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ABSTRACT

In bacteria, promoter identification by RNA polymerase is mediated by a dissociable σ factor. The housekeeping σ\(^{70}\) factor of Escherichia coli recognizes two well characterized DNA sequence elements, known as the ‘−10’ and ‘−35’ hexamers. These elements are separated by ‘spacer’ DNA, the sequence of which is generally considered unimportant. Here, we use a combination of bioinformatics, genetics and biochemistry to show that σ\(^{70}\) can sense the sequence and conformation of the promoter spacer region. Our data illustrate how alterations in spacer region sequence can increase promoter activity. This stimulatory effect requires σ\(^{70}\) side chain R451, which is located in close proximity to the non-template strand at promoter position −18. Conversely, R451 is not required to mediate transcriptional stimulation by improvement of the −10 element. Mutation of σ\(^{70}\) residue R451, which is highly conserved, results in reduced growth rate, consistent with a central role in promoter recognition.

INTRODUCTION

RNA polymerase requires specific DNA sequences known as promoters in order to recognize DNA and initiate the transcription of a gene. In bacteria, recognition of promoters is mediated by a dissociable subunit of RNA polymerase known as the σ factor (1). Most bacteria encode multiple σ factors, with different DNA binding specificities, and σ factor switching therefore represents a simple mechanism via which RNA polymerase can be directed to different sets of genes (2). The major σ factor in Escherichia coli is σ\(^{70}\), which recognizes two DNA sequences known as the −10 and −35 hexamers (3). The −10 hexamer (5’-TATAAT-3’) is located ~7-bp upstream of the transcription start site and becomes single stranded during transcription initiation. The −35 sequence (5’-TTGACA-3’) is usually located 17-bp upstream of the −10 element and is not unwound during open-complex formation (4). A subclass of ‘extended −10’ promoters have a 5’-TG-3’ motif at promoter positions −14 and −15, 1 bp upstream of the −10 hexamer. This serves to further stabilize RNAP–DNA interactions (5). The major promoter elements were originally identified on the basis of similarity between small numbers of very efficient promoters (6–9). Recent large-scale cataloguing of promoters in E. coli now permits hundreds of promoter sequences, many of which are sub-optimal, to be aligned. The overall conservation of different promoter elements can then be ascertained. Figure 1A shows a DNA sequence logo generated from the alignment of 554 E. coli promoters produced by Mitchell et al. (10). The −10 sequence is clearly the best conserved promoter element. Comparatively, the −35 and extended −10 elements are poorly conserved. Indeed, some sequences in the 17 bp spacer region, generally considered to be unimportant, are better conserved than parts of the −35 and extended −10 elements.

Interactions between the promoter elements described above and RNA polymerase are mediated by specific σ factor determinants. Primary σ factors, such as σ\(^{70}\), consist of two or more conserved domains connected by flexible linkers. Sub-regions of domains 2, 3 and 4 mediate sequence specific RNA polymerase–DNA contacts. Region 2.4 of σ\(^{70}\) domain 2 contacts the DNA at promoter position −12 (11,12). The 5’-TG-3’ motif of extended −10 promoters is recognized by side chain E458 in σ\(^{70}\) domain 3 (5). Contact with the −35 element is mediated by domain 4 with amino acid side chains R584, E585 and Q589 making the critical interactions (13). Contacts between RNA polymerase and the spacer DNA were detected biochemically over 30 years.
It is well established that the length of the ‘spacer’ DNA between the −10 and −35 elements is critical (17). Recent work has suggested that the sequence of the spacer may also be important (18,19). Structural modelling of the RNA polymerase holoenzyme–DNA complex places the linker between σ70 domains 2 and 3 within 2 Å of the non-template strand at promoter position −18, just upstream of the extended −10 element (12) (Figure 1B). Interestingly, as the data in Figure 1A show, the DNA sequence immediately upstream of the extended −10 element is not random. For example, T is the preferred base at both positions −17 and −18. In this work, we have investigated the role of the spacer region, and the linker between σ70 domains 2 and 3, in controlling promoter activity. We show that altering the base sequence at promoter position −18 modulates transcription initiation at many promoters. Moreover, mutational analysis reveals that σ70 side chain R451, located in the linker between σ70 domains 2 and 3, is required to respond to changes in DNA sequence at promoter position −18. Substitution of side chain R451 with alanine results in decreased growth rate consistent with R451 playing an important role.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains, plasmids and oligonucleotides

