Two long-period transiting exoplanets on eccentric orbits: NGTS-20 b (TOI-5152 b) and TOI-5153 b*
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ABSTRACT

Context. Long-period transiting planets provide the opportunity to better understand the formation and evolution of planetary systems. Their atmospheric properties remain largely unaltered by tidal or radiative effects of the host star, and their orbital arrangement reflects a different and less extreme migration history compared to close-in objects. The sample of long-period exoplanets with well-determined masses and radii is still limited, but a growing number of long-period objects reveal themselves in the Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS) data.

Aims. Our goal is to vet and confirm single-transit planet candidates detected in the TESS space-based photometric data through spectroscopic and photometric follow-up observations with ground-based instruments.

Methods. We used high-resolution spectrographs to confirm the planetary nature of the transiting candidates and measure their masses. We also used the Next Generation Transit Survey (NGTS) to photometrically monitor the candidates in order to observe additional transits. Using a joint modeling of the light curves and radial velocities, we computed the orbital parameters of the system and were able to precisely measure the mass and radius of the transiting planets.

Results. We report the discovery of two massive, warm Jupiter-size planets, one orbiting the F8-type star TOI-5153 and the other orbiting the G1-type star NGTS-20 (=TOI-5152). From our spectroscopic analysis, both stars are metal rich with a metallicity of 0.12 and 0.15, respectively. Only TOI-5153 presents a second transit in the TESS extended mission data, but NGTS observed NGTS-20 as part of its mono-transit follow-up program and detected two additional transits. Follow-up high-resolution spectroscopic observations were carried out with CORALIE, CHIRON, FEROS, and HARPS. TOI-5153 hosts a planet with a period of 20.33 days, a planetary mass of 5.09+0.16−0.14 M\textsubscript{J}, a radius of 1.06+0.06−0.04 R\textsubscript{J}, and an orbital eccentricity of 0.091+0.024−0.026. NGTS-20 b is a 2.98+0.16−0.14 M\textsubscript{J} planet with a radius of 1.07+0.04−0.02 R\textsubscript{J} on an eccentric (0.432+0.023−0.022) orbit with an orbital period of 54.19 days. Both planets are metal enriched and their heavy element content is in line with the previously reported mass–metallicity relation for gas giants.

Conclusions. Both warm Jupiters orbit moderately bright host stars, making these objects valuable targets for follow-up studies of the planetary atmosphere and measurement of the spin–orbit angle of the system.
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1. Introduction

The majority of known transiting gas giants are hot Jupiters; they orbit their host star with periods of less than ten days. The extended atmospheres of hot Jupiters are ideal for atmospheric studies and for investigating their composition (e.g., Madhusudhan et al. 2014; Sing et al. 2016; Wyttenbach et al. 2017; Showman et al. 2020; Baxter et al. 2021), but the original properties of these systems are not often preserved (e.g., Albrecht et al. 2012). The orbital parameters of an exoplanet are the result of its origin and formation but in the case of close-in planets, such as hot Jupiters, the proximity of the host star leads to additional mechanisms such as tidal interactions (e.g., Valsecchi et al. 2015), which disturb the initial orbital parameters. Longer period transiting planets, such as warm Jupiters, are less affected by their host star and their orbital elements retain a record of their formation and migrational history.

Warm Jupiters are exoplanets orbiting their host star with periods typically defined between 10 and 200 days. Similarly to hot Jupiters, if warm Jupiters are not formed in situ, they require migration mechanisms that are able to bring them from several astronomical units (au) to a fraction of an au from their host star (Dawson & Johnson 2018). Part of the warm-Jupiter population is located in the period valley, a region of the parameter space between 10 and 100 days where gas giants are less frequent (Udry et al. 2003; Wittenmyer et al. 2010). The occurrence rates of gas giants can also suggest the type of formation and evolution
The formation and evolution processes leading to the diversity of orbital arrangements seen in systems hosting warm Jupiters remain to be fully understood.

Several explanations have been put forward to explain the wide eccentricity distribution of warm Jupiters. The eccentricity distribution of warm Jupiters is composed of two groups, a low-eccentricity component and a higher eccentricity one (e.g., Petrovich & Tremaine 2016). Disk migration (e.g., Goldreich & Tremaine 1980; Baruteau et al. 2014) is able to explain the lower eccentricity component and can reproduce the period distribution of gas giants under certain disk properties (Coleman & Nelson 2016). However, disk migration and ensuing planet–planet scattering do not create enough warm Jupiters with high eccentricities. For fields observed continuously for 27 days, we expect one event per year in regions called continuous viewing zones. For fields overlapping, as such, TESS monitors some parts of the sky for up to one year in regions called continuous viewing zones. For fields observed continuously for 27 days, we expect one event per year in regions called continuous viewing zones. For fields overlapping.

The composition of gas giants depends on where they are formed in the protoplanetary disk, on which timescales, but also on the composition of the disk. Measuring precise masses and radii of giant planets enables estimations of their bulk metallicities, which in turn constrains the formation and evolution models. Solar System giants are metal-enriched compared to the Sun (e.g., Wong et al. 2004) and core-accretion models are able to match their heavy metal enhancement (e.g., Alibert et al. 2005). For exoplanets, Thorngren et al. (2016) showed that the planet metal enrichment \( Z_{\text{planet}}/Z_{\text{star}} \) is anti-correlated with planetary mass, while the mass of heavy elements is positively correlated with planetary mass. Comparing the results of different planetary synthesis models with the bulk metallicities of gas giants requires further investigation, for example in terms of detailed host star abundances (Teske et al. 2019) and in terms of its dependence on orbital properties of the planetary systems (Dalba et al. 2022).

Detecting transiting warm Jupiters is challenging, especially for ground-based surveys, as partial or full transit events visible from a given site are rare. However, the space-based photometric mission Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS; Ricker et al. 2015) is opening up a new possibility to detect this type of exoplanet orbiting bright and well-characterized stars. TESS observes each field almost continuously for 27 days, but a significant fraction of the sky is covered for longer periods of time when fields overlap. As such, TESS monitors some parts of the sky for up to one year in regions called continuous viewing zones. For fields observed continuously for 27 days, we expect most warm Jupiters to show only a single transit. Simulations by Cooke et al. (2018) and Villanueva et al. (2019) predicted that between 500 and 1000 single-transit events would be found in the first two years of the TESS data. Following these results, several pipelines have been developed to search for these events (e.g., Gill et al. 2020a; Montalto et al. 2020). These dedicated searches provide valuable candidates in addition to those announced by the TESS Objects of Interest (TOIs; Guerrero et al. 2021).

