

University of Warwick institutional repository: http://go.warwick.ac.uk/wrap

This paper is made available online in accordance with publisher policies. Please scroll down to view the document itself. Please refer to the repository record for this item and our policy information available from the repository home page for further information.

To see the final version of this paper please visit the publisher's website. Access to the published version may require a subscription.

Author(s): C. B. J. Lesmana, Niko Tiliopoulos and Leslie J. Francis Article Title: The Internal Consistency Reliability of the Santosh-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Hinduism among Balinese Hindus Year of publication: 2011

Link to published article:

http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/s11407-011-9108-5

Publisher statement: The original publication is available at

www.springerlink.com

# The internal consistency reliability of the Santosh-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Hinduism among Balinese Hindus

# C. B. J. Lesmana

Udayana University, Denpasar, Indonesia

Niko Tiliopoulos

The University of Sydney, Australia

Leslie J. Francis\*

The University of Warwick, UK

Author note:
\*Corresponding author:
Leslie J Francis
Warwick Religions & Education Research Unit
Institute of Education
The University of Warwick
Coventry CV4 7AL United Kingdom

Tel: +44 (0)24 7652 2539 Fax: +44 (0)24 7657 2638

Email: leslie.francis@warwick.ac.uk

## **Abstract**

The present paper intends to make a contribution to the empirical psychology of religion among Hindus. The Santosh-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Hinduism was originally developed and tested among Hindu affiliates living in the United Kingdom and subsequently tested among Hindu affiliates from the Bunt caste in South India. In the present study this instrument was completed by 309 Balinese Hindus (159 males and 150 females). The data support the internal construct reliability of the scale in this context ( $\alpha$  = .83) and commend the instrument for wider application for research in the field of the psychology of religion within the Hindu community.

## Introduction

Empirical quantitative studies within the psychology of religion remain largely concentrated within the Christian tradition, as has been well illustrated by recent reviews of the instruments on which such studies draw (Hill & Hood, 1999; Cutting & Walsh, 2008). Working within this framework, Francis (1978a, 1978b) introduced the Francis Scale of Attitude toward Christianity with an open invitation for colleagues to adopt this instrument as the basis for coordinating a range of disparate studies, and thereby for constructing a robust empirically-based body of knowledge. By the mid 1990s Kay and Francis (1996) were able to draw together findings from over a hundred studies that had used this instrument. A more recent review by Francis (2009) mapped the continuing development of this body of research, including translations of the Francis Scale of Attitude toward Christianity in Arabic (Munayer, 2000), Czech (Francis, Quesnell, & Lewis, in press), Chinese (Francis, Lewis, & Ng, 2002), Dutch (Francis & Hermans, 2000), French (Lewis & Francis, 2003), German (Francis & Kwiran, 1999; Francis, Ziebertz, & Lewis, 2002), Greek (Youtika, Joseph, & Diduca, 1999), Norwegian (Francis & Enger, 2002), Portugese (Ferreira & Neto, 2002), Romanian (Francis, Ispas, Robbins, Ilie, & Iliescu, 2009), Slovenian (Flere, Klanjsek, Francis, & Robbins, 2008), Spanish (Campo-Arias, Oviedo, Dtaz, & Cogollo, 2006), Swedish (Eek, 2001), and Welsh (Evans & Francis, 1996; Francis & Thomas, 2003).

In order to extend this body of research within communities shaped by other faith traditions, the basic principles underpinning the Francis Scale of Attitude toward Christianity have been translated for application within Jewish contexts by the Katz-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Judaism (Francis & Katz, 2007), within Islamic contexts by the Sahin-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Islam (Sahin & Francis, 2002), and within Hindu contexts by the Santosh-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Hinduism (Francis, Santosh, Robbins, & Vij, 2008).

Francis, Santosh, Robbins, and Vij (2008) document how the Santosh-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Hinduism was developed and tested among 330 Hindu young people, between the ages of 12 and 35, attending the Hindu Youth Festival 2001 in London.

