotyped lentivirus presenting the SARS-COV-2 spike protein at 1.5 \(\times\) 10\(^4\) transduction units.mL\(^{-1}\) in a dipstick test (Chapter 3).\textsuperscript{49} The exact biological role of sialic-acid binding is not yet fully
understood for SARS-COV-2, with clear differences in its role in cell entry compared to SARS and MERS. Microarray, ELISA and STD NMR have been used to further demonstrate that sialic acids are receptors for the SARS-COV-2 spike protein. It has also emerged that sulphated glycosaminoglycans (including heparin sulphates) bind SARS-COV-2 spike protein, and can inhibit viral entry. Glycans (including those carrying terminal sialic acids) have also been shown to participate in angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptor binding during SARS-COV-2 cell adhesion/entry.

Herein, we demonstrate that glycan-based flow-through devices can detect SARS-COV-2 in heat-inactivated primary patient swabs and validate these initial results against RT-PCR. Compared to an LFD format, no test line was used, rather the sample is directly absorbed onto the nitrocellulose target. Device optimisation was achieved using a lentivirus and recombinant SARS-COV-2 spike protein showing that heat (or chemical) inactivation did not prevent usage. The prototype devices were then used with a panel of primary heat-inactivated clinical swabs, demonstrating that flow-through glyco-assays can be used to detect viral infection and therefore that glyco-LFDs could be made with suitable test lines. Furthermore, the devices were shown to detect recombinant mutant spike proteins showing glycan-based detection may still detect variants of concern. This conceptual approach could be broadly applied to other pathogens/disease states and provide redundancy in testing regimes compared to using antibodies alone.
4.4 Results and Discussion

Our synthetic strategy to generate α-Neu5NAc-polymer tethered gold nanoparticles was employed. Telechelic poly(N-hydroxyethyl acrylamide), pHEA, was synthesised using RAFT (reversible addition-fragmentation chain transfer) polymerisation, and 2-amino-2-deoxy-N-acetyl-neuraminic acid conjugated to the ω-terminus by displacement of a pentafluorophenyl ester (allowing monitoring by $^{19}$F NMR).\textsuperscript{51} These polymers were then assembled onto gold nanoparticles (~35 nm by TEM), Figure 4.1A-C, and characterised by DLS/UV-Vis and XPS (Figure 4.1C). Just 10 mg of glycan-terminated polymer, can produce sufficient gold colloid for > 2500 assays, highlighting the scalability of this approach. The use of a polymer linker between the particle and glycan provides colloidal stability and reduces nonspecific binding.

In a standard lateral flow device, a test line is printed onto the paper to capture the antigen (e.g., a virus) which is then “sandwiched” by the nanoparticle detection unit. To streamline the development process no test line was used, and instead, the patient sample is directly deposited and dried onto the strip with the viral components absorbing onto the stationary phase; therefore this is a flow-through, rather than lateral flow, device.\textsuperscript{23,62} This removes the need for a validated, stable and specific test line, accelerating the development process and allowing us to prove the potential of glycan recognition for future complete lateral flow devices. The setup of this approach is shown in Figure 4.1D, with sample application, the flow of the glycan-gold conjugate and then detection. Figure 4.1D also shows a silver staining step which can improve detection limits in flow-through devices (and LFDs) (discussed in detail later). The silver stain enhances the signal, as silver ions that are soluble in water are reduced to insoluble metallic silver catalysed by the gold nanoparticles. This causes the silver to precipitate onto the surface of the gold increasing the signal.
Figure 4.1. Nanoparticle synthesis and flow-through devices.

A) Neu5NAc-terminated polymer coating; B) TEM micrograph of polymer-coated AuNPs; C) C 1s portion of XPS spectrum of polymer-coated AuNPs; D) Flow-through device layout and assay procedure (top to bottom).

Flow-through cassettes were manufactured in-house as described in the Experimental Section. SARS-COV-2 spike protein-bearing lentivirus was applied to the test line in 20 devices at $10^4$ transduction units.mL$^{-1}$ – a concentration within the expected viral range of COVID positive patient respiratory swabs, Figure 4.2A.$^{63,64}$ 19 out of 20 devices showed a positive result on the test line (no silver staining used). As a negative control, bald virus (without the spike protein) was also run in 20 cassettes. 5/20 showed potential weak positives, confirming the role of spike protein as the binding partner for the nanoparticles. The control line used in these devices was *Ricinus communis* agglutinin I (RCA$_{120}$) lectin at 5 mg.mL$^{-1}$, so a strong red line/crescent formed as the AuNPs were sequestered by the high concentration of RCA$_{120}$ used. Later the RCA$_{120}$ control spot concentration was lowered to 1 mg.mL$^{-1}$ to improve performance. In the development of a “real” finished device, the control line also has to be validated, which is outside of the scope of this work. As 1 mL of the lentiviral solution was applied to each device, approximately 10 transduction units/device were applied, which would suggest a very low limit of detection. A possible explanation for this observation is that inert (non-transducible) particles, which also display spike
protein, may contribute but are not counted in the transduction unit concentration, i.e., there are more potentially detectable particles than expected. Lentiviral vectors have been reported to show variance between the number of transduction units to genome copy in a range of 60 – 600, supporting this hypothesis.\textsuperscript{65}

In current PCR testing laboratory protocols, nasal swabs are heat-treated during the processing cycle to sterilise and deactivate the virus prior to RNA extraction steps.\textsuperscript{66} To evaluate if our flow-through device was compatible with a heat-inactivated virus, the lentivirus was heated to 60 °C for 30 minutes, and 20 repeats were run – all cases gave a positive result. (Please note, when using primary swab samples, below, a different inactivation temperature is used, which was following a clinical workflow).

To probe the origin of thermal tolerance, a truncated SARS-COV-2 spike-protein (expressed in-house in \textit{E. coli}, see Experimental Section) was heated to 60 °C for 30 minutes, then applied to devices (Figure 4.2B). As can be seen, heat-treatment did not prevent binding. These observations show that glycan-based diagnostics may detect both intact and deactivated virus; this is also a condition of PCR, the current gold standard. Chemical deactivation medium was also explored, to probe the tolerance. Tergitol NP-40 is a surfactant, which has been validated to inactive SARS-COV-2 at 0.1 and 0.5 wt% within 30 minutes.\textsuperscript{67} Figure 4.2C shows devices with spike protein (expressed in HEK293 cells\textsuperscript{69}) and Tergitol showing detection with 0.1 wt%, but more spreading of the sample spot, which reduced the intensity. At the higher 0.5 wt% the signal was reduced significantly due to the spreading of the test spot.
Figure 4.2. Flow though device validation.

A) Photographs of the test line of lentivirus (no silver staining) positive for spike protein, negative (bald) and after heat-treatment at 60 °C for 30 minutes. Recombinant S1 domain of spike protein in flow-through devices; B) heat-treatment at 60 °C for 30 mins [spike] = 0.25 mg.mL⁻¹. (E. coli expressed); C) Tergitol treatment for 30 mins [spike] = 0.5 mg.mL⁻¹ (HEK293 expressed). Note control lines are not optimised but weak signals are present. “+” indicates a positive response and “-“ indicates a negative response.

As this flow-through approach requires direct addition of the swab-extracts onto the test zone, the impact of volume applied was explored to optimise the deposition
process. Figure 4.3A shows test zones of devices run as a function of the volume of a heat-inactivated primary nasal swab sample, which was validated as positive by RT-PCR (Ct = 8.3 from swab eluted with 2 mL of water) and an RT-PCR negative sample. Up to 3 µL (0.15 vol% of the total sample) could be applied to the test line without problems. However, further study (Appendix 4 Tables S5 and S7) highlighted problems with 3 µL with high viral load samples. Larger volumes (> 3 µL) captured essentially all of the particles in flow, preventing the development of the control line. Some false positives also occurred with larger volumes, therefore 2 µL was chosen as the optimal application volume for experiments from here on.

Antibody-based LFDs (lateral flow immunoassays) should not be exposed to extremes of humidity and heat, but it is expected that the glycan/polymer particles used here could be more robust. Devices were manufactured and left in the laboratory (on a shelf, with no desiccant) for 21 days, while some were baked in an oven for 12 hours at 70 °C (Appendix 4 Tables S4 and S8). Figure 4.3B shows the results of these preliminary stability tests, indicating that the tests retained function compared to cassettes not exposed to the atmosphere for 21 days (Appendix 4 Tables S2 and S3) or subjected to heating (Appendix 4 Table S8). It is important to note that the heat-treated devices did give weaker signals, but the conditions used for this were extreme and no silver staining was used at this point – hence the weaker signal. These initial robustness studies highlight the promise of glycopolymer systems; however, further studies and control line optimisation are necessary. Device robustness is crucial for use “in the community” or in low-resource settings where cold chains are not established and more widely to reduce the number of failed devices.
Figure 4.3. Impact of sample volume and stress testing of flow-through devices

A) Impact of sample volume applied to the test line. From 2 mL primary swab elution of Ct 8.3 (+Ve), and a primary swab elution negative by RT-PCR (-Ve), no silver staining used. B) Impact of stress conditions on device function. Heat-treated devices tested with swab sample (Ct 6.29), or after 21 days using indicated lentivirus (“Bald” ~1×10^4 LP.mL⁻¹ and “+ Spike” 1.5×10^4 TU.mL⁻¹). “+” indicates a positive response on the test strips, “++” indicates a very strong positive response, “(+)” indicates a weak positive response, and “-” indicates a negative response.

Encouraged by the positive results with pseudotyped lentivirus, primary clinical samples were the next step. For this, surplus nasal swabs eluates (which had been eluted and heat inactivated as part of clinical investigation of symptomatic patient/staff and assessed by RT-PCR) were used. These tests were not conducted blind, with the PCR result known to the user. After specimen application, devices were dried at 37 °C to ensure consistency across this study in terms of drying conditions. Figure 4.4A shows devices, following the addition of buffer: note that a lower Ct value indicates a higher viral load. A positive result (red line/spot at the test position) was clear, whereas control line/spot intensity varied between samples. It is crucial to note that a usable real-world device requires both control and test lines for a valid result. Converting Ct to viral concentration is not a linear relationship and varies between the methods used, but Figure 4.4 covers a wide range from weak to very strong positives. As these tests are “homemade” there is likely to be more variance than in mass-manufactured
devices, therefore a silver staining step was employed here to provide signal enhancement. Figure 4.4B exemplifies this with 5 other swab samples, which despite having relatively low Ct values gave weaker signals on the test line. After silver staining, Figure 4.4C shows that these (From Figure 4.4B) all now give clear and strong positives. Negative samples, after silver staining, did not lead to false positives (discussed further below in the context of larger sample numbers), (Appendix 4 Tables S12-14), unless longer developing times were used. Figure 4.4D shows the impact of silver staining on the signal intensity (from image analysis), confirming that low viral loads benefitted more from the signal increase, compared to higher viral loads (low Ct). It is notable that commercial lateral flow diagnostics have a time window for reading results, as over-development can lead to false positives.

As previously discussed for the lentiviral data, as only 2 mL of the specimen is applied, the total number of viral particles/device is expected to be very low. A Ct of 26 (using the RT-PCR method cited here)\(^68\) is approximately 100 PFU.mL\(^{-1}\) or \(\sim 10^5\) RNA copies.mL\(^{-1}\).\(^69\) This would mean detection of \(\sim 200\) RNA copies per devices, or < 1 PFU per device. Ct to PFU and RNA copy numbers are known to vary between RT-PCR machine, method, and calibration,\(^69\)–\(^72\) Therefore, while Ct can give an indication of PFU and viral load, it is not an exact equivalence,\(^73\) and hence, for a test that detects the spike protein correlating these different measurements is challenging. Ct values used here were from the Abbott assay.\(^68,72\) It is important to note here that the numbers above do not include defective viral particles (e.g., capsid only and RNA-deficient particles),\(^74\)\(^,75\) which may still have spike protein components (targeted in this work).

In the case of the (cultured) Ebola virus, for example,\(^76\) contingent on the passage number, the ratio of total viral particles to plaque-forming units (intact virus) has been reported in the range of \(10^2\) to \(10^5\) which, depending on the nature of particles, may contribute to diagnostic performance. To the best of our knowledge, the particle:PFU ratio is not available for SARS-COV-2, but we hypothesise that the detection limit maybe be enhanced due to these additional (non-plaque forming) viral particles or fragments of the released spike protein. Preliminary experiments on heat-treated, purified SARS-COV-2 (from cell culture not patients) showed higher limits of detection, supporting the hypothesis that defective particles may be contributing, rather than the release of spike protein from viral particles, which would also occur in this control.
Figure 4.4. Flow-through device with clinical samples.

2 µL total of the sample was applied to each test line. A) Photographs taken after 20 minutes of the buffer; B) Photographs from different panels taken after 20 minutes of the buffer and then C) subjected to silver-staining enhancement. D) Impact of silver-staining on signal intensity of control and test lines, obtained by image analysis.

Encouraged by the above results, a panel of 50 positive and 54 negative, PCR-validated patient-derived swab samples were tested (See experimental section for how these were handled, including dry transport, and heat inactivation). Each sample was
analysed twice, on independent devices, treated in the analysis as an independent run, and reported as such in the results below. The tests were not run blind, and the Ct values were known to the user. Failed devices (where gold conjugate did not flow, for example) were excluded from the analysis (1 positive sample device and 2 negative sample devices). As above, to ensure consistency, all samples were dried onto the devices at 37 °C before running the devices. Figure 4.5A shows the distribution of positive samples as a function of the Ct values after silver staining, with high viral loads (lower Ct) giving fewer false negatives – as would be expected. Figure 4.5A is annotated showing the sensitivity (% true positives) by the Ct value. Analysis of non-silver-stained devices is provided in Appendix 4 (Figures S1-S2) for comparison purposes.

Confusion matrices were produced from both positive and negative sample sets Figure 4.5B. After silver staining, a sensitivity value of 85% and specificity of 93% were achieved. The sensitivity is comparable, or exceeds, some commercial LFDs (when clinical swabs were used), whereas the specificity is lower, but is anticipated to be improved by manufacturing processes and further optimisation of the components and running conditions. Before silver staining (where control lines were not always visible, so judged by the test line presence only) a lower sensitivity (68%) but higher specificity (96%) was observed. The total number of false positives was 8 (from 6 samples) across the study. Considering that this is a prototype the values are very promising. It is important to note that this data uses primary samples, rather than artificial doped-samples which would be expected to give higher values for specificity, but our aim was to evaluate under conditions as close to ‘application’ as possible. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first example of a flow-through glyco-assay assessed with clinical samples, providing proof-of-principle that this methodology can be applied to complement antibody-based systems.

SARS-COV-2 variants with mutations in the spike protein have (and continue to) emerge, and any diagnostics should retain the ability to detect these. Davis and co-workers have reported that the B1.1.7 and B1.351 spike mutants have reduced NMR STD signal to the NAc protons of a α2,3-sialyllactoside compared to the wild type, consequently there is potential that the glycan-binding affinity may be decreased. To test the impact of this, 3 mutant truncated spike protein variants; B1.1.7, B.1.351 and P1 (variants first detected in Kent (UK), South Africa and Brazil) were expressed in
E. coli and tested in our devices. In all cases a positive test line was seen (Figure 4.5C, no silver staining), showing detection capability is retained. It is crucial to again note that binding affinity does not relate linearly to signal output in flow-through (or lateral flow) devices, therefore this does not rule out differences in the individual protein/glycan interactions.

Influenza has haemagglutinins and neuraminidases, which target sialosides (including N-acetyl neuraminic acid),\textsuperscript{78} and sialic acid nanoparticles which bind influenza are well known,\textsuperscript{79,80} so it was important to consider cross-reactivity. Heating is known to reduce haemagglutination activity;\textsuperscript{81} hence, our diagnostic specificity (above) might have been improved by the heat-inactivation of the sample. To explore influenza cross-reactivity, haemagglutinins from H1N1, H3N2, H7N8 and H7N3 as well as betapropiolactone (BPL)-inactivated influenza virions were tested and the devices are shown in Appendix 4. H3N2 haemagglutinins were detected in the devices but H1N1, H7N9 and H7N3 haemagglutinins were not, noting relatively high concentrations were used (0.5 mg.mL\textsuperscript{-1}). In contrast, using intact influenza virus there was no cross-reactivity observed. A further control of heat-inactivated SARS-COV-2 remained detectable under these conditions (Appendix 4). The lack of apparent influenza cross-reactivity can be attributed to the effective low haemagglutinin concentration on the viral surface, compared to using just ‘pure’ protein along with differential absorption onto the nitrocellulose. From a structural biology perspective, haemagglutinins make binding contacts to not only the terminal glycan used here (Neu5NAc) but can also contact linker units (e.g., the lactose, in sialylactose). Our preliminary data\textsuperscript{49} and addition thermal shift assays (Appendix 4) suggest that the SARS-COV-2 spike protein had a similar affinity toward Neu5NAc as to sialylactose (2,3 and 2,6). Therefore, the use of the Neu5NAc monosaccharide as the detection unit may lead to reduced overall affinity toward influenzas, while maintaining SARS-COV-2 affinity, and thus providing selectivity in the flow-through format.
Figure 4.5. Flow-through (FT) device performance using heat-inactivated primary patient swabs after silver staining step

A) Results of device performance (hit or miss) as a function of Ct for devices ran alone. Thresholds indicated are the sensitivity as a function of the Ct value; B) Confusion matrices after silver staining. Sensitivity = TP/(TP+FN); Specificity = TN/(TN+FP); PPV = TP/(TP+FP); NPV = TN/(TN+FN). TP = true positive; TN = true negative; FN = false negative; FP = false positive. C) Devices using recombinant spike protein from variant strains. Sequence information in Experimental Section. Larger versions of Figure 4.5A can be found as Figures S3A and 3B in the Supporting Information for clarity. A positive result has a visible test and control.
4.5 Conclusions

Here, we have demonstrated a prototype flow-through glyco-assay device, which is capable of detecting SARS-COV-2 infection by exploiting the interaction between N-acetyl neuraminic acid and the viral spike protein. Rather than a traditional lateral flow design where there is a capture unit on the stationary phase ("test line"), we developed our system so that the primary sample (in this case derived from nasal swabs) was directly deposited as the test line and hence is a “flow-through” device. This approach removed the need to develop a test line, speeding up the initial development process, and allowing us to prove the principle that glycans could be used in complete lateral flow devices with primary samples. Crucial to achieving this is the use of a non-fouling polymeric coating, which reduces nonspecific interaction with any deposited biological components (e.g., mucus, cell debris) as well as providing the tether to display the glycan. Using a lentiviral model, the flow-through devices were specific toward spike bearing lentiviruses, compared to bald lentiviruses. Using recombinant, truncated spike protein, we demonstrated that the protein retains sialic-acid binding capacity even after heating or limited detergent treatment. This observation shows that this device may detect damaged viruses and so it cannot be claimed to only detect intact virus (similar to other diagnostic tools for SARS-COV-2). Using a panel of RT-PCR-validated swab samples, these prototype flow devices were shown to achieve, after silver staining, 85% sensitivity and 93% specificity, using Ct values as high as 25. (An upper limit in line with recent UK-wide lateral flow device validation methods\textsuperscript{82}). These results compare well to commercially available tests (Appendix 4 Table S21). The apparently low detection limit may be in part due to the detection of defective viral particles, which also bear the spike protein. This will require further studies to validate their contribution and the role of using heat-inactivated swabs. Further optimisation of the device, and running buffers are expected to lead to improvements, especially to further reduce any nonspecific interactions, as well as the potential to develop a full lateral flow device.

With any diagnostic or sensor, there is potential for cross-interaction with other agents. Cross-reactivity with two influenza strains (H1N1 and H3N2) was not seen, even though the nanoparticles do have an affinity toward H3N2 haemagglutinins, which may be due to differential absorbance to the test zone or differences in overall
detection limits. The molecular details of reported spike protein mutations (including the H69/V70 deletions) on the actual binding affinity toward sialosides (and this detection method) are still under study. The devices developed here were shown to be capable of detecting recombinant spike proteins from several variants, demonstrating that these mutations do not remove glycan-binding function. Future work will further explore the roles of sample preparation including the heat-inactivation step, mechanism of application of specimens, and fundamental studies of the glycan recognition function and its biochemical basis. Consideration must also be given to removing the need for a pipette as an application system to the device, followed by the time delay for drying the specimen onto the pad. Both of these could be improved, or further developed into a complete lateral flow (test line) device. The evidence provided here shows that glycan flow technology (lateral flow and flow-through glyco-assays) could be translated to clinical settings to be used alongside more traditional antibody-based approaches.
4.6 Experimental

4.6.1 Physical and Analytical Methods

NMR Spectroscopy

$^1$H-NMR, $^{13}$C-NMR and $^{19}$F-NMR spectra were recorded at 300 MHz or 400 MHz on a Bruker DPX-300 or DPX-400 spectrometer respectively, with deuterium oxide (D$_2$O) as the solvent. Chemical shifts of protons are reported as $\delta$ in parts per million (ppm) and are relative to D$_2$O (4.79).

Size Exclusion Chromatography

Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) analysis was performed on an Agilent Infinity II MDS instrument equipped with differential refractive index (DRI), viscometry (VS), dual angle light scattering (LS) and variable wavelength UV detectors. The system was equipped with 2 x PLgel Mixed D columns (300 x 7.5 mm) and a PLgel 5 $\mu$m guard column. The mobile phase used was DMF (HPLC grade) containing 5 mM NH$_4$BF$_4$ at 50 °C at flow rate of 1.0 mL.min$^{-1}$. Poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) standards (Agilent EasyVials) were used for calibration between 955,000 – 550 g.mol$^{-1}$. Analyte samples were filtered through a nylon membrane with 0.22 $\mu$m pore size before injection. Number average molecular weights ($M_n$), weight average molecular weights ($M_w$) and dispersities ($D_M = M_w/M_n$) were determined by conventional calibration using Agilent GPC/SEC software.

X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS)

The samples were attached to electrically-conductive carbon tape, mounted on to a sample bar and loaded in to a Kratos Axis Ultra DLD spectrometer which possesses a base pressure below 1 x $10^{-10}$ mbar. XPS measurements were performed in the main analysis chamber, with the sample being illuminated using a monochromated Al K\textalpha x-ray source. The measurements were conducted at room temperature and at a take-off angle of 90° with respect to the surface parallel. The core level spectra were recorded using a pass energy of 20 eV (resolution approx. 0.4 eV), from an analysis area of 300 $\mu$m x 700 $\mu$m. The spectrometer work function and binding energy scale of the spectrometer were calibrated using the Fermi edge and 3$d_{5/2}$ peak recorded from a polycrystalline Ag sample prior to the commencement of the experiments. In order to prevent surface charging the surface was flooded with a beam of low energy
electrons throughout the experiment and this necessitated recalibration of the binding energy scale. To achieve this, the C-C/C-H component of the C 1s spectrum was referenced to 285.0 eV. The data were analysed in the CasaXPS package, using Shirley backgrounds and mixed Gaussian-Lorentzian (Voigt) lineshapes. For compositional analysis, the analyser transmission function has been determined using clean metallic foils to determine the detection efficiency across the full binding energy range.

*Dynamic Light Scattering*

Hydrodynamic diameters ($D_h$) and size distributions of particles were determined by dynamic light scattering (DLS) using a Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS with a 4 mW He-Ne 633 nm laser module operating at 25 °C. Measurements were carried out at an angle of 173° (back scattering), and results were analysed using Malvern DTS 7.03 software. All determinations were repeated 5 times with at least 10 measurements recorded for each run. $D_h$ values were calculated using the Stokes-Einstein equation where particles are assumed to be spherical.

*UV-vis Spectroscopy*

Absorbance measurements were recorded on an Agilent Cary 60 UV-Vis Spectrophotometer and on a BioTek Epoch microplate reader.

*Transmission Electron Microscopy*

Dry-state stained TEM imaging was performed on a JEOL JEM-2100Plus microscope operating at an acceleration voltage of 200 kV. All dry-state samples were diluted with deionised water and then deposited onto formvar-coated copper grids.

*Flow-through Cassette Image Collection*

Images of the flow-through cassettes were collected on an iPhone XR or an iPhone 7, using standard/automatic photo settings i.e. no manual adjustments were made by the authors to improve photo quality on capture. Images in main paper have been cropped and brightness/contrast changed. Original images are included in full in Appendix 4.