Bacterial strains and plasmids are listed in Table 1. Standard techniques for recombinant DNA manipulations were used throughout. Table 2 lists primers used to amplify sections of the cbpA regulatory region in such a way that it was flanked by EcoRI and HindIII restriction sites. After digestion, fragments carrying cbpA regulatory were cloned into pSR, sequenced and then sub-cloned into pRW50. The exception to this was the screen for ‘up’ mutations in the spacer region where fragments were cloned directly into pRW50. We have
Restriction sites used for cloning are shown in bold typeface and are italicized. Mutations introduced during the PCR are underlined.

Table 1. Bacterial Strains and plasmids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bacterial strains</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JCB387</td>
<td>$\Delta$nis $\Delta$lac</td>
<td>(20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC4100</td>
<td>F' araD139 $\Delta$(argF-lac1)$\Delta$U169 $\Delta$relA1 $\Delta$deoC1 $\Delta$psf25 rhaR $\Delta$fitB5301</td>
<td>(21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC4100poS::kan</td>
<td>MC4100poS::kan</td>
<td>(22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7 express (Invitrogen)</td>
<td>fluA2 lacZ::T7 gene1 [lon] ompt gal sulA11 R$(\text{merC-mcr})$114::IS10 R$(\text{zgb-210}::\text{Tn10-Tet}^R)$ endA1</td>
<td>(23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSR</td>
<td>pBR322-derived plasmid containing an EcoRI–HindIII fragment upstream of the $rpoD$ transcription terminator</td>
<td>(24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRW50</td>
<td>Low-copy number broad-host-range lac fusion vector for cloning promoters on EcoRI–HindIII fragments: contains the RK2 origin of replication and encodes $\text{Te}^R$</td>
<td>(25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRW224</td>
<td>A derivative of pRW50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pVRr</td>
<td>pBR322 derivative encoding $rpoD$ and mutant derivatives</td>
<td>(26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET21b (Novagen)</td>
<td>T7 Expression vector containing 6xHis tag</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Oligonucleotides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primers used to introduce random single base substitutions into the $cbpA$ regulatory region spacer DNA element</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-22N $cbpA$Δ45</td>
<td>5'-GGCTGCGAATTCATATTGTGGGCTACATGAAANNNTTGAGGATTACC 3'</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-21N $cbpA$Δ45</td>
<td>5'-GGCTGCGAATTCATATTGTGGGCTACATGAAANNNTTGAGGATTACCCT 3'</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-20N $cbpA$Δ45</td>
<td>5'-GGCTGCGAATTCATATTGTGGGCTACATGAAANNNTTGAGGATTACCCTA 3'</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-19N $cbpA$Δ45</td>
<td>5'-GGCTGCGAATTCATATTGTGGGCTACATGAAANNNTTGAGGATTACCCTAC 3'</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-18N $cbpA$Δ45</td>
<td>5'-GGCTGCGAATTCATATTGTGGGCTACATGAAANNNTTGAGGATTACCCTACTA 3'</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-17N $cbpA$Δ45</td>
<td>5'-GGCTGCGAATTCATATTGTGGGCTACATGAAANNNTTGAGGATTACCCTACACT 3'</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-16N $cbpA$Δ45</td>
<td>5'-GGCTGCGAATTCATATTGTGGGCTACATGAAANNNTTGAGGATTACCCTACACTTA 3'</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-15N $cbpA$Δ45</td>
<td>5'-GGCTGCGAATTCATATTGTGGGCTACATGAAANNNTTGAGGATTACCCTACACTTAT 3'</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-14N $cbpA$Δ45</td>
<td>5'-GGCTGCGAATTCATATTGTGGGCTACATGAAANNNTTGAGGATTACCCTACACTTTA 3'</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-13N $cbpA$Δ45</td>
