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Abstract
The fields of phototherapy and of inorganic chemotherapy both have long histories. Inorganic photoactivated chemotherapy (PACT) offers both temporal and spatial control over drug activation and has remarkable potential for the treatment of cancer. Following photoexcitation, a number of different decay pathways (both photophysical and photochemical) are available to a metal complex. These pathways can result in radiative energy release, loss of ligands or transfer of energy to another species, such as triplet oxygen. We discuss the features which need to be considered when developing a metal-based anticancer drug, and the common mechanisms by which the current complexes are believed to operate. We then provide a comprehensive overview of PACT developments for complexes of the different d-block metals for the treatment of cancer, detailing the more established areas concerning Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Re, Fe, Ru, Os, Co, Rh, Pt, and Cu and also highlighting areas where there is potential for greater exploration. Nanoparticles (Ag, Au) and quantum dots (Cd) are also discussed for their photothermal destructive potential. We also discuss the potential held in particular by mixed-metal systems and Ru complexes.

Introduction
Transition metal complexes have proven success as anticancer agents. Photoactivated chemotherapy (PACT) provides the opportunity for control over when and where a drug is activated, resulting in a greater specificity of drug action. The use of an inactive precursor or “prodrug” is an important strategy in drug targeting. In this perspective, we discuss the photophysical and photochemical processes which can occur following photoexcitation of a metal complex, and the potential of PACT for cancer treatment shown by metal complexes. There are excellent reviews concerning the photophysical properties of metal complexes and the potential of photoactive metals for medicinal applications. The effect of light on metal complexes and the subsequent effects on biomolecules, particularly DNA, is also well-documented. Here, we focus specifically on inorganic PACT anticancer agents, a few examples of which we recently highlighted. We consider the photophysical and photochemical properties which are desirable, discuss the activation pathways available, and summarise the PACT potential shown by the d-block metals (see Figure 1).
Figure 1. Table of d-block metals, coloured to demonstrate the PACT potential of each metal, based on recent literature. **Bold** = well-documented photochemical activity. *Underlined* = well-documented anticancer activity. *Bold* + *underlined* = photochemical + anticancer activity.

Photomedicinal applications of the lanthanides (largely radioimmunotherapy and photodynamic therapy)⁹ and the anticancer activity of the main group metals¹⁰ are both well-documented elsewhere³ and will not be discussed, nor will the use of metal photochemistry for diagnostic (e.g. imaging, DNA footprinting, photoaffinity labelling) rather than therapeutic purposes.

**What do metals offer for PACT?**

Light can be used to alter the electronic structure of molecules, inducing changes in both physical and chemical properties. The excited state which is generated is typically short-lived; however, as the molecule returns to the ground state, the energy can be dissipated in a wide variety of ways, in the form of light or heat, a chemical modification of the structure or transferral of energy to another species. In contrast to organic species, metals have excited states that are often easily accessible by irradiation with visible and UVA light. Transition metal complexes with d³ and d⁶ electronic configurations are particularly promising, due to the favourable photophysical properties and the relative non-lability of complexes with these configurations. In particular, d⁶ transition metal complexes can be used to exemplify the diversity of excited states that can be generated by light excitation, and the chemistry that is associated with their generation.⁷

The nature of the excited states of metal complexes has been increasingly studied in recent years and several applications have been developed exploiting their
photophysics and photochemistry. Excitation leads to electronically- and vibrationally-excited states with the same multiplicity as the ground state. The transitions to the excited electronic states are formally classified according to the character of the orbitals involved in the electronic transition, as depicted in Figure 2. Such classification is a simplification in some cases; orbitals may have mixed metal/ligand character depending on the nature of the metal-ligand bond and, furthermore, electronic transitions may involve more than two orbitals at a time.

Figure 2. Simplified orbital and excited-state diagram for a d⁶ metal complex with octahedral coordination (strong crystal field is assumed). Each black arrow (↑↓) represents an electron with its associated spin. Coloured arrows (↕↕↕↕) represent the electron involved in each electronic transition. In the singlet state electrons are spin down (↓↓↓↓), while in the triplet state they are spin up (↑↑↑↑).

Once these excited states are generated they can undergo a series of physical radiationless processes which ultimately lead to the ground-state electronic structure; intersystem crossing (ISC), internal conversion (IC) vibrational relaxation, intramolecular vibrational redistribution and solvation dynamics (reorganisation of solvent shells). Radiative processes such as fluorescence (singlet-singlet) and phosphorescence (triplet-singlet) result in a return to the ground state, with emission
of light of longer wavelength than was used for the excitation. Although, metal complexes generally emit from triplet states (i.e. phosphorescence dominates), their emissive properties differ significantly from those observed in organic chromophores. Due to the efficient intersystem crossing promoted by the metal ion, the lifetime of such triplet states is typically on the 50 ns – 1 μs timescale, much faster that of classical organic compounds (ms), and their emission quantum yield is relatively high.

