Synaptic Tagging and Capture: Differential Role of Distinct Calcium/Calmodulin Kinases in Protein Synthesis-Dependent Long-Term Potentiation
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Weakened synapses in area CA1 of the hippocampus that ordinarily display long-term potentiation lasting ~3 h (called early-LTP) will maintain a longer-lasting change in efficacy (late-LTP) if the weak tetanization occurs shortly before or after strong tetanization of an independent, but convergent, set of synapses in CA1. The synaptic tagging and capture hypothesis explains this heterosynaptic influence on persistence in terms of a distinction between local mechanisms of synaptic tagging and cell-wide mechanisms responsible for the synthesis, distribution, and capture of plasticity-related proteins (PRPs). We now present evidence that distinct CaMK kinase (CaMK) pathways serve a dissociable role in these mechanisms. Using a hippocampal brain-slice preparation that permits stable long-term recordings in vitro for >10 h and using hippocampal cultures to validate the differential drug effects on distinct CaMK pathways, we show that tag setting is blocked by the CaMK inhibitor KN-93 [2-[(N-2-hydroxyethyl)amino-N-(4-methoxybenzenesulfonyl)-N-(4-chlorocinnamyl)-N-methylbenzylamino]benzimidazo(2,1-a)benz(iso)quinoline-7-one-3-carboxylic acid] specifically limits the synthesis and/or availability of PRPs. Analytically powerful three-pathway protocols using sequential strong and weak tetanization in varying orders and test stimulation over long periods of time after LTP induction enable a pharmacological dissociation of these distinct roles of the CaMK pathways in late-LTP and so provide a novel framework for the molecular mechanisms by which synaptic potentiation, and possibly memories, become stabilized.

Introduction

Activity-dependent synaptic plasticity, such as long-term potentiation (LTP) (Bliss and Lomo, 1973), is widely thought to be involved in the encoding of new information during learning. Some forms of LTP decay to baseline over a short timescale (early-LTP), whereas others, notably late-LTP, show stable synaptic potentiation for much longer (Krug et al., 1984; Frey et al., 1988). The synaptic tagging and capture (STC) hypothesis of late-LTP (Frey and Morris, 1997) asserts that LTP involves the local “tagging” of synapses at the moment of induction, that tags capture diffusely transported “plasticity-related proteins” (PRPs) synthesized in the soma or local dendritic domains, and that tag–PRP interactions are essential for stabilization of potentiation. Various lines of evidence support this framework (Frey and Morris, 1997; Martin et al., 1997; Reymann and Frey, 2007), but important open questions concern the signal-transduction pathways involved in tag setting and PRP availability.

Because relevant molecular interactions are likely to take place over several hours after LTP induction, the short time course of most LTP experiments (1–3 h) may be insufficient to monitor all the processes involved in synaptic stabilization. Therefore, we developed long-term in vitro protocols that involve (1) an interaction of strong tetanization (that sets tags and upregulates PRP synthesis) and temporally adjacent weak tetanization (which only sets tags), and (2) the rapid onset and cessation of selective kinase inhibition at appropriate times. The successful cessation of inhibition requires both rapid drug washout (i.e., the drug does not permanently bind to its target) and rapid reversibility of the action of the drug (i.e., the effect of the drug is not sustained after washout such that the normal function of the inhibited target kinase is recoverable). This double reversibility is fundamental for the dissection of heterosynaptic interactions in plasticity. These protocols also involve three independent stimulus pathways to a common pool of hippocampal neurons and exceptional control of temperature and other parameters of slice physiology to enable routine stable long-term recordings and their measurement in excess of 12 h (see Fig. 1).

The present study explores the potentially differential roles of several Ca$^{2+}$/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase (CaMK)
family members in the duration of LTP. Although CaMKII regulates many neuronal functions (Erondu and Kennedy, 1985; Braun and Schulman, 1995; Yamauuchi, 2005), various theoretical ideas and experimental lines of evidence suggest that its activation is necessary locally for the induction and expression of LTP at synapses of the CA3 to CA1 pathway of the hippocampus (Lisman and Golding, 1988; Malenka et al., 1989; Malinow et al., 1989). A natural question is whether CaMKII may also possess a specific role in tag setting.

In contrast, in the cell soma, calcium entry triggers activation of CaMK kinase (CaMKK) activity that stimulates CaMKIV, a nuclear kinase capable of phosphorylating CaMKK. To test these ideas, we examined whether reversible inhibition of different CaM kinases can specifically interfere with either the setting of tags or the synthesis of PRPs (supplemental Fig. S1, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material).

Materials and Methods

The animals’ care and maintenance and all experimental procedures were performed in accordance with United Kingdom Home Office regulations. Male Wistar rats (n = 110) aged 7–8 weeks were anesthetized with isoflurane and decapitated, and the brain was removed rapidly. The brain was placed in aCSF, which contains the following concentrations (in mM): 124 NaCl, 3.7 KCl, 1.2 KH2PO4, 1.0 MgSO4 (7 H2O), 2.5 CaCl2, 24.6 NaHCO3, and 10 D-glucose, final pH 7.4.

