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Abstract of Thesis

The aim of this thesis is to show that a familiar hermeneutical movement from suspicion to affirmation of rational meaning, as a reader reflects on a narrative, is, in part, grounded in the narrative's rhythmic structure which mediates a sonorous condition of being appropriated by the reader.

This hermeneutical process involves the reader in appropriating the temporal perspective (or the 'implied author's' or Other's viewpoint) which creates a 'space' for reflection in which a provisional conceptual unity is made possible, but subject to continuing movement from suspicion to affirmation. It is shown that this relationship between Self and Other is dialectical, and mediated by the textual modes of metaphor and narrative. Particular examples of poetry and prose are examined, and the story of Abraham's sacrifice of Isaac in the 'Authorised Version' of the Bible is analyzed in detail in an attempt to show how the reader, imaginatively inhabiting the world of the text, is involved in a process in which there is an 'instant' of letting go Self reflection; and there is affirmation of reasonable hope that the narrative may be rationally understood. In an attempt to address the critical issue of validation of rational meaning to show that affirmation may be given reasonable hope, the analogy of juridical legality is examined, particularly with respect to Aristotle's notion of phronesis.

The analysis draws upon the hermeneutical philosophy of Paul Ricoeur with particular regard to his theories of metaphor and narrative, and the role of the creative imagination. It also makes use of Maurice Merleau-Ponty's understanding of the lived body in terms of a dialectical relationship between its material objectivity and its phenomenological aspects, especially, with respect to sonorous being and corporeal intentionality. From the discipline of literary criticism, Northrop Frye's notion of prose rhythm in his Anatomy of Criticism is employed to identity this key mediatory characteristic.
Introduction

The more self-forgetful the listener is, the more deeply is what he listens to impressed upon his memory. When the rhythm of a work has seized him, he listens to the tales in such a way that the gift of retelling them comes to him all by itself.


In his book *Oneself as Another*, based upon his 1968 Gifford Lectures given at the University of Edinburgh, Paul Ricoeur refers to Walter Benjamin's essay entitled 'The Storyteller' in which he recalls that 'the art of story telling is the art of exchanging *experiences*'. It is, according to Benjamin, the decline of this art, which he laments, that is manifested in the earliest symptom of the rise of the novel at the beginning of modern times. In contrasting these two art forms, he identifies a significant difference in a confrontation of novel and story in the slogans, 'meaning of life' and 'moral of the story'. Drawing upon the work of Georg Lukács, he claims that the quest of the novelist is to grasp the temporal unity, or 'meaning of life'.

From the philosophical perspective of this thesis, Benjamin's view of the decline of storytelling may be seen to coincide with the breakdown and fragmentation of temporal unity and 'meaning of life' of the grand narratives which set the scene for the storyteller until the beginning of modern times in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries. The art of exchanging experiences in the craft of writing then becomes in-formed by the quest for the 'meaning of life' around which the narrative moves. There is an issue here, according to Benjamin, that the storyteller 'takes what he tells from experience', whereas the novelist is a solitary individual who carries 'the incommensurable to extremes in the representation of human life.' The issue may be looked at in terms of the relationship between fantasy and reality in the art of creative writing. It is an issue of particular importance with respect to the role played by the novel and other forms of narrative in the quest for the affirmation of the meaning of life in a continuing process of interpretation of
experience against an open horizon of infinite possibilities of meaning. That is, affirmation with unconstrained questioning and explanations. This issue will be taken up in the analysis developed in this thesis.

The aim of this thesis is to focus upon what may be discerned as a common element in the oral tradition of storytelling and written narratives. The above quotation relates the significance, according to Benjamin, of 'the rhythm of a work' in relation to memory and the retelling of stories. It is in the ability to listen to the story in terms of self-forgetfulness and being seized by a deep rhythm that the storyteller is able to memorize and consequently develop the art of exchanging experiences. It may be understood as a rhythm of the listener's state of being as he or she actively responds to the story in an imaginative grasp in a moment of self-forgetfulness. There is a profound rhythmic resonance whereby the listener's memory is imprinted with the experience of the story. Analogously, the reader may be understood to be rhythmically disturbed in relation to the text. In this sense, the written text may be considered analogous to the memory of the storyteller. That is, the ontological rhythm is mediated by the rhythmic structure and patterns of the text. To this end, the particular aim of this thesis is to develop a notion of prose rhythm which is taken from Northrop Frye's Anatomy of Criticism.

In developing the analysis with respect to this notion, the purpose is to show that prose rhythm is the mediation of an ontological foundation of what will be called sonorous being by which there may be an affirmation of temporal unity and 'meaning of life' in relation to unconstrained questioning and provisional explanations. In Ricoeur's terms, an affirmation of meaning founded upon an affirmation of the reader's self-identity within a process of a 'hermeneutics of suspicion'. For this reason, the purpose of the analysis may be seen to relate to the view that written
narratives may play a significant role in the affirmation of self-identity in the continuing process of interpreting and sharing experiences in the contemporary world of fragmentation and dissemination. It is an analysis set within a hermeneutical philosophical perspective drawing upon the work of Paul Ricoeur.

The aim of the first three chapters is to analyze the poetic/narrative mode of the text, in contrast to the rational, discursive mode, drawing upon Ricoeur's theories of metaphor and narrative as a basis, in the following chapters, for identifying *prose rhythm* as a key characteristic of the objective nature of the text. To show that it is not simply the rhythms of intonation and emphasis of individual readers but the structural stress patterns that are intrinsically part of the text. To this end, the analysis in these initial chapters begins to address the problematic of unity with respect to the notion of 'presence' in order to identify the fundamental role played by sound in relation to image, and developed in later chapters as *prose rhythm* with respect to metaphor and narrative.

The examination of the notion of 'presence' in the phenomenological and ontological philosophies of Husserl and Heidegger, with particular reference to the contrasting critical perspectives of Derrida and Ricoeur, aims to show that, in this context, the problem of 'presence' is not fundamentally concerned with a question of conceptual unity with respect to the meaning of particular words, but with the relationship between the figurative characteristics of metaphor and narrative, and the semantic structures of sentence and discourse. That is, it is the reader's imaginative grasp of the figuration of the text in relation to reflection upon the narrative which must be examined in addressing the question of 'presence'. This grasp, it will be shown, is the mediation of a grounding of the relationship in a rhythmic, sonorous condition of being. It is a
relationship which Ricoeur terms a *primordial dialectic*, first used in his theory of metaphor, and developed in his theory of narrative between the world of the narrative in terms of its textual configuration and the self-identity of the reader in terms of rational description and explanation. It is an attempt to show that the question of 'presence' is not fundamentally a question of conceptual unity but the unity of the world which the reader imaginatively appropriates and inhabits, and that sonority and rhythm play a key role in this notion of unity.

Drawing upon Ricoeur's theories, the thesis is concerned to show how metaphor and narrative may be analyzed in terms of the objective textual mediatory characteristics of a figurative and semantic dialectic. Further, to show that the figurative being grounded in symbolic structures which mediate activity in terms of its ontological roots, and that sonority and rhythm are essential features of this activity. Analogously to participating in liturgical activity with symbols playing an ontological mediatory role, the reader imaginatively participates in the active world of the text by means of the symbolic rhythmic structures of metaphor and narrative. Unity, or presence is achieved in the rhythmic drive in the move to inhabit the world of the text. But the movement is dialectically related to a continuing process of interpretation with respect to reflection upon the semantic structures of the text, which is a 'hermeneutics of suspicion'. Therefore, the question of the possibility of affirmation through the mediation of sound and rhythm must take account of 'suspicion' which cannot be suspended.

But what is the nature of this 'rhythm', particularly regarding the question of the relationship between 'affirmation' and 'suspicion'? Chapters four, five and six are devoted to an attempt to develop the notion of *prose rhythm* by identifying and addressing four ontological issues. The first issue is concerned with the way the reader appropriates the viewpoint, or perspective, of the
world of the narrative. How does the reader imaginatively become immersed in the narrative to participate in that world? How does he grasp the unity of that world in terms of its temporality and identity? These questions are focused, in addressing the first issue, upon the relationship between ontological unity and sound. In relation to the former, the analysis will draw upon Ricoeur's notion of narrative identity, and with respect to the latter an attempt is made to develop the analysis by the introduction of the notion of *prose rhythm*. Literary examples are given to illustrate the role of rhythm in poetry and narrative, based on the development of the philosophical analysis drawing also upon Maurice Merleau-Ponty's notion of *phonic gesture* as an important feature of the 'lived' body. This is an attempt to show the way in which the reader's grasp of the narrative identity is rooted in an ontological sonority which is mediated through the 'lived' body. The body must be understood phenomenologically in the way it is consciously mediated at different levels, and objectively in terms of its physical materiality. In other words, ontological sonority as the fundamental characteristic of the reader's grasp of ontological unity in terms of narrative identity is mediated in the rhythmic resonance of the physical body, and phenomenologically mediated in rhythmic sound patterns.

The first issue of ontological unity and narrative identity is pursued in terms of the second with respect to Merleau-Ponty's notion of *corporeal intentionality*. The concern is to relate the work of Ricoeur in *Time and Narrative*, in which he analyzes the process of grasping the world of the narrative in terms of *mimetic configuration*, with a corporeal understanding of intentionality. Furthermore, to show that this intentionality involves a dialectic between what Merleau-Ponty calls, *particular* and *operative* intentionalities. That is, with respect to reading, the attention is focused upon particular parts of the text in the process of grasping the meaning, the unity of the narrative. But this particular attention is made possible by the background of the unfolding world
which the reader imaginatively inhabits but of which he is not directly conscious. These are two levels of dialectically related intentionalities. In relation to the mediatory textual characteristics of rhythm, *prose rhythm* is shown to be the mediation of *operative* intentionality with the rhythmic characteristic of the *iconic* focus of metaphor being the mediation of *particular* intentionality. The significance of the latter being that it is the concrete nature of metaphor and, according to Ricoeur's interactive theory, its power of redescription in terms of new meaning that captures the reader's attention. Again literary examples are given to illustrate the dynamic process of *corporeal intentionality*.

As proposed above, the grasping of the narrative by the reader is a dialectical process between the reader and the world of the narrative; between self reflection and the temporal perspective of the narrative. In becoming immersed in the story, the reader's self-identity is disturbed in an imaginative appropriation of the narrative identity. It is a process of habitation which disturbs the reader's state of being. The aim is to show that, as with listening to a story which Benjamin claims is being seized by the rhythm of the story, this disturbance of the reader's state of being is rhythmic in a profoundly ontological sense, and is the grounding of the *primordial dialectic* in *sonorous being*. For this reason, the mediation of this rhythmic sonority in the textual characteristics of the narrative must be evident in the structural rhythmic texture of the poetic/narrative mode. Chapter five is devoted to providing this evidence in addressing the third ontological issue which is the relationship of *sonorous being* to the *primordial dialectic*.

An attempt is made to analyze poetry in terms of the variety of rhythmical beat, and to show that, for the most part, although *prose rhythm* is essentially based upon stress patterns, there is not a dichotomy between these two forms. That is, they are part of a rhythmic spectrum which may be
discerned in prose narratives, particularly in the relationship between metaphor as the textual focus of attention and the discursive, descriptive passages which, to a great extent, form the background in the reader's grasp of the narrative. In this sense, poetic rhythm relates to the metaphorical iconic focus, and *prose rhythm* to the background of the imaginative world of the text. The latter may resonate beyond the reader's conscious attention in his physical body and state of being. The rhythmic beat of the former is the dynamic of the reader's grasp of the iconic focus of unity, the grasp of the metaphor's concrete image, which vehemently generates a new meaning to provoke the reader's self reflection. By an examination of textual rhythm in a number of examples, an attempt is made to show that the rhythmic structure of the text may be seen to mediate the ontological grounding of the *primordial dialectic* in the relationship between the metaphorical rhythmic beat and the stress patterns of *prose rhythm*. As part of this examination, it is also shown that textual rhythm mediates emotional states, activity and - significantly with respect to rhythmic anticipation - the mediation of temporality.

The issue of presence, which is the theme of the first three chapters, forms part of the analysis of the third ontological issue in relation to appearance. The question is, how does the rhythmic texture mediate the *primordial dialectic* of *sonorous being*? How does *sonorous being* appear? The aim is to develop the work of Heidegger and Ricoeur with regard to the ontological notion of within-timeness, with some acknowledgement of Heidegger's later seminal notion of the 'rift' as the appearance of the figure. The key question is, how does being appear without being grounded in an unquestioned transcendental subjectivity. Or rather how can being appear if its unity, its meaning, is not open to an horizon of continuing interpretation? Does not transcendental subjectivity inhibit such a hermeneutical process by being fundamentally unquestioned? An endeavour is made to show that Ricoeur and Heidegger have not gone far
enough in addressing this key question, and that the rhythmic structure of the text in particular provides the way of doing this. It is because *prose rhythm* resonates at the 'edge' and beyond consciousness that it evades the criticism that it is tied to transcendental subjectivity. The appearance of *sonorous being* in terms of its *primordial dialectic* therefore is mediated in the textual rhythmic structure.

Chapter six is a further development of the *primordial dialectic* with respect to the fourth ontological issue which is the understanding of this dialectic in terms of Self and Other. Here the philosophical notion of 'Other' is used to refer to narrative identity, and particularly to what Ricoeur calls the 'who' of the narrative. The aim is to analyze the relationship of the reader to the viewpoint, or temporal perspective of the narrative in terms of a dialectical relationship between the reader's Self, and the Other-than-Self of the narrative. That is, the reader's imaginative grasp and habitation of the Other's viewpoint, of a different and new meaning which may be affirmed and yet never be free from questioning. In other words, the dialectic between Self and Other in the process of habitation is a dialectic of affirmation and suspicion. To this end, the analysis draws upon the work of Ricoeur, particularly in *Oneself as Another*, and Emmanuel Levinas. With respect to the latter's rejection of any possibility of comprehending the Other, an attempt is made to show that the aim is not to affirm an understanding of the Other but to appropriate the perspective of the Other. The reader appropriates the viewpoint of the Other, the 'who' of the narrative, and does not attempt to face the Other in an act of comprehension.

In this analysis of the dialectic between Self and Other, an attempt is made to investigate the way in which the rhythmic structures of a text may mediate the ontological sonority of the reader's grasp and habitation of Another perspective which is seemingly incomprehensible and therefore
beyond the reach of any act of affirmation. This particularly refers to tragedy and the apparently absurd perspectives which seem to defy any attempt at understanding of the singularity of a particular viewpoint. An example of the latter which has occupied, among others, the thoughts of Kierkegaard and Derrida, is the Biblical narrative of Abraham's sacrifice of his son Isaac. In a detailed analysis of the text, an attempt is made to demonstrate how the rhythmic structures of the 'Authorized Version' of the Bible may be seen to mediate a dialectic of Self and Other in terms of affirmation and suspicion. That is, the text may be shown to mediate a sonorous resonance in the act of reading whereby the reader is driven to affirm a provisional meaning which possesses a teleological dynamic which gives to the reader a conviction of reasonable hope to persist in a continuing process of interpretation.

Following the examination of the notion of presence in relation to the sonorous characteristics of metaphor and narrative, in the first three chapters, in showing that it is the reader's condition of being which is the grounding of that presence in terms of meaning, and then the attempt in the next three chapters to identify and analyze the critically related ontological issues, the concluding two chapters focus upon two principal features of the analysis which become the main themes of chapters seven and eight. More than anything else, the reader's grasp of the narrative and habitation of its world is a work of the imagination. It is the creative imagination which generates a hermeneutical process in which the reader is responsive to a horizon of meaning provoking self reflection and a redescription of self identity. Chapter seven is devoted to an analysis of the creative imagination by first looking at the way Ricoeur draws upon and re-interprets Kant's notion of the productive imagination in his Critique of Judgment. An attempt is then made, using Ricoeur's understanding of this notion, to develop the analysis of this thesis to show that the dynamic of the creative imagination is grounded in the 'lived' body and sonorous being.
In conclusion, the second principal feature of the analysis is addressed in chapter eight. It relates to the issue, mentioned at the beginning of this introduction, of the relationship between fantasy and reality. With respect to the role of the creative imagination, is there a way of affirming the meaning of the narrative in terms of reasonably opening the reader to new perspectives of understanding the world and himself? Is there a way of reasonably validating such meaning in contrast to the imaginative flights of fantasy? If written narratives may indeed play a key role in the quest for meaning in a world of fragmentation and dissemination, is it possible that there may be *reasonable hope* that such meaning may be affirmed in the historical context of unconstrained questioning and provisional explanations. Or is it inevitable that, in this sense, *reasonable hope* must be abandoned in favour of pragmatic conventionality? In the final chapter, validation as the basis of *reasonable hope* is analyzed in terms of Ricoeur's notion of attestation as the basis of a conviction of probability. That is, attestation analogous to juridical witness and testimony, and evaluated by practical wisdom as specified through the Aristotelean notion of *phronēsis*. It is the aim to show that attestation and *reasonable hope* are fundamentally the work of the creative imagination grounded in the rhythm of hope of *sonorous being* and mediated in the dialectic between affirmation and suspicion.
Chapter One

Presence, Meaning and Metaphor (Part I)

The Vulgar Notion of Presence

The ordinary, or vulgar notion of presence is the understanding that individual and corporate entities may be affirmed as being at hand on the basis of sensible, empirical evidence. An object, for example, a table, to be accepted as being present must be subject to sensate experience of sight and touch. Complex objects, such as a computer, may require a more critical demonstrative process but fundamentally the basis of any attempt to affirm that the object is present is sensible evidence. Even when the part played by language in rational descriptions of simple or complex demonstration of presence is recognized, the vulgar notion still retains the view that empirical experiences lies at the foundation. That is, it is the linguistic capacity of words and sentences in terms of any statement or description to provide ostensible reference as indications and expressions of empirical experience; it is the semantic characteristic of language to refer beyond language to entities and situations in the world that provides the medium for giving expression to presence.

Although this vulgar notion of presence does not go unquestioned in ordinary discourse, for example, in the recognition that sense experience is susceptible to distortion and misinterpretation, it is, nevertheless, to all intents and purposes for everyday life, accepted as a sound basis for human perception and knowledge. Any discernable error of judgment regarding the presence of an object will be subjected to a disciplined rational analysis based upon sensible experience. The juridical system, for example, places circumstantial evidence under suspicion of judgment in contrast to clearly demonstrated eye witness report. And yet, philosophical debate has always raised radical epistemological questions about these matters. How is it possible to
know what it is that sense experience is derived from? Can sense experience alone be the foundation for an epistemological judgment with reference to an object? Is the question of presence fundamentally an epistemological issue? What is the basis, if any, for affirming that something is present? If affirmation is not possible, does this mean that there is no way of knowing what if anything is present at hand? Or does it mean that there will always be an element of suspicion about the objects of thought and language? Is it only if there is a source of affirmation beyond sensible experience and the process of rational thought, a source beyond language, that the presence of things may be known? That is, is it only if there is a metaphysical foundation for knowledge of the objective world that presence may be affirmed? However, in the debates of modern Western philosophy, since the major work of Kant's *Critique of Pure Reason* in which he exposes the fundamental problem of the empiricist's epistemological view of sensible experience, and attempts to demonstrate the foundation of transcendental idealism in the *a priori* categories of rational thought, there has been a development in the radical questioning of any metaphysical trace.

**The Problem of Presence - Three Perspectives**

In attempting to address this critical issue of presence, three possible approaches would seem to present a choice of perspective for analysis. Firstly, there is the cognitive process of rational thought. Does the key to the question of affirming presence, as Kant proposes, lie with the structuring and capacity of the rational mind? Or, secondly, should the critical perspective be focused primarily on the question concerning the possibility of direct or immediate access to the objective world in terms of sensible experience, intuition or some ontological condition which may be analyzed and defined? Thirdly, there is the linguistic medium which may be understood to relate the first to the second. Is the critical clue to the question of affirmation to be found in
the nature of language which may reveal either endemic suspicion, or the possibility of a hermeneutical movement towards affirmation of presence? The three perspectives cannot, of course, be separated, and each will involve an acknowledgement and account of significant issues relating to the other two. Therefore, in taking a linguistic perspective for this study it will be necessary to give careful consideration to the critical questions raised by processes of rational thought and its relationship with the world. Obviously, the latter immediately provokes the question, what is meant by 'the world', but this will be taken up in due course.

The reasons for choosing the linguistic perspective will be made clear in the analysis which will be based upon the developments in the discipline of philosophical hermeneutics, in particular, the work of Paul Ricoeur. A central concern will be to focus the perspective upon the key question, 'what is presence?'; and to show that it is in terms of language that this question must be addressed. To this end, Ricoeur's theories of metaphor and narrative in *The Rule of Metaphor* and *Time and Narrative* will provide the critical means of analyzing the linguistic possibilities of responding to this question; more especially, how it may be demonstrated that metaphor and narrative are to be understood as the medium for a foundation of a hermeneutical process from suspicion to affirmation of presence; and to show that the linguistic perspective reveals that the question of presence is not answered by a clear, conceptual statement and description, nor yet by a negative response precluding any possibility of affirming presence, but by a dynamic process whereby affirmation is held in tension with suspicion.

This chapter and the next will begin the analysis by attempting to define the problem raised by the question of presence, and critically to examine Ricoeur's theory of metaphor as the key to its resolution. Such an examination must take account of critical questions posed by a philosophical
approach from within the same tradition as Ricoeur but with radically different conclusions. For this purpose, the comparative similarities between the work of Jacques Derrida and Ricoeur(4), particularly in the way they have been influenced by Kant, Hegel, Husserl and Heidegger, and, among other topics, their interest in metaphor, provides a valuable critical perspective. With respect to metaphor, their theories, it will be shown, form part of their respective projects of Deconstruction and Hermeneutics which lead to radically different conclusions with respect to the question of presence. Therefore, an attempt will be made to pay close attention to the critical difference in evaluating Ricoeur's position. However, in order to appreciate the fundamental significance of metaphor and their contrasting theories, it is first necessary to attempt to define the problem of presence.

**Metaphysical Assumptions**

The fundamental problem of presence is the seeming impossibility of rationally affirming the absolute unchanging unity of particular entities on the basis of the ceaseless flux of temporal experience. To make the statement, 'There is the table' is acceptable in terms of the vulgar notion of things, but if the word 'table' in this sentence is an ostensible reference for the presence of the object, how is it possible for the word to affirm that presence if it is subject to a changing play of sensate experience in relation to positionality and temporal flux? If it is claimed that empirical experience cannot be the foundation of the word, or concept, but takes its capacity to affirm presence from the *a priori* structures of the rational mind(5), that the mind, as it were, is metaphysically constructed, this not only raises fundamental questions about the notion of presence, if, as according to Kant, there is no possibility of the rational mind deriving the source of its ability to conceptualize from empirical experience(6), but also provokes radical questions about the seemingly unquestioned metaphysical assumptions with respect to the *a priori*
categories of rationality. This crucial issue of unquestioned metaphysical assumptions will play a central part in this study in pursuing the question of presence. At this juncture, it is simply noted within the context of these preliminary remarks.

**Language and Phenomenology**

In attempting to define the problem of presence, these remarks, as already indicated, could lead to detailed critical analysis of particular philosophical perspectives, but in following the hermeneutical tradition, and particularly the work of Paul Ricoeur, it is the linguistic perspective which will determine the shape and development of the analysis. However, language here is being approached phenomenologically, and not in terms of grammatical structures. That is, it is the relationship of language to the way the conscious mind perceives objects as phenomena, how they appear in consciousness, that will be the basis for the analysis. The significance of this will be made clear in due course. What is important is that empirical experience is taken up into a givenness whereby a critical analysis may begin the process of questioning. This does not mean that consciousness, or some level of consciousness to be determined, may provide a kind of ultimate foundation in the manner of the Cartesian *cogito ergo sum*(7), but it is the conscious mind which questions that is the location of givenness(8). Empirical experience with respect to rational thought is mediated through the phenomenological structuring of the conscious mind. The sensate experience of an object, such as a table, in terms of sight which will include colour, size, shape, etc., may only be the object of rational thought through the mediation of appearance in consciousness - This is a table; the table is square and has four legs; it is a dining table. It is the appearance as the mediation of empirical experience of the object which is the givenness where the questioning must begin. To this end, the nature and structure of this appearance provokes the initial stage of the analysis.
Husserlian Phenomenology

Both Ricoeur and Derrida have been profoundly influenced by the phenomenology of Edmund Husserl. Presence for Husserl - or rather the essence of pure being, or the thing-itself, 'the judged state of affairs [Urteilsverheit] (the affair-complex [Sachverhalt]) "itself" - raises the question of what genuine grounding, what evidence can be taken for knowing that it is there. It is a question of uncovering, what Husserl calls, the final sense of science. As already noted, the task is to begin with the given, not with the Cartesian rational subject. The given, according to Husserl, is consciousness in which the objective world appears, and is the grounding of the cogito. What the given reveals is the problematic of knowing the thing-itself. If it is the grounding of the cogito in contrast, for example, to the transcendental Cartesian or Kantian subjects, then what is it that ensures a continuing affirmation of the presence of the thing-itself in consciousness?

Husserl is at pains to demonstrate that sense experience cannot provide genuine evidence for knowing the object itself. For example, consciousness is always subject to the modalities of being in the world. There are the spatial modalities whereby an object is experienced from particular positional perspectives. For example, a house cannot be viewed from all sides at once. Furthermore, the position determines the appearance of the building. Also, as Husserl writes,

(For example: the "modalities of being", like certainly being, possibly or presumably being, etc.; or the "subjective" - temporal modes, being present, past and future.) This line of description is called noematic. Its counterpart is noetic description, which concerns the modes of the cogito/itself, the modes of consciousness (for example: perception, recollection, retention), with the modal differences inherent in them (for example: differences in clarity and distinction).

Cartesian Meditations ed. 1995 p.36

Thus, there is no such thing as pure consciousness in relation to ordinary experience. In other words, the naive or natural attitude, which implicitly tends to disregard the critical part played by spatial and temporal modalities of perception, takes empirical experience of tables, chairs,
doors, and all entities in the world at hand as genuine evidence of the things-themselves, and consequently may be subject to sensible illusion. For example, a person walks into a room and sees an object for the first time and is uncertain what it is, and therefore moves to different positions concluding that it is indeed a table. There is of course some awareness of the influence of positionality, or perspective, but the dominant concern, for the most part, is to gain sufficient empirical evidence to make the judgment that the object is a table. But, according to Husserl, such judgments cannot be grounded in sense experience since the intentional, constituting nature of consciousness plays a significant and determinate role in the judgment.

**Husserl: Expression and Indication**

What, therefore, is the ground of consciousness? This is a question that for Husserl arises from his fundamental concern with the ground of science. That is, on what foundation is the logic of science grounded? To this end, in pursuing his phenomenological analysis, he defines consciousness as structured by phenomenal images in terms of Expression (*Ausdruck*), and Indication (*Anzeichen*); that is, images as signs both expressing meaning and indicating certain objects or states of affairs. In his analysis of these meaningful signs, Husserl is concerned to make clear that both expression and indication are related to motivation and intentionality of the thinking subject. For example, in *Logical Investigations, Volume 1*, he writes

> A thing is only properly an indication if and where it in fact serves to indicate something to a thinking being. *Logical Investigations*, Vol. 1, ed. 1970, p.270.

For this reason, it is the 'thinking being' that takes priority, and is essentially disclosed in the meaningful expressive nature of consciousness. In other words, consciousness is meaningful expression in terms of the synthetic activity of intuition and intentionality. Furthermore, meaning is expressed even when there is no object present, and, therefore, in this sense, no indication.
There are, Husserl claims, two expressive acts which he calls, *meaning conferring* and *meaning fulfilling*. He writes,

> But if the object is not intuitively before one, and so not before one as a named object, mere meaning is all there is to it. If the originally empty meaning-intention is now fulfilled, the relation to an object is realized, the naming becomes actual, conscious relation between the name and the object at hand.  
> *Ibid.* p.280-1

Again, priority is given to expression, to meaningful intentionality of the conscious subject in the living present. Indication is integrally related to expression but separate with regard to its primordial grounding in the voice of the speaking subject.

In effect, and in the course of his analysis, Husserl separates Expression and Indication, and, with respect to the former, privileges intentionality and intuition. Consequently, in Husserl's phenomenological analysis, the question is prompted, is not the presence of the thing-itself made dependent upon a metaphysical presupposition? In his attempt to seek a foundation for the logic of science in an access to the presence of the thing-itself through the givenness of the appearance of meaningful signs, does he not go beyond the given? This is a fundamental criticism of Derrida in *Speech and Phenomena*,

> We have thus a prescription for the most general form of our question: do not the phenomenological necessity, the rigor and subtlety of Husserl's analysis, the exigencies to which it responds and which we must recognize, nonetheless, conceal a metaphysical presupposition? Do they not harbor a dogmatic or speculative commitment which, to be sure, would keep the phenomenological critique from being realized, would not be a residue of unperceived naivete, but would constitute phenomenology from within, in its project of criticism and in the instinctive value of its own premises? This would be done precisely in what soon comes to be recognized as the source and guarantee of all value, the "principle of all principles": i.e., the original self-giving evidence, the present or presence of value to a full and primordial intuition.  
> *Speech and Phenomena*, 1989, p.5.

Within the constraints of this thesis it is not possible to follow Husserl's profound, complex and exacting phenomenological analysis in the light of Derrida's penetrating criticism. The aim here is to identify the critical questions which have played an important role in the continuing developments of the work of Derrida and Ricoeur. In particular, critically to analyze Ricoeur's
attempt to affirm the notion of presence from a Derridean perspective with respect to their contrasting theories of metaphor. To this end, these observations on Derrida's critical departure from the Husserlian phenomenological project are intended to serve as a preliminary to this analysis. The critical question for both Derrida and Ricoeur is, how to honour the debt owed to Husserl in the sense of acknowledging his radical critique of the 'given' at the same time as disclosing the failure to remain faithful to a complete commitment to the 'given'? Response to this question leads Derrida to reject the possibility of affirming the notion of presence, whereas Ricoeur attempts to affirm presence at the same time as retaining, what may seem, a paradoxical notion of suspicion.

Derrida criticizes Husserl's endeavour to separate expression from indication. That is, the meaningful sign system in terms of language, which as both Derrida and Ricoeur seek to demonstrate is the structuring of consciousness, for Husserl is grounded upon the living presence of the speaking subject. This is why the voice is so important for Husserl. In his commitment to his central aim to disclose the grounding of the presence of pure being, his analysis reveals the fundamental problematic of affirming presence in relation to the sign system in terms of indication. For example, there is the problem, as referred to above, of the modes of being in relation to objects and states of affairs. Any description of an object or state of affairs is subject to the particular mode of being, and therefore these descriptions are constantly subject to the possibility of multiple modalities, and, consequently, may be explicitly or implicitly polysemic. Also, there is the problem of temporality which will be considered below. Therefore, indication as the basis of rational statement and description cannot be the indication of the presence of pure being. A meaningful, according to Husserl, cannot be grounded in the word or description, but in the meaning fulfilled intention of the speaking subject. The essence of consciousness is
Intentionality in terms of the synthetic activity of primordial intuition. It is this grounding that Derrida claims reveals a metaphysical presupposition in Husserl's commitment to the transcendental ego.

**Husserl: Presence and Time**

A particular and crucial focus of Derrida's criticism is set out in *Speech and Phenomena* in relation to Husserl's *Phenomenology of the Consciousness of Internal Time*. A key issue which Husserl identified is, how is it possible to affirm the unity of the thing-itself, the unity of pure being, on the basis of its givenness in the phenomenological structuring of consciousness when this givenness is endlessly subject to the changeableness of experience; a changeableness not only of spatial positionality but of temporality? Consciousness is always consciousness in time; the living present is always in relation to the past and the future. The present continually moves from a past which was the present to a future which will be present. How then is the object presented, or rather re-presented in the mediation of the linguistic sign system? The answer to this question requires a careful study of the concepts of *vorstellung* in the work of Husserl and Derrida which will form part of the critique of the way Ricoeur addresses the problem of temporality. The immediate concern is Husserl's fundamental attempt to resolve the problem of temporality with respect to intentionality by means of the concepts of *retention* and *protention*. Derrida is critical of these concepts and claims that this attempt at reaching the purity of the present by compounding the past and the future with the present in effect contaminates that purity and reveals that presence is linguistically derived from nonpresence. He writes,

One sees quickly that the presence of the perceived present can appear as such only inasmuch as it is continuously compounded with a nonpresence and nonperception, with primary memory and expectation (retention and protention). These nonperceptions are neither added to, nor do
they occasionally accompany, the actually perceived now; they are essentially and indispensibly involved in its possibility.