Figure 3. Jablonski energy diagram. All possible physical processes triggered by light excitation of a d⁶ metal complex are represented by dotted (-----, radiationless) and solid (——, radiative) lines.¹²

Photochemical reactions from a photophysically excited metal complex (ligand dissociation, redox processes etc.) can occur at any stage during the decay back to the ground state. The nature of the particular photochemical process is intimately related not only to the nature of the excited state reached by the molecule upon excitation, but also to the energy and nature of closely-lying states and to the availability of the dynamic processes just described, which can determine the population and depopulation of reactive states. Associating the photochemical behaviour of a d⁶-metal complex with the nature of the lowest lying triplet state (T₁, Figure 3) is a
reasonable model for most long-lived (ns or longer) inorganic systems. In this way the excited state reactivity of metal complexes can be summarized as follows:

- **Metal-centred (MC) transitions** {i.e. d-d or ligand-field (LF) transitions}. These are orbitally (Laporte)-forbidden, and can also be spin-forbidden if the spin state changes. Consequently, they give rise to weak absorptions \( \epsilon \sim 1\sim 20 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1} \) which can be masked by stronger, formally allowed charge-transfer transitions. Since MC transitions typically populate antibonding orbitals, the excited states generated often lead to bond lengthening and favour ligand substitution. Photochemical lability is commonly a feature of complexes in which a MC excited state is lowest in energy, such as those metal complexes which photorelease a bioactive molecule (e.g. CO, NO).

- **Charge-transfer (CT) transitions** {metal-to-ligand (MLCT), ligand-to-metal (LMCT) or to-solvent (TS)}. These give rise to more intense transitions (typically \( \epsilon \sim 0.01 \sim 500 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1} \)) and can lead to redox reactions (of both the complex and molecules in the local environment e.g. solvent) and also result in homolytic bond cleavage, reducing the metal centre and generating radicals. Production of radicals under biological conditions is a well-established mechanism for causing damage to cellular components (e.g. DNA).

- **Ligand-centred (LC) transitions** (or interligand (IL) transitions). These generally involve only ligand-centered orbitals and are often seen in large delocalised systems.

Steady-state and nanosecond spectroscopic characterisation methods can determine the nature of excited states when electronic state evolution is complete and aid identification of the transitions involved following irradiation. Ultrafast (fs) time-resolved techniques and computational methods show that more complex scenarios are possible and photochemistry (e.g. bond breaking) can occur when electronic state evolution is not complete, from both singlet and triplet states which are accessible through excitation or radiationless processes. For multimetal complexes, there is the possibility for additional metal-metal transfers.

For complexes in which the CT absorption band is well-separated from the MC band, selective irradiation can often control the type of photoreaction: for
example for Co$^{\text{III}}$, irradiation into the LMCT band typically produces photoreduction giving rise to Co$^{\text{II}}$ and oxidised ligand, whereas irradiation into the MC (d-d) irradiation causes photosubstitution/aquation.$^7$

**Desirable features of photoactivated metal-based drugs**

Aspects such as aqueous solubility, cell uptake and stability in biological media are common considerations for any potential drug. When developing photoactivatable metal anticancer agents additional key features which should be considered include the following.

- A large difference between cytotoxicity in the presence and absence of irradiation is desirable in order to limit unwanted side-effects, which may also reduce drug efficacy.
- The wavelength of activation should ideally lie within a phototherapeutic window of 620–850 nm. This range has the maximum depth penetration into mammalian tissue.$^5$
- The dependence of the PACT mechanism on O$_2$. Tumours exhibit varying levels of oxygenation;$^{16}$ low levels can reduce drug efficacy *e.g.* of PDT agents (which require O$_2$), and for some photoactive complexes different pathways are favoured in the presence or absence of oxygen.
- The quantum yield or efficiency of the photochemical process.

**Suitability of the wavelength of light for irradiation ($\lambda_{\text{irr}}$)**

As highlighted above, the depth of penetration of light into tissue is important. It depends on both the wavelength and the tissue type;$^{17}$ highly pigmented tissue can rapidly attenuate light. For activation of photochemotherapeutic compounds, the wavelength of irradiation ($\lambda_{\text{irr}}$) depends on the properties of the photochemical agent and the size of the tumour (and in practice, the availability of light sources). For current clinical application the use of red light (~630 nm) is routine although for superficial tumours shorter wavelengths *e.g.* blue (420 nm), may be more appropriate.$^{18}$

Multiphoton excitation is an effective way to extend the wavelength of excitation of a metal complex.$^{19}$ It imposes constraints on the structure of the compound, and the light source needs to have a high photon density (*e.g.* a femtosecond laser).
Consequently the excitation volume is much smaller than that for one-photon excitation since two photons need to be absorbed by the molecule simultaneously.

**Mechanisms of anticancer action**

Although often complex, rational improvement is only possible if the mechanism of action is at least partly understood. Where possible in this overview we highlight the mechanism proposed.

The mechanisms of action fall into three broad categories:

1) **Photodissociation and/or redox changes**: causing direct reaction of the metal with a biological agent *e.g.* Pt binding to DNA or protein, or photorelease of a bioactive agent *e.g.* NO, CO. The term ‘photocisplatin’ reagents was coined for rhodium (and related) metal complexes which are thermally inert, but which form covalent bonds with DNA upon irradiation with UV or visible light. Different oxidation states often exhibit different ligand binding kinetics, *e.g.* photoreduction of Pt$^{IV}$ to Pt$^{II}$ generates a much more labile, reactive species.