According to this study, the internal consistency reliability of the scale was demonstrated by an alpha coefficient of 0.89, while the proportion of variance accounted for by the first factor proposed by principle components analysis was established at 33%. In a second study,

Tiliopoulos, Francis, and Slattery 2010) checked the psychometric properties of the Santosh-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Hinduism among 100 Hindus from the Bunt caste in the South Indian State of Karnataka. According to this study, the internal consistency reliability of the scale was demonstrated by an alpha coefficient of 0.91, while the proportion of variance accounted for by the first factor proposed by principle component analysis was established at 42%.

Against this background, the aim of the present study is to assess the scale properties of the Santosh-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Hinduism in Bali, given the highly distinctive nature of Balinese Hinduism. This form of Hinduism, although it originates from India, was primarily developed in Java and has been largely influenced by Buddhism and the Balinese animistic pre-Hindu beliefs (Jensen & Suryani, 1992).

# Method

# **Sample**

A total of 309 Balinese Hindus participated in the study (150 females and 159 males), of whom 105 were university students and 204 were community based individuals. The sample's mean age was 22.7 years (SD = 5.7 years, range 14 to 43 years)

# Measures

The Santosh-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Hinduism proposes 19 items concerned with an affective response toward the Hindu faith. Each item is assessed on a five-point Likert-type

scale: agree strongly, agree, not certain, disagree, disagree strongly. The instrument contains three reverse-scored items, with higher scores indicating more positive attitudes.

Frequency of prayer and frequency of temple attendance were measured separately on two six-point scales: never or almost never (1), through weekly but not every day (4), to three times per day (6).

# **Procedure**

Sampling took place between August and December 2008. The community-based participants were recruited through snowball sampling; the university students were undergraduates in the faculties of Medicine, and Maths and Science at Udayana University in Denpasar. In order to be included in the study, participants had to identify themselves as Balinese Hindu and be proficient in English. Participants completed the survey in the presence of one of the study's authors who is a psychiatrist and a Balinese Hindu, and who was able to answer questions, as well as brief and debrief them about the aims of the study in Indonesian.

## **Results and discussion**

Table 1 presents the item-rest-of-test correlations and the factor loadings on the unrotated solution proposed by principle component analysis for the 19 items of the Santosh-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Hinduism, together with the alpha coefficient and the percentage of variance explained by the factor. Both sets of statistics support the conclusion that the scale is characterised by homogeneity, unidimensionality and internal consistency reliability within the sample. The alpha coefficient is established as 0.83, while the proportion of variance accounted for by the first factor is established as 31%.

# - Insert table 1 about here -

These indices of homogeneity are, however, somewhat lower than those recorded by the two earlier studies conducted in England and in India. Closer inspection of the individual items indicates that in this study the three reverse-scored items detract from the performance of the scale. Given the high alpha coefficient it is nevertheless worth retaining these items in order to retain comparability with the application of the instrument in other contexts.

Table 2 presents the correlations between scores recorded on the Santosh-Francis

Scale of Attitude toward Hinduism, frequency of prayer, frequency of attendance at temple,
sex, age and marital status. The positive correlation between attitude scores and prayer
frequency supports the construct validity of the attitude scale on the grounds that a positive
attitude supports personal religious practice. The independence of attitude scores and
frequency of temple attendance is consistent with the views that within Balinese Hinduism the
temple tends largely to serve a social function (extrinsic religiosity) rather than as intrinsically
religious function and that the attitudinal dimension of religion is associated with intrinsic
religiosity, rather than with extrinsic religiosity (Francis & Orchard, 1999; Hills & Francis,
2003; Lesmana & Tiliopoulos, 2009). The correlations between attitude scores and the

-Insert table 2 about here-

demographic variables demonstrate that among Balinese Hindus a more positive attitude toward their religion is associated with being female, being older, and being married.

## Conclusion

The present study has explored the scale properties of the Santosh-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Hinduism among a sample of 309 Balinese Hindus, building on earlier studies that had already established the scale properties of this instrument in England (Francis, Santosh, Robbins, & Vij, 2008) and in India (Tiliopoulos, Francis, & Slattery, 2010). The data support the unidimensionality and internal consistency reliability of this instrument. The scale can, therefore, be recommended for further use in Bali and should lead to valuable cross-cultural comparisons in an empirical psychology of religion. A connected programme of empirical research employing this instrument within a range of Hindu contexts would be capable of building up a secure knowledge about the empirical correlates of attitude toward

Hinduism, comparable with the knowledge generated by the programme of research using Francis' original instrument within a Christian context, and the subsequent programmes of research established within Jewish and Islamic contexts.