Single-transit candidates have several observational disadvantages; for example their orbital period is largely unconstrained. An estimate of the orbital period can be determined based on stellar and transit parameters (Osborn et al. 2016). With the re-observation of previous TESS sectors through the extended mission, new single-transit candidates are detected and several of the known candidates show a second transit, constraining the possible planetary periods to a discrete set of values. These candidates require spectroscopic vetting as we expect a false-positive rate of about 50% (Santerne et al. 2016). A by-product of the search for warm Jupiters is therefore the detection and characterization of long-period low-mass eclipsing binaries (e.g., Lendl et al. 2020; Gill et al. 2022). Single and two transit candidates are challenging systems to follow up and characterize but they can reveal warm planets, which are highly valuable systems (e.g., Osborn et al. 2022; Schanche et al. 2022). Long-period transiting giants are the missing link between hot Jupiters and the Solar System giants and they offer precious information for understanding the physics of their atmosphere, formation, migration, and their evolution history.

This paper describes the discovery and characterization of two new warm Jupiters. The observations are detailed in Sect. 2, the derivation of stellar parameters and the methods used to analyze photometric and radial velocity data are explained in Sect. 3. The results are presented in Sect. 4 and discussed in Sect. 5. We summarize our findings in Sect. 6.

2. Observations

The discovery photometry was collected with the space-based mission TESS (Sect. 2.1) and follow-up observations were carried out from the ground with the photometric facility NGTS (Sect. 2.2), and the high-resolution spectrographs CORALIE, FEROS, CHIRON, HARPS, and TRES (Sects. 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, and 2.7). The presence of nearby stars was checked with speckle imaging (Sect. 2.8).

2.1. TESS photometry

TOI-5153 and NGTS-20 were observed by the TESS satellite during its primary and extended mission. Both targets showed a single-transit event, TOI-5153 in sector 4 and NGTS-20 in sector 6. As several teams set out to search for and vet this type of event, these stars were selected as single-transit candidates by the TSTPC (Tess Single Transit Planetary Candidate) group, and later announced as CTOIs (Community Tess Object of Interest) by J. Steuer and the WINE team. TOI-5153 was observed at a 30 min cadence in sector 6 (11 December 2018 to 7 January 2019) and at a two-minute cadence in sector 33 (17 December 2020 to 13 January 2021). NGTS-20 was observed at a 30 min cadence in sector 4 from 18 October 2018 to 15 November 2018 and at a two-minute cadence in sector 31 from 21 October 2020 to 19 November 2020. Both stars were observed at a two-minute cadence in the extended mission, thanks to the approved Guest Investigator Program G03188 led by S. Villanueva. However, only TOI-5153 showed a second single transit in sector 33 and no event was detected in sector 31 for NGTS-20. Both primary transits passed the vetting stage where we checked for asteroid crossing and centroid shifts (indicating a background eclipsing binary).
Light curves of the primary mission were extracted with the Quick Look Pipeline (QLP, Huang et al. 2020a,b). The light curves from the extended mission were obtained through the data reduction carried out at the Science Processing Operation Center (SPOC, Jenkins et al. 2016). We use the simple aperture photometry (SAP) fluxes and their corresponding errors for our analysis. The light curves are presented in Figs. 1 and 2. We generated target pixel files with tpfplotter (Aller et al. 2020) and checked for the presence of contaminant sources – down to a magnitude difference of 6 – within the aperture used to extract the light curves. One star (TIC 124029687) falls into the aperture around TOI-5153. We estimate the dilution by comparing the fluxes measured in the Gaia passband and the TESS passband. TOI-5153 has a mean RP flux of 2208 ± 52 electrons per second (e− s−1) and TIC 124029687 has a flux of 2208 ± 8 e− s−1. The dilution is equal to 0.8 ± 0.0035% (Eq. (6) from Espinoza et al. 2019). We included a dilution factor for the light curve modeling and chose a Normal prior informed by the dilution estimated with Gaia photometry. The aperture of NGTS-20 is not contaminated by neighboring stars, and therefore we chose to fix the dilution factor to 1 (no dilution) for the modeling of the light curve.

2.2. NGTS photometry
NGTS-20 was monitored from the ground by the Next Generation Transit Survey (NGTS). NGTS is an automated array of twelve 20 cm telescopes installed at ESO’s Paranal Observatory, Chile (Wheatley et al. 2018). NGTS uses a custom filter which spans 520–890 nm. Starting on the night of September 29, 2020, the target was observed in the blind survey mode (every possible night) with one 20 cm telescope. The data were acquired with an exposure time of 10 s and cadence of 13 s. Data reduction is performed with SAP and an automatic transit search is done using template matching (Gill et al. 2020b). One full transit was observed on the night of December 8, 2020. After this second transit event, the possible periods for this candidate correspond to a set of period aliases. The target was only observed on nights when a transit of a period alias was expected. A third transit was observed on the night of October 28, 2021. Six NGTS cameras were used with the same exposure time and cadence as during the blind search survey mode. The NGTS light curves are presented in Fig. 2.

2.3. CORALIE spectroscopy
Spectroscopic vetting and radial velocity follow-up were carried out with the CORALIE spectrograph (Queloz et al. 2001). CORALIE is a fiber-fed spectrograph installed at the Nasmyth focus of the Swiss 1.2 m Euler telescope (La Silla, Chile). CORALIE has a spectral resolution of 60 000 and observes with a 3 pixel sampling per resolution element. Fiber injection is done with two fibers: a first fiber is used to observe the target and a second fiber can collect light from a Fabry–Pérot etalon or the sky.
Fig. 2. Top: photometric observations of NGTS-20 from TESS sector 4 at 30 min cadence (left panel) and NGTS binned at two-minute cadence (middle and right panels). In each panel, the data are shown are colored dots (orange, green, and red), the full model is represented with a line of the same color, and the Gaussian process model is shown as a gray line. Bottom: each panel shows the residuals in parts per million between the full model and the respective light curve.

Table 1. Radial velocities of TOI-5153.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (BJD)</th>
<th>RV (km s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>RV error (km s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2459192.69733</td>
<td>-35.24751</td>
<td>0.06266</td>
<td>CORALIE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2459201.70551</td>
<td>-35.56908</td>
<td>0.06674</td>
<td>CORALIE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2459214.55618</td>
<td>-35.30501</td>
<td>0.08927</td>
<td>CORALIE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2459504.78439</td>
<td>-35.4622</td>
<td>0.0156</td>
<td>HARPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2459505.85753</td>
<td>-35.5273</td>
<td>0.0213</td>
<td>HARPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2459506.76824</td>
<td>-35.5145</td>
<td>0.0162</td>
<td>FEROS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. Full table is available at CDS.