2) **Photosensitisation**: Excited triplet states of metal complexes may be deactivated by reaction with ground-state triplet oxygen, forming highly reactive singlet oxygen ($^{1}\Delta_g$) (known as a type-II process). In order for this to be possible, the energy of the excited state triplet of the metal complex must be $\geq 22.4$ kcal.mol$^{-1}$ compared to the ground state, since this is the excitation energy of singlet oxygen ($^{1}\text{O}_2$). Subsequent reactions of singlet oxygen in biological systems result in DNA damage, protein destruction, and cell lysis, destroying the tumour. Generation of a secondary destructive species (*e.g.* $^{1}\text{O}_2$, HO$^-$) in this way is known as photosensitisation, and the medical application is photodynamic therapy (PDT). For PDT applications, the singlet oxygen quantum yield $\Phi$ of a given complex is the crucial parameter which determines the efficacy of the system; d$^0$(Mg$^{II}$, Al$^{III}$) or d$^{10}$ (Zn$^{II}$, Cd$^{II}$) configurations usually have moderate to high values of $\Phi$ as do complexes of some of the heavier elements (Pd$^{II}$, Pt$^{II}$). Apart from the potential of the metal complex for photobleaching, this can be considered catalytic.
3) **Photothermal reaction**: Conversion of excited state energy to thermal energy (e.g. nanoparticles, quantum dots). Due to the absence of radiative (emissive) decay, following photoexcitation the energy is released as heat, which then destroys the tumour.

**The potential of d-block metals as photoactive anticancer complexes**

Several excellent reviews have focussed on the handful of well-developed PACT metal complexes; here we discuss the general potential shown by d-block elements for PACT, highlighting that several photoactive metals have been scarcely investigated for PACT applications and as such, are worthy of pursuit.

**Group 3.** The elements of group 3, Sc, Y and La, exist predominantly in the 3+ oxidation state. The PACT potential of Group 3 elements has not yet been investigated.

**Group 4.** Of Group 4, Ti, in the form TiO₂, has been studied extensively for its photochemical properties, particularly in photocatalysis, photoelectrochemical solar energy conversion and self-cleaning/sterilizing applications. Crucially, TiO₂ can kill cancer cells when irradiated with UVA light. Sadler et al. have shown that a mononuclear citrate Ti⁴⁺ complex is able to undergo photoreduction (with UVA) to Ti³⁺, as Ti³⁺ is a strong reductant, it is capable of initiating free radical reactions in cells. Although Ti is undergoing a renaissance as an anticancer agent, the heavier elements Zr and Hf have been little studied in this regard.

**Group 5.** Chakravarty et al. are developing V-based PDT agents, achieving photoactivation at ~800 nm (current PDT agents absorb ~630 nm), with little dark toxicity. Oxovanadium⁴⁺ complexes containing the heterocyclic bases dpq (dipyrido[3,2-d:2’,3’-f]quinoxaline) and dppz (dipyrido[3,2-d:2’,3’-c]phenazine) have been shown to exhibit DNA cleavage mechanisms involving both singlet oxygen and hydroxyl radicals when activated at 365 nm, with only the HO’ radical mechanism operating when irradiated with near-IR light. Although V⁴⁺ (d⁴) complexes show similarities to Cu²⁺ (d⁹) complexes in terms of possessing low-energy visible bands, they do not possess the drawbacks of dark toxicity exhibited by the Cu²⁺ complexes, caused by *in vivo* reduction to Cu⁰ since the V⁴⁺ ion in VO²⁺ is unlikely to undergo
redox transformation in a biological medium. Others have also reported the photochemical cleavage of DNA by V complexes. Currently, the medical applications of Ta are limited to metal implants and the poor stability of Nb compounds in aqueous solutions below pH 10 may explain its limited exploration to date.

**Group 6.** The photochemistry of Cr has been extensively investigated. \([\text{M(CO)}_4(\alpha\text{-diimine})]\) complexes (where M = Cr, Mo, W and for \(\alpha\)-diimines such as bpy) have well-characterised photochemical properties, and undergo photochemical substitution of an axial CO ligand when irradiated with UV or visible light. Although such studies have not yet targeted medical applications, controlled CO release can be an efficient and advantageous way of promoting cell death. Morrison *et al.* have studied the DNA binding properties of photoactive Cr\(\text{III}\) diimine complexes such as \([\text{Cr(phen)(Cl)}_2]\), which can photorelease a coordinated ligand (\(e.g., \text{Cl}\)). Ford and coworkers have developed several Cr\(\text{III}\)-NO complexes which release NO upon light irradiation. In particular, the new generation complexes with antenna ligands have improved absorption properties (\(\lambda_{\text{max}} 650 \text{ nm}\)) since absorption at these longer wavelengths is useful for photoactivation in tissues.

Both porphyrin and porphycene complexes of \([\text{O}=\text{Mo}\text{V}\cdot\text{X}]\) show visible light induced dissociation of the axial ligand (X) and reduction to Mo\(\text{IV}\). For X = Cl, the quantum yields (\(\Phi\)) for the homolytic bond cleavage and photochemical release of Cl from the porphycene complex are 0.055 (500 nm), 0.045 (600 nm) and 0.040 (650 nm). W\(\text{IV}\) polyoxometalates show promise in photoactivatable antibacterial applications. However, the application of these Mo and W systems to PACT has yet to be demonstrated.

**Group 7.** Mn\(\text{III}\) porphyrins show promise for PDT and the photorelease of CO from Mn centres has also been demonstrated. Radioactive isotopes of both Re and Tc are used in imaging and radiotherapy. Anticancer applications exploiting the photochemical activity of Tc are scarce (presumably because all Tc isotopes are radioactive, making them more hazardous to work with than Re), although Re has been more extensively investigated.