## References

- Campo-Arias, A., Oviedo, H. C., Dtaz, C. F., & Cogollo, Z. (2006). Internal consistency of a Spanish translation of the Francis Scale of Attitude toward Christianity short form.

  \*Psychological Reports, 99, 1008-1010.
- Cutting, M., & Walsh, M. (2008). eligiosity scales: What are we measuring in whom?

  Archive for the Psychology of Religion, 30, 137-153.
- Eek, J. (2001). Religious facilitation through intense liturgical participation: A quasiexperimental study of Swedish pilgrims to Taizé. Lund: University of Lund Studies in Psychology of Religion.
- Evans, T. E., & Francis, L. J. (1996). Measuring attitude toward Christianity through the medium of Welsh. In L. J. Francis, W. K. Kay, & W. S. Campbell (Eds.), *Research in religious education* (pp. 279-294). Leominster: Fowler Wright Books.
- Ferreira, V., & Neto, F. (2002). Psychometric properties of the Francis Scale of Attitude toward Christianity among Portuguese university students. *Psychological Reports*, *91*, 995-998.
- Flere, S., Klanjsek, R., Francis, L. J., & Robbins, M. (2008). The psychometric properties of the Slovenian translation of the Francis scale of Attitude toward Christianity in a study among Roman Catholic undergraduate students. *Journal of Beliefs and Values*, 29, 313-319.
- Francis, L. J. (1978a). Attitude and longitude: A study in measurement. *Character Potential*, 8, 119-130.
- Francis, L. J. (1978b). Measurement reapplied: Research into the child's attitude towards religion. *British Journal of Religious Education*, *1*, 45-51.
- Francis, L. J. (2009). Comparative empirical research in religion: Conceptual and operational challenges within empirical theology. In L.J. Francis, M. Robbins and J. Astley (eds),

- Empirical theology in texts and tables: Qualitative, quantitative and comparative perspectives (pp 127-152). Leiden: Brill.
- Francis, L. J., & Enger, T. (2002). The Norwegian translation of the Francis Scale of Attitude toward Christianity. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, 43, 363-367.
- Francis, L. J., & Hermans, C. A. M. (2000). Internal consistency reliability and construct validity of the Dutch translation of the Francis scale of Attitude toward Christianity among adolescents. *Psychological Reports*, 86, 301-307.
- Francis, L.J., Ispas, D., Robbins, M., Ilie, A., & Iliescu, D. (2009). The Romanian translation of the Francis Scale of Attitude toward Christianity: Internal consistency reliability, re-test reliability, and construct validity among undergraduate students within a Greek Orthodox culture. *Pastoral Psychology*, *58*, 49-54.
- Francis, L. J., & Katz, Y. J. (2007). Measuring attitude toward Judaism: The internal consistency reliability of the Katz-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Judaism. *Mental Health, Religion and Culture*, 10, 309-324.
- Francis, L. J., & Kwiran, M. (1999). Werthaltungen (einstellungen) gegenüber dem christentum bei deutschen heranwachsenden: Die francis-skala. *Braunschweiger Beiträge*, 89(3), 50-54.
- Francis, L. J., Lewis, C. A., & Ng, P. (2002). Assessing attitude toward Christianity among Chinese speaking adolescents in Hong Kong: The Francis scale. *North American Journal of Psychology*, *4*, 431-440.
- Francis, L. J. & Orchard, A. (1999). The relationship between the Francis Scale of Attitude toward Christianity and measures of intrinsic, extrinsic and quest religiosity, *Pastoral Psychology*, 47, 365-371.
- Francis, L. J., Quesnell, M., & Lewis, C.A. (in press). Assessing attitude toward Christianity among adolescents in the Czech Republic: the Francis Scale. *Irish Journal of*

Psychology.