Three electrolytic stimulating electrodes were positioned in CA1 (Fig. 1A–C). The hippocampal slices rested on top of eight layers of lens tissue paper (Whatman 105) placed on top of the hard surface of the slice chamber (BSC2 Scientific Systems Design; Digitimer). This ensured that the slice tissue was well soaked in the lens tissue paper while its surface remained exposed to air. All the artificial aCSF (aCSF) volume held in the chamber was contained in the 2 cm wide × 2.5 cm long layers of lens tissue paper and amounted to 0.475 ml (i.e., dead volume in the chamber). All the experiments were run with the same low flow rate (0.4 ml/min) to minimize mechanical disruption of the recordings. Under these conditions, we calculate a full recycling of the aCSF every 71 s (i.e., 17 washes in 20 min). Although every drug has its own characteristics (i.e., site of action, affinity), we judged that 20 min would be enough to wash out the drugs used in these experiments. When drug washouts could be critical, alternative experiments were used to corroborate the interpretation of the result. The aCSF was prepared with the following concentrations (in mM): 124 NaCl, 3.7 KCl, 1.2 KH2PO4, 1.0 MgSO4 (7 H2O), 2.5 CaCl2, 24.6 NaHCO3, and 10 D-glucose, final pH 7.4 ± 0.05. The full rig, including all the electrode holders, was heated to 32°C via the ETC system (University of Edinburgh), a procedure that prevents condensation droplets falling onto the interface chamber slices (Schurr et al., 1986) as well as reproducibility of the data from experiment to experiment.

Data (fEPSP slope) was normalized to a baseline calculated over 60 min before the first tetanization. The “strong” tetanization protocol consisted of three trains of 100 pulses at 100 Hz delivered at 10 min intervals (300 pulses in total). The “weak” tetanization protocol was a theta burst consisting of four trains, at a 200 ms interval, consisting of five pulses at 100 Hz (20 pulses in total). Pathway independence was tested by using standard pair-pulse stimulation protocols that alternated between the two stimulating pathways placed in the stratum radiatum of CA1 (50 ms interpulse interval). The experiment proceeded provided this procedure failed to show paired-pulse facilitation (PPF). Normal PPF was detected when PPS was delivered to either of the two pathways as would be expected when the same presynaptic fibers are recruited by both pulses (data not shown). In addition to this control for pathway independence, the induction of LTP in one pathway fail to induce any LTP on the other two pathways in all our experiments. Pathway convergence is assumed from the limited area of sensitivity of the extracellular recording electrode, from the layered anatomy of the hippocampus, and from the observation of heterosynaptic plasticity dependent on intracellular processes (Schwartzkroin and Wester, 1975; Andersen et al., 1977; Frey and Morris, 1997).
Figure 2. Synaptic tagging and capture in the stratum radiatum of CA1 pyramidal cells. A, Strong tetanization (STET) (3 trains of 100 pulses at 100 Hz given 10 min apart) produces an increase in the synaptic response that lasts 10 h (late-LTP) relative to both the pretetanization baseline (t = 8.0, p < 0.01; red symbols) and a nontetanized control pathway (t = 4.9, p < 0.01; yellow symbols). This strongly induced LTP was stable over time (comparison of 2 and 10 h time points; t = 2.0, p ≈ 0.05). The insets in each graph represent typical EPSP traces per stimulated input. 30 min before (dotted line), 30 min after (broken line), and 10 h after (full line). Calibration: 1 mV, 5 ms (n = 7). B, Late-LTP is not maintained when a strong tetanus is given in the presence of the protein synthesis blocker anisomycin. The potentiation declined to baseline after 10 h (S1 vs baseline; t = 0.15, p = 0.05; n = 9). C, A weak tetanus (WTET) (4 trains of 5 pulses at 100 Hz given 200 ms apart) elicits LTP present at 2 h (S1 vs control pathway; t = 4.3, p < 0.01) that returns to baseline strength after 3 h (S1 vs control pathway; t = 1, p = 0.05; n = 6). D, E, Early-LTP (orange symbols) is rescued into late-LTP (S2 vs control pathway at 10 h; t = 3.4, p = 0.01) when one set of synapses receives the weak tetanus 20 min after another set of synapses onto the same population of pyramidal cells has received a strong tetanus (D, single experiment; E, pooled data; n = 8). F, Bar graph showing differences in the level of potentiation 10 h after stimulation between the four different conditions presented in A–E (one-way ANOVA, F = 15.13, p < 0.001). The change in synaptic efficacy remaining 10 h after the strong tetanization of a set of synapses is significantly higher than when the tetanization takes place under the presence of 25 μM anisomycin (t = 5.45, p < 0.001) and higher than the potentiation remaining after weak tetanization (t = 4.53, p < 0.001). In a similar way, the potentiation left after 10 h in a pathway weakly stimulated before strong tetanization was given to an independent but convergent set of synapses is greater than if the weak tetanization is given alone (t = 3.92, p < 0.01) or if a strong tetanus is given together with anisomycin (t = 4.81, p < 0.001). Error bars indicate SEM. **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001. Multiple t tests were comparisons done with Bonferroni’s correction.