*Protein Thermal Shift Assay*

The thermal shift reaction was performed with a BioRad CFX96 real-time PCR machine. The sample was heated from 25 °C to 95 °C and the fluorescence intensity change monitored using the Protein Thermal Shift™ Dye kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat # 4461146). Analysis for binding induced shifts in thermal transition
was performed in PBS buffer with Precision Melt Analysis Software provided by the manufacturer (BioRad) and a protein concentration of 0.2 mg/mL. The data was collected over 5 runs for each glycan and glycan concentration. Glucose and Galactose data are from a prior publication,\textsuperscript{49} with the addition of sialyllactoses here.
4.6.2 Materials

All chemicals were used as supplied unless otherwise stated. N-Hydroxyethyl acrylamide (97%), 4,4’-azobis(4-cyanovaleric acid) (98%), triethylamine (> 99%), sodium citrate tribasic dihydrate (> 99%), gold(III) chloride trihydrate (99.9%), potassium phosphate tribasic (≥ 98%, reagent grade), deuterium oxide (D₂O, 99.9%), diethyl ether (≥ 99.8%, ACS reagent grade), sodium azide (≥ 99.5%, reagent plus grade), methanol (≥ 99.8%, ACS reagent grade), toluene (≥ 99.7%), Tween-20 (molecular biology grade), HEPES, PVP40 (poly(vinyl pyrrolidone)₄₀₀ (Average Mw ~40,000)), sucrose (Bioultra grade), acetone (≥ 99%) and a silver staining kit (Silver Enhancer Kit) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Anhydrous trehalose was purchased from Alfa Aesar. DMF (> 99%) was purchased from Acros Organics. Sodium chloride (≥ 99.5%) and calcium chloride were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific.

Nitrocellulose Immunopore RP 90-150 s/4cm 25 mm was purchased from GE Healthcare. Lateral flow backing cards 60 mm by 301.58 mm (KN-PS1060.45 with KN211 adhesive) and lateral flow cassettes (KN-CT105) were purchased from Kenosha Tapes. Cellulose fibre wick material 20 cm by 30 cm by 0.825 mm (290 gsm and 180 mL/min) (Surewick CFSP223000) was purchased from EMD Millipore. Glass fibre conjugate pads (GFCP103000) 10 mm by 300 mm was purchased from Merck. Thick chromatography paper (for sample pads), Grade 237, Ahlstrom 20 cm by 20 cm were purchased from VWR International.

*Ricinus communis* Agglutinin I (RCA₁₂₀) was purchased from Vector Laboratories.

Spike (SARS-COV2) pseudotyped lentivirus (*Luc* Reporter) (Catalogue number: 79942, Lot number: 200730) and Bald lentiviral pseudovirion (*Luc* reporter) (Catalogue number: 79943, Lot number: 200727) were purchased from amsbio.

Influenza A virus (A/Brisbane/10/2007 (H3N2)) BPL-inactivated (Catalogue number: NR-19321), Influenza A virus (A/Puerto Rico/8/1934 (H1N1)) BPL-inactivated (Catalogue number: NR-19325), H7 Hemagglutinin (HA) protein from influenza virus (A/Canada/rv444/2004 (H7N3)) – recombinant from Baculovirus (Catalogue number: NR-43740), H7 Hemagglutinin (HA) protein from influenza virus (A/Shanghai/1/2013 (H7N9)) – recombinant from Baculovirus (Catalogue number: NR-44079), H3 Hemagglutinin (HA) protein from influenza virus (A/New
York/55/2004 (H3N2)) – recombinant from Baculovirus (Catalogue number: NR-19241), H1 Hemagglutinin (HA) protein with C-terminal histidine tag from influenza virus (A/Brisbane/59/2007 (H1N1)) – recombinant from Baculovirus (Catalogue number: NR-28607) and SARS-related Coronavirus 2 (SARS-COV-2) (USA-WA1/2020) heat-inactivated at 65 °C for 30 minutes (Catalogue number: NR-52286) were obtained through BEI Resources.

Water used for buffers was MilliQ grade > 18.2 mΩ resistance.

**Swab Samples**

This study used remnant elutions from nasal, or nasal + oral swab samples collected from symptomatic staff/patients at the University Hospital Coventry and Warwickshire NHS Trust and routinely tested by standard PCR protocols employing the Abbott assay (Ref: 09N77-095, https://www.molecular.abbott/sal/9N77-095_SARS-CoV-2_US_EUA_Amp_PI.pdf) during April-September 2020. As this evaluation study used left-over anonymised material no written informed consent was obtained, although the project was registered with the local COVID-19 research committee.

Dry cotton swabs, one nose and one throat, were obtained in a single universal container. To each primary swab sample was added 2000 µL of molecular grade water (if one swab) or 2500 µL of molecular grade water (if two swabs are in universal container). These were then vortexed and allowed to settle for 5 minutes. All liquid was transferred from primary container into 13 mm × 75 mm tube. These tubes were heat inactivated at 85 °C for 10 minutes. The specimens were then used for testing. All testing was conducted on samples which had not been frozen, but had been stored in a fridge, and tested within 48 hours of receipt.
4.6.3 Synthetic Methods

The following synthetic methods have been previously reported, but have been provided for the reader as the glycopolymer synthesis and AuNP functionalisation have been optimised and materials were made specifically for this work. Note the synthesis of 2-azido-2-deoxy-N-acetyl-D-neuraminic acid and the SARS-COV-2 S1 spike protein can be found in the previous report (Chapter 3) but a full characterisation of the 2-azido-2-deoxy-N-acetyl-D-neuraminic acid has been provided as this was synthesised again here.

Polymerisation of 2-hydroxyethyl acrylamide (DP50)

2.7635 g (24.00 mmol) of 2-hydroxyethyl acrylamide, 0.0607 g (0.22 mmol) of ACVA and 0.5273 g (2.87 mmol) of PFP-DMP (synthesised previously) was added to 22 mL 1:1 toluene:methanol and degassed with nitrogen for 30 minutes. The reaction vessel was stirred and heated at 70 ℃ for 2 hours. The solvent was removed under vacuum. The crude product was dissolved in the minimum amount of methanol. Diethyl ether cooled in liquid nitrogen was added to the methanol to form a precipitate. The mixture was centrifuged for 2 minutes at 13 krpm and the liquid decanted off. The solid was dissolved in methanol and removed under vacuum to give a yellow crystalline solid. δH (300 MHz, D2O) 8.31 - 7.97 (23H, m, NH), 3.99 - 3.55 (86H, m, NHCH2), 3.55 - 3.09 (100H, m, CH2OH & SCH2), 2.49 - 1.90 (46H, m, CH2CHC(O) & C(CH3)2), 1.90 - 0.98 (110H, m, CH2CHC(O) & CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2), 0.84 - 0.72 (5H, m, CH2CH3).

\[ M_n,\text{Theoretical} = 3400 \text{ g.mol}^{-1}, M_n,\text{NMR} = 5500 \text{ g.mol}^{-1}, \text{SEC (5 mM NH4BF}_4\text{ in DMF)} M_n,\text{SEC RI} = 6400 \text{ g.mol}^{-1} (\text{DP}_{\text{PHEA}},\text{SEC} = 50), D_M,\text{SEC RI} = 1.27. \text{ Yield - 75%}. \]
Figure E4.1. $^1$H NMR spectrum of DP50 PHEA

Figure E4.2. Normalised size exclusion chromatography RI molecular weight distribution of telechelic PHEA50 obtained in DMF versus PMMA standards.
DP50 Poly(N-hydroxyethyl acrylamide) glycan functionalisation using 2-amino-2-deoxy-N-acetyl-D-neuraminic acid

0.1 g (0.016 mmol) of poly(2-hydroxyethyl acrylamide)50 and 25 mg (0.64 mmol) of 2-amino-2-deoxy-N-acetyl-D-neuraminic acid were added to 3 mL of DMF containing 100 µL TEA. The reaction was stirred at RTP for 16 hours. Solvent was removed under vacuum. The crude product was dissolved in the minimum amount of methanol. Diethyl ether cooled in liquid nitrogen was added to the methanol to form a precipitate. The mixture was centrifuged for 2 minutes at 13 krpm and the liquid decanted off. The solid was dissolved in methanol and solvent removed under vacuum to give an orange/brown crystalline solid. Loss of fluorine signals in the $^{19}$F NMR was used to indicate the reaction had gone to completion. $\delta_H$ (400 MHz, D$_2$O) 3.99 - 3.55 (~117H, m, NHCH$_2$ & glycan protons), 3.55 - 3.09 (~98H, m, CH$_2$OH & SCH$_2$ & glycan protons), 2.49 - 1.90 (~63H, m, CH$_2$CHC(O), C(CH$_3$)$_2$ & glycan protons), 1.90 - 0.98 (~95H, m, CH$_2$CHC(O) & glycan protons).
Figure E4.3. $^1$H NMR of 2-amino-2-deoxy-$N$-acetyl-D-neuraminic acid functionalised poly($N$-hydroxyethyl acrylamide)$_{50}$.

Figure E4.4. $^{19}$F NMR before (Top) and after (Bottom) reaction with α2-amino-2-deoxy-$N$-acetyl-D-neuraminic acid functionalisation.
**Citrate-stabilised 35 nm Gold Nanoparticle Synthesis**

35 nm gold nanoparticles were synthesised by a modified step growth method developed by Bastús et al. A solution of 2.2 mM sodium citrate in Milli-Q water (150 mL) was heated under reflux for 15 min while vigorously stirring. After boiling had commenced, 1 mL of HAuCl₄ (25 mM) was injected. The colour of the solution changed from yellow to bluish gray and then to soft pink in 10 min, 1 mL was taken for DLS and UV/Vis analysis. Immediately after the synthesis of the Au seeds and in the same reaction vessel, the reaction was cooled until the temperature of the solution reached 90 °C. Then, 1 mL of a HAuCl₄ solution (25 mM) was injected. After 20 min, the reaction was finished. This process was repeated twice. After that, the sample was diluted by adding 85 mL of MilliQ water and 3.1 mL of 60 mM sodium citrate. This solution was then used as a seed solution, and three further portions of 1.6 mL of 25 mM HAuCl₄ were added with 20 min between each addition. Following completion of this step, 1 mL was taken for DLS and UV/Vis analysis. The sample was diluted by adding 135 mL of MilliQ water and 4.9 mL of 60 mM sodium citrate. This solution was then used as a seed solution, and the process was repeated with three further additions of 2.5 mL of 25 mM HAuCl₄, this solution was analysed by DLS and UV/Vis. When the target size of 35 nm was reached, the solution was cooled, and a sample taken for TEM analysis.

**Gold Nanoparticle Polymer Coating Functionalisation**

1 mg of glycopolymer was agitated overnight with 10 mL of 35 nm AuNPs ~2 Abs at UV<sub>max</sub>. The solution was centrifuged at 8 krpm for 30 minutes and the pellet resuspended in 10 mL of water, the solution was centrifuged again at 8 krpm for 30 minutes and the pellet resuspended in 1 mL aliquots and centrifuged at 8 krpm for 10 minutes. The pellets were combined into a 1 mL solution with an absorbance at UV<sub>max</sub> of ~10 Abs.
Figure E4.5. Characterisation of unfunctionalised and NeuNAc-functionalised 35 nm AuNPs by A) UV/Vis and B) dynamic light scattering.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>UVmax(^a) (nm)</th>
<th>(A_{\text{SPR}}/A_{450}) (^b)</th>
<th>(D_h) (^c) (nm)</th>
<th>(D_h) (^d) (DLS) (nm)</th>
<th>(D_{\text{TEM}}) (^e) (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Citrate AuNP35</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>34.5±1</td>
<td>35±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NeuNAc-PHEA50AuNP35</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>55.3±1</td>
<td>38.9±3.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table E4.1. Characterisation of unfunctionalised and functionalised AuNPs used in this study.

\(^a\) SPR absorption maximum; \(^b\) Absorbance ratio of SPR to 450 nm; \(^c\) Estimated from UV-Vis\(^86\); \(^d\) From dynamic light scattering; \(^e\) From TEM, from average of > 100 particles, showing ±S.D.
Figure E4.6. TEM images (left) and histograms (right) of citrate stabilised AuNPs.

A) 35 nm unfunctionalised AuNP and B) NeuNAcPHEA{subscript:50}@AuNP{subscript:35}. Histograms from analysis of > 100 particles.
Figure E4.7. X-ray photo-electron spectroscopy (XPS) of citrate stabilised 35 nm AuNP

A) C 1s B) O 1s C) N 1s and D) Au 4f
Figure E4.8. XPS survey scan of neuraminic acid PHEA$_{50}$@AuNP$_{35}$
Figure E4.9. XPS of neuraminic acid PHEA$_{50}$@AuNP$_{35}$

A) C 1s  B) O 1s  C) N 1s  and  D) Au 4f
Characterisation of 2-Azido-2-deoxy-N-acetyl-D-neuraminic acid

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_H (400 \text{ MHz, D}_2\text{O}) & \ 4.05 - 3.89 (3H, m, H^4, H^5 \text{ and } H^6), 3.83 (1H, dd, J 11.5, 1.5, H^{9a}), \\
& \ 3.78 - 3.71 (1H, m, H^8), 3.62 (1H, dd, J 11.5, 6.5, H^{9b}), 3.48 - 3.43 (1H, m, H^7), 2.13 - 2.00 (~5H, m, H^{3a}, H^{3b} \text{ and CH}_3). \\
\delta_C \text{ NMR (400 MHz, D}_2\text{O)} & \ 174.6 (1C, COCH_3), 174.4 (1C, C_1), 96.4 (1C, C_2), 70.7, 69.4 (2C, C_6 \text{ and C}_8), 67.8 (1C, C_7) 66.0 (1C, C_4), 63.2 (1C, C_9), 53.3 (1C, C_5), 38.6 (1C, C_3), 22.0 (1C, CH_3). \\
\end{align*}
\]

NB: The peaks at ~4.3 ppm and ~1.25 ppm in the $^1$H NMR are TEA impurities

Figure E4.10. $^1$H NMR of 2-amino-2-deoxy-N-acetyl-D-neuraminic acid
Figure E4.11. $^{13}$C NMR of 2-amino-2-deoxy-N-acetyl-D-neuraminic acid
Recombinant Expression and Purification of truncated SARS-COV-2 Spike S1 Protein (first 300 amino acids) in E. coli.

(All data reported in the chapter/Appendix 4 uses this protein, not that from HEK293 expression (detailed below), unless specified).

A pET21a plasmid encoding for a hexahistidine-tag, SUMO-tag and the first 300 amino acids of SARS-COV-2 was purchased from Genscript Inc. The plasmid was transformed into competent *Escherichia coli* BL21(DE3) cells (New England Biolabs). A colony was selected to inoculate 100 mL of LB-medium containing 100 µg.mL⁻¹ kanamycin and was grown overnight at 37 °C under continuous shaking of 180 rpm. The following day, 10 mL of the preculture was added to 1 L of LB-medium (supplemented with 100 µg.mL⁻¹ kanamycin) in a 2.5 L Ultra Yield™ flask and grown at 37 °C with a shaking speed of 180 rpm till an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6 was reached. The temperature was then reduced to 16 °C and the cells incubated for another hour before adding IPTG to a final concentration of 0.2 mM. The overexpression of the protein was allowed to take place overnight following which the cells were centrifuged at 5000 g for 10 minutes at 4 °C. Pelleted cells were resuspended in PBS supplemented with Pierce protease inhibitor mini-tablets. The suspension was passed through a STANSTED “Pressure Cell” FPG12800 homogenizer in order to lyse the cells. The cell lysate was centrifuged at 48,000 g and the supernatant was passed through a 0.45 µm filter before being added to a 3 mL column of IMAC cOmplete His-Tag Purification Resin (Roche) pre-equilibrated with PBS. The column was washed with 20 column volumes of PBS. Bound protein was eluted using 6 mL of 300 mM Imidazole in PBS. Further purification of was achieved using a HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 200 pg gel-filtration column (GE Healthcare) with PBS as the running buffer. Purity was estimated using SDS-PAGE and protein concentration determined using Thermo Scientific Pierce BCA assay kit. Various volumes of the protein contained in PBS solution were aliquoted into 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tubes and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen to store at -80 °C until required.

Protein sequence expressed (N-terminal polyhistidine and SUMO tags with the first 300 amino acids of the spike protein);

MGSSHHHHHHHHGSQMDSEVNPQKEVPEVKPEVKPEVKPEVKPETHINLKVSDGSSEIFFKI
KKTTPRLRLMEAFAKRQGKEMDSLRFLYDGIRIQADQTPEDLDMEDNDIEA
HREQIGGGSEFELMFVFLVLLPLVSSQCVNLTTTRTQLPPAYTNSFTRGVYYP
DKVFRSSVLHSTQDLFLPFFSNVTWFHAIHVSGTNGTKRFDNPVLPFNDGVY
FASTEKSNIIRGWIFGTTLDSKTQSSLIVNNATNVVIKVCNFQFCNDPFLGVY
YHKNNKSWMESEFRVYSSANNCTFEYVSOQFLMDLEGKQGNFLREFVF
KNIDGYFKIYSKHTPINLVRDPGFSALEPLVDLPIGINITRFQLLLALHRSYL
TPGDSSSGWTAGAAAAYVGYLQPRFLKYNENGTITDAVDCALDPLSETK

NB: The 300 amino acids of the spike protein are underlined.
SARS-COV-2 spike protein variants

In order to establish whether the glycan flow-through concept was capable of detecting new variants of SARS-COV-2, a number of truncated recombinant spike proteins containing mutations associated with SARS-COV-2 variants were expressed (in *E. coli*). The plasmids encoding the variants were purchased from Genscript Inc and expressed using the above protocol (entitled *Recombinant Expression and Purification of truncated SARS-COV-2 Spike S1 Protein (first 300 amino acids) in E. coli*).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First detection location</th>
<th>PANGO lineage</th>
<th>Relevant mutations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>P.1</td>
<td>L18F, T20N, P26S, D138Y, R190S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>B.1.1.7</td>
<td>H69-V70 deletion, Y144 deletion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>B.1.351</td>
<td>L18F, D80A, D215G, R246I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table E4.2. SARS-COV-2 spike protein variants
Codon-optimised SARS-COV-2 Spike S1 subunit (amino acids 1-685) with a C-terminal 10x polyhistidine tag was expressed under control of a CMV promoter (pCMV3-S1-10xHis, Sino Biological, #VG40591-CH). HEK293 cells were grown in suspension to a density of 1.0 x 10^6 cells/mL in FreeStyle 293 Expression Medium (Thermo Scientific, #12338018), then transfected with 0.5 µg of pCMV3-S1-10xHis, 1.5 µg of linear polyethyleneimine (Alfa Aesar, #43896.01) and 50 µL Opti-MEM-I per 1 mL of cells (Thermo Scientific, #31985-062). After transfection, cells were grown to a density of 2.0 x 10^6 cells/mL and supplemented with 4 mM valproic acid (Sigma Aldrich, #P4543). 96 hours post transfection, the media was cleared by centrifugation, 6,000 x g in a Fiberlite F10-4 x 1000 LEX rotor (Thermo Scientific, #096-041053) for 10 minutes.

To purify Spike S1, cleared media was adjusted to 20 mM HEPES pH 7.5 and 10 mM imidazole, and was loaded on to a 5 mL HisTrap HP column (cytiva, #17524801) at a flow rate of 20 mL/min for ~16 hours. A purification buffer comprising 20 mM HEPES, 300 mM NaCl and 1 mM DTT +/- 1 M imidazole was used (for buffer lines A and B respectively), and the column was washed with 30 CVs of 2% buffer B (20 mM imidazole) before eluting the protein over a 2 - 50% gradient over 30 CVs. Fractions containing Spike S1 were pooled and concentrated using a 10 KDa molecular-weight cut-off spin concentrator (Sigma Aldrich, #UFC910008), before being buffer exchanged into 20 mM HEPES 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol using a 5 mL HiTrap desalting column (cytiva, #29048684). Peak fractions were pooled, and the final concentration was measured by absorbance at 280 nm, yielding a concentration of 1.25 mg/mL. Aliquots of protein were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C.

The protein produced by this method (Expression and purification of SARS-COV-2 Spike S1 in HEK293 Cells) was used to check new gold nanoparticle batches and cassettes produced during the initial development of this work and for the Tergitol experiments (Figure 4.2C). E. coli expressed spike protein was used for all other analysis, as this enabled variant sequences to be expressed, ensuring consistency.
4.6.4 Flow-Through Cassette Production, Running and Analysis Protocols

Flow-Through Cassette Production, Running and Analysis Protocols

The following Flow-through Cassette buffers have been previously reported, but have been provided for the reader. Minor optimisations have been made to the other protocols, so the full procedure has been provided for clarity.

Protocol for Manufacturing Flow-Through Cassettes

Nitrocellulose was added to the backing card by attaching the plastic backing of the nitrocellulose to the self-adhesive on the card. The wick material was then added to the backing card so it overlaps with the nitrocellulose by ~5 mm. The strips were then cut to size of width ~3 mm so they sit in the cassettes without the need for excess force to fit. The conjugate pad was added to the backing card, so it overlaps with the nitrocellulose by ~3.5 mm. The sample pad, was cut to size of 20 mm by 6 mm and was added to the backing card, overlapping with the conjugate pad by ~6.5 mm and straddling the backing card evenly. The completed strip was then added to the cassettes and sealed.
Strips of the conjugate pad material were agitated for 30 minutes in a solution of 0.1% Tween-20 (blocking solution). The strips were then patted dry and baked overnight at 37 °C in an oven. The conjugate pads were cut to size (3 mm width) and placed individually into the wells of a 384-well microplate. 20 µL 1× conjugate pad buffer solution containing OD3 (unless otherwise specified) AuNPs was added to the top of each conjugate pad in the wells. The pads were dried overnight at 37 °C in an oven. The completed pads were stored in an airtight box containing desiccant until addition to the strips.

10× Conjugate Pad Buffer

10% w/v. of poly(vinyl pyrrolidone)₄₀₀ (Average Mw ~40,000 g.mol⁻¹), 50% w/v. trehalose, 10% w/v. sucrose and 0.1% w/v. Tween-20 were added to distilled water and allowed to dissolve.

Control Line Addition

Control lines of 1 µL of RCA₁₂₀ were added to the nitrocellulose strips using a micropipette fitted with a 10 µL tip. A control line was added ~1.5 cm from the non-
wick end of the nitrocellulose surface. The strips were dried at 37 °C in an oven for 30 minutes.

Sample Line Addition

Sample lines of 1 µL were added to the nitrocellulose strip using a micropipette fitted with a 10 µL tip, the sample was spotted ~1 cm from the non-wick end of the nitrocellulose surface. The strips were dried at 37 °C in an oven as described in the figures.

Protocol for running flow-through tests

10 µL 10× HEPES buffer (20% PVP400) was added to 90 µL distilled water. 100 µL was added to the cassette well and allowed to absorb. The test was run for X minutes, as described in the figures, before photos were taken.

Silver Staining Procedure

Staining solution was prepared following the kit guidelines. 50 µL of solution A and 50 µL of solution B were mixed and added to the cassette well. The test was run for X minutes, as described in the figures, before photos were taken.

Flow-through assay buffer - 10× HEPES buffer (20% PVP400) in 100 mL H2O

2.38 g (100 mmoldm⁻³) of HEPES, 8.77 g (1.50 moldm⁻³) of NaCl, 0.011 g (1.0 mmoldm⁻³) of CaCl₂, 0.8 g (0.8% w/v., 123 mmoldm⁻³) of NaN₃, 0.5 g (0.5% w/v., 4.07 mmoldm⁻³) of Tween-20 and 20 g (20% w/v.) of poly(vinyl pyrrolidone)₄₀₀ (PVP₄₀₀, Average Mw ~40,000) was dissolved in 100 mL of water. The buffer is not pH adjusted.

Protocol for analysing flow-through tests to determine signal intensity and intensity change

Images collected were analysed in Image J 1.51 using the plot profile function to create a data set exported to Microsoft Excel for Mac. The data was exported to Origin 2019 64Bit and trimmed to remove pixel data not from the strip surface. The data was then reduced by number of groups to 100 data points (just the nitrocellulose surface) and plotted as Grey value (scale) vs Relative distance along the 100 data points.
Figure E4.13. Representative cassette (Top), raw grey value plot (Middle) and processed grey value plot (Bottom)

Relative distance pixel 1 to 50 and 51 to 100 (area around the test line and control line, respectively), excluding pixels that contributed to the signal peaks were averaged (mean). This average was subtracted from the lowest grey value between 1 to 50 (test spot) and 51 to 100 (control spot) respectively. To determine the change after silver staining, the signal intensity before and after silver staining was calculated and subtracted from one another to give Intensity Change (Figure 4.4D).
4.7 Ethics

This study used remnant elutions from nasal swab samples routinely collected from symptomatic staff/patients at the University Hospital Coventry and Warwickshire NHS Trust and tested by standard PCR protocols employing the Abbott RealTime SARS-COV-2 assay (09N77-095) during April-September 2020. As this was an evaluation study using left-over anonymised material (which had been heat-treated to render acellular) no written informed consent was required/obtained, although the project was registered with the local COVID-19 research committee.
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Chapter 5

End-Functionalised Poly(Vinyl Pyrrolidone) for Ligand Display in Lateral Flow Device Test Lines
5.1 Abstract

Lateral flow devices are rapid (and often low cost) point of care diagnostics – the classic example being the home pregnancy test. A test line (the stationary phase) is typically prepared by the physisorption of an antibody, which binds to analytes/antigens such as viruses, toxins, or hormones. However, there is no intrinsic requirement for the detection unit to be an antibody, and incorporating other ligand classes may bring new functionalities, or detection capabilities. To enable other (non-protein) ligands to be deployed in lateral flow devices, they must be physiosorbed to the stationary phase as a conjugate, which currently would be a high-molecular-weight carrier protein, which requires (challenging) chemoselective modifications and purification. Here, we demonstrate that poly(vinyl pyrrolidone), PVP, is a candidate for a polymeric, protein-free test line, owing to its unique balance of water solubility (for printing) and adhesion to the nitrocellulose stationary phase. End-functionalised PVPs were prepared by RAFT polymerisation and the model capture ligands of biotin and galactosamine were installed on PVP and subsequently immobilised on nitrocellulose. This polymeric test line was validated in both flow-through and full lateral flow formats using streptavidin and soybean agglutinin; and is the first demonstration of an “all polymer” approach for installation of capture units. This work illustrates the potential of polymeric scaffolds as anchoring agents for small molecule capture agents in the next generation of robust and modular lateral flow devices and that macromolecular engineering may provide real benefit.
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Myself, Simone Dedola, Robert A. Field and Matthew Gibson were responsible for preparation of the manuscript.