<td>5'-GGCTGCGAATTCATATTGTGGGCTACATGAAANNNTTGAGGATTACCCTACACTTTATA 3'</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primers used for site-directed mutagenesis of the $cbpA$ regulatory region</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$cbpA$Δ45 -18C</td>
<td>5'-GGCTGCGAATTCATATTGTGGGCTACATGAAANNNTTGAGGATTACC 3'</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$cbpA$Δ45-9A -10T</td>
<td>5'-GGCTGCGAATTCATATTGTGGGCTACATGAAANNNTTGAGGATTACCCTA 3'</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primers used to amplify $cbpA$ promoter inserts cloned in plasmid pSR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSR up</td>
<td>5'-GCATTATTAACGGGTTATGGTCTC 3'</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSR down</td>
<td>5'-CATCACCCGAACCGCGGAGG 3'</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primers used to introduce alanine codons into $rpoD$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HindIII oligo</td>
<td>5'-GGATGTCGCAATCAGCAGCAGCCGACACTCGACCGGTCTCGAGGACGCCGAGAGTCCGCAAGCGGCTCCGCT 3'</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R451A</td>
<td>5'-GGCTGCGAATTCATATTGTGGGCTACATGAAANNNTTGAGGATTACC 3'</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I452A</td>
<td>5'-GGCTGCGAATTCATATTGTGGGCTACATGAAANNNTTGAGGATTACCCTA 3'</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P453A</td>
<td>5'-GGCTGCGAATTCATATTGTGGGCTACATGAAANNNTTGAGGATTACCCTAC 3'</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V454A</td>
<td>5'-GGCTGCGAATTCATATTGTGGGCTACATGAAANNNTTGAGGATTACCCTACACT 3'</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H455A</td>
<td>5'-GGCTGCGAATTCATATTGTGGGCTACATGAAANNNTTGAGGATTACCCTACACTACT 3'</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primer used to amplify the $rpoD$ RA451 allele for cloning into pET21b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RpoD pET21b Up</td>
<td>5'-GAAGCTCAAGCTGGAGGAGGAAAGCCCTAAGCCGACACTCGACCGGTCTCGADGCGGACGCCGAGAGTCGCT</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primers used to amplify the LE11 promoter and derivatives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee up -18A</td>
<td>5'-GAATTCTTGTGAGAATTATGATATGATTATTCATACACTAGAAAGAG 3'</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee up -18C</td>
<td>5'-GAATTCTTGTGAGAATTATGATATGATTATTCATACACTAGAAAGAG 3'</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee up -18T</td>
<td>5'-GAATTCTTGTGAGAATTATGATATGATTATTCATACACTAGAAAGAG 3'</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Restriction sites used for cloning are shown in bold typeface and are italicized. Mutations introduced during the PCR are underlined.
numbered mutations in the cbpA regulatory region with respect to the Eσ^{70} transcription start point (+1) and with upstream and downstream locations denoted by ‘—’ and ‘+’ prefixes, respectively.

**Proteins**

Core E. coli RNA polymerase was purchased from Epicenter (Madison). To overproduce the σ^{70} RA451 protein the rpoD RA451 allele was amplified by PCR from plasmid pVRσ and cloned into pET21b. Preparations of all σ factors and derivatives were made by overexpression of the cloned rpoD and rpoS alleles in T7 express cells (NEB). Inclusion bodies were then solubilized in 6 M Guanidine HCl, before being dialysed into buffer containing 20 mM Tris pH 7.9, 5 mM MgCl₂, 100 mM NaCl and 10% glycerol. Proteins were bound to a HiTrap QFF anion exchange column (Pharmacia) and eluted with a linear gradient to 1 M NaCl. RNA polymerase holoenzyme was reconstituted by incubating core RNA polymerase with equimolar amounts of σ^{70} and σ^{38} at room temperature for 20 min.