As soon as we admit this continuity of the now and the not-now, perception and nonperception, in the zone of primordiality common to primordial impression and primordial retention, we admit the other into the self-identity of the Augenblick; nonpresence and nonevidence are admitted into the blink of the instant.

Speech and Phenomena, p.64-65

Although, in one sense, this criticism of Husserl's notion of presence in relation to the problematic of temporality may be considered only one important element in the development of Derrida's wide ranging work on Deconstruction, it may be viewed as a decisive difference between Derrida's Deconstruction and Ricoeur's Hermeneutics. Ricoeur takes a more sympathetic view of Husserl's attempt to take account of temporality with the concepts of retention and protention that relates to his own work on symbols which prepare the way for his theory of metaphor. It is his work on symbols that is profoundly influential in his analysis of the iconic moment of metaphor which is of central importance with respect to the immediate and presence. In particular, it is Ricoeur's analysis of the productive imagination, drawing upon Kant's Critique of Judgment in relation to the iconic moment of metaphor that calls for critical examination, especially from a Derridean perspective.

This will be examined more closely when analyzing Ricoeur's theory of metaphor. The purpose here is to identify a fundamental difference in their departure from Husserl's attempt to resolve the problem of presence in relation to temporality. What is important for Ricoeur is Husserl's seemingly radical step in understanding the nature of unity in his quest to resolve the aporias of temporality. In chapter 2 of Time and Narrative, Volume 3 - Intuitive Time or Invisible Time? Husserl Confronts Kant', Ricoeur develops his thought in relation to this radical step and narrated time. Much of this will be studied in later chapters. Attention at this stage is being
drawn to the significance for Ricoeur of Husserl's attempt to define the appearance of time in consciousness, or time-consciousness(22). What is crucial for Ricoeur to the working out of his hermeneutical philosophy in relation to temporality is the difference between lived time, intuitive time or internal time-consciousness, and objective time, clock time or the series of temporal nows, and the relation between these two. With respect to lived time, he commends Husserl's radical discovery. Taking Husserl's example of sound to describe a unity of duration, and not the unity of the object, he writes,

Husserl's discovery here is that the "now" is not contracted into a point-like instant but includes a traverse or longitudinal intentionality (in order to contrast it with the transcendent intentionality that, in perception, places the accent of unity of the object), by reason of which it is at once itself and the retention of the tonal phase that has "just" (soeben) passed, as well as the protention of the imminent phase. 

*Time and Narrative, Vol. 3, 1988, p. 27*

Ricoeur acknowledges that the "one after the other" is formulated by Kant(23) as necessary for the appearing of temporal-objects Husserl's zeitobjekt(24)). Nevertheless, he is concerned to make clear the difference of continuance that he understands in Husserl's analogous description of sound:

By continuance, however, we are to understand the unity of duration (*Dauereinheit*) of the sound,... "It begins and stops, and the whole unity of its duration (*Dauereinheit*) of the sound... it begins and ends, 'proceeds' to the end in the ever more distant past". There can be no doubt - the problem is that of duration as such. And retention, merely mentioned here, is the name of the solution that is sought


According to Ricoeur, this continuance is the effect of retention, or primary remembrance that makes possible the perception of the "one after the other", the series of now-points; a unity of duration whereby the present and the recent past mutually belong to each other as an enlarged present.

The present is called a source-point (*Quellpunkt*) precisely because what runs off from it "still" belongs to it. Beginning is beginning to continue. The present itself is thus "a continuity, and one constantly expanding, a continuity of pasts". Each point of duration is the source-point of a continuity of modes of running-off and the accumulation of all these enduring points forms the
continuity of the whole process.

*ibid.* p. 30

But what is the ground of this source-point? Even though Husserl takes the unity of the process in terms of duration as the primary effect of intentionality, or rather splits intentionality between its entwined primary and secondary modes (25), it is, nevertheless, the intentionality of the transcendental subject, the *a priori* subject, which may in this ultimate sense be compared with the Cartesian and Kantian subjects. It is still, therefore, the Husserlian transcendental ego which grounds this understanding of presence. And so, Derrida's and Ricoeur's criticism of this unquestioned subject remains. Both are concerned with taking the givenness of linguistically structured temporal consciousness, and resist any attempt to posit a constituting metaphysical subject as the grounding of presence. Such *a priori* grounding must inevitably constitute a 'closure' (26) whereby presence understood as pure being is negated. What then for Ricoeur is the ground of this unity of the process of duration? Does he attempt to define a ground? If not, how is this unity possible? Must not any attempt include an extra-linguistic reference, and consequently transgress the given of linguistically structured consciousness?

Here, it may be understood, is the heart of Ricoeur's project to affirm the notion of presence without colluding with a metaphysics of closure. But Derrida's insistence upon the givenness of the phenomenological structuring of the sign system whereby presence is endlessly deferred challenges Ricoeur's attempt to incorporate an extra-linguistic dimension to this givenness. For Derrida, the production of meaning is intra-linguistic in the sense of the Saussurean notion of *difference* (27), but since there is no transcendent grounding for meaning it is always deferred beyond the phenomenological horizon (29) of meaning. According to Derrida, the two processes are one in what he terms, *Différance*. The notion of *Différance*, however, is indefinable and far
more complex than this 'semantic understanding(29). Does not Derrida's disciplined commitment to the givenness of the linguistic sign system, particularly in its written mode, remain faithful to a refusal to collude with a metaphysics of closure, and consequently reveal a fundamental failure of Ricoeur's project in embracing an extra-linguistic transcendent dimension? To put it another way, does not Ricoeur seem to endeavour to have it both ways? That is, does he not attempt to remain within the linguistic medium, and yet, define a linguistic process in the Husserlian notion of unity of duration by means of a dynamic that is clearly extra-linguistic? What kind of linguistic process is this? What kind of unity is achieved? What kind of extra-linguistic dimension is it that does not transgress the givenness of linguistically structured historical consciousness?

Ricoeur and Derrida: A Different Perspective on Language

In attempting to address these questions, it is important to understand that the critical departure from Husserl, by Ricoeur and Derrida, is a departure from his perspective on language. For example, Husserl's concern with the sentence, 'The table is there', is with the conceptual unity of the table in terms of grounding its presence in the transcendental consciousness of the voice that speaks the sentence. In other words, for Husserl this is consciousness that is not essentially linguistically mediated. For Ricoeur and Derrida, the concern is with the language sign system of which the sentence is a part. Meaning is produced within the dynamics of the system. Therefore, presence, in this sense of the possibility of univocity, for Ricoeur, and absence, in terms of the illusions of univocity, for Derrida, is mediated through this sign system. That is, the possibility of linguistically, and therefore consciously, affirming the presence of the table, the thing-itself, or the impossibility of linguistically affirming the presence of the table, is revealed in the written text, the trace(30), of the linguistic sign system as the necessary mediation of
historical consciousness. For Ricoeur and Derrida, metaphor is a key characteristic of this sign system, which for Ricoeur transcends the system in the creative power of the imagination, but for Derrida reveals, through deconstruction, the fundamental disseminating process of the system and a semantic retrait\(^{(31)}\). Their critical departure from Husserl involves a radical break with the primary conceptual view of traditional Western philosophy\(^{(32)}\). But for Ricoeur, this is the way to affirm conceptual unity, or at least in a teleological sense of a movement from suspicion to affirmation\(^{(33)}\), within a hermeneutical spiral, a continuing interpretative process of understanding \((Verstehen\(^{(34)}\)) and explanation in which metaphor plays a fundamental role. Whereas, within Derrida's perspective, conceptual language calls for endless deconstruction, or rather it is subject to deconstruction through its metaphoric trace\(^{(35)}\).

As stated earlier, the purpose of this chapter is to attempt to set out the problem of presence. In summary, thus far, the aim of the critique of Husserl from Ricoeurian and Derridean perspectives has been to identify the given as the site of the analysis of the problem, and to discard any assumptions or pre-judgments, especially unquestioned metaphysical ones. If it were concluded by reason of the analysis that the affirmation of presence must necessarily involve a metaphysical dimension, such a conclusion must be derived from an analysis within the site of the given and not the other way around. Both Ricoeur and Derrida acknowledge that Husserl's attempt with respect to this aim has provided a radical achievement. In his phenomenological project, they recognize the importance of the way Husserl demonstrates that the question of presence is not simply concerned with access to the thing-itself, but with the presence of the rational subject. The problem of presence must include a critique of the fundamental role played by consciousness in constituting the presence of the thing-itself. Therefore the central concept of intentionality understood as the meaning conferring characteristic of consciousness is taken
up by Ricoeur and Derrida. However, they also take a critical departure from Husserl's
development of his theory of meaning with respect to language in terms of expression and
indication. For both of them, the site of the given is consciousness linguistically structured
through and through(36). Although Husserl attempts to affirm presence in the unity achieved
through expression of the 'now', it is the intentional, synthetic unity of the transcendental ego that
is the grounding of this unity for Husserl. In this sense, language is not basic to the question of
presence. Whereas for Ricoeur and Derrida it is primarily the mediation of the linguistic sign
system that determines the question of presence. It is in their diverging response to this question
that a critical focus for defining the essential nature of the problem of presence is provided.
Ricoeur's attempt to show how the affirmation of presence may be achieved in a hermeneutical
movement from suspicion to affirmation in which univocity of rational explanation and
description is based upon the metaphoric/narrative mode of language provokes the radical
question from a Derridean perspective, what kind of univocity or unity is this?

Heideggerian Ontology and Presence

This question takes the analysis and the investigation of the problem of presence to an
ontological level in Ricoeur's endeavour to show how metaphor functions in the linguistic
mediation of the ontological grounding of rational discourse. What is at stake is an
understanding of the unity of being as that unity which makes possible a hermeneutical
movement from suspicion to affirmation of presence. According to Ricoeur, metaphor is central
to this movement, which will be analytically pursued in later chapters with regard to narrative
and self-identity. Ricoeur and Derrida begin with a valued recognition of Husserl's appreciation
of the ontological issues, for example, his critical understanding of the important role played by
modes of being in the world, and his attempt to demonstrate a rational affirmation of the object's
pure being. But they are also critical of his seeming forgetfulness and hiddenness of the ontological problematic.

Husserl's concern to separate expression from indication as the way to affirm presence grounded upon the expression of the living presence of the voice, on the one hand, is an endeavour to acknowledge the ontological significance of the subject made known in the voice, but, on the other hand, is a failure to question the subject with respect to its ontological grounding. Upon what foundation does the subject, the transcendental ego, affirm the presence of the voice in terms of expression, and consequently the presence of objects?

This is a question of understanding; the 'upon what' is therefore a question of meaning. What is the meaning of being? What is the meaning of the 'is' in the expression, 'The table is there'? More especially, with respect to the being of the subject, it is a question of the meaning of the 'is' in the sentence 'She is'. But is this a complete sentence? If the 'is' intended as a predicate, the sentence is incomplete. If treated as an equivalent to 'She exists', then the 'is' cannot properly be construed predicatively, as a number of philosophers have pointed out. It is seemingly to treat the copula 'is' as a predicate, which for a number of philosophers, particularly in the Anglo-American empirical tradition, is to move into the sphere of non-philosophy. That is, the copula cannot be a predicate because it does not assert or affirm a quality or attribute of the subject; it is a mere transitive verbal sign of predication. Therefore, there is no logical, rational basis, in terms of the subject-predicate linguistic structure, for the question of the meaning of being. In acknowledging this critical view of philosophical ontology which, as it were, seeks to understand the pre-conceptual grounding of rational discourse, the aim is not to engage with this debate. It is rather to recognize that Ricoeur and Derrida are part of a tradition, profoundly
influenced by the ontology of Martin Heidegger, which consequently wrestles with language. In paying tribute to Heidegger's major work, *Being and Time*, particularly the attempt to expose the meaning of Being, freeing it from the hiddenness and forgetfulness of hermeneutical philosophy tied to direct vision, Ricoeur writes,

> Is this to say that the attempt to escape the dilemma of direct intuition or indirect presupposition can only lead to a kind of hermeticism, considered as a form of mystification? This would be to neglect the labor of language that gives *Being and Time* a greatness that no subsequent work will eclipse. *Time and Narrative*, Vol. 3, 1988, p.63.

In other words, in moving the debate, as it were, beyond the Husserlian notion of intuition as the intentional synthetic activity of the transcendental ego, Heidegger takes the analysis into a radically different mode of language. In so doing, he claims that he is resorting to the necessity of explicitly stating the question about the meaning of Being which has been neglected and ignored since the ontological interpretations of Aristotle and Plato. At the beginning of *Being and Time* he writes,

> On the basis of the Greeks' initial contributions towards the Interpretation of Being, a dogma has been developed which not only declares the question of Being to be superfluous, but sanctions its complete neglect. It is said that 'Being' is the most universal and emptiest of concepts. As such it resists every definition. Nor does this most universal and hence indefinable concept require any definition, for everyone uses it constantly and already understands what he means by it. In this way, that which the ancient philosophers found continually disturbing as something obscure and hidden has taken on a clarity and self-evidence such that if anyone continues to ask about it he is charged with an error of method. *Being and Time*, tr. 1962, ed. 1990, p.2.

This note of irony runs through the whole work revealing also the dilemma of attempting such a philosophical analysis with the language of Western philosophical debate which must be challenged and re-interpreted. This is important with respect to the Heideggerian influence upon Ricoeur and Derrida in the way the former seeks to respond to this dilemma in his commitment to metaphor and narrative as the linguistic mediation of the ontological grounding of rational discourse,(39) whereas the latter's admiration is tempered by questioning whether Heidegger has indeed escaped from the assumptions of the tradition he presumes fundamentally to challenge.(40)
From a Heideggerian perspective, the site of the given with respect to the question of presence is not to be defined and analyzed by a rational subject-predicate mode of definition which, he claims, is totally inappropriate. The question of presence is the question of the meaning of Being, for Being is presence, and this is to be approached by the givenness of the Being that questions. The site of the given is the Being-in-the-world, the Being-there, Dasein, the Being that questions the meaning of Being. This approach is an ontological inquiry primarily concerned with Being, in contrast to an ontical inquiry which is concerned with entities and the facts about them.

**Temporality: The Horizon of Being-in-the-world**

Heidegger's ontological project is taken up by means of an existential analytic inaugurating terminology in his "labour of language" to express that which escapes the subject-predicate mode of rational explanation and definition. Dasein is a thrown project; that is, it is manifested as Being-there in a condition of thrownness. From this existential perspective there is a key issue of authentic Being subject to fallenness in consequence of being thrown into the world. It is this perspective that leads Heidegger to an ontological understanding of temporality which radicalizes the notion of historical time. It is not simply the question of the intentional synthetic activity of historical consciousness in relation to the past, present and future with respect to affirming presence in the unity of an object, but the attempt to express the temporalizing activity as the condition of Being-in-the-world. It is not temporality (zeitlichkeit) the noun, but 'to temporalize (zeitigen)' the verb, which reveals the radical ontological understanding of historical time.

Although *Being and Time* is devoted to the question of the meaning of Being, and is therefore
primarily ontological, it employs an existential analytic particularly with respect to the notion of authenticity and the identification of the crucial difference between existentiell and existential. The former relating to the facticity of the everyday world, and the entities which are, to use Heidegger's terminology, 'present-at-hand, or 'ready-at-hand'(42), the latter having to do with authenticity or existential freedom, and the notion of fallenness as that condition of Being-in-the-world which must be overcome in the striving for authenticity towards the manifestation of the presence of pure Being. Care (Sorge) is Heidegger's notion expressing the ontological dynamic of Dasein's openness to authenticity. In this openness Care temporalizes Dasein in its ekstases of being-in-the-world so that 'Temporality is the primordial 'outside-of-itself in and for itself' (Being and Time p.377). In contrast to Husserl who takes the present as the primary focus of temporality, Heidegger's notion of Care makes Dasein first and foremost open to the future in the ecstasis of coming-towards. The future in consequence gives rise to the ecstasis of the past, the having-been, but it is the resoluteness of Dasein in Care's anticipation of the future that makes the present the place of action. Heidegger writes,

Only the Present (Gegenwart) in the sense of making present, can resoluteness be what it is: namely, letting itself be encountered undisguisedly by that which it seizes upon in taking action.

ibid. p.374.

Temporality is therefore the articulated unity of its three modes of coming-towards, having-been and making present.

In his concern to express the ultimate condition of existential authenticity in Dasein's openness to the future, Heidegger identifies this as Being-towards-death. It is Dasein's existential freedom to be in the face of ultimate negation that is the mark of its authenticity. It is in the letting be of Dasein's openness to its horizon of temporality, especially Being-towards-death, that Being is manifested. In Being and Time, Heidegger writes of the spatiality of Being as the way of
expressing the manifestation of Being in relation to temporality. It is in the opening of Being towards its temporal horizon that space, understood in existential terms, is the site of the manifestation, the appearance of Being. In later writings, after his kehre, Heidegger begins to call this understanding of space as the appearance of Being, 'the clearance, or 'rift'\(^4\). It is the site opened up by truth; the clearing of the concealment of Being; it is the rift opened up by Dasein's letting be, in its struggle to win this open region in which its presence appears.

The purpose of this all too brief outline of certain aspects of Heidegger's work is simply, at this juncture, to indicate the ontological perspective that influences and shapes the thought of both Ricoeur and Derrida in their response to the problem of presence. In pursuing Ricoeur's work on metaphor and narrative in subsequent chapters it will be necessary to look more closely at Heidegger's ontology in the attempt to critically analyze Ricoeur's achievement taking into account the Derridean viewpoint. However, what can be said with regard to the aim of this chapter in the attempt to define the problem of presence is that this should address Heidegger's understanding of temporality as the horizon of Being-in-the-world, and the appearance, or unconcealment of Being in relation to that horizon.

Heidegger, particularly in his later writings, sets out his understanding of the significance of language as the structure of this horizon, or, expressed in a different way, the house of Being. This co-incides with Ricoeur's and Derrida's commitment to language as the phenomenological structure of the given. Therefore, it may be seen that Ricoeur's notion of the text, and Derrida's notion of l'écriture, or the trace, provide the means for analyzing the ontological implications of presence with respect to Heidegger's existential analytic of Being and temporality. To this end, in approaching the question of presence in relation to Ricoeur's notion of the text as the
structure of the horizon of Being, which will be shown to be hermeneutical in agreement with Heidegger, the first step will be to critically examine his theory of metaphor as the key feature of the text. Particularly, to look carefully at the way he attempts to show how rational discourse is ontologically grounded in the *iconic moment* of metaphor whereby presence may be affirmed with a hermeneutical dialectical movement from suspicion to affirmation. The examination will endeavour to take account of the problem of presence from a Derridean perspective in which his contrasting deconstructive theory of metaphor leads to the conclusion that meaning is endlessly deferred. That is, *l'écriture*, as the temporal horizon of Being is subject to never ending deconstruction.
Chapter Two

Presence, Meaning and Metaphor (Part II)

Presence: Two Critical Perspectives

In the attempt to articulate the problem of presence in chapter one, two interrelated critical perspectives were identified. On the one hand, the question of presence is concerned with the problematic, particularly revealed in Husserlian phenomenology, of affirming the unity of an object. Unless there is some possibility of grasping the essential unity of an object free from ambiguity and contradiction, how is it possible to rationally affirm that the object is indeed present? In linguistic terms, since from a phenomenological viewpoint language is the medium for meaningful expression of such affirmation, on what basis is univocity achieved? In vulgar terms, the statement, 'The table is there' belies this problematic of univocity in affirming the presence of the table. This arises, firstly, from the position of the speaker in relation to the object and the variations in perspective, and the temporal condition in which any statement or description is made. Secondly, the problem of the speaker reveals both the important role of the conscious subject in addressing the question of presence, particularly with respect to the ontological condition of being-in-the-world, and the difficulty of showing how this condition may be seen as the foundation of the affirmation of presence. That is, the problem of showing how the presence of the subject may be ontologically affirmed thus providing the foundation for a hermeneutical process in which there may be a movement from suspicion to affirmation of the presence of objects in the world.

Taking Ricoeur's hermeneutical philosophy in which consciousness is linguistically mediated through and through, the two interrelated critical perspectives identified in chapter one and
outlined above must be set within the phenomenological givenness of language. It is a question of the phenomenological manifestation, in terms of language, of presence mediated through rational description, interrelated with linguistic expression of being-in-the-world, and the nature of this interrelatedness. Is the relationship, as Husserl would have it, a privileging of expression over indication which, in effect, means founding indication upon expression? But if Husserl's attempt to found indication upon expression, according to Ricoeur and Derrida, fails by assuming an unquestioned metaphysical foundation, going beyond the givenness of linguistically structured consciousness, is it still possible to show how this relationship may be maintained in and through language? In other words, is it possible to show by a phenomenological analysis of language that the presence of objects in terms of appearance mediated through rational description may be affirmed, or rather interpreted in a movement from suspicion to affirmation, upon the foundation of linguistic expression of the subject's condition of Being-in-the-world? Are there two interrelated modes of language: rational and expressive (or, to use Ricoeur's term, 'poetic') modes which are not separate but mutually influence and effect change in each other whereby presence may be affirmed? The significant difference between Husserl's understanding of indication and expression, and Ricoeur's rational and expressive/poetic modes of discourse is that the latter involve fundamentally an act of the imagination, whereas Husserlian expression is a mental intentional act fundamentally cognitive. That is, the contrast is between that which is essentially figurative and that which is essentially conceptual. The way Ricoeur relates the poetic to the rational so that the imagination may be understood as the grounding act for cognition will be a central feature of this thesis.

Expression: Poetic and Rational Discourse

In studying the development of Ricoeur's hermeneutical project, it is clear from his early
writings, for example, *The Symbolism of Evil* and *Freud and Philosophy* that his interest, which he later pursues, in the poetic mode of language arises, as was referred to in chapter one, out of his ontological investigation of linguistic expression of Being-in-the-world. Although he approves of Husserl's attempt to resolve the problem of temporality drawing upon, according to Ricoeur, the Bergsonian notion of *duration*, he is critically concerned that this does not address Heidegger's question with respect to the meaning of Being. As considered in detail later in this chapter, the ontological question arises from a concern with the condition of Being-in-the-world, with the experience of active engagement in which the dominant linguistic mode is expression of immediate response with respect to perception, decision and action, which, according to Ricoeur, involves an act of the imagination. Whereas "rational discourse" which was Husserl's main concern, Ricoeur maintains requires a detour via reflection. It is the means of standing back, as it were, to separate and analyze experience. Although this does not exclude intuition and synthesis, and therefore the Husserlian notion of expression, the essential aspect is reflective analysis.

Husserl's understanding of expression (*Ausdruck*) is based upon the intentional mental act whereby unity is achieved in terms of the intuitive, synthetic process of the act. This assumes a transcendental capacity to achieve an expressed unity as the foundation for scientific, rational analysis. In his attempt to show how this achievement may be accomplished by privileging expression over indication, he remains committed to the view that expression is essentially the way, through speech, the voice confers meaning; and that expression is a mental act essentially of the cognitive processes of the rational mind. In contrast to Ricoeur, as noted above, the Husserlian notion of expression is not a different mode of language. Although, in the sense of privilege, it may be separated from indication, it is integrally part of rational discourse as the
means of its foundation and affirmation of conceptual unity.

Poetic Mode: Ontological Expression

The concern with the expressive/poetic mode of language is Ricoeur's response to the Heideggerian challenge to seek a way of addressing the question of the meaning of Being as an attempt to uncover the ontological foundation of rational discourse. In his analytic of Dasein in Being and Time, Heidegger engages in a fundamental questioning of the metaphysical concepts, which, according to both Ricoeur and Derrida, Husserl assumes as the foundation of the transcendental subject, in a process he calls Destruktion, and which Derrida develops in the notion of Deconstruction. According to Heidegger, the task is to destroy the traditional metaphysical/ontological concepts of the post-Socratic Greek philosophers, which remain even in Kantian philosophy where the

basic ontological orientation remains that of the Greeks, in spite of all the distinctions which arise in a new inquiry. Being and Time p. 49.

The method of Destruktion in the Analysis of Dasein is essentially hermeneutical in a process of uncovering, of disclosing, of letting be whereby Dasein may be encountered. It is a task of a disclosive understanding of Being, though non-conceptual. However, Ricoeur is concerned to point out that in Being and Time

from a Heideggerian perspective, the only internal critique that can be conceived as an integral part of the enterprise of disclosure is the deconstruction of metaphysics

Hermeneutics and the Human Sciences p. 89.

According to Ricoeur, what Heidegger fails to recognise in Being and Time is the fundamental part played by language and discourse in the disclosure of Being. Although the question of language is introduced it remains a secondary articulation. Language is derivative of the ontological structures which precede it. Ricoeur quotes from the passage on language and comments as follows,
'The fact that language now becomes our theme for the first time', says Heidegger in paragraph 34, 'will indicate that this phenomenon has its roots in the existential constitution of Dasein's disclosedness' (SZ 160; BT 203); and further on, 'Discourse is the articulation of what understanding is' (SZ 161; BT 203-4); It is therefore necessary to situate discourse in the structures of being, rather than situating the latter in discourse: 'Discourse is the "meaningful" articulation of the understandable structure of being-in-the-world' (SZ 161; BT 204).

Ricoeur then observes,

The last remark anticipates the movement of the later philosophy of Heidegger, which will ignore Dasein and begin directly with the manifestative power of language. *ibid.* p. 58.

In his later writings, Heidegger does indeed give greater prominence to the importance of language in the disclosure of Being. The disclosure of Being is then defined in terms of the existential space, the clearance or rift whereby Dasein is made manifest through language, the structure or house of Being. The letting be of authentic Being appears through the mediation of language. With respect to Ricoeur's theory of the poetic mode of language, the significant development in the later writings of Heidegger is in relation to art and poetic language. For example, in *The Origin of the Work of Art*, he describes the process of the manifestation of authentic Being, which he calls the shining forth of Truth, as creative strife whereby

Figure is the structure in whose shape the rift composes itself. This composed rift is the fugue of truth's shining. What is here called figure [Gestalt] is always to be thought in terms of the particular placing [Stellen] and enframing [Ge-stell] as which the work occurs when it sets itself up and sets itself forth. *Basic Writings* p. 189.

Heidegger uses the word "poetry" for this creative act, but he does not limit this to language. He writes,

Language is not poetry because it is primal poesy; rather poesy propriates in language because language preserves the original essence of poetry.

and so,

the linguistic work, poetry in the narrower sense, has a privileged position in the domain of the arts. *ibid.* p. 188 & 189

There are a number of critical issues raised by Heidegger's understanding of poetry in relation to Ricoeur's work on the poetic mode of language which will be examined in detail in due
course. One of which is the question Heidegger poses in the same essay:

If we fix our vision on the essence of the work and its connection with the happening of the truth of beings, it is questionable whether the essence of poetry, and this means at the same time the essence of projection, can be adequately thought of in terms of the power of the imagination. 

*Ricoeur’s Theory of Metaphor*

The purpose of this brief outline of the significant influence of Husserl and Heidegger upon Ricoeur's work is to introduce his theory of metaphor as the way into understanding the hermeneutical movement from suspicion to affirmation in terms of the creative relationship between the rational and poetic modes of discourse. The problem of presence with regard to the two interrelated critical perspectives identified in chapter one is taken up in the development of Ricoeur's theory of metaphor, and subsequently in narrative theory. His aim is not only to respond to the Heideggerian challenge to uncover the ontological foundation of being-in-the-world, but to show how this foundation is dialectically related to rational discourse in a hermeneutical process; that within this process the possibility of rational affirmation is ontologically founded upon the possibility of the affirmation of the unity of self-identity.

Throughout his work, Ricoeur is critical of Heidegger for failing to address the issue of return from the disclosure of ontological foundation to the level of rational discourse. For example, in his essay 'The Task of hermeneutics' he writes,

>With Heidegger's philosophy, we are always engaged in going back to the foundations, but we are left incapable of beginning the movement of return from the fundamental ontology to the properly epistemological question of the status of the human sciences. From Text to Action p. 69.

It is this return which is evident in the Ricoeurian understanding of the function of metaphor. Metaphor is the focus of the poetic mode of language which brings together in a dialectical relationship the ontological and rational levels of discourse. However, it is important to make clear that in defining the two modes of poetic and rational language, this is not a limitation on
the wide variety of texts in which there is an interpenetration of these two modes: those which have a dominant rational mode in, for example, scientific texts, and those with the poetic as the dominant mode, for example, poetry and story. Metaphor is ubiquitous in the sense that it functions in every kind of text and is the focus of the creative dialectic between the rational and the ontological in which the latter is the founding of meaning and the affirmation of presence. But the full effect of the function of metaphor may only be critically examined as the focus of narrative embracing the ontological foundation of self-identity which will be the theme of the next chapter. The question of whether metaphors that appear in the rational mode of discourse originate in the poetic mode will be then be considered.

Ricoeur's theory of metaphor draws upon the long tradition beginning with Aristotle's Poetics and Rhetoric, but particularly upon the recent semantic work of literary criticism in the interactive theories of metaphor, especially in the studies of I. A. Richards, Max Black and Monroe Beardsley. The significant feature of these theories is the idea of the creation of new meaning, in contrast to the predominant traditional theories of substitution in which metaphor is essentially an ornamental figure of speech effecting an emotional response and surprise. However, it is the philosophical perspective that Ricoeur brings to these theories with respect to what he calls the primordial dialectic that sets the semantic interaction and the consequent creation of new meaning within a hemeneutical movement from suspicion to affirmation of meaning and presence. It is primordial because it is, according to Ricoeur, the way rational meaning is grounded in the ontological condition of being-in-the-world; the latter being the source of which he calls ontological vehemence which is the dynamic of semantic interaction creating new meaning. The linguistic mediation of this ontological vehemence is the figurative characteristic of the metaphoric process. It is through the mediation of the figure, the image, and,
as Ricoeur describes it, the *iconic moment* of metaphor that language is dynamically related to the immediacy of the living present and the affirmation of rational unity. This primary characteristic of Ricoeur's theory of metaphor, therefore, is the way into a critical examination of what has been referred to above as the second critical perspective upon the problem of presence.

**Iconic Moment: Ricoeur's Work on Symbols**

In examining this *iconic moment* of metaphor, it is important to be critically aware of its background in Ricoeur's early work on symbols, and the prior development of his thought in existential philosophy. From the beginning, he is committed to what may seem, and will be the subject of closer analysis in a later chapter, the paradoxical aim of affirming existential freedom, that is, Heideggerian authenticity, by way of philosophical reflection upon the condition of fallibility which inhibits and potentially negates affirmation. Drawing upon the work of Gabriel Marcel, Ricoeur identifies a crucial effect of fallibility as the spirit of abstraction, or "the peril of the objectification" of the human being. According to Ricoeur, this in effect and actuality denies human freedom, by failing to take a holistic approach whereby abstraction and objectification may be understood as rooted in the spiritual and cultural activity of the corporate life world of historical human beings. In other words, there is a non-philosophical background to philosophical reflection in the meaningful structures of the spiritual and cultural features of the *Lebenswelt*. Through continuing human historical activity there is a constant shaping and re-shaping of these features. Reflection plays a central part in this hermeneutical process but is not originary. He writes,

*Philosophy does not start anything independently: Supported by the non-philosophical, it derives its existence from the substance of what has already been understood prior to reflection. However,*
It is this commitment to philosophical method that first led him to explore the problem of myth as that which gives rise to meaning as the expression of the 'Pathetique of "Misery"' (21). That is, it is the language of myth that reveals the human struggle with the condition of fallibility. Myths then become human stories, the history that in-forms and structures the spiritual and cultural environment of the Lebenswelt. The question for Ricoeur is, how is it possible to philosophically engage with mythos in a hermeneutical process? What method may be adopted to interpret the sensible, symbolic manifestations of the pervading mythos of the spiritual and cultural milieu? Since philosophical reflection is supported and grounded in these manifestations, how is it possible to achieve affirmation if its very ground is flawed by the aporias of endless struggle to overcome human finitude? Ricoeur is acutely aware of this profound problematic in his work, *The Symbolism of Evil*; and yet, in his dedication to 'lucidity, of veracity, of rigor' in "the passage to reflection", in *Freud and Philosophy* he responds to his own challenging question,

> Is it possible, I asked, to coherently interrelate the interpretation of symbols and philosophic reflection? My only answer to this question was in the form of a contradictory resolve: I vowed, on the one hand, to listen to the rich symbols and myths that precede my reflection, instruct and nourish it; and on the other hand to continue, by means of the philosophical exegesis of symbols and myths, the tradition of rationality of philosophy, of our western philosophy. Symbols give rise to thought, I said, using a phrase from Kant's *Critique of Judgment*. Symbols give, they are the gift of language; but this gift creates for me the duty to think, to inaugurate philosophic discourse, starting from what is always prior to and the foundation of that discourse. I did not conceal the paradoxical nature of this promise; on the contrary, I accentuated it by affirming first that philosophy does not begin anything, since the fullness of language precedes it, and second that it begins from itself, since it is philosophy which inaugurates the question of meaning and of the foundation of meaning. *Freud and Philosophy* p. 38.