**Group 8.** This group offers examples of photosensitizers, intercalators, DNA binders and complexes able to photorelease bioactive ligands. Two-photon excitation (2PE) leading to NO release has been explored in depth for Fe nitrosyls, and recently
Prasad et al. have reported two-photon excitable, water-soluble Fe (NO) release agents which show dark toxicity but which exhibit a slight phototoxic enhancement in HeLa cells.\textsuperscript{48} Irradiation of a Fe\textsuperscript{III} triazine complex (72 hr, \(\lambda_{\text{ irr}} \geq 455\) nm) creates a LMCT excitation, generating a highly oxidising, charge-separated excited state (Fe\textsuperscript{2+}-ligand radical). The triazine radical thus generated is capable of cleaving plasmid DNA by an oxygen-independent pathway, and subsequently decomposes to give N\textsubscript{2}.\textsuperscript{49} An Fe\textsuperscript{III} complex of dpq (selected for its DNA binding properties) and the tetradentate (2,2-bis(3,5-di-tert-butyl-2-hydroxybenzyl)aminoacetic acid) ligand (which stabilizes the +3 state) causes DNA nicking following irradiation (\(\lambda_{\text{ irr}} \leq 647\) nm), again, due to the generation of a charge-separated excited state.\textsuperscript{50} The mechanism of cleavage is oxygen-dependent, but operates through a superoxide O\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{-} and HO\textsuperscript{-} radical mechanism rather than by \(^1\text{O}_2\) generation. Related work has demonstrated oxo-bridged diiron complexes of dpq which are capable of photocleaving bovine serum albumin (\(\lambda_{\text{ irr}} 365\) nm), again, via the HO\textsuperscript{-} radical pathway.\textsuperscript{51}

The photophysics and photochemistry of Ru has been extensively studied. Both Ru\textsuperscript{III} and Ru\textsuperscript{II} show potential; Ru\textsuperscript{III} complexes are reasonably inert to ligand substitution and can be activated by reduction to Ru\textsuperscript{II}, which can then react either thermally or photochemically with DNA. The dinuclear complex \([\{(η\textsuperscript{6}-inden)RuCl}_2(μ-2,3-dpp))(PF_6)_2\] undergoes arene loss via an oxygen-independent pathway when irradiated (\(\lambda_{\text{ irr}} = 365\) nm), releasing a fluorescent marker (inden) and creating a highly reactive Ru species capable of forming both mono- and bifunctional adducts of DNA.\textsuperscript{52} The use of \(π\)-acceptor ligands such as TAP (1,4,5,8-tetraazaphenanthrene) and HAT (1,4,5,8,9,12-hexaazatriphenylene) in Ru\textsuperscript{II} complexes shows much photochemical potential and is discussed in the Highlights section.\textsuperscript{53} Os offers both a rich photochemistry\textsuperscript{3b} and promise in the anticancer field\textsuperscript{54} but most PACT investigations using Os have been in mixed-metal systems (see Highlights section). The high thermal stability of Os\textsuperscript{II} complexes can make syntheses challenging, but the final product is also more robust to degradation. Promisingly, Os complexes possess low energy MLCT bands\textsuperscript{55} and the design aspects of DNA cleaving complexes involving Os have been considered, paving the way for development of PACT applications.\textsuperscript{56} Group 9. Co\textsuperscript{III} complexes are usually substitution-inert. Thermal or photochemical reduction to the more kinetically labile Co\textsuperscript{II} can induce selective ligand release. Thermal (e.g. hypoxia-activated)\textsuperscript{57} release is exemplified by a Co\textsuperscript{III} complex of the
matrix metalloproteinase inhibitor Marimastat, a combination which shows excellent anticancer activity.\textsuperscript{58} Photochemical release has also been used to deliver a therapeutic agent, for example, \textit{cis}-[Co(L(NO\_2)(ONO))]\textsuperscript{+} (L = 6-(anthracen-9-ylmethyl)-1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane) releases NO (which sensitises hypoxic tissue to γ-radiation\textsuperscript{59}) upon irradiation (λ\textsubscript{irr} = 360 nm).\textsuperscript{60} Photoreduction is also seen for Co\textsuperscript{III} and several complexes have been shown to photocleave DNA;\textsuperscript{61} McFadyen \textit{et al.} have shown that [Co\textsuperscript{III}(en)\textsubscript{2}(dppz)]\textsuperscript{3+} photocleaves DNA (λ\textsubscript{irr} = 350–400 nm). The mechanism involves reductive quenching of the Co\textsuperscript{III}\textsuperscript{*} excited state by bases \textit{e.g.} guanosine (G), producing a radical cation G\textsuperscript{•+} which eventually results in cleavage of the DNA backbone. Although phototoxicity has been demonstrated \textit{in cellulo}, the complex also exhibits considerable dark toxicity.\textsuperscript{62} Stereospecific photocleavage of DNA using chiral Co\textsuperscript{III} complexes is well-established,\textsuperscript{63} and in general Co holds considerable potential for future PACT developments.