**KMnO₄ footprinting**

Purified AatII–HindIII DNA fragments were derived from maxi preparations (using a Qiagen maxiprep kit) of plasmid pSR carrying the cbpA regulatory region or derivatives. Fragments were labelled at the HindIII-end using γ-[³²P]-ATP and polynucleotide kinase. Footprints were performed at 37°C as in our previous work (27). DNA fragments were used at a final concentration of 10–40 nM in buffer containing 20 mM Tris pH 7, 10 mM MgCl₂, 100 mM EDTA and 120 mM KCl. Footprints were analysed on a 6% DNA sequencing gel (Molecular Dynamics). The results of all footprints and EMSA experiments were visualized by exposing the dried gel against a Fuji phosphor screen and analysed using a phosphorimager and Quantity One software.

**In vitro transcription assays**

The in vitro transcription experiments were performed as described previously (28) using the system of Kolb et al. (23). A Qiagen maxiprep kit was used to purify supercoiled pSR plasmid carrying the cbpA regulatory region or derivatives. This template (16 µg/ml) was incubated in buffer containing 20 mM Tris pH 7.9, 5 mM MgCl₂, 500 µM DTT, 50 mM KCl, 100 µg/ml BSA, 200 µM ATP, 200 µM GTP, 200 µM CTP, 10 µM UTP with 5 µCi [α-³²P]-UTP. The reaction was started by adding purified E. coli Eσ^{70} and/or Eσ^{38}. Labelled RNA products were analysed on a denaturing polyacrylamide gel.

**β-Galactosidase assays**

DNA fragments containing the cbpA regulatory region or the locus for enterocyte effacement 1 (LEE1) promoter were cloned into pRW50 or pRW224 respectively to generate promoter: lacZ fusions. β-Galactosidase levels in cells carrying these recombinants were measured by the Miller (29) method. Activities are the average of three or more independent experiments. Cells were grown aerobically in LB media as described.

**DNA bending assays**

DNA fragments generated by PCR were separated by electrophoresis on a 7.5% polyacrylamide non-denaturing gel. Electrophoresis was performed at 4°C in TBE buffer. After electrophoresis, the gels were stained with ethidium bromide and DNA was visualized by UV illumination. The DNA fragments for this analysis were generated by PCR. Thus, the cbpA regulatory region cloned in plasmid pSR was amplified using pSR up and pSR down oligos (Table 2). The LEE1 promoter and derivatives were generated using the oligonucleotides shown in Table 2. Note that the upstream and downstream LEE oligos overlap and can thus be used to generate a double-stranded DNA product without template DNA.

**Modelling of DNA fragments in silico**

Changes in DNA bending were modelled computationally using the ‘model.it’ web server (http://hydra.icgeb.trieste.it/dna/model_it.html) using the default parameters (30). Predicted DNA structures were downloaded in pdb format and PyMOL was used to prepare figures.

**RESULTS**

**An E. coli gene regulatory region with overlapping promoters**

The aim of this study was to investigate the role of the RNA polymerase σ^{70} subunit in sensing the sequence of the promoter spacer. To facilitate this, we chose to work with a regulatory DNA region containing overlapping promoters, with a shared spacer region, but different σ factor specificity. Our logic was that DNA sequences in the spacer region that make selective interactions with σ^{70} should affect only one of the two promoters. Conversely, sequences that non-selectively stimulate transcription should affect both promoters. Thus, the E. coli cbpA regulatory region contains overlapping promoters for RNA polymerase associated with σ^{70} (Eσ^{70}) or σ^{38} (Eσ^{38}) (Figure 2A) (31,32). Note that, throughout this work, we use the Eσ^{70} transcription start site as the point of reference for numbering mutations in the cbpA regulatory region.