**Symbols: Levels of Consciousness**

In Ricoeur's approach to symbols, it is also important to appreciate his phenomenological and ontological perspective in which the linguistic sign system is directly related to, what are defined
as, other levels of consciousness, and particularly to an understanding of intentionality and meaning that is not only self-conscious but corporeal and active. To this end, he acknowledges his debt to Maurice Merleau-Ponty(23). In *Freud and Philosophy* he writes,

The first corollary to the theory of intentionality concerns the phenomenological notion of one's own body, or, in the language of the later writings of Merleau-Ponty, the notion of the flesh. When asked how it is possible for meaning to exist without being conscious, the phenomenologist replies: its mode of being is that of the body, which is neither ego nor thing of the world. The phenomenologist is not saying that the Freudian unconscious is the body; he is simply saying that the mode of being of the body, neither representation in me or thing outside of me, is the ontic model for any conceivable unconscious. This status as model stems not from the vital determination of the body, but from the ambiguity of its mode of being. A meaning that exists is a meaning caught up with a body, a meaningful behaviour.

*ibid.* p. 382.

At the level of Freud's notion of the subconscious, Ricoeur's early work is devoted to the analysis of non-rational symbolic activity at this level. He came to Freud via his work on the voluntary and involuntary will in which, as Walter J. Lowe writes in the introduction to *Fallible Man*, the third part of this early work,

...in and through the interpretation of human experience, he has sought to fashion "a notion of being which is act rather than form, living affirmation, the power of existing and making exist".  
*Fallible Man* p. vii & viii..

What he learns from his 'debate' with Freud is the way a phenomenological approach to the subconscious shows that symbols both conceal and reveal the pre-reflective meanings of human activity. Drawing upon, at the same time as being critical of, Freud's topography of the archaeological symbolic structuring of the subconscious, Ricoeur understands the concealment and revelation of this pre-reflective subconscious symbolic structuring as intrinsic to human activity in the struggle for affirmation in the historical human condition. It is this which discloses the need for a dialectic between an archaeological and teleological opposition(24) within a hermeneutical movement. Symbols have opaqueness which has the effect of concealing the hidden ground of reflection. By means, therefore, of hermeneutical suspicion there may be a process of revealing the arche of language and rational thought in a dialectical relationship with
an open teleological horizon of affirmation of new meaning.

It will be necessary to study in closer detail critical aspects of this background to Ricoeur's early work in subsequent chapters. As indicated above, the purpose here is to provide a general view of his work on symbols as a background to an analysis of the symbolic characteristic, and particularly the *iconic moment*, of his theory of metaphor. It is the background to the analysis, presented below, of the ontological grounding of linguistic expression in terms of the figurative characteristic of metaphor in which the *iconic moment* is the focus of the *primordial dialectic* in the movement from suspicion to affirmation of presence.

According to Ricoeur, the *iconic moment* is the focus of the linguistic mode of symbolic activity and structuring of historical human activity; it has its roots in corporeal and subconscious activity in the struggle for existential freedom. This aspect of Ricoeur's theory of metaphor is crucial in his attempt to show that the expression of being-in-the-world is objectively grounded. In contrast to the dominantly affective characteristic of the traditional substitutional theory of metaphor, his work on symbols, in terms of its corporeality, provides a way of understanding the materiality and therefore objectiveness of metaphoric iconicity. In this respect, his study of religious symbols and the significant difference between sign and sacrament plays an important part in the development of his theory. That is, symbols do not simply *point to* in the manner of the sign, they are the objective material presence of what they make known. In this sense, the symbol is the *concrete* moment of the living present. But there is a need to recognize it is the *concrete moment* of a symbolic richness, which Ricoeur calls a *mixed texture* whereby the opposed poles of the dialectic between archaeology and teleology are held in a hermeneutical movement. That is, the symbolic richness, or overdetermination in Freudian analysis, that
makes possible the process of interpretation from concealment to disclosure, from suspicion to affirmation, is contained in the *concrete mixed texture* which is the symbol.

**Objective Nature of Symbols**

This *concrete mixed texture* is the effect of the convergence, the centripetal dynamic, the bringing together of the *iconic moment*, the symbolic characteristic, of metaphor as the expression of being-in-the-world in the context of the symbolic richness related to conscious, subconscious and corporeal activity. It will be necessary to study Ricoeur's theory of narrative to give full consideration to the implications of this symbolic activity in relation to the problem of presence. The aim at this stage is to look carefully at the *concrete mixed texture* with respect to the *iconic moment* of metaphor as the grounding of rational discourse in the ontological expression of being-in-the-world.

There are three linguistic integrally related elements of the *iconic moment* involved in the dynamic of metaphor that effects a convergence of symbolic activity. In metaphoric expression, an expression of historic experience of being-in-the-world, there is a convergence of symbolic activity with respect to the contextual symbolic richness relating to all levels of consciousness and corporeal activity. The three linguistic elements are imagination, image and sound. The first, which is based upon Ricoeur's interpretation and development of the Kantian notion of the productive imagination and is central to his whole philosophy, will be the subject of a detailed examination in chapter 7. The important characteristic of Ricoeur's understanding of the imagination with regard to the *iconic moment* of metaphor is the way that language is transcended in and through language; that the dynamic of this converging moment is the imaginative act directed beyond language in and through the mediation of linguistic symbolic
structuring of human activity; a symbolic richness which in this converging moment is subjected to a dialectical tension of suspicion and affirmation. The power of the productive imagination, essentially linguistic, is its creative play of images, the second element of the iconic moment. For example, Aristotle defines the creative artistry of the poet as follows,

...the greatest gift by far is to be the master of the metaphor. It is the one thing that cannot be learnt from others; and it is also a sign of genius, since a good metaphor implies an intuitive perception of the similarity of dissimilars.  
Poetics 1459 a 3 & 8.

This gift of perceiving similarity of dissimilars, essentially dependent and derivative from the creative play of images, produces an iconic fusion in an image grounded in the living present.

Poetic Language: Sound and Image

The nature of this grounding is given in sound, the third element of the iconic moment (although it must be clear that imagination, image and sound are inseparable). The key to whether the attempt to ground expression in the living present lies with the possibility of showing that, in this sense, language has an objective materiality. This is why the voice was so important to Husserl. It is the sound of the living voice that may ground language in the present, although, Derrida's criticism of Husserl has already been noted and will be taken up again in relation to Ricoeur's theory of metaphor. However, the voice as the grounding of imaginative expression in contrast to expression of rational unity is fundamentally a different approach to sound as linguistic objective materiality. The latter is an attempt to understand how the sound of the voice in the living present is bound up with the imaginative play of images in the fusion of the iconic moment.

As mentioned above, Ricoeur is influenced by his study of religious symbols in the way that liturgical sacraments are concrete symbols which are the means of active participation(29). The
sensible objective materiality of these symbols means that they are not simply signs of 'this for that' but the mediation of human activity in the living present. Liturgical symbols, as an example of the symbolic structuring of historical, social contexts, show how people actively inhabit the lifeworld of the liturgy which is ontologically structured by the material, objective presence of the symbols. The sensible materiality of the religious symbol is analogous to the sensible materiality of the linguistic symbol; that is, the sound of the living voice. It is this that grounds the mediation of the linguistic symbol, the iconic moment of metaphor, in the immediacy of the present.

Taking, as an example, a metaphor for old age, specifically, the figure of an elderly man in the poem, *Sailing to Byzantium* by W.B. Yeats,

> An aged man is but a paltry thing
> A tattered coat upon a stick...

Ricoeur would agree that working with images is central to the function of metaphor. The image of the old man as a tattered coat upon a stick is the result of Yeats' working with images. It is the power of the imagination to intuitively create an image through a process of fusion of images drawn from the linguistic symbolic structuring of consciousness at all levels. This is a process of image-making analogous to any artistic creativity. This linguistic process of working with words, according to Ricoeur, is radically different from the working out of a propositional, descriptive sentence. For example, the possible paraphrases of the above metaphor, (e.g. an aged man is frail and insignificant) are "objective descriptions" of an old man. To use Saussurean language, they are signifiers based upon an internal differential system of meaning whereby they signify the signified. This is working with words in the attempt to describe the figure of an aged man through signification, that is, working with words as signs. Ricoeur claims that this
is not what the poet does. Neither is this the process in the creation of metaphor. He writes,

The poet, in effect, is that artisan who sustains and shapes imagery using no other means than language.  
*The Rule of Metaphor* p. 211.

What does this mean? It means that a poet like Yeats is predominantly working with sound, with rhythm, with those acoustic modulations which are the very stuff of linguistic imagery. It is immediate sensibility that is the basic means of the linguistic image making. In the same way as the sculptor's image is created out of the marble, that the materiality of the marble, its texture, its particular makeup is fundamental in the fusion of the image, so too phonic sensibility is the warp and weave of metaphor.

Ricoeur, however, makes the point that 'the essential trait of poetic language is not the fusion of sense with sound, but the fusion of sense with a wave of evoked or aroused images. This constitutes the true "iconicity" of sense. The iconic moment is the moment of fusion. But, in making this point, Ricoeur could be accused of concentrating too much upon the image to the neglect of the key role played by sound. Reading poetry, and narrative in-formed by metaphor, evokes images in the memory and symbolic images of the cultural context in the sound of the text. Language has its own 'music' which is the stuff of images. But this is not 'music' of the emotions alone; it is, as Ricoeur is so concerned to make clear, integrally related to the *iconic moment* that is the ontological dynamic of semantic interaction and innovation.

Reading poetry is an act of listening and seeing as the grounding of mediation of understanding. To listen to the sounds of the words,

*The yellow fog that rubs its back upon the window panes,*  
*The Love Song of J. Alfred Prufrock*  
*T.S. Eliot*

or,
is to be responsive to the arousal of images that fuse into a configuration which makes disclosure possible in provoking semantic interaction at the level of reflection. His early work on symbols sets the scene for this analysis. The images are aroused from the sedimentation of the linguistic trace; they are part of the sedimented figuration of the imagistic texture of the trace. A trace that is grounded, according to Ricoeur, in archaic figures:

The most innovative figures that the artist, writer or thinker can produce call forth energies originally invested in archaic figures, comparable to oniric and neurotic symptoms, the creator reveals man's most open and fundamental possibilities and erects them into new symbols of the suffering of self-consciousness.

*Freud and Philosophy* p. 522.

In his work on narrative, he attempts to show that its composition is anchored in the symbolic resources of the practical field. That is, all actions are symbolically mediated, and therefore symbols are interpretants of action: 'Symbolism confers an initial readability on action.'(31) The linguistic trace is woven into the texture of the symbolic system of social activity. 'The tattered coat and stick' is not simply drawn from language describing utilitarian items of clothing and aids for disability, they symbolize an active condition of particular people recognizable in the social context. Every aspect and activity of social life are potentially or actually part of its symbolic texture. It is through metaphor that symbols, in terms of image and sound, are part of language and ground linguistic mediation in the ontological condition of being-in-the-world.

The fundamental feature of this process is the way that the symbolic image is united with sound. It is important to listen to the sound when reading metaphor (whether reading aloud, or listening with the 'inner ear'). It is that sound in relation to meaning which is decisive in the choice of metaphor in the process of drawing upon background symbolically structured social activity. This
is why the creative ability required for translation involves the intuitive grasp of sound and image in both languages. Metaphor cannot be translated in the manner of seeking equivalent lexical meaning, but by an imaginative, intuitive perception rooted in sound and image of both languages. The process of listening in the creation of metaphor, or an appropriation of sound in reading, is not limited to the phonics of lexical meaning based upon the notion of Saussurean difference; that is, sound related to linguistic concepts, which can be, according to Derrida, relinquished in favour of inscription, so that sound is not the basis of meaning but writing whereby meaning is endlessly deferred through the process of metaphor, or, more particularly, metonymy. In contrast, the phonics of metaphor, according to Ricoeur, is essential to the dynamic of the centripetal fusion of images in the intuitive perception of similarity between dissimilars. Of course, the semantics of metaphor is what matters in the affirmation of presence, but what is claimed is that this cannot be separated from sound. In this sense, there is an agreement with Husserl that the voice is involved in the affirmation of presence, although not as the expression of the transcendental subject and the grounding of univocity.

Image, Sound and Objectivity

There does, however, seem to be a question with respect to Ricoeur's analysis of sound and image which is brought to light by comparison with Husserl's treatment of the image. The question is whether the image can be placed on the side of objectivity, or must it inevitably be defined as a mental image and placed clearly on the subjective side? If the affirmation of presence is to be grounded in the given of linguistically structured consciousness, and not in the metaphysical assumptions of the transcendental subject, and if metaphor plays a crucial role in this grounding, then should not the image, or imaginality, be on the side of objectivity?
Husserl's concern is to separate the image from intentionality in order to ground the intuitive unity of the object upon the latter. According to Husserl, the image-theory poses the appearance of the image in consciousness as the representative (im bildlichen Vorstellen) of the object. The image is the resemblance of the object in experience, but such resemblance cannot provide access to the original beyond the image. On the basis of this theory, it is not possible therefore for intentionality, for meaning, to be directed at the object. What constitutes the image as a representation of the object is intentional consciousness. Husserl's notion of intentionality is not a matter of a relation between two things: an act and an intentional object in consciousness, but one intentional experience by which the object appears. As John Sallis writes,

Husserl refers, finally, back to the domain of fundamental problems, to what is preeminently at issue in that domain, namely intentionality. It is imperative to realize that an object is present to consciousness, not because a content similar to the object is somehow present in consciousness, but rather because it is constituted as object in and through the intentional experience.
Delimitations p. 67.

Sallis takes up the critical problem raised above in his study, in chapter 5, 'Image and Phenomena', particularly the subsection, 'Image and Imaginality'. He observes that Husserl's critical achievement in insisting on the distinction between intentional experience and the intentional object, which has the effect of removing the latter from the sphere of immanence, serves to eliminate the mental object, clears the way for a return to the thing-itself, as it shows itself. The issue therefore is, if it is part of the fundamental problem of presence, how may the image be regarded as belonging to the domain of the object? According to Sallis, in his phenomenological analysis, Husserl does not allow the image to be the basis of presentation because it is constituted by intentional experience. The intentional object, which Husserl identifies as the actual object, the original, appears, is presented as an image that is constituted by intentionality. For the image to participate in the process of presentation, it would have to be
involved in objectivity. That is, intentional experience would have to be in relation to the image as object; its imaginality would have to be made manifest. Husserl claims that this cannot be because the image is not a predicate of the object.

Ricoeur, however, does not claim that the image provides access to the thing-itself in terms of rational unity, but that it opens up the possibility of affirming such unity on the basis of ontological unity with respect to self-identity. The image is the expression of being-in-the-world. Its objectivity lies in the integral relationship with linguistic materiality of sound, the living voice, in the *iconic moment* of metaphor. Furthermore, intentionality, according to Ricoeur, is fundamentally, with respect to the creation of meaning in a hermeneutical process, an imaginative act. It is an ontological act of expression; an imaginative expression of being-in-the-world. This act, which will be studied more closely in chapters 6 and 7 particularly in relation to narrative, is a creative process whereby intentionality is understood as working with linguistic images. Ricoeur writes,

> In poetic language, the sign is looked at, not through. In other words, instead of being a medium or route crossed on the way to reality, language itself becomes 'stuff,' like the sculptor's marble.

*The Rule of Metaphor* p. 209.

The ontological unity through linguistic expression in the poetic mode is achieved on the basis of an objective linguistic operation in terms of an imaginative act which fuses the linguistic images of similarity in dissimilarity in the *iconic moment*. There is more to be considered with respect to the dynamic of this act especially in relation to self-identity, but in terms of metaphor it is this act which may be taken as Ricoeur's response to the second critical perspective on the problem of presence identified in chapter one.
Metaphor and Rational Unity: The Interactive Theory

What then is the relationship between this act and the first critical perspective regarding the affirmation of rational unity? To put it another way, how does the imaginative act enable the 'route to be crossed on the way to reality'? Or can it? If there is not some way whereby language may refer to the reality of the thing-itself, is not conceptual unity a pragmatic or conventional achievement, for example, based upon 'use' in a Wittgensteinian 'language-game'? Or is conceptual unity an illusion; is it endlessly deferred, as Derrida claims, which will be considered briefly below?

Obviously, this question can only be addressed by pursuing the semantic characteristic of Ricoeur's interactive theory of metaphor. A critical feature of this theory is that although the iconic moment is the sensible figurative focus that precipitates the metaphor of the meaning in the semantic order, it is distinctly separate from this order. It is the linguistic dynamic of a semantic interaction process, but its sensible qualities are of a different order. The contrast with the traditional substitutional theory of metaphor reveals the key significance of this critical feature. The traditional theory is based upon metaphor as the word. Although there are many variations of this theory, particularly in the increased interest that has been recently given to the study of metaphor, the critical characteristic is the 'carrying over' of one word in an act of substituting a word having a literal meaning, or a descriptive phrase with a word which conveys the effect of surprise and/or heightened emotion. The aim is to 'animate' the text for poetic or rhetorical effects. The word becomes a linguistic instrument for emotional effect. Its semantic property does not create new meaning in being 'carried over'; its deviance or impertinence is simply to bring about an intensity of perception and feeling. In the powerful first chapter of Thomas Hardy's Return of the Native, in describing Egdon Heath, Hardy writes,
Haggard Egdon appealed to a subtler and scarcer instinct, to a more recently learnt emotion, than that which responds to the sort of beauty called charming and fair.

p. 34.

The literal meaning of 'Haggard' is related to a human being, or possibly an animal, which is wild-looking, especially resulting from fatigue (OED). Therefore it cannot be applied literally to an area of land such as a heath. The effect of its literal meaning therefore, according to the substitutional theory, is to stimulate an emotional response to the heath. The meaning of heath does not change; it remains a waste tract of land, but the feeling for this particular heath in the story is intensified.

In contrast, the interactive theory of metaphor is not based upon the word but the sentence or discourse. Metaphor understood as a process, metaphor, is not limited to the single word, it is the whole sentence or text. In Study 1, 'Between rhetoric and poetics: Aristotle' of The Rule of Metaphor, Ricoeur identifies the first characteristic of metaphor as 'something that happens to the noun' (p. 16), but claims that this became definitive of the dominant view confining metaphor to one of the figures of speech contained in Aristotle's theory of tropes. This view, resulting, according to Ricoeur, from a decline in the importance of Rhetoric and a separation between speculative and poetic discourse(39), led to a failure to recognize the fundamental importance of the second characteristic. He writes,

The second characteristic is that metaphor is defined in terms of movement. The epiphora of a word is described as a sort of displacement, a movement 'from...to...'. This notion of epiphora enlightens at the same time as it puzzles us. It tells us that, far from designating just one figure of speech among others such as synecdoche and metonymy (this is how we find metaphor taxonomized in later rhetoric), for Aristotle the word metaphor applies to every transposition of terms.

ibid. p. 17.

This movement is later developed in his analysis to be understood in philosophical terms as the ontological dynamic of convergence in the iconic moment of metaphor. Here it can be seen to relate to the semantic movement with respect to metaphor defined in terms of the sentence or the
complete discourse. In other words, what happens in the movement of metaphor is a
displacement of meaning in a process of semantic interaction between what may be described
as semantic fields. In the above example, the word 'haggard' carries over the semantic
connotations from the field of its literal meaning to interact with the semantic field of the noun,
'heath'. The *epiphoric* semantic convergence of these two fields is brought about by the fusion
of images in the *iconic moment*.

**Metaphor: Split Reference**

Ricoeur describes this as an *ontological vehemence* which uproots the literal reference in one
field to set free a second-order, metaphorical reference to interact in another semantic. Here,
Ricoeur draws upon the literary criticism in the work of Roman Jacobson, particularly his notion
of *split-reference*.

He writes,

> The most radical consequences of this is that what happens in poetry is not the suppression of
referential function but its profound alteration by the working of ambiguity: 'The supremacy of
poetic function over referential function does not obliterate the reference but makes it ambiguous.
The double-sensed message finds its correspondence in a split addresser, in a split addressee, and
what is more in a split reference, as is cogently exposed in the preambles to fairy tales of various
peoples, for instance, in the usual exordium of the Majorca storytellers: "Aixo era y no era" (It was
and it was not) *ibid* p. 224.

What Ricoeur is at pains to make clear is reference, in this sense of metaphorical second-order
reference, is not the literal denotation of rational identity, but the reference to an active state of
affairs, to a lifeworld appropriated and inhabited by an act of the imagination that is linguistic
through and through. With regard to metaphor, it is through the *iconic moment* that this reference
is made possible; but to consider the full implications of this notion of *split reference* in relation
to the imaginative act will require the critical analysis of Ricoeur's theory of narrative set out in
the next chapter. The issue at this stage is how is conceptual unity achieved at the semantic level
in affirming the presence of the thing-itself? If the interactive process is based upon ambiguity,
and involves reference which is of second-order association and connotation, not literal denotation, how is it possible for conceptual unity to be achieved?

**Two Levels of Operation: Figurative and Semantic**

As mentioned above, the interactive theory of metaphor is based upon an understanding of metaphor as sentence or discourse not a single word. According to Ricoeur, the sentence, the basic unit of language refers to the reality of the objective world because meaning is based upon this unit. Words only have meaning in relation to the sentences or discourse of which they are part. With the exception of proper names which are limited to designation(42), all lexical definitions are interpreted by reference to other words on the basis of the sentence or discourse. The literal abstract meaning is created out of a dialectic between the two levels of operation: the metaphorical operation whereby reference is achieved through the sentence, and the level of abstract meaning with respect to rational discourse based upon the word. In defining this dialectic, Ricoeur presents his particular interpretation of what Hegel calls *Aufhebung*(43). In Study Eight of *The Rule of Metaphor*, he writes.

The first operation which is purely metaphorical, takes a proper (eigentlich) meaning and transports it (übertragen) into the spiritual order. Out of expression - non-proper (uneigentlich) because transposed - the other operation makes a proper abstract meaning. It is the second operation that constitutes the 'suppression-preservation' which Hegel calls *Aufhebung*. But the two operations, transfer and suppression-preservation, are distinct. The second alone creates a proper sense in the spiritual order out of an improper sense coming from the sensible order. The phenomenon of wearing away (Abnutzung) is only a prior condition allowing the second operation to be constituted on the ground of the first.

*ibid.* p. 292.

Conceptuality in speculative, rational discourse is constituted on the ground of the metaphoric iconic focus in a primordial dialectical relationship whereby the interactive process of the semantic fields brings about the creation of new meaning. As the sensible element wears away through continuing use over time, the proper sense of the new meaning is constituted in the rational, conceptual order.
But the question remains, how can conceptual unity be constituted on the basis of ambiguity in the metaphorical order? Janet Martin Soskice writes,


She is critical of Ricoeur's attempt to appropriate the Hegelian notion of *Aufhebung*. Ricoeur claims that through the ontological vehemence of the *iconic moment* a tension is set up 'deep with the logical force of the verb to be' between an impossibility of a literal interpretation and the metaphorical 'is'. That is, the tension between the 'is' and the 'is not'. He writes, with respect to the metaphorical 'is',

To state 'that is' - such is the moment of belief, of ontological commitment, which gives affirmation its 'illocutionary' force.
*ibid*. p. 249.

Here Ricoeur is drawing upon the Speech Act theories of J.L.Austin and John Searle in which language is understood to be performative in the sense that certain forms of speech are central to the performance of the acts that are expressed. An aim of this study is to draw upon Ricoeur's dynamic theory of metaphor in an attempt to show how unity, in terms of the *iconic moment* achieved through an active, ontological commitment, makes possible conceptual unity within the tension set up in semantic interaction between the 'is' and the 'is not'.

As a result, new meaning is created whereby there is a redescription. Through the power of metaphor, Ricoeur claims that the active lifeworld which is symbolically and linguistically structured is constantly redescribed making possible logical, rational analysis and explanation in relation to the entities abstracted from the life world. Metaphorical, poetic language is 'fluid' open to the expansiveness of the experience of the lifeworld, constantly disclosing the new meanings of the opaque richness of the symbolic structure and sedimentation at all levels of
consciousness in a hermeneutical process from suspicion to affirmation.

Soskice's criticism of this theory of metaphor, in which she takes note of the work of Max Black which influenced Ricoeur, deserves quoting at length.

Ricoeur's suggestion that the tension of metaphor is ontological is somewhat ambiguous. It is motivated in part by his desire to emphasize the redescriptive power of metaphor, hence the "critical incision of the (literal) 'is not' within the ontological vehemence of the (metaphorical) 'is'". The most obvious reading of these remarks about redescription and ontological tension is as a restatement of the unsatisfactory (A is a B) 'two-subjects' view that we have criticized in Max Black, thus 'Man is a wolf' and 'Man is not a wolf', 'The lake is sapphire' and 'The lake is not sapphire'. But this approach comes dangerously near to making metaphor a matter of comparison and Ricoeur's use of the term 'redescription' is revealing. The trouble with Black's theory is that, in assuming a two-subject perspective in which primary subject (man) is modified by the secondary subject (wolf), he is unable to explain convincingly how metaphors can say something genuinely new. Similarly Ricoeur's language of redescription inevitably suggests comparison because, even accompanied by talk of an ontological tension, it implies that there is some definite, pre-existing thing (the principal subject of the metaphor in Max Black's terms) that the metaphor is about and simply redescribes.

Ibid. p. 89.

This criticism seems to be unanswerable within the confines of Ricoeur's theory set out in The Rule of Metaphor. It is only in the development of Ricoeur's work in his theory of narrative, and particularly narrative identity that the critical issue may be addressed. This will be taken up in the following chapters when it will be shown that what is at stake is not a pre-existing principal subject, but the teleological movement from suspicion to affirmation of self identity grounded in ontological commitment mediated through the text, in terms of narrative identity, that is the dynamic of new meaning, and not of comparison.

Derridean Perspective: Critical Questions

This chapter may be seen to have raised fundamental questions with respect to Ricoeur's response to the two critical perspectives upon the problem of presence identified in chapter one. These may be clear from the comparison with Derrida. Although both are committed to the givenness of a phenomenological perspective on language as the basis of any attempt to address
the problem of presence, and, consequently, to exclude all metaphysical assumptions, Derrida's understanding of metaphor may seem to remain faithful to this commitment in contrast to Ricoeur. Derrida takes the linguistic sign system in terms of inscription, since sound, the living voice, he attempts to show, assumes the presence of a transcendental subject, and therefore an *a priori* metaphysical foundation for presence. Accordingly, he is committed to inscription, the mark, the linguistic trace as the basis of any approach to the problem of presence. Any question of the derivation of meaning is confined to *l'écriture*. Meaning is the effect of the play, the dissemination of this trace in terms of Saussurean difference, but inscriptive not phonic(45). Metaphor is the nature of this play. It is the semantic movement in the context of continually changing experience; a constant reverberation in which there is a process of supplementing the *space* in the *trace*(46). Metaphor therefore is this movement of supplementarity in an endless metonymic chain, a process in which meaning is never achieved but endlessly deferred; which is the reason for Derrida coining the word, *Differance* to combine the notions of difference and deferred. Derrida makes clear that the trace, in terms of this endless metaphoric supplementarity whereby presence cannot be affirmed, determines consciousness; and intentionality, intuition and the imagination are linguistically derivative with respect to the trace.

The intention in this brief reference to Derrida's understanding of metaphor is not to enter into a detailed analysis, but first to indicate a fundamental distinction between Derrida and Ricoeur which provides a critical perspective on the latter's commitment to the givenness of the text. Secondly, to make note of a critical contrasting view which will remain central to this study and be taken up at a later stage. With respect to the first critical perspective of the problem of affirming rational unity, Ricoeur's response in terms of his theory of metaphor raises the question, what kind of unity is mediated through the poetic mode of language as the grounding
of rational unity? What is the linguistic nature of ontological vehemence and tension in the *iconic moment* which achieves a fusion based upon an ambiguity which is never completely transcended. Or, in other words, an ambiguity between suspicion and affirmation with the movement towards the latter but never relinquishing suspicion? Secondly, in attempting to resolve the second critical perspective of the issue of being-in-the-world in relation to the problem, has Ricoeur gone beyond the givenness of language in the imaginative expression of the poetic mode? Does not the imagination presume a transcendental subject in a similar manner to that of Husserl? Does not the sound of the living voice, even in the poetic mode, point to the extra linguistic presence of the transcendental subject? How is it possible for the imagination to be linguistic and yet transcend language in referring to the objective lifeworld? To take up these questions it is necessary to follow the development of Ricoeur's work on metaphor in his theory of narrative.
Chapter Three
Presence, Sound, and Narrative

Narrative and the Questions of Presence

Analyzing the two critical perspectives upon the problem of presence, identified in the first chapter, a beginning was made in chapter two to examine the possibility developed in Paul Ricoeur's work on metaphor in *The Rule of Metaphor* that the linguistic focus of the interrelationship of these two perspectives may be found in metaphor. That is, that the fundamentally metaphoric characteristic of language provides the way to resolve the problematic of presence in terms of ontologically affirming the unity of self identity. Metaphor is here understood as a linguistic movement involving the complete sentence, or discourse whereby the figurative feature is the expression of the ontological dynamic of the movement effecting the creation of new meaning and consequently the affirmation of its conceptual unity. In other words, conceptual unity as the linguistic mediation of the presence of an object, is made possible through metaphor with respect to an ontological vehemence arising from its iconic moment.

However, the questions raised at the conclusion of chapter two with respect to both perspectives draw attention to the unresolved problem of presence. Metaphor, according to Ricoeur's theory, is the critical linguistic focus for achieving this resolution; but in order carefully to consider whether this resolution is possible, it is necessary to pursue the analysis of metaphor which leads on to Ricoeur's theory of narrative, particularly as this is set out in *Time and Narrative* and *Oneself as Another*. He writes,

*The Rule of Metaphor* and *Time and Narrative* form a pair: published one after the other, these works were conceived together. Although metaphor has traditionally belonged to the theory of "tropes" (or figures of discourse) and narrative to the theory of literary "genres," the meaning-effects
The reason for developing the analysis of metaphor in this direction is to attempt to concentrate upon the issues relating to the poetic mode of language as the linguistic mediation for the grounding and affirming of presence; the mode of language which may be understood as the ontological expression of being-in-the-world. The essential characteristic of this mode being the symbolic grounding of its figuration in the concrete activity of the lifeworld. There are two key questions arising from Ricoeur's theory of metaphor: Firstly, what kind of unity is achieved through this grounding in the *iconic moment* whereby the poetic mode dynamically affirms conceptual unity in the rational mode of language? How is it possible for univocity, and therefore presence of objects, to be affirmed by means of the mediation of a linguistic mode that seems to be rooted in ambiguity and contradiction? In the rational tradition of Western philosophy there has been constant vigilance in upholding the law of non-contradiction\(^{(1)}\) and a dominant concern to separate the rational and poetic modes of language\(^{(2)}\). This question relates directly to the issue of temporality which was outlined in chapter one and must be given closer attention in this and subsequent chapters.