The photochemistry of Rh\textsuperscript{III} complexes is determined by the nature of the lowest lying state. In \textit{bis}-chelated (polypyridyl) Rh\textsuperscript{III} complexes this is typically a \textsuperscript{3}MC state; photoexcitation weakens the M–L bond, resulting in photosubstitution. In contrast, excitation of the lowest lying state (\textsuperscript{3}LC) in the \textit{tris}-chelated (polypyridyl) analogues creates a strong oxidising agent. The complex \textit{cis}-[Rh(phen)\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{+} illustrates the dependence of the photochemistry on the presence of various species; O\textsubscript{2} quenches photoaquation of this species, with formation of singlet oxygen – but the quantum efficiency for photoaquation is increased by the presence of deoxyguanosine (dG), possibly due to reductive quenching.\textsuperscript{7} The excited states of Rh\textsuperscript{III} polypyridyl complexes tend to be more strongly oxidising than their Ru\textsuperscript{II} counterparts, resulting in more efficient reactions for DNA damage. Rh\textsuperscript{III} complexes are relatively thermally inert, but may undergo efficient photosubstitutions, making them potentially useful for PACT. However, in contrast to their Ru\textsuperscript{II} counterparts, mononuclear Rh\textsuperscript{III} complexes show little absorption (\textit{e.g.} MC or CT bands) in the visible region of the electronic spectrum – instead, the spectrum is dominated by intense LC bands in the UV region.\textsuperscript{7} Despite this, Morrison \textit{et al.} have shown that methylated derivatives of [\textit{cis}-Rh(phen)\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}]Cl such as OCTBP (see Figure 4) can be activated at wavelengths where there is no apparent absorption, (λ\textsubscript{irr} > 500 nm) due to the direct population of weakly absorbing \textsuperscript{3}MC states by photoexcitation from the ground state; these complexes exhibit moderate phototoxicity in tumour cells.\textsuperscript{64} Barton \textit{et al.} have pioneered the use of complexes such as [Rh(bpy)\textsubscript{2}(chrysi)]\textsuperscript{3+} which targets single base
DNA mismatches, and upon photoactivation ($\lambda_{\text{irr}} \sim 340 - 450 \text{ nm}$) cleaves the DNA next to the mismatch.\textsuperscript{65} This shows potential for PACT since the inability of cells to control and regulate mismatch repair is implicated in several cancers.\textsuperscript{66} Dinuclear Rh complexes allow extension of the possible wavelength of activation;\textsuperscript{67} the cytotoxicity of $\text{cis-}[\text{Rh}_2(\mu-O_2\text{CCH}_3)_2(\text{CH}_3\text{CN})]^2^+ \text{ (Figure 4)}$ increases 34 times upon irradiation, with an LC$_{50} \sim 12\mu\text{M}$ in human skin cells when irradiated ($\lambda_{\text{irr}} = 400-700 \text{ nm}$).\textsuperscript{68} 

**Ir** complexes exhibit a rich photochemistry,\textsuperscript{69} however, most photobiological applications focus on the luminescent properties\textsuperscript{70} and the PACT potential of Ir complexes remains relatively unexplored.

![Chemical structure of Rh complex](Image)  

**Figure 4.** (a) OCTBP, a methylated $[\text{cis-Rh(phen)_2(Cl)_2}]\text{Cl}$ derivative which shows phototoxicity towards tumour cells ($\lambda_{\text{irr}}> 500 \text{ nm}$). (b) dinuclear Rh complex which shows 34 x increase in cytotoxicity when irradiated ($\lambda_{\text{irr}} = 400–700 \text{ nm}$) (c) Pd-based PDT agent TOOKAD currently in clinical trials (structure adapted from reference 71).
Group 10. Although Ni complexes have been investigated as anticancer agents,\textsuperscript{72} only a few investigations consider photoactivity;\textsuperscript{73} for example, [Ni(bpy)\textsubscript{2}(benzo[1.8]naphthyridinone-2-ol)](PF\textsubscript{6})\textsubscript{2} binds to DNA in the absence of irradiation, and upon irradiation (4\textsubscript{irr} 365 nm) photocleaves the DNA through a mechanism involving both \textsuperscript{1}O\textsubscript{2} and HO• radicals.\textsuperscript{74}

Both common oxidation states of Pt show a rich photochemistry;\textsuperscript{75} many Pt\textsuperscript{II} complexes luminesce following irradiation, due to long-lived excited states\textsuperscript{76} while the photochemistry of Pt\textsuperscript{IV} complexes is dominated by dissociative/reductive behaviour. In collaboration with others we have investigated the photochemistry of a range of Pt\textsuperscript{IV} diazido complexes, in an effort to develop selective PACT agents.\textsuperscript{75,77} Briefly, irradiation of the complexes into either the LMCT bands (\textlambda_{\text{irr}} = 365 nm) or the weaker d-d transitions at longer wavelengths (\textlambda_{\text{irr}} = 420, 514 nm) can cause photodissociation and/or photodecomposition of the azido ligands and reduction of the Pt\textsuperscript{IV} centre to Pt\textsuperscript{II}. When the irradiation is undertaken in cell culture, a potent cytotoxic effect is seen, which is not observed either in the dark, or with irradiation of the cells in the absence of the Pt complex. For the Pt\textsuperscript{IV} complexes — irradiation at wavelengths where there appears to be essentially no absorbance in the electronic spectrum can still result in photoactivity\textsuperscript{77a} (as observed for Morrison’s Rh complexes)\textsuperscript{64} due to the direct excitation of dissociative low-lying LMCT states (\textit{e.g.} S1–S4, Figure 5a). Time-dependent DFT calculations show that such transitions involve \sigma-antibonding orbitals (Figure 5b) which favour ligand dissociation once populated.
Figure 5. (a) Calculated (TDDFT) and experimental absorption spectrum of cis,trans,cis-[Pt(N3)2(OH)2(NH3)2] in H2O. The excited states are shown as vertical bars with heights equal to their extinction coefficients. Transitions S1–S4 have all dissociative character since they involve (b) the \( \sigma \)-antibonding orbitals LUMO and LUMO+1.