To confirm that the two cbpA promoters were truly specific for their cognate σ factor we performed KMnO₄ footprinting, which detects open complex formation by RNA polymerase. As expected, Eσ^{70} and Eσ^{38} produce different patterns of DNA opening that are offset (Figure 2B). We also found that Eσ^{70} had to be added at higher concentrations than Eσ^{38}, suggesting that the Eσ^{70} dependent promoter is less efficient. To further confirm the σ factor specificity of the two promoters, we utilized an in vitro transcription assay. Thus, an EcoRI–HindIII fragment carrying the cbpA regulatory region (illustrated in Figure 1A) was cloned into plasmid pSR. This places the two promoters upstream of the factor-independent λoop transcription terminator.
Transcription in vitro with either $\sigma^{38}$ or $\sigma^{70}$ produces a RNA product, which can be detected after electrophoresis. As expected, while $\sigma^{70}$ functions less efficiently than $\sigma^{38}$, it produces a longer transcript (Figure 2C). Note that, the plasmid pSR replication origin encodes the 108 base RNAI transcript that acts as an internal control.

Isolation and analysis of spacer region mutations that stimulate transcription

To investigate the role of the promoter spacer, we created a library of DNA fragments carrying the $cbpA$ regulatory region. The library was prepared so that only random single base mutations, introduced into the portion of the $cbpA$ spacer region shared by $\sigma^{70}$ and $\sigma^{38}$, were selected. Multiple base changes were not permitted in order to exclude spacer regions with completely altered properties (e.g. very A:T rich spacer sequences). The library of DNA fragments that we prepared was then cloned upstream of $lacZ$ in the plasmid pRW50 to create a library of $lacZ$ fusion plasmids. This library was used to transform Lac$^-$ JCB387 cells and transformants were plated on MacConkey agar medium. Note that, when fused to $lacZ$ in plasmid pRW50, the wild type $cbpA$ regulatory region stimulates only low levels of $lacZ$ expression. Thus, JCB387 cells transformed with this plasmid construct have a Lac$^-$ phenotype (i.e. appear white) on MacConkey indicator plates. This was also true for the majority of the 216 regulatory region derivatives screened from our library. However, 12 regulatory region derivatives resulted in a Lac$^+$ (red) phenotype. Colonies with a Lac$^+$ phenotype were purified by restreaking, levels of $lacZ$ expression were quantified using $\beta$-galactosidase assays, and finally the sequence of the $cbpA$ regulatory region insert was determined. The results of this analysis are displayed in Table 3. The data show that introduction of a T at promoter positions $-18$ and $-17$ (with respect to the $\sigma^{70}$ transcription start) had the biggest stimulatory effect on transcription and were most frequently isolated. The $-18A$ and $-17C$ mutations also stimulated transcription, but to a lesser extent. Interestingly, mutations that optimized the extended $-10$ element ($-14G$ and $-15T$) had the smallest stimulatory effect. Note that, our findings are largely consistent with the alignments presented in Figure 1A.

### Table 3. Spacer DNA mutations that increase the activity of the $cbpA$ regulatory region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>No. of isolates</th>
<th>$\beta$-Galactosidase activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-14G$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-15T$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-17C$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-17T$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-18T$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-18A$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table shows $\beta$-galactosidase activities obtained from overnight cultures of JCB387 cells carrying different $cbpA$: $lacZ$ fusions in plasmid pRW50. Mutations are numbered with respect to the $\sigma^{70}$ dependent $cbpA$ transcription start site (Figure 1A).

Mutations at position $-18$ stimulate transcription by $\sigma^{70}$

Of all the spacer region derivatives that we identified, the substitutions at position $-18$ had the biggest stimulatory effect on transcription. Thus, we next sought to determine whether the base sequence at position $-18$ affected both of the overlapping $cbpA$ promoters or specifically one of the two promoters. To do this, the EcoRI–HindIII fragments containing the wild type, $-18T$, or $-18A$ derivatives of the $cbpA$ regulatory region were cloned into plasmid pSR. We also used site directed mutagenesis to make a $-18C$ derivative of this construct. Transcription was then measured in vitro with either purified $\sigma^{70}$, or...
Figure 3. Mutations in the cbpA spacer region have differential effects on transcription by Eσ70 and Eσ38. (A) Effect of mutations at position −18 on transcription by Eσ38 and Eσ70 in vitro. The gel shows transcripts produced in vitro by Eσ38 and Eσ70 from the cbpA regulatory region and derivatives. (B) Activity of cbpA regulatory region derivatives in vivo. The bar chart shows β-galactosidase expression driven by different cbpA regulatory region derivatives, cloned in plasmid pRW50, in MC4100 and the rpoS::kan derivative. Values for ‘no promoter’ were obtained using pRW50 carrying no promoter insert. Assays were done using overnight cultures.