5.3 Introduction

Lateral flow devices (LFDs) are point of care (POC) diagnostics that are suited to primary care, triage, and emergency applications. The most widely known LFD is the home pregnancy test, which detects the presence of the hormone human chorionic gonadotrophin (HCG) in urine in under 20 minutes. In these devices, the stationary phase of the LFD is nitrocellulose functionalised with an antibody that binds HCG. Gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) functionalised with the same antibody are in the mobile phase. This leads to the sandwiching of HCG between the immobilised antibody on the device surface and the antibody on the AuNPs, producing an optical signal – often a red line; notably, other signal producing elements can be used such as quantum dots, graphene oxide, and carbon nanotubes.

LFDs have many applications beyond detecting HCG; for example, they have been deployed for analytes such as; drugs of abuse, Ebola virus, meningitis and SARS-COV-2. The common design principle shared by the above tests is they all use antibodies as capture agents (lateral flow immunoassays) due to the very high affinity and selectivity of antibodies toward their ligands (in the range of nM to pM). Despite the ubiquity of antibodies in LFDs, there is no functional requirement that these be used as the capture agent. There are examples of LFDs that use protein-anchored nucleotides, protein-anchored glycans and lectins as capture agents in the mobile phase and as test lines in the stationary phase. There are potential benefits of using alternative ligand capture molecules. For example, Baker et al. have demonstrated that the spike protein from SARS-COV-2 (causative agent of COVID-19) can be detected in a lateral flow/flow-through setup by using N-acetyl neuraminic acid (NeuNAc, a glycan) as the recognition agent but required a glycosylated protein as the test line. The same system could be deployed in flow-through (no test line) to detect COVID-19 in primary patient swab samples.

Miura et al. have made hybrid LFDs to detect plant proteins, using glycosylated nanoparticles as the mobile phase but still using an antibody as the test line. By moving away from (or combining with) antibody-based detection, it may be possible to more rapidly develop new LFDs, by enabling the development of fully synthetic systems removing the need to raise antibodies (in e.g., rabbits). This new approach
could allow for easier manufacture (including scaling) as well as bringing additional
discriminatory power to tests.

Nearly all current LFDs use antibodies (lateral flow immunoassays) as the stationary
phase (as well as the mobile phase) or use proteins that are functionalised with other
ligands, such as nucleic acids, in the stationary phase. These approaches lead to three
fundamental challenges. First, the molecular weight of the test line conjugate must be
large enough to attach to the surface, with absorption ability decreasing with
decreasing molecular weight, limiting scope to very high-molecular-weight
macromolecules.\textsuperscript{17,18} This limit can be overcome by increasing the surface area of the
stationary phase membrane, although this limits the choice of stationary phase
membrane material.\textsuperscript{19} Second, bovine serum albumin (BSA) or other proteins must be
used as “anchors” to immobilise small capture agents such as nucleotides or glycans
onto the surface of an LFD; this is further limited by the small number of easy-to-use
chemical conjugations available to functionalise carrier-proteins. Moreover, the
chemical conjugation approaches used do not provide a clear picture of the number of
capture units per protein. For example, when using glycan-functionalised BSA, a
range of degrees of glycosylation are obtained, with the number of glycans differing
by glycan used too.\textsuperscript{20} Third, the temperature instability of many protein-based LFDs
above 30 - 40 °C, may prevent devices from being deployed in various low-resource
settings that lack established health infrastructures and cold chains.\textsuperscript{21,22} This is
especially problematic, as more expensive lab-based diagnostic techniques are also
not applicable, as exemplified by the COVID-19 crisis, creating a clear health
inequality between higher- and lower-income countries.\textsuperscript{23}

When considering test line design, all test lines used in LFDs must be sufficiently
hydrophobic to remain immobilised on the surface of the LFD as the mobile phase
passes by, but must also be hydrophilic enough to dissolve in water for application to
the stationary phase (many organic solvents can damage stationary phase materials).
It is also common practice when designing LFDs to use a series of proteins or
polymers such as bovine serum albumin, casein, or poly(vinyl pyrrolidone) (PVP) as
blocking agents (i.e. substances that coat (“block”) the surface of the stationary phase,
to prevent nonspecific binding of the mobile phase to the stationary phase).\textsuperscript{17,18}
Blocking agents are either applied to the stationary phase as a pre-treatment before the
LFD is run or contained within the buffer of the LFD and run as a component of the
mobile phase. PVP is an interesting case, as it is widely used in LFDs as a blocking agent, is hydrophilic enough to dissolve in water but hydrophobic enough to be immobilised onto nitrocellulose (reflected by vinyl pyrrolidone’s LogP of ~0.37), is widely used in biomedical applications and is a synthetic polymer allowing for chemical modification. Therefore, it seemed an ideal candidate to prove the principle that a universal polymeric anchor for LFDs could be discovered.

Herein, we explore the use of capture-agent-functionalised PVP as a test line in flow-through assays, lateral flow assays and lateral flow glyco-assays, as the first example (to the best of our knowledge) of creating a synthetic polymer test line. The performance of the test line was investigated using biotin-functionalised PVP with streptavidin-functionalised AuNPs (as the mobile phase) in a flow-through assay as well as free streptavidin and biotin-functionalised AuNPs in a lateral flow assay. Further exemplification is provided using glycosylated PVP to detect a lectin in a lateral flow glyco-assay. Crucially, the polymer molecular weight can be tuned to impact the final output, providing a unique fine-tuning tool, not possible with current technologies. The polymer approach is also highly modular, as shown here. This new approach to immobilising ligands onto the test line will help develop the next generation of LFDs and simplify workflows.
5.4 Results and Discussion

The primary aim of this work was to synthesise and test the first generation of fully synthetic, protein-free test lines for use in LFD devices, to facilitate the development pipeline of new LFDs, using robust polymeric anchoring agents. Poly(vinyl pyrrolidone), PVP, was chosen as the polymeric anchor, as it is widely used in LFDs as a blocking agent – it is flowed over the nitrocellulose stationary phase to reduce nonspecific interactions of analytes or media components. Hence, if it is blocking nonspecific binding, we reasoned that PVP must be sufficiently hydrophobic to interact with/coat the nitrocellulose, while also being hydrophilic enough to dissolve in water,\textsuperscript{26,27} which is an essential criterion for test line printing from aqueous solution.

Reversible addition-fragmentation chain transfer (RAFT) polymerisation was employed, as it enables the synthesis of polymers with defined chain lengths and control over end-groups (crucial to add the binding ligand of interest). Furthermore, RAFT or MADIX (macromolecular design by the interchange of xanthates, a specific type of RAFT) is compatible with less-activated monomers (LAMs) such as NVP (N-vinyl pyrrolidone) or VAc (vinyl acetate), which are more challenging than, for example, (meth)acrylates to polymerise.\textsuperscript{28–30} A xanthate chain transfer agent (CTA) of 2-(ethoxycarbonothioylthio)-2-methylpropanoic acid N-hydroxsuccinimide ester was designed by retrosynthetic methods using Keddie et al.\textsuperscript{31} (Figure E5.2) and synthesised with a N-hydroxsuccinimide (NHS) end-group that could be substituted by primary amines (such as galactosamine) as shown in Figure 5.1A. Loss of the NHS end-group could also be tracked using $^1$H NMR analysis.

Three chain lengths of PVP telechelic homopolymers (DP = 50, 80 and 150) were synthesised (as determined by $^1$H NMR end-group analysis, spectra in Appendix 5) via thermally-initiated RAFT polymerisation using 4,4’-azobis(4-cyanovaleric acid) (ACVA) as a radical initiator (Figure 5.1B). Due to low conversions, that are typically observed in the polymer synthesis of LAMs,\textsuperscript{32} monomer to CTA ratios were higher than the target DPs ([M]:[CTA] = 200, 300 and 500, of 50, 80 and 150 respectively). Polymerisation was also stopped at less than 100% conversion to maximise the retention of end-groups. Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) analysis in DMF with 5 mM NH$_4$BF$_4$ revealed monomodal molecular weight distribution peaks with relatively low dispersities ($D_M \leq 1.7$) (Figure 5.1C and Table 5.1).
Figure 5.1. Polymer synthesis.

A) Synthesis of MADIX chain transfer agent (CTA); B) Polymerisation of N-vinyl pyrrolidone (NVP); C) Normalised molecular weight distributions from size exclusion chromatography of PVP polymers from Table 5.1.

Table 5.1. PVP polymers prepared for the detection of streptavidin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>[M]:[CTA]</th>
<th>$M_{\text{theo}}$(g.mol$^{-1}$)$^a$</th>
<th>$M_{\text{SEC}}$(g.mol$^{-1}$)$^b$</th>
<th>$M_{\text{NMR}}$(g.mol$^{-1}$)$^c$</th>
<th>$D_M$ $^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PVP50</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>22500</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>5900</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVP30</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>33600</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>9200</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVP150</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>55900</td>
<td>15100</td>
<td>17000</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$) Determined from the feed ratio of the monomer to chain transfer agent assuming 100% conversion; $^b$) Calculated against poly(methyl methacrylate) standards using 5 mM NH$_4$BF$_4$ in DMF as an eluent; $^c$) Determined from $^1$H NMR end-group analysis by comparing the integrations of the $-\text{CH}_2$ signals ($\delta \sim 2.8$ ppm) of the NHS end-group with those of the corresponding signals of the polymer.

To determine if PVP provided a suitable anchor, a model flow-through system was devised using a biotin-end group, which has well-characterised and strong binding to streptavidin to test the capture principle. It is important to note that flow-through is distinct from full lateral flow, which has analyte and functionalised gold particles in the mobile phase, which is evaluated in full later. An amino- biotin derivative was synthesised in three steps from ethylene diamine and biotin following procedures from Eisenführ et al.$^{33}$ and Kaufman et al. (Figure 5.2A).$^{34}$ A mono-$t$-BOC-protected
diamine was synthesised (N-BOC-ethylene diamine) and conjugated with biotin. The BOC protecting group was then removed using TFA to produce “biotin-NH$_2$” – a biotin derivative with amine functionality. The biotin-NH$_2$ was characterised by $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR, FTIR, and ESI mass spectrometry (Experimental section). Functionalisation of the PVP polymers was confirmed by the loss of the NHS protons in the $^1$H NMR spectra and the addition of biotin-NH$_2$ protons.

Figure 5.2. Synthesis of biotin-functionalised and galactosamine-functionalised PVP polymers.

A) Synthesis of biotin derivative; B) Synthesis of biotin-functionalised PVP polymers and galactosamine-functionalised PVP polymers

The biotin-functionalised PVP and an “unfunctionalised” PVP control were deposited onto the nitrocellulose dipsticks as test lines, in triplicate, of varying concentrations in water (20 mg.mL$^{-1}$, 10 mg.mL$^{-1}$ and 1 mg.mL$^{-1}$), and then dried at 37 °C. It is noteworthy that all dipsticks run in this work were run in triplicate, image analysed and the average (mean) taken. A (commercial) gold nanoparticle (AuNP, 40 nm) functionalised with streptavidin was flowed down the surface of the dipstick to determine if the biotin-functionalised PVP sequestered the streptavidin-functionalised particles. As a negative mobile phase, a previously reported galactosamine-functionalised poly(hydroxyethyl acrylamide) (PHEA$_{72}$) gold nanoparticle (16 nm) system (Gal-PHEA$_{72}$@AuNP$_{16}$) was used, which has no affinity to biotin (Figure 5.3).
All dipsticks that used a test line of biotin-functionalised PVPs successfully bound the streptavidin AuNPs at all concentrations of the applied test line. Example dipsticks and the surface image analysis are provided in Figure 5.4A. Images of all dipsticks and analysis are provided in Appendix 5 (Tables S4-S6 and Figures S20-S22). No nonspecific binding was observed to any of the triplicate controls at 20 mg.mL\(^{-1}\) (except perhaps weak binding in one PVP\(_{50}\) test strip to the streptavidin-AuNP\(_{40}\)), although a “bleeding” effect (smearing of the test spot) was observed at higher test line concentrations (10 and 20 mg.mL\(^{-1}\)) indicating that the test line concentration impacts binding and likely saturates the nitrocellulose membrane (Figure 5.4B). Interestingly the best polymer system, i.e., the system that provided the highest signal response, varied by concentration of test line applied, although all gave a positive signal in all triplicates run, with no observable off-target binding to the unfunctionalised PVP test line seen in the 10 mg.mL\(^{-1}\) or 1 mg.mL\(^{-1}\) triplicates. This was first determined visually and then measured by digitally analyzing the image (Figure 5.4A) and signal-to-noise ratios determined (Figure 5.4C). The PVP\(_{80}\)-biotin system had the highest signal response at 10 mg.mL\(^{-1}\) but the lowest at 20 mg.mL\(^{-1}\), while the PVP\(_{50}\)-biotin system had the highest response at just 1 mg.mL\(^{-1}\), while PVP\(_{80}\)-biotin and PVP\(_{150}\)-biotin were comparable. This indicates that the systems produced require tuning to find the correct test line and concentration for the application; this additional tuneability gained from varying polymer chain length is another benefit of the polymeric system versus protein-based systems.
Figure 5.3. Schematic of dipstick flow-through assay and example dipsticks.

A) Design of dipstick; B) Flow-through with biotin-functionalised PVP test line where streptavidin-functionalised AuNPs flow and engage the test line - resulting in signal generation; C) Flow-through with unfunctionalised PVP test line where streptavidin-functionalised AuNPs flow and do not engage the test line - resulting in no signal generation; D) Flow-through with biotin-functionalised PVP test line where Gal-functionalised AuNPs flow and do not engage the test line - resulting in no signal generation; E) Flow-through with unfunctionalised PVP test line where Gal-functionalised AuNPs flow and do not engage the test line - resulting in no signal generation.
Figure 5.4. Analysis of flow-through dipstick assays.

A) Analysis of PVP₅₀-biotin and unfunctionalised PVP₅₀ (1 mg.mL⁻¹) versus streptavidin-functionalised AuNPs and galactosamine-functionalised AuNPs, with example dipstick of PVP₅₀-biotin versus streptavidin-AuNP₄₀; B) Representative example dipsticks and graphical representation of test line “bleeding” effect at high (top, 20 mg.mL⁻¹) and lower test line concentrations (bottom, 1 mg.mL⁻¹); C) Intensity of PVPₓ and PVPₓ-biotin at varying concentrations versus streptavidin-functionalised AuNP₄₀, signal-to-noise ratio (PVPₓ-biotin Intensity / PVPₓ Intensity) is provided in brackets.
Following the successful demonstration of a flow-through system with biotin-functionalised PVPs as a test line, the next step was to create a lateral flow setup that sensed for free streptavidin in solution, which requires biotin-functionalised AuNPs, coated with a non-interacting water-soluble polymer. Therefore, a series of biotin-functionalised poly(N-hydroxyethyl acrylamide)s (PHEA) were synthesised and immobilised on 16 and 40 nm gold nanoparticles (Figure 5.5A&B). PHEA was chosen because of its colloidal stability, solubility, and its established use to functionalise gold nanoparticles for lateral flow and flow-through devices. Using a pentafluorophenyl-2-(dodecylthiocarbonothioylthio)-2-methylpropanoate (PFP-DMP) chain transfer agent (CTA) (see 5.6 Experimental section for a detailed synthetic procedure), a series of PHEA homopolymers were prepared (DP = 53, 72, 110, as determined by SEC, Figure 5.5C and Table 5.2, 1H NMR spectra in Appendix 5) according to a previously described protocol. Biotin installation as the end-groups of PHEA homopolymers was achieved by the reaction of the pentafluorophenol (PFP) end-group at the α-terminus with biotin-NH₂. The functionalised polymers were characterised by 1H and 19F NMR, and FTIR with successful conjugation of biotin-NH₂ confirmed by loss of the PFP fluorine peaks in 19F NMR. The gold nanoparticles produced were characterised by UV-vis, DLS (Appendix 5 Figures S10-S19 and Table S3) and x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) (Figure 5.5D and Appendix 5 Figures S32-S40 and Tables S20-S21). The increase in the N 1s/C 1s ratios in the XPS spectra for the polymer-coated particles and the increased presence of amine and amide in the C 1s spectra compared to the citrate-stabilised (“naked”) nanoparticles confirmed the presence of polymers on the nanoparticles, alongside a shift in the UV-vis spectra. The library-based design approach to synthesising AuNP systems for lateral flow and flow-through assays has been established by Baker et al. as a method to find the appropriately sized polymer-coated gold particle for the intended diagnostic application (Chapters 2 and 3).
Figure 5.5. Synthesis of PHEA polymers and AuNPs.

A) Polymerisation of N-hydroxyethyl acrylamide (HEA) and post-functionalisation with a biotin derivative; B) Synthesis of polymer-functionalised AuNPs; C) Normalised size exclusion chromatography analysis of PHEA polymers from Table 5.2; D) C 1s XPS scan of biotin-PHEA$_{72}$@AuNP$_{40}$.

Table 5.2. PHEA polymers prepared for the detection of streptavidin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>[M]:[CTA]</th>
<th>$M_w$(theo)$^a$ (g.mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$M_w$(SEC)$^b$ (g.mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$M_w$(NMR)$^c$ (g.mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$D_w$ $^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PHEA$_{33}$</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>3800</td>
<td>6600</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHEA$_{72}$</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>5100</td>
<td>8900</td>
<td>8600</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHEA$_{110}$</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>8600</td>
<td>13000</td>
<td>14000</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$) Determined from the feed ratio of the monomer to chain transfer agent; $^b$) Calculated against poly(methyl methacrylate) standards using 5 mM NH$_4$BF$_4$ in DMF as eluent; $^c$) Determined from $^1$H NMR end-group analysis by comparing the integrations of the $-$CH$_2$CH$_3$ signals ($\delta$ ~0.9 - 0.7 ppm) of the dodecyl end-group with those of the corresponding signals of the polymer.
The DLS (dynamic light scattering) analysis of the biotin-functionalised 16 nm gold particles indicated some aggregation at all polymer lengths. This was observed in the dipsticks, run in triplicate, where the particles aggregated at the solvent front and on the PVP test lines even when no analyte and off-target protein (UEA, *Ulex europaeus* agglutinin I) at 0.05 mg.mL\(^{-1}\) was present (Appendix 5 Tables S7-S9). However, greater aggregation at the solvent front was observed in systems containing streptavidin, indicating affinity toward streptavidin; this was observed visually by more intense colouration at the solvent front, decreased background along the strips, and decreased colouration in the wick – indicating that fewer AuNPs passed the solvent front. Note, a PVP test line concentration of 10 mg.mL\(^{-1}\) was chosen to decrease the bleeding effect observed in the flow-through devices.

The biotin-PHEA-functionalised 40 nm gold particles were more stable in solution than the 16 nm particles. However, aggregation at the solvent front and with streptavidin at the solvent front was observed in the biotin-PHEA\(_{72}@\text{AuNP}_{40}\) system but less so in the biotin-PHEA\(_{110}@\text{AuNP}_{40}\) system (Appendix 5 Tables S10-12 and Figures S23-25). Furthermore, off-target binding to the 10 mg.mL\(^{-1}\) PVP-biotin test lines was observed in all biotin-PHEA\(_{110}@\text{AuNP}_{40}\) systems. Hence, the concentration of the test line was decreased to 1 mg.mL\(^{-1}\). At this concentration, the biotin-PHEA\(_{110}@\text{AuNP}_{40}\) system bound to streptavidin at a protein concentration of 0.05 mg.mL\(^{-1}\), and this AuNP-analyte complex was successfully bound by the PVP\(_{150}\)-biotin test line (in all triplicates) with minimal nonspecific binding observed in the UEA or no analyte system (Figures 5.6 and 5.7, Appendix 5 Table S13 and Figure S26). Notably, aggregation of the AuNP system with streptavidin was observed at the solvent front likely reducing signal and leading to increased background in the controls. This experiment confirmed that functionalised PVP test lines could be used successfully in LFDs.

To confirm it is the biotin that the streptavidin specifically binds in the test lines; streptavidin at 0.05 mg.mL\(^{-1}\) with biotin-PHEA\(_{110}@\text{AuNP}_{40}\) particles was tested against biotin-functionalised and unfunctionalised PVP test lines at a test line concentration of 1 mg.mL\(^{-1}\) (Appendix 5 Table S14 and Figure S27). While weak binding was observed to the unfunctionalised PVP\(_{50}\) test line, binding was far stronger to the PVP\(_{50}\)-biotin test line and all other biotin-functionalised test lines versus their unfunctionalised equivalents. With no binding to the unfunctionalised PVP\(_{150}\) test line
observed in any of the triplicates. It is notable, that signal intensity decreased with PVP chain length likely because relative biotin concentration on the test line decreases as polymer chain length increases (as test line concentration is measured by mass not molarity), although the decrease in off-target binding to unfunctionalised PVP\textsubscript{150} led to a high signal-to-noise ratio for the PVP\textsubscript{150}-biotin system (Figure 5.7B). Attempts to use a lower concentration of streptavidin (0.005 mg.mL\textsuperscript{-1}) and the PVP\textsubscript{150}-biotin test line were unsuccessful, with a signal-to-noise ratio of ~1. However, binding to the PVP\textsubscript{80}-biotin was observed at this concentration (0.005 mg.mL\textsuperscript{-1} streptavidin) versus unfunctionalised PVP\textsubscript{80} (signal-to-noise of > 7); likely due to decreased aggregation at the solvent front between the particles and streptavidin (Figure 5.7B, Appendix 5 Table S15 and Figure S28), indicating the need to tune the AuNP system for the target analyte and test line used in a finished device.

In comparison to antibody-based lateral flow immunoassays that often have limits of detection ranging from microgram to nanogram per millilitre,\textsuperscript{39} this system when targeting streptavidin has a limit of detection (LoD) of ~0.05 - 0.005 mg.mL\textsuperscript{-1} or ~0.8 - 0.08 nmol.mL\textsuperscript{-1} for the PVP150-biotin and PVP80-biotin systems. This is higher than many commercially available lateral flow immunoassays but is comparable to commercial pregnancy test LFDs with molar LoDs of ~0.7 – 0.07 nmol.mL\textsuperscript{-1}.\textsuperscript{40}
Figure 5.6. Schematic of dipstick lateral flow assay and example dipsticks.

A) Design of dipstick; B) Lateral flow with biotin-functionalised PVP test line with no analyte in solution and biotin-PHEA-functionalised AuNPs flow and do not engage the test line – resulting in no signal generation; C) Lateral flow with biotin-functionalised PVP test line with streptavidin (0.05 mg.mL$^{-1}$) in solution, and biotin-functionalised AuNPs flow and do engage the test line – resulting in signal generation; D) Lateral flow with biotin-functionalised PVP test line with UEA (0.05 mg.mL$^{-1}$) in solution, and biotin-functionalised AuNPs flow and do engage the test line – resulting in no signal generation.
Figure 5.7. Analysis of scanned lateral flow strips using test lines of PVP$_{150}$-biotin.