Clearly the action spectrum does not mirror the UV-vis electronic spectrum for these complexes. The use of weaker field donors such as iodide instead of azide typically results in dark toxicity due to thermal reactions with biological reductants (e.g. glutathione).\textsuperscript{77f} Extension of the wavelength of activation of these complexes is a balance between thermal stability and activation with the longest wavelengths possible. In other research, the combination of a phototoxic porphyrin with a cytotoxic Pt unit within the same molecule has been investigated,\textsuperscript{78} but in general the photochemistry of the Pt centre itself remains largely unexploited for PACT applications.

A PDT photosensitiser containing Pd (TOOKAD)\textsuperscript{79} is in Phase I/II clinical trials for treatment of prostate cancer (see Figure 4); the complex can be photoactivated to produce \( ^1\text{O}_2 \) (\( \lambda_{\text{irr}} = 762 \) nm, diode laser) and shows rapid clearance from the body, an advantage over conventional PDT agents, which can cause prolonged photosensitivity of the patient following treatment. Contrastingly, a paramagnetic, non-emitting Pd-phthalocyanin complex has shown promise for photothermal therapy (PTT) since it shows considerable absorption at near–IR wavelengths (826 nm);\textsuperscript{80} in PTT tumour cells are killed by the heat generated from the efficient radiationless decay to ground state of such a Pd derivative.

Group 11. Cu complexes such as [Cu\textsuperscript{II}(2-(2-pyridyl)benzthiazole)Br\textsubscript{2}] have been shown to oxidatively cleave DNA in the presence of O\textsubscript{2} \textit{without} direct irradiation,\textsuperscript{81} others only cleave DNA upon irradiation,\textsuperscript{82} with complexes such as [Cu\textsuperscript{II}(dppz)((L-lysine)(OCIO\textsubscript{3})] being activated to cleave DNA with near-IR light by a mechanism involving \( ^1\text{O}_2 \) (\( \lambda_{\text{irr}} = 700 – 755 \) nm).\textsuperscript{83} Binuclear copper complexes \[ [(R)Cu\textsuperscript{II}]_2(\mu-\text{dtdp})_2 \] (where R = phen or dpq and H\textsubscript{2}dtdp = 3,3’-dithiodipropionic acid) reportedly cleave DNA when irradiated (\( \lambda_{\text{irr}} = 753 – 799 \) nm) both in the presence of O\textsubscript{2} (through \( ^1\text{O}_2 \) and HO\textsuperscript{•} pathways) but also in the absence of O\textsubscript{2}, due to the generation of sulfur anion radicals.\textsuperscript{84} It will be of interest to see whether this translates to photocytotoxicity \textit{in cellulo} or indeed, \textit{in vivo}. 
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Irradiation of Ag complexes in the presence of DNA results in metallation but not cleavage, and although Ag compounds are often photosensitive little PACT research has been conducted. In contrast, Au compounds are well-known for their anticancer potential, if the dark cytotoxicity of AuIII porphyrins can be controlled, then AuIII tetraarylporphyrins have potential in PDT. The alternative technique of photothermal ablation uses irradiation of gold (or silver) nanoparticles to achieve a cytotoxic effect; the absorption of light by the nanoparticles induces localised surface plasmon oscillations, the electrons resonate in response to incoming radiation, causing them to both absorb and scatter light. The light is rapidly converted into heat which destroys the immediate tissue. The use of targeting features such as Paclitaxel (Taxol), Herceptin or transferrin conjugated to nanoparticles enables targeting, imaging and therapy for cancer cells, all with a single agent. Gold nanoparticles have also been shown to cleave DNA upon irradiation (aerobic conditions) with UV (\(\lambda_{irr} = 312 \text{ nm}\)) light.

**Group 12.** Aside from the use of Zn-porphyrin and Zn-phthalocyanine derivatives in PDT, Cd-based quantum dots (QD) represent the most promising development of group 12 for PACT application. QD can be used to generate reactive radicals to kill tumours, at the same time offering highly tunable absorption and emission properties. QD which are activated by X-ray – behaving as a PDT agent as well as a fluorescent marker – are particularly promising. Explorations of Hg photochemistry do not appear to have been applied yet to biological systems, presumably for toxicity reasons.

**Highlights**

In the following section we consider the potential offered by mixed-metal systems and Ru complexes.

- **Mixed-metal systems**

Combining different metals in a multinuclear complex is a powerful way to exploit the (photo)chemical properties of each metal, in a synergistic fashion.