(2.4. FEROS spectroscopy

FEROS is a high-resolution spectrograph installed at the 2.2 m telescope in La Silla, Chile. FEROS has a spectral resolution...
Table 2. Radial velocities of NGTS-20.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (BJD)</th>
<th>RV (km s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>RV error (km s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2458738.86129</td>
<td>0.0778</td>
<td>0.0282</td>
<td>CORALIE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2458748.83030</td>
<td>0.1598</td>
<td>0.0273</td>
<td>CORALIE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2458804.74919</td>
<td>12.67750</td>
<td>0.05916</td>
<td>CORALIE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2459504.73569</td>
<td>12.60444</td>
<td>0.03076</td>
<td>CORALIE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2459514.61823</td>
<td>12.66770</td>
<td>0.02817</td>
<td>CORALIE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2459528.58470</td>
<td>12.43836</td>
<td>0.03839</td>
<td>CORALIE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. Full table is available at CDS.

Fig. 3. Top: time series of the radial velocities from CORALIE (blue dots), FEROS (orange crosses), HARPS (red triangles), and CHIRON (purple stars) for TOI-5153. Bottom: generalized Lomb–Scargle periodogram of the radial velocities. The highest peak corresponds to a period of about 20.1 days.

Fig. 4. Top: time series of the radial velocities from CORALIE (blue dots), FEROS (orange crosses), and CHIRON (purple triangles) for NGTS-20. Bottom: generalized Lomb–Scargle periodogram of the radial velocities. The highest peak corresponds to a period of about 53.5 days.

A total of nine FEROS spectra were collected for TOI-5153 between 20 February 2021 and 9 October 2021 under the program number 0106.A-9014(A) (PI: Sarkis). The exposure times vary between 900 and 1200 s depending on the weather conditions. For NGTS-20, 13 spectra were recorded under the program number 0104.A-9007(A) (PI: Sarkis) between 25 February 2020 and 9 January 2021. Exposure times vary between 900 s and 1350 s depending on weather conditions and lead to a S/N ranging from 70 to 123.

The data reduction was performed with the CERES pipeline (Brahm et al. 2017), and the radial velocities were extracted using the cross-correlation technique. Radial velocity measurements are detailed in Tables 1 and 2 and plotted in Figs. 3 and 4.

2.5. CHIRON spectroscopy

TOI-5153 was observed with the CHIRON spectrograph (Tokovinin et al. 2013) of the 1.5 m Smarts telescope located at Cerro Tololo International Observatory (CTIO) in Chile. CHIRON is a fiber-fed spectrograph with a spectral resolution of 80,000 when used with the image slicer mode. Five spectra were taken from 13 March 2021 to 3 April 2021. These data were acquired through a monitoring program from Sam Quinn and reduced with a least-squares deconvolution method (Donati et al. 1997) leading to an average radial velocity precision of 67 m s\(^{-1}\).

NGTS-20 was monitored with the CHIRON spectrograph and observations took place between 12 September 2019 and 18 February 2021. A total of 13 radial velocity measurements were obtained with an exposure time of 1800 s and a nominal S/N of 30. The data were obtained through two different observing programs and data reduction was carried out following the procedures described in Wang et al. (2019) and Jones et al. (2019), and wavelength calibration is performed with Th–Ar lamp exposures taken before and after the science observation. The radial velocities were derived with the cross-correlation technique and an average radial velocity precision of about 27 m s\(^{-1}\) was reached. Radial velocity measurements are detailed in Tables 1 and 2 and plotted in Figs. 3 and 4.

2.6. HARPS spectroscopy

TOI-5153 was also observed with the high-resolution spectrograph HARPS (Mayor et al. 2003; R ~ 115 000) installed on the 3.6 m telescope in La Silla, Chile. A total of three observations were obtained under the program number 106.21ER.001 (PI: Brahm) between 3 March 2021 and 23 March 2021. Observations were obtained with the high-accuracy mode and an exposure time set to 1200 s. The data reduction was performed with the standard data reduction pipeline. The radial velocities were extracted with the cross-correlation technique using a G2 mask. We obtained an average S/N of 25 at 550 nm. The observations are detailed in Table 1 and plotted in Fig. 3.

2.7. TRES spectroscopy

Two reconnaissance spectra of TOI-5153 were obtained on February 10 and 19, 2022, using the Tillinghast Reflector Echelle Spectrograph (TRES; Fürész 2008) located at the Fred Lawrence Whipple Observatory (FLWO) atop Mount Hopkins, Arizona, USA. TRES is a fiber-fed echelle spectrograph with a wavelength range of 390–910 nm and a resolving power of 44 000. The spectra were extracted as described in Buchhave et al. (2010). We used the TRES spectra to derived stellar parameters for TOI-5153 using the Stellar Parameter Classification (SPC) tool.
(Buchhave et al. 2012, 2014). SPC cross correlates an observed spectrum against a grid of synthetic spectra based on Kurucz atmospheric models (Kurucz 1992). The stellar effective temperature is evaluated at 6190 ± 53 K. The surface gravity is equal to 4.30 ± 0.10 cm s⁻² and the stellar metallicity to 0.20 ± 0.08. These values are consistent within 1σ with the adopted stellar parameters obtained from CORALIE spectra and described in Sect. 3.1.

2.8. Speckle imaging

High-resolution speckle images were taken for both stars with the NN-Explore Exoplanet and Stellar Speckle Imager (NESSI: Scott et al. 2018). NESSI is installed on the 3.5 m WYIN telescope located at the Kitt Peak National Observatory. TOI-5153 was observed on the 17 November, 2019, and NGTS-20 was observed on the 18 November, 2019. Both stars had images taken in the blue and red channels with two narrow band filters centered at 562 nm and 832 nm. The reconstructed speckle images are produced following the procedures described in Howell et al. (2011). The 5σ background sensitivity limits are measured in the reconstructed images and are shown in Fig. 5. The NESSI data show no indication that either of the targets has close stellar companions.