KN-93 (2-[N-(2-hydroxyethyl)]-N-(4-methoxybenzensulfonyl)amino-N-(4-chlorocinnamyl)-N-methylbenzylamine), Myr-AIP (N-Myr-Lys-Lys-Ala-Leu-Arg-Arg-Gln-Glu-Ala-Val-Asp-Ala-Leu-OH), and KN-92 (2-[N-(4’-methoxybenzensulfonyl)]amino-N-(4’-chlorophenyl)-N-methylbenzylamine) phosphate were obtained from Calbiochem, anisomycin and n-AP-5 were from Sigma, and STO-609 [7H-benimidazol(2,1-a)benz(de)isoquinoline-7-one-3-carboxylic acid] was from Tocris Bioscience.

The average values of the slope function of the EPSP (millivolts per milliseconds) for each time point were obtained using paired (within-group) and unpaired (between-group) t tests; p < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant, but we show more exacting levels of significance in many cases. Parametric tests were used because the data conformed to a Gaussian distribution, but analysis using nonparametric tests (Mann–Whitney and Wilcoxon’s tests) supported the same conclusions. To measure the stability of late-LTP, we compared the absolute level of potentiation relative to the baseline 2 h after its induction with the level remaining after 10 h. This measure distinguishes stability and amplitude in a manner that is not usually considered. Specifically, it distinguishes between “stable late-LTP” and “decaying LTP that still shows potentiation at the end of the experiment” because the latter may arise if there is strong initial expression of LTP. We hope that this measure clarifies the difference between strength and persistence of LTP.

Hippocampal dissociated cultures were prepared from neonatal Wistar rats and cultured on coverslips as described previously (Bito et al., 1996; Kawashima et al., 2009). At 20–21 d in vitro, at which synaptic network in the culture was well developed, neurons were silenced with 2 μM TTX for 2 h and treated with various concentrations of kinase inhibitors (KN-93 or STO-609 in 0.1% DMSO) for 30 min in Tyrode’s solution (in mM: 124 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.0 NaH2PO4, 2.0 CaCl2, 2.0 MgCl2, 24.6 NaHCO3, 30 glucose, and 20 HEPES, pH 7.4). The cultures were then stimulated with 10 μM glutamate/100 μM glycine/1 μM TTX in 0 Mg2+. Tyrode’s solution for 3 min in the presence of the inhibitors. After stimulation, neurons were immediately fixed in chilled methanol for 5 min, followed by ice-cold 4% paraformaldehyde/4% sucrose/PBS for 5 min. Immunocytochemistry was performed essentially as described previously (Kawashima et al., 2009). Briefly, the fixed cells were washed, permeabilized, and incubated in a blocking solution (3% BSA/0.3% Triton X-100/PBS) with a phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (PhosSTOP; Roche). The cells were then reacted with primary antibodies (Mann–Whitney and Wilcoxon’s tests) supported the same conclusions. To measure the stability of late-LTP, we compared the absolute level of potentiation relative to the baseline 2 h after its induction with the level remaining after 10 h. This measure distinguishes stability and amplitude in a manner that is not usually considered. Specifically, it distinguishes between “stable late-LTP” and “decaying LTP that still shows potentiation at the end of the experiment” because the latter may arise if there is strong initial expression of LTP. We hope that this measure clarifies the difference between strength and persistence of LTP.
Results

Synaptic tagging and capture

Conventional experiments examining late-LTP relative to a nontetanized baseline cannot, alone, isolate the putatively distinct roles played by different signal-transduction pathways in synaptic tagging, the availability of PRPs, or the putative capture process. Two-pathway experiments deploying what we shall call “weak-before-strong” and “strong-before-weak” protocols, in which weak and strong tetanization are given sequentially to two independent pathways, are essential to examine the dissociations that are central to this study. Ideally, to perform long experiments in which two independent pathways are tetanized at some point, it is desirable to have a three-pathway configuration with a third nontetanized pathway to monitor the overall stability of the slice preparation (Fig. 1). We incorporated this to enable potentiated pathways to be compared with the control pathway at various time points rather than only make comparisons to pretetanized baseline. We began by establishing that our tetanization protocols were successful in producing late-LTP that is exceptionally stable over time.

LTP lasting >10 h with an absolute level of ~142% (at 10 h) relative to both the pretetanization baseline (p < 0.01) and higher than a nontetanized control pathway (p < 0.01) was routinely obtained after strong tetanization (Fig. 2A). This persistent late-LTP was sensitive to the presence of the protein synthesis blocker anisomycin at induction; in addition to displaying fEPSP decay over time with anisomycin, there was no difference between fEPSP slope at 10 h after tetanus (S1) and the pretetanization baseline (p > 0.05) nor between S1 and the control pathway at 10 h after tetanus (p = 0.05). Using our novel “stability” measure (described in Materials and Methods), the within-pathway decline in the level of potentiation in S1 from 2 to 10 h was significant (p < 0.01) (Fig. 2B). The NMDA receptor antagonist d-AP-5 (25 μM) blocked LTP induction (S1 relative to control; p > 0.05). After washout and 1 h after the previous tetanus, a second strong tetanization was delivered to confirm the reversibility of the NMDA receptor block, and, under these conditions, late-LTP could be induced (p < 0.05) (supplemental Fig. S2, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material).