A) PVP$_{150}$-biotin (1 mg.mL$^{-1}$) versus either no analyte, streptavidin (0.05 mg.mL$^{-1}$) or UEA (0.05 mg.mL$^{-1}$) using with biotin-PHEA$_{110}@$AuNP$_{40}$ (inset example dipstick from PVP$_{150}$-biotin versus streptavidin); B) Intensity of PVP$_x$ (1 mg.mL$^{-1}$) and PVP$_x$-biotin (1 mg.mL$^{-1}$) versus streptavidin of varying concentrations with biotin-PHEA$_{110}@$AuNP$_{40}$, signal-to-noise ratio (PVP$_x$-biotin Intensity / PVP$_x$ Intensity) is provided in brackets.
While biotin-streptavidin is an excellent model system, its low $K_d (~10^{-14} \text{ mol.dm}^{-3})^{41}$ is not representative of many analyte-capture agent scenarios that have lower affinity (higher $K_d$). Therefore, soybean agglutinin (SBA), a lectin with a known affinity for galactosamine, was chosen as an analyte. We have previously designed and validated an appropriate gold nanoparticle system (Gal-PHEA$_{72}$@AuNP$_{16}$) to sense specifically for SBA in an LFD device using protein agents to immobilise the glycan to the stationary phase (Chapter 2).$^{13}$ It was anticipated that the PVP test lines functionalised with galactosamine may not perform as well as their glycan-BSA counterpart (Galα1-3Galβ1-4GlcNAc-BSA). This is likely due to the loss of the cluster glycoside effect (the glycan-BSA used carried $> 20$ glycans per BSA protein as reported by the manufacturer) and the use of galactosamine (with free anomic position) as the binding glycan in the PVP system. Initial attempts, in triplicate, to use 20 mg.mL$^{-1}$ galactosamine-functionalised PVPs and an SBA concentration in solution of 0.05 mg.mL$^{-1}$ proved unsuccessful with no binding observed to the SBA (Appendix 5 Table S16). However, no off-target binding was observed to either the no-lectin, UEA, or unfunctionalised PVP systems (in any test), which was promising. A higher concentration of SBA (0.5 mg.mL$^{-1}$) was therefore chosen for the lateral flow glyco-assay (Appendix 5 Table S17 and Figure S29). While this concentration of SBA did lead to nonspecific binding of the SBA-particle complex to the unfunctionalised PVP test line in all cases and in all triplicates; stronger signals were observed in the PVP$_{150}$-Gal system (Figure 5.8), with the PVP$_{150}$-Gal system (signal) versus the unfunctionalised PVP$_{150}$ system (noise) having a signal-to-noise ratio of 2.44 (Figure 5.8C). This indicates that the limit of detection (LoD) of SBA is between ~0.5 - 0.05 mg.mL$^{-1}$. This compares well to a system using the same nanoparticles in a setup against a test line of Galα1-3Galβ1-4GlcNAc-BSA (1 mg.mL$^{-1}$), with a LoD of ~0.02 mg.mL$^{-1}$ (Chapter 2). Considering the PVP does not (likely) benefit from the cluster glycoside effect to the same extent as a multi-valent protein surface$^{42}$ the LoD achieved is promising. Although, it is possible that the lectins can bind multiple PVP chains, depending on their exact orientation on the surface. Notably, the PVP-based system is not as sensitive as antibody based LFDs, such as those for ricin (LoD $\sim 20$ ng.mL$^{-1}$)$^{43}$ or a concanavalin A (LoD $\sim 0.1 \mu$g.mL$^{-1}$)$^{16}$, but it does indicate the potential for the integration of polymer systems into LFDs.
Decreasing the concentration of the PVP test line systems was attempted but yielded mixed results (Appendix 5 Table S18-19 and Figure S30-31), indicating that the 20 mg.mL\(^{-1}\) PVP\(_{150}\)-Gal system is the optimum for this particular particle system and analyte. Interestingly this is different from the concentration used in the biotin-functionalised PVP lateral flow system and the optimum chain length in some of the flow-through assays. This indicates the need to tune each system depending on the application, again highlighting the tuneability benefits of polymer chemistry over protein-based systems. Furthermore, the background could be improved by adjusting the buffer, tuning the AuNP system, or treating the membrane. While the signal could be improved by printing the test line, rather than using “by hand” deposition of a test spot or using a more complex glycan with greater affinity for SBA. These sorts of modifications were however beyond the scope of this work that focusses on a proof-of-concept for polymeric test lines.
Figure 5.8. Lateral flow strips and analysis using test lines of PVP<sub>150</sub>-Gal and PVP<sub>150</sub> (20 mg.mL<sup>-1</sup>).

A) Example lateral flow strips using test lines of PVP<sub>150</sub>-Gal and PVP<sub>150</sub> (20 mg.mL<sup>-1</sup>) versus no analyte, SBA (0.5 mg.mL<sup>-1</sup>) and UEA (0.5 mg.mL<sup>-1</sup>), using Gal-PHEA<sub>72</sub>@AuNP<sub>16</sub>; B) Analysis of scanned lateral flow strips using test lines of PVP<sub>150</sub>-Gal and PVP<sub>150</sub> (20 mg.mL<sup>-1</sup>) versus either no analyte, SBA (0.5 mg.mL<sup>-1</sup>) and UEA (0.5 mg.mL<sup>-1</sup>); C) Intensity of PVP<sub>x</sub> (20 mg.mL<sup>-1</sup>) and PVP<sub>x</sub>-Gal (20 mg.mL<sup>-1</sup>) versus SBA (0.5 mg.mL<sup>-1</sup>), signal-to-noise ratio (PVP<sub>x</sub>-Gal Intensity / PVP<sub>x</sub> Intensity) is provided in brackets.
5.5 Conclusions

Here, the concept of a fully synthetic, protein-free, polymeric lateral flow test line is validated and explored for the first time. It is shown to be a promising alternative to the established protein-based anchoring reagents. Poly(vinyl pyrrolidone), PVP, was identified as a promising immobilisation agent, based on its widespread use as a “blocking agent”, which is sufficiently hydrophobic to adhere to nitrocellulose stationary phases but still being water-soluble which is essential for production/printing of the test line. PVP was synthesised by RAFT/MADIX polymerisation using an N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) functionalised chain transfer agent, which allowed for subsequent installation of a glycan or biotin, as a capture ligand. The polymer anchor was shown to allow capture in flow-through and lateral flow systems leading to specific binding with limited off-target (nonspecific) binding. A key observation was that the chain length of the PVP (as well as the concentration applied) was crucial to optimise the signal generation and specificity. For example, in the flow-through system when targeting streptavidin-functionalised particles in the mobile phase, the best PVP-biotin chain length varied with the concentration of the test line used. While in the lateral flow system when targeting streptavidin, a 1 mg.mL$^{-1}$ test line of PVP$_{150}$-biotin was best and in the lateral flow glyco-assay when targeting SBA, a 20 mg.mL$^{-1}$ PVP$_{150}$-Gal test line was best.

We anticipate that the polymeric system discussed (PVP) could be used as a multifunctional scaffold or platform to present other capture agents such as short amino acid or nucleotide sequences and enable a wider range of end-group functionality beyond amide chemistry (i.e., click chemistry approaches). The ability to tune the molecular weight of a polymeric test line will allow further fine-tuning, in contrast to protein-based anchors. Furthermore, the addition of multivalency to the system could also be explored while maintaining synthetic control over the number of capture agents per polymer anchor unit. Plus, there exists many thousands of potential (co)polymer structures, which provide further opportunities to refine the polymer test line approach. In summary, the PVP scaffolds presented and validated here provide the first examples of a tuneable and multifunctional polymeric test line capture system for lateral flow devices and further epitomise the potential of applying polymer chemistry to LFDs.
5.6 Experimental

5.6.1 Physical and Analytical Methods

NMR Spectroscopy

$^1$H-NMR, $^{13}$C-NMR and $^{19}$F-NMR spectra were recorded at 300 MHz or 400 MHz on a Bruker DPX-300 or DPX-400 spectrometer respectively, with chloroform-$d$ (CDCl$_3$), deuterated DMSO (DMSO-$d_6$), deuterated methanol (MeOD) or deuterium oxide (D$_2$O) as the solvent. Chemical shifts of protons are reported as $\delta$ in parts per million (ppm) and are relative to either CDCl$_3$ (7.26), DMSO-$d_6$ (2.50), MeOD (4.87, 3.31) or D$_2$O (4.79).

Mass Spectrometry

Low resolution mass spectra (LRMS) were recorded on a Bruker Esquire 2000 spectrometer using electrospray ionisation (ESI). m/z values are reported in Daltons.

FT-IR Spectroscopy

Fourier Transform-Infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy measurements were carried out using an Agilent Cary 630 FT-IR spectrometer, in the range of 650 to 4000 cm$^{-1}$.

Size Exclusion Chromatography

Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) analysis was performed on an Agilent Infinity II MDS instrument equipped with differential refractive index (DRI), viscometry (VS), dual angle light scattering (LS) and variable wavelength UV detectors. The system was equipped with 2 x PLgel Mixed D columns (300 x 7.5 mm) and a PLgel 5 $\mu$m guard column. The mobile phase used was DMF (HPLC grade) containing 5 mM NH$_4$BF$_4$ at 50 $^\circ$C at flow rate of 1.0 mL.min$^{-1}$. Poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) standards (Agilent EasyVials) were used for calibration between 955,000 – 550 g.mol$^{-1}$. Analyte samples were filtered through a nylon membrane with 0.22 $\mu$m pore size before injection. Number average molecular weights ($M_n$), weight average molecular weights ($M_w$) and dispersities ($D_M = M_w/M_n$) were determined by conventional calibration using Agilent GPC/SEC software.

X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS)

The samples were attached to electrically-conductive carbon tape, mounted on to a sample bar and loaded into a Kratos Axis Ultra DLD spectrometer which possesses a
base pressure below $1 \times 10^{-10}$ mbar. XPS measurements were performed in the main analysis chamber, with the sample being illuminated using a monochromated Al Ka x-ray source. The measurements were conducted at room temperature and at a take-off angle of $90^\circ$ with respect to the surface parallel. The core level spectra were recorded using a pass energy of 20 eV (resolution approx. 0.4 eV), from an analysis area of 300 μm x 700 μm. The spectrometer work function and binding energy scale of the spectrometer were calibrated using the Fermi edge and $3d_{5/2}$ peak recorded from a polycrystalline Ag sample prior to the commencement of the experiments. In order to prevent surface charging the surface was flooded with a beam of low energy electrons throughout the experiment and this necessitated recalibration of the binding energy scale. To achieve this, the C-C/C-H component of the C 1s spectrum was referenced to 285.0 eV. The data were analyzed in the CasaXPS package, using Shirley backgrounds and mixed Gaussian-Lorentzian (Voigt) lineshapes. For compositional analysis, the analyser transmission function has been determined using clean metallic foils to determine the detection efficiency across the full binding energy range.

Dynamic Light Scattering
Hydrodynamic diameters ($D_h$) and size distributions of particles were determined by dynamic light scattering (DLS) using a Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS with a 4 mW He-Ne 633 nm laser module operating at 25 ℃. Measurements were carried out at an angle of $173^\circ$ (back scattering), and results were analyzed using Malvern DTS 7.03 software. All determinations were repeated 5 times with at least 10 measurements recorded for each run. $D_h$ values were calculated using the Stokes-Einstein equation where particles are assumed to be spherical.

UV-vis Spectroscopy
Absorbance measurements were recorded on an Agilent Cary 60 UV-Vis Spectrophotometer and on a BioTek Epoch microplate reader.

Transmission Electron Microscopy
Dry-state stained TEM imaging was performed on a JEOL JEM-2100Plus microscope operating at an acceleration voltage of 200 kV. All dry-state samples were diluted with deionised water and then deposited onto formvar-coated copper grids.
Image Collection of Lateral Flow Dipsticks and Devices

All devices were scanned using a Kyocera TASKalfa 5550ci printer to a pdf file that was converted to a jpeg. The jpeg was analyzed in ImageJ 1.51. None of the images in Appendix 5 have been image adjusted i.e. no changes/enhancements have been made from the original scan images. The main paper images may have been enhanced and/or cropped to improve clarity.

Solvent drying

4 Å molecular sieves were activated either by heat or using microwave energy (600W). A 20% w/v. of sieves:solvent was used, the solvent was degassed with nitrogen for 30 minutes with the sieves present and then left overnight before the solvent was used.
5.6.2 Materials

All chemicals were used as supplied unless otherwise stated. N-Hydroxyethyl acrylamide (97%), 4,4’-azobis(4-cyanovuleric acid) (ACVA, 98%), 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP, > 98%), mesitylene (reagent grade), triethylamine (TEA, > 99%), sodium citrate tribasic dihydrate (> 99%), gold(III) chloride trihydrate (99.9%), potassium phosphate tribasic (≥ 98%, reagent grade), N,N'-diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC, 99%), 1-vinyl-2-pyrrolidone (≥ 98.0% for synthesis), DMSO (ACS reagent, (≥ 99.9%), deuterated DMSO (DMSO-d$_6$, ≥ 99%), deuterium oxide (D$_2$O, 99.9%), deuterated chloroform (CDCl$_3$, 99.8%), deuterated methanol (CD$_3$OD, (≥ 99.8%), diethyl ether (≥ 99.8%, ACS reagent grade), methanol (≥ 99.8%, ACS reagent grade), toluene (≥ 99.7%), di-tert-butyl dicarbonate (≥ 98.0%), Tween-20 (molecular biology grade), HEPES, PVP40 (poly(vinyl pyrrolidone)$_{400}$ (Average Mw ~40,000)), carbon disulphide (≥ 99.8%), acetone (≥ 99%), 1-dodecanthiol (≥ 98%), biotin (≥ 99%, HPLC lyophilised powder), 40 nm gold nanoparticles (OD1 in citrate buffer), streptavidin-gold (40 nm) from Streptomyces avidinii, pentafluorophenol (≥ 99%, reagent plus), N-hydroxysuccinimide (98%), ethylenediamine (≥ 99.5%), ethyl acetate (≥ 99.5%), trifluoroacetic acid (TFA, ≥ 99%, reagent plus), sodium azide (≥ 99.5%, reagent plus) and potassium permanganate (≥ 99%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Potassium ethyl xanthate (98%) was purchased from Alfa Aesar. DMF (> 99%) and 2-bromo-2-methyl-propionic acid (98%) were purchased from Acros Organics. Galactosamine HCl and 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDCI, > 98%) were purchased from Carbosynth. Hexane fraction from petrol (lab reagent grade), DCM (99% lab reagent grade), sodium hydrogen carbonate (≥ 99%), ethyl acetate (≥ 99.7%, analytical reagent grade), sodium chloride (≥ 99.5%), calcium chloride, 40-60 petroleum ether (lab reagent grade), hydrochloric acid (~37%, analytical grade), glacial acetic acid (analytical grade), magnesium sulphate (reagent grade), THF (HPLC), chloroform (≥ 99%), Molecular Sieve type 4 Å nominal pore size (general purpose grade) and 1,4-dioxane (≥ 99%) were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific. Ethanol absolute was purchased from VWR International.

Nitrocellulose Immunopore RP 90-150 s/4cm 25 mm was purchased from GE Healthcare. Lateral flow backing cards 60 mm by 301.58 mm (KN-PS1060.45 with KN211 adhesive) was purchased from Kenosha Tapes. Cellulose fibre wick material
20 cm by 30 cm by 0.825 mm (290 gsm and 180 mL/min) (Surewick CFSP223000) was purchased from EMD Millipore.

Soybean agglutinin and *Ulex Europaeus* Agglutinin I were purchased from Vector Laboratories.

Spectra/Por 7 Dialysis Membrane Pre-treated RC (regenerated cellulose) Tubing MWCO: 1 kD was purchased from Spectrum Laboratories. Streptavidin lyophilised was purchased from Stratech Scientific.

Ultra-pure water used for buffers was MilliQ grade 18.2 mΩ resistance.
5.6.3 Synthetic Methods

Synthesis of 2-(dodecylthiocarbanothionylthio)-2-methyl propionic acid (DMP)

This was synthesised, according to a previously published procedure.\textsuperscript{36} 2.00 g (9.88 mmol) of 1-dodecane thiol was added dropwise to stirring 2.10 g (9.89 mmol) of K\textsubscript{3}PO\textsubscript{4} in 30 mL of acetone at RTP, the mixture was left to stir for 25 minutes to form a white suspension. 2.05 g (26.93 mmol) of carbon disulphide was then added and left for 10 minutes, a yellow solution formed. 1.5 g (8.98 mmol) of 2-bromo-2-methyl-propionic acid was then added and the solution left to stir for 16 hours. The solvent was removed under vacuum. The crude product was dissolved in 100 mL of 1 M HCl and extracted with DCM (2×100 mL). The organic layer was washed with 200 mL of water and 200 mL of brine. The organic layer was dried with MgSO\textsubscript{4} and filtered under gravity. The solvent was then removed from the filtrate under vacuum. The crude product was purified using a silica column (40-60 PET:DCM:glacial acetic acid 75:24:1) and recrystallised in n-hexane to give a yellow solid (58%). δ\textsubscript{H} (300 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) 3.28 (2H, t, J\textsubscript{7.5}, SCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}), 1.80 - 1.45 (8H, m, C(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2} and SCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}), 1.45 - 1.2 (18H, m, (CH\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{9}CH\textsubscript{3}), 0.87 (3H, t, J\textsubscript{6.0}, CH\textsubscript{3}). δ\textsubscript{C} (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) 221.0 (1C, SC(S)S), 178.3 (1C, C(O)), 55.7 (1C, C(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}), 37.7 (1C, SCH\textsubscript{2}), 32.1 - 28.0 (9C, SCH\textsubscript{2}(CH\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{9}), 25.4 (2C, C(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}), 22.8 (1C, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 14.3 (1C, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}). m/z calculated as 364.16; found for ESI [M+H]\textsuperscript{+} 365.3 and [M+Na]\textsuperscript{+} 387.3. FTIR (cm\textsuperscript{-1}) – 2956, 2916.6 & 2850 (methyl and methylene), 1702 (ester C=O), 1459, 1437 & 1413 (methyl and methylene), 1280 (C(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}), 1064 (S-C(S)-S).
This was synthesised, according to a previously published procedure.\textsuperscript{36} 4.06 g (11.13 mmol) of DMP, 3.65 g (19.04 mmol) of EDC and 2.30 g (18.82 mmol) of DMAP were dissolved in 160 mL of DCM and degassed for 30 minutes. 7.28 g (39.55 mmol) of pentafluorophenol was added in 20 mL of DCM and the mixture stirred for 18 hours at RTP. The organic layer was washed with 3 M HCl (200 mL), 1 M NaHCO\textsubscript{3} (200 mL) and 0.5 M NaCl (200 mL). The organic layer was dried with MgSO\textsubscript{4} and filtered under gravity. The solvent was then removed from the filtrate under vacuum. The crude product was recrystallised from ethyl acetate (or hexane) overnight at -8 °C and dried to give yellow crystals (90.9%). \(\delta\textsuperscript{H} (300 \text{ MHz, CDCl}_3) 3.31 (2\text{H}, \text{ t, } J 7.5, \text{ SCH}_2\text{CH}_2), 1.86 (6\text{H}, \text{ s, } \text{ C(CH}_3)_2), 1.69 (2\text{H}, \text{ qn, } J 7.5, \text{ SCH}_2), 1.48 - 1.16 (18\text{H}, \text{ m, } \text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3), 0.94 - 0.82 (3\text{H}, \text{ m, } \text{CH}_3). \delta\textsuperscript{C} (300 \text{ MHz, CDCl}_3) 220.1 (1\text{C, SC(S)S}), 169.7 (1\text{C, C(O)}), 143.1 (2\text{C, meta C}), 139.8 (1\text{C, ipso C}), 139.6 (1\text{C, para C}), 136.3 (2\text{C, Ortho C}), 55.5 (1\text{C, C(CH}_3)_2), 37.3 (1\text{C, SCH}_2), 32.0 - 22.8 (10\text{C, SCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3), 25.4 (2\text{C, C(CH}_3)_2), 14.1 (1\text{C, CH}_2\text{CH}_3). \delta\textsuperscript{F} (300 \text{ MHz, CDCl}_3) -151.4 - -151.6 (2\text{F, m, OCC}_2\text{H}_2\text{C}_2\text{H}_2\text{CH}), -148.5 (1\text{F, t, } J 21.5, \text{ OCC}_2\text{H}_2\text{C}_2\text{H}_2\text{CH}), -162.2 - -162.5 (2\text{F, m, OCC}_2\text{H}_2\text{C}_2\text{H}_2\text{CH}). m/z calculated as 530.14; found for ESI [M+Na]\textsuperscript{+} 553.3 and [M+CH}_3\text{CN+Na}\textsuperscript{+} 593.5. FTIR (cm\textsuperscript{-1}) – 2956, 2917 & 2850 (methyl and methylene), 1702 (ester C=O), 1519 (aromatic C=C or C-F), 1460, 1437 & 1413 (methyl and methylene), 1280 (C(CH}_3)_2), 1068 (S-C(S)-S).
Representative Polymerisation of 2-hydroxyethyl acrylamide (PHEA72)

PHEA72 as representative example. 4.38 g (38 mmol) of 2-hydroxyethyl acrylamide, 0.0595 g (0.21 mmol) of ACVA and 0.5008 g (0.91 mmol) of PFP-DMP was added to 22 mL 1:1 toluene:methanol and degassed with nitrogen for 30 minutes. The reaction vessel was stirred and heated to 70 °C for 2 hours. The solvent was removed under vacuum. The crude product was dissolved in the minimum amount of methanol. Diethyl ether cooled in liquid nitrogen was added to the methanol to form a precipitate. The mixture was centrifuged for 2 minutes at 13 krpm and the liquid decanted off. The solid was dissolved in methanol and removed under vacuum to give a yellow crystalline solid.

PHEA72 - δH (300 MHz, D2O) 8.30 - 7.96 (34H, m, NH), 3.96 - 3.52 (126H, m, NHCH2), 3.52 - 3.07 (155H, m, CH2OH & SCH2), 2.36 - 1.88 (70H, m, CH2CHC(O) & C(CH3)2), 1.88 - 1.03 (148H, m, CH2CHC(O) & CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH3), 0.82 - 0.70 (5H, m, CH2CH3). δF (300 MHz, D2O) -151.0 -165.0 (5F, m, C6F5). FTIR (cm⁻¹) – 3267 (OH, broad), 3088 & 2924 (C(O)NH and NH), 1638 & 1545 (C(O)NH).

Yield - 73%

PHEA53 - δH (300 MHz, D2O) 8.34 - 7.98 (4H, m, NH), 4.01 - 3.56 (90H, m, NHCH2), 3.56 - 3.07 (91H, m, CH2OH & SCH2), 2.40 - 1.90 (47H, m, CH2CHC(O) & C(CH3)2), 1.90 - 0.99 (123H, m, CH2CHC(O) & CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH3), 0.82 - 0.72 (5H, m, CH2CH3)

PHEA110 - δH (300 MHz, D2O) 8.24 - 8.02 (28H, m, NH), 3.83 - 3.51 (239H, m, NHCH2), 3.51 - 3.08 (293H, m, CH2OH & SCH2), 2.40 - 1.90 (117H, m, CH2CHC(O) & C(CH3)2), 1.90 - 1.03 (273H, m, CH2CHC(O) & CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH3), 0.86 - 0.73 (5H, m, CH2CH3)
Representative Poly(N-hydroxyethyl acrylamide) (PHEA72) Glycan Functionalisation

0.2516 g (0.028 mmol) of poly(2-hydroxyethyl acrylamide) and 0.093 g (0.43 mmol) of galactosamine HCl were added to 30 mL of DMF containing 0.05 M TEA. The reaction was stirred at 50 °C for 16 hours. Solvent was removed under vacuum. The crude product was dissolved in the minimum amount of methanol at RTP before cooling in a liquid nitrogen bath. Diethyl ether cooled in liquid nitrogen was added to the methanol to form a precipitate. The mixture was centrifuged for 2 minutes at 13 krpm and the liquid decanted off. The solid was dissolved in methanol and removed under vacuum to give an orange/brown crystalline solid. δH (400 MHz, D2O) 8.33 - 8.01 (6H, m, NH), 4.95 - 4.89 (6H, anomeric protons), 3.99 - 3.54 (~145H, m, NHCH2 & glycan protons), 3.54 - 3.19 (~233H, m, CH2OH & SCH2 & glycan protons + diethyl ether impurity), 2.37 - 1.87 (~60H, m, CH2CHC(O), C(CH3)2 & glycan protons), 1.87 - 1.07 (152H, m, CH2CHC(O) & CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH3 + diethyl ether impurity), 0.93 - 0.72 (5H, m, CH2CH3). FTIR (cm⁻¹) – 3274 (OH, broad), 3104 & 2929 (C(O)NH and NH), 1638 & 1552 (C(O)NH).
85.5 mg (0.0096 mmol) of PHEA72 and 19.45 mg (0.068 mmol) of biotin-NH$_2$ was dissolved in 10 mL of DMF containing 100 µL TEA. The reaction was stirred at 50 °C for 16 hours. Solvent was removed under vacuum. The crude product was dissolved in the minimum amount of methanol at RTP before cooling in a liquid nitrogen bath. Diethyl ether cooled in liquid nitrogen was added to the methanol to form a precipitate. The mixture was centrifuged for 2 minutes at 13 krpm and the liquid decanted off. The solid was dissolved in methanol and removed under vacuum to give an orange/yellow crystalline solid. $\delta$H (400 MHz, D$_2$O) 8.00 - 7.91 (4H, C(O)NH), 4.65 - 4.58 (1H, m, CHCH$_2$(S)), 4.47 - 4.39 (1H, m, CHCH(S)), 3.90 - 3.55 (85H, m, NHCH$_2$), 3.55 - 3.10 (141H, m, CH$_2$OH, C(O)NHCH$_2$CH$_2$NH, CHCH$_2$, C(O)NHCH$_2$CH$_2$NH & CHCHHS), 2.82 - 2.68 (1H, m, CHCHHS), 2.45 - 1.87 (46H, m, CH$_2$CHC(O), C(CH$_3$)$_2$, CH$_2$C(O)NH), 1.87 - 1.07 (97H, m, CH$_2$CHC(O) & CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_3$, SCHCH$_2$CH$_2$H$_2$ & SCHCH$_2$CH$_2$H$_2$), 0.86 -0.77 (1H, m, CH$_2$CH$_3$). FTIR (cm$^{-1}$) – 3248 (OH Broad), 1636 (C(O)NH).
Figure E5.1. $^{19}$F NMR of PHEA72 before (Top) and after (bottom) biotin functionalisation
MADIX Agent Synthesis. 2-(ethoxycarbonothioylthio)-2-methylpropanoic acid N-hydroxsuccinimide ester (MADIX1)