3. Methods

3.1. Stellar parameter determination

We combined the CORALIE spectra of NGTS-20 and the HARPS spectra of TOI-5153 in order to derive the parameters for both planet host stars. The stellar parameters were obtained using the spectral synthesis technique implemented in the iSpec package (Blanco-Cuaresma et al. 2014). This package generates a synthetic stellar spectrum using the SPECTRUM radiative transfer code, the model atmospheres from MARCS (Gustafsson et al. 2008), and the atomic line list from Asplund et al. (2009). iSpec minimizes the difference between the observed spectrum and synthetic spectra (computed simultaneously) and varies only one free parameter at a time. We defined a set of given wavelength regions for the fitting. The first region includes Hα, Na, and Mg lines and is used to measure the stellar effective temperature and the surface gravity. The second region includes FeI and FeII lines which are used to derive the stellar metallicity and the projected stellar velocity (\( v \sin i \)). We derive the effective temperature, the surface gravity, the metallicity, and the \( v \sin i \) of TOI-5153 and NGTS-20. We note that both stars are metal-rich with metallicities of 0.12 ± 0.08 and 0.15 ± 0.08 for TOI-5153 and NGTS-20, respectively. The results are presented in Table 3.

We performed an analysis of the broadband spectral energy distribution (SED) together with the Gaia EDR3 parallax (Gaia Collaboration 2021) in order to determine an empirical measurement of the stellar radius, following the procedures described in Stassun & Torres (2016); Stassun et al. (2017, 2018). We pulled the B1V1 magnitudes from Tycho-2 (Høg et al. 2000), the BVgr magnitudes from APASS (Henden & Munari 2014), the JHKs magnitudes from 2MASS (Skrutskie et al. 2006), the W1–W4 magnitudes from WISE (Wright et al. 2010), and the G, Gbp, and Grp magnitudes from Gaia. For TOI-5153, we also used the available GALEX NUV flux (Bianchi et al. 2017). Together, the available photometry spans the full stellar SED over the wavelength range 0.35–22 μm, and extends down to 0.2 μm for TOI-5153 (see Fig. 6). We performed a fit using Kurucz stellar atmosphere models, with the priors on effective temperature (\( T_{\text{eff}} \)), surface gravity (\( \log g \)), and metallicity ([Fe/H]) from the spectroscopically determined values. The remaining free parameter is the extinction (\( A_V \)), which we restricted to the maximum line-of-sight value from the dust maps of Schlegel et al. (1998).

Integrating the (dereddened) model SED gives the bolometric flux at Earth of \( F_{\text{bol}} = 5.86 ± 0.21 \times 10^{-10} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) for TOI-5153 and \( F_{\text{bol}} = 8.72 ± 0.10 \times 10^{-10} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) for NGTS-20. Taking the \( F_{\text{bol}} \) and \( T_{\text{bol}} \) together with the Gaia EDR3 parallax with no systematic adjustment (see Stassun & Torres 2021) gives stellar radii of 1.401 ± 0.045 \( R_{\odot} \) and 1.781 ± 0.050 \( R_{\odot} \), respectively. When comparing with the stellar radius estimated using the empirical relation of Torres et al. (2010), we find that the results are identical for TOI-5153 but are incompatible for NGTS-20. We find that the spectroscopic \( \log g \) of NGTS-20 may be underestimated: part of the spectral line broadening is attributed to rapid rotation instead of gravity broadening. A \( \log g \) value of 4.05 instead of 3.8 allows us to obtain compatible stellar radii.

We can also estimate the stellar mass from the empirical relations of Torres et al. (2010) as well as directly via \( R_{\ast} \) and \( \log g \). From Torres et al. (2010), the stellar mass is equal to
The results are presented in Table 3. The stellar age of NGTS-20 ranges from 1.4 to 6.8 Gyr. However, the age estimation is less certain for NGTS-20 as the UV activity index points toward a lower stellar rotational velocity than what is derived from the spectroscopic analysis. As a result, the stellar age of NGTS-20 ranges from 1.4 to 6.8 Gyr. The results are presented in Table 3.

### Table 3. Stellar properties and stellar parameters derived with the spectral synthesis method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOI-5153</th>
<th>NGTS-20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Names</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2MASS</td>
<td>J06060966-1957118</td>
<td>J03051020-2156011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaia</td>
<td>2942084865853011712</td>
<td>5078704372599743104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIC</td>
<td>TIC 124029677</td>
<td>TIC 257527578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOI</td>
<td>TOI-5153</td>
<td>TOI-5152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGTS</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>NGTS-20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Astrometric properties**

| RA (°)                  | 06:06:09.68 | 03:05:10.23 |
| Dec (°)                 | −19:57:12.4 | −21:56:01.1 |
| μRA (mas yr⁻¹)          | 8.745 ± 0.019 | 20.077 ± 0.017 |
| μDec (mas yr⁻¹)         | −34.563 ± 0.021 | −0.873 ± 0.017 |
| Parallax (mas)          | 2.563 ± 0.023 | 2.731 ± 0.018 |
| Distance (pc)           | 390.1 ± 3.5 | 366.2 ± 2.4 |

**Photometric properties**

| V (mag) | 11.93 ± 0.15 | 11.23 ± 0.09 |
| B (mag) | 12.46 ± 0.19 | 11.76 ± 0.09 |
| G (mag) | 11.577 ± 0.0004 | 11.031 ± 0.0004 |
| T (mag) | 11.215 ± 0.007 | 10.6509 ± 0.0078 |
| J (mag) | 10.681 ± 0.024 | 10.143 ± 0.024 |
| H (mag) | 10.48 ± 0.023 | 9.879 ± 0.025 |
| Ks (mag) | 10.408 ± 0.019 | 9.830 ± 0.019 |
| W1 (mag) | 10.399 ± 0.022 | 9.800 ± 0.023 |
| W2 (mag) | 10.412 ± 0.02 | 9.834 ± 0.019 |
| W3 (mag) | 10.418 ± 0.066 | 9.775 ± 0.036 |
| W4 (mag) | 8.595 ± 0.361 | 9.075 ± 0.219 |
| Aν        | 0.13 ± 0.04 | 0.01 ± 0.01 |

**Bulk properties**

| T eff (K) | 6300 ± 80 | 5980 ± 80 |
| Spectral type  | F8 V | G1 IV |
| log g (cm s⁻²) | 4.30 ± 0.15 | 3.8 ± 0.2 |
| [Fe/H] (dex) | 0.12 ± 0.08 | 0.15 ± 0.08 |
| v sin i (km s⁻¹) | 10.1 ± 1.0 | 8.0 ± 0.8 |
| log Ro/HK   | −4.95 ± 0.07 | −5.01 ± 0.05 |
| Age (Gyr)  | 5.4 ± 1.0 | 1.4–6.8 |
| Radius (R⊙) | 1.40 ± 0.04 | 1.78 ± 0.05 |
| Mass (M⊙)  | 1.24 ± 0.07 | 1.47 ± 0.09 |

Notes. 2MASS (Skrutskie et al. 2006); Gaia EDR3 (Gaia Collaboration 2021); Tycho (Hog et al. 2000); WISE (Wright et al. 2010).