Thus, strong tetanization induced an NMDA receptor-dependent, anisomycin-sensitive late-LTP. Conversely, potentiation induced by weak tetanization was clearly present at 2 h (25% above baseline; p < 0.01), but this early-LTP declined within 6 h and was absent after 10 h (p > 0.05, relative to control) (Fig. 2C). Using our measure of LTP stability over time, comparison of the 2 and 10 h time points showed a significant decline in the weakly tetanized synapses (p < 0.05).

To demonstrate STC, the strong tetanus protocol was then given to pathway S1, and, 20 min after the last 100 Hz train, a weak tetanus was applied to a second independent but conver-
although late-LTP fails to be maintained in those synapses tetanized in the presence of LTP for 10 h after tetanus (S2 relative to baseline at 10 h after tetanus, control pathway (p < 0.05; S1 at 2 vs 10 h, t = 1.2, p = 0.05; S2 at 2 vs 10 h, t = 1.2, p = 0.05; n = 8). In a weak-before-strong protocol, early-LTP is still rescued to late-LTP (S2 vs control pathway, t = 3.2, p = 0.05), although late-LTP fails to be maintained in those synapses tetanized in the presence of 1 μM KN-93 (S1 vs control pathway, t = 1.4, p ≥ 0.05; S1 at 2 vs 10 h, t = 4.7, p = 0.01; n = 7). Error bars indicate SEM. Symbols as in Fig. 2.

These results confirm previous findings (Frey and Morris, 1997, 1998a) and extend them through our use of three pathways and a monitoring time after LTP of >8 h, additional features essential for the later phases of experimentation.

**Figure 4.** KN-93 disrupts a role for CaMKII in a synapse-specific process necessary for late-LTP. A, Strong tetanization (STET) in the presence of 1 μM KN-93 (pathway S1) induces LTP that decays to baseline over 10 h (S1 vs control pathway, t = 1.7, p = 0.05; red symbols), whereas an independent set of weakly tetanized (WTET) synapses (S2; orange symbols) successfully shows stable potentiation for 10 h after tetanus (S2 relative to baseline at 10 h after tetanus, t = 1.2, p = 0.05; S2 at 2 vs 10 h, t = 1.2, p = 0.05; n = 8). B, In a weak-before-strong protocol, early-LTP is still rescued to late-LTP (S2 vs control pathway, t = 3.2, p = 0.05), although late-LTP fails to be maintained in those synapses tetanized in the presence of 1 μM KN-93 (S1 vs control pathway, t = 1.4, p ≥ 0.05; S1 at 2 vs 10 h, t = 4.7, p = 0.01; n = 7). Error bars indicate SEM. Symbols as in Fig. 2.

**Figure 4.** KN-93 disrupts a role for CaMKII in a synapse-specific process necessary for late-LTP. A, Strong tetanization (STET) in the presence of 1 μM KN-93 (pathway S1) induces LTP that decays to baseline over 10 h (S1 vs control pathway, t = 1.7, p = 0.05; red symbols), whereas an independent set of weakly tetanized (WTET) synapses (S2; orange symbols) successfully shows stable potentiation for 10 h after tetanus (S2 relative to baseline at 10 h after tetanus, t = 1.2, p = 0.05; S2 at 2 vs 10 h, t = 1.2, p = 0.05; n = 8). B, In a weak-before-strong protocol, early-LTP is still rescued to late-LTP (S2 vs control pathway, t = 3.2, p = 0.05), although late-LTP fails to be maintained in those synapses tetanized in the presence of 1 μM KN-93 (S1 vs control pathway, t = 1.4, p ≥ 0.05; S1 at 2 vs 10 h, t = 4.7, p = 0.01; n = 7). Error bars indicate SEM. Symbols as in Fig. 2.

Differential sensitivity of phosphorylated CaMKII and CREB to varying concentrations of KN-93 and STO-609

The CaMK inhibitor KN-93 has a broad spectrum of specificity for inhibiting CaM kinases, but the dose–response profile differs between CaMK subtypes. To verify the sensitivity of both CaMKII-mediated and CaMKK/CaMKIV-mediated phosphorylation processes to KN-93, cultured hippocampal neurons were exposed to robust glutamate-induced stimulation of phosphorylation, and we measured immunoreactivities for both pCaMKII (as a readout of CaMKII activity) and pCREB (as a functional readout of CaMKIV activation), in the presence of graded concentrations of KN-93 (Fig. 3A). Both pCaMKII and pCREB were blocked at a high concentration (e.g., 30 μM). The dose–response relation for inhibition of pCREB was rightward shifted relative to pCaMKII inhibition (Fig. 3B), consistent with the ideas that (1) CaMKII phosphorylation is more sensitive to KN-93, and (2) a higher concentration of KN-93 should be necessary to fully block PRP synthesis and availability. It is important to note that a low (1 μM) concentration of KN-93 has been shown previously to be sufficient to impair synaptic plasticity in brain slices (Hansel et al., 2006). CaMKII, with a Kᵣ of 370 nM (Sumi et al., 1991), should be effectively blocked at this concentration, whereas the activity of other CaM kinases, such as CaMKIV, would be far less potently inhibited (Ishida et al., 1995).