![Chemical Structure]

10.27 g (61.50 mmol) of 2-bromo-2-methyl-propionic acid was dissolved in 60 mL of ethanol. 15.00 g (93.57 mmol) of potassium O-ethyl xanthate was added, and the mixture was stirred for 38 hours at RTP. The reaction mixture was filtered under gravity and the filtrate was diluted with 400 mL of diethyl ether. The organic layer was washed with water (200 mL ×3) and the aqueous layers were combined and acidified with 6 M HCl. The aqueous layers were extracted with diethyl ether (200 mL ×3) and combined with all organic layers. The solution was dried with MgSO₄ and filtered under gravity. The solvent was removed under vacuum to form a yellow oil. 8.83 g (42.45 mmol) of crude product (2-(ethoxycarbonothioylthio)-2-methylpropanoic acid) and 9.50 g (82.54 mmol) of N-hydroxysuccinimide were added to an empty RBF and purged with nitrogen before 40 mL of anhydrous THF was added, the solution was then degassed for a further 20 minutes. The solution was cooled to 0 °C and 8 mL (9.93 g, 78.65 mmol) of N,N-diisopropyl carbodiimide was added dropwise over 10 minutes. The flask was put under positive nitrogen pressure and stirred for 48 hours. The solution was filtered under gravity and the filtrate solvent removed under vacuum. The crude solid was dissolved in 100 mL of diethyl ether and 100 mL of saturated NaHCO₃ solution. The organic layer was washed with water (100 mL ×3) and 100 mL of brine once. The organic layer was dried with MgSO₄ and filtered under gravity. The solvent was then removed from the filtrate under vacuum. The crude product was recrystallised from ethyl acetate overnight at -8 °C, washed with cold hexane and dried to give yellow crystals (25.2%). δH (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 4.69 (2H, q, J 7.0, OCH₂), 2.85 - 2.81 (4H, m, C(O)CH₂CH₂C(O)), 1.76 (6H, s, C(CH₃)₂), 1.37 (3H, t, J 7.0, CH₂CH₃). δC (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 208.9 (1C, SC(S)S), 171.4 (1C, OC(O)), 168.8 (2C, NC(O)), 71.0 (1C, OCH₂), 52.4 (1C, C(CH₃)₂), 26.2 (2C, C(O)CH₂CH₂C(O)), 25.7 (2C, C(CH₃)₂), 13.1 (1C, CH₂CH₃). m/z calculated as 305.36; found for ESI [M+Na]+ 328.1. FTIR (cm⁻¹) – 2989.32 & 2940.46 (methyl or methylene), 1779.80 (ester carbonyl), 1731.34 (amide), 1462 (methyl), 1202.06 (C=S), 1038.06 (S-C(S)-O).
Figure E5.2. Retrosynthesis of MADIX agent

(FGI – functional group interconversion)
Representative Polymerisation of N-vinyl pyrroldone (PVP80)

5.65 mL (5.43 g, 48.88 mmol) N-vinyl pyrrolidone, 0.010 g (0.036 mmol) ACVA and 0.0523 g (0.171 mmol) MADIX1 were added to 8.5 mL of dioxane and degassed with nitrogen for 20 minutes. The reaction was stirred at 80 °C for 3 days. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the solid dialyzed using 0.5 - 1 kDa cellulose ester tubing in water. The dialyzed product was freeze dried overnight to give a white powder. \(\delta_H\) (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 4.06 - 3.48 (80H, m, NCH₂), 3.47 - 2.98 (184H, m, NC(O)CH₂)
2.85 - 2.77 (4H, m, C(O)CH₂CH₂C(O)), 2.58 - 2.13 (253H, m, NC(O)CH₂), 2.13 - 1.84 (206H, m, NCH₂CH₂), 1.84 - 1.03 (204H, m, (CH₃)₂ & NCHCH₂ & OCH₂CH₃).

FTIR (cm⁻¹) – 2926 (alkyl stretch) 1655 (lactam amide), 1422 (CH₂)

PVP50 - \(\delta_H\) (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 4.16 - 3.45 (50H, m, NCH₂), 3.51 - 2.96 (100H, m, NC(O)CH₂) 2.86 - 2.74 (4H, m, C(O)CH₂CH₂C(O)), 2.71 - 2.14 (129H, m, NC(O)CH₂), 2.14 - 1.85 (111H, m, NCH₂CH₂), 1.85 - 1.01 (159H, m, (CH₃)₂ & NCHCH₂ & OCH₂CH₃)

PVP150 - \(\delta_H\) (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 4.11 - 3.46 (150H, m, NCH₂), 3.46 - 2.92 (305H, m, NC(O)CH₂) 2.85 - 2.75 (4H, m, C(O)CH₂CH₂C(O)), 2.69 - 2.12 (428H, m, NC(O)CH₂), 2.12 - 1.84 (320H, m, NCH₂CH₂), 1.84 - 1.17 (306H, m, (CH₃)₂ & NCHCH₂ & OCH₂CH₃)
Representative Poly(N-vinyl pyrrolidone) (PVP80) Glycan Functionalisation

26.6 mg (2.8 µmol) of polymer and 21.2 mg (0.099 mmol) of galactosamine HCl were dissolved in the minimum amount of DMSO and 37.5 µL TEA, stirred for 3 days at RTP and dialyzed using 0.5 - 1 kDa regenerated cellulose membrane tubing in water. The dialyzed product was freeze dried overnight to give a pale-yellow powder (23.5 mg).

δH (300 MHz, CDCl3) 5.35 - 4.75 (anomeric 1H, m, C(O)OH), 4.04 - 3.51 (84H, m, CHN & glycan protons), 3.38 - 2.96 (184H, m, NCH2 & glycan protons), 2.51 - 2.11 (176H, m, NC(O)CH2 & glycan protons), 2.11 - 1.84 (172H, m, NCH2CH2), 1.84 - 1.01 (215H, m, (CH3)2 & NCH2CH2 & OCH2CH3). FTIR (cm⁻¹) – 2920, 2877 (alkyl stretch) 1655 (lactam amide), 1422 (CH2)

Representative Poly(N-vinyl pyrrolidone) (PVP80) Biotin Functionalisation

6.5 mg (0.7 µmol) of polymer, 5 mg (17.46 µmol) of biotin-NH2 and 27.5 µL of TEA was dissolved in the minimum volume of DMSO and stirred at RTP for 72 hours. The reaction mixture was dialyzed using 1 kDa regenerated cellulose membrane in water and freeze dried to give a white solid (5.6 mg). δH (300 MHz, CDCl3) 4.08 - 3.52 (82H, m, CHN & C(O)NHCH2), 3.42 - 2.97 (167H, NCH2, CHCHS, CH2NH2, CHCHHS, CHCHHS), 2.55 - 2.12 (226H, NC(O)CH2 & CH2C(O)NH), 2.12 - 1.85 (180H, NCH2CH2), 1.85 - 1.07 (193H, m, (CH3)2, NCH2CH2, OCH2CH3, SCHCH2CH2 & SCHCH2CH2CH2 & SCHCH2CH2CH2). FTIR (cm⁻¹) – 1634 (lactam amide)
Synthesis of diamine t-BOC

Synthesised by a previously reported protocol.\textsuperscript{33} 14 mL (200 mmol) of 1,2-diaminethane (ethylene diamine) was added to 200 mL of chloroform and cooled to 0°C. 4.44 g (20 mmol) of di-tert-butyl decarbonate (BOC\textsubscript{2}O) was added to 100 mL of chloroform and added dropwise to the ethylene diamine solution over three hours. The reaction mixture was then stirred at RTP for 16 hours. After 16 hours the reaction mixture was washed with brine (3 × 100 mL) and then water (50 mL), before drying with magnesium sulphate. This was filtered to remove the magnesium sulphate and excess solvent was removed under vacuum to give a pale-yellow oil (3.28 g, 10.2%).

$\delta$\textsubscript{H} (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) 4.97 (1H, s, C(O)NH), 3.14 (2H, q, J 5.5, NHCH\textsubscript{2}), 2.76 (2H, t, J 6.0, CH\textsubscript{2}NH\textsubscript{2}), 1.42 (9H, s, C(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}), 1.30 (2H, s, NH\textsubscript{2}). $\delta$\textsubscript{C} (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) 156.3 (1C, C(O)), 43.5 (1C, NHCH\textsubscript{2}), 42.0 (1C, CH\textsubscript{2}NH\textsubscript{2}), 28.5 (3C, C(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}) - C(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} hidden by solvent peak. FTIR (cm\textsuperscript{-1}) – 3357 (amine and amide N-H), 2972, 2931 & 2870 (methyl and methylene), 1684 (amide carbonyl), 1507 (methyl). m/z calculated as 160.12; found for ESI [M+H]\textsuperscript{+} 161.2 & [2M+H]\textsuperscript{+} 321.5
Synthesis of biotin diamine \( t \)-BOC

![Chemical structure](image)

Synthesised by a previously reported protocol.\(^{33}\) 0.253 g (1.04 mmol) of biotin, 0.217 g (1.36 mmol) of diamine \( t \)-BOC and 0.265 g (1.70 mmol) of EDC were added to a mixture of 5 mL of methanol and 15 mL of acetonitrile under nitrogen. The solution was stirred at 50 °C for 5 hours. Excess solvent was removed under vacuum and the crude resuspended in methanol before filtering through a Celite plug\(^{\circledR}\). The solvent was removed under vacuum and the product purified by silica column chromatography (DCM:MeOH 9:1) using a KMnO\(_4\) stain to give a white powder (0.193 g, 48.1%). \(\delta_H\) (400 MHz, MeOD) 7.65 - 7.55 (1H, m, NHC(O)O), 7.45 - 7.34 (1H, m, CH\(_2\)C(O)NH), 6.75 - 6.62 (1H, m, C(O)NHCHCH), 6.15 - 6.07 (1H, m, C(O)NHCHCH\(_2\)), 4.57 - 4.47 (1H, m, CHCH\(_2\)(S)), 4.37 - 4.28 (1H, m, CHCH(S)), 3.82 (2H, q, \( J \) 7, CH\(_2\)C(O)NHCH\(_2\)), 3.22 - 3.04 (3H, m, CH\(_2\)NH(O)O & SCH), 2.78 (1H, t, \( J \) 8.5, CHCHHC(S)), 2.71 (1H, t, \( J \) 7, CHCHHC(S)), 2.22 (2H, t, \( J \) 7.5, CH\(_2\)C(O)), 1.72 - 1.47 (4H, m, CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)C(O)), 1.43 (9H, s, OC(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)), 1.30 - 1.10 (2H, m, CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)C(O)NH). \(\delta_C\) (400 MHz, MeOD) 176.4 (1C, CH\(_2\)C(O)NH) 166.1 (1C, NHC(O)NH), 80.1 (1C, C(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)), 63.3 (1C, C(O)NHCHCH\(_2\)), 61.6 (1C, C(O)NHCHCH), 56.9 (1C, C(O)NHCHCH), 41.03, 41.96 & 40.5 (3C, C(O)NHCHCH\(_2\), C(O)NH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)NH\(_2\)), 36.8 (1C, CH\(_2\)C(O)NH), 29.8 & 29.5 (2C, C(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)), 28.8 & 26.8 (2C, SCHCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)). FTIR (cm\(^{-1}\)) – 3291 (amine and amide N-H), 2931 & 2864 (methyl and methylene), 1687 & 1647 (amide carbonyls), 1528 (methyl/aromatic). m/z calculated as 386.20; found for ESI [M+Na]\(^{+}\) 409.2 & [M-H]\(^{-}\) 385.2
Synthesis of biotin-NH₂

Synthesised by a previously reported protocol. 0.1 g (0.26 mmol) of biotin diamine t-BOC was added to 6 mL of DCM containing 1.5 mL of TFA. This was stirred for 2 hours at RTP. The reaction mixture solvent was removed under vacuum and the crude solid dissolved in 50 mL of water before washing with diethyl ether (3 × 50 mL). The aqueous layer solvent was removed under vacuum and dried to give a clear colourless oil (0.08 g). δ_H (400 MHz, D₂O) 4.62 (1H, dd, J 7.5, 5.0 CH₂(S)), 4.44 (1H, dd, J 8, 4.5, CH₂(S)), 3.51 (2H, t, J 6, C(O)NHCH₂), 3.39 - 3.32 (1H, m, CHH(S)), 3.15 (2H, t, J 6, CH₂NH₂), 3.01 (1H, dd, J 13, 5, CHCHH(S)), 2.89 - 2.69 (1H, m, CHCHHS), 2.31 (2H, t, J 7.5 CH₂C(O)NH), 1.81 - 1.52 (4H, m, SCHCH₂CH₂CH₂), 1.49 - 1.36 (2H, m, SCHCH₂CH₂CH₂). δ_C (400 MHz, D₂O) 177.9 (1C, CH₂C(O)NH), 62.1 (1C, CHCHS), 60.3 (1C, CHCH₂S), 55.3 (1C, CHCHS), 39.6 (1C, CHCH₂S) 39.1 (1C, CH₂NH₂), 36.7 (1C, C(O)NHCH₂), 35.3 (1C, CH₂C(O)NHCH₂), 27.9 & 27.6 (2C, SCH₂CH₂CH₂), 24.8 (1C, SCH₂CH₂CH₂). FTIR (cm⁻¹) – 3390, 3272 (amine and amide N-H), 1685 & 1662 (amide carbonyls). m/z calculated as 286.39; found for ESI [M+H]⁺ 287.1 [M+Na]⁺ 309.1
Citrate-Stabilised 16 nm Gold Nanoparticle Synthesis

Synthesised by a previously reported protocol. To 500 mL of water was added 0.163 g (0.414 mmol) of gold(III) chloride trihydrate, the mixture was heated to reflux and 14.6 mL of water containing 0.429 g (1.46 mmol) of sodium citrate tribasic dihydrate was added. The reaction was allowed to reflux for 30 minutes before cooling to room temperature over 3 hours. The solution was centrifuged at 13 k rpm for 30 minutes and the pellet resuspended in 40 mL of water to give an absorbance at 520 nm of ~1 Abs.

Gold Nanoparticle Polymer Coating Functionalisation – 16 nm

10 mg of polymer was agitated overnight with 10 mL of 16 nm AuNPs ~1 Abs at UV_{max}. The solution was centrifuged at 13 k rpm for 30 minutes and the pellet resuspended in 10 mL of water, the solution was centrifuged again at 13 k rpm for 30 minutes and the pellet resuspended in 1 mL aliquots and centrifuged at 14.5 k rpm for 10 minutes. The pellets were combined into a 1 mL solution with an absorbance at 520 nm of ~10 Abs.

Gold Nanoparticle Polymer Coating Functionalisation – 40 nm

10 mg of polymer was agitated overnight with 10 mL of 40 nm AuNPs ~1 Abs at UV_{max}. The solution was centrifuged at 6 k rpm for 10 minutes and the pellet resuspended in 10 mL of water, the solution was centrifuged again at 6 k rpm for 10 minutes and the pellet resuspended in 1 mL aliquots and centrifuged at 6 k rpm for 10 minutes. The pellets were combined into a 1 mL solution with an absorbance at UV_{max} of ~10 Abs.
5.6.4 Lateral Flow Strip Production, Running and Analysis Protocols

The procedure to produce flow-through and lateral flow devices was identical, apart from the deposition of the analyte directly to the nitrocellulose (flow-through), versus application of tests lines to the nitrocellulose (lateral flow). This is a truncated protocol from Baker et al., provided for clarity.\textsuperscript{13}

Protocol for Manufacturing Lateral Flow Strips

Backing cards were cut to size by removal of 20 mm using a guillotine. Nitrocellulose was added to the backing card by attaching the plastic backing of the nitrocellulose to the self-adhesive on the card. The wick material was then added to the backing card so it overlaps with the nitrocellulose by ~5 mm. The lateral flow strips were cut to size of width 2 - 3 mm.

Figure E5.3. Lateral flow strip dimensions

Protocol for Test Line Addition to the Lateral Flow Strips

1 μL of the test line solution was added to the test strip using a micropipette fitted with 10 μL tip, the test line was spotted ~1 cm from the non-wick end of the strip. The strips were dried at 37 °C in an oven for 30 minutes. The test strips were allowed to cool to room temperature before testing.

Protocol for Running Lateral Flow Test Without Target Analyte in Buffer

The running buffer of total volume 50 μL was made as follows; 5 μL AuNPs (OD10), 5 μL lateral flow assay buffer – 10 × HEPES buffer, 40 μL water. The running solution was then agitated on a roller for 5 minutes. 45 μL of this solution was added to a 0.2 mL PCR tube, standing vertically.
A small “v” (~3 mm) was cut into the test strips at the non-wick end and the strips added to the PCR tubes, so they protrude from the top and the immobile phase (1 cm from non-wick end) is not below the solvent line. There was one test per tube. All tests were run in triplicate.

The tests were run for 20 minutes before removal from the tubes. The test strips were allowed to dry at room temperature for ~5 minutes. The test strips were mounted test-face down onto a clear and colourless piece of acetate sheeting.

The Protocol for Running Lateral Flow Test Without Target Analyte in Buffer was used for the flow-through assays as the target analyte is deposited on the nitrocellulose as a “test line” i.e. the analyte is not in the running buffer.

Protocol for Running Lateral Flow Test with Target Analyte in Buffer

The running buffer of total volume 50 µL was made as follows; 5 µL AuNPs (OD10), 5 µL lateral flow assay buffer – 10 × HEPES buffer, 40 µL of water - x µL, where x is the volume of target analyte added to make the required concentration of the lectin. The running solution was then agitated on a roller for 5 minutes. 45 µL of this solution was added to a 0.2 mL PCR tube, standing vertically.

A small “v” (~3 mm) was cut into the test strips at the non-wick end and the strips added to the PCR tubes, so they protrude from the top and the immobile phase (1 cm from non-wick end) is not below the solvent line. There was one test per tube. All tests were run in triplicate.

The tests were run for 20 minutes before removal from the tubes. The test strips were allowed to dry at room temperature for ~5 minutes. The test strips were mounted test-face down onto a clear and colourless piece of acetate sheeting.

Standard Protocol for Lateral Flow Strip Analysis

The acetate sheets were scanned using a Kyocera TASKalfa 5550ci printer to a pdf file that was converted to a jpeg, scans were taken within 1 hour of strip drying. The jpeg was analyzed in ImageJ 1.51 using the plot profile function to create a data set exported to Microsoft Excel for Mac. The data was exported to Origin 2019 64Bit and trimmed to remove pixel data not from the strip surface. The data was aligned and averaged (mean). The data was then reduced by number of groups to 100 data points.
(nitrocellulose and wick) and plotted as Grey value (scale) vs Relative distance along the 100 data points.

Figure E5.4. Representative dipstick (Top), raw grey value plot (Middle) and processed grey value plot (Bottom)

**Lateral Flow Assay Buffer - 10× HEPES buffer (10% PVP<sub>400</sub>) in 100 mL H<sub>2</sub>O**

2.38 g (100 mmol.dm<sup>-3</sup>) of HEPES, 8.77 g (1.50 mol.dm<sup>-3</sup>) of NaCl, 0.011 g (1.0 mmol.dm<sup>-3</sup>) of CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.8 g (0.8% w/v., 123 mmol.dm<sup>-3</sup>) of NaN<sub>3</sub>, 0.5 g (0.5% w/v., 4.07 mmol.dm<sup>-3</sup>) of Tween-20 and 10 g (10% w/v.) of poly(vinyl pyrrolidone)<sub>400</sub> (PVP<sub>400</sub>, Average Mw ~40,000) were dissolved in 100 mL of water. The buffer was not pH adjusted.

**Intensity Calculations**

The average background was determined by calculating the mean grey value of points between 0 - 60 relative distance units, subtracted from 255 (the grey value of clean nitrocellulose); excluding points from aggregation at the solvent front, points contributing to the signal peak and points in the wick. This average background value
was subtracted from the lowest grey value of the signal peak (subtracted from 255) to give intensity.

**Signal-to-Noise Calculations**

The signal (intensity of test) was then divided by the noise (intensity of control) value to give a signal-to-noise value.
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Chapter 6

The Synthesis of C2 Aminated Monosaccharides with Conserved C2 Hydroxyl Functionality using Mannich Reactions
6.1 Abstract

Aminated glycans, such as mannosamine and 1-deoxy-1-amino-mannose, are convenient for conjugation reactions onto polymers. However, to create these aminated glycans a hydroxyl must be sacrificed in a substitution reaction for an amine. This is unfortunate, given the importance of a glycan’s hydroxyl groups to lectin recognition. The Mannich reaction is a multicomponent reaction widely used to make pharmaceutical targets which could provide an opportunity to produce aminated glycan derivatives without the loss of hydroxyl groups at the C2 position. This study explores the use of the Mannich reaction with mannose and glucose derivatives. Although unsuccessful with the reagents used, it does highlight a potential avenue for future chemical exploration in novel glycan synthesis.
6.2 Declaration

This chapter is entirely the work of myself and has not been published.
6.3 Introduction

Multicomponent reactions allow access to a wide array of chemical space in a small number of synthetic steps. Examples include; the Ugi coupling reaction to form bisamides, the Strecker amino acid synthesis and the Mannich reaction.¹ The Mannich reaction was discovered in 1917 following the reaction of acetophenone (an aromatic ketone) with methanal (formaldehyde) and ammonium chloride by the reaction’s namesake.² Since then, it has been extensively studied³ and its mechanism elucidated.

![Figure 6.1. Drugs with Mannich base pharmacophores (highlighted in red). A) Fluoxetine; B) Atropine and C) Paclitaxel](image)

The Mannich reaction synthesises β-aminoketones and β-aminoaldehydes – termed “Mannich bases”. Mannich bases are a pharmacophore in many drugs, such as fluoxetine (Figure 6.1A) and atropine (Figure 6.1B).⁴ These bases are also of interest due to their similarities with α-hydroxy-β-amino motifs found in therapeutics, for example, paclitaxel (Figure 6.1C) and docetaxel.⁵ For this reason, the Mannich reaction has been utilised en route to pharmaceuticals.⁶ The reaction requires an acidic proton, an aldehyde and an amine in a (usually) acid catalysed reaction. The first step is the attack of the amine with the non-enolisable, often protonated, carbonyl (Figure 6.2). After tautomerisation, an iminium ion forms and acts as the electrophile in a reaction with an enolate to form the Mannich base. The utilisation of iminium salts has allowed for milder and faster reaction conditions.⁷
Figure 6.2. Mannich Reaction mechanism

Aminated glycans at the C1 (1-amino-1-deoxyhexoses) or C2 (2-amino-2-deoxyhexoses) position, for example glucosamine, galactosamine and mannosamine (Figure 6.3A), are functionally useful monosaccharides as they can act as nucleophiles for conjugations, such as additions onto polymer end groups. Cho and Kim\(^8\) have developed a convenient synthesis for glucosamine and mannosamine from glucose, while addition of an amine to the C1 position is possible using hydrazides\(^9\) and ammonium solutions.\(^{10,11}\) In all these glycans, the hydroxyls at the C1 or C2 positions are substituted for amine groups. This is of concern since the removal of hydroxyls from a monosaccharide can influence its affinity for a lectin.\(^{12,13}\)
Figure 6.3. Mannose derivatives

A) Mannosamine and B) a mannose derivative (2-C-phenylmethanamine mannose) with an amine linker at the C2-position.

The Mannich reaction could offer access to a variety of novel aminated glycan molecules via a carbon linker (Figure 6.3B). The Córdova group has previously shown that the Mannich reaction can be used to add N-(phenylmethylene)benzamides to aldehydes\textsuperscript{14} to form α-hydroxy-β-amino acids in a proline-catalysed reaction (Figure 6.4).\textsuperscript{5}

Figure 6.4. Scheme presented by Dziedzic et al. for the reaction of N-benzyldiene benzamide with an aldehyde to form an α-hydroxy-β-amino acid\textsuperscript{14}

The linear form of 2,3,4,6-tetra-benzyl-protected aldehydic glycans replicate the structures of the aldehydes used by Córdova, raising the potential for the formation of carbon-linked aminated glycans at the C2 position without replacing the hydroxyl (Figure 6.3B). By building on the transition state proposed by the Córdova group, it is possible to formulate a reaction mechanism for the reaction of a mannose derivative with an iminium compound, such as N-benzyldiene benzamide (Figure 6.5).