1.24 ± 0.07 M⊙ for TOI-5153 and 1.47 ± 0.09 M⊙ for NGTS-20. The uncertainty on the stellar masses from the Torres relation is computed by taking into account the uncertainty on the stellar parameters as well as the uncertainty on the coefficients of the relation. Finally, we can estimate the age of the star from the spectroscopic R HK and from the stellar rotation period determined from the spectroscopic v sin i together with R *, via the empirical relations of Mamajek & Hillenbrand (2008).

From Torres et al. (2010), the stellar mass is equal to 1.24 ± 0.07 M⊙ for TOI-5153 and 1.47 ± 0.09 M⊙ for NGTS-20. The stellar age is estimated using the empirical gyrochronology relation (Mamajek & Hillenbrand 2008) and is equal to 5.4 ± 1.0 Gyr in the case of TOI-5153, which is in agreement with the age derived from the spectroscopic R HK equal to 5.7 ± 1.6 Gyr. However, the age estimation is less certain for NGTS-20 as the UV activity index points toward a lower stellar rotational velocity than what is derived from the spectroscopic analysis. As a result, the stellar age of NGTS-20 ranges from 1.4 to 6.8 Gyr.

### 3.2. Radial velocity analysis

We first ran an analysis on the radial velocity dataset to find evidence for a periodic signal in the data. We used the kima software package (Faria et al. 2018) to model both radial velocity datasets. kima uses Bayesian inference to model radial velocity series as a sum of Keplerians, where different instruments can be included thanks to the free radial velocity offset between them. Kima also allows to have the number of planets as a free parameter. We chose a uniform prior of between zero and one for the number of planets. The parameters governing the planetary orbit and the priors used in the fit are summarized in Table A.1. For both systems, there is clear evidence for one periodic signal as the ratio between the number of posterior samples for the one-planet model over the zero-planet model is superior to 150. The orbital period is also clearly defined in both cases: at 20.315 ± 0.029 days for TOI-5153 and 54.32 ± 0.16 days for NGTS-20. The radial velocity time series and their associated
stellar activity as well as instrumental systematics can be taken into account with Gaussian processes (Gibson 2014) or simpler parametric functions. For the radial velocity modeling, juliet uses radvel (Fulton et al. 2018) and stellar activity signal can also be modeled with Gaussian processes. We chose to use the nested sampling method dynesty (Speagle 2019) implemented in juliet. Several instruments can be taken into account with radial velocity offsets between them.

For TOI-5153, only two planetary transits have been observed with TESS. In the case of a duo-transit, the solution in orbital period is a discontinuous space where several period aliases can explain the two observed transits. Setting a broad uniform prior on the orbital period leads the algorithm to only explore parts of the parameter space, usually around one or two of the period aliases. To overcome this difficulty, we can combine several dynesty runs and obtain a more complete picture of the posterior distribution. We note that the period alias with the highest likelihood corresponds to the orbital period also found with the analysis of the radial-velocity data.

We therefore chose to set a Normal prior with a width of 0.1 days on the orbital period for the joint fit. In order to confirm the correct period alias, we ran the joint modeling with six sets of priors. We tested the three period aliases closest to the orbital period found with the radial velocity fit (20.1 days). For each period alias prior, we either fixed the eccentricity to zero or we let the eccentricity be a free parameter.

For NGTS-20, the TESS light curves displayed one transit and we obtained two additional transits with NGTS; the solution in orbital period is therefore well constrained and does not present period aliases. For both stars, the parameters and their prior distributions used for the joint fit are listed in Table B.1. Both targets were analyzed with the same choice of model parameters. For the planet, we have the orbital period, mid-transit time, impact parameter, and planet-to-star radius ratio. The eccentricity and argument of periastron are used directly as model parameters and the eccentricity is governed by a Beta prior as detailed in Kipping (2014).

We chose to use the stellar density as a parameter instead of the scaled semi-major axis ($a/R_*$). The Normal prior on stellar density is informed by the stellar analysis which allowed us to derive precise masses and radii and their associated errors. TESS and NGTS have slightly different bandpasses which we modeled by setting two sets of limb-darkening parameters. We chose a quadratic limb darkening parameterized as $(q_1, q_2)$ in order to efficiently sample the parameter space (Kipping 2013). Additional jitters and offsets are taken into account in the modeling. As the TESS sectors are two years apart and NGTS observed the two transits of NGTS-20 with different number of cameras, we have a set of four jitters and offsets for NGTS-20. For TOI-5153, we have a set of two jitters and offsets as only two TESS light curves are available.

For the radial velocity model, the semi-amplitude is one of parameters and each spectrograph has a separate offset and jitter parameters. For both targets, we used the nested sampling algorithm implemented in juliet, dynesty: each fit was done with 1000 live points and until the estimated uncertainty on the log-evidence is smaller than 0.1.

Photometric variability and radial velocity jitter can be accounted for either through linear models against any parameters or through Gaussian processes. The TESS light curves show small levels of stellar variability both in the SAP and PDCSAP fluxes. The first NGTS light curve of NGTS-20 shows a drop in flux after the end of the first transit, as visible in Fig. 2. We tried to decorrelate this feature against relevant parameters.

Fig. 6. Spectral energy distributions and associated residuals for TOI-5153 (top panel) and NGTS-20 (bottom panel). Red symbols represent the observed photometric measurements, where the horizontal bars represent the effective width of the passband. Blue symbols are the model fluxes from the best-fit Kurucz atmosphere model (black).

3.3. Joint analysis

We perform the joint analysis of the photometric and radial-velocity data with the software package juliet (Espinoza et al. 2019). juliet uses Bayesian inference to model a set number of planetary signals. For the light curve modeling, juliet uses batman (Kreidberg 2015) to model the planetary transit, and the
Table 4. Model comparison based on the log-evidence values \( \ln Z \) for TOI-5153.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period aliases</th>
<th>Free eccentricity ( \ln Z )</th>
<th>Fixed eccentricity ( \ln Z )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19.78 d</td>
<td>89803.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>89798.8 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.33 d</td>
<td>89815.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>89808.7 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.91 d</td>
<td>89790.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>89743.0 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. Six fits were performed using a Normal prior on three period aliases around 20 days with the option to set the orbital eccentricity as a free or fixed parameter.