Work on trinuclear complexes \[\{(bpy)_2M(dpp)\}_2\text{RhCl}_2\text{Cl}_5\] (where M = Ru or Os and dpp = 2,3-bis(2-pyridyl)pyrazine, Figure 6) has highlighted that complexes which demonstrate DNA photocleavage (e.g. where M = OsII) do not necessarily exhibit photocytotoxicity in mammalian cell lines. In contrast, the RuII analogue showed evidence of cell death for concentrations \(\geq 10 \mu\text{M}\) following irradiation (\(\lambda_{irr} > 460\) nm).
nm) with no cytotoxic activity in the dark. The mechanism of the phototoxic effect involves \( ^1 \text{MLCT} \) excitation of the Ru\( ^{II} \) followed by conversion to a low-lying reactive \( ^3 \text{MMCT} \) state in which charge transfers from Ru\( ^{II} \) – via a bridging \( \pi \)-ligand – to Rh\( ^{III} \); the latter acts as an electron acceptor and subsequently cleaves DNA, demonstrating activity both in the presence and absence of oxygen. The analogous system in which Rh is substituted for Ir showed no DNA photocleavage \textit{in vitro};\(^9^8\) promising variants involving Pt are being developed.\(^9^9\) In other work, the mixed-metal system \([\{\text{Ru}^{II}(\text{tpm})(dppz)}\}(\mu\text{-dpp}[5])\{\text{fac-}(\text{CO})_3\text{Re}^{I}(dppz)\}]^{3+} \) (tpm = tris(1-pyrazolyl)methane, dpp[5] = 4,4'-dipyridylpentane) combines the strong DNA binding ability of the Ru\( ^{II} \) with the DNA photocleavage ability of the Re\( ^{I} \) centre. Activation (\( \lambda_{\text{irr}} = 355 \) nm) results in both single- and double-strand cleavage of pBR322 plasmid DNA.\(^1^0^0\) A potential drawback of some multimetal systems is that a high formal charge is thought to make cell uptake of such complexes more challenging; complexes with lower overall charges which have not been photobiologically investigated may prove promising in this regard.\(^1^0^1\) However it is notable that some multinuclear Pt\( ^{II} \) complexes with high charge (\textit{e.g.} BBR3464, 4+ charge) can readily enter cells, so pathways for the transport of charged species into cells do exist.

**Figure 6.** Mixed metal Ru-Rh-Ru complex of Brewer \textit{et al}.

- **Polyazaaromatic Ru\( ^{II} \) complexes:** photoactive molecules for a gene silencing approach in chemotherapy

Kirsch-De Mesmaeker and coworkers have recently developed a new class of Ru\( ^{II} \) polyazaaromatic complexes containing TAP and HAT ligands, which can selectively form photoadducts with DNA bases and amino acids when their MLCT state is excited.\(^1^0^2\)
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Although many Ru\textsuperscript{II} polypyridyl complexes have both oxidizing and reducing properties in the excited state, Ru-TAP and Ru-HAT complexes show a more pronounced oxidizing character. When excited with visible light the complexes reported in Table 1 are able to react with DNA bases or oligomers and aminoacids such as tyrosine (Tyr) and tryptophan (Trp), resulting in a series of redox reactions which eventually lead to the formation of photoproducts.

Table 1. Ground-state and excited-state potentials of the first reduction wave (vs. SCE, acetonitrile) for selected polyazaaromatic Ru\textsuperscript{II} derivatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>$E_{\text{red}}$ (V/SCE)</th>
<th>$E^*_{\text{red}}$ (V/SCE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(HAT)$_3$]\textsuperscript{2+}</td>
<td>$-0.62$</td>
<td>$+1.49$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(TAP)$_3$]\textsuperscript{2+}</td>
<td>$-0.75$</td>
<td>$+1.30$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(HAT)$_2$(phen)]\textsuperscript{2+}</td>
<td>$-0.66$</td>
<td>$+1.25$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(HAT)$_2$(bpy)]\textsuperscript{2+}</td>
<td>$-0.76$</td>
<td>$+1.17$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(TAP)$_2$(phen)]\textsuperscript{2+}</td>
<td>$-0.83$</td>
<td>$+1.15$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(TAP)$_2$(bpy)]\textsuperscript{2+}</td>
<td>$-0.83$</td>
<td>$+1.10$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(TAP)$_2$(dppz)]\textsuperscript{2+}</td>
<td>$-0.80$</td>
<td>$+1.20$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Biomolecule $E_{\text{red}}$ (V/SCE)

| GMP                 | $+1.05$                |
| Tyr                 | $-0.85$                |
| Trp                 | $-0.78$                |

The potentials were obtained as described in reference 102.

For example, in the presence of a guanine residue (G) from guanosine-5'-monophosphate (GMP) or DNA, [Ru(TAP)$_2$(L')]\textsuperscript{2+} complexes can undergo the following reactions (where $*$ represents an excited state):

$$[\text{Ru(TAP)}_2(\text{L}')]^{2+} + \text{hv} \rightarrow [\text{Ru(III)}(\text{TAP}^*)](\text{TAP})(\text{L}')]^{2+*}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$[\text{Ru(III)}(\text{TAP}^*)](\text{TAP})(\text{L}')]^{2+*} + \text{G} \rightarrow [\text{Ru(II)}(\text{TAP}^*)(\text{TAP})(\text{L}')]^{1+} + \text{G}^{*+}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$[\text{Ru(II)}(\text{TAP}^*)(\text{TAP})(\text{L}')]^{1+} + \text{G}^{*+} \rightarrow [\text{Ru(TAP)}_2(\text{L}')]^{2+} + \text{G}$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$\text{G}^{*+} \rightarrow \text{G}(\text{H})^* + \text{H}^+$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$[\text{Ru(II)}(\text{TAP}^*)(\text{TAP})(\text{L}')]^{1+} + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow [\text{Ru(II)}(\text{TAP}+\text{H})^*(\text{TAP})(\text{L}')]^{2+}$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$[\text{Ru(II)}(\text{TAP}+\text{H})^*(\text{TAP})(\text{L}')]^{2+} + \text{G}(\text{H})^* \rightarrow \text{photoproduct}$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)
Steady-state and time-resolved studies\textsuperscript{103} have highlighted that the direct (2) and back (3) electron-transfer process from G is likely to occur through a proton-coupled electron-transfer mechanism (4 and 5). Two TAP and HAT ligands are necessary to achieve oxidation of GMP, while only the highly oxidizing complexes [Ru(TAP)\textsubscript{3}]\textsuperscript{2+} and [Ru(HAT)\textsubscript{3}]\textsuperscript{2+} can react with adenosine-5'-monophosphate (AMP). In the photoproduction obtained from the reaction between Ru-TAP and Ru-HAT complexes, the guanine is covalently linked to the complex by the exocyclic amino group of the base, which substitutes a H atom in the polyazaaromatic ligand ring (TAP: α-position with respect of the non-coordinating nitrogen, Figure 7.)