The aim of this chapter is to synthesise the compound shown in Figure 6.3B using the method developed by Córdova for both mannose and glucose derivatives.
Figure 6.5. Proposed Mannich Reaction of mannose derivative adapted from transition state proposed by Córdova group\textsuperscript{5}
6.4 Results and Discussion

Figure 6.6. Proposed reaction scheme for the synthesis of 2-C-phenylmethanamine mannose

The proposed synthesis for 2-C-phenylmethanamine mannose (Figure 6.6) utilises the work of the Córdova group, specifically their work on the Mannich reaction (Figure 6.4).\(^5,\)\(^14\) Notably, the linear (open-chain) form of 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl-protected mannose replicates the core structure of the aldehydes used by Córdova. Following the proline-catalysed Mannich reaction step, the benzyl and benzyol protecting groups can be removed to leave the 2-C-phenylmethanamine mannose product

6.4.1 Synthesis of 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl mannose

Two methods were trialled for the synthesis of 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl mannose from methylmannoside. The first method, based on work by Koto et al.,\(^15\) provided a pure product observed by \(^1\)H NMR with a 17% yield – marginally greater than that reported by Koto (Figure 6.7A). The second method (Bulman Page et al.) offered yields in the literature of ~40% but required anhydrous solvents and a more arduous synthesis (Figure 6.7B).\(^16\) Initial attempts with the Bulman Page method delivered only trace yields too small to characterise successfully by NMR with partial benzylation shown by mass spectrometry.
Alterations to the temperature and reaction time (from RTP to 70 °C and 3 hours to 16 hours) of the Bulman Page method for benzylation provided yields of 57%. This diverges from other literature sources that favour low reaction temperatures, but did remove the excess use of benzyl chloride as in the Koto method, favouring benzyl bromide instead.

A series of reactions, that did not require the use of methyl protecting groups, was also attempted to produce glycan reagents for the Mannich reaction step. It was decided that 1-acetyl-mannopyranoside using the Lim and Fairbanks method was a possible alternative to 1-methyl-mannopyranoside. However, in all cases the reaction did not stop at the monoacetylated anomeric product, but progressed to various degrees of acetylation as shown by mass spectrometry.

Using a less stable protecting group at the anomeric position also necessitated exploration of global benzylation without the loss of the acetyl group. Therefore, sodium hydride could not be used. A feasible method in the literature involved the use of bis[acetylacetonato]nickel, however this reaction has not been discussed in the literature for monosaccharides. The reaction was unsuccessful in all cases, in the synthesis of 1,2,3,4,6-penta-O-benzyl-mannopyranoside, as it required the use of high temperatures that led to degradation of the monosaccharide. Therefore, the use of methylmannoside was favoured since a more facile method using acetyl groups could not be achieved.
With the 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl methylmannoside (and glucose equivalent) to hand, following the aforementioned adapted Bulman Page method, the next step was removal of the C1 methyl protecting group. Both Koto’s and Bulman Page’s demethylation methods utilise glacial acetic acid, however Bulman Page (Figure 6.8B) favours hydrochloric acid instead of sulphuric acid used by Koto (Figure 6.8A). Both approaches were attempted, and it was found that the Koto method, when carried out over approximately four hours, led to near-complete demethylation. Therefore, the Koto method was used to synthesise both 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl mannose and 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl glucose.
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Figure 6.8. Reaction schemes for Koto (A) and Bulman Page (B) approaches for the synthesis of 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl mannose
6.4.2 Synthesis of \( N \)-benzylidone benzamide

Various methods were used to synthesise the silyl imine and the imide. Two methods were used to synthesise the silyl imine; the Bongini method\(^\text{21}\) that did not require anhydrous solvents; and the Panunzio method\(^\text{22}\) that required anhydrous THF (Figure 6.9). All approaches obtained good yields and product as shown by \(^1\)H NMR and EI mass spectrometry.

While the Panunzio method obtained marginally higher yields (83\%) compared to the Bongini method (79\%), the Panunzio method required solvent removal for use in the imide synthesis. Solvent removal proved problematic, as extended exposure to moisture in the air led to degradation of the silyl imine. Therefore, the Bongini method was initially favoured as it was anticipated that this method could be used in conjunction with the Bongini-Kupfer\(^\text{21,23}\) imide synthesis without solvent removal.

![Reaction scheme for Panunzio (A) and Bongini (B) approaches for the synthesis of \( N \)-trimethylsilylbenzaldimine](image)

Figure 6.9. Reaction schemes for Panunzio (A) and Bongini (B) approaches for the synthesis of \( N \)-trimethylsilylbenzaldimine

Synthesis of the imide from the silyl imine was carried out by two methods; Bongini-Kupfer\(^\text{21,23}\) (Figure 6.10A) and Colvin-Kupfer\(^\text{23,24}\) (Figure 6.10B). It should be noted that the syntheses of the imide were carried out following the Bongini imine synthesis using methods adapted from both Bongini and Kupfer (Bongini-Kupfer) or both...
Colvin and Kupfer (Colvin-Kupfer), with cis or trans isomerism not determinable. While the Bongini-Kupfer method does not require anhydrous solvents, it delivered higher yields (75%) compared to Colvin-Kupfer (69%) and could be carried out consecutively without removing the imine adduct from solution (protecting the imine adduct from degradation). However, it was found that the Colvin-Kupfer method was more reproducible and provided a purer product following removal of the solvent and excess \( N \)-trimethylsilylbenzaldimine under vacuum.

The consecutive Bongini and Colvin-Kupfer syntheses were tracked by \( ^1H \) NMR integration of the imine’s hydrogen (\( CHNSi \)) versus the benzaldehyde \( \alpha \)-hydrogen in the silyl imine synthesis, and the shift of the imine hydrogen on conjugation to benzoyle chloride in the imide synthesis. This showed the reaction processes to almost near-completion, with the final product observable by ESI mass spectrometry. The \( N \)-benzylidone benzamide product was not purified beyond removal of both the solvent and \( N \)-trimethylsilylbenzaldimine under vacuum.
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Figure 6.10. Reaction schemes for Bongini-Kupfer (A) and Colvin-Kupfer (B) approaches for the synthesis of \( N \)-benzylidone benzamide
6.4.3 Mannich reaction

With N-benzylidone benzamide, 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl mannose and 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl glucose synthesised, the Mannich reaction step was attempted as described by the Córdova group.\textsuperscript{5,14} In order to avoid issues with enantioselective control, as described by Dziedzic,\textsuperscript{14} both the \(R\) (levo, L) and \(S\) (dextro, D) enantiomers of proline were used with both glycans in four separate reaction combinations (Figure 6.11).

![Mannich reaction proline and glycan combinations](image)

Figure 6.11. Mannich reaction proline and glycan combinations

The Mannich reaction step was attempted on a milligram scale. Mass spectrometry analysis was promising with a peak in all four proline and glycan combinations at \(~772\) Da (m/z calculated as 749.3; found for ESI [M+Na]\textsuperscript{+} \(~772\)), but is likely due to a \(\pi\)-\(\pi\) stacking adduct of the glycan and imide reagents. Further characterisation by TLC, after a washing step to remove the proline catalyst, also showed no new products formed (Figure 6.12).

![TLC analysis of Mannich reaction crudes](image)

Figure 6.12. TLC analysis of Mannich reaction crudes
A) TLC under UV light; B) TLC after a 5% sulphuric acid in ethanol stain
An in-depth analysis of the NMR data, including the HSQC and HMBC data, collected after a washing step to remove the proline catalyst, also confirmed that no Mannich product was formed (all spectra can be found in Appendix 6). Figure 6.13 shows the overlaid $^1$H NMR spectra from a representative Mannich reaction (2,3,4,6-tetra-$O$-benzyl glucose + L-proline). It is clear from Figure 6.13 that no new product forms as all peaks (except impurities and solvents) can be accounted for in both the higher region of the $^1$H spectra (8.5 ppm to 6.3 ppm, Figure 6.13B) and the lower range (5.3 ppm to 3.3 ppm, Figure 6.13C) by the presence of the benzylated glycan and $N$-benzyldiene benzamide.

Figure 6.13. Overlaid representative $^1$H NMR analysis of Mannich reaction crude products and reagents

A) $^1$H NMR; B) zoomed $^1$H NMR from 8.5 - 6.3 ppm; C) zoomed $^1$H NMR from 5.3 - 3.3 ppm. 2,3,4,6-tetra-$O$-benzyl glucose + L-proline, -, red; $N$-benzyldiene benzamide, -, green; and 2,3,4,6-tetra-$O$-benzyl glucose, -, lilac.
Figure 6.14. Observed and anticipated chemical shifts (ppm) for reagents and products in the Mannich reaction

A) 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl mannose; B) N-benzylidone benzamide; C) target product

Furthermore, a consideration of the chemical shifts anticipated in the target product (Figure 6.14C) would suggest the movement of a proton peak in the $^1$H spectra from 8.67 ppm (C(H)NC(O), Figure 6.14B) to ~4.9 ppm (Figure 6.14C) in the target molecule, as the electron withdrawing π-system is lost to form the new C-C bond – this is not observed. It is also anticipated that the carbon of the shifting proton will become more electron shielded: so, move to ~48 ppm (Figure 6.14C) from ~164.59 ppm (Figure 6.14C). This change should be observable in the HSQC spectrum (Figure 6.15A); however, it is not observed with no signal forming in this region (black circle on Figure 6.15A), further indicating that the anticipated product does not form. The HMBC spectrum also shows no change in the shift of the glycan’s C2 signal (Figure 6.15B, as the anticipated quaternary carbon does not form in the $^{13}$C NMR attached proton test spectrum. It can therefore be concluded that the Mannich reactions were all unsuccessful.
Figure 6.15. Representative 2D NMR analysis of Mannich reaction crude products (2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl glucose + L-proline)

A) HSQC of crude with predicted signal circled in black; B) HMBC of crude
A potential reason for the failure of the reactions could be slow mutarotation steps caused by a non-aqueous solvent. This is because the addition of the $N$-benzylidone benzamide to the glycan can only occur with the linear form of the glycan. The linear form of the glycan can only be accessed when the glycan undergoes a mutarotation reaction, catalysed in nature by water, in a solvent-assisted process. In this process water is not only the catalyst, but also stabilises the intermediates by hydrogen bonding. However, because water would degrade the $N$-benzylidone benzamide it could not be used. Therefore, proline was used in its place as a zwitterionic amphiphilic catalyst, alongside acting as a catalyst for the Mannich steps. It is likely that the proline catalysed mutarotation does not occur as rapidly as water catalysed mutarotation, as illustrated by decreased rates of mutarotation in non-aqueous solvents. It is also likely that the acetonitrile solvent is not stabilising the intermediates in a solvent-assisted manner.
6.5 Conclusions

In conclusion, this work aimed to synthesise novel 2-C-phenylmethanamine pyranoses that maintain C2 hydroxyl functionality, even with the addition of an amine at the C2 position. To this end, two benzylated monosaccharides (2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl mannose and 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl glucose) and N-benzylidene benzamide were synthesised and used in a Mannich reaction adapted from the Córdova group. This reaction was, however, unsuccessful as shown by TLC and NMR. It is likely this reaction failed due to a slow monosaccharide ring-opening step caused by an organic solvent, and a catalyst that did not replicate the conditions found in aqueous solvent, specifically water’s catalytic impact on mutarotation.

While this study was unsuccessful in its primary purpose, it does highlight a potential avenue for future chemical exploration in novel glycan synthesis using the Mannich reaction. Future work based on this study should focus on investigating the other imides discussed by Dziedzic et al., with further consideration of the conditions required for proline-catalysed mutarotation.
6.6 Experimental

6.6.1 Physical and Analytical Methods

NMR Spectroscopy

$^1$H-NMR, $^{13}$C-NMR and $^{19}$F-NMR spectra were recorded at 300 MHz or 400 MHz on a Bruker DPX-300 or DPX-400 spectrometer respectively, with chloroform-$d$ (CDCl$_3$) as the solvent. Chemical shifts of protons are reported as $\delta$ in parts per million (ppm) and are relative to CDCl$_3$ (7.26) or tetramethylsilane (TMS, 0.00).

Mass Spectrometry

Low resolution mass spectra (LRMS) were recorded on a Bruker Esquire 2000 spectrometer using electrospray ionisation (ESI) or an Agilent 5977B GC-MS spectrometer using electron ionisation (EI). m/z values are reported in Daltons.

FT-IR Spectroscopy

Fourier Transform-Infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy measurements were carried out using an Agilent Cary 630 FT-IR spectrometer, in the range of 650 to 4000 cm$^{-1}$.

Solvent drying

4 Å molecular sieves were activated either by heat or using microwave energy (600W). A 20% w/v. of sieves:solvent was used, the solvent was degassed with nitrogen for 30 minutes with the sieves present and then left overnight before the solvent was used.
6.6.2 Materials

All chemicals were used as supplied unless otherwise stated. Triethylamine (> 99%), deuterated chloroform (CDCl₃, 99.8%), 60% sodium hydride in a mineral oil suspension, methanol (≥ 99.8%, ACS reagent grade), toluene (≥ 99.7%), benzaldehyde (≥ 99%, reagent plus), benzoyl chloride (99% ACS reagent), chlorotrimethylsilane (≥ 98.0%) and silica gel 60 Å pore size (Supelco technical grade) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

HPLC grade acetonitrile (≥ 99.8%), hexane fraction from petrol (lab reagent grade), DCM (99% lab reagent grade), sodium hydrogen carbonate (≥ 99%), ethyl acetate (≥ 99.7%, analytical reagent grade), sodium chloride (≥ 99.5%), 40-60 petroleum ether (lab reagent grade), hydrochloric acid (~37%, analytical grade), Molecular Sieve type 4 Å nominal pore size (general purpose grade), THF (HPLC), chloroform (≥ 99%) glacial acetic acid (analytical grade), sulphuric acid (≥ 99.5% analytical grade) and magnesium sulphate (reagent grade) were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific.

Benzyl bromide (99%), n-pentane (98%) and lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide were purchased from Alfa Aesar

DMF (> 99%) and benzyl chloride (99.5%) were purchased from Acros Organics

Ethanol absolute was purchased from VWR International.

TLCs were imaged under UV light or using a 5% H₂SO₄ stain in ethanol.

Ultra-pure water used for washing and aqueous solutions was MilliQ grade 18.2 mΩ resistance.
**6.6.3 Synthetic Methods**

NMR spectra for the synthesised molecules can be found in Appendix 6.

*Synthesis of 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl mannose – Bulman Page method*

Synthesised by a previously reported protocol.\(^{16}\) 1 g (5.15 mmol) of methyl \(\alpha\)-D-mannopyranoside was added to 45 ml of anhydrous DMF under nitrogen and cooled in an ice bath. 3.7 g (92.51 mmol) of 60% NaH in oil was slowly added under nitrogen and the reaction vessel was vigorously stirred for 30 minutes in the ice bath. 11.3 ml (16.25 g, 95.00 mmol) of benzyl bromide was added dropwise over 30 minutes to the reaction vessel still in an ice bath. The reaction was allowed to reach RTP and stir for a further 4 hours. 7.4 ml of methanol was added and the reaction vessel stirred for a further 10 minutes. The solvent was then removed under vacuum. 100 ml of water and 100 ml of ethyl acetate were used to dilute the solid before filtration under gravity. The organic filtrate layer was washed with water and brine before drying with MgSO\(_4\). The reaction was filtered under gravity to give a filtrate, this was concentrated under vacuum to give the crude intermediate (methyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl-D-mannopyranoside).

Adaptations to this method of a 70 °C reaction temperature and a reaction time of 16 hours increased the yield to 57.4%. A pure product could be achieved by silica chromatography using 1:9 ethyl acetate: 40-60 petroleum ether.

The crude intermediate was dissolved in 80% glacial acetic acid and 2 M HCl, using \(\sim\)45 ml of acid solution per gram of crude. The reaction mixture was heating to reflux for 11 hours before diluting with DCM (25 ml of DCM per gram of crude) and washing with saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate (50 ml of solution per gram of crude). The aqueous layer was extracted using DCM (25 ml of DCM per gram of crude). All organic layers were combined, dried using MgSO\(_4\) and the solvent removed under vacuum. The crude product was purified by silica chromatography with 1:2 ethyl acetate: 40-60 petroleum ether. There was too low a yield to purify by silica chromatography.
**Synthesis of 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl mannose – Koto method**

Synthesised by a previously reported protocol.\(^{15}\) 1 g (5.15 mmol) of methyl α-D-mannopyranoside was added to 25 ml (27.5 g, 217.25 mmol) of benzyl chloride and cooled in an ice bath. 1.7 g (45.99 mmol) of 60% NaH in oil was slowly added and the reaction vessel heated to 125 - 130 °C, under nitrogen, with vigorous stirring for 90 minutes. The reaction was filtered under gravity to give a yellow filtrate, this was concentrated under vacuum.

The crude product (2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl methyl mannoside) was purified by silica chromatography using 1:9 ethyl acetate:40-60 petroleum ether to give a pale yellow oil (17%). Anomeric ratio of OCH\(_3\) - α:β : 45:55. \(\delta_H\) (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 7.53 - 7.16 (20H, m, phenyl protons), 4.98 (1H, s, β-C\(_1\) proton), 4.95 (1H, s, α-C\(_1\) proton), 4.89 - 4.71 (4H, m, C\(_3\) & C\(_4\) PhCH\(_2\)), 4.71 - 4.67 (2H, m, C\(_6\) PhCH\(_2\)), 4.67 - 4.56 (2H, m, C\(_2\) PhCH\(_2\)), 4.07 (1H, t, \(J\) 9.0, C\(_4\) proton), 3.97 (1H, dd, \(J\) 9.5, 2.5, C\(_3\) proton), 3.82 - 3.67 (4H, m, C\(_2\) and C\(_5\) - 6 protons), 3.42 (3H, s, C\(_1\)OCH\(_3\)). \(\delta_C\) (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 141.0 - 138.3 (4C, ipso phenyl carbons), 128.7 - 126.8 (20C, ortho, meta and para phenyl carbons), 98.9 (1C, C\(_1\)), 80.1 (1C, C\(_4\)), 75.1 - 69.4 (8C 4xCH\(_2\)Ph, C\(_2\)-3 and C\(_5\)-6), 54.8 (1C, CH\(_3\)). m/z calculated as 554.27; found for ESI [M+Na\(^+\)] 577.27. FTIR (cm\(^{-1}\)) – 3028.46 (aryl C-H), 2909.18 & 2864.46 (methyl and methylene), 1496.52 (aromatic alkene), 1453.66 (methyl), 1056.70 (ether).

0.25g (4.51 mmol) of (methyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl-D-mannopyranoside) was added to 50 ml of glacial acetic acid and 6 ml (3 M) H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and stirred for 2 hours at 85 °C, to form a yellow liquid. Aliquots were taken for mass spectrometry every hour to determine reaction progress. The yellow liquid was diluted in 75 ml of toluene and 75 ml of water before washing the organic layer with (150 ml ×2) saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate solution then (150 ml ×2) water. The organic layer was concentrated under vacuum to give the crude product. This was purified by silica chromatography using 1:2 ethyl acetate:40-60 petroleum ether, to give a white solid/oil (22.5%). Anomeric ratio OH - α:β 0.33:0.66. \(\delta_H\) (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 7.43 - 7.09 (20H, m, phenyl protons), 5.26 (1H, s, β-C\(_1\) proton), 5.09 (1H, d, \(J\) 11.5, α-C\(_1\) proton), 4.91 - 4.46 (8H, m, PhCH\(_2\)), 4.06 - 3.99 (1H, m, C\(_2\) or C\(_5\) or C\(_4\) proton), 3.99 - 3.93 (1H, m, C\(_3\) proton), 3.91 - 3.79 (2H, m, C\(_2\) or C\(_5\) or C\(_4\) protons), 3.76 - 3.67 (2H, m, C\(_6\) protons). \(\delta_C\) (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) ~140 - 135 (4C, ipso phenyl carbons (shown by HMBC)), 128.5 - 127.5 (20C, ortho, meta and para phenyl carbons), 92.9 (1C, C\(_1\)),
79.7 (1C, C³), 75.2, 74.8, 71.9 (3C, C², C⁴, C⁵), 75.1, 73.4, 72.8, 72.2 (4C, CH₂ benzyl), 69.7 (1C, C⁶). m/z calculated as 540.25; found for ESI [M+Na]+ 563.2. FTIR (cm⁻¹) – 3304.3 (broad, hydroxyl), 3069.5, 2899.9 (C-H stretch), 1528.2 (aromatic alkene), 1047.4 (ether), 844.7 (aromatic)
Synthesis of Methyl 2,3,4,6-Tetra-O-Benzyl-Glucopyranoside

Adapted from the Bulman Page method.\textsuperscript{16} 2.00 g (10.3 mmol) of methyl α-D-glucopyranoside was added to 60 ml of anhydrous DMF under nitrogen and cooled in an ice bath. 4.94 g (123.6 mmol) of 60% NaH in oil was slowly added under nitrogen and the reaction vessel was vigorously stirred for 30 minutes in the ice bath. 14.7 ml (21.14 g, 123.6 mmol) of benzyl bromide was added dropwise over 30 minutes to the reaction vessel still in an ice bath. The reaction was heated to 70 °C and stirred for 16 hours. 10 ml of methanol was added, and the reaction vessel stirred for a further 10 minutes. The solvent was then removed under vacuum. 200 ml of water and 200 ml of toluene were used to dilute the solid. The organic layer was washed with 2 × 200 mL of water before the organic layer was evaporated to give a crude product. The crude was purified by silica chromatography with 1:9 ethyl acetate:40-60 petroleum ether to give a pale-yellow oil (51%). $\delta_H$ (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 7.44 - 7.10 (20H, m, phenyl protons), 5.04 - 4.95 (1H, m, anomeric proton), 4.88 - 4.45 (9H, m, anomeric proton, PhCH$_2$), 3.84 - 3.50 (5H, m, C$_2$-C$_6$ protons), 3.45 - 3.30 (3H, m, OCH$_3$, including methanol impurity). $\delta_C$ (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 141.0 - 138.0 (4C, ipso phenyl carbons), 128.7 - 127.0 (20C, ortho, meta and para phenyl carbons), 97.7 (1C, C$^1$), 82.2 - 65.3 (C$_2$-C$_6$ and CH$_2$ benzyl) 55.2 (1C, OCH$_3$). m/z calculated as 544.27; found for ESI [M+Na]$^+$ 577.2. FTIR (cm$^{-1}$) – 3062.0, 3030.3, 2905.3, 2808.2 (C-H stretch), 1647.5 (aromatic), 1451.8 (methyl), 1042.8 (ether)
Adapted from the Koto method. \(^{15}\) 0.5 g (9.02 mmol) of (methyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-\(\text{O-Bn}\))-D-glucopyranoside) was added to 100 ml of glacial acetic acid and 13 ml (3 M) \(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4\) and stirred for 2 hours at 85 \(^\circ\)C, to form a yellow liquid. Aliquots were taken for mass spectrometry every hour to determine reaction progress. The yellow liquid was diluted in 150 ml of toluene and 150 ml of water before washing the organic layer with (300 ml \(\times 2\)) saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate solution then (300 ml \(\times 2\)) water. The organic layer was concentrated under vacuum to give the crude product. This was purified by silica chromatography with 1:2 ethyl acetate:40-60 petroleum ether, to give a white solid (6.7\%). \(\delta_H (400 \text{ MHz, CDCl}_3)\) 7.44 - 6.96 (20H, m, phenyl protons), 5.18 - 5.13 (1H, m, anomeric proton), 4.93 - 4.31 (~8H, m, anomeric proton and \(\text{PhCH}_2\)), 4.01 - 3.91 (1H, m, \(\text{C}^5\) proton), 3.88 (1H, d, \(J 9.5, \text{C}^3\) proton), 3.74 - 3.43 (4H, m, \(\text{C}^2, \text{C}^6\) and \(\text{C}^4\) protons). \(\delta_C (400 \text{ MHz, CDCl}_3)\) 138.8, 138.3, 138.0, 138.0 (4C, ipso phenyl carbons), 128.8 - 127.6 (16C, ortho, meta and para phenyl carbons), 91.5 (1C, \(\text{C}^1\)), 81.9 (1C, \(\text{C}^3\)), 80.1, 77.8 (2C, \(\text{C}^2\) and \(\text{C}^4\)), 75.9, 75.2, 73.6, 73.4 (4C, \(\text{PhCH}_2\)), 70.6 (1C, \(\text{C}^5\)), 68.7 (1C, \(\text{C}^6\)). m/z calculated as 540.25; found for ESI [M+Na]^+ 563.2. FTIR (cm\(^{-1}\)) – 3354 (broad, hydroxyl), 3084.4, 3060.1, 3028.5 (aryl \(\text{C-H}\)), 2916.6, 28657.0 (methyl and methylene), 1584.1 (aromatic alkene), 1086.5 (ether)
**Synthesis of N-trimethylsilylbenzaldimine – method 1 – Bongini**

[Chemical structure image]

Synthesised by a previously reported protocol.\(^{21}\) All reaction steps were carried out under nitrogen. 0.16 g (0.956 mmol) of lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide was to a mixture of 1 ml of hexane and 5 ml of n-pentane at 0 °C. 0.1 ml (0.1044 g, 9.84 mmol) of benzaldehyde was added in 2 ml of n-pentane and the reaction stirred at 0 °C for one hour. 0.13 ml (0.11 g, 1.02 mmol) of chlorotrimethylsilane was added and the reaction stirred for a further 10 minutes at 0 °C and at RTP for 1 hour. A white precipitate formed (79%). \(\delta_H\) (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 8.80 (1H, s, NCH), 7.69 - 7.58 (2H, m, ortho protons), 7.30 - 7.01(3H, m, para and meta) 0.08 (9H, s, Si(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)). \(\delta_C\) (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 168.7 (NCH), 139.0 (ipso), 132.0 (para), 128.9 (ortho), 128.4 (meta), -0.9 (SiCH\(_3\)). m/z calculated as 177.10; found for EI [M]+ 177.1. FTIR (cm\(^{-1}\)) – 2950.15 (C-H stretch), 1649.35 (C=N stretch), 1248.86 (SiMe\(_3\)), 833.06 (C-H aromatic)