The second question is, how is it possible for the poetic mode of language, as defined by Ricoeur in his work on metaphor and narrative, to be transcended in and through language not by way of a metaphysical grounding, whether that be the Kantian a priori rational categories, or the Husserlian transcendental subject? What is being considered in this study is how this mode of language is the given mediation of consciousness whereby presence is affirmed by means of an intrinsic dynamic, ontologically grounded in the activity of the lifeworld, which is transcendental and teleological. More particularly, to consider the principal part played by the imagination in
this process of affirmation when defined in terms of linguistic figuration and the corporeal nature of consciousness which will form part of the analysis below, but will be studied more closely in chapters six and seven.

This chapter will be devoted more to the importance of sound with respect to the objective and ontological characteristics of metaphor and narrative, and the unity of self-identity. Therefore, it is the first question which will mainly addressed in this and the following chapter, and the question of transcendence, although being touched upon in this chapter, and the next, will predominate in relation to Ricoeur's development of the Kantian notion of the productive imagination in chapter seven.

**Ricoeur, Saussure and Sound**

In raising the question about unity, it is critically important to understand the difference between that unity of self-identity which Ricoeur attempts to show is achieved by the *iconic moment* of the poetic mode related to the figurative characteristic of metaphor and the configuration of narrative, and the conceptual unity that, for example, according to Ferdinand de Saussure, is phonically achieved by the internal structures of the linguistic synchronic sign system. It is the latter Derrida criticises, particularly in *Of Grammatology*, which will form part of the analysis below. Both Ricoeur and Saussure give priority to sound in language, but there is a significant difference which is helpful to consider, taking account of the Derridean critique, in an endeavour to understand Ricoeur's approach. In so doing, it is important to appreciate that Saussure's perspective with respect to univocity is based upon the rational, conceptual mode of language, whereas, Ricoeur's approach to unity is grounded in the poetic mode. However, since they seem to share a common commitment to the sound of the spoken word, it is worthwhile to compare...
their work.

Saussure defines language as a sign system based upon the notion of the linguistic sign consisting of two elements. In 'The Linguistic Sign' taken from his *Course in General Linguistics* he writes,

A linguistic sign is not a link between a thing and a name, but between a concept and a sound pattern. The sound pattern is not actually a sound; for a sound is something physical. A sound pattern is the hearer's psychological impression of a sound, as given to him by the evidence of his senses. This sound pattern may be called a 'material' element only in that it is the representation of our sensory impressions. The sound pattern may thus be distinguished from the other element associated with it in the linguistic sign. This other element is generally of a more abstract kind: the concept.

*Course in General Linguistics* Tr. Roy Harris. p. 66

The concept, he calls the *signified*, and the sound-image is the *signifier*. This latter element of the sign, according to Saussure, reveals how language is grounded in the concrete and particular in the way the sound of the spoken word makes a psychological imprint in terms of an image which is integrally related to the former element of the sign, that is, the concept or cognitive idea. However, the sound-image, the *signifier*, does not refer to the object in the manner of an empirical sense-image, but to the concept, the *signified* as the mediation of the object. In other words, although language is grounded in the concrete and the particular in the spoken word, linguistic meaning is an internal production of the sign system. For this reason, Saussure is equally concerned to separate the sign system of language, *langue*, from the spoken word - speech, *parole* - to show how the structure of the sign system produces meaning by an internal process. Meaning of words being based upon a purely arbitrary process with respect to phonic difference is determined by convention. He writes,

For any means of expression accepted in a society rests in principle upon a collective habit, or on convention, which comes to the same thing. *ibid.* p. 68.
In the light of Saussure's definition of the arbitrary nature of linguistic meaning, particularly his view of *symbol*, and the central role played by the symbolic characteristic of metaphor in Ricoeur's theory of meaning, it is important to appreciate the difference between their contrasting theories in which sound is fundamental to both. Saussure writes,

The word *symbol* is sometimes used to designate the linguistic sign, or more exactly that part of the linguistic sign which we are calling the signal. This use of the word *symbol* is awkward, for reasons connected to the first principle. For it is characteristic of symbols that they are never entirely arbitrary. They are not empty configurations. They show at least a vestige of natural connexion between the signal and its signification. For instance, our symbol of justice, the scales could hardly be replaced by a chariot. *Ibid.* p. 68.

This understanding of symbol does not, however, take account of Ricoeur's ontological view of the metaphoric icon. According to this view, the symbolic characteristic of metaphor is not simply a 'this for that', a linguistic sound-image signifying the object in terms of the mediation of the signified or concept. It is the mediation of a participation in the particular, concrete activity of the life-world. It is the mediation of an active, temporal state of being; and the nature of this mediation is the integral relationship of sound and image.

Saussure is concerned only with sound in relation to an arbitrary production of conceptual meaning; that is, meaning of single words. Ricoeur is concerned with sound as it relates to words as part of sentences and discourse. In these theories, with respect to the spoken word, sound is not limited to the structure of phonemes of the particular word; it is part of the expression of the sentence and discourse in terms of stress, accent, cadence, inflection and enunciation. This is particularly evident in poetry, but it is also true of all forms of narrative which give expression to lifeworlds. The spoken word gives expression to an imaginative participation in the world of the narrative. It is an expression of sound patterns integrally related to a state of being that is actively disturbed by the ontological reverberations of an imaginative appropriation of the world of the narrative.
A critical analysis of Ricoeur's theory of narrative, undertaken later in this chapter and the next, is necessary for a closer examination of this understanding of linguistic sound. However, this analysis will only begin to address a key question as to whether there are, what may be called, 'correct' expressions, or rather interpretative expressions of particular narratives, or an infinite number. If, for example, one reading of a narrative text is never the same as another reading, or if a text may be subject to a number of different interpretative readings, how can meaning be based upon this understanding of linguistic sound? The question is central to the main themes of this thesis and will carefully considered in the development of the analysis, and given particular attention in chapter six.

Derrida's Critique of Saussure

But first, there is need to consider the issue of sound with respect to Ricoeur, Saussure and Derrida. As already stated, sound is fundamental to the linguistic analysis of Ricoeur and Saussure which is criticised by Derrida. And yet, as discussed in chapter one, Ricoeur and Derrida share a common commitment, from a phenomenological perspective, to the givenness of language as structuring of consciousness, and consequently to the rejection of an extra-linguistic source of meaning. To this end, both define this givenness in terms of the written mode of the linguistic sign system; but, in contrast to Derrida, Ricoeur incorporates the sound of the spoken word within his definition of the givenness of the written text. Is he attempting to have it both ways? That is, is he attempting to maintain an extra-linguistic source of meaning in terms of sound as a form of reference at the same time as claiming that the creation of meaning is inherent in the written text?

The significant difference between the seemingly common view of Ricoeur and Saussure with
respect to sound has been noted above, but in order to pursue a critical examination of Ricoeur's theory of narrative as a development of the analysis of his theory of metaphor in chapter two it will be worthwhile to take account of Derrida's critical viewpoint. It will, in fact, be worthwhile to attempt to clarify the crucial differences between these three overlapping perspectives.

Although Saussure also defines the production of meaning as a process internal to the sign system, that system is primarily based upon the spoken word. The natural bond, according to Saussure, the only true bond of sense to senses is sound. Derrida comments,

This natural bond of the signified (concept or sense) to the phonic signifier would condition the natural relationship subordinating writing (visible image) to speech. *of Grammatology*, p. 35.

Saussure is extremely critical of those who in the Western tradition have, he claims, attempted to usurp the main role of the sound of the spoken word by giving priority to the written word. According to Saussure, the written word, the 'outside' of language(7), is open to many interpretations of meaning. It is sound which determines the meaning of words. But this is not sound of speech; that is, linguistic sound of the spoken word in the historical, diachronic context of human dialogue. It is the synchronic sound of the spoken word which produces meaning in terms of a purely arbitrary process based upon difference internal to the linguistic structure of the sign system. However, what Derrida is at pains to point out is that Saussure is not concerned simply with sound but the sound-image. He quotes Saussure from *Course in General Linguistics* as follows,

> It is impossible for sound alone, a material element, to belong to language. It is only a secondary thing, substance to be put to use. All our conventional values have the characteristic of not being confused with the tangible element which supports them... The linguistic signifier... is not [in essence] phonic but incorporeal - constituted not by material substance but the differences that separate its sound-image from all others (p.164) [pp. 118-19]. The idea or phonic substance that
a sign contains is of less importance than the other signs that surround it (p. 166) [p.120]

ibid. p. 53 (Derrida quotes from the original Cours de linguistique générale, Paris 1931. The above translation by Wade Buskin, New York, 1959, is used by Gayatri Chatravorty Spivak in her translation of Of Grammatology, 1976)

Derrida proceeds to make clear that it is the sound-image that is the structured appearing of the sound. In other words, Saussure reduces, in the phenomenological sense, the acoustics and physiology of sound to the psychological imprint which through the process or formation of difference produces at the abstract level of the signified, or concept, the meaning of the word.

This is, according to Saussure, as noted above, an essentially arbitrary process. The only relationship of meaning to the objective, material world is one of convention. But if the production of meaning is an arbitrary process internal to the sign system, according to Derrida, there can be no subordination of the written sign to any natural bond of the phonic signifier.

Interpreting arbitrariness of the sign in terms of unmotivated institutions, he writes,

Now from the moment that one considers the totality of determined signs, spoken, and a fortiori written, as unmotivated institutions, one must exclude any relationship of natural subordination, any natural hierarchy among signifiers or orders of signifiers.

ibid. p. 44.

Taking Saussure's thesis as an important element of his own linguistic philosophy, but with this radical criticism, Derrida seeks to demonstrate that it is "writing" that is originary and primary in the production of meaning, and, in the name of the arbitrariness of the sign, contests the Saussurean definition of writing as "image", in other words, as natural symbol, of language.

**Saussure, Derrida and Ricoeur**

The need for clarification between Saussure, Derrida and Ricoeur lies in this critical issue of the symbol. Saussure is concerned to separate the sound of the spoken word from writing, making the latter dependent upon the former. But, as noted above, it is the sound-image which is the
primary source of meaning as it is taken up into the internal process of difference between signs. The written sign is, therefore, a material symbol, a visual representation of the image. However, the image is a psychological imprint of the sound which is not related to objectivity other than by conventional meaning with respect to the arbitrary process of linguistic meaning. In fact, Saussure is at pains to make clear that the sound-image is not to be confused with the onomatopoeia:

*Onomatopoeia* words might be used to show that a choice of signal is not always arbitrary. But such words are never organic elements of a linguistic system... The suggestive quality of the modern pronunciation of these words is a fortuitous result of phonetic evolution. *Course in General Linguistics.* p. 69

According to Derrida, there appears to be a confusion in Saussure's understanding of the linguistic symbol. For one thing, he points out that the phoneme is unimaginable, no visibility can resemble it. In other words, there can be no visible image of sound itself; as pure sound it is unimaginable. The critical point here is the way Derrida picks up on the separation that Saussure makes between the sound-image and objective sound. He is concerned with the appearing of sound as the source of meaning, and not the materiality of objective sound. This is what Derrida criticises with respect to the visible image. However, this criticism is not intended to favour the fundamental role of the symbol in the creation of meaning, but rather the reverse.

Derrida reveals the failure of Saussure's attempt to ground the affirmation of rational, conceptual meaning, that is, to affirm presence in the natural bond of the sound of the spoken word. In so doing, he is critical of any attempt to ground presence in the symbolic characteristic of language. Consequently, by implication at the least, he is critical of Ricoeur's theory of metaphor and narrative as the achievement of univocity by means of the poetic mode of language. For
example, he refers to the work of the Copenhagen School and quotes Hjelmslev,

But in any case, as is recognized by modern linguistics, diachronic considerations are irrelevant for synchronic descriptions. 

_of Grammatology._ p. 58.

The main concern in this work is to free the literary text from the phonic substance of expression to allow the _play of form_ of the purely graphic stratum to be studied in the development of glossematics. Derrida acknowledges, what he calls, 'new and fecund researches', but makes clear that this is not his primary interest. In order to focus upon 'writing' as the source of deferred meaning disclosing, through deconstruction, the absence of presence, he is primarily concerned with the freeing of this process from the assumptions of metaphysics, particularly with respect to "experience". He writes,

"Experience" has always designated the relationship with a presence, whether that relationship had the form of consciousness or not. At any rate, we must, according to this sort of contortion and contention which the discourse is obliged to undergo, exhaust the resources of the concept of experience before attaining and in order to attain, by deconstruction, its ultimate foundation. 

_ibid._ p. 60.

His objection to Saussure's insistence that sound is the basis of meaning, even though this is the psychological imprint of the sound-image, is that this is an element of experience which shows that there is a metaphysical, transcendental assumption in the institution of the linguistic system. That is, there is a pre-critical falling back into a naïve objectivism in the origin of the sign system; a failure to transcend the scientific perspective and exclude all metaphysical speculations. With respect to conceptual unity, which is the question this analysis of sound has arisen from, and to which it must return, Derrida shows that Saussure implicitly assumes a scientific perspective with the source of this unity derived from the transcendental subject.

Is Ricoeur also guilty of this _a priori_ assumption in relation to the written text? Is he not committed to affirming the objective nature of the text as the basis of scientific analysis and explanation? At least, he acknowledges,
...it is possible to treat the text according to the explanatory rules that linguistics successfully applies to simple system of signs which constitute language [langue]

'What is a text?' From Text to Action, p.113

In one sense, Ricoeur is indeed concerned with the objective nature of the written text; and, in contrast to Saussure, this seems to be revealed in his view of the objectivity of sound in relation to the poetic mode of language. This was considered in chapter two in the analysis of the *iconic moment* of metaphor. As a development of this, in his theory of narrative, he claims that 'a text is any discourse fixed by writing'\((12)\), and

> What is fixed by writing is thus a discourse that could be said, of course, but that is written precisely because it is not said. Fixation by writing takes the very place of speech, occurring at the site where speech could have emerged.

*ibid.* p.106

Here, although, he is concerned to separate the written text from *living speech* which may be considered to lie behind the text\((13)\), the text is nevertheless defined as discourse fixed by writing. Therefore, in the appropriation of the text by reading, the sound patterns of speech are intrinsically part of the text, and may be understood as that which roots the text in terms of objectivity. It is this objectivity, particularly when taken in relation to the configuration of the text\((14)\) which, consequently, may be understood as the basis of a rational, scientific textual analysis. But these are not the Saussurean sound patterns of phonic difference as the basis of conceptual meaning; they are the sound patterns set up by the *iconic* moment of metaphor, and by the *mimetic* configuration of emplotment of narrative\((15)\) in the poetic mode of language.

**Ontological Sonority**

There is an objectivity of these sound patterns which means that they are not simply a subjective mode of expression, and therefore may be analyzed scientifically to determine their nature with respect to the materiality of the structure of the text. But, in a fundamental sense with regard to the creation of meaning, they cannot be analyzed in terms of the scientific perspective of the
subject-object relationship. These sound patterns are not to be defined in terms of the *thingness* or *whatness* of objectivity, whether that be the objects of description included in a poem or narrative, or the materiality of sound as the linguistic characteristic of the poetic mode of the text. They are sound patterns of an ontological condition. In other words, the sound patterns set up by the *iconic moment* of metaphor, and the *mimetic configuration* of narrative play a central part in the ontological condition of the reader in the imaginative appropriation of the world of the text.

This is not, in Heideggerian terms the ontic condition of objectivity, the traditional ontology of the *thingness* or *whatness* of the natural world included in the narrative descriptions. It is the ontological condition of *Dasein*, of *being-in-the-world* which Heidegger attempts painstakingly to define in *Being and Time*, and later in *The Basic Problems of Phenomenology*. What is at stake is the being of the pre-objective world upon which subjective and objective being are founded. He writes,

The world is not the sum total of extant entities. It is, quite generally, not extant at all. It is a determination of being-in-the-world, a moment in the structure of Dasein's mode of being. The world is something Dasein-ish, it is not extant like things but it is *da*, there-here, like the *Dasein*, the being-da [*das Da-sein*] which we ourselves are: that is to say, it exists. We call the mode of being that we ourselves are, of the Dasein, by the name of existence. This implies as a pure matter of terminology that the world is not extant but rather it exists, it has the Dasein's mode of being. *The Basic Problems of Phenomenology*, p.166

However, aware that this interpretation of being may be assumed to be a form of subjective idealism, Heidegger, proceeds to explain that the world is something that the subject projects outward from within itself, and in the thrownness of this projection other things are uncovered:

To exist means, among other things, to cast-forth a world [Heidegger's phrase, *sich Welt vorher-werfen* suggests it is pre-thrown, pre-cast; it is an a priori of the Dasein], and in fact in such a way that with the thrownness of this projection, with the factical existence of a Dasein, extant entities are already uncovered. *ibid*. p.168
At this point, the purpose of this brief reference to this aspect of Heidegger's work is to provide an ontological perspective for Ricoeur's interpretation of the sound patterns of metaphor and narrative. Further and more detailed consideration of Heideggerian ontology will be given in subsequent chapters. What is at issue here is the way sound may be understood as the critical feature of the thrownness of a Dasein's projection of the world. That is, with respect to a written text, the ontological condition of a reader's appropriation of a text, which is a hermeneutical process, is the projection of the world of the text whereby sound is the prime characteristic of the expression of this ontological condition. This involves the pre-thrownness or projection of Dasein in the projection or appropriation of the world of the text which sets up a primary dialectic between Self and Other, which will be the subject of analysis in chapter five. Here, the concern is, what may be called, the 'ontological reverberations' set up by the appropriation of the intrinsic sound patterns of the metaphoric iconic moments and mimetic configuration of the text. In contrast to Saussure, the sound-image is not simply a psychological imprint but the consequence, or expression of an ontological condition, as was seen in chapter two, with respect to Ricoeur's understanding of symbol whereby there is an imaginative active participation in the event, the Ereignis in Heideggerian terminology. That is, the symbolic image, the iconic moment, is the focus of particular intense moments of an active condition of being-in-the-world. The sound-patterns of metaphor and narrative, intrinsically related to the image, are the linguistic mediation of this ontological condition.

In Ricoeur's interpretation of this ontological condition there are two critically important features. Firstly, in the tenth study of Oneself as Another which poses the question What Ontology in View? he attempts to define ontology in terms of action. Secondly, in Time and Narrative, he acknowledges the phenomenological work of Maurice Merleau-Ponty with respect
to the lived body and the power to act(19), activity is fundamental to Ricoeur's ontological view and the phenomenological effects. Taking Aristotle's treatment of the concepts of *dunamis* and *energeia* in the *Metaphysics*, he explores the question whether change can be included in the notion of being(20). He concludes that in the relation between power and act Aristotle allows a greater freedom in defining these notions so that the one cannot be established independently of the other. He writes,

In this way, being as potentiality (beginning in 9.1-5) allows us to include change within being, contrary to Parmenides' prohibition, and, more precisely, to include local motion. Because potentiality is a genuine mode of being, change and motion are rightfully beings.

*Oneself as Another*, p.304

According to Ricoeur, the problem in Western philosophy has been in equating *energeia* with the Latin translation, *actualis*, denoting 'that in which we exist'(21), with the dominant emphasis being placed upon facticity. Does this not, he asks, 'diminish the dimension of *energeia* and of *dunamis* by virtue of which human acting and suffering are rooted in being?' It is in the maintenance of the dialectic between these two terms that there is the possibility of interpreting human action and being together as both act and potentiality.

Furthermore, this ontological view of human action may be seen to take into account the consequential effects in the phenomenology of the flesh, the lived body. It is the lived body which incarnates potentiality in the power to act of the 'I can' and action. Ricoeur writes,

The most radical position in this respect is that by which Merleau-Ponty characterized the insertion of the acting subject in the world, namely, the experience of the "I can", the root of the "I am".

*Time and Narrative* Vol 3, p. 230

But there is an ambiguity in the self's relation to the flesh in that it is both 'mine' and 'other'. And so, in this sense, the lived body is the original mediator between the course of lived experience in the world. It mediates the event in terms of human activity. This mediation engages the corporeality of the lived body in which sound is a key element. Merleau-Ponty writes,
I am a sonorous being, but I hear my own vibration from within; as Malraux said, I hear myself with my throat. In this, as he also has said, I am incomparable; my voice is bound to the mass of my own life as is the voice of no one else.

The Visible and the Invisible, p.144

Relating this to the written text in the poetic mode, the appropriation of the objective text, fundamentally involves the projection of the world of the text by a Dasein, which means that reading, understood as a hermeneutical process based upon understanding, Verstehen, is rooted in the thrownness of a sonorous Being. Although reading aloud may therefore be considered to be the most appropriate means for poetry and narrative, and indeed this may well be so with respect to the former, the ontological reverberations set up by the intrinsic sound patterns of the written text may be seen to be the effect of the imaginative act of appropriation involving a sense of corporeal sonority.

The imaginative act, which will be more fully considered in chapter seven, is fundamentally the key to the thrownness of a Dasein understood as a sonorous being whereby the mediation of historical activity is made through corporeal sonority which is intrinsically related to phenomenological figuration at the various levels of consciousness. This will be looked at with respect to corporeal figuration in the various forms including music and dance, but specifically in the particular and peculiar relationship between this imaginative act of figuration and the act of cognition, - that is, between understanding and explanation, between metaphor and concept, between narrative and rational discourse. The present aim is to analyze the sound patterns of this imaginative act as the ontological dynamic of a unifying process manifested in corporeal perception founded upon an integral relationship of sound and image. In other words, the sound patterns of this ontological centripetal dynamic are intrinsically related to an act of configuration in the 'grasping' of the text of the narrative.
In response to Derrida's criticism regarding the extralinguistic source of unity, the crucial question is whether the subject is the foundation of the imaginative act, or whether the text is the source of these sound patterns and figuration as the dynamic of unity? This question may be posed in terms of unity of self identity. If the affirmation of the unity of rational discourse is founded upon the unity of self-identity, and the latter is affirmed through the mediation of the poetic mode of discourse which is appropriated by an imaginative act, from whence comes this unity of self-identity? Ricoeur's response to this question is in terms of what he calls, narrative identity. The source of this unity is the text; which means the text has an extralinguistic dimension, but is itself the source of this teleological dynamic of unity referring to the world beyond.

**Narrative and Ontological Sonority**

The remainder of this chapter is devoted to an analysis of the first part of Ricoeur's theory of narrative, particularly as it is rooted in ontological sonority. It is this which may be seen to provide the foundation of the development of the theory, and especially narrative identity. At this juncture, it is worthwhile referring briefly again to Ricoeur's early development of his philosophical anthropology based upon existential concerns, particularly in *Fallible Man*, which he calls, the 'Pathetique of "Misery"' and Pure Reflection'. According to Ricoeur, the pathos of the soul is in the movement from the sensible toward the intelligible, and the perplexity, the aporia in this quest for understanding and knowledge. From Kant he borrows the threefold structure of "finite", "infinite" and the mediation between the two. The progression of the soul is caught in the tension between the finite and the infinite, between the inner intuitive sense and the outer senses of consciousness. This will be elaborated further in chapter five with respect to the relationship of Self and Other. It is mentioned here because in defining the nature
of this tension of the soul, Ricoeur introduces the concept of "fault" and fallibility. He writes,

The idea that man is by nature fragile and liable to err is, according to my working hypothesis, an idea wholly accessible to pure reflection; it designates a characteristic of man's being. *Fallible Man*, p. 3.

Fallibility of human nature is, therefore, ontologically, a key characteristic of a *Dasein* which may be defined in terms of sonority; a sonority which is the teleological dynamic of understanding and rational explanation. That is, the dynamic to resolve the condition of fallibility in the tension between the finite and the infinite which is manifested phenomenologically and linguistically in the creation and re-creation of meaning in a hermeneutical movement from suspicion to affirmation.

Although the concern is to critically consider Ricoeur's view that the text is the source of univocity, and therefore the mediation whereby the ontological dynamic of *sonorous Being* is given a teleological dimension in the creation and re-creation of meaning, it is necessary to understand that this dynamic roots language in the various ontological and phenomenological levels of human experience and activity. For example, in the way, according to Ricoeur, human, historical activity is symbolized. In his theory of narrative, set out in *Time and Narrative*, he defines three moments of *Mimesis* in terms of figuration as the mediation of temporal human activity. Developing his understanding of the Aristotelian notion of emplotment with respect to the figuration of the world of human time and action, *Mimesis* is defined as the anchorage of narrative composition in the prefiguration of the practical field in its symbolic resources. In other words, temporal activity is always mediated by a kaleidoscopic structure of cultural symbols. In this respect, Ricoeur acknowledges the work of Ernst Cassirer as close to his own:

...I have opted for one close to that of Cassirer, in his *Philosophy of Symbolic Forms*,
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His theory of symbol has already been sketched in chapter two in relation to the *iconic moment* of metaphor. In particular, it was seen that the symbol has a mediating function by means of active participation. Cultural symbols may therefore be understood as the structuring of the *Lebenswelt*; the ontological, corporate activity of being-in-the-world, and a patterned sonority of temporal human activity. In other words, cultural symbols are the pre-throwness of a corporate, or intersubjective being-in-the-world. Therefore, the act of narrative composition, or the act of reading, the appropriation of the world of the narrative, are based upon an ontological throwness or projection of a *Dasein* which resonates with its pre-throwness. That is, the figuration of the text is rooted in cultural symbolic structuring which sets up a new pattern of sonority in the act of composition or reading.

**Temporality**

An important aspect of this symbolic structuring of the pre-throwness of being-in-the-world is a pre-understanding of action; and a fundamental feature of this pre-understanding, according to Ricoeur, is the recognition that in action there are temporal structures which call for narration. That is, in the symbolic structuring of human activity, which may be discerned in every aspect of the *Lebenswelt*, there is the condition of ontological sonority phenomenologically manifested by the power of the imagination in the mediation of patterned sound and figuration. Consequently, the vulgar speech of the *Lebenswelt* is founded upon a pre-understanding of action, and in the ordinary way of talking the propensity to relate the stories which happen to people, or those which they are caught up in, or simply the story of someone's life. Here, Ricoeur acknowledges the question of the notion of a pre-narrative structure of experience, and the
'objection about a vicious circle that haunts my whole analysis'(30) which will be taken up later.

Ricoeur's analysis of *Time and Narrative* is a major three volume work in which he extensively analyzes particularly the key themes of Augustine, Aristotle, Kant, Husserl and Heidegger(31). However, it is not the aim of this thesis to attempt to deal with all the critical features of this work, but simply to take account of those which relate to key issues. In the view that there is a recognition in action of temporal structures which call for narration, Ricoeur finds particular help from Heidegger's existential analysis in *Being and Time*. What Ricoeur is concerned to demonstrate is that the unity of historical time, the unity of abstract, linear clock time which is fundamental to description and discursive writing, is derived from the deep ontological unity of temporal being. For this reason, he claims that, although the ontological aim of *Being and Time* is the analysis of *Dasein* as the "place"(32) where the being is constituted through its capacity of posing the question or meaning of Being, it must first have a consistency with a philosophical anthropology if it is to achieve the ontological breakthrough that is expected of it(33).

To this end, it is Heidegger's notion of 'within-time-ness' that he finds particularly helpful:

I find the same powerful breakthrough in the analyses that conclude the study of temporality in the second division of *Being and Time*. These analyses are centred on our relation to time as that "within which" we ordinarily act. This structure of within-time-ness (*Innerzeitigkeit*) seems the best characterization of the temporality of action for my present analysis. 

*iibid.* p. 63.

What interests him most is the way Heidegger's analysis of within-time-ness makes *Dasein* a unity whereby a temporal unity is given to action in which "future", "past," and "present" disappear, and time is figured as the exploded unity of the three temporal extases: coming to be, having been and making present.(34) This unity which is based upon the dialectic between the extases is what Heidegger defines as the constitution of Care (*Sorge*)(35). Care is an existential
concept which is not an abstract measure. For example, a day is a period which corresponds to Care and the world in which it is the "time do" something, where "now" signifies "now that...".

Within-time-ness is defined by this basic characteristic of Care. But although the description of temporality with respect to Care seems to make this dependent upon the description of things that are cared about, Heidegger's ontological definition of the constitution of Care attempts to prevent it from being determined by this ontic level of objects of care. Care is constituted by the dialectic of coming to be, having been and making present, with the primacy given to the future over the present. As an existential concept it is directly related to the authenticity of self which may be defined in terms of the unity of self identity, or the affirmation of the presence of the self. Ontologically, Care is directed toward the temporal horizon of the future; for Heidegger this is being-towards-death, although Ricoeur is critical of this view and claims that it is also being-towards-hope. This temporal horizon must be looked at in the analysis of the act of the imagination in the way being appears, or is uncovered in the form of figuration. Here the concern is with a tension set up by Care as the dynamic of "grasping" the horizon by means of a configured unity of narrative.

Existentially, the tension is between authenticity and inauthenticity, between the infinite possibilities of the temporal horizon of being and the threat of finitude and its ultimate "weapon" of death. In other words, a tension between the affirmation and suspicion of presence. This tension, as defined above, is the condition of sonorous Being phenomenologically manifested in the sonority of the lived body. Psychologically, it is experienced in the emotions. For example, in fear, anxiety, distress as well as hope, peace and contentment being semantically mediated through sound patterns. At this level, Care is the emotional propensity to give meaning to these
experiences in narrative form. The sound patterns of the narrative, the modulations, cadences, stress and pregnant moments of silence resonate with the emotional activity of the lived body and the sonority of being in the tension between chaotic discordance and the unified density of harmonic concordance. But as one poet wrote,

Peace does not mean the end of all our striving,
Joy does not mean the drying of our tears
The Suffering God. G.A. Studdert-Kennedy.

Care is the basic characteristic of within-time-ness which generates a dialectical tension to affirm self identity in the telling and reading of stories.

Derridean Critique of Ontological Unity

However, before continuing with an analysis of Ricoeur's ontological viewpoint in his theory of narrative, it is important to notice Derrida's critique of Heidegger's attempt to overcome the metaphysical presuppositions of traditional Western philosophy, and particularly the Kantian and Husserlian transcendental subject. In his essay, 'The Ends of Man' in Margins of Philosophy he points out that the "formal structure" in which the question of Being is asked in Being and Time reveals that in the "we" at the beginning of the text Dasein is founded upon, what Derrida calls, phenomenology's principle of principles. That is, the method for the elaboration of the question of Being is governed by...the principle of presence, and of presence in self-presence, such as it is manifested to the being and in the being we are. It is this self-presence, this absolute proximity of the (questioning) being to itself, this familiarity with itself of the being ready to understand Being, that intervenes in the determination of the factum, and which motivates the choice of exemplary being, of the text, the good text for the hermeneutics of the meaning of Being...The proximity to itself of the inquirer authorizes the identity of the inquirer and the interrogated.


If the implicit acceptance, or affirmation of self-presence is assumed as the basis for the question of Being, it would seem that Heideggerian ontology has not resolved the problem of the source of unity whereby presence is affirmed. In pursuing Ricoeur's theory of narrative and narrative
identity it may be found that there is no resolution to this problem in terms of the Derridean critique, but that one way to resolve the threat of "closure"\(^{(38)}\) is the hermeneutical approach based upon the dialectic between "suspicion" and "affirmation".
Chapter Four

*Prose Rhythm: The Mediation of Sonorous Being*

The attempt to clarify the problem posed at the beginning of chapter one by the question, 'What is presence?' has led to a consideration of presence in terms of personal identity and an ontological grounding as the affirmation of this identity. That is, any attempt to define the concept of 'presence' with respect to entities, whether that be a table, a house, the sun, the earth, the sky, or whatever may be referred to in an objective sense, is dependent upon an endeavour to define this concept in terms of the ontological grounding of personal identity. Such ontological grounding and the nature of personal identity, it is proposed, is accessible in and through the narrative mode of all texts capable of being written. The analysis of this fundamental conclusion was begun in chapter one in relation to the Derridean and Ricourean critique of Husserl's understanding of the linguistic sign system; and was then taken up in chapter three with respect to Ricoeur's view that it is the narrative mode of the text which is the key to the mediation of presence. That is, it is through the mediation of the text in the narrative mode that presence may be understood in terms of ontologically grounded identity. Ricoeur calls this, narrative identity.

This conclusion has been reached by way of an attempt in the first three chapters to analyze the nature of the text drawing upon the work of Ricoeur with particular regard to his theories of metaphor and narrative. In doing so, it is claimed that the symbolic characteristic of the poetic mode of the text reveals the possible ontological features of the textual figuration as the mode of identity appropriated by the reader. This has been examined with respect to the *iconic moment* of metaphor, and, in part, with respect to the configuration of narrative. The development of this
theory is the subject of this chapter in relation to narrative identity particularly in relation to the ontological issues involved.