**Figure 7.** Photoadduct formation during the photoirradiation of [Ru(TAP)\textsubscript{3}]\textsuperscript{2+} in the presence of GMP. The photoproduction is obtained after several steps of purification and acidification.

In addition to the photochemistry described, Ru-TAP and Ru-HAT derivatives also damage DNA by \textsuperscript{1}O\textsubscript{2} photosensitization. However, the photoinduced electron transfer process that leads to the formation of stable and specific photoproduc ts makes this class of complexes potential candidates in PACT, where they can exploit a novel mechanism of action.

In fact, oligonucleotides hybridized with oxidizing Ru polyazaaromatic complexes can irreversibly form photo-induced cross-links with a target strand. This strategy can be used to develop specific anticancer agents based on gene silencing (inhibition of gene expression).

Synthetic oligodeoxynucleotides (ODNs) have been used for gene expression, targeting either double-strand DNA (antigen strategy) or single-strand mRNA (antisense strategy). Their application has been limited by the poor stability of the
ODN-DNA/mRNA aggregates and by the activity of enzymes that can recognize and destroy such aggregates.\textsuperscript{104}

Modified ODNs with anchored Ru-TAP and Ru-HAT complexes (Ru-ODNs) can be successfully employed to increase the stability of the ODN aggregates. The Ru-ODN complexes are activated by light and form strong cross-links between the ODN strand and a guanine residue (G) on the target sequence. The so-formed adducts have improved stability and are more resistant to enzyme action.

Several different Ru-ODNs have been prepared by attaching covalently one ruthenium polyazaaromatic unit to thymidine residues or to the 5'-terminal phosphate group. All these Ru-ODNs have been tested in the presence of their target sequence under light irradiation with the aim of assessing the general principle governing the photoadduct formation.

The percentage of photocross-links is determined by the ionization potential of G (\textit{e.g.} GG sites exhibit higher reduction oxidation potentials than G sites) and by the distance between the Ru complex and G. Therefore, a minimum distance is required to have a good photocross-linking percentage, otherwise only fluorescence quenching occurs.

Until recently, it was considered necessary to avoid Ru-ODNs containing G to reduce self-inhibition. However, the same authors have developed Ru-ODNs containing guanine residues (Ru-ODN\textsubscript{(G)}), which are able to self-inhibit when irradiated in the absence of the complementary target strand or in the presence of non-complementary strands (Figure 8).\textsuperscript{105} In both cases cycRu-ODN\textsubscript{(G)} photoadducts are formed. In the case of non-complementary (containing G as well) strands self-inhibition reaches an 80 % yield.

On the contrary, when a complementary strand is added and the Ru-ODN\textsubscript{(G)} is irradiated, selective formation of inter-strand photo-crosslinks is observed and no self-inhibition. The selectivity towards inter-strand adducts in the presence of a complementary target strand can be explained by the increased rigidity of the duplex. The improvement obtained with the Ru-ODNs\textsubscript{(G)} is relevant for \textit{in vivo} gene silencing applications, since it reduces the possibility of secondary photoeffects (as for example seen with proteins).
Figure 8. Schematic representation of the behaviour of Ru-ODN\(_{(G)}\) (a) in the absence of complementary strands, (b) in the presence of non-complementary strands, and (c) in the presence of complementary target strands (adapted from reference [105]).

Outlook
Platinum complexes have a proven track record of being well suited to the treatment of cancer and those of other transition metals have much potential. Photoactivation provides scope for another dimension – one of highly controllable activity – of more potent, highly targeted drugs with reduced side-effects.

The availability of a wide array of different metals, each with unique photophysical and photochemical properties gives a broad spectrum from which to choose. This spectrum dramatically widens once the multitude of possible ligands (and ligand arrangements) are considered and the potential for tuning the photochemistry is further expanded by combining several metals within the same complex.

The photoactivation pathways taken by metal complexes can be complicated, and can vary depending on the conditions, e.g. solvent, presence/absence of oxygen, possible reactants (e.g. DNA/proteins) and on the wavelength of light used. Many elegant techniques have been developed by the photochemical community in order to better understand and therefore control the photochemistry of such systems. These
include measurements of action spectra, singlet oxygen quantum yields and the use of TD-DFT and ultrafast (fs) time-resolved techniques (e.g. IR) to characterise the excited states and photochemical pathways more accurately. It is anticipated that future improvements in X-ray time-resolved techniques will help to determine transient structures and to validate computational results.

Understanding the variation of the photochemistry in vitro, in cellulo and in vivo is crucial to the development of potential PACT drugs. It has been demonstrated that reactions with biomolecules (e.g. DNA scission in vitro) does not necessarily correspond to the desired biological action in cellulo, and it is anticipated that the translation to in vivo testing will provide an even greater challenge (not least, because of the need to synchronise and optimise arrival of the photoactive complex at the target site with irradiation). Whereas the photophysics and photochemistry of some metal systems (e.g. the Ru pyridyl complexes) have been investigated in detail, there is still much room for exploration in this young and promising field.
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