**Synthesis of N-trimethylsilylbenzaldimine – method 2 – Panunzio\(^{22}\)**

To 20 ml of anhydrous THF was added 1.06 g (9.98 mmol) of benzaldehyde and 1.67 g (9.98 mmol) of lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide, the mixture was stirred for 30 minutes at 0 °C under nitrogen. The reaction was allowed to reach RTP and 1.08 g (9.94 mmol) of chlorotrimethylsilane was added and the reaction stirred for a further 30 minutes. The reaction mixture was filtered under gravity and the solvent removed under vacuum to give the product (83%).
Synthesis of N-benzylidone benzamide – method 1 – Bongini-Kupfer

Adapted from the Bongini\textsuperscript{21} and Kupfer\textsuperscript{23} methods. All reaction steps were carried out under nitrogen. To 0.1 g (1.41 mmol) of \(N\)-trimethylsilylbenzaldimine 1 ml of hexane and 5 ml of n-pentane at 0 \(^\circ\)C, was added 0.1 ml (0.0725 g, 0.717 mmol) triethylamine. The reaction was stirred for 5 minutes before 0.044 g (0.348 mmol) of benzoyl chloride was added and the reaction allowed to return to RTP. The reaction was stirred for 2 hours, and the solvent removed under vacuum to give a white product (75\%). No further purification was carried out, due to the instability of the product. \(\delta_H\) (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 8.67 (1H, m, NCH), 8.15 - 7.11 (10H, m, phenyl protons). \(\delta_C\) (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 181.0 (1C, C(O)), 164.6 (1C, CNC(O)), 133.2 - 125 (12C, phenyl carbons). m/z calculated as 209.08; found for ESI [M+\(\text{H}^+\)] 210.0. FTIR (cm\(^{-1}\)) – 3062.0 & 3030.3 (C-H stretch), 1643.8 (amide carbonyl), 1522.6 (amide bend)

Synthesis of N-benzylidone benzamide – method 2 – Colvin-Kupfer\textsuperscript{23,24}

Adapted from the Colvin\textsuperscript{24} and Kupfer\textsuperscript{23} methods. 0.25 g (3.525 mmol) of \(N\)-trimethylsilylbenzaldimine was added to 10 ml of anhydrous chloroform before 0.2 g (1.58 mmol) of benzoyl chloride was added dropwise to the stirring reaction under nitrogen at 0 \(^\circ\)C. The reaction was refluxed for 4.5 hours under nitrogen, an aliquot was taken every hour to determine reaction progress by mass spectrometry. The solvent was removed under vacuum to give a white powder (69\%). No further purification was carried out, due to the instability of the product.
Mannich Reaction

Carried out following a previously reported protocol.\textsuperscript{14} 77 mg (0.37 mmol) of \textit{N}-benzylidone benzamide, 40 mg (0.074 mmol) of 2,3,4,6-tetra-\textit{O}-benzyl mannose (or 2,3,4,6-tetra-\textit{O}-benzyl glucose) and 2.6 mg (0.023 mmol) of a proline isomer was added to 2 ml of acetonitrile and stirred for 48 hours at RTP. Solvent was removed under vacuum and the crude dissolved in 20 mL of DCM. The DCM layer was washed with water (10 mL \times 3), the aqueous layers were extracted with 15 mL of DCM, the organic layers combined and solvent removed under vacuum to give a crude product that was analysed by mass spectrometry, NMR (in CDCl\textsubscript{3}) and TLC (9:1:1% DCM:MeOH:acetic acid).
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Chapter 7

X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy for the Elemental Analysis of Glycopolymer-Functionalised Gold Nanoparticles and the Elucidation of Grafting Density
7.1 Abstract

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) may provide a less resource intensive method to determine relative grafting density than thermogravimetric analysis. In this chapter the use of XPS to determine relative grafting density is explored using a range of glycan functionalised polymer coated gold particles of varying size and shape. While this study does not provide conclusive proof of the value of XPS to determine relative grafting density, it does provide broad evidence and impetus to further explore this method.
7.2 Declaration

This chapter contains materials synthesised for use in the following papers;


Particles taken from the above papers were synthesised by the authors of the paper. All XPS analysis was carried out by myself with the support, advice and training of Marc Walker.

The thermogravimetric analysis was carried out by Ioanna Kontopoulou. The synthesis of the previously unpublished particles was carried out by myself with the assistance from Asier R. Muguruza, who also carried out the UV-vis and DLS analysis of the particles.
7.3 Introduction

7.3.1 Interfaces, Surfaces and Grafting Density

Interfaces decorated with macromolecules are crucial in a range of devices from medical implants\(^8,^9\) and cell scaffolds\(^10\) to coatings for combating marine biofouling.\(^11\) The functionality of the surface of these particles is determined by the macromolecule attached and its grafting density – the number of macromolecules in a determined surface area. Grafting density is driven by several characteristics of the attached macromolecule such as length and composition, as well as the size and shape of the surface/particle itself.\(^12\)

If polymers are considered as simple ligands the Hill-Langmuir equation, equivalent to the Langmuir isotherm for ideal gases (Equation 7.3), is useful for considering grafting and grafting density. The Hill-Langmuir equation (Equation 7.2) describes the binding of ligands \((L)\) to a macromolecule \((M)\) with \(n\) binding sites as a function of \(K_D\), the dissociation equilibrium constant, a ratio between \(k_d\) (dissociation rate constant) and \(k_a\) (association rate constant) (Equation 7.1), and total ligand concentration \([L_0]\).

\[
M + nL \rightleftharpoons ML_n
\]

Equation 7.1. Chemical equilibrium of bound \((ML)\) and unbound ligand \((L)\)

\[
[ML_n] = [L_0] \times \frac{[L]^n}{K_D + [L]^n}
\]

Equation 7.2. Hill-Langmuir Equation

\[
\theta = \frac{L_{bound}}{L_{max}} = \frac{K_A \times [L]}{1 + K_A \times [L]}
\]

Equation 7.3. Langmuir Adsorption Equation

\((\theta\), fractional occupancy of adsorption sites, \(L_{bound}\), number of bound ligands, \(L_{max}\), number of ligands required to bind all binding sites, \(L\), unbound ligand and \(K_A\), association equilibrium constant\)

Two competing processes dominate polymer adsorption; the contraction of polymers to the surface as determined by the adsorption constant and the entropic repulsion of
polymer when confined to the surface.\textsuperscript{13} When on the surface, since polymers can change their morphology by varying the distribution of their pervaded volume (size of a polymer in space at a given temperature), the number of binding sites is adaptable.

As polymers are brought into less than two radii of gyration lengths on a surface, polymers interact and move away from a half “sphere” morphology (“mushroom”), following the Flory theory, towards linear extended high-density chain brushes (Figure 7.1), increasing grafting density. The simplest model of a polymer brush system, a sufficiently dense system of polymer chains covalently bound to a surface at one end, is described by de Gennes\textsuperscript{14} for flat surfaces. The model is dictated by two forces; the desire of a polymer to maximise its configurational entropy in a random walk versus its desire to be surrounded by solvent. This was further developed for curved surfaces by applying the Daoud and Cotton Blob model originally postulated for star-shaped polymers in 1982 (Figure 7.2).\textsuperscript{15,16}

![Image of polymer morphology changes with grafting density on a flat surface.](image)

**Figure 7.1.** Diagrammatic representation of how polymer morphology changes with grafting density on a flat surface.

In the Daoud Cotton Blob model the space filled by a polymer chain (excluded volume) at distance $R$ from a curved surface is dependent on the radius ($R_{\text{min}}$) of the surface, distance $R$ of the blob and the diameter of the blob ($r$). The model shows that as a chain moves away from the surface its excluded volume sphere (blob) increases in size ($r_n < r_{n+1}$), in effect this creates “cone-shapes” of excluded volume. This means that as surface curvature decreases excluded volume spheres in the Doaud Cotton Blob model overlap unless packing decreases – therefore grafting density should decrease with curvature decrease.\textsuperscript{17,18}
7.3.2 Determining Grafting Density

Grafting density can be characterised analytically in a variety of ways, the most common, according to Michalek et al.,¹⁹ are; dry thickness measurements, swelling experiments²⁰ and gravimetric analysis. The first two methods rely on reflective and refractive spectroscopic techniques such as ellipsometry,²¹,²² small angle x-ray scattering (SAXS),²³ neutron reflectometry²⁴ or x-ray reflectivity/reflectometry²⁵ in elucidating the relationship between the thickness of the polymer coating and grafting density. Beyond these methods, others have used the concentration of carbon on the nanoparticle surface for grafting density determination.²⁶ Or determined the grafting density of polymers (poly(3-methylthienyl methacrylate)) using GPC, reporting a positive relationship between the grafting density on glass and the thickness of the polymer layer.²⁷ Work by Hill et al. has also shown that surface loading of oligonucleotides onto gold surfaces can be calculated within error by considering the deflection angle i.e., the angle produced when considering the diameter of an excluded volume sphere to the centre of a nanoparticle in the Daoud Cotton Blob model.²⁸ Others such as Guo et al.²⁹ have sought to apply Hill’s equations to larger polymeric systems – it is however debatable whether this methodology is valid for larger molecular weight systems. It is notable, that many works that consider polymer
grafting density also report XPS measurements, for example Wolski et al. and Liu et al.;\textsuperscript{27,30} while Sofia et al. reported correlations between ellipsometry data and XPS for poly(ethylene oxide).\textsuperscript{31}

7.3.3 X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy

X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) or electron spectroscopy for chemical analysis (ESCA) is a photoelectronic, surface-sensitive, ultra-high vacuum technique used to measure elemental composition and elucidate the surface chemistry through spectral deconvolution. XPS is most commonly used to study inorganic systems particularly; metals/alloys,\textsuperscript{32} insulators\textsuperscript{33} and, semiconductors.\textsuperscript{34,35} This has led to its use in myriad applications from photovoltaics\textsuperscript{36} to hydrogen storage.\textsuperscript{37}

In XPS, a focused beam of x-rays irradiates the sample surface, this leads to the emission of photoelectrons from the surface via the photoelectric effect.\textsuperscript{38} The emitted flux of photoelectrons is recorded as a function of kinetic energy.\textsuperscript{39} When the energy of the photoelectron released ($E_{\text{kin}}$) is considered versus the energy of the incident x-ray photon ($hv$), the binding energy ($E_b$) relative to the Fermi level of the atom can be determined (Equation 7.4). Necessary adjustments for the spectrometer and materials are made in the work function ($\phi$), an expression of the minimum thermodynamic work required to remove an electron from the Fermi level to a vacuum immediately outside the surface. Using this data, the elemental species of the atom releasing the electron can be determined.

$$E_b = hv - (E_{\text{kin}} - \phi)$$

Equation 7.4. Simplified equation to calculate the binding energy of a photoelectron

Further information on the relative abundance of elements in the sample can be determined by considering the intensity of the electron flux. This data can be used to elucidate the empirical formula of a compound or its crystal structure. When considering different elements, the transmission function of the analyser, and kinetic energy change with sample depth, must also be considered. The latter comes from the inelastic mean free path length universal curve of an electron moving through a material, taking into account the take-off angle of 90°. To determine the elemental abundance ($c_x$) (Equation 7.5) the intensity of the peak, $I_x$, and the relative sensitivity factor of the element, $S_x$, can be divided by the total relative intensity ($I/S$) of the sample.
Equation 7.5. Simplified equation to determine elemental abundance

Analysis of small changes in the binding energy of the photoelectrons (chemical shifts) can be used to obtain information about the bonding environment (chemical state) of the element being analysed. The change in binding energy, \( \Delta E \), is summarised in Equation 7.6 – \( \Delta q \) is the difference in valence charge of the atom (assumed to be a hollow sphere), multiplied by a factor, \( k \), and \( \Delta V \) is the change in the effective potential assuming surrounding atoms are point charges. Simply, the binding energy of an atom changes with its electron density. If an atom is electron deshielded by a neighbouring electronegative system, the binding energy of its remaining electrons to the nucleus increases.

\[
\Delta E = k\Delta q + \Delta V
\]

Equation 7.6. Simplified equation to estimate chemical shift as influenced by surrounding charged particles

Furthermore, the ability of XPS to analyse multiple elemental components simultaneously and in the nanogram range makes it an ideal technique for nanoparticle characterisation. This is especially true when nanoparticles are functionalised with glycans, whose presence can be hidden in NMR either by low concentrations (due to cost) or low integration ratios versus large polymeric systems.
7.3.4 X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy and Glycopolymer Grafting Density

Multiple studies have explored how grafting density varies with polymer chain length and composition. Both Georgiou et al. and Ieong et al. observed that increasing polymer chain length decreased grafting density. In addition, they showed that increasing steric hindrance in the polymer chain structure also decreased grafting density. Georgiou et al. illustrated this using AuNPs coated with biocompatible poly(N-(2-hydroxypropyl)-methacrylamide) (PHPMA) or poly(N-hydroxymethylacrylamide) (PHEA). While PHEA and PHPMA are very similar, PHPMA has additional methyl groups in both the backbone and pendant of the polymer, likely decreasing the packing efficiency of PHPMA vs PHEA, by decreasing PHPMA’s linear morphology and so decreasing PHPMA’s relative grafting density. This effect was also seen by Pancaro et al. in gold nanorod systems by XPS. Ieong et al. observed a similar trend using poly(oligoethyleneglycol methacrylates) and poly(N-vinyl pyrrolidones) (PVP), here PVP showed a higher relative grafting density by XPS. These observations are supported by Sofia et al. who measured grafting density using both XPS and ellipsometry for varying lengths of poly(ethylene oxide). Sofia et al. found that increasing polymer length decreased grafting density but also showed good agreement between grafting density calculated by ellipsometry and XPS, when a standard sample is used to determine absolute grafting density.
7.4 Results and Discussion

7.4.1 Building an XPS Model for Glycosylated Polymeric Gold Nanoparticle Systems

A range of gold nanoparticles of varying size and shape, coated with poly(hydroxyethyl acrylamide) (PHEA) and poly(N-(2-hydroxypropyl)-methacrylamide) (PHPMA) functionalised with varying glycans were taken from studies previously conducted by the Gibson Group (details in Appendix 7 and the Declaration of this chapter). In order to extract XPS data from these particles a model was developed based on a series of newly synthesised galactosamine functionalised poly(hydroxyethyl acrylamide)-coated 16 nm gold nanoparticles (detailed synthesis and analysis is provided in the Experimental and Appendix 7).

In this model the C 1s, O 1s, N 1s and Au 4f, were considered. It was anticipated in a theoretical C 1s spectrum that; alkane, ether (including hydroxyl), amide, and amine environments would be present in PHEA coated particles alongside the adventitious (background) carbon environments of; alkane (calibration peak), ether, ester, and carbonyl. Furthermore, carbides and carboxylic acid environments were also expected from silicon carbide at the silicon wafer/air interface and remnants of the gold nanoparticle citrate coating respectively.

Using these expected C 1s environments, the intensity of each environment was then considered for a theoretical PHEA system (Figure 7.3). In XPS the intensity of a peak is determined by the number of atoms in that specific environment (including adventitious atoms), with binding energy (BE, eV) determined by the environment itself. This was also done for the O 1s and N 1s environments (Figure 7.4), with the C 1s to N 1s ratio dictating the ratio of the amine and amide to the other C 1s environments in the C 1s model.
The proposed model (Figure 7.5) was then applied to the series of 16 nm glycosylated gold nanoparticles with different PHEA chain lengths (26, 40, 72 and 110) and an unfunctionalised AuNP (citrate stabilised). It was found that with minor adjustments
the model fitted well. (All fitted XPS spectra for the entire study can be found in Appendix 7).

Figure 7.5. Graphical representation of proposed XPS C 1s model with approximate values versus alkane (“A”) and approximate signal intensities

The addition of the glycopolymer could be observed versus the naked AuNP system through the presence of N 1s environments, that are not present in the naked particles or found commonly in background contaminants and a slight attenuation of the Au 4f signal. This confirmed the incorporation of the polymers onto the particle surface, alongside shifts in the UV-vis and DLS spectra (Appendix 7). An increase in the ether, amide and amine peaks was also observed in C 1s spectra and indicated glycopolymer incorporation, although the ether peak (C-O) often decreased in intensity as the polymer chain length increased (Figure 7.6). An increase in ether intensity on glycan addition was observed previously by Laezza et al., where glycopolymer addition was carried out using an amino-oxy conjugation system with PHEA polymers and confirmed by an increase in the C 1s ether:amide ratio when a glycan is added to the system.41

Furthermore, the XPS method developed is compatible with the minute amounts (µg - ng) of material available for more complex glycans, where methods like NMR are not sufficiently sensitive. This allowed the models and methods produced to be used
to study complex fluorinated glycans on PHEA polymers and in some cases observe the presence of fluorinated glycans on the polymers, in F 1s scans, when NMR could not (Figure 7.7). This further validates the potential of XPS to study glycosylated nanoparticle system.

Figure 7.6. A comparative XPS spectra example of a citrate stabilised AuNP (AuNP_{16}, Left column) and a polymer coated AuNP (Galactosamine-PHEA_{40}@AuNP_{16}, Right column).

A&B) C 1s; C&D) O 1s; E&F) N 1s and G&H) Au 4f
Figure 7.7. Representative F 1s of a fluorinated glycosylated nanoparticle (Gal β1,3 6,6-di-F-GlcNTFAc PHEA_{45}@AuNP_{55})
7.4.2 Calculating Relative Grafting Density

Having illustrated that glycopolymer addition to gold nanoparticles could be analysed using XPS, it was considered if relative grafting density of the particles could be evaluated – as this was anticipated to vary from particle to particle and influence the characteristics of the nanoparticles. To study the relative grafting density of the particles, the five particles were analysed in triplicate by XPS and the N 1s to Au 4f ratio calculated. This compares the gold component present in the sample versus the nitrogen component, found only in the polymer-coated system, therefore this ratio provides a measure of polymer to gold concentration in the sample independent of background as no nitrogen components are present in the background.

When considered in relation to the molecular weight (\(M_n^{(SEC)}\)) of the polymer systems (as determined by size exclusion chromatography, SEC) and the surface area of the gold nanoparticles (\(SA_{Au}\)), a relative grafting density can be calculated using equation 7.7. Results are presented in Figure 7.8. (all calculated relative grafting densities for the study can be found in Appendix 7). While this method does not give absolute grafting density in nm\(^2\), as no standard is used unlike in Sofia et al.,\(^{31}\) it does allow for comparisons between samples as relative grafting density.

\[
\text{Relative Grafting Density} = \frac{((N\ 1s: Au\ 4f)/M_n^{(SEC)})}{SA_{Au}}
\]

Equation 7.7. Equation to calculate relative grafting density from the N 1s to Au 4f ratio, as determined by XPS.
To ascertain if this method is viable for determining relative grafting density, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was conducted on the polymer-coated AuNP systems to determine absolute grafting density (Figure 7.9). As previously discussed, TGA is widely used to determine grafting density. The grafting density was calculated for the TGA samples using the methods from Rahme et al.\textsuperscript{42,43} and Gibson et al.\textsuperscript{44} Here the mass change before heating and after heating is considered as the mass of polymer; and the final weight after heating as the mass of gold alone. By calculating the moles of both polymer and gold, as well as the surface area of the gold; a grafting density value (nm$^2$) can be determined.

Using this approach, the PHEA\textsubscript{72} system was found to have the highest grafting density followed by the PHEA\textsubscript{40} system, then the PHEA\textsubscript{26} and PHEA\textsubscript{110} both with similar grafting densities (Table 7.1). Notably the calculated values were found to be near to those of the literature for other polymer systems on similar sized nanoparticles; falling a little below the sterically smaller PEG systems (as determined by Rahme et al.\textsuperscript{42,43}).

Figure 7.8. Relative grafting density calculated from XPS for synthesised PHEA coated samples on 16 nm gold nanoparticles.

Figure 7.9. Absolute grafting density calculated from TGA for synthesised PHEA coated samples on 16 nm gold nanoparticles.
and similar to sterically similar poly(poly(ethylene glycol) methacrylate systems.43,44

Figure 7.9. Thermogravimetric analysis of galactosamine (Gal) functionalised PHEA polymers on 16 nm AuNPs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AuNP</th>
<th>Weight loss in TGA (%)</th>
<th>PHEA chains per AuNP</th>
<th>Footprint (nm²)</th>
<th>Grafting density by TGA (nm²)</th>
<th>Highest relative grafting density by XPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gal-PHEA26@AuNP₁₆</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>4th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gal-PHEA₄₀@AuNP₁₆</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>0.328</td>
<td>1st</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gal-PHEA₇₂@AuNP₁₆</td>
<td>18.27</td>
<td>626</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.778</td>
<td>2nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gal-PHEA₁₁₀@AuNP₁₆</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>4th</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.1. Equation to calculate relative grafting density from the N 1s to Au 4f ratio, as determined by XPS

While the triplicate data showed the reproducibility of XPS; when compared to TGA data there was only moderate agreement. For example, the XPS data aligned with the TGA data in determining that the PHEA₂₆ and PHEA₁₁₀ sample had the lowest grafting densities, in addition to correctly determining that the PHEA₄₀ system had a higher grafting density than both PHEA₂₆ and PHEA₁₁₀. However, XPS suggested that the PHEA₄₀ system has a higher grafting density than PHEA₇₂, at odds with the TGA results. Despite this one error and considering the reproducibility of the XPS data, it is likely that XPS can be used to probe relative grafting density, as previously explored by Sofia et al.31 Therefore the developed XPS methodology was applied to a variety of other gold nanoparticle systems.
7.4.3 Relative Grafting Density by Spherical Gold Diameter

To further consider the relationship between polymer length, particle size and relative grafting density, a series of previously synthesised particles were analysed by XPS. These particles, taken from a range of published studies, provided a wide variety of AuNP sizes up to 70 nm and PHEA polymer mass up to ~20,000 Da (Figure 7.10). From the data, particle size has a greater impact on grafting density than polymer length in PHEA systems, with larger diameter AuNPs having lower grafting densities, as determined by XPS, than higher curvature, smaller diameter AuNPs. This is unsurprising considering the Daoud Cotton Blob model and its implications for grafting density on decreased curvature surfaces. This model describes how as surface curvature decreases excluded volume spheres overlap leading to decreased packing thereby grafting density should decrease with curvature decrease.\textsuperscript{17,18} This is modelled in end-functionalised polymers by Oyerokun \textit{et al. in silico}.\textsuperscript{45} Notably, a decreasing grafting density with increasing polymer chain length trend was also observed following expectation.\textsuperscript{12}

Therefore, the model used here to compare relative grafting density across different nanoparticle sizes is appropriate and follows the predicted trends. There is however the potential that the effectiveness of measuring relative grafting density by XPS decreases as the AuNP diameter increases beyond the penetration depth of the x-ray beam and the sampling depth of ~10 nm, beyond which photoelectrons are extremely likely to have undergone inelastic scattering events (losing kinetic energy) and therefore contributing to the background intensity. This could artificially decrease the N 1\textit{s} to Au 4f ratio.
Figure 7.10. Relative grafting density calculated from XPS for galactosamine (Gal-2) functionalised PHEA coated samples on varying diameter gold nanoparticles (spheres).
7.4.4 Relative Grafting Density by Polymer

The relationship between monomer structure and grafting density was explored next, using previously synthesised PHEA and PHPMA (poly(N-(2-hydroxypropyl) methacrylamide) coated AuNPs of diameter 30 nm. Using Equation 7.7, higher relative grafting densities on the nanoparticle surface were observed in the PHEA systems versus the PHPMA systems (Figure 7.11). These ratios provide evidence for differing surface grafting behaviors between PHEA and PHPMA demonstrating how simple modification of the polymer ligand can tune the surface and the observed properties as discussed by Georgiou et al.\textsuperscript{40}

It is likely that the Gibbs free energy conformations of the dihedral angles in the polymer backbone are influential in determining grafting density differences between PHEA and PHPMA. This is because the PHEA backbone can potentially access a lower antiperiplanar Gibbs free energy conformer, when considered in a Newman projection, compared to PHPMA due to the additional methyl group in the PHPMA backbone increasing steric hinderance (Figure 7.12). The radius of gyration of PHPMA would therefore be greater than PHEA, leading to less tight packing in PHPMA. In the context of Hill et al.,\textsuperscript{28} this means that the deflection angle of PHPMA is greater than PHEA so has a lower grafting density. This explanation is supported in the literature by Barner and co-workers, who have shown how lower molecular weight polymers more favourably graft to nanoparticles due to radius of gyration ($R_g$) effects.\textsuperscript{46}
Figure 7.11. Relative grafting density calculated from XPS for galactosamine functionalised PHEA (Gal-2-AuNP30) and PHPMA (Gal-2-PHPMA-AuNP30) coated samples on 30 nm gold nanoparticles (spheres).