Effect of spacer region mutations on DNA bending

DNA fragments of equivalent length, but with different base sequences, can have different migration properties in native PAGE. These differences are due to changes in intrinsic DNA curvature. Thus, DNA fragments carrying the wild type, −18T, −18A and −18C, derivatives of the cbpA regulatory region were subjected to native PAGE analysis. The results confirm that these fragments have different mobility, consistent with altered DNA bending (Figure 4A). The wild-type DNA fragment was least mobile while the −18T derivative was most mobile. The −18A and −18C fragments had an intermediate mobility. Consistent with this, computational modelling of DNA topology for the different sequences predicted changes in conformation of the double helix centred around the −18 position (Figure 4B).

A σ70 side chain R451 is required to sense changes in spacer region sequence and conformation

Our data show that altering the sequence of the σ70-dependent cbpA promoter at position −18 alters intrinsic promoter activity. This is intriguing since the linker between domains 2 and 3 of σ70 is within 2Å of the DNA at promoter position −18 (12) (Figure 1B). Moreover, Fenton et al. (33) previously suggested that σ70 side chain R451, located in this linker, contacts the DNA upstream of the −10 element in the spacer region. Thus, we reasoned that changes in promoter conformation at position −18 might affect this σ70–DNA contact. To test this, we introduced alanine substitutions into the rpoD gene, encoded by plasmid pVRσ, at the positions highlighted in Figure 1B. The starting pVRσ plasmid and derivatives were then used to transform MC4100rpoS::kan cells carrying the −18T version of the cbpA regulatory region in plasmid pRW50. Most of the substitutions in rpoD had no effect (data not shown) but the RA451 substitution drastically reduced the activity of the −18T promoter [Figure 5A(ii)]. We could not test the effect of the RA451 mutation on transcription from the wild type cbpA regulatory region; Eσ70 drives hardly any LacZ
expression from this promoter (compare 'no promoter' and 'wt' in Figure 3B). Thus, as a control, we instead used a derivative of the cbpA regulatory region with an improved −10 element for Eσ70 (due to the substitutions −9A and −10T). As expected, the data show that RA451 Eσ70 is functional at the −9A−10T derivative of the cbpA regulatory region [(Figure 5A(ii)]. To confirm our observations we also measured transcription in vitro with purified Eσ70 or the RA451 derivative. The data confirm that RA451 Eσ70 is defective at the −18T promoter but not the −9A−10T promoter (Figure 5B).

Role of promoter position −18 and σ70 side chain R451 at the LEE1 promoter

We next sought to determine whether similar phenomena could be reproduced at different promoters. The E. coli O157 LEE1 promoter drives expression of genes in the locus for enterocyte effacement (LEE). A maximal level of transcription from the LEE1 promoter requires the GrlA transcriptional activator but substantial basal levels of transcription are observed in E. coli K-12 cells that do not encode grlA. Recently, Islam et al. (25) isolated a LEE1 promoter derivative with increased GrlA-independent activity due to a G to A substitution at promoter position −18 (Figure 6A). Thus, we investigated the possibility that σ70 side chain R451 might be important for mediating this effect. The data show that, with the starting LEE1 promoter, RA451 Eσ70 was not defective. In contrast, while the −18A LEE1 promoter had increased activity, this increase was lost with RA451 Eσ70 (Figure 6B). Computational (Figure 6Ci) and native PAGE analysis (Figure 6Cii) confirm that base substitutions at position −18 of the LEE1 promoter alter DNA bending.