The 30 min binned residuals for the TESS light curve are about 620 ppm for sector 6 and 490 ppm for sector 33. Phase-folded light curves and their corresponding models are shown in Fig. 1. The posterior distributions of the planetary parameters, the stellar density, and the radial velocity semi-amplitude are presented in Fig. C.1. The final parameters of the system can be found in Table 5.

4. Results

We present the final parameters derived from the joint analysis of TOI-5153 b in Sect. 4.1 and NGTS-20 b in Sect. 4.2. We compute a first estimate of the heavy element content for both planets in Sect. 4.3.

4.1. TOI-5153

For TOI-5153 we find that the best solution from the joint fit corresponds to the orbital period of 20.33 days. The log-evidence values for the six joint fits are shown in Table 4. The highest evidence model favors a noncircular orbit with an eccentricity of 0.091 ±0.024. The planet has a radius of 1.06 ±0.04 \( R_\text{Jup} \) for a mass of 3.26 ±0.17 \( M_\text{Jup} \). The semi-major axis is equal to 0.158 ±0.006 au.

Figure 7 presents the phase folded radial velocities along with the median radial velocity model. The radial velocity semi-amplitude is equal to 212 ±8 m s\(^{-1}\). The residuals on the radial velocity are about 129, 76, 33, and 15 m s\(^{-1}\) for CHIRON, CORALIE, FEROS, and HARPS, respectively. After about one year of radial velocity monitoring of the system, we do not see any hint of long-term drift.

4.2. NGTS-20

NGTS-20 hosts a massive warm Jupiter on a longer period orbit of about 54.19 days. The planet has a mass of 2.98 ±0.16 \( M_\text{Jup} \) and a radius of 1.07 ±0.04 \( R_\text{Jup} \). The orbital eccentricity is equal to 0.432 ±0.023 and the semi-major axis to 0.313 ±0.013 au.

The radial velocity semi-amplitude is equal to 138 ±5 m s\(^{-1}\) and the residuals are about 30, 40, and 12 m s\(^{-1}\) for CORALIE, CHIRON, and FEROS, respectively. The phase folded radial velocities are presented in Fig. 8. The radial velocity observations of NGTS-20 cover a baseline of two years and there is no hint of long-term drift. The three transits are shown in Fig. 2. The 30 min binned residuals for the TESS light curve are about 390 ppm. The two NGTS light curves show residuals, binned to 30 min, close to 525 ppm for the one camera observation and 130 ppm for the observation with six cameras. Figure C.2 displays the posterior distributions of the planetary parameters along with the stellar density and radial velocity semi-amplitude. The final parameters of the system are listed in Table 5.

4.3. Heavy element content

We estimate the heavy element content of both warm Jupiters by comparing their planetary mass and radius with interior structure models. We used the planetary evolution model \texttt{completo21} \cite{Mordasini2012} for the core and the envelope modeling and coupled it with a semi-gray atmospheric model.
We assume that the stellar metallicity scales with the iron abundance ([Fe/H]) derived in Sect. 3.1 as follows: \( Z_\star = 0.0142 \times 10^{[\text{Fe/H}]} \) (Asplund et al. 2009; Miller & Fortney 2011). The heavy element enrichment \((Z_\text{p}/Z_\star)\) is estimated at 6.9\(^{+3.4}_{-1.4}\) for TOI-5153 b and 5.5\(^{+3.9}_{-1.1}\) for NGTS-20 b. We can calculate the heavy element enrichment using Thorngren et al. (2016) relations and we find that \( Z_\text{p}/Z_\star \) is equal to 5.7\(^{+1.0}_{-0.8}\) for TOI-5153 b and 5.9\(^{+1.0}_{-1.0}\) for NGTS-20 b. For both planets, our values are in agreement with the estimations derived from Thorngren et al. (2016).

5. Discussion

The majority of known giant giants with measured masses and radii are hot Jupiters. They have orbital periods of a few days and are subject to strong interactions with their host star, such as tidal interactions (e.g., Valsecchi et al. 2015) and radius inflation mechanisms (e.g., Sestovic et al. 2018; Sarkis et al. 2021; Tilbrook et al. 2021). There is a positive correlation between the planetary radius and the amount of stellar incident flux (Enoch et al. 2012) and only hot Jupiters receiving a level of stellar irradiation lower than \( 2 \times 10^8 \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) are shown to have a radius independent of the stellar irradiation (Demory & Seager 2011). Cooler gas giants, such as TOI-5153 b and NGTS-20 b, with equilibrium temperatures of about 900 K and 700 K, should not be affected by radius inflation mechanisms. We can therefore derive precise bulk metallicities based on evolution models (e.g., Thorngren & Fortney 2019). We provide first estimates of the heavy element contents of TOI-5153 b and NGTS-20 b. We show that their metal enrichment is in agreement with the mass–metallicity relation and is consistent with planets at long periods with comparable masses (e.g., Dalba et al. 2022). Both planets are excellent probes to help us better understand this relation.

We compare the properties of both planets with the population of known transiting exoplanets. We queried the DACE PlanetS exoplanet catalog database\(^1\) on February 10, 2022, and selected exoplanets with mass and radius uncertainties smaller than 25% and 8% respectively. The radius uncertainty is scaled to one-third of the mass uncertainty to have the same impact on the planetary density.

Figure 10 shows that TOI-5153 b and NGTS-20 b populate a region of the parameter space where fewer systems have been reported with precise mass and radius. In addition, TOI-5153 b and NGTS-20 b orbit relatively bright stars \((V_\text{mag} = 11.9 \text{ and } 11.2)\) in comparison to the known systems with orbital periods above 20 days. While the Kepler mission was successful at detecting long-period transiting planets, most of these discoveries were made around faint stars (e.g., Wang et al. 2015; Kawahara & Masuda 2019). The follow-up of TESS single-transit candidates allows one to probe the same population of planets around brighter stars (e.g., Eiśner et al. 2020; Dalba et al. 2022), which are better suited for follow-up observations.