In contrast, immunocytochemical assays using cultured neurons revealed that high concentrations of STO-609 (>1 μM) are capable of blocking phosphorylation of CREB, because CaMKK 0.05). The level of potentiation in the two pathways "crossed over." This is a critical observation, because it implies that PRPs must have been made available to synapses of the S2 pathway. Because S2 was weakly tetanized, the PRPs were likely upregulated by the strong tetanization of pathway S1. Given that pathway S1 decayed to baseline, it follows that 1 μM KN-93 must have selectively blocked a process critical for late-LTP but distinct from PRP availability, namely tag setting.

We confirmed these findings using the alternative weak-before-strong protocol. The weakly tetanized pathway (S2) showed sustained late-LTP over 10 h (p < 0.05), despite the decay to baseline (and crossover) of the potentiation induced by the later strong tetanization in the presence of KN-93 of the S1 pathway (compare baseline and control pathway in Fig. 4B, p > 0.05). Together, these experiments suggest that CaMKII, being sensitive to lower concentrations of KN-93, might play a major role in tag setting, whereas its role in the regulation of PRP availability might be more limited.

This suggestion relies on the actions of a general CaMK inhibitor that was used at a low dose at which it preferentially inhibits CaMKII. To strengthen the view of a specific role for CaMKII in tag setting, we also tested the effect of a myristoylated, cell-permeant, form of a CaMKII-selective inhibitory peptide, AIP (Ishida et al., 1995). We first confirmed that Myr-AIP can strongly inhibit pCaMKII formation in cultured neurons in the absence of any effect on pCREB (supplemental Fig. S3A,B, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Next, using a standard strong-before-weak STC protocol, we tested whether the rescue of early-LTP into late-LTP (supplemental Fig. S3C, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material) would be compromised by the presence of 5 μM Myr-AIP during early-LTP induction. Myr-AIP was bath applied during the weak tetanization of S2. In keeping with our previous results with a low concentration of KN-93, pathway S2 failed to show late-LTP [compare with baseline in supplemental Fig. S3D (available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material), p > 0.05]. We also note that early-LTP is diminished in the presence of Myr-AIP, consistent with previous results (Sanhueza et al., 2007). This indicates that Myr-AIP blocked the ability of PRPs generated in pathway S1 to convert a subsequent early-LTP in S2 into late-LTP, i.e., it compromised tag setting. Together, these data lies upstream of the CREB kinase CaMKIV but does not interfering with CaMKII phosphorylation (Fig. 3C,D).

**KN-93 at 1 μM blocks late-LTP but allows a separate and weakly tetanized pathway to display late-LTP**

Using the critical strong-before-weak protocol, KN-93 (1 μM) was applied during the strong tetanization of one pathway (S1, to block late-LTP) and washed out, and, 20 min later, weak tetanization was delivered to pathway S2. KN-93 completely blocked late-LTP on pathway S1 (compare pretetanus baseline at 10 h and control pathway in Fig. 4A, p > 0.05). In contrast, pathway S2 weakly stimulated 20 min after KN-93 washout maintained late-LTP for at least 10 h (Fig. 4A, p < 0.05) and was stable from 2 to 10 h (p > 0.05). We also tested the effects of Myr-AIP (available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material), which strongly inhibit pCaMKII formation in cultured neurons in the absence of any effect on pCREB (supplemental Fig. S3A,B, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Next, using a standard strong-before-weak STC protocol, we tested whether the rescue of early-LTP into late-LTP (supplemental Fig. S3C, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material) would be compromised by the presence of 5 μM Myr-AIP during early-LTP induction. Myr-AIP was bath applied during the weak tetanization of S2. In keeping with our previous results with a low concentration of KN-93, pathway S2 failed to show late-LTP [compare with baseline in supplemental Fig. S3D (available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material), p > 0.05]. We also note that early-LTP is diminished in the presence of Myr-AIP, consistent with previous results (Sanhueza et al., 2007). This indicates that Myr-AIP blocked the ability of PRPs generated in pathway S1 to convert a subsequent early-LTP in S2 into late-LTP, i.e., it compromised tag setting. Together, these data
indicate that CaMKII phosphorylation specifically mediates tag setting.

**STO-609 blocks late-LTP but permits a pathway tetanized in its presence to display late-LTP provided an independent pathway is tetanized strongly**

The CaMKK–CaMKIV pathway mediates CREB phosphorylation and neuronal activity gene transcription (Bito et al., 1996; Ho et al., 2000; Kang et al., 2001). However, it has not been formally addressed before whether a CaMKK–CaMKIV–pCREB pathway might contribute to the regulation of PRP availability. Three-pathway protocols were then used to examine the contribution to late-LTP the CaMKK–CaMKIV-dependent pathway. We used the CaMKK inhibitor STO-609 (Tokumitsu et al., 2002). We first confirmed that STO-609 (5 μM) blocks the late phase of LTP (S1 compared with baseline in Fig. 5A, *p > 0.05*). Because STO-609 has little effect on CaMKII activity (Fig. 3C,D), our perspective is that STO-609 may block late-LTP through a selective effect on the synthesis or distribution of PRPs. To test this, we therefore made the drug present during the weak tetanization of pathway S2 and then washed it out before strong tetanization was applied to pathway S1. In this weak-before-strong protocol, we observed a rescue of early-LTP into late-LTP on the weakly stimulated pathway S2 (compared with baseline in Fig. 5B, *p < 0.05*). This was not attributable to some cryptic “potentiating” effect of STO-609, because giving this drug during weak tetanization of a single pathway was without effect on its decay to baseline (Fig. 5C, *p > 0.05*). Thus, STO-609 blocks late-LTP without effect on tag setting. The STC theory holds that it must be attributable to limiting the synthesis or availability of PRPs via inhibition of the CaMKK-dependent pathway. We tested this directly using a standard “strong-before-strong” STC protocol in which STO-609 was present during the first strong tetanization but not during the second one. In support of our interpretation, pathway S1 showed late-LTP (compared with baseline in Fig. 5D, *p < 0.01*). Supplemental experiments using a weak-before-strong protocol with STO-609 present during strong tetanization revealed no late-LTP on the weakly stimulated pathway, also in keeping with this interpretation (supplemental Fig. S4 available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material), *p > 0.05*.