Figure 7.12. Newman projection considering the proposed lowest energy conformer of PHEA (A) and PHPMA (B) polymers.

“R” is polymer chain and additional methyl groups in PHMPA versus PHEA are highlighted in red.
7.4.5 Relative Grafting Density by Glycan

It is likely that varying glycan functionalisation of the nanoparticle system influences the grafting density of the glycopolymer on the surface of the nanoparticle. To explore this hypothesis a series of nanoparticle systems utilizing PHEA glycopolymers but bearing varying glycans were analysed by XPS (Figure 7.13). Figure 7.14 shows the outcome of the XPS analysis.

Figure 7.13. Glycans used to functionalise polymer.
A) 1-deoxy-1-amino-glucose (Glc-1); B) galactosamine (2-deoxy-2-amino-galactose, Gal-2); C) 1-deoxy-1-amino-galactose (Gal-1); D) neuraminic acid (NeuNAc); E) 2,6-sialyllactosamine (2,6SL) and F) 2,3-sialyllactosamine (2,3SL)

In all cases the larger the AuNP the lower the grafting density even as glycan functionalisation changes, supporting evidence presented previously that as particle size increases so grafting density decreases. While changing the glycan impacts grafting density too, no clear trends are evident, other than the impact on 16 nm AuNPs of exchanging a monosaccharide (galactosamine) for a trisaccharide (sialyllactosamine). An explanation for this is that the glycan used likely impacts the solvation energy of the polymer by increasing its hydrophilicity through the addition of hydroxyl groups. This in turn may influence the solubility of the polymer and alter the energy change on binding to the AuNP surface. This may explain the difference
between the mono- and trisaccharide systems. However, as the glycan is only on the polymer terminus it has only a minimal impact on grafting density. Notably the structural isomer of sialyllactosamine also has an impact on relative grafting density (although minor), with the “kinked” 2,6 configuration regularly having a lower grafting density than the more linear 2,3-sialyllactosamine (consistent with the greater excluded volume of the 2,6 isomer).

Figure 7.14. Relative grafting density calculated from XPS for PHEA polymer coated samples on varying diameter gold nanoparticles (spheres) functionalised with various glycans (coding from Figure 7.13).

In summary, while the glycan used does impact grafting density, no clear trends are observable between glycans of similar molecular weight, but a minor decrease is seen as glycan size increases from mono- to trisaccharide. Further studies would be needed to explore these trends as there is currently a lack of literature exploring this. Although trends using the same glycan are noticeable and predictable in some cases.
7.4.6 Relative Grafting Density of Spherical Gold versus Gold Rods

To explore how grafting density changes from gold spheroids to rods, a series of PHEA and PHPMA coated spheres (30 nm) and rods (10 nm by 38 nm) were analysed by XPS. The two sizes of rod and sphere were chosen as the surface areas are comparable: $2.51 \times 10^3$ nm and $2.83 \times 10^3$ nm, respectively. However, the curvature of the particles differs, with the diameter of the rod being only 10 nm versus the 30 nm gold AuNP. This leads to a higher curvature in the rod system and therefore a higher grafting density as seen in Figure 7.15, comparing the galactosamine system using PHEA on the spheroid versus the rod. It is also notable that the PHEA polymer maintains a higher grafting density in the rod system too versus PHPMA.

![Graph showing relative grafting density](image)

Figure 7.15. Relative grafting density calculated from XPS for PHEA (Glycan-AuNP/AuRod) and PHPMA (Glycan-PHPMA-AuNP/AuRod) coated samples coated on gold spheres (AuNP) and rods (10 nm by 38 nm, AuRod)
7.5 Conclusions

To summarise, the viability of using XPS as a less resource intense method to determine grafting density was explored versus TGA. This was done using a variety of different size and shape gold nanoparticles coated with either PHEA or PHPMA and a variety of glycan end groups. An XPS model was developed using a series of galactosamine functionalised PHEA polymers of different sizes coated onto 16 nm spherical AuNPs. The relative grafting density was then calculated and compared to TGA data taken from the same particles. The XPS and TGA data compared well, although not perfectly. Building on this the effects of gold sphere size, polymer coating and glycan were explored. It was found that, by XPS, gold size has the greatest effect on grafting density, though the glycan used, and polymer length also influence grafting density too.

While this study does not provide conclusive proof of the value of XPS to determine relative grafting density, it does provide broad evidence (alongside Sofia et al.\textsuperscript{31}) and impetus to further explore this method. As such, future work should further explore the effects of chain length and glycan on relative grafting density determined by XPS with PHEA and PHPMA polymers, and this should be compared with TGA measurements or another accepted approach for determining grafting density.
7.6 Experimental

7.6.1 Physical and Analytical Methods

**NMR Spectroscopy**

$^1$H-NMR, $^{13}$C-NMR and $^{19}$F-NMR spectra were recorded at 300 MHz or 400 MHz on a Bruker DPX-300 or DPX-400 spectrometer respectively, with chloroform-$d$ (CDCl$_3$) or deuterium oxide (D$_2$O) as the solvent. Chemical shifts of protons are reported as $\delta$ in parts per million (ppm) and are relative to either CDCl$_3$ (7.26) or D$_2$O (4.79).

**Mass Spectrometry**

Low resolution mass spectra (LRMS) were recorded on a Bruker Esquire 2000 spectrometer using electrospray ionisation (ESI). m/z values are reported in Daltons.

**FT-IR Spectroscopy**

Fourier Transform-Infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy measurements were carried out using an Agilent Cary 630 FT-IR spectrometer, in the range of 650 to 4000 cm$^{-1}$.

**Size Exclusion Chromatography**

Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) analysis was performed on an Agilent Infinity II MDS instrument equipped with differential refractive index (DRI), viscometry (VS), dual angle light scattering (LS) and variable wavelength UV detectors. The system was equipped with 2 x PLgel Mixed D columns (300 x 7.5 mm) and a PLgel 5 $\mu$m guard column. The mobile phase used was DMF (HPLC grade) containing 5 mM NH$_4$BF$_4$ at 50 $^\circ$C at flow rate of 1.0 mL.min$^{-1}$. Poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) standards (Agilent EasyVials) were used for calibration between 955,000 – 550 g.mol$^{-1}$. Analyte samples were filtered through a nylon membrane with 0.22 $\mu$m pore size before injection. Number average molecular weights ($M_n$), weight average molecular weights ($M_w$) and dispersities ($D_M = M_w/M_n$) were determined by conventional calibration using Agilent GPC/SEC software.

**X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS)**

The samples were attached to electrically-conductive carbon tape, mounted on to a sample bar and loaded into a Kratos Axis Ultra DLD spectrometer which possesses a base pressure below $1 \times 10^{-10}$ mbar. XPS measurements were performed in the main analysis chamber, with the sample being illuminated using a monochromated Al K\(\alpha\)
x-ray source. The measurements were conducted at room temperature and at a take-
off angle of 90° with respect to the surface parallel. The core level spectra were
recorded using a pass energy of 20 eV (resolution approx. 0.4 eV), from an analysis
area of 300 μm x 700 μm. The spectrometer work function and binding energy scale
of the spectrometer were calibrated using the Fermi edge and 3d_{5/2} peak recorded from
a polycrystalline Ag sample prior to the commencement of the experiments. In order
to prevent surface charging the surface was flooded with a beam of low energy
electrons throughout the experiment and this necessitated recalibration of the binding
energy scale. To achieve this, the C-C/C-H component of the C 1s spectrum was
referenced to 285.0 eV. The data were analysed in the CasaXPS package, using Shirley
backgrounds and mixed Gaussian-Lorentzian (Voigt) lineshapes. For compositional
analysis, the analyser transmission function has been determined using clean metallic
foils to determine the detection efficiency across the full binding energy range.

*Dynamic Light Scattering*

Hydrodynamic diameters \(D_h\) and size distributions of particles were determined by
dynamic light scattering (DLS) using a Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS with a 4 mW He-
Ne 633 nm laser module operating at 25 °C. Measurements were carried out at an
angle of 173° (back scattering), and results were analysed using Malvern DTS 7.03
software. All determinations were repeated 5 times with at least 10 measurements
recorded for each run. \(D_h\) values were calculated using the Stokes-Einstein equation
where particles are assumed to be spherical.

*UV-vis Spectroscopy*

Absorbance measurements were recorded on an Agilent Cary 60 UV-Vis
Spectrophotometer and on a BioTek Epoch microplate reader.

*Transmission Electron Microscopy*

Dry-state stained TEM imaging was performed on a JEOL JEM-2100Plus microscope
operating at an acceleration voltage of 200 kV. All dry-state samples were diluted with
deionised water and then deposited onto formvar-coated copper grids.
Thermogravimetric Analysis

2 mL of functionalised AuNPs with ~10 Abs was centrifuged and the maximum amount of solvent removed. Then, samples were heated in a sand bath at 70 °C for 2 days until they were completely dry. The drying process was monitored by measuring the samples until no weight change was observed.
7.6.2 Materials

All chemicals were used as supplied unless otherwise stated. N-Hydroxyethyl acrylamide (97%), 4,4’-azobis(4-cyanvaleric acid) (ACVA, 98%), 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP, > 98%), mesitylene (reagent grade), triethylamine (> 99%), sodium citrate tribasic dihydrate (> 99%), gold(III) chloride trihydrate (99.9%), potassium phosphate tribasic (≥ 98%, reagent grade), deuterium oxide (D₂O, 99.9%), deuterated chloroform (CDCl₃, 99.8%), diethyl ether (≥ 99.8%, ACS reagent grade), methanol (≥ 99.8%, ACS reagent grade), toluene (≥ 99.7%), carbon disulphide (≥ 99.8%), acetone (≥ 99%), 1-dodecane thiol (≥ 98%) and pentafluorophenol (≥ 99%, reagent plus) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. DMF (≥ 99%) and 2-bromo-2-methyl propionic acid (98%) were purchased from Acros Organics. Galactosamine HCl and 1-Ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDCI, > 98%) were purchased from Carbosynth. HPLC grade acetonitrile (≥ 99.8%), hexane fraction from petrol (lab reagent grade), DCM (99% lab reagent grade), sodium hydrogen carbonate (≥ 99%), ethyl acetate (≥ 99.7%, analytical reagent grade), 40-60 petroleum ether (lab reagent grade), hydrochloric acid (~37%, analytical grade), glacial acetic acid (analytical grade) and magnesium sulphate (reagent grade) were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific.

Ultra-pure water used for buffers was MilliQ grade 18.2 mΩ resistance.

Further details of materials used, and synthesis of the particles can be found in the respective papers the particles are taken from.
7.6.3 Synthetic Methods

Synthesis of 2-(dodecythiocarboxanionylthio)-2-methyl propionic acid (DMP)

This was synthesised, according to a previously published procedure.\(^{47}\) 2.00 g (9.88 mmol) of 1-dodecanthiol was added dropwise to stirring 2.10 g (9.89 mmol) of K\(_3\)PO\(_4\) in 30 mL of acetone at RTP, the mixture was left to stir for 25 minutes to form a white suspension. 2.05 g (26.93 mmol) of carbon disulphide was then added and left for 10 minutes, a yellow solution formed. 1.5 g (8.98 mmol) of 2-bromo-2-methyl-propionic acid was then added and the solution left to stir for 16 hours. The solvent was removed under vacuum. The crude product was dissolved in 100 mL of 1 M HCl and extracted with DCM (2×100 mL). The organic layer was washed with 200 mL of water and 200 mL of brine. The organic layer was dried with MgSO\(_4\) and filtered under gravity. The solvent was then removed from the filtrate under vacuum. The crude product was purified using a silica column (40-60 PET:DCM:glacial acetic acid 75:24:1) and recrystallised in n-hexane to give a yellow solid (58%). \(\delta_H\) (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 3.28 (2H, t, \(J\) 7.5, SC\(_2\)H\(_2\)CH\(_2\)), 1.80 - 1.45 (8H, m, C(CH\(_3\))\(_2\) and SCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)), 1.45 - 1.2 (18H, m, (CH\(_2\))\(_9\)CH\(_3\)), 0.87 (3H, t, \(J\) 6.0, CH\(_3\)). \(\delta_C\) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 221.0 (1C, SC(S)S), 178.3 (1C, C(O)), 55.7 (1C, C(CH\(_3\))\(_2\)), 37.7 (1C, SCH\(_2\)), 32.1 - 28.0 (9C, SCH\(_2\)(CH\(_2\))\(_9\)), 25.4 (2C, C(CH\(_3\))\(_2\)), 22.8 (1C, CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)), 14.3 (1C, CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)). m/z calculated as 364.16; found for ESI [M+H]\(^+\) 365.3 and [M+Na]\(^+\) 387.3. FTIR (cm\(^{-1}\)) – 2956, 2916.6 & 2850 (methyl and methylene), 1702 (ester C=O), 1459, 1437 & 1413 (methyl and methylene), 1280 (C(CH\(_3\))\(_2\)), 1064 (S-C(S)-S).
Synthesis of pentafluorophenyl-2-dodecylthiocarbonothioylthio)-2-methylpropanoate (PFP-DMP)

This was synthesised, according to a previously published procedure.\(^4\) 4.06 g (11.13 mmol) of DMP, 3.65 g (19.04 mmol) of EDC and 2.30 g (18.82 mmol) of DMAP were dissolved in 160 mL of DCM and degassed for 30 minutes. 7.28 g (39.55 mmol) of pentafluorophenol was added in 20 mL of DCM and the mixture stirred for 18 hours at RTP. The organic layer was washed with 3 M HCl (200 mL), 1 M NaHCO\(_3\) (200 mL) and 0.5 M NaCl (200 mL). The organic layer was dried with MgSO\(_4\) and filtered under gravity. The solvent was then removed from the filtrate under vacuum. The crude product was recrystallised from ethyl acetate (or hexane) overnight at -8 °C and dried to give yellow crystals (90.9%). \(\delta_H\) (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 3.31 (2H, t, J 7.5, SCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)), 1.86 (6H, s, C(CH\(_3\))\(_2\)), 1.69 (2H, qn, J 7.5, SCH\(_2\)), 1.48 - 1.16 (18H, m, CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)), 0.94 - 0.82 (3H, m, CH\(_3\)). \(\delta_C\) (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 220.1 (1C, SC(S)S), 169.7 (1C, C(O)), 143.1 (2C, meta C), 139.8 (1C, ipso C), 139.6 (1C, para C), 136.3 (2C, Ortho C), 55.5 (1C, C(CH\(_3\))\(_2\)), 37.3 (1C, SCH\(_2\)), 32.0 - 22.8 (10C, SCH\(_2\)(CH\(_2\))\(_10\)), 25.4 (2C, C(CH\(_3\))\(_2\)), 14.1 (1C, CH\(_2\)CH\(_3\)). \(\delta_F\) (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) -151.4 - -151.6 (2F, m, OCC\(_2\)H\(_2\)C\(_2\)H\(_2\)CH), -148.5 (1F, t, J 21.5, OCC\(_2\)H\(_2\)C\(_2\)H\(_2\)CH), -162.2 - -162.5 (2F, m, OCC\(_2\)H\(_2\)C\(_2\)H\(_2\)CH). m/z calculated as 530.14; found for ESI [M+Na]^+ 553.3 and [M+CH\(_3\)CN+Na]^+ 593.5. FTIR (cm\(^{-1}\)) – 2956, 2917 & 2850 (methyl and methylene), 1702 (ester C=O), 1519 (aromatic C=C or C-F), 1460, 1437 & 1413 (methyl and methylene), 1280 (C(CH\(_3\))\(_2\)), 1068 (S-C(S)-S).
Representative Polymerisation of 2-hydroxyethyl acrylamide (PHEA40)

PHEA40 as representative example. 2.0 g (17.37 mmol) of 2-hydroxyethyl acrylamide, 0.043 g (0.15 mmol) of ACVA and 0.368 g (0.69 mmol) of PFP-DMP was added to 16 mL 1:1 toluene:methanol and degassed with nitrogen for 30 minutes. The reaction vessel was stirred and heated to 70 °C for 2 hours. The solvent was removed under vacuum. The crude product was dissolved in the minimum amount of methanol. Diethyl ether cooled in liquid nitrogen was added to the methanol to form a precipitate. The mixture was centrifuged for 2 minutes at 13 krpm and the liquid decanted off. The solid was dissolved in methanol and removed under vacuum to give a yellow crystalline solid.

PHEA40 - δH (300 MHz, D2O) 8.35 - 7.95 (21H, m, NH), 3.97 - 3.56 (78H, m, NHCH₂), 3.56 - 3.03 (80H, m, CH₂OH & SCH₂), 2.41 - 1.90 (41H, m, CH₂CHC(O) & C(CH₃)₂), 1.90 - 0.99 (108H, m, CH₂CHC(O) & CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 0.83 - 0.72 (5H, m, CH₂CH₃). δF (300 MHz, D₂O) -152.0 - -164.3 (5F, m, C₆F₅).

PHEA26 - δH (300 MHz, D₂O) 8.38 - 7.88 (13H, m, NH), 3.96 - 3.54 (55H, m, NHCH₂), 3.55 - 3.09 (78H, m, CH₂OH & SCH₂), 2.53 - 1.90 (31H, m, CH₂CHC(O) & C(CH₃)₂), 1.90 - 1.01 (86H, m, CH₂CHC(O) & CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 0.84 - 0.73 (5H, m, CH₂CH₃).

PHEA72 - δH (300 MHz, D₂O) 8.30 - 7.96 (34H, m, NH), 3.96 - 3.52 (126H, m, NHCH₂), 3.52 - 3.07 (155H, m, CH₂OH & SCH₂), 2.36 - 1.88 (70H, m, CH₂CHC(O) & C(CH₃)₂), 1.88 - 1.03 (148H, m, CH₂CHC(O) & CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 0.82 - 0.70 (5H, m, CH₂CH₃).

PHEA110 - δH (300 MHz, D₂O) 8.24 - 8.02 (28H, m, NH), 3.83 - 3.51 (239H, m, NHCH₂), 3.51 - 3.08 (293H, m, CH₂OH & SCH₂), 2.40 - 1.90 (117H, m, CH₂CHC(O) & C(CH₃)₂), 1.90 - 1.03 (273H, m, CH₂CHC(O) & CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 0.86 - 0.73 (5H, m, CH₂CH₃).
Representative Poly(N-hydroxyethyl acrylamide) (PHEA40) Glycan Functionalisation

0.25 g (0.088 mmol) of poly(2-hydroxyethyl acrylamide) and 0.090 g (0.50 mmol) of galactosamine HCl were added to 25 ml of DMF containing 0.05 M TEA. The reaction was stirred at 50 °C for 16 hours. Solvent was removed under vacuum. The crude product was dissolved in the minimum amount of methanol at RTP before cooling in a liquid nitrogen bath. Diethyl ether cooled in liquid nitrogen was added to the methanol to form a precipitate. The mixture was centrifuged for 2 minutes at 13krpm and the liquid decanted off. The solid was dissolved in methanol and removed under vacuum to give an orange/brown crystalline solid. δH (300 MHz, D2O) 8.03 - 7.86 (6H, m, NH), 4.96 - 4.87 (2H, anomeric protons), 4.13 - 3.51 (~90H, m, NHCH2 & glycan protons), 3.51 - 3.09 (~80H, m, CH2OH & SCH2 & glycan protons), 2.47 - 1.90 (~50H, m, CH2CHC(O), C(CH3)2 & glycan protons), 1.90 - 1.42 (98H, m, CH2CHC(O) & CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH2CH3), 0.93 - 0.72 (5H, m, CH2CH3). FTIR (cm⁻¹) – 3267 (OH, broad), 3094 & 2926 (C(O)NH and NH), 1638 & 1545 (C(O)NH).

Citrate-Stabilised 16 nm Gold Nanoparticle Synthesis

Synthesised by a previously reported protocol.48 To 500 mL of water was added 0.163 g (0.414 mmol) of gold(III) chloride trihydrate, the mixture was heated to reflux and 14.6 mL of water containing 0.429 g (1.46 mmol) of sodium citrate tribasic dihydrate was added. The reaction was allowed to reflux for 30 minutes before cooling to room temperature over 3 hours. The solution was centrifuged at 13 krpm for 30 minutes and the pellet resuspended in 40 mL of water to give an absorbance at 520 nm of ~1Abs.

Gold Nanoparticle Polymer Coating Functionalisation – 16 nm

100 mg of glycopolymer was agitated overnight with 10 mL of 16 nm AuNPs ~1Abs at UVmax. The solution was centrifuged at 13 krpm for 30 minutes and the pellet resuspended in 10 mL of water, the solution was centrifuged again at 13 krpm for 30 minutes and the pellet resuspended in 1 mL aliquots and centrifuged at 14.5 krpm for 10 minutes. The pellets were combined into a 1 mL solution with an absorbance at 520 nm of ~10 Abs.
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Chapter 8

Conclusions
This work has introduced, and explored, the emerging technology of lateral flow glyco-assays. The key components of the lateral flow glyco-assay have been systematically interrogated and investigated, notably; glycosylated polymer-coated nanoparticles in the mobile phase, and polymeric anchors as test line scaffolds in the stationary phase. Additionally, the relative grafting density of the glycosylated polymer-coated nanoparticles has been probed, and the synthesis of novel glycans using the Mannich reaction studied.

Through the synthesis of a library of glycosylated polymer-coated nanoparticles, utilising RAFT polymerisation, the concept of the lateral flow glyco-assay has been introduced and validated for the first time. Unlike immunoassays, the nanoparticle’s polymer-coating could be optimised for the detection of different analytes; SBA, RCA\textsubscript{120}, SARS-COV-2 S1 spike protein etc. This is a unique advantage of employing polymeric tethers, in that the final device’s performance and specificity can be tuned by macromolecular engineering, in addition to varying the glycan. The “whole particle” impact of adjusting; polymer length, glycan and gold particle used, was explored by x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. Succinctly, the changes to relative grafting density likely explain some of the variations in binding seen between different particle systems.

The approach of “tuning” lateral flow glyco-assay systems enabled the rapid detection (in under 20 minutes) of model proteins (SBA) as low as 5 \( \mu \text{g.mL}^{-1} \) (0.042 nmol.mL\(^{-1} \)). This is below the (molar) detection limits of commercial lateral flow pregnancy tests which use antibody-functionalised gold nanoparticles and falls within the range of values (microgram to nanogram per millilitre) for antibody-based LFDs. Moreover, these glycan-based devices were used to probe the glycan-binding specificity of the SARS-COV-2 S1 spike protein. It was discovered that this “biologically new” protein, and its respective virus, have an affinity for \( \alpha,N \)-acetyl neuraminic acid; with successful detection of a virus mimic particle bearing SARS-COV-2 S1 achieved in under 30 mins using a lateral flow glyco-assay. This discovery was built upon by colleagues who have shown the importance of sialic acids in mediating the viral entry of SARS-COV-2 into the cell; and lays the foundations for a greater understanding of SARS-COV-2’s biology beyond proteins.

Furthermore, the \( \alpha,N \)-acetyl neuraminic acid-based system was developed into a prototype flow-through glyco-assay device, capable of detecting SARS-COV-2
infection. This prototype device was demonstrated versus spike bearing lentiviruses and patient samples containing the SARS-COV-2 virus itself – achieving, after silver staining, a sensitivity of 85% and specificity of 93%. The devices were also shown to detect recombinant spike proteins from several variants, indicating that these mutations do not remove glycan-binding function. While the cassettes themselves displayed good robustness following prolonged exposure to the environment and temperatures in excess of 70 °C. This affirmed that, not only can lateral flow and flow-through glyco-assay technology be deployed in a “real-world” setting, but the predicted advantages of glyco-assays, namely increased robustness and low-cost, are attainable too.

To develop lateral flow glyco-assay technology further, and remove protein components completely from the devices, a polymeric lateral flow test line was synthesised and tested. The poly(vinyl pyrrolidone) anchor synthesised, by MADIX, was shown to be a promising alternative to the established protein-based anchoring reagents. This validated the concept of a fully synthetic, protein-free, polymeric lateral flow test line and protein-free (“vegan”) lateral flow test. It is anticipated that this first-generation of adjustable polymeric anchor systems could be used as multifunctional scaffolds or platforms to present other capture agents, such as short amino acid or nucleotide sequences, and enable a wider range of end-group functionality beyond amide chemistry.

In summary, lateral flow glyco-assays and flow-through glyco-assays present a viable alternative to lateral flow immunoassays. The benefits of these glycan-based systems compared to proteomic or immuno-based systems are the ease of both storage and manufacture, low-cost, increased robustness, and additional synthetic optionality, tuneability and control. The potential for glycopolymer-functionalised AuNPs, integrated into lateral flow systems as POC devices is vast: with this thesis only scratching the surface. These low-cost, immuno-free glycopolymer-based lateral flow diagnostic devices, lateral flow glyco-assays, could be ideal for low- and middle-income countries, and more economically developed countries alike, in closing the diagnostic health inequalities we see in both the COVID-19 pandemic and beyond.
End of Volume 1 of 2