Figure 11 presents the masses, periods, and eccentricities of warm transiting planets. Despite the small number, we notice that higher mass planets \((M_\text{p} > 3 – 4 M_\text{J})\) show higher eccentricities. This trend is reported by Ribas & Miralda-Escudé (2007) for a larger sample of planets detected in radial velocities, where the authors show that the eccentricity distribution of higher mass planets is similar to that of binary stars; hinting that these planets may have formed by pre-stellar cloud fragmentation. TOI-5153 b and NGTS-20 b have similar masses and significantly eccentric orbits with eccentricities of 0.091 \pm 0.026 and 0.43 \pm 0.02, respectively.Bitsch et al. (2013) showed that the eccentricity of planets with \( M_\text{p} < 5 M_\text{J} \) can be damped by the disk. However, Debras et al. (2021) present disk-cavity migration as a possible explanation for eccentricities up to 0.4 for warm Jupiter-mass planets. Another feature that can be seen is that the highest eccentricities do not occur at closer orbital distances. A possible reason for this high-eccentricity cutoff could be tidal circularization by the host star (Adams & Laughlin 2006; Dawson & Johnson 2018). Planetary orbits beyond a threshold in eccentricity and orbital distance circularize before we observe them (Schlecker et al. 2020). Eccentric warm Jupiters like NGTS-20 b can therefore serve as a valuable test bed to study tidal interactions between planets and their host stars.

The eccentricities of both targets, and especially NGTS-20 b, make them interesting candidates for high-eccentricity migration. Eccentric warm Jupiters could be exoplanets caught in the midst of inward migration. The migration would bring them to closer-in orbits, and the orbits of the new hot Jupiters would circularize due to stellar tidal forces. However, other scenarios have been put forward, Schlecker et al. (2020) present the discovery of a warm Jupiter on a highly eccentric 15-day orbit \((e \sim 0.58)\); the tidal evolution analysis of this system shows that

---

\(^1\) dace.unige.ch/exoplanets
Table 5. Derived parameters for TOI-5153 and NGTS-20 systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>TOI-5153 b</th>
<th>NGTS-20 b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fitted parameters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbital period (days)</td>
<td>20.33003±0.00007</td>
<td>54.18915±0.00015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of transit $T_0$ (days)</td>
<td>2458486.1239±0.0019</td>
<td>2458432.9798±0.0025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius ratio $R_p/R_*$</td>
<td>0.0777±0.0012</td>
<td>0.0618±0.0012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact parameter</td>
<td>0.725±0.024</td>
<td>0.846±0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stellar density (kg m$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>649±60</td>
<td>348±31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TESS limb darkening $q_1$</td>
<td>0.293±0.035</td>
<td>0.326±0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TESS limb darkening $q_2$</td>
<td>0.288±0.017</td>
<td>0.298±0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGTS limb darkening $q_1$</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.380±0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGTS limb darkening $q_2$</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.330±0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eccentricity</td>
<td>0.091±0.024</td>
<td>0.432±0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argument of periastron (deg)</td>
<td>144±23</td>
<td>66.1±3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radial velocity semi-amplitude (m s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>212±8</td>
<td>137±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derived parameters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planetary radius ($R_*$)</td>
<td>1.06±0.04</td>
<td>1.07±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planetary mass ($M_*$)</td>
<td>3.26±0.18</td>
<td>2.98±0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclination (degrees)</td>
<td>88.27±0.14</td>
<td>88.4±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit duration (hours)</td>
<td>4.87±0.07</td>
<td>4.55±0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-major axis (au)</td>
<td>0.158±0.006</td>
<td>0.313±0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pericenter distance (au)</td>
<td>0.143±0.007</td>
<td>0.178±0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apocenter distance (au)</td>
<td>0.172±0.007</td>
<td>0.448±0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equilibrium temperature (K)</td>
<td>906±13</td>
<td>688±14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equilibrium temperature at periastron (K)</td>
<td>947±19</td>
<td>913±18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equilibrium temperature at apostron (K)</td>
<td>867±18</td>
<td>575±11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrumental parameters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TESS offset</td>
<td>−0.00005±0.00014</td>
<td>−0.00006±0.00009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TESS jitter (ppm)</td>
<td>5±26</td>
<td>2.5±13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TESS 2 offset</td>
<td>−0.00005±0.00028</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TESS 2 jitter (ppm)</td>
<td>3.6±3.2</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGTS offset</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0.0002±0.0017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGTS jitter (ppm)</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>4475±79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGTS 2 offset</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−0.0038±0.0012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGTS 2 jitter (ppm)</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>6462±42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TESS dilution factor</td>
<td>0.991998±0.000027</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP amplitude TESS (relative flux)</td>
<td>0.00027±0.00007</td>
<td>0.0027±0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP timescale TESS (days)</td>
<td>0.9±0.7</td>
<td>0.61±0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP amplitude TESS 2 (relative flux)</td>
<td>0.00056±0.00030</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP timescale TESS 2 (days)</td>
<td>1.4±0.8</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP amplitude NGTS (relative flux)</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0.002±0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP timescale NGTS (days)</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>4.2±3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP amplitude NGTS 2 (relative flux)</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0.0007±0.0025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP timescale NGTS 2 (days)</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>4.9±2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORALIE offset (km s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>−35.396±0.018</td>
<td>12.53±0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHIRON offset (km s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>−36.685±0.024</td>
<td>0.047±0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEROS offset (km s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>−35.297±0.009</td>
<td>12.585±0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HARPS offset (km s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>−35.308±0.011</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORALIE jitter (m s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>2±2</td>
<td>4±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHIRON jitter (m s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>1.1±3.6</td>
<td>26±10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEROS jitter (m s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>2±2</td>
<td>5±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HARPS jitter (m s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.9±3.2</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
its current architecture likely resulted from an interaction with an undetected companion rather than an ongoing high-eccentricity migration. High-eccentricity migration is a scenario which can be tested by measuring the spin–orbit of the system. Both targets are suitable for Rossiter–McLaughlin observations. The predicted Rossiter–McLaughlin effect measured with the classical method is about $40 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ for TOI-5153 b and $16 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ for NGTS-20 b (Eq. (40) from Winn 2010). The Rossiter–McLaughlin effect is large enough for the spin–orbit angle of the system to be measured with current high-resolution spectrographs.