Clarification of Ontological Issues

As a preparation for this analysis, it is necessary to attempt to give greater clarification to the question of presence at the crucial stage that has been reached. The aim of this clarification is not a detailed analysis but to identify the fundamental ontological issues which emerge from the analysis thus far, to provide a critical perspective upon its subsequent development in this and the following chapters. A basic feature of these issues which has been identified is sonorous Being. That is, the narrative mode of the text is expressive of its ontological grounding, understood as an active condition of being in terms of sonority. In other words, the phonic modulations, cadences, and resonance of the text in terms of sentences and discourse in the poetic mode are the expressive mediation of sonorous Being. But what is sonorous Being? And how does this relate to the question of presence? More particularly, if the question of presence is fundamentally a question of the unity of Being and its phenomenological disclosure of identity through the mediation of the text, what is the significance of sonority?

Sonority and Ontological Unity

In attempting to respond to this question, it will be helpful first to focus attention upon the related issue of ontological unity. The concern for sonority has emerged from the role it plays with respect to the issue of univocity in metaphor and narrative as the textual mode of mediation of the ontological grounding of identity. Sound, it is proposed, is the centripetal dynamic of the iconic moment of metaphor, that is, the symbolic unity, and the mimetic configuration of narrative whereby univocity is achieved. The question of sonority may therefore be raised in
relation to, what has been proposed as, the problematic of ontological unity. If sonority in terms of the phonetic characteristics of metaphor and narrative is expressive of an ontologically grounded identity, a unity of self, what does sonority manifest about the nature of that ontological grounding? If unity is achieved, that is, if identity is affirmed through the textual mediation based upon dynamic sonority, what is the ontological nature of this dynamic? If the affirmation of identity is mediated through the mode of the text which is ontologically grounded, the source of this identity must be ontological. But this, for example, according to Derrida, is where the problematic lies. It is, therefore, important to clarify the key issue of *sonorous Being* in relation to the problematic of ontological unity.

**Ontological Unity and Heidegger's Question of Being**

For this purpose, it will be helpful to pursue the criticism made by Derrida, mentioned at the conclusion of chapter three, with regard to Heidegger's question of Being, as a way of taking a critical view of the issue of identity and ontological unity. Derrida points to the 'we' in the text of *Sein und Zeit* as revealing the unquestioned transcendental subject even in this radical attempt to disclose the grounding of Being. The 'we' provokes the question, is this an identity based upon an assumed unity of Being in Heidegger's ontology? In spite of his careful concern to make clear that *Dasein* is not to be confused with the self-conscious subject, he does not appear to address the question, who conducts the analysis? Even if the Heideggerian 'we' is avoided by using the third person, as this thesis does, it does not escape the question that is implicitly directed at the who. Heidegger's aim is, of course, to uncover the Being upon which the *who* is grounded; that the analysis, as it were, may turn back upon itself to reveal the ground upon which it is conducted. But, in so doing, does he adopt an unquestioned perspective which, even though it is critically examined in the process of the analysis, may invalidate the disclosure?
Is it not necessary to address the prior question, who, or what, is the who who asks the question which instigates the analysis? This is prior to the question, 'what is the meaning of Being?' which Heidegger poses at the beginning of *Sein und Zeit* because to pose the question is to assume the who. How then is it possible to pose any question? This would seem to be the fundamental dilemma of any philosophic enterprise.

Heidegger poses the question of Being in initiating his attempt to uncover the ground of the who, but is it not the who who poses the question which is revealed by the 'we' in the text? In an attempt to uncover not only the identity of the who who makes this attempt, but the ontological grounding of this identity, how is it possible to begin such an attempt without assuming an unquestioned grounding? Heidegger's attempt is to strip away all phenomenological and ontological assumptions, and begin with the question, 'What is the meaning of Being?', and let the question be; that is, let the question, in terms of its internal dynamic, determine and direct the process of disclosure of Being. In this process, the who who attempts to lay bare the question of Being is disturbed and deconstructed. That is, the who who seemingly appears in self-consciousness through the mediation of reflection is radically questioned in the ontological disclosure.

The Primordial Dialectic of Temporal Being

The dynamic and form of this questioning arising from the fundamental question of Being is existential, and not based upon traditional western logic. This is evident in the way Heidegger understands that the fundamental question is basic to the experience of life in all circumstances. In *An Introduction to Metaphysics*, where he poses the question in the form, 'Why are there essents rather than nothing?', acknowledging that many never encounter this question, he writes,
And yet each of us is grazed at least once, perhaps more than once, by the hidden power of this question, even if he is not aware of what is happening to him. The question looms in moments of great despair, when things tend to lose all their weight and all meaning becomes obscured. Perhaps it will strike but once like a muffled bell that rings into our life and gradually dies away. It is present in moments of rejoicing, when all things around us are transfigured and seem to be there for the first time, as if it might be easier to think they are not than to understand that they are and as they are. The question is upon us in boredom, when we are equally removed from despair and joy, and everything about us seems hopelessly commonplace that we no longer care whether anything is or is not - and with this question "Why are there essents rather than nothing?" is evoked in a particular form. An Introduction to Metaphysics, p. 1-2

The question 'is evoked in a particular form.' It is a form expressive of an existential condition arising from the 'hidden power of the question' rooted in a state of Being. From this Heideggerian ontological perspective, the condition of Being-in-the-world is disclosed in the existential questioning which manifests a hidden power. It is this perspective which will provide the way of developing the analysis of textual sonority, and attempting a resolution of the problematic of ontological unity. It is in relation to the sonorous dynamic of the narrative mode of the text that Derrida's critique will be addressed. In other words, the analysis will attempt to show that this dynamic sonority, grounded in the hidden power of Being-in-the-world, is the key characteristic of narrative identity as the mediation of the who who questions.

In the development of the analysis, the aim is to demonstrate that the question of Being arising from its hidden power is essentially dialectical; and that the questioning is a dialectic between suspicion and affirmation of identity, or presence. On the one hand, identity is always under suspicion. In the condition of constant temporal flux of Being-in-the-world, there is the propensity of endless questioning so that suspicion is never lifted. On the other hand, it is proposed that identity is affirmed in the activity of Being-in-the-world through the mediation of textual narrative. There is a moment of suspension of, or release from, the questioning, but not an escape from suspicion. Existentially, on the one side of the dialectic, suspicion may be expressed in terms of distress, insecurity, anxiety, and the threat of insanity; and, on the other,
Some consideration of this dialectic has already been given in the analysis of Ricoeur's theory of metaphor in chapter two where it is referred to as the *primordial dialectic*. Metaphor is defined in terms of the creation of new meaning in response to symbolic activity rooted in experience which is the constant expressive activity of intersubjective and corporeal intentionality. The *iconic moment* of metaphor is the figurative characteristic of the linguistic mediatory focus of this activity. It is the moment of dynamic intentionality whereby literal meaning, held under suspicion in the reflective mode of consciousness, is uprooted in an ontological vehemence to affirm new meaning. Borrowing from Roman Jacobson, Ricoeur calls this *split reference* which, with respect to metaphor, is the linguistic term relating to the ontological *primordial dialectic*. The issue becomes a little more complex with regard to narrative, as will be seen below. But it is the same dialectic between suspicion, in terms of the discursive, descriptive mode of narrative, and affirmation, in terms of the *mimetic configuration* of the plot. Essentially, for both it is a dialectic between reflection and the imagination, a dialectic of reflection and understanding.

**Sound and the Primordial Dialectic**

What is the ontological condition from which the power of this dialectic originates, and is sonority a manifestation of this state? The issue here, from the Heideggerian perspective outlined above, is the mediation of the *who* through the appearance and expression of the *primordial dialectic* in the narrative text. According to the analysis in chapter three, sonority is the mediation of the ontological grounding of the text. It is sound, in terms of sentence and discourse, which is the expression of temporal Being; that is, the expression of the activity of
Being-in-the-world. Furthermore, it is sound that is the inherent characteristic of the phenomenological intentional process of figuration and configuration. This analysis is to be developed in chapter five in relation to Ricoeur's theory of narrative identity. However, the analysis thus far suggests that narrative sonority is the textual mode of the mediation of the primordial dialectic. If temporal Being is dialectical, does this sonorous characteristic of narrative disclose the ontological nature of the primordial dialectic? In other words, if, as proposed above, the ontological primordial dialectic is existentially expressed in distress and hope, insecurity and belonging, anxiety and peace, chaos and unity, insanity and meaning, suspicion and affirmation, what does textual sonority disclose about the primordial dialectic as the grounding of this existential expression? (12)

The Dialectic of Self and Other

The analysis of the narrative mode in chapter three attempted to show that textual sonority, in terms of phonic modulations, cadences and resonance, is the dynamic of convergence in terms of configuration. The sounds not simply of the words but the expressions of sentences and the whole narrative discourse in reading and appropriating the Lebenswelt of the text is the intentional dynamic of the plot and its various narrative features. That is, it is the dynamic of phenomenological intentionality (13). It is a dynamic of sonority resulting from the dialectic of the self who reads and appropriates the world of the narrative, and the identity of that world which may be called the other-than-self, that is, the who of the text. It is the dialectic of the self reflecting upon the discursive, descriptive mode of the narrative seeking rational explanations, and the self imaginatively responding to the world of the narrative, in seeking to appropriate its identity in understanding. In other words, this dialectic, manifested in textual sonority may be understood as the dialectic of an activity between reflection and understanding, a reverberation
of the relationship between self and other grounded in temporal Being. This is stated simply to identity a key issue which will be subject to detailed analysis in the next chapter.

The mark of self-consciousness is the irresistible propensity to affirm its identity through reflection which is stained with indelible suspicion. There is no end to questioning. And yet, affirmation is paradoxically only possible where the interrogation is relinquished in letting go self-consciousness. That is, when self is absorbed in the narrative identity, the other, in the who of the text. But is not affirmation essentially an act of self-consciousness? Furthermore, is it not intrinsically the achievement of reflection? If it were not, how would it be possible to define affirmation? What would affirmation mean? Herein lies the paradox which must be given close and careful examination. The crucial and central issue that is being identified here is that textual sonority is the active manifestation of the dynamic set up in the appropriation of the narrative in the dialectic between the self and the other-than-self, or the who of the text. It is the moments of intensity in which the dialectic of reflection and the absorption of self in the other is manifested in sonority rooted in the reverberations of the phenomenological lived body and ontologically grounded in temporal Being.

In the act of reading, there is the process of reflection in which the reader takes up the mode of self-consciousness which is the distancing of self and object, of subjectivity and objectivity, as the space of abstraction whereby logical interrogation is made possible. The reader, as it were, stands back from the text consciously aware of the relationship of self with the text. Why does the reader in this mode interrogate the text? What is the ground of reflection? The existential response to this question, proposed above, is the dialectic of distress and hope, anxiety and peace, insecurity and belonging, chaos and unity, insanity and meaning, suspicion and
affirmation. The hidden power of reflective questioning is manifested in the dialectic between the reflective process of rational explanation which can never be closed, and the imaginative act of understanding. The appearance of temporal Being is, on the one hand, marked by the propensity of distress, anxiety, insecurity, chaos, insanity and suspicion through its hidden power manifested in the interrogation of the reflection of self. Reflection is grounded in the ontologically interrogative hidden power that is released by the appearance of temporal Being.

In other words, appearance is the distancing of self in relation to objectivity. The appearance of Being releases the hidden power of interrogation creating this distancing so that the affirmation of appearance may be possible. But authentic interrogation cannot be closed, therefore appearance is always subject to suspicion. The inevitable consequence of authentic interrogation is the questioning of the self who questions; and even the explicit or implicit interrogation of Dasein. Suspicion can only be lifted if the who who questions is beyond questioning, or if questioning is relinquished at the moment of affirmation. The moment when the self is lost in the who of the text.

Textually, it is the patterned, or rhythmic sonority precipitated in the appropriation of the narrative that effects an intentional convergence in an intensity of resonance rooted in sonorous Being. This rhythmic sonority is essentially the key characteristic of the poetic mode in contrast to the predominantly rational, discursive mode. In particular, it is the metaphoric and narrative features of this mode, analyzed in detail later in this chapter, which effect the levels of intensity. Such an intensity of resonance, set up by an appropriation of the text, is an oscillation within the dynamic of the primordial dialectic between self and other, between suspicion and affirmation. It is the moment when self is lost in the who of the text, but 'in the blink of an eye' (Augenblick) there is distance and interrogation. Following the moment, the stain of suspicion retains an
The appearance of temporal Being is mediated in the act of configuration made possible by the rhythmic sonority of the text. It is held in the moment of the act in a teleological, dialectical intensity of suspicion and affirmation. For this reason, it may be called *sonorous Being*. Sound is the essential characteristic of its presence. However, sound is integrally related to all sensible activity of the lived body which is affected by the reverberations of temporal Being in the creative process of its appearance in the historical activity of human beings. This is why all forms of artistic activity are effective in the appearance of Being, particularly in the loss, or absorption of self-consciousness in the particular medium; but it is the textual mediation of the poetic mode which may uniquely affirm the appearance. Again, this requires closer examination of the role of the imagination in relation to cognition which will be the subject of chapter seven.

**Four Ontological Issues**

From the above attempt to clarify the ontological issues of *sonorous being* as the basis for developing the analysis, there arise four key issues: Firstly, the issue of ontological grounding of unity in terms of the affirmation of rational identity mediated through the narrative mode of the text, particularly, to use Ricoeur's notion, narrative identity, of which sound is a key characteristic. Secondly, with respect to narrative identity, from the Heideggerian perspective of the hidden power of the question of Being, the source of this ontological power is mediated through the 'other', or who of the narrative, that is, narrative identity, with sound playing a critical role in this mediation. Thirdly, this hidden power, revealed in the existential expressions of Being-in-the-world, is a *primordial dialectic* whereby Being appears in the affirmation of its
presence; a dialectic manifested in the relationship between narrative identity and rational identity, between understanding and reflection in terms of explanation; a dialectic mediated through *mimetic configuration* of narrative as a temporal perspective and discursive, rational descriptions. Fourthly, the essential nature of this *primordial dialectic* is the relationship between the rational identity of the self-conscious reader and narrative identity, the 'other' of the text; that is, between Self and Other. The first two issues will be examined below, the third will be the subject of the next chapter, and the fourth will be examined in chapter six.

First Issue: Textual Sonority and Ontological Unity

The first issue of sonority in relation to the affirmation of identity is the issue of unity ontologically grounded and mediated in the text. Therefore, as was made clear with respect to metaphor, the issue relating to phonetics is not conceptual unity but the unity of perspective of Being-in-the-world. It is not, in the Saussurean sense, conceptual unity as the result of phonetic difference, but sound as the textual dynamic that, in the appropriation of text by the reader, achieves a convergence in the *iconic moment* of the metaphor which becomes the perspective, the viewpoint of the reader in relation to the figurative image of the metaphor. It is this ontological perspective which creates the 'space' for the dynamic interaction of the literal semantic fields whereby new meaning is achieved resulting, according to Ricoeur's interactive theory of metaphor, in a new concept\(^{(20)}\). What must now be considered, particularly with respect to narrative identity, is this process in the *mimetic configuration* of narrative whereby the ontological perspective creates the 'space' for logical, rational discourse.

With regard to sound, as an intrinsic characteristic of language, the issue is the role played by sound in the linguistic mediation of ontologically grounded identity. Although it is the givenness
of the text in terms of the linguistic sign system that is basic to the structuring of consciousness, sound is fundamental in the process of appropriation of the text and the affirmation of identity. To read a text is to pronounce, to utter, to articulate audibly or silently. In contrast to Derrida, the aim in this analysis is to show that although the linguistic sign system of the text is primary, the role of sound in the act of reading is key to the affirmation of narrative identity and, consequently, conceptual unity.

The statement that reading involves the audible or silent pronouncement of words may appear to ignore that it is the visual, imaginative, cognitive faculties which seem to predominate, prompted by the semantic structuring of cognition and consciousness, and drawing upon the memory of past experience and particular meanings where the conscious act of interpretation is called for. It may be argued that sound indeed plays a fundamental part in the Saussurean sense of difference, but as a linguistic structuring that, for the most part, is a kind of background which does not impinge upon the conscious act of reading. That is, the reader does not need, audibly or silently, to pronounce the words in order to appropriate the text in terms of interpretation and understanding. This may seem to be particularly the case with rational, discursive texts of an abstract nature. But even if this view were considered to be too extreme, and it be acknowledged that all reading involves a level of pronunciation, that sound is an intrinsic part of reading, it may still be claimed that it is secondary in the act of appropriation.

What this analysis aims to demonstrate is that the poetic mode of the text, which includes poetry and narrative discourse, reveals that sound is fundamental in the appropriation of the text based upon the hermeneutical understanding that appropriation is defined in terms of inhabiting the world, the Lebenswelt of the text. Furthermore, that all rational, discursive texts are grounded in
a Lebenswelt mediated through narrative identity. To this end, it is first necessary to look more closely at the part played by sound in relation to the text.

**Prose Rhythm**

In their work on *Mimesis* (23), Gunter Gebauer and Christoph Wulf examine the way the role of the voice was dominant in ancient Greek society and culture, and the continuing influence of this view since that time, in particular referring to the anthropological investigation of Jesper Svenbro in his *Phraseikleia* (24). They write,

> In terms of the early Greek attitude toward writing, which regards what is written but not spoken, the unread text, as incomplete, the structural linking of writing with the act of reading is constitutive for the text: the reading is part of the text."... From this point of view, the text requires the reader's temporal investment; reading is not a private process, but belongs to the reader and to the text. "If he lends his voice to these mute signs, the text appropriates it: his voice becomes the voice of the written text."...

According to Svenbro, the innovation of silent reading can be traced back to the experience of the theatre in Greece of the fifth century B.C. "Extensive reading seems...to have been the outcome of a qualitative innovation."... The mute receptive attitude of the spectator is a result of the appellate character of the theatrical performance, which no longer summons one to read aloud but charms the spectators into focusing their concentration exclusively on watching and listening... Thus, in the experience of watching in a theater, does the play become a text that one can read in its public performance. Inversely, written characters, after silent reading has become widespread, appear in a fashion that is comparable to the play: they are passively read and internally "performed." The text, now becomes autonomous, no longer needs to be read aloud; it "vocalizes" itself. *Mimesis*, p. 201 - 202

It is this understanding of the voice, of sound in the act of reading, that needs careful examination with respect to the appropriation, the habitation of the Lebenswelt of the text through the 'grasping' of the mimetic configuration of the plot.

Northrop Frye identifies an important characteristic of the relationship of sound to the text in what he calls, *prose rhythm* (25). In his *Anatomy of Criticism*, he proposes that there is a fundamental relationship between event and idea, between verbal imitations of actions and thought with respect to literature; in Aristotelean terms, between *ethos* and *dianoia* (26). According to Frye, this is the first aspect of the diagrammatic framework that has been used in
poetics since the time of Aristotle. He writes,

The world of social action and event, the world of time and process, has a particularly close association with the ear. The ear listens, and the ear translates what it hears into practical conduct.
Anatomy of Criticism, p.243

With respect to poetry, drawing upon the list of elements of poetry in Aristotle's Poetics, he proceeds to examine the second or rhetorical aspect of literature:

Considered as a verbal structure, literature presents a lexis which combines two other elements: melos, an element analogous to or otherwise connected with music, and ophis, which has a similar connection with the plastic arts. The word lexis itself may be translated "diction" when we are thinking of it as a narrative sequence of sounds caught by the ear, and as "imagery" when we are thinking of it as forming a simultaneous pattern of meaning apprehended in an act of mental "vision".
ibid. p.244

The relationship of sound to image will be taken up later in the analysis when developing the role of the imagination. The reason for referring to Frye's work is to take his significant contribution in an attempt to understand the role of sound in the act of reading as a way into a more detailed analysis.

Frye's notion of prose rhythm is a development of poetic rhythm. He writes,

In every poem we can hear at least two distinct rhythms. One is the recurring rhythm, which we have shown to be a complex of accent, metre, and sound-pattern. The other is the semantic rhythm of sense, or what is usually felt to be the prose rhythm...We have verse epos when the recurrent rhythm is primary or organizing one, and prose when the semantic rhythm is primary.
ibid. p.263

Prose rhythm in contrast to the recurring rhythm of poetry, is a transparent medium. It is at its best 'when it is the least obtrusive and presents its subject-matter like plate glass in a shop window.' As examples of this, Frye sites the long sentences of the later novels of Henry James, and the working backwards and forwards of Joseph Conrad's writing as the way the melos, the prose rhythm is designed to shift the attention from listening to looking at the central situation through a visual metaphor. The process is reversible in, for example, according to Frye, the dislocations of the narrative in Tristram Shandy which have the effect of taking the attention
away from looking at the external situation to listening to the process of its coming into being in the author's mind.

Habitation of the narrative is when the conscious perception of the reader is structured by the ontological perspective of the world of the narrative. The reader imaginatively inhabits that world. It is a unified perspective because it is a world; one world which gives unity to an experience of time and space(28). The analysis of this experience will be developed in greater detail with regard to mimetic configuration in the next chapter. It is the crucial role of sound in this act of habitation, in terms of Frye's notion of prose rhythm, that provides the grounding of this act as the essential mediation of activity in this process of habitation. According to Frye, sound is the melos, the element of diction which translates practical conduct(29). The world of the narrative is a state of activity, and to inhabit that world is actively to appropriate its ontological unified perspective. This includes particular activity in terms of characters and the unfolding plot; incidents, events and behaviour. Through habitation, the reader is there in the world alongside or inside the characters, actively engaging with their world. Although subsidiary to the plot (mythos), perhaps more fundamentally it is the ethos in terms of atmosphere, mood, tone and tenor of the world which is the active grounding of the habitation(30). For it is this ethos which is the active disturbance of the reader's consciousness existentially expressed in terms of emotional states of being. For example, the ethos of the first chapter of Thomas Hardy's Return of the Native in the powerful description of Egdon Heath which remains as the perspective of the whole novel. Or the description of Lincoln Cathedral in chapter seven of D.H.Lawrence's The Rainbow. It is extremely difficult to separate the effect of the prose rhythm from the figurative visual images, and perhaps impossible since it may be shown that the former, as will be attempted below, is a critical integral influence upon the latter. Furthermore, as Frye claims,
prose rhythm is most effective when it is the least obtrusive.

Among the characteristics of prose rhythm(31) there are, for example, in Hardy's description of Egdon Heath, the intensity of a rhythm mediated by the long vowels sounds, and the stress that has a propensity of a downwards tonal direction. For example,

The face of the heath by its mere complexion added half an hour to the evening; it could in like manner retard the dawn, sadden the moon, anticipate the frowning of storms scarcely generated, and intensify the opacity of a moonless midnight to a cause of shaking and dread.

*Return of the Native*, p.33

In contrast, Lawrence's description stresses a tonal uplift, combining the effect of the rounded vowel with the short vowel to mediate a sense of inhabiting Will Brangwen's active state of being as he enters the cathedral:

Here the stone leapt up from the plain of earth, leapt up in a manifold, clustered desire each time, up, away from the horizontal earth, through twilight and dusk the whole range of desire, through the swerving, the declination, ah, to the ecstasy, the touch, to the meeting and the consummation, the meeting, the clasp, the close embrace, the neutrality, the perfect, swooning, consummation, the timeless ecstasy. There his soul remained, at the apex of the arch, clinched in the timeless ecstasy, consummated.

*The Rainbow*, p.202

Other characteristics of prose rhythm include the descriptive interactions in terms of a resonance that mediates an actual effect in for example Joseph Conrad's *The Heart of Darkness*. In his critical study of this novel in *The Great Tradition*(32), F.R. Leavis attempts to demonstrate the way the same vocabulary that Conrad uses to convey the mysteriousness of the Congo, also applied to the evocation of human profundities and spiritual horrors. In particular, with respect to the passage when Marlow is startled by being suddenly confronted with shrunken heads on stakes, symbolic of the invasion of the wilderness in the darkness of Kurtz's heart. Leavis writes,

The essential vibration emanates from the interaction of the particular incidents, actions, and perceptions that are evoked with such charged concreteness. The legitimate kind of comment, that which seems the inevitable immediate resonance of the recorded event, is represented here:

*The Great Tradition*, p.205.
The passage he then quotes begins,

And then I made a brusque movement, and one of the remaining posts of that vanished fence leaped into the field of my glass... (47)

This, of course, is an example of a novel which is dominated by the mood and oppressive atmosphere of the natural and psychological or spiritual darkness. For this reason, it may be thought that the writer employs sound in terms of *prose rhythm* in ways that would be inappropriate with other types of novels.

The elements of *prose rhythm*, however, include a range of linguistic characteristics each having the particular effects dependent upon the way in which they are employed in the text. Even when a novel is translated from one language to another, depending upon the ability of the translator to be able to critically listen in both languages, the *prose rhythm* may, for example, through the structure of sentences and discourse, create the same relevant effects in terms of inhabiting the *Lebenswelt* of the narrative (33). The continual diversions and meandering discourse of Marcel Proust's *A la recherche du temps perdu*, with its convoluted sentences and multiple subordinate clauses, has the effect of a rhythm insistently prompting the reader to inhabit the world of the hero and the narrator in which the exploration and search in time and memory along Swann's Way and The Guermantes Way evokes their resolution in 'Time Regained'. It is a *prose rhythm* that grounds what Gilles Deleuze calls, an 'apprenticeship to signs' (34) in an ontological resonance which, for example, roots the visual image of the 'madeleine cake' in the particular activity of hero's childhood, and the way the constant presence of that activity resonates and decisively influences his search in time and memory (35).

*Prose rhythm* is what the reader hears in a way similar to the background noises of human
activity in the habitation of a social world. It is this background which is the ontological setting for particular conscious activity. It is in relation to this background that the experiences of distress and hope, insecurity and belonging, anxiety and peace are expressed. In reading, there is a listening that has a focus of attention effected by the acoustical background, which may be compared to Merleau-Ponty's understanding of the configuration of perception(36). This is set up in the act of appropriation in the way that sound patterns of the prose rhythm are animated through the visual element of appropriation: the sight of the textual signs initiates the process of listening creating the acoustical background of the reader's consciousness. That is, the reader's visual and acoustical response to the text animates the prose rhythm which is the manifestation of his sonorous condition of being in the process of inhabiting the Lebenswelt of the narrative; an active condition of being that is phenomenologically expressed in the acoustical resonance affecting the whole of the 'lived' body.

Prose Rhythm as Phonic Texture

Merleau-Ponty's phenomenological analysis of perception provides a valuable way of understanding this habitation. For Merleau-Ponty the body is not simply an objective organism among others in the world. It is the phenomenological medium of consciousness whereby the self is incarnated in a Lebenswelt(37). The appearance of the Lebenswelt is a process of habitation whereby the body is the medium of external perception. He writes,

The thing, and the world, are given to me along with the parts of my body, not by any 'natural geometry, but in living connection, or rather identical, with that existing between the parts of my body itself.

*Phenomenology of Perception*, p.205

For example, when the right hand grasps the left hand, the latter is perceived objectively through the medium of touch of the former which may in 'the blink of an eye' be reversed. In Merleau-Ponty's view, this is a basic dialectic of the interdependence of subjectivity and objectivity within
the consciousness of the habitation of the 'lived' body.(38).

For the purpose of this analysis, it is his understanding of habitation with respect to language which is particularly important. To inhabit the world is to inhabit language understood as an expressive texture of phonetic gesture whereby the phenomenal body is aroused by the voice.(39).

He writes,

Speech always comes into play against a background of speech; it is always only a fold in the immense fabric of language. To understand it, we do not have to consult some inner lexicon which gives us pure thoughts covered up by words or forms we are perceiving; we only have to lend ourselves to its life, to its movement of differentiation and articulation, and to its eloquent gestures.

*Signs*, p.42

This does not take account of *prose rhythm*, and approaches the fabric of language in terms of living speech rather than the written text. It is, however, Merleau-Ponty's understanding of phonetic gesture that may provide the way of understanding the phenomenological and ontological role of phonicity in the appropriation of the text by the reader. He writes,

The phonetic 'gesture' brings about, both for the speaking subject and for his hearers, a certain structural co-ordination of experience, exactly as a pattern of my bodily behaviour endows the objects around me with a certain significance both for me and for others. The meaning of the gesture is not contained in it like some physical or physiological phenomenon. The meaning of the word is not contained in the sound. But the human body is defined in terms of its property of appropriating, in an indefinite series of acts, significant cores which transcend and transfigure its natural powers. The act of transcendence is first encountered in the acquisition of a pattern of behaviour, then in the mute communication of gesture: it is the same power that the body opens itself to some new kind of conduct and makes it understood to external witnesses.

*Phenomenology of Perception*, p.193

As mentioned above, this is an understanding of the fabric of language as a phonetic system as the structure of habitation through which the phonetic gesture is made. The problem with this is that sound in itself cannot be the linguistic givenness of the sign system. Although the notion of duration is important with respect to *prose rhythm* and the problem of temporality which will be given closer consideration in relation to *mimetic configuration*, sound is derivative of the givenness of the text in terms of the written sign system, or trace. That is, the historical
continuity of the givenness of the trace(ao).

Merleau-Ponty's analysis of the phonetic characteristic of language is, nevertheless, valuable in understanding the role of prose rhythm. Reading involves listening to the phonetic texture that is animated by the imaginative activity of the Lebenswelt of the narrative mediated through the written sign system. Appropriation of the narrative in terms of habitation involves a resonance of prose rhythm arising from a change in state of being; a resonance which permeates the active 'lived' body. It is this resonance which is imaginatively translated into the background activity of the inhabited Lebenswelt; and which makes possible the phonetic gesture of those particular activities which are the focus of attention. To inhabit a text is to appropriate a phonetic texture, a prose rhythm which is the manifestation of a sonorous state of being. It is a state of being arising from a hidden ontological power effected by the primordial dialectic of self and other in the process of reading. As already mentioned, this dialectic will be the subject of chapter six.

Second Ontological Issue: Sonority and Narrative Identity.

Corporeal Intentionality

The concern here is to develop the analysis of prose rhythm as the phenomenological sonorous manifestation, mediated through the 'lived' body, of the hidden ontological power. In particular, to consider the way this sonorous texture is the vehicle for the process of mimetic configuration of the world of the text. This is a development of the first ontological issue of the grounding of affirmation with respect to narrative and narrative identity. That is, the development of the notion of prose rhythm as the critical linguistic characteristic of the mediation of this grounding in relation to narrative and narrative identity; and is directly related to the resonance of the 'lived' body with respect to, what Merleau-Ponty calls, corporeal intentionality. This notion is critical
to the analysis of the second ontological issue of the mediation of the hidden ontological power through narrative identity which gives rise to corporeal intentionality as the dynamic grounding of *mimetic configuration* resulting in the unity and affirmation of that identity.

Reading a narrative is to corporeally inhabit its *Lebenswelt* in which there is a bodily resonance set up by appropriation of the *prose rhythm* of the text. It is this resonance that is the medium of the reader's condition of being in the process of habitation effecting an ontological hidden power expressed through corporeal resonance in terms of dynamic convergence (41). Following Merleau-Ponty's understanding of the 'lived' body in phenomenological terms, that is, corporeal levels of consciousness (42), this dynamic convergence is called *corporeal intentionality*. Relating this notion to the act of reading, the 'lived' body is engaged in the reader's grasp of the narrative. *Corporeal intentionality* is fundamental to understanding the meaning of the text, and, therefore, as will be demonstrated below, of appropriating narrative identity.