**KN-93 at 10 μM has less selectivity and blocks both tag setting and PRPs**

We conducted three additional control studies. First, our cell-culture data indicate that KN-93 blocks the phosphorylation of CREB at high but not low concentrations (Fig. 3A,B). Accordingly, we can make the additional prediction that the relative selectivity of a 1 μM concentration of KN-93 on tag setting would be lost if the concentration was increased to 10 μM. Specifically, a higher concentration of KN-93 should block both tag setting and PRP synthesis and availability. Using the same strong-before-weak protocol as the one described in Figure 4, 10 μM KN-93 still blocked late-LTP of the strongly stimulated pathway S1 (compare baseline and control pathway in Fig. 6A, *p > 0.05*), but pathway S2 that was weakly tetanized after KN-93 washout now also failed to maintain its potentiation (compare baseline and control pathway in Fig. 6A, *p > 0.05*). The interpretation of this result relies on the complete washout of KN-93 by the time the weak tetanus is delivered. As explained in Materials and Methods, our setup allows for >15 washes of the aCSF volume in the experimental chamber between the removal of the drug and the weak tetanization. So as not to rely only on the findings of Figure 4C, the same pattern of results was obtained using the weak-before-strong protocol, in which the weak tetanization is delivered before the application of KN-93 (10 μM KN-93 blocked late-LTP in both the strong and weakly tetanized pathways) (Fig. 6B, *p > 0.05*). A parsimonious explanation for this would be the contribution of...
Late-LTP is also not seen in S2 (S2 vs control 10 h, application had no effect (the two concentrations of KN-93 used in Figures 4 and 6 (two-way ANOVA, tetanus, weakly tetanized pathway (S2) is seen even if S1 strong tetanization is delivered when KN-92 is present (S2 vs control 10 h after stimulation). The strongly stimulated pathway also fails to show late-LTP (S1 vs control 10 h, t = 1.4, p > 0.05; n = 9). B. The rescue of early-LTP into late-LTP is also not seen in S2 (S2 vs control 10 h, t = 1.7, p > 0.05) if tetanization is given when 10 μM KN-93 is present during S1. S1 also fails to maintain late-LTP (S1 vs control 10 h, t = 0.9, p > 0.05; n = 7). C. The rescue of early-LTP into late-LTP in a weakly tetanized pathway (S2) is seen even if S1 strong tetanization is delivered when KN-92 is present (S2 vs control 10 h after tetanus, t = 3.5, p < 0.01; n = 5). D. Bar graph showing differences in the level of potentiation 10 h after stimulation between the two concentrations of KN-93 used in Figures 4 and 6 (two-way ANOVA, F = 7.7, p < 0.01). The order of stimulation and drug application had no effect (F = 0.14, p > 0.05). Error bars indicate SEM. **p < 0.01. Symbols as in Figure 2. STET, Strong tetanization; WTET, weak tetanization.

Figure 6. A higher concentration of KN-93 has less selective effects than a lower concentration. A, B. Higher concentrations of KN-93 fail to dissociate synapse-specific and cell-wide processes in late-LTP in contrast to data in Figure 2 with 1 μM KN-93. A, A weakly stimulated pathway (S2) failed to show late-LTP (S2 vs control 10 h, t = 0.1, p > 0.05) when the stimulation was given 20 min after a strong tetanus to S1 if the higher concentration of 10 μM KN-93 was present during LTP induction. The strongly stimulated pathway also fails to show late-LTP (S1 vs control 10 h, t = 1.4, p > 0.05; n = 9). B. The rescue of early-LTP into late-LTP is also not seen in S2 (S2 vs control 10 h, t = 1.7, p > 0.05) if tetanization is given when 10 μM KN-93 is present during S1. S1 also fails to maintain late-LTP (S1 vs control 10 h, t = 0.9, p > 0.05; n = 7). C. The rescue of early-LTP into late-LTP in a weakly tetanized pathway (S2) is seen even if S1 strong tetanization is delivered when KN-92 is present (S2 vs control 10 h after tetanus, t = 3.5, p < 0.01; n = 5). D. Bar graph showing differences in the level of potentiation 10 h after stimulation between the two concentrations of KN-93 used in Figures 4 and 6 (two-way ANOVA, F = 7.7, p < 0.01). The order of stimulation and drug application had no effect (F = 0.14, p > 0.05). Error bars indicate SEM. **p < 0.01. Symbols as in Figure 2. STET, Strong tetanization; WTET, weak tetanization.