- Francis, L. J., Santosh, R., Robbins, M., & Vij, S. (2008). Assessing attitude toward

  Hinduism: The Santosh-Francis Scale. *Mental Health, Religion and Culture*, 11, 609-621.
- Francis, L. J., & Thomas, E. M. (2003). The reliability and validity of the Francis Scale of Attitude toward Christianity among Welsh speaking 9-11 year olds. *The Psychologist in Wales*, *16*, 9-14.
- Francis, L. J., Ziebertz, H. G., & Lewis, C. A. (2002). The psychometric properties of the Francis Scale of Attitude toward Christianity among German students. *Panorama*, *14*, 153-162.
- Hills, P., & Francis, L.J. (2003). Discriminant validity of the Francis Scale of Attitude towardChristianity with respect to religious orientation, *Mental Health, Religion and Culture*,6, 277-282.
- Hill, P. C., & Hood, R. W. (Eds.) (1999). *Measures of religiosity*. Birmingham, Alabama: Religious Education Press.
- Jensen, G. D., & Suryani, L.K. (1992). *The Balinese people: A reinvestiagation of character*.

  Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Kay, W. K., & Francis, L. J. (1996). *Drift from the churches: Attitude toward Christianity during childhood and adolescence*. Cardiff: University of Wales Press.
- Lesmana, C.B.J., & Tiliopoulos, N. (2009). Schizotypal personality traits and attitudes toward Hinduism among Balinese Hindus. *Mental Health, Religion & Culture, 12*, 773-785.
- Lewis, C. A., & Francis, L. J. (2003). Evaluer l'attitude d'étudiantes universitaires françaises à l'égard du Christianisme: L'Echelle de Francis. *Sciences Pastorals*, 22, 179-190.

- Munayer, S. J. (2000). *The ethic identity of Palestinian Arab Christian adolescents in Israel*.

  Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Wales (Oxford Centre for Mission Studies).
- Sahin, A. & Francis, L. J. (2002). Assessing attitude toward Islam among Muslim adolescents: The psychometric properties of the Sahin-Francis scale. *Muslim Education Quarterly*, 19(4), 35-47.
- Tiliopoulos, N., Francis, L. J., & Slattery, M. (2010). The internal consistency reliability of the Santosh-Francis Scale of Attitude toward Hinduism among Bunts in South India.

  North American Journal of Psychology, 12, 185-190.
- Youtika, A., Joseph, S., & Diduca, D. (1999). Personality and religiosity in a Greek Christian Orthodox sample. *Mental Health, Religion and Culture*, 2, 71-74.

Table 1

Scale of attitude toward Hinduism: Item-rest-of-test correlations, factor loadings, and item endorsement

	r	factor loading	agree %
I find it hard to believe in God*	.11	.12	18
Spirituality is important in my life	.52	.68	95
I have a close relationship with God	.47	.53	70
I find it easy to understand Hinduism	.36	.41	67
I think Hindu rituals are a waste of time*	.27	.29	13
Knowing about the law of Karma helps me to lead a better life	.55	.61	95
I am happy to be a Hindu	.54	.65	97
My religion helps me to lead a better life	.66	.78	95
I find Hindu scriptures inspiring	.63	.73	78
It is easy to understand Hindu rituals	.41	.48	56
I benefit from attending services, prayer meetings or places of worship	.58	.69	80
Prayer helps me a lot	.59	.71	94
I am religious	.43	.50	72
Reincarnation gives me hope	.26	.33	80
It is important for me to practise my religion/spiritual beliefs	.63	.70	91
In my experience meditation does have a positive impact	.46	.58	77
I have noticed the benefits of practising yoga	.41	.52	58
I think Hindu scriptures are out of date*	.23	.23	7
Hinduism is relevant in the modern world	.53	.61	90
Alpha % variance	.83	31.4%	

Note \* these items are reverse coded to compute the item-rest-of-test correlations and factor loadings.

Table 2

Correlation matrix

	sex	age	married	prayer	temple
Attitude	.15**	.32***	.19**	.35***	.06
Temple	.15**	21**	17**	.38***	
Prayer	.19***	01	05		
Married	.03	.75***			
Age	.04				

Note \* = p < .05; \*\* = p < .01; \*\*\* = p < .001