*Operative* and *Particular* Intentionality

*Prose Rhythm* is, however, only one feature of *corporeal intentionality*. It is the background to the reader's habitation of the text. It is the sound which the reader may be relatively unaware of as he follows the narrative plot. His attention is given to the particular action which is the focus of intentional convergence. But, according to Merleau-Ponty, this focus, the grasping of the meaning of the particular action is made possible by the background which he calls *operative* intentionality in contrast to *particular* intentionality (43). It is the dialectical relationship of these two which is *corporeal intentionality*. In *Phenomenology of Perception*, he defines perception as 'the background against which all acts and interpretations stand out'. Perception is the way the world is inhabited in terms of consciousness. It is the totality of conscious experience including
all that is received through the senses. Developing this view, he draws upon Gestalt theory of
psychology. He writes,

The Gestalt psychologists have shown...the world presents itself at each instance as a meaningful
totality in which moments possess their sense as they function in the whole spectacle to which
they contribute. *Merleau-Ponty's Critique of Reason.*, Thomas Langan, p. 29

For example, attention given to a book lying on a table is to be conscious of its being a book in
terms of its shape, colour, size, title, etc. But for this conscious attention, when a particular
object appears as an object in consciousness, there must be a background - the room which is
not directly experienced, at the moment of attention on the particular object, as, for example,
individual colours, shapes, lines, etc., but is nevertheless part of consciousness. The attentive act,
*particular* intentionality, is made possible by *operative* intentionality which structures the
whole. The whole of conscious awareness which, other than the particular focus of attention,
makes this attentive focus possible; it is *operative* in the sense that the particular object and
activity dynamically emerge from this background. The book is not an isolated object; it lies on
the table which may be viewed from many different perspectives in the room where the table is.
Each perspective is contextual and determines the appearance of the book. Each perspective is
*operative* with respect to an intentionality that makes the *particular* intentionality possible.

With respect to the written text and reading, *corporeal intentionality* defined as the dialectical
relationship between *particular* and *operative* intentionalities, will be analyzed below, and in
greater detail in chapters six and seven, in terms of *mimetic configuration* and the creative
imagination. But first the concern is to show that sound, particularly its fundamental relationship
to temporal activity in the reader's habitation of the *Lebenswelt* of the narrative, is critical to
understanding the dialectic between *particular* and *operative* intentionalities. The aim is to show
how *prose rhythm* is *operative*, with respect to the reader's habitation of the narrative, as a
medium of temporal activity. In other words, *prose rhythm* is the medium whereby the reader experiences, what Ricoeur calls, the 'human' time of the narrative. It is this experience, mediated through *prose rhythm* and corporeal resonance, that makes it possible for the reader to appropriate, through his attentive reading, the particular action mediated through the phonic texture of particular words and sentences.

**Particular Intentionality and Metaphoric Phonicity**

The most effective parts of the texts, with regard to the reader's grasp of narrative identity through *particular* intentionality, is the phonicity of the metaphoric features of the narrative. Sound here grounds the reader's attention in particular concrete actions since the phonicity of the *iconic moment* of metaphor is dominant in contrast to the conceptual syntax of the descriptive passages. It is therefore a more direct mediation of particular actions. Like the beat of a drum which is immediately translated into bodily movement, so the phonicity of the *iconic moment* of metaphor is translated into corporeal resonance effecting habitation of the particular actions whereby the reader is conscious of these actions in a concrete mode. This must be examined more closely especially in the way this *particular* intentionality is made possible by the temporal structuring of the reader's consciousness through the mediation of the background of *prose rhythm*.

**Prose Rhythm and Metaphoric Sonority of 'The Cathedral' in The Rainbow by D.H.Lawrence**

For this purpose, it may be helpful to take the example of reading Will and Anna Brangwen's experience of Lincoln Cathedral in D.H.Lawrence's *The Rainbow*. This selected chapter should
not of course be separated from the whole novel, and a more detailed criticism would take account of this. The focus upon the relationship of Will and Anna in their experience of the Cathedral must be understood with regard to the theme of the psychological exploration of marriage across three generations in which the Lebenswelt of the novel has many facets. Nevertheless, the appropriation of each facet may be examined in terms of habitation and the particular and operative aspects of corporeal intentionality. Particular intentionality relates to the reader's focus of attention with respect to the cathedral as experienced by Will and Anna. This focus is not static but moves through the chapter with the reader at times alongside them, and at critical moments within one or the other. Habitation means that the reader appropriates a focus of attention through the description of the experience of the particular characters. For example, the reader appropriates the sight of the cathedral in the distance through Will's experience:

> When he saw the cathedral in the distance, dark blue lifted watchful in the sky, his heart leapt. It was the sign in heaven, it was the Spirit hovering like a dove, like an eagle over the earth. He turned his glowing, ecstatic face to her, his mouth opened with a strange, ecstatic grin.
> *The Rainbow*, p.200

Because the particular focus is part of the unfolding narrative, it may include different levels of the reader's critical awareness. Will and Anna's experience of the cathedral is a critical moment in the psychological exploration of the relationship, and their failure, from the perspective of the novel, to achieve individual fulfilment. For Will, who seeks fulfilment in his security and comfort in Anna and his work, and is afraid of the unknown, the cathedral is the symbol of everything which he holds valuable in life, but for Anna

> it was the ultimate confine...The altar was barren, its lights gone out. God burned no more in that bush. It was dead matter lying there. She claimed the right to freedom above her, higher than the roof.
> *ibid.* p.203

These narrative interpretations are part of the novel's exploration that the reader appropriates in the imaginative act of inhabiting its Lebenswelt which will be dialectically related to the reader's
self identity in everyday life. Inhabiting the experience of Will and Anna in which there are critical levels of awareness appropriated from the narrative creates a critical tension in the dialectic between the narrative and the reader's self identity. In other words, the narrative may cause the reader to reflect upon principles, values and meanings which have been accepted as the basis for his or her self identity\(^{(48)}\). This important issue will be the subject of the next chapter. The concern here is with the reader's focus of attention in the process of habitation.

Although the focus of attention is held by the descriptions of Will and Anna's experience of the cathedral, it is given particular intensity in terms of an imaginative concreteness of their experience in the metaphoric characteristic of these descriptions. For example,

> Then he pushed open the door, and the great, pillared gloom was before him, in which his soul shuddered and rose from her nest. His soul leapt, soared up into the great church. His body stood still, absorbed by the height. His soul leapt up into the gloom, into possession, it reeled, it swooned with a great escape, it quivered in the womb, in the hush and gloom of fecundity, like seed of procreation in ecstasy.

*ibid.* p.201

The metaphors which express Will's experience, with respect to the condition of his soul as he enters the building, give an imaginative concreteness to the appropriation of that experience. That is, the reader's experience of the cathedral through an imaginative appropriation of the narrative description is not that of a passive observer but as one who inhabits the condition of Will's soul. 'Shuddered', 'leapt', 'soared', 'reeled', 'swooned', 'quivered' give to the imaginative focus of attention a concrete experience of the cathedral.

The habitation of the reader is intensified. His condition of Being is affected by an *ontological vehemence* in the imaginative appropriation of the metaphorical images giving rise to a hidden power expressed in the dynamic of a phenomenological convergence, an intentionality with
respect to the focus of attention. Closer attention to this process of habitation in terms of mimetic configuration and narrative identity is given in the next chapter drawing particularly upon Ricoeur's theories. The concern at this point is the crucial significance of sound in this process, and Merleau-Ponty's notion of corporeal intentionality.

Appropriation of the phonicity of the metaphoric iconic moment was discussed in chapter two in relation to the symbolic image which is fused with the sound. Sound and image are dominant in metaphor because it is the intuitive perception of the similarity of dissimilars\(^{(49)}\). The imaginative grasp is immediate, not mediated through cognition but structuring conscious appearance through the mediation of linguistic acoustical modulations which are the objective materiality of the linguistic image. 'His soul leapt, soared up into the great church'. The reader's attention is given to the imaginative perception of the interior of the cathedral through the particular experience of Will Brangwen expressed in the metaphors, 'leapt' and 'soared'. The sound of these metaphors is the expression of the concrete experience which shapes the image of the building at the particular moment of the reader's attention. The sound is immediate and draws upon the phonic texture of the cultural linguistic structure of the reader's Lebenswelt grounded in the symbolic structuring of cultural human activity\(^{(50)}\). The phonicity of the metaphors 'leapt' and 'soared' have cultural associations with a sense of being lifted up, of overcoming, of expansiveness, of transcending the everyday affairs of life. Therefore, the sight of the interior of the cathedral through Will's particular experience is an image of awe, wonder and spiritual inspiration.

In contrast, the reader's attention is taken through the experience of Anna by means of metaphors which resonate with a different sound, and the cathedral is changed in the concrete perception
The altar was barren, its lights gone out. God burned no more in that bush. She claimed the right to freedom above her, higher than the roof. She had always a sense of being caved in.

*ibid.* p.203

In the continuing counterpointing of these contrasting experiences, the reader's focus of attention is led by the metaphorical structuring of the narrative to grasp the concrete image of the chapter's ultimate moment of activity, and to understand how their shared experience of the cathedral had affected their relationship:

As he sat sometimes very still, with a bright, vacant face, Anna could see the suffering among the brightness. He was aware of some limit of himself, of something unformed in his very being, of some buds which were not ripe in him, some folded centres of darkness which would never develop and unfold whilst he was alive in the body. He was unready for fulfilment. Something undeveloped in him limited him, there was a darkness in him which he *could* not unfold, which would never unfold in him.

*ibid.* p.210

Although the passage is saturated with metaphor, 'bright, vacant face', 'suffering among the brightness' and 'folded centres of darkness' are the most vivid and resonate with a greater intensity. The dissonance between 'bright' and 'vacant', and 'suffering' and 'brightness' resonates with a sense of stifled vitality; and 'folded...darkness' is an experience of the disturbance of repressed power. The passage reveals the way the focus of attention of *particular* intentionality, of Will sitting in a chair at the end of the day, is at the centre of a convergence of concentric circles of phonicity. Because the other metaphors are less vivid, less surprising, more conventional, the sounds have a tendency to 'fall away' into the background of the phonicity of the conceptual descriptive syntax as part of the *prose rhythm*. For example, 'some buds which were not ripe in him' is clearly a metaphor, but has a conventional familiarity which makes it sound more integrally part of the description,
Prose Rhythm and Temporal Experience

To appropriate the particular actions of the passage, to inhabit the experience of Will and Anna and grasp the meaning of that experience, the reader must inhabit the time of the narrative. This is not simply clock time, the passage of minutes and hours, but the 'human' time of Will and Anna. What does 'human' time mean? Firstly, it is a time of activity. In the words of the preacher,

\begin{quote}
a time to be born, and a time to die;
a time to plant, and a time to pluck up what is planted;
a time to kill, and a time to heal;
a time to break down, and a time to build up;
a time to weep, and a time to laugh;
..................
a time for war, and a time for peace.
\end{quote}

'Ecclesiastes 3.2 -9

'Human' time is defined in terms of activity. Even stillness is activity. When stillness becomes 'the still point of the turning world' 'where past and future are gathered' motion can only be conceived in relation to stillness as the eternal reference point. To claim that 'human' time is transcended at this point is to move into metaphysical speculation.

Secondly, 'human' time is always time that is experienced. For example, it may be experienced as short, or fleeting in times of pleasure, joy and happiness; or it may be experienced as long and delayed in times of sadness or frustration, such as waiting to be discharged from hospital.

'Human' time is an emotional experience: there is a time of ecstasy, a time of distress, a time of peace, a time of anxiety, a time of satisfaction, a time of disappointment. There is never any 'human' time when the emotions are not affected in one way or another. Furthermore, emotional experience is expressed in bodily activity. The body resonates with emotional experience and is readily and spontaneously translated into sound and vice versa. This is why music is an expression of mood and emotion, and involuntarily precipitates bodily activity. 'Human' time is
a corporeal resonance of emotional experience.

Appropriation of the 'human' time of the cathedral chapter is a habitation of its mood which is an experience of its temporality. As mentioned above, the ubiquitous long vowels with the predominance of tonal uplift, particularly with respect to Will's experience, express a patterned phonicity that is translated into corporeal activity which mediates his experience. In contrast to Will, and as a counterpoint, Anna's experience is mediated through vowel sounds that are shortened and the tone lowered; but the counterpointing makes the sounds of the total mood more subtle mediating a complex experience of their relationship which includes a deepening of mutual understanding combined with frustration and struggle. This interpretation, of course, to some extent, benefits from reflection upon the images and descriptive passages. Nevertheless, such reflection reveals the critical part played by the prose rhythm in appropriating the 'human' time of the chapter. It is this appropriation which gives the focus of attention of particular intentionality the dynamic convergence necessary for establishing a dialectical relationship between the reader and the unity of the narrative, between the reader's self identity and the narrative identity, between self and other.

Inhabiting 'human' time is an appropriation of the unity of temporal experience. The chronology of the narrative may, of course, unfold in different ways. For example, in linear fashion of clock time whether on a daily basis or longer periods; or from multiple perspectives of a particular character; or perspectives upon the experiences of different characters. Nevertheless, the Lebenswelt of the narrative is one; and it is this unity that the reader appropriates, because it is this unity that determines the basis of the plot. Analogous to the conscious awareness of the room by which the attentive perspectives upon a book are made possible, the unity of temporal
experience of the narrative's Lebenswelt makes possible the attentive perspective, the particular intentionality focused upon the experience of particular activity in the narrative. It may be shown that this is true for all narratives, even those whose temporal experience is expressed and described in a diffuse and kaleidoscopic manner; for example, the 'stream of consciousness' novels such as James Joyce's Ulysses. It may not be true of recent experimentation of post-modern fragmentary writing in, for example, John Fowles experiment with alternating realities in The Magus, and alternative endings in The French Lieutenant's Woman. It is beyond the scope of this thesis critically to analyze this experimentation, but the concern with unity and affirmation will raise critical questions by implication.

The experience of temporal unity in the process of habitation by the reader is mediated through the operative intentionality of prose rhythm, but this is not the source of this unity. Merleau-Ponty claims that the unity of the objective world is constituted by the mind based upon the consciousness of the phenomenal activity of the 'lived' body which mediates a "pre-constituted" world. The body, he writes, '...must teach me to comprehend what no constituting consciousness can know - my involvement in a "pre-constituted" world.' It is the active habitation of the 'lived' body in the world which gives a phenomenological unity to the body prompting the mind to re-constitute this unity in reflection. Obviously, this is a movement into the Heideggerian ontological notion of Being-in-the-world, but does not resolve the problem of ontological unity stated earlier. As stated above, a primary aim of this thesis is to show that the source of this unity is the hidden ontological power that is released in the appearance of Being with respect to the primordial dialectic between self and other. In terms of reading the textual narrative, it is the dialectic between the self identity of the reader and the other, or who of the narrative. Ricoeur's notion of narrative identity provides the means for a critical examination of
the latter.

Prose rhythm is the mediation of this primordial dialectic. The reader's appropriation of the temporal experience of the Lebenswelt is corporeally grounded in the patterned sonority of the prose rhythm which is intentionally operative in relation to his particular intentionality with regard to inhabiting the narrative perspective of particular activity. It is this perspective which is the 'position' of the who of the narrative, the narrative identity. In the next chapter, the analysis must now take up this notion in relation to the primordial dialectic between self and other, between self identity and narrative identity.
Chapter Five

The Primordial Dialectic and Temporal Perspective

In chapter four, reading a narrative was defined in terms of the process of appropriation and habitation of the lifeworld of the text. The reader appropriates the temporal perspective of the narrative and imaginatively inhabits the activity of its Lebenswelt. It was attempted to show that this appropriation and habitation is made possible by the sonority of the text as the mediation of the temporal perspective which effects a corporeal activity with respect to the textual patterned sonority, or prose rhythm. That is, it is through the bodily resonance set up in the process of reading that the temporal activity of the narrative's Lebenswelt is appropriated and inhabited.

An important aspect of this sonority is its phenomenological and objective nature with respect to the duality of the body in terms of mediation of conscious appearance, and as an object in the world. The appropriation of the narrative may be seen to retain the givenness of the objective nature of the text in the process of reading in terms of its prose rhythm directly effecting an objective corporeal resonance. The reader objectively appropriates the temporal perspective of the narrative. Furthermore, the patterned resonance of this perspective is manifested in a convergence as the mediation of temporal unity. Analogous to a symphony, the prose rhythm converges upon an insistent theme that mediates a temporal unity of the 'human time' of the narrative which makes possible the reader's habitation. Drawing upon Merleau-Ponty's notion of corporeal intentionality, prose rhythm is objectively operative in the reader's appropriation of the temporal perspective.
Third Ontological Issue: *Sonorous Being and the Primordial Dialectic*

The aim of this chapter is to explore the third ontological issue of the *primordial dialectic* identified in chapter four. To this end, the aim will be to analyze the temporal perspective as the unifying perspective of the *who*, or Other, of the narrative which becomes, in the process of appropriation, the Other of the reader's self in a dialectical relationship between Self and Other. That is, the act of reading, with regard to narrative, involves an appropriation of the temporal perspective, being the implicit or explicit viewpoint of the 'implied author' which precipitates a dialectical relationship with an interrogative stance of the Self. The reader's imaginative habitation of the narrative's *Lebenswelt* provides the temporal 'space' for a critical stance of the Self in terms of who, why, where, how, when and what. Since the Self brings to this imaginative world its own identity, the critical stance becomes a process of self reflection. Reading a story can and does change a person's self identity through a dialectical relationship between the appropriation of the temporal perspective of the 'implied author', the narrative's identity, the *who* or Other of the text, and self reflection arising from the interrogative stance. Since the former calls for a letting go of Self identity in an appropriation of the identity of the Other through openness, trust and risk it has a propensity towards affirmation of the latter's identity; whereas, the interrogative stance, based upon unresolved questioning, has the propensity towards suspicion of identity *per se*. The *primordial dialectic* is therefore between affirmation and suspicion, between Other and Self. What follows is an attempt to provide a detailed analysis of this *primordial dialectic*.

**Temporal Perspective: Prose and Poetic Rhythm**

The basis of this analysis is the examination of the temporal perspective with respect, first, by
developing the analysis of *prose rhythm* in its relationship with poetic rhythm to reveal the ontological grounding of the act of configuration as the imaginative 'grasp' of the narrative's temporal unity. Second, by analyzing the act of configuration as the temporal perspective of the Other of the narrative in terms of narrative identity. This analysis will draw upon Ricoeur's theory of narrative in volume one of his *Time and Narrative*, and his further work on narrative identity in *The Self as Another*. Third, by developing the analysis of the above in relation to the dialectic between Self and Other taking Ricoeur's three stages of *mimēsis*, *mimēsis*₂ and *mimēsis*₃, that is, figuration, configuration and re-figuration, as the structure of this analysis. The aim is to show how the temporal perspectival understanding, grounded in an ontological unity of *sonorous Being* in relation to the *primordial dialectic*, creates the temporal 'space' for rational interrogation and explanation.

To analyze the relationship of *prose rhythm* and the configuration of narrative in an attempt to reveal the temporal perspective as the manifestation of the *primordial dialectic* of Self and Other in the process of reading, it will be helpful to develop the analysis of *prose rhythm* begun in the preceding chapter. Taking the poetic mode of the text, as defined by Ricoeur, the fundamental characteristic of this mode embraces a spectrum including poetry and narrative. There is a difference between these, which will be considered below, but there is not a radical difference, even with regard to 'rhythm'. The concern here is to examine a key characteristic of poetic mode, defined in terms of *particular* intentionality, to provide a critical understanding of *prose rhythm* in terms of *operative* intentionality. With respect to the temporal perspective of narrative, the aim is to consider a spectrum of rhythm in which there is a conscious critical awareness, particularly in direct relationship with the *iconic moments* of metaphor, set against a background of *prose rhythm* 'operative' at the 'edge' of consciousness.
Rhythm, with regard to language, may, in general terms, be defined as the sonorous rise and fall of words and phrases caused by the alternation of accented, or stressed, and unaccented syllables. The analogous relationship with music and dancing would seem to reveal a significant influence upon the mind and body by the effect of a regular beat. It is the regularity of the beat which appears to determine its corporeal effect. I.A. Richards writes,

Rhythm and its specialized form, metre, depend upon repetition, and expectancy. Equally where it fails, all rhythmical and metrical effects spring from anticipation. As a rule anticipation is unconscious. Sequences of all syllables both sounds and as images of speech-movements leave the mind ready for certain further consequences rather than others. Our momentary organization is adapted to one range of possible stimuli rather to another. *Principles of Literary Criticism* p 103

Before addressing the question of image, the importance of Richards' view expressed above is the grounding of the anticipation of rhythmic beat in the physical body. According to this view, there is an expectancy of an ordered effect of sonorous patterns in the objective 'flesh'. Richards writes, for example, about the understanding of metre:

We shall never understand metre so long as we ask, 'Why does temporal pattern so excite us?' and fail to realize that the pattern itself is a vast cyclic agitation spreading all over the body, a tide of excitement pouring through the channels of the mind.

*ibid.* p. 107

It is not the aim in this thesis to pursue this effect in relation to, for example, the neurological system, or any other way of defining and describing the 'flesh'. In terms of the philosophical purpose of this analysis, it is the claim that order, and therefore unity, is manifested in the 'flesh'. In other words, an ontological propensity towards unity is revealed in the physical resonance and activity of the body in relation to its evident anticipation of a sonorous rhythmic order.

**Poetic Rhythm: Regular Beat**

Traditional nursery rhymes and folk songs would seem to provide material for this evidence. For example, the *Mother Goose* collection is based upon patterns of sound that have a greater mnemonic role than the visual images or meaning. Every child would seem to learn *Jack and Jill,*
Baa, baa, Black Sheep, Little Boy Blue, etc., by an mnemonic anticipation of the sound which resonates in the physical body. This is close to the rhythmic patterns of music and song when it is important to learn the rhythmic pattern of a melody as the foundation for the appreciation of the phenomenological effects of sound and image in the words and phrasing of the song. In the same way, it is the physical ordered beat of nursery rhymes that objectively manifest an ontological grounding of a propensity towards unity. The 'flesh' resonates in anticipation of rhythmic order that is not grounded only in consciousness but the physical body.

This does not mean that the 'flesh' can be abstracted from consciousness in relation to this ontological propensity. The duality of the body in terms of its phenomenal and physical aspects is an inseparable duality. It has been made clear in earlier chapters that because of the fundamental metaphysical problematic of Cartesian duality, the latter duality is rejected in favour of an attempt to define rational consciousness grounded in the objectivity of the given. This attempt will be developed in chapter seven in demonstrating the way the Kantian notion of the productive imagination may be analyzed, re-interpreted and developed in terms of the significance of corporeal sonority as the ontological grounding of this production, and the phenomenal auditory and visual effects as the key characteristics of the Kantian schema of the cognitive manifold. The aim will be to interpret the schema in terms of the temporal perspective creating the 'space' for rational discourse and reflection.

The aim at this stage is to pay careful attention to the anticipation and expectancy of rhythmic order, particularly as this may be revealed in prose rhythm. It is evident in the simple verse form of traditional nursery rhymes, and folk ballads that the regular beat has a mnemonic effect which meets the corporeal response of anticipation and expectancy. In more developed forms of poetry,
this primitive, or rather primordial, grounding may be discerned in the complexity of metrical forms. The complexity is not only derived from more sophisticated rhythmic patterning, but the structuring of the linguistic mediation of emotional and imaginative expression. To this end, the mnemonic characteristic of the rhythm is combined with feeling and visual response. For example, the use of the iambic pentameter in Gray's *Elegy Written in a Country Churchyard* expresses the feeling of quiet and peace, as well as stimulating the images of the churchyard and countryside. But the regular iambic metre with the stress on the second syllable plays a fundamental role in this effect:

```
The curfew tolls the knell of parting day,
The lowing herd winds slowly o'er the lea,
The ploughman homeward plods his weary way
And leaves the world to darkness and to me.
```

A variety of traditional metric forms including the *trochaic, dactylic* and *anapaestic* in addition to the *iambic*, each based upon two or three syllables having one stress, or accent and no more, have been used to create a range of moods and feelings grounded in an insistent regular beat. An example of the *trochaic* form, based upon two syllables with the stress on the first, is Charles Wolfe's *The Burial of Sir John Moore,*

```
Not a drum was heard, not a funeral note,
As his corse to the ramparts we hurried;
Not a soldier discharged his farewell shot
O'er the grave where our hero we buried.
```

The regular *trochaic* beat conveys a mood of solemnity combined with the sense of the slow march of the soldiers.

These are of course examples of a fixed rhythm that is held, with slight variations, throughout the poems. They are frequently also combined with a regular *abab* rhyming structure. In the long development of poetic rhythm, the regularity often becomes more complex and subtle in not only the rhythmic patterns and rhyme structure, but the verse forms and also the use of
alliteration, assonance, dissonance, onomatopoeia and other poetic techniques. Although in some poems, particularly the modern development of *vers libre* (11), it may be difficult at first reading to discern the regular rhythmic pattern, it is a fundamental characteristic of all poetry. For example, the enormously complex structure of T.S. Eliot’s *Four Quartets* may be discerned as symphonic composed in turn of specific movements (12).

In the longstanding debate regarding the difference between poetry and prose, a central issue is the part played by rhythm in each. With regard to anticipation and expectancy arising from rhythm, I.A. Richards writes,

> Both prose and verse vary immensely in the extent to which they excite this 'getting ready' process, and in the narrowness of the anticipation which is formed. Prose on the whole, with the rare exceptions of a Landor, a De Quincy, or a Ruskin, is accompanied by a very much vaguer and more indeterminate expectancy than verse. In such prose as this page, for example, little more than a preparedness for further words not all exactly alike in sound and with abstract polysyllables preponderating is all that arises. In short, the sensory or formal effect of words has very little play in the literature of analysis and exposition. But as soon as prose becomes more emotive than scientific, the formal side becomes prominent. *op. cit.* p. 103 - 4

In other words, in the terms defined in this thesis, as soon as prose becomes, *interalia*, narrative and not rational, abstract discourse, anticipation and expectancy become effective arising from a rhythmic pattern.

**Difference between Poetic and Prose Rhythm?**

The difference between poetry and prose is made more questionable in the developments of poetic forms that appear to obscure the distinction. For example, the early appearance of blank verse, particularly in the Shakespearean dramatic form, may convey the impression that although the author’s intention is to heighten human experience, particularly with respect to passion and the emotions, within the structure of the plot, and theatrical performance, the aim is also to capture the speech expressions and rhythms of commonplace, prosaic language. Such an
impression of course fails to discern the rhythmic iambic pentameter of blank verse which would seem to separate it from prose form. Certain poets have, nevertheless, made explicit an intention to combine the poetic form with ordinary speech. Wordsworth, for example, rejecting the stylized, classical forms of the eighteenth century in such poets as Dryden and Pope, wrote in his Preface to the *Lyrical Ballads*,

> The principal object, then, proposed in these Poems was to choose incidents and situations from common life, and to relate or describe them, throughout, as far as was possible in a selection of language really used by men... 

And later in the Preface, in relation to the distinction between poetry and prose, particularly with respect to metre, in a footnote he writes,

> I here use the word 'Poetry' (though against my own judgement) as opposed to the word Prose, and synonymous with metrical composition. But much more confusion has been introduced into criticism by this contradistinction of Poetry and Prose, instead of the more philosophical one of Poetry and Matter of Fact, or Science. The only strict antithesis to Prose is Metre; nor is this, in truth, a strict antithesis, because lines and passages of metre so naturally occur in writing prose, that it would be scarcely possible to avoid them, even were it desirable.  


But Wordsworth's apparent concern to establish a close interdependence between poetry and prose is criticised by his friend who otherwise endorses the main theme of the Preface in giving importance 'to action and situation rather than action and situation in relation to feeling' (*ibid.* p.735). In *Biographia Literaria*, Coleridge claims that the difference lies in the combination of two conditions with respect to metrical composition in writing poetry:

> First, that, as elements of metre owe their existence to a state of increased excitement, so the metre itself should be accompanied by the natural language of excitement. Secondly, that as these elements are formed into metre artificially, by a voluntary act, with the design and for the purpose of blending delight with emotion, so traces of present volition should throughout the metrical language be proportionately discernible.  


According to Coleridge, in poetry, there must be a partnership of a spontaneous impulse of passion and emotion, and a voluntary act of the will in the creation of the metrical form. This
is not appropriate for prose which aims to fulfill a representative function of 'Good Sense'.

From the perspective of Merleau-Ponty's notions of operative and particular intentionalities applied to prose, as outlined above, the views of Wordsworth and Coleridge may be seen to be complementary not contradictory. The former is concerned to show that rhythm and metrical form may be discerned in prose, whereas the latter argues that the main purpose of poetry is a conscious act to write in metre to express the emotions of spontaneous impulse. That is, to give particular attention to the metrical form. Although, for the most part, prose composition does not consciously attend to the rhythmic structure, it may be revealed in a process of critical analysis. The qualification 'for the most part' is made particularly in relation to the traditional disciplines of 'Rhetoric'. In *The Art of Rhetoric*, Aristotle writes,

> The form of diction should be neither fully metrical nor completely without rhythm; the former is unconvincing (as it is thought to be artificial), and at the same time it is distracting; for it makes one expect the recurrence of a similar rhythmic pattern...On the other hand, the rhythmless is unlimited, and the speech should be circumscribed but not by metre; for what is unbounded is unpleasant and unrecognizable. All things are bounded by number, and the number of the form of diction is rhythm, of which even metres too are divisions. So the speech must have rhythm, but not metre; otherwise it will be a poem. *The Art of Rhetoric* Chapter 3.8 p. 230

Since rhetoric is conceived by Aristotle in terms of oral persuasion, this appears to raise the question whether there is a difference between prose for the purpose of public speech, and that written for the purpose of reading. But if sound is a key characteristic in the narrative mode of the written text, as proposed in this thesis, 'persuasion' may be appreciated as an important element in written prose of this mode.

In the developments of poetic technique in the later nineteenth century, particularly in the work of Gerard Manley Hopkins, and in this century, experiments have been made with regular and irregular patterns of stress and accent as the structure of rhythm instead of metrical forms. One
important aspect of this development is the attempt to capture the prose rhythms of ordinary
speech with the critical awareness that the rhythm of stress and accent seem to be basic to this
natural expressive mode of language. Gerard Manley Hopkins experimented with a new
rhythm in the sense that he consciously worked at a pattern of stress or accents alone, which he
called 'sprung rhythm', as a counterpoint to a more conventional verse structure based upon the
traditional Common English Running Rhythm. That is, the metrical forms of poetic rhythm
referred to above. In a letter to R.W.Dixon, attempting to describe 'Sprung Rhythm' he writes,

To speak shortly, it consists in scanning by accents or stresses alone, without any account of the
number of syllables, so that a foot may be one strong syllable or it may be many light and one
strong.
Poems and Prose Selected and ed. by W.H.Gardner, p. 187

Many examples of this rhythm can be found in Hopkins' poetry. Although, in addition to the
effect of counterpointing he uses such techniques as alliteration, assonance and idiomatic
peculiarities of diction, the flexibility and patterns of irregular stress of 'sprung rhythm' are
intended to express the prose rhythms of ordinary speech. In his Preface to his Collected Poems,
Hopkins writes,

...Sprung Rhythm is the most natural of things. For...it is the rhythm of common speech and of
written prose, when rhythm is perceived in them.
ibid. p. 11

The qualifying clause seems to reveal a hesitation as to the extent of rhythmic structure, but the
statement asserts a conviction based upon considerable study and experimentation that prose does
have, at the very least, patterns of rhythm.

Increased development and experimentation, particularly following the work of T.S.Eliot, has
been in the form, referred to above, of vers libre. This has ranged from Eliot's eclectic use of
traditional resources within a form which Philip Hobsbaum, in Metre, Rhythm and Verse Form,
characterizes as free blank verse based upon a definite five-stress rhythm, and, quoting Paull
F. Baum in *The Principles of English Versification*, to certain kinds of free verse which

...do not aim to be more than ordinary prose printed in segments more or less closely corresponding with the phrase rhythm or normal sound rhythms of language.

_Metre, Rhythm and Verse Form_, Philip Hobsbaum, 1996, p.89

In the development of free verse, the Bible and Elizabethan prose influenced such poets as Walt Whitman and, subsequently, D.H.Lawrence and others(17). But, according to Hobsbaum,

...free verse proper came into its own with the modernistic school of the early twentieth century. Though often written badly, and better considered as chopped up prose, in the hands of a master such as Wallace Stevens, free verse proper becomes a recognizable form.

_ibid._ p. 112

This recognizable form is not syllabic, or line length, or metrical rhythm, but the rhythm of cadence and stress which relates to the feeling and activity of the poem. It excites and animates the imagery so that the reader imaginatively appropriates the concrete situation and lived moment of the poem's theme. It is a controlled rhythm in which the stressed rhythm is the focus of _particular_ intentionality employing a variety of techniques. As Coleridge observed with respect to metrical form, the rhythm of _vers libre_ based upon cadence and stress is the creation of a voluntary act with the purpose of 'blending delight with emotion'; or to stimulate a controlled and yet spontaneous emotional response, in addition to visually animating the image in a state of activity(18).