KN-93-sensitive CaMK kinase(s) distinct from CaMKII, such as the CaMKK–CaMKIV pathway, with respect to PRP availability.

The second control study is for nonspecific effects of KN-93. We did this using the inactive analog KN-92 (10 μM). Using a weak-before-strong protocol, KN-92 did not prevent the rescue of early-LTP on a weakly tetanized pathway S2 when given in the presence of strong tetanization on S1 (Fig. 6C, p < 0.01).

Third, we checked that KN-93 would still block tag setting at a high concentration. In previous work (Sajikumar and Frey, 2007), a strong-before-strong protocol was used to examine the effect of KN-62 (1-[N,O-bis(5-isoquinolinesulphonyl)-N-methyl-i-tyrosyl]-4-phenylpiperazine) (an alternative inhibitor of CaM kinases) on late-LTP, finding evidence for a role in tag setting. The strong-before-strong protocol is sufficient to identify a role in tag setting, although not as analytically powerful as the strong-before-weak protocols. Nonetheless, we observed that, if present at the time of induction, a 10 μM concentration of KN-93 blocked late-LTP at 10 h [pathway S2 compared with baseline in supplemental Fig. S5A (available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material), p > 0.05] but had no effect on the induction of LTP on a pathway tetanized 20 min earlier [pathway S1 compared with baseline in Fig. S5A (available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material), p > 0.01]. In separate experiments, the order was reversed and the same dose of KN-93 was present during the first tetanization but was then washed out. Late-LTP on the pathway tetanized under 10 μM KN-93 failed to show any potentiation 10 h after tetanus when compared with a control baseline [pathway S1 in supplemental Fig. S5B (available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material), p > 0.05]. However, after 20 min of aCSF flow into the chamber that successfully washed out KN-93, tetanization of the second pathway induced robust late-LTP lasting 10 h [pathway S2 in supplemental Fig. S5B (available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material), p < 0.01]. Also, KN-92 did not impair late-LTP if present during strong tetanization [compare baseline and control pathway in supplemental Fig. S5C (available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material), p < 0.01].

Discussion

These experiments have identified dosappable roles specific for distinct CaM kinase pathways in the persistence of synaptic potentiation, and they provide new molecular insights on the requirement for synapse-specific and cell-wide mechanisms mediating late-LTP. Our findings emerge from our use of long time course three-pathway protocols (i.e., two pathways tetanized in weak-before-strong and strong-before-weak modes, with a third nontetanized control pathway), which were readily combined with fast wash-in/washout pharmacological treatments. First, we confirm previous observations (Frey and Morris, 1997; Fonseca et al., 2004) that early-LTP induced at one set of synapses can be rescued into late-LTP if, within a short time window (Frey and Morris, 1998a), late-LTP is induced at another set of synapses in the same CA1 neuronal population (Fig. 2). Second, cell-biological data reveals differential concentration-dependent effects of KN-93 and STO-609 on two complementary requirements for the maintenance of LTP, with 1 μM KN-93 having specific effects on phosphorylation of CaMKII, whereas higher concentrations also affected pCREB, with STO-609 having no effect on pCaMKII (Fig. 3). Third, the low concentration of KN-93 selectively interrupted a pathway-specific tagging process but spared the availability of PRPs, as analytically revealed by successful late-LTP after weak tetanization of a second independent pathway (Fig. 4). A separate CaMKII inhibitor, Myr-AIP, had similar actions (supplemental Fig. S3, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Fourth, STO-609 was shown to block late-LTP but to spare the setting of synaptic tags (Fig. 5). Fifth, consistent with dose–response profile shown in the cell-culture immunocytochemistry, we observed that a higher concentration of KN-93 blocked late-LTP but did so by both blocking tagging and other cell-wide mechanisms (Fig. 6), a result that builds on previous work with KN-62 (Sajikumar et al., 2007).

The synaptic tagging and capture hypothesis provides the key concepts that are central to our analysis of the rescue of early-LTP into late-LTP (Frey and Morris, 1998b). Indeed, this hypothesis asserts and predicts two requirements for long-term changes in synaptic efficacy: (1) the local setting of tags at stimulated synapses, and (2) the cell-wide or dendritic-domain-wide availability of PRPs that can be captured by the tags and so enable mechanisms responsible for the stabilization of potentiation (Reymann and Frey, 2007). However, the signal transduction pathways mediating these two requirements have remained unclear. Amid the potentially numerous molecular players and complex interactions involved in these processes, some may be
required for both tag setting and PRP availability [e.g., activation of NMDA receptors (O’Carroll and Morris, 2004)]. Other molecules (or molecular states, such as phosphorylation) may only be necessary for tag setting and yet others only for PRP synthesis and/or their availability (supplemental Fig. S1, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Our experiments identify a dissociable molecular cascade within the CaM kinase pathways for both tag setting and PRP supply.