6. Conclusions

We report the discovery of two transiting massive warm Jupiters around the bright and metal-rich stars TOI-5153 and NGTS-20. TOI-5153 hosts a planet on a 20.33 days period with a planetary mass of $3.26 \pm 0.18 \text{ M}_J$ and planetary radius of $1.06 \pm 0.04 \text{ R}_J$. The orbit of the planet has an eccentricity of $0.43 \pm 0.02$. NGTS-20 hosts a longer period planet with an orbital period of 54.19 days. The planet has a radius of $1.07 \pm 0.04 \text{ R}_J$, a planetary mass of $2.98 \pm 0.16 \text{ M}_J$, and presents an eccentric orbit with an eccentricity of $0.43 \pm 0.02$. We show that both planets are metal enriched and their heavy element content is consistent with the mass–metallicity relation of gas giants. We used TESS photometry to identify single-transit candidates which were then followed up with ground-based photometric and spectroscopic instruments in order to confirm the planetary nature of the transiting objects. Both warm Jupiters orbit bright stars and are ideal targets for additional observations in order to measure the spin–orbit alignment of these systems. These exoplanets show that our selection of targets with single and duo transits and subsequent radial velocity follow-up are successful and we expect more discoveries of long-period transiting planets over the coming years.
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Fig. 10. Radius–period diagram for the population of transiting giant planets ($M_P > 0.2 \text{ M}_J$) with mass and radius uncertainties smaller than 25% and 8%, respectively. The size of the points is proportional to the planetary mass and the V band magnitude is color coded. TOI-5153 b is circled in black and NGTS-20 b in blue.

Fig. 11. Mass–period diagram for the population of transiting giant planets ($M_P > 0.2 \text{ M}_J$ and $P > 10$ days) with mass and radius uncertainties smaller than 25% and 8% respectively, color-coded as a function of orbital eccentricity. TOI-5153 b is circled in black and NGTS-20 b in blue.
### Appendix A: Radial velocity modeling priors

#### Table A.1. Priors for the fit of the radial velocities alone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Distribution</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of planets</td>
<td>Uniform (0, 1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbital period (days)</td>
<td>LogUniform (1, 1000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-amplitude (m.s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>LogUniform (1, 1000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eccentricity</td>
<td>Kumaraswamy (0.867, 3.03)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean anomaly (rad)</td>
<td>Uniform (-(\pi), (\pi))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argument of periastron (rad)</td>
<td>Uniform (0, 2(\pi))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jitter (m.s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>LogUniform (1, 200)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes.** Priors are identical for both TOI-5153 and NGTS-20. The uniform and log uniform distributions are characterized by their lower and upper bounds.

### Appendix B: Joint modeling priors

#### Table B.1. Priors for the joint modeling of photometric and radial velocity data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Distribution</th>
<th>TOI-5153 Value</th>
<th>NGTS-20 Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orbital period (days)</td>
<td>Normal ((P\text{alias}, 0.1))</td>
<td>(54, 0.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of transit (T_0) (days)</td>
<td>Uniform (2458485.9, 2458486.3)</td>
<td>(2458432.89, 2458433.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius ratio (R_p/R_\star)</td>
<td>Uniform (0, 1)</td>
<td>(0, 1)</td>
<td>(0, 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact parameter</td>
<td>Uniform (0, 1)</td>
<td>(0, 1)</td>
<td>(0, 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stellar density (kg.m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>Normal (685.24, 79.18)</td>
<td>(366.84, 62.71)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TESS limb darkening q1</td>
<td>Normal (0.274, 0.027)</td>
<td>(0.308, 0.038)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TESS limb darkening q2</td>
<td>Normal (0.275, 0.029)</td>
<td>(0.270, 0.03)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGTS limb darkening q1</td>
<td>Uniform -</td>
<td>(0.372, 0.037)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGTS limb darkening q2</td>
<td>Uniform -</td>
<td>(0.251, 0.028)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eccentricity</td>
<td>Kumaraswamy / fixed (0.867, 3.03) / 0.0</td>
<td>(0.867, 3.03)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argument of periastron (deg)</td>
<td>Uniform (0, 360)</td>
<td>(0, 360)</td>
<td>(0, 360)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TESS offsets</td>
<td>Normal (0, 0.01)</td>
<td>(0, 0.01)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TESS jitters (ppm)</td>
<td>LogUniform (0.1, 1000)</td>
<td>(0.1, 1000)</td>
<td>(0.1, 1000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGTS offsets</td>
<td>Normal -</td>
<td>(0.0, 0.01)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGTS jitters (ppm)</td>
<td>LogUniform -</td>
<td>(0.1, 10000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TESS dilution factor</td>
<td>Normal (0.992, 0.00003)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP amplitude TESS (relative flux)</td>
<td>LogUniform (1e-6, 100.0)</td>
<td>(1e-6, 100.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP timescale TESS (days)</td>
<td>LogUniform (0.001, 100.0)</td>
<td>(0.001, 100.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP amplitude TESS 2 (relative flux)</td>
<td>LogUniform (1e-6, 100.0)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP timescale TESS 2 (days)</td>
<td>LogUniform (0.001, 100.0)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP amplitude NGTS (relative flux)</td>
<td>LogUniform -</td>
<td>(1e-6, 10.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP timescale NGTS (days)</td>
<td>LogUniform -</td>
<td>(0.001, 10.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP amplitude NGTS 2 (relative flux)</td>
<td>LogUniform -</td>
<td>(1e-6, 10.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP timescale NGTS 2 (days)</td>
<td>LogUniform -</td>
<td>(0.001, 10.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-amplitude (km.s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>Uniform (0, 100)</td>
<td>(0, 100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectrograph offsets (km.s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>Uniform (-100, 100)</td>
<td>(-100, 100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectrograph jitters (km.s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>LogUniform (0.001, 0.2)</td>
<td>(0.001, 0.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes.** Priors differ for TOI-5153 and NGTS-20. The normal distribution is defined by two parameters, a mean and a variance. For the uniform and log uniform distributions, the two parameters define the lower and upper bounds of the distribution. \(P\text{alias}\) can take three values: 20.91, 20.33, 19.78 days and corresponds to period aliases compatible with the radial velocity analysis. The priors on the radial velocity offsets and jitters are identical for all spectrographs: CORALIE, CHIRON, FEROS, and HARPS.
Appendix C: Joint modeling posterior distributions

Fig. C.1. Posterior distributions of fitted parameters for TOI-5153 b along with the stellar density ($\rho$) and radial velocity semi-amplitude (K).
Fig. C.2. Posterior distributions of fitted parameters for NGTS-20 b along with the stellar density ($\rho$) and radial velocity semi-amplitude ($K$).