**Relationship between Poetic and Prose Rhythm in Prose**

The purpose of the above outline of the development and experimentation in the relationship between rhythm in poetry and in prose is to show how the former may provide access to a critical understanding of _prose rhythm_. It is to demonstrate that the rhythms of poetry may appear in prose, particularly in the narrative mode, as the parts of the text which may be defined in terms of _particular_ intentionality in relation to the background to particular activity and emotional
stimulus in terms of operative intentionality. It is especially the case with respect to metaphor, which plays a key role in poetry, that the rhythmic structure comes to the forefront of consciousness, and seemingly fades into the background at the 'edge' of consciousness in description and dialogue. In other words, rhythm plays a key role in the expression of activity and emotion in relation to particular concrete situations in terms of 'grasping' and animating a metaphoric image, or iconic moment, and 'withdraws' to the 'edge' of consciousness in the 'distancing' of reflective consciousness in response to discursive prose; and, as will be considered in detail below, plays a significant role in 'grasping' or emplotment\textsuperscript{iv}, to use Ricoeur's term, of narrative. For the most part, the prose rhythm of the latter expresses activity and emotion in terms of mood, ambience and atmosphere. Examples of this were given in chapter four from D.H.Lawrence and Thomas Hardy in drawing attention to the effect of vowel sounds and modulations.

Every narrative may be analyzed with regard to rhythm based upon cadence and stress. An illuminating example is F.Scott Fitzgerald's The Great Gatsby. In this, the mood and atmosphere of the American rich society in the twenties is mediated through the prose rhythm of the narrator Nick Carraway. A crucial passage is the meeting of Gatsby, a wealthy man with a mysterious and suspicious background, with Daisy, a young woman married to a rich and stupid man, who had become the impossible object of Gatsby's overpowering romantic dream.

Gatsby, his hands still in his pocket, / was reclining against the mantelpiece / in a strained counterfeit of perfect ease / even of boredom. / His head leaned back so far / that it rested against the face of the defunct mantelpiece clock, / and from this position / his distraught eyes stared down at Daisy, / who was sitting, frightened but graceful, / on the edge of a stiff chair.
The Great Gatsby p. 93 (my italics)

The passage is marked to indicate the rhythm of the concerned, empathetic observation of an aspiring but melancholic young writer living in a bored society, lacking real passion. Reading
this passage, as it should normally be read, without any overt critical attention to its *prose rhythm*, the metaphor 'strained counterfeit' nevertheless is the phrase which has rhythmic predominance. In the era of prohibition and mob gangsters, counterfeiting was widespread, and the word was part of the vernacular. In this passage, employed metaphorically, it predominates because there is a concentration upon an image actively mediating a concrete visual awareness of the essential characteristics of Gatsby, not only in the way 'counterfeit' resonates with connotations of his mansion and parties, but also the society which has corrupted him and which he hopelessly attempts to rise above. The poetic rhythm of feeling and activity in this *iconic moment* is reflected in the *prose rhythm* of the passage, and throughout the novel.

In any full analysis, it would be worthwhile making comparison with other novels. Simply to read the beginning of two or more immediately stimulates an awareness of different moods mediated through the language. It is to be aware of inhabiting different lifeworlds. For example, to compare Scott Fitzgerald's *Great Gatsby* with two other American novels, William Faulkner's *Absalom, Absalom!* and Ernest Hemmingway's *Farewell to Arms*, is to be aware of the very different world of Faulkner's fictional Yoknapatawpha County in the long, lyrical sentences, and the gritty, insistent determination expressed in Hemmingway's clipped, staccato, journalistic style prose.

Narrative analysis may reveal the forms of *prose rhythm* by focusing attention on the metaphoric features where there may be the use of poetic techniques of various metrical forms, but particularly the cadence and stress patterns which fade into the background of mood and ambience in the discursive prose. To this end, the study of poetic techniques in the range and development of poetry outlined above, in terms of the poet's attempts to listen critically to the
acoustics of prose, may inform a critical analysis of the prose rhythm of the creative writer. In attempting to attune the ear in this manner, it is important to appreciate that the prose of the narrative mode is not equivalent to ordinary speech. Narrative is the creation of form and structure in which prose rhythm plays a key role in terms of ontological grounding. It will also be made clear below that this critical awareness is important in relation to narrative identity and self identity.

Rhythm and Temporality

Returning now to what may be considered the fundamental issue running through the above analysis of rhythm is the claim made by I.A.Richards that anticipation and expectancy are the effects of the regular beat or stress. Also, that these are the effects of bodily activity or resonance. Phenomenologically, the rhythm is mediated in terms of feeling and activity in relation to figuration. What does this mean? Anticipation and expectancy are the mediation of temporality; and feeling and activity in relation to narrative take place in 'human' time. Rhythm is not simply the mediation of Bergsonian duration, but of the Heideggerian triadic 'ekstases' of coming-to-be, having-been and being present. Heidegger's anticipatory resoluteness in the face of being-towards-death is here qualified by a rhythm of hope. That is, prose rhythm, in terms of the resonance of the 'flesh', is the ontological disclosure of the temporality of Dasein. Or, to put it another way, it is the ontological disclosure of the propensity, or duname, of sonorous Being towards temporal order or unity. But such novels as Marcel Proust's A la recherche du temps perdu, James Joyce's Ulysses, and Virginia Woolf's To the Lighthouse, among many other modern novels which are devoted to a subjective exploration of 'human' time, disclose, to use a spatial metaphor, a 'multi-dimensional' temporality which suggests a more flexible horizon of
temporal being than Heidegger's triadic ekstases.

The conclusion of the above analysis of prose rhythm is that the phenomenological temporal perspective of narrative, in terms of the mediation of feeling and vision, is ontologically grounded in sonorous Being. Also, the dynamic of the act of configuration with respect to 'grasping' the temporal perspective of the narrative is the ontological propensity, or dunamis of sonorous Being towards unity mediated through the resonance of the 'flesh' with respect to prose and poetic rhythm. These rhythms are the ontological dynamic of temporal perspective defined in terms of operative and particular intentionalities respectively. That is, the ontological dynamic of the act of configuration whereby the reader appropriates the temporal perspective as the means of habitation is mediated through the rhythmic structure of the text.

**Configuration and Rhythm**

The second aim of this chapter is to analyze the way this rhythmic structure relates to the act of configuration drawing upon the threefold formation of Ricoeur's theory of narrative. The phenomenological mediation of emotion and activity is temporally structured. Emotion in terms of the expressions of hope, despair, joy, sadness, anxiety, peace, frustration, satisfaction, and activity perceived as image and figure, in terms of narrative, are clearly set within 'human' time. There are implicit, if not explicit, temporal horizons for all emotion and activity as part of narrative. They are grounded in anticipation and expectancy of the 'flesh' as the mediation of sonorous Being. The act of 'grasping' the narrative by means of its temporal perspective is therefore the act of configuring the linguistic mediation of emotion and activity grounded in the ontological sonority of prose rhythm. Ricoeur compares this act to the Kantian productive imagination. He writes,
I cannot overemphasize the kinship between "grasping together", proper to the configurational act, and what Kant has to say about the operation of judging. It will be recalled that for Kant the transcendental meaning of judging consists not so much in joining a subject and predicate as in placing an intuitive manifold under the rule of a concept. The kinship is greater still with the reflective judgment which Kant opposes to the determining one, in the sense that it reflects upon the work of thinking at work in the aesthetic judgment of taste and in teleological judgment applied to organic wholes. The act of emplotment has a similar function inasmuch as it extracts configuration from a succession.

*Time and Narrative* Vol. 1 p. 66

This will be examined more closely in chapter seven. The purpose of this quotation is to identify a fundamental issue in Ricoeur's comparison between Kant's notion of the productive imagination and the act of configuration with respect to Ricoeur's notion of emplotment. That is, the issue of an ontological grounding, in contrast to a transcendental understanding, of the productive imagination and act of configuration. Although Ricoeur's analysis of configuration in *mimesis* attempts to ground this act in the symbolic structuring of *mimesis*, he does not appear to give enough attention to phonicity, and consequently seems to lack a clear understanding of the ontological foundation of this act. In his analysis of the act of configuration, he places considerable emphasis upon the ability to follow a story as the basis of the extraction mentioned in the above quotation. He continues,

> To follow a story is to move forward in the midst of contingencies and peripeteia under the guidance of an expectation that finds fulfilment in the "conclusion" of the story. This conclusion is not logically implied by some premises. It gives the story an "end point," which, in turn, furnishes the point of view from which the story can be perceived as forming a whole.

*ibid.* p. 66-7

This seems to imply that this ability to follow a story is grounded in a transcendental, phenomenological act of perception. Although this conclusion does not take account of Ricoeur's notion of narrative identity and ontological view set out in the tenth study of *Oneself as Another*, by which he seems to escape the accusation of transcendental subjectivism, there are critical ontological questions which may only be resolved by the role played by prose rhythm. These will be addressed in the course of this analysis.
The aim of Ricoeur's theory of threefold *mimesis* is to analyze and clarify the task of hermeneutics. He writes,

It is the task of hermeneutics...to reconstruct the set of operations by which a work lifts itself above the opaque depths of living, acting, and suffering, to be given by an author to readers who receive it and thereby change their acting. For a semiotic theory, the only operative concept is that of the literary text. Hermeneutics, however, is concerned with reconstructing the entire arc of operations by which practical experience provides itself with works, authors and readers. It does not confine itself to setting mimesis2 between mimesis1 and mimesis3. It wants to characterize mimesis2 by its mediating function. What is at stake, therefore, is the concrete process by which the textual configuration mediates between the prefiguration of the practical field and its refiguration through the reception of the work.

*Time and Narrative* Vol. 1 p. 53

The notion of refiguration with respect to mimesis3 will be examined more closely in relation to the fourth ontological issue of Self and Other in the next chapter. What is significant here with regard to the ontological relationship between *prose rhythm* and configuration is the way Ricoeur attempts to ground the latter in the prefiguration of the practical field of human experience. This grounding, or 'anchorage' to use Ricoeur's term(26), is defined in terms of three features: structural, symbolic and temporal. Although the ontological dimension is taken into account, the analysis does seem to be lacking in making clear the phenomenological grounding in the state of being-in-the-world. In examining these features it may be shown that *prose rhythm* provides the clarity that is lacking.

**Practical Field of Human Experience**

The first feature is based upon an understanding of the way action is given meaning in relation to a whole conceptual network which is paradigmatic with respect to the regular and familiar patterns of human activity. That is, there is a linguistic prefiguration which Ricoeur claims potentially and actually gives rise to the syntagmatic order of narrated discourse; all actions have agents which are subject to the questions about "what," "why," "who," "how," "with whom," or "against whom"(27). This gives a temporal character to this fundamental linguistic network or
texture which may be compared with Merleau-Ponty notion, *Prose of the World* (28). In 'Indirect Language and the Voices of Silence' he writes,

> Speech always comes into play against the background of speech; it is always only a fold in the immense fabric of language. To understand it, we do not have to consult some inner lexicon which gives us pure thoughts covered up by words or forms we are perceiving; we have only to lend ourselves to its life, to its movement of differentiation and articulation, and to its eloquent gestures. *Signs, Indirect Language and the Voices of Silence*, p. 42

But, as in Ricoeur, this is not simply a synchronic system of Saussurean sign structures; it is diachronic in its setting of human history. The pressing question is, however, what is the ontological characteristic of this anchorage in the practical field of temporal existence? What Ricoeur and Merleau-Ponty seem to describe is the phenomenological characteristics of the linguistic network or sedimentation in which narrative discourse is rooted.

The second anchorage of configuration in the practical understanding is provided by the symbolic resources of this field. Drawing upon the work of Ernst Cassirer, in his *Philosophy of Symbolic Forms* (29), Ricoeur agrees that symbolic forms are cultural processes that articulate experience. That is, symbols underlie human action and constitute its first signification before symbolic wholes become detached through speech and writing. This understanding of symbols moves the analysis closer to an ontological viewpoint in the way Ricoeur attempts to make clear that symbols are not in the mind but are incorporated into action which is consequently decipherable, or readable by other actors in the social interplay. This is particularly evident in ritual acts. He writes,

> Before being a text, symbolic mediation has a texture. To understand a ritual act into situate it within a ritual, set within a cultic system, and by degrees within the whole set of conventions, beliefs and institutions that make up the symbolic framework of a culture. *Time and Narrative* Vol. 1 p. 58

The objective nature of Ricoeur's definition of symbols was considered in chapter two with respect to the *iconic moment* of metaphor. But, as was noted, he does not pursue the sonorous
characteristic of this *iconic moment*, and, therefore, fails to take account of its ontological implications.

**Rhythm and the Field of Human Experience**

The rhythmic pattern of this sonority in relation to the structure of the linguistic conceptual network, or *Prose of the World*, and the texture of symbolic mediation may be defined as the ontological manifestation of their grounding in *sonorous Being* which may be termed the *Prose Rhythm of the World*. That is, *Prose Rhythm* is the ontological centripetal dynamic of symbolic mediation, and narrative configuration. In this sense, action may not only give rise, through human competence of signification, to conceptual networks, and the symbolic texture of mediation, but resonates with rhythm that is integrally part of these networks and texture.

It may also be considered significant that certain rhythmic patterns of human action are related to the seasons, to day and night, and other terrestrial rhythms which may appear to influence and shape the rhythms of temporal existence. Furthermore, the corporeal rhythms of breathing and cardiac pulsation directly relate to the patterns of human activity. Ritual acts and social patterns of behaviour are permeated with rhythm which may be understood as the anchorage of linguistic *prose rhythm* in the practical field of temporal existence; and, therefore, the manifestation of the ontological grounding of the conceptual networks and symbolic texture which underlie narrative configuration.

The phenomenological, acoustical disclosure of *prose rhythm* has been analyzed above, and in the previous chapter. Also, visual appearance was considered in relation to the *iconic moment* of metaphor. That is, corporeal rhythm is phenomenologically disclosed in sound and sight. In
other words, bodily rhythm is expressed in sound patterns and figuration. This is evident in ritual acts in the part played by music and symbolic figuration. In dance, the body not only expresses the musical rhythms but is expressed by them.(30). So too, bodily rhythm is expressed in the figuration of dance. This understanding of the phenomenological disclosure of corporeal rhythm may give meaning to the behavioural patterns of the practical field of human experience, and the cultural configuration of a Lebenswelt, and the anchorage of the linguistic phonic gestures in prose rhythm.

Ontological Disclosure
But does this mean that it is only in this disclosure that the ontological nature of prose rhythm is made known? If so, is it not speculative to propose that prose rhythm is the ontological manifestation of sonorous Being? Consequently, does not the transcendental subject remain to confound the analysis? Is not all phenomenological disclosure subject to intentionality and the Self's rational attempt to wrest the appearance from the Other and enfold it in the realm of the Same? But if, for example, the figure does indeed appear, is it possible to trace its contours as the structuralist attempts to do in the realm of the Same?(31) It is the poet who seems to be more keenly aware of this problematic. W.B.Yeats writes,

O body swayed to music, O brightening glance,  
How can we know the dancer from the dance?

Among School Children

Ricoeur's analysis in Time and Narrative attempts to address these ontological issues but, for the most part, to show how temporality is grounded in the ontological dynamic of human action which gives rise to the creative act of emplotment and configuration. For example, in his analysis of the third feature of mimesis, he draws upon Heidegger's notion of 'Within-time-ness' in relation to the thematic concept Care(Sorge)(32). Here, he is concerned to show how 'Within-
time-ness', which is defined by the basic characteristic of Care, provides a bridge between the linear representation of time and the temporal order of narrative. Referring to Heidegger's analysis he comments,

At first glance, the relation between this analysis of with-time-ness and narrative seems quite distant. Heidegger's text... seems to leave no place for it inasmuch as the tie between history and time occurs, in Being and Time, at the level of historicality, not at that of within-time-ness. The advantage of his analysis of within-time-ness lies elsewhere. It lies in the break this analysis makes with the linear representation of time, understood as a simple succession of nows. An initial threshold is thereby crossed with the primacy of Care. With the recognition of this threshold, a bridge is constructed for the first time between the narrative order and Care. Narrative configurations and the elaborated forms of temporality corresponding to them share the same foundation of within-time-ness.

ibid. Vol. 1 p 63-64

That is, according to Ricoeur, Heidegger's ontological notion of 'within-time-ness' may provide a way of bridging the temporal perspective and configurations of the narrative order with the linear successions of 'nows' in the chronological order of time. In other words, this is a way of bridging the ontological dynamic of figuration as the mediation of human activity with linear descriptions of time in the realm of the Same. Taking the analogy of the Dance: a way of bridging an understanding of the Dance - the figure of the Dance - with a description of the Dance; a way of appropriating and inhabiting the Dance and describing the Dance. With respect to narrative, a way of appropriating and inhabiting the temporal perspective and configuration of a narrative and rationally reflecting upon the descriptions and events of the story.

Although Ricoeur's analysis addresses the above critical ontological questions and provides a valuable interpretation of Heideggerian ontology, he does not go far enough with respect to the critical issue of disclosure. It is a question of defining the dynamic of configuration, or rather the dynamic of 'emplotment', to use Ricoeur's term, as the imaginative creative act of configuration, in terms of phenomenological disclosure. If configuration is indeed understood as an ontological disclosure, if narrative configuration is the appropriation of a lifeworld, an
active condition of being-in-the-world, what is the nature of this disclosure as an act of appropriation?

In his later writings, particularly in The Origin of the Work of Art, Heidegger begins to explore disclosure in terms of the figure. Developing the notion of a rift as the opening up of the world in a setting forth of truth, he writes,

The strife that is brought into the rift and thus set back into the earth and thus fixed in place is the figure (Gestalt). Createdness of the work means truth's being fixed in place of the figure. This composed rift is the fugue of truth's shining. What is here called figure (Gestalt) is always to be thought in terms of the particular placing (Stellen) and enframing (Ge-stellen) as which the work occurs when it sets itself up and sets itself forth.

The Origin of the Work of Art Basic Writings p.189

Heidegger's interest and study in poetry, and especially in the work of Hölderlin may be considered helpful in understanding figurative language as the disclosure of being. In Miguel de Beistegui's recent work on Heidegger, commenting on under-standing of being in The Origin of the Work of Art, he writes,

Man: the (under)standing being. Yet this understanding, originally understood, is poetic: being gives itself in language. Language has this poietic power...
...whenever there is language, whenever language takes form and figure, there is a world and beings come to be disclosed in their truth...

Heidegger & the Political p. 92

It would be inappropriate, however, to assume that Heidegger's understanding of figure may be equated with that which is set forth in this study, particularly with respect to prose rhythm. For example, he is concerned that poetry, or rather primal poesy should not be understood simply in terms of language,

Language is not poetry because it is the primal poesy; rather, poesy propriates in language because language preserves the original essence of poetry.

The Origin of the Work of Art p. 199

Heidegger's work on poetry and the disclosure of being in the figure has an enigmatic quality which is reason for circumspection in any attempt to assign it a place in terms of the analysis.
attempted in this study. The purpose of this reference is to indicate a possible significant extension of Ricoeur's ontological interpretation of Heidegger in relation to the third feature of mimesis with regard to the disclosure of being, and an approach to an analysis of figuration and configuration in terms of sonority and prose rhythm.

If the ontological disclosure of being is the figure(Gestalt), what is the nature of this phenomenological disclosure by which it is not ensnared in the realm of the Same? To put it another way, is it possible for the figure to be both present and absent? For example, is it possible for the figure of the iconic moment of metaphor to be subject to particular intentionality and yet to lie beyond its attention? Is it possible that any attempt to trace the contour of the figure is an illusion? And yet, the figure appears! Or, is it that which is circumscribed by the figure that appears? For example, the profile of a three-dimensional figure is never the line of the contour. Because the visual appearance is only possible from one of an infinite possibility of perspectives, the contour of any profile is an abstraction, and the figure never appears. And yet, that which is circumscribed by the elusive figure does appear. It is partial, and may seemingly be distorted, but it appears as the effect of the centripetal dynamic of the figure. If temporality and activity is added to this three-dimensional figure, it may be understood that the dynamic of the figure is the rhythmic resonance as the effect of sonorous Being. In this sense, the figure is both present and absent, it invokes affirmation and suspicion.

The act of appropriation of a narrative is the 'grasping' of the configuration of the Lebenswelt, the 'bringing together', the con-figuring of the totality of narrative's temporal activity in terms of its characters and events. Appropriation may be defined in terms of particular and operative intentionalities which resonate with poetic and prose rhythm. With respect to the latter, in relation
to operative intentionality, prose rhythm is at the edge of consciousness and beyond. Configuration may, therefore, be understood to be both present and absent. It invokes affirmation of narrative unity, but also suspicion because any attempt to abstract the configuration as a way of explaining the lifeworld, or any feature of it, must remain open to endless interpretation. That is, appropriation is the possibility of affirming narrative unity, or identity, as the mediation of the Other, in a dialectical relationship with reflection, in terms of a continuing provocation of Self reflection in the realm of the Same. (33) This calls for closer analysis in the remainder of the chapter, and particularly from a Kantian perspective in chapter six.

Narrative Configuration

Martha Nussbaum provides a valuable and helpful interpretation of the act of appropriation, of 'grasping' a narrative configuration in her essays on philosophy and literature under the title Love's Knowledge. One of her aims is to demonstrate the importance of literature as a source of moral understanding. In the creative act of the writer, where moral issues are at stake, according to Nussbaum, these are informed by a moral vision that gives unity to the complex and subtle multi-faceted characteristics and features of the characters and events of the narrative. Consequently, moral issues are not resolved into a set of dicta or clearly defined principles, but are 'grasped' in a configuration whereby a process of 'open' reflection may be conducted. In other words, moral vision is affirmed at the same time that the attempt to complete moral definitions is held under suspicion. As an example, Nussbaum takes the novels of Henry James, in particular, The Golden Bowl. She proposes that the entire novel is given moral significance and unity in a passage taken from Chapter III of Book Fifth in which the father of Maggie makes an act of sacrifice in giving her up so that she is free to love her husband. It is the complete image
of this passage which evokes a profound sense of moral achievement in configuring the complete narrative of the novel. She writes,

We can say several things about the moral significance of this picture. First, that as a picture it is significant - not only in its causal relation to his subsequent speeches and acts, but as a moral achievement in its own right. It is, of course, of enormous causal significance; his speeches and acts, here and later, flow forth from it and take from it the rightness of their tone...Furthermore, the picture has a pivotal role in his moral activity here that would not be captured by regarding it as a mere precondition for action. We want to say, here is where his sacrifice, his essential moral choice, takes place...here James tells us that sacrifice is an act of imaginative interpretation. Love's Knowledge  p. 151 - 152

According to Nussbaum, this moral achievement, which may be interpreted as an act of configuration, cannot be captured in paraphrase; it is not, as it were, a two-dimensional definition, or even description, of conceptual morality. It is a work of art.

It is seeing a complex, concrete reality in a highly lucid and richly responsive way; it is taking in what there is there, with imagination and feeling.  
ibid  p.152

Although she does not explore in detail the importance of prose rhythm, she does make a significant and important comment in comparing the original prose with a paraphrase which

...even when reasonably accurate, does not ever succeed in displacing the original prose; for it is, not being a high work of literary art, devoid of richness of feeling and a rightness of tone and rhythm that characterize the original, whose cadences stamp themselves inexorably on the heart. 
ibid.  p. 154

It is the unity of the creative act of imagination in configuring the text which can only be responded to in an act of appropriation by inhabiting the prose rhythm of the text. To be captured by the image, to visualize the moral achievement, or, to discern that which lies beyond this achievement in terms of the unity, the identity of the narrative, is to inhabit a corporeal resonance which is not present, that is, does not appear, with respect to reflection in the realm of the Same, but makes present that which is circumscribed by the configuration.

In Henry James' Golden Bowl and other novels of moral achievement, the process of reflection
in relation to the moral vision is continually provoked by an open horizon of human experience. Nevertheless, the unity of this vision does not seem to be threatened by an apparent destruction of any hope of ultimate rational resolution. When captured by the unity of the vision there may seem to be a profound sense of rational affirmation in spite of an endless provocation of the open horizon. The quality of the father's sacrifice in the passage from the *Golden Bowl* as the key image of the entire novel does appear to make sense. It gives meaning to the narrative which does not seem to deny a rational explanation of the story even though any such explanation must be subject to continuing re-interpretation.

**Configuration, Narrative Identity and Tragedy**

It would seem reasonable to protest, however, that this can never be so with respect to tragedy. The tragic images which are confronted in everyday experience, whether at a personal level in the painful death of a loved one through cancer, or the horrific images of suffering which are mediated by the camera and television screen, seem to defy any attempt at rational explanation, even to suggest that emotional distance allowing for rational abstraction and analysis seems only to achieve explanation at the cost of excluding vital and fundamental characteristics of the tragic image. How is it possible, therefore, for the creative writer to configure such images in a unity of narrative identity? How is it possible to write a story in which such tragic images are 'grasped' in a narrative unity whereby its meaning may be affirmed at the same time as the narrative descriptions seem to defy rational explanation?

In the tragic novels, for example, Hardy's *Mayor of Casterbridge* and Tolstoy's *Anna Karenina*, this achievement does appear to have been attained. In the configuration of the novels, the
position and interrelationships of a range of images appear to create the effect in an act of appropriation and habitation of a convergence in a vision of unity and meaning. For example, the counterpointing of images in the above novels, within the totality of the configuration may draw the reader towards that affirmation. At the beginning of Hardy's novel, there is the image of depravity in Henchard's senseless act of selling his wife, which may be related in a counterpoint effect with his pathetic, but profoundly dignified death, particularly in his totally negative will which he gives to Abel Whittle who had remained devoted to him in spite of the harsh treatment he had received at his hands. Similarly, with respect to the effect of counterpointing, the meeting of Anna with Vronsky at the railway station, and the final tragic act of Anna's suicide at the same station, is a powerful feature of the entire novel in an invocation of affirmation. This may be compared with John Keat's theory of Negative Capability:

I mean Negative Capability, that is when a man is capable of being in uncertainties, Mysteries, doubts, without any irritable reaching after fact & reason.
Robert Gittings p.261 John Keats

Fundamental to such configuration of tragedy and any act of appropriation is the habitation of the resonance, the prose rhythm of the narrative. It may be claimed that prose rhythm is insufficient with respect to tragedy to achieve an affirmation of unity, or narrative identity. That what is called for is a much more conscious attention to the rhythm. In other words, only poetic rhythm may possess the power to resonate as corporeal intentionality in precipitating an act of configuring tragic identity. It would seem that this lies behind George Steiner's comment in The Death of Tragedy:

It is certain that Greek Tragedy, was from the outset, written in verse. It sprang from archaic rituals of celebration or lament and was inseparable from the use of language in a heightened lyric mode. Attic drama represents a convergence of speech, music, and dance. In all three, rhythm is the vital centre, and when language is in a state of rhythm (words in the condition of ordered motion), it is verse. In the Oresteia no less than in the Bacchae, perhaps the last of the great feats of the Greek imagination, the action of the drama and the moral experience of the characters are wholly united to the metric form. Greek tragedy is sung, danced, and declaimed. Prose has no place in it. The Death of Tragedy p. 239
In the light of Steiner's work, perhaps there is a judgment to be made which cannot be fully satisfied without comparable acts of appropriation. Within the limits of this study this is not possible. What may be observed is that the role of metaphor in the act of configuration, especially with regard to tragedy, is critical in terms of the unity of narrative identity.

The central question is, how is it possible, reading a narrative which seems to test rational explanation beyond its limits, to grasp its meaning in such a way that reason is challenged to extend its limits? In other words, reason is not abandoned but provoked by the imagination to continually question an understanding resulting from an act of appropriation and habitation of the narrative. With respect to such narratives, is it possible for the reader to resist the Scylla of relinquishing rational explanation, and the Charybdis of failing to understand by making the apparent limits of reason the ground of such understanding?

The great tragic writings mentioned above are examples of creative achievements which may evoke an affirmation of profound meaning that stimulates rational thought. Other narratives seem to present an absurd challenge to reason. An example of this is the biblical story of Abraham's sacrifice of Isaac. On the one hand, from the point of view that Abraham's love for Isaac is absolute, and yet he is prepared to perform the sacrifice, the narrative appears to have no rational explanation. Consequently, to grasp the meaning of the story would seem to involve an abdication of reason. On the other hand, the narrative is critically important to the Abrahamic cycle of stories which have been, and remain central to a tradition of faith which many claim is fundamentally rational. Perhaps more than any other, Soren Kierkegaard in Fear and Trembling has attempted to address this critical issue which is related to the theme of paradox.
in his *Philosophical Fragments*:

...the paradox is the source of the thinker's passion, and the thinker without a paradox is like a lover without feeling: a paltry mediocrity. But the highest pitch of every passion is always to will its own downfall; and so it is also the supreme passion of Reason to seek a collision, though this collision must in one way or another prove its undoing. The supreme paradox of all thought is to attempt to discover something it cannot think. This passion is at bottom present in all thinking, even the thinking of the individual, in so far as in thinking, even in the thinking individual, in so far as in thinking he participates in something transcending himself.

*Philosophical Fragments* p. 46

It may be contended, of course, that although Kierkegaard's understanding of the relationship between reason and passion is significant, paradox, in this sense, does not seem to provide any basis for rational explanation. Therefore, is Kierkegaard simply recognizing the limits of reason, and exhorting everyone to allow the imagination and feeling to have free reign? Does the passion of thought drive thinking to the edge and abandonment of reason?

In *The Gift of Death*, Derrida examines the narrative of Isaac's sacrifice in terms of the paradox of the concept of duty and absolute responsibility. The concept of duty is a universal that belongs to the linguistic medium whereby the order of human duty is subject to rational explanation and understanding. According to Derrida, this is not the absolute singularity of responsibility that Abraham faces completely alone, and which cannot be shared or understood. Drawing upon Kierkegaard, Derrida interprets this act of sacrifice as the absolute instant of decision which is an instant of madness. He writes,

> The paradox cannot be grasped in time and through mediation, that is to say in language and through reason.

*The Gift of Death*. p. 65

Does this imply that an understanding of the biblical narrative calls for the Kierkegaardian 'leap of faith'? And does this mean that the singularity of Abraham's decision is beyond the limits of reason in a sphere of experience which cannot be mediated or rationally understood? If this decision, from a rational perspective, is indeed an instant of madness, does this mean that not
only is reason abandoned at the instant of decision, but it cannot even have a role to play in preparation for the leap? If so, then it would seem that reason itself may be ironically a madness, and each lifeworld is a 'tale told by an idiot, full of sound and fury and signifying nothing.'

Chapter six will address these questions raised by Kiekegaard and Derrida in a detailed analysis of the biblical narrative. An attempt will be made to show that the moment of decision may be grasped by means of an appropriation and habitation of the written mode of the narrative in which *prose rhythm* plays a critical rôle. That is, the reader appropriates the perspective of the 'implied author', the other of the text, by means of an ontological sonority mediated through the *prose rhythm* of the narrative. It is this perspective, this grasping of the configuration of the narrative that creates the unified 'space' whereby reason is provoked beyond its existing limit in a process of continuing reflection. The reader is motivated by an *ontological vehemence*, generated by the dynamic of the self's appropriation of the other's viewpoint, and the sonorous expression of this appropriation mediated through the *prose rhythm*.