Specific targeting of tag setting using a low concentration of KN-93 and of PRP synthesis using STO-609 CaMKII is a broad-range kinase that regulates many neuronal functions (Erdou and Kennedy, 1985; Braun and Schulman, 1995; Yamauchi, 2005). In the CA3 to CA1 Schaffer-collateral pathway of the hippocampus, CaMKII activation by Ca\(^{2+}\)/CaM is required at the time of LTP induction (Malenka et al., 1989) and, as shown recently, remains necessary during the maintenance of LTP (Sanhueza et al., 2007). Compelling evidence indicates that a dodecameric holoenzyme is activated by intersubunit phosphorylation in the presence of calcium/calmodulin (Miller and Kennedy, 1986; Hanson et al., 1994). According to this model, during a robust Ca\(^{2+}\) signal, the binding of calmodulin to each subunit unmasks the kinase domain by repelling the auto-inhibitory domain of CaMKII but also exposing a threonine 286 site (Thr-286) in the adjacent subunit. The subsequent phosphorylation of Thr-286 then generates an autonomous kinase activity and also increases the affinity for calmodulin and creating a state in which calmodulin is transiently trapped by CaMKII even shortly after the end of a Ca\(^{2+}\) transient (Meyer et al., 1992). It has been proposed that this property may enable active CaMKII to translocate into the spine (Zhang et al., 2008) and for autoprophosphorylated CaMKII to act as a switch capable of maintaining changes in synapse efficacy (Lisman and Goldring, 1988; Lisman and Zhabotinsky, 2001; Miller et al., 2005). This switch could, in principle, also participate in tag setting and/or the local capture of available PRPs (Sajikumar et al., 2007).

To secure definitive evidence for these ideas, we developed a long-time course three-pathway slice recording protocol and then conducted robust differential CaMK pharmacological experiments whose aim was to experimentally dissociate tag setting and PRP supply. Our key observations are that (1) a low dose of KN-93, which interferes with the phosphorylation of CaMKII in cell culture but spares most CREB phosphorylation, has the effect of specifically inhibiting tag setting while allowing PRP availability heterosynaptically, whereas (2) STO-609 selectively affects CREB phosphorylation but not pCaMKII and blocks PRP availability without affecting tag setting.

The value of 10 h experiments and fast-reversible pharmacological interventions The present findings underwrite the value of allowing newly prepared brain slices, subject to minimal test stimulation, to stabilize for at least 4 h before tetanization, as long recommended by the Frey group in Magdeburg, Germany. Biochemical data suggest that it can take hours before kinase activation levels stabilize after slice preparation (Ho et al., 2004) and that low frequencies of test stimulation cause minimal interference with PRP availability (Fonseca et al., 2006). We also recorded for much longer periods than is typical in many LTP experiments, making it desirable, if not essential, to have a third nontetanized control pathway. Our data provide additional indications that the dynamic interactions set in train by tetanization continue for several hours (e.g., in Fig. 4B, the crossover of the strong and weakly tetanized pathways takes place over a period from 2 to 6 h after tetanization, and the strongly tetanized pathway does not decay to baseline until 8–10 h have elapsed). These points may seem merely methodological but, in our view, have been critical to dissecting the differential role of distinct CaM kinases with respect to synaptic tagging and capture.

It is unfortunate that we could not use brain slices from mice subject to gene targeting of the calcium/calmodulin pathway (Giese et al., 1998). The reason is that the dissociation of tag setting and PRP synthesis requires the differential inhibition of different components of CaMK pathways to be rapidly reversible. A pharmacological approach was essential, although we anticipate that our approach could be complemented by observations on suitable genetic reporter mice in the future.

Multiple dissociable CaMK pathways contribute to STC Despite a large body of work on activity-dependent transcription/translation during long-term plasticity, surprisingly little has been elucidated on their relationship to the concrete implementation of long-lasting synaptic modifications. The evidence presented here suggests that STC may operate at least in part via two related yet distinct limbs of CaMK signaling: tag setting by CaMKII and activity-dependent gene transcription regulation by a CaMKK-dependent pathway. This new model nicely accounts for the interactive yet orthogonal (synapse-specific vs cell-wide) nature of tag setting and PRP synthesis, which might be coregulated in parallel via distinct pools of Ca\(^2+\)/CaM. However, the molecular basis of the tag–PRP interactions remains unclear. Better understanding of CaMKII dynamics (kinase activity and kinase content) and molecular dissection of the CaMKII-containing protein complexes at activated synapses will clearly be important steps toward solving this issue (Lisman et al., 2002; Yamauchi, 2005).

Because our data here establish the necessity for CaMKII activation to initiate the tag-setting process, one attractive idea is to consider that perhaps autophosphorylation of CaMKII itself might constitute the “tag” or be an integral part of it. However, independent studies have also shown evidence suggesting that pCaMKII signal may sometimes spread beyond the area of the originally stimulated synapses (Ouyang et al., 1997; Rose et al., 2009). The mechanistic basis for such potential loss of input specificity at the pCaMKII level remains unsolved. Additional studies are needed to resolve this apparent contradiction and pin down the molecular identity of the tag set in motion by CaMKII activation.

The wider implications of this work for the neurobiology of memory are of considerable interest. It provides a candidate mechanism for how inconsequential events (mimicked by weak stimulation in the protocols used here) may be remembered for much longer when they occur against the background of other surprising or emotionally significant events (mimicked by strong stimulation here), as occurs in flashbulb memory situations. Definitive evidence will require demonstration of STC in vivo and the creation of behavioral paradigms to investigate the transformation of short-term into long-term memory in complementary situations (Moncada and Viola, 2007).
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