A σ70 side chain R451 is conserved and required for optimal rates of cell division

Alignment of primary σ factors from different bacteria reveals that R451 is highly conserved, consistent with it playing a key role in RNA polymerase function (13). We found that E. coli cells transformed with pVRs, carrying the RA451 rpoD allele, displayed a growth defect (Figure 7). Thus, σ70 RA451 must be able to compete with wt σ70 (chromosomally encoded) for binding to core RNA polymerase. Once bound to RNA polymerase defects in DNA binding, presumably result in disrupted patterns of gene expression and thus impede growth.

DISCUSSION

Bacterial promoters have been the subject of intense investigation for decades. Recently, several studies have focused on the role of the promoter spacer sequence in controlling transcription. Thus, Liu et al. (18) showed that an 8bp sequence upstream of the −10 element
could stimulate transcription from the lac promoter. Similarly, Hook-Barnard and Hinton (19) showed that region 1.1 of $\sigma^{70}$ was important for mediating the effects of changes in spacer region sequence. Here, we show that there is a clear T>A>C>G preference at promoter position $-18$, on the basis of promoter sequence alignments (Figure 1A) and biochemical/genetic experiments with three different E. coli promoters (Table 3, Figure 6, Supplementary Figure S2). Scrutiny of the literature reveals many other consistent observations. For example, Busby et al. (34) showed that a G>A substitution at position $-18$ stimulated transcription from a modified gal P2 promoter. At the gapA1 promoter a T>G substitution at position $-18$ reduces promoter activity 3-fold both in vivo and in vitro (35). The 8bp motif identified by Liu et al. (18) has a T at position $-18$ that is essential for activity. Furthermore, Mitchell et al. (10) showed a preference for 5'-TTT-3' trinucleotide sequences to be centred at position $-18$. Similar observations have also been made at the rrnB P1 promoter (36) and the dps promoter (Supplementary Figure S2). We note that the contribution of the base sequence at promoter position $-18$ may be dependent on overall promoter strength, conformation, and could also be influenced by transcriptional regulatory proteins. Thus, while it is unlikely that the base sequence at promoter position $-18$ plays a central role at all promoters, there are clearly numerous instances where it is important.

Structural and biochemical studies show that the loop between domains 2 and 3 of $\sigma^{70}$ is correctly positioned to contact position $-18$ of the promoter non-template strand (12,37). Siebenlist and Gilbert (15) reported ‘extensive’ RNA polymerase contacts with the DNA in this region of the phage T7 A3 promoter. Similar observations have been made at the lacUV5 and the lac17 promoters (14,16). Side chain R451 of $\sigma^{70}$ is proximal to the DNA backbone at position $-18$ and Fenton et al. (33) showed that an RS451 substitution abolished the promoter DNA binding activity of RNA polymerase in vitro. It was concluded that the R451–DNA interaction was non-specific. Our data do not exclude the possibility of a non-specific interaction with the DNA backbone. Indeed, we suggest that changes in DNA conformation centred at position $-18$ (Figure 4) can modulate the R451–DNA backbone contact, giving rise to pseudo sequence specificity. The extreme deleterious effect of the RS451 substitution constructed by Fenton et al. (33) may result from introduction of a polar serine side chain adjacent to the DNA backbone. Consistent with this, $\sigma^{70}$ subunits with the RA451 substitution are still functional (Figures 5 and 6). In summary, this work describes a mechanism via which RNA polymerase can sense changes in the sequence and conformation of the
promoter spacer region. We note that our data also show how the sequence of the spacer region can play a key role in allowing RNA polymerase to differentiate between overlapping promoters (Figure 3). Intriguingly, the mutations that we have characterized at position –18 are only one-half a helical turn away from sites of DNaseI and singlet oxygen hypersensitivity that occur at –23 and –24 in open complexes (38).

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Data are available at NAR Online.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Steve Busby, Nikolay Zenkin, Joseph Wade and Lars Westblade for helpful discussions. We thank Shahid Islam for providing materials and data prior to publication.

FUNDING

Wellcome Trust Research Career Development Fellowship (to D.C.G.); Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (He1556/12-1 to R.H.). Funding for open access charge: The Wellcome Trust.

Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

REFERENCES