To this end, it will be shown that the singularity of the decision is mediated through the singularity of a particular *prose rhythm* of a particular biblical text. That is, an appropriation and habitation of a particular text which mediates the singularity of Abraham's decision provides the means of grasping this singularity whereby reason is provoked in a continuing process of questioning and interpretation. In other words, a sensitive response to the *prose rhythm* of the text playing upon an imaginative grasp of its configuration makes possible an understanding of Abraham's decision. It is to grasp the particular viewpoint of the 'implied author', the other of the text, in such a way that reason seeks to explain this viewpoint, this affirmation of understanding. Unable to free its explanations from suspicion, reason remains constantly open to new
interpretations in a dialectical relationship with the affirmation of understanding. This dialectic may be considered as a way of defining the Kierkegaardian paradox (39). The singularity of Abraham's decision may therefore be mediated through the written text, not as a universal but as a kind of Kantian regulative idea in the Ricoeurean sense (40).
The Fourth Ontological Issue: The *Primordial Dialectic* of Self and Other

(This is the fourth of the ontological issues of *sonorous Being* identified in Chapter Four, Page 89)

Following the analysis of the sonorous nature of the *primordial dialectic* in chapter five, what follows is a development of this analysis as the dialectic between the relationship of the rational identity of self-consciousness and narrative identity as the 'other' of the text; that is, between Self and Other. The former being the mode of suspicion, and the latter that of affirmation. This relationship is a fundamental issue of this study provoked by the question, how is it possible rationally to affirm an understanding of a narrative when it would seem that rational explanations cannot escape from suspicion? Or to put it another way, if temporal experience subjects the reader to an open horizon of interpretation in relation to the text, how may understanding be rationally affirmed when explanations must be continuous and endless in the hermeneutical process? Day to day understanding, according to Ricoeur, is based upon language as a fiduciary institution, whereby explanations are taken as provisional, and may become part of a linguistic sedimentation in terms of established, conventional meaning. Suspicion of this conventional meaning is not lifted but held in abeyance until such times as it is revealed to be inhibiting or damaging in its effects upon human freedom. A more profound and disturbing consequence is the closure of the hermeneutical process in a failure to question the *a priori* or metaphysical foundations of rational understanding. If rational affirmation is possible it cannot
be at the cost of suspending the suspicion of its explanations.

What follows is an analysis of a particular text in an attempt to show how the relationship of suspicion and affirmation is the expression of the primordial dialectic of Self and Other in terms of prose rhythm as the mediation of its ontological sonority.

Selected Narrative: Abraham's Sacrifice (See Appendix)

At the conclusion of chapter five, consideration was given to those narratives which pose the most critical challenge to the relationship between suspicion and affirmation. Tragedies, and particularly narratives which seem to present an absurd challenge to reason, raise the issue in its most acute form. The Biblical story of Abraham's sacrifice of Isaac, it was proposed, is an example of the latter which will be the subject of critical analysis in this chapter. The purpose being to attempt to show how it may be possible to understand this seemingly incomprehensible act in a way that opens up a hermeneutical process in terms of rational probabilities. That is, an understanding achieved by an openness to meaning, unconstrained by the semantic past, which vehemently provokes rational explanation into securing innovative probabilities. It is the question of grasping the singularity of an act not by means of universal conceptuality but by the mediation of a configuration which acts as a schema for the conceptual manifold.

Literary Approach to the Text

It must be made clear that the analysis will be conducted on the basis of a literary approach to the text with respect to the philosophical purpose of demonstrating the possibility of the affirmation of rational meaning. For this reason, the Biblical narrative will be treated as a story free from any theological presuppositions or exegetical purposes with respect to a community
of religious faith, and will not address any historical or anthropological issues such as child sacrifice(3). Although the text is an integral part of the Biblical written tradition that has been subjected to seemingly considerable redaction, which in turn has inspired a critical hermeneutical process drawing upon wide ranging studies of archaeological, anthropological and other disciplines, it is the story as preserved in a particular text that will circumscribe the analysis. What is at stake is the process of inhabiting the lifeworld of the text in terms of appropriating the ontological perspective of the Other of the narrative whereby the meaning of the story may be understood, provoking uninhibited attempts at rational explanation.

By means of the analysis, endeavour will be made to show that prose rhythm is a key characteristic of such appropriation, and therefore it will be limited to a particular text in a particular language and a particular translation. The issue is not whether a particular translation accurately mediates the original text, although with respect to the Biblical tradition this is obviously very important. It is an ontological issue of habitation involving fundamental acoustical and visual characteristics that are peculiar to the prose rhythm of a particular linguistic form of the narrative. From this point of view, the issue of translation would concern the ability to listen and possess a corporeal sensitivity to the rhythmic resonance of different languages(6). It would also raise questions about the comparative merits of particular texts in different languages with respect to their rhythmic capacity to promote rational understanding. But these questions are not directly related to the purpose of this analysis.

'Authorized Version' of the Biblical Text

The particular text selected for the purpose of this analysis is the 'Authorized Version' of the Bible first published in 1611 and dedicated to King James. Although it has been criticized with
respect to its accuracy as a translation of the original Hebrew and Greek, it has been commended for its suitability in sharing the simple, concrete and direct characteristics with the Hebrew language(7). According to a number of scholars of the English language, these characteristics have informed and influenced its development both with respect to literature and the vernacular.

For example, Addison wrote,

There is a certain coldness and indifference in the phrases of our European languages, when compared with the oriental forms of speech; and it happens very luckily, that the Hebrew idioms run into the English tongue with a particular grace and beauty. Our language has received innumerable elegancies and improvements, from that infusion of Hebraism, which are derived to it out of the poetical passages in Holy Writ. They give force and energy to, our expression, warm and animate our language, and convey our thoughts in more ardent and intense phrases, than any that are to be met with in our own tongue.

'Spectator', No. 405 quoted in T.R. Henn's The Bible as Literature, p. 35.

In more recent times, this view has been expressed by T.R. Henn with regard, for example, to the cadence, favoured by the Translators, as the metrical pattern marking the final clauses of sentences in certain kinds of prose(8). He notes the way this Hebraic aspect has been taken up into the 'Authorized Version' of the Bible in its development in the English language:

It passes into English through late Latin, and particularly through the rhythms of the Cranmer prayer-book, deriving from the Mass. It appears strongly in the Latin versions of the Psalms, and widely dispersed, in the Latin of St. Jerome's Vulgate which was so familiar to the early Translators.

The Bible as Literature p. 39

Writing in Peake's Commentary on the Bible, he expresses the view that

It seems probable that its rhythms are embedded in us (first through the Latin of ritual, and their transpositions into English Liturgy) so firmly as to condition our recognition, and response to, all other rhythms of a similar nature.

Peake's Commentary p. 8

Although this is a debateable viewpoint in relation to a variety of opinions with respect to the richness and fluidity of the historical development of the English language, it is evident that the 'Authorized Version' was the climax of a number of Biblical translations in the age of the Renaissance, 1500 to 1650, which played a significant role in linguistic development of what has become known as the Modern English Period(9). In the chapter on the Renaissance in The History of the English Language, Albert C. Baugh and Thomas Cable outline the important and
key developments whereby the Modern period was established in which, for example, vocabulary, grammar, pronunciation, particularly vowel sounds, have maintained a continuity of characteristics in the constant changes of historical development. In this context, the conviction that the rhythms of the 'Authorized Version' retain an abiding influence in the present age are shared by many. Another example is Peter Quennell's view expressed in *A History of English Literature*:

...unnumbered Englishmen, believers and atheists alike, have felt the Bible's all-pervading influence and, consciously or unconsciously, have sought to echo the rhythms of its poetic divagations and of its succinct yet always powerful narrative.

*A History of English literature* p. 108

The point being made is that the sedimentations of present day English may not only be identified in the conventional meaning of words and idioms, but also in the rhythms which may be traced to the Renaissance in which the 'Authorized Version' of the Bible is a crucial influence. Consequently, the resonance and sonority which play an important role in the appropriation of English prose narratives may be, directly or indirectly, regulated by the Biblical rhythms. A regulation that may be understood as a key characteristic of the ontological sonorous condition of those for whom English is indigenous to their native lifeworld. This is, as already acknowledged, a speculative view, but it does indicate a possibly significant factor in the English reader's understanding of the particular Biblical narrative selected for analysis.

**The Problem in Understanding the Narrative**

Before attempting to analyze the narrative with respect to the fundamental role played by the particular *prose rhythm* of the 'Authorized Version', it is necessary to clarify the problem of understanding and explaining the passage. Turning to the text in Genesis chapter 22, verses 1 to 19, it is clear that the apparent problem in an attempt to understand lies in Abraham's seeming willingness to sacrifice his son. It is in the relationship of verse 10, with its succinct description
of taking the knife to slay Isaac, and verse 2, which states without reservation, in the words of God, the love of Abraham for his son, that any attempt to understand the narrative is given its sharpest challenge. Although it may be helpful to have some knowledge of the larger Genesis narrative of which this sacrifice is part, including the expressions of Abraham’s faith and obedience, and the divine blessings he and his wife, Sarah, receive, especially the gift of a son in their old age, these do not detract from the profound difficulty of understanding the relationship between verses 2 and 10. There may be the temptation to suggest that Abraham believed that God would withhold his hand at the last moment and provide for the sacrifice. Since this is indeed what happens in verse 11 and following, and since Abraham had experienced God’s blessings in the past, the greatest being the gift of Isaac, then it may seem reasonable to explain verse 10 in this way. But there is no basis for this interpretation in verses 1 to 10. Furthermore, if Abraham does have this belief, the act itself does not make sense. The narrative of God’s tempting Abraham must surely mean that he cannot stretch forth his hand to slay his son unless he is willing to perform the act. What is so seemingly incomprehensible in this narrative are not, primarily, the ways of God, nor any metaphysical speculation about the existence or nature of the Divinity, or the covenantal relationship between the Hebrew Deity and the Father of its Faith, but the motive of an old man in the act of sacrificing his son(10). How is it possible to appropriate and inhabit the lifeworld of this old man to begin to understand and make sense of this act?

Configuration and Metaphor

An attempt was made in chapter five to show the importance of the relationship between the figuration of the narrative and prose rhythm. For this reason it is helpful to analyze the former in attempting to identify the perspective of its ‘implied author’ or Other. If the narrative may be
rationally understood and open to explanation, there must be access to its narrative identity in terms of the perspective of its 'implied author'. That is, to be critically aware of the focus of configuration which dynamically draws together the total figuration of the narrative. If there is such a perspective it must surely be centred upon the moment of the act in verse 10: 'And Abraham stretched forth his hand, and took the knife to slay him.' It is in the figure of this direct, pithy description that the reader's imagination is given a viewpoint, a gestalt whereby his particular and operative intentionalities are configured. That is, the various figures leading to this key moment are formed in relation to the sacrificial act. For example, the imaginative perception of Abraham rising early in the morning saddling his ass, with respect to every feature and characteristic of his movements and bodily expression, is informed by the impending moment when he stretches forth his hand to strike with the knife. The significant aspect of this configuration, as noted above, is the interrelationship of the figure in verse 10 with the figure of a loving father mediated by the words in verse 2, 'Take thy son, thine only son Isaac, whom thou lovest...'. What is the imaginative perception of this figure from the perspective of the Other of the text?

A closer examination of the relationship between verses 2 and 10 reveals that it involves more than an act of configuration. From Ricoeur's work on semantic innovation in which there is a close relationship between his theories of narrative and metaphor, the figure in verse 10 may be seen not only as the focus of the configuration but also the iconic moment in a metaphor which is integrally related to the emplotment of the narrative. This, of course, is drawing upon Ricoeur's theory in which the base unit of metaphor is the sentence, and may be, as in this case, the whole of the narrative. In other words, the iconic moment is the symbolic mediation which precipitates a semantic interactive process throughout the discourse, or narrative. Symbol here being
understood as an ontological mediation whereby the metaphoric figure is an ontological perspective which initiates a vehemence of semantic interaction within the text. It is an act of appropriation and habitation with respect to a metaphor which involves semantic innovation born out of interaction.

It is proposed that the Biblical story of Abraham's sacrifice in Genesis chapter 22 may, and indeed should be, read as a metaphor. Therefore, to begin to understand and explain this seemingly incomprehensible narrative calls for an act of configuration involving a response to the symbolic mediation of the metaphor. The key figure of configuration, and the *iconic moment* of the metaphor being verse 10, 'And Abraham stretched forth his hand, and took the knife to slay his son.' The cultic act of sacrifice is symbolic. The meaning is a 'this for that'. But the act does not stand for something else. The literal meaning as set out in verse 10 is taken up and transcended by a new meaning created out of an interaction between verse 10 and the rest of the narrative, particularly verse 2. It may be helpful to have some understanding of the cultic practice of sacrifice both with respect to the Biblical tradition, and knowledge of contemporary rites and rituals. Nevertheless, it is clear in the text alone that the act of sacrifice in verse 10 is symbolic, and, in terms of the literary characteristics of the narrative, it is a metaphor.

To begin to understand the act involves grasping its figuration; to imaginatively perceive this moment from the narrative's perspective. Consequently, the figure cannot be grasped on the basis of a literal understanding. The figure takes on a symbolic aspect which mediates a meaning which may only be revealed, or rather created, since the reader's imagination is involved in a creative process, in the rest of the text. Therefore, as a result of the appropriation and habitation of the narrative's ontological viewpoint, the perspective of the Other, the literal meaning of 'And
Abraham stretched forth his hand, and took the knife to slay his son.' vehemently interacts in the semantic field of the narrative, particularly with verse 2a, 'And he said, Take now thy son, thine only son Isaac, whom thou lovest, and get thee into the land of Moriah'. The meaning of the sacrifice may only be understood in terms of the imaginative visual appropriation of the figure of Abraham as the loving father of Isaac. A superficial attempt to understand could be that the meaning of this sacrifice is Abraham's decision to give up the one who is most precious to him. But if Abraham's love for Isaac is completely selfless, then this meaning of the act would not be rational since it would be paradoxically selfish, since giving up in this way is aimed at satisfying Abraham's personal faith and conviction. In other words, he would be willing to kill his son in order to maintain his own existential security(14).

There have been many attempts at interpretation in which the symbolic and metaphoric features have been explicitly or implicitly taken into account. Gerhard von Rad makes the claim,

There are many levels of meaning, and whoever thinks he has discovered virgin soil must discover at once that there are many more layers below that. Such a mature narrator as this one has no intention of paraphrasing exactly the meaning of such an event and stating it for the reader. On the contrary, a story like this is basically open to interpretation and to whatever thoughts the reader is inspired.

*Genesis, A Commentary* SCM p. 238

But the critical question is, can there be any level of meaning discovered in the relationship between verses 2 and 10 in the text? For example, in his commentary von Rad suggests,

It has to do with a road out into God forsakenness on which Abraham does not know that God is only testing him. There is thus considerable religious experience behind these nineteen verses: that Yahweh often seems to contradict himself, that he appears to remove the salvation begun by himself from history. But in this way Yahweh tests faith and obedience!

*ibid.* p. 239

Yet this level of meaning is grounded in metaphysical assumptions which, from a philosophical point of view, not only challenge rational explanation, but seemingly act as a closure on any further attempts at interpretation(15). This, of course, may not be what von Rad intends, and
presumably he would encourage questioning the metaphysical assumptions, but in claiming there are levels of meaning discovered in the narrative, he provides no evidence of them other than those which are grounded in some *a priori* foundation. These are meanings subject to suspicion without any apparent possibility of rational affirmation. The aim of this thesis is to demonstrate that there may be such affirmation which opens the horizon of rational explanation so that suspicion is not the result of its closure(16).

**The Linguistic Mediation of Singularity**

The problem in its most acute form is presented by Derrida in *The Gift of Death*. The essay in chapter three, 'Whom to Give to (Knowing not to Know)', takes the issue of the individual's responsibility and decision, with respect to Abraham's act of sacrifice, as a singularity which cannot be linguistically communicated, and therefore cannot be understood by others. The understanding of the decision to act is a secret between God and Abraham. He writes,

> To the extent that, in not saying the essential thing, namely, the secret between God and him, Abraham doesn't speak, he assumes the responsibility that consists in always being alone, entrenched in one's own singularity at the moment of decision. Just as no one can die in my place, no one can make a decision, what we call "a decision," in my place. But as soon as one speaks, as soon as one enters the medium of language, one loses that very singularity. *The Gift of Death* p. 60-1

If Derrida is correct, then all linguistic meaning is either based upon *a priori* assumptions, or is conventional, and there is no foundation for rational affirmation other than conventionality(17).

If it is not possible to grasp, to have some understanding of the other's singularity in the moment of decision in terms of the mediation of language, then there is no possibility of a linguistic perspective for a hermeneutical process in which understanding constantly provokes continuing explanation. With regard to the Biblical narrative in question, from Derrida's approach, there is no possibility of understanding Abraham's decision to sacrifice his son, and therefore the story is incomprehensible(18).
It is, however, incomprehensible in terms of the possibility of an universal conceptuality of Abraham's singularity in the moment of decision. Derrida states,

> We would no longer dare speak of "the universal concept of responsibility"
> *ibid.* p. 61

There is of course such a concept, but the point that Derrida is making is that it does not communicate the singularity of the moment of decision. In other words, it is a concept that is not free of suspicion. With respect to the aim of this study, the question is whether it is a concept that may be subject to continuing openness of interpretation in an affirmation of unity of a rational 'space' grounded in the singularity of the moment of decision. That is, to appropriate the configuration of the narrative, and the *iconic moment* of the key metaphor, is to affirm an understanding that opens the rational 'space' for continual rational explanation. It is to grasp the meaning of the singularity of the moment of decision so that it may indeed be linguistically mediated in terms of rational explanation.

**Self and Other**

For this to be so, the reader must appropriate a condition of being by a willingness to relinquish any sense of finality of meaning by which his or her self reflection may have become dependent. It is a question of a relationship between Self and Other whereby the Self may inhabit the Other's ontological viewpoint which produces a semantic innovation in the process of self reflection. The concept of Otherness has been the subject of considerable interest in recent philosophical debate, but it is in the work of Emmanuel Levinas that it has been given the most critical attention in his philosophy of the Other. It is therefore important to provide some explanation about the way this concept is being used, and the significant difference with respect to the Levanasian Other. According to Levinas, there is no possibility of understanding and
explaining the Other. The metaphysical absolute desire for the Other places it outside self consciousness(21). From this perspective, Levinas contends that the history of Western philosophy reveals the attempt of the conscious I in seeking to grasp the Other through the mediation of appearance and enfold it in the sphere of the Same in terms of rational explanation(22). That is, the sphere of cognitive, conceptual mediation which is dependent upon repetition(23) with respect to meaning and knowledge, and the continuing identity of the self-conscious I. He writes,

Our relation with the other (autrui) certainly consists in wanting to comprehend him, but this relation overflows comprehension. Not only because knowledge of the other (autrui) requires, outside of all curiosity, also sympathy or love, ways of being distinct from impassible contemplation, but because in our relation with the other (autrui), he does not affect us in terms of a concept. He is a being (étant) and counts as such.

Basic Philosophical Writings. 'Is Ontology Fundamental?' p. 6

Levinas maintains that the relationship of the I with the Other is, more than anywhere else, in the face of the other human being, of the stranger. He claims that the position of the I in this relationship is not one of an awareness of duty and obligation, it is responsibility through and through. In other words, it is an ethical relationship in which the response is not founded upon comprehension but unquestionable responsibility. For this reason, he argues that metaphysics, in the sense of Ethics as the First Philosophy, precedes Ontology, and is therefore critical, from this perspective, of Heidegger's attempt to comprehend Being. He writes,

If ontology - the comprehension, the embracing of Being - is impossible, it is not because every definition of Being already presupposes the knowledge of Being, as Pascal had said and Heidegger refutes in the first pages of Being and Time; it is because the comprehension of Being in general cannot dominate the relationship with the Other. The latter relationship commands the first. I cannot disentangle myself from society with the Other, even when I consider the Being of the existent he is.

Totality and Infinity p. 47

There is a radical dichotomy between the Self and Other in Levinas's philosophy which cannot be bridged by any act of comprehension.

In this thesis, the Other is being considered specifically as the Other of narrative. That is, the
'implied author', the ontological perspective which configures the narrative consequently structuring the rational 'space' whereby analysis and explanation may be conducted in the sphere of the Same. In contrast to Levinas, therefore, it is an attempt to demonstrate that an act of comprehension may take place. But this is not an Heideggerian comprehension of Being, it is a comprehension grounded upon the perspective of the Being of the Other. What is comprehended is not the latter but the Other's viewpoint. The reader is called to act in a process of appropriation and habitation of the ontological position of the Other. The act does not bridge the radical dichotomy between the Self and Other in terms of comprehension; the Other still overflows comprehension in the metaphysical desire of the Self for the absolute Other. What the act does achieve is a dialectical relationship between the Self and Other in the way the appropriation of the ontological perspective of the Other vehemently provokes semantic innovation in the sphere of the Same.

A critical aspect of otherness identified by Ricoeur in *Oneself as Another*, and present in the phenomenology of Merleau-Ponty, is in the duality of the flesh and body. Ricoeur defines a critical characteristic of otherness in this relationship. Writing on the theme of suffering, he describes the otherness of the body, and its radical polarity with the flesh. Here, otherness is the passivity of the body as the victim of suffering represented by the experience of one's own body, and the flesh as the mediator between self and the world. The purpose is not to reflect upon Ricoeur's study of suffering, but simply to draw attention to an important contribution to this critical characteristic of otherness. This has already been considered in chapter 5. It now provides the link with the act of appropriation and habitation in terms of the important role of *prose rhythm*. That is, the understanding of the Self's appropriation of the Other's ontological perspective as the appropriation of *sonorous Being* mediated by the effect of *prose rhythm* upon
the body as otherness.

*Prose Rhythm* and the Biblical Text

Returning to the 'Authorized Version' of the Biblical text, does the prose rhythm of this text reveal the possibility of a sonorous effect which provides a dynamical vehemence in the metaphorical relationship between verses 2 and 10, whereby the *iconic moment* focused upon the figure of the act of sacrifice in the latter verse takes into itself the love of Abraham for Isaac in the former? Does this particular *prose rhythm* effect a bodily resonance in the act of reading that precipitates an ontological convergence in terms of an *operative* intentionality beyond the 'edge' of consciousness which, in the sense of Merleau-Ponty's phenomenology, makes possible an act of *particular* intentionality? That is, does the *prose rhythm* of the 'Authorized Version' make it possible to grasp the meaning of this particular act? Is it possible that this particular text linguistically mediates the singularity of the act in terms of a teleological, open process of rational explanation?

Some consideration has been given to the prose rhythm of the 'Authorized Version' of the Bible with respect to the speculative view that this rhythm may be a significant influence in present day English language. The English reader may therefore be 'tuned' to its rhythm, in the way that the cultural indigenous patterns of musical beat may initiate an involuntary response to a rich variety of song and dance. The role of these patterns in the convergence of configurations of sound and movement in melody and motion is significant. But even if the rhythms of the 'Authorized Version' were influential in this manner in the sense of playing a significant role in understanding the Biblical text, this would be a matter of conventionality. Linguistic sedimentation in itself may not be considered as a grounding for rational affirmation. However, it is important to recognize that it is an integral part of understanding and explanation, and, with
respect to *prose rhythm*, may be influential in the reader's act of appropriation and habitation.

In examining the rhythmic patterns of stress in this particular passage, it is important to note the very concrete, and direct descriptions of action and place. There are few adjectives, or adverbs, or subordinate clauses. Typical of the 'Authorized Version', reflecting the original Hebrew, there is, as Henn observes, 'a poverty of connecting participles' resulting in a simple conjunctive style. The effect is a dramatic force which carries the narrative along, moving through peaks of action. Its simplicity of style tends to place the dominant stress pattern on the verb which focuses the reader's attention on the concrete moments of action, and is not distracted by reflection upon the descriptive features of the characters and place. In responding to the dynamic of the direct and concrete movement of action, the reader's imagination is rooted in the characters in a state of activity. The dominant focus, and therefore rhythmic stress, is not upon their thoughts or emotions but their actions. Any reflection upon the former is after the narrative has been read. Whatever meaning emerges from the act of reading is imaginatively grounded in the appropriation and habitation of the concrete actions.

It is obvious from the text that this grounding is directly related to the figure of Abraham. In the first ten verses his name is mentioned ten times. The figure dominates the whole narrative: It is the story of Abraham's sacrifice of his son. Significantly, the word 'son' is found eight times in the same verses, with the repetition in verse 2; whereas the name Isaac is found only five times. There is, therefore, an effect upon the imaginative figure of Abraham in action with respect to the relation to his son. It is the figure of the father in the act of killing his son. But this is an act of sacrifice, and the purpose, according to the narrative, is to tempt Abraham. The son, therefore, in the moment of the act is the means of this temptation. That is, the literal meaning of the son
becomes the metaphoric meaning of temptation in the act of sacrifice. Any attempt at interpreting this meaning of temptation may be given clarification by drawing upon the wider narrative in Genesis, and perhaps the knowledge of ritual sacrifice in the ancient world. Nevertheless, it is clear from the narrative that Abraham's relationship with God is being tested. The command to perform this sacrificial act is a testing of obedience. Beyond that, the nature of this obedience is speculative. What is not speculative is the objective mode of this testing in the son. The son is this objective mode of this act of testing. Therefore, the figure of the son in the act of sacrifice is a metaphor. More particularly, the figure of the father in the act of sacrificing his son is the *iconic moment* of the metaphor.

In terms of *metaphora*, the question is, from whence does this figure of temptation draw its meaning? The answer is, from the relationship of the father to the son; particularly, from the expression of that relationship in verse 2: 'Take now thy son, thine only son Isaac, whom thou lovest'. The movement of the action is significantly influenced by this metaphoric effect; and the semantic interaction precipitated by the *iconic moment* of verse 10 is imaginatively grounded in this central relationship whereby action is insistently rooted in Abraham's relationship with his son. So far as this particular text is concerned, the action is not grounded in Abraham's obedience to God, although that is obviously important. It is this obedience which is being tested. But the focus that generates the semantic interaction, and therefore the meaning, is the relationship with his son which is fundamental in grasping the narrative.

In the 'Authorized Version', and particularly this passage, the rhythmic cadence coming at the end of a sentence, where the conjunction is a mark of the sentence structure, mediates a persuasive effect that the dramatic movement has meaning(25). The insistent cadence of a downward stress
is experienced as an assurance that the narrative will not lead to a sense of fragmentation and chaos. This contrasts, for example, with those poets who have attempted to create a sense of uncertainty and insecurity. Thomas Hardy produces this effect in his poem, *The Voice*. The poem begins in the style of a swinging ballad that gives way to an unhappy listlessness of movement expressive of Hardy's loss and desolation in the breakdown of the verse form in the last stanza. The pattern of cadence in the movement between verse 2 and verse 10 of the Biblical narrative resonates with a seemingly dynamic urgency. By this regular rhythmic, measured fall in relation to the narrative's movement of action, the reader appropriates a sense that the action is moving to a climax, even a sense of resolution or completion. This does not mean that this pattern of cadence ensures that the love of Abraham for his son is carried through the action to become the defining feature in the figure of the sacrificial act, but plays a key element in the reader's grasp of this figure.

As indicated above, the stress pattern of the narrative *prose rhythm* is, for the most part, directly related to the verb structure. This is combined with a tendency to monosyllabic verbs with an incisive, crisp sound that resonates with a sense of a positive beat in the rhythmic movement. Each action is combined with an anticipatory stress. The stress pattern begins in verse 1 with an almost relaxed pace leading to a flowing, modulated rhythm in verse 2 which mediates a sense of the intimacy and closeness of father and son, and the grave nature of the command. Verse 3 changes the mood to one of commitment and determination with the stress on the practical needs of responding to the command. Then in verse three the sound and resonance mediates a holding back with respect to the sentence structure, and the upward tone of 'lifted up' and 'saw'. The reader feels the hesitancy and disturbance in Abraham's movement towards the climax. Even the cadence of assurance is mingled with this disturbance in an impression of a struggle between
a rise and fall in 'afar off'. The holding back is maintained in verse 5, but with the stress upon a different tone in 'abide' and 'worship' mediating a diversion of revival; a kind of 'stopping for breath' in a determination to complete the course. Here the cadence is the clear fall of trust and conviction. The pace picks up again in verse 6 with a similar stress pattern to verse 3, and once more is held up in verse 7 and 8. This time the focus of stress is upon the son. But it is the son in relation to Abraham, and therefore what is mediated is a state of being appropriated by the reader whereby the figure of Abraham remains the centre of attention. The holding back is not one of hesitancy but curiosity and trust, and Abraham's response of paternal assurance. Verse 9 repeats the pattern of verses 3 and 6 so that the movement from verse 2 to verse 10 is maintained throughout the narrative with a dominant practical sense of action as the essential ontological dynamic of the metaphoric semantic interaction precipitated by the *iconic moment* in verse 10.

The stress pattern of the climactic moment in the narrative is one of the three ringing tones of a bell - 'stretched', 'took' and 'slay'. At the moment in the reader's habitation of the narrative's perspective, the ringing resonates with a trembling in the Kierkegaardian sense\(^{(27)}\). The trembling is the effect of an *ontological sonority* which is mediated through the productive imagination in the reader's creative response to the figure of Abraham in this moment when his love for his son informs the act of sacrifice. This is not a response of cognitive understanding, but the disclosure of a figure, in the Heideggerian sense\(^{(28)}\), which becomes the focus of the metaphoric *iconic moment*.

**Sound and Imaginative Vision**

What must be appreciated is the unity of sound and imaginative vision in this creative process of disclosure. It is analogous to the sculptor's creative activity in disclosing the image in and through the material medium. The sculptor works with the marble or stone. His creativity is
tactile, and allied with the particularity of the medium. He chooses his material carefully, sensitive to its touch by which he may discern the possibilities of disclosure. Even then, he must engage in a creative relationship with the chosen object in the revelatory process of his work. So too, the sensitive reader is responsive to the objective materiality of *prose rhythm* in terms of its sound and the effect of its resonance in relation to an act of configuration, or metaphoric figure. The rhythm is the material medium of the creative, figurative act. It is the dynamic materiality which gives impetus and convergence in the disclosure of the figure. With respect to the 'Authorized Version' of the particular Biblical text, what is proposed is that its *prose rhythm* is the medium that makes possible the reader's grasp of a figure of a loving father in the act of sacrificing his son by which he or she is given understanding. The figure affirms a sense that this is not an irrational act. It provokes the reader to seek a rational explanation. *Prose rhythm* is the medium of the productive imagination. It is not the figure that may be disclosed in the work of the imagination, but, to draw upon another analogy, the texture and weave of the figure.

The Instant of Affirmation

The act of grasping the metaphoric figure of the *iconic moment* is analogous to the moment of poetic vision, for example, expressed by Gerard Manley Hopkins in his poem *God's Grandeur* when the sight of a bird in flight, caught by the sun, captures a sense of divine presence in the world:

```
Oh, the morning, at the brown brink eastwards, springs -
Because the Holy Ghost over the bent
World broods with warm breast and with ah! bright wings.
```

For the reader of 'Abraham's Sacrifice' who appropriates the trembling resonance of the *iconic moment*, inhabiting the Other's ontological perspective upon a figure which mediates profound meaning beyond the seemingly absurd, tragic moment, affirmation involves an instant of
relinquishing self reflection. It is the achievement of an ontological vehemence in the metaphor's power of re-description. Such re-description comes only by means of an instant of abandoning rational description. It is a loss of Self in the Other in the re-discovery of Self in relation to an open horizon of the hermeneutical spiral. With respect to the story of 'Abraham's Sacrifice', the reader's grasp of the metaphor is not limited to the analogy of poetic vision. It is potentially, and may actually involve, an intense, penetrating act of perception analogous to T.S.Eliot's attempt to express Thomas Becket's moment of vision in Murder in the Cathedral:

I have had a tremor of bliss, a wink of heaven, a whisper,
And I would no longer be denied; all things
Proceed to a joyful consummation.

It is an affirmation of an ontological grounding of Self in the perspective of the Other in the process of a creative grasp of meaning that opens the horizon of reason to continuing interpretation and rational description. But the inherent propensity of reason is definition. The logical structures of cognition are intrinsically unambiguous, and semantically founded upon univocity. Metaphoric ontological vehemence in uprooting the univocity of a literal meaning to interact between semantic fields is therefore a dynamic shattering of cognitive resistance in an instant of affirmation. The ontological trembling is the effect of this shattering and recovery of cognition in a new spatial pattern. This is analogous to shaking the kaleidoscope in the shattering of one pattern in the instant of creating a new one.

It may be helpful in understanding this notion of the instant to consider Kierkegaard's attempt in The Concept of Dread to define his interpretation of Plato's conception in Parmenides:

It is assumed that unity (τὸ ἕν) is and is not, and then it is shown what the consequence will be for it and for the rest. The instant now appears to be that strange being (ἐτοπον - the Greek word is admirably chosen) which lies between movement and repose, without occupying any time; and to this and out of this "the moving," passes over into the rest, and "the reposing" into movement. The
instant therefore becomes the general category of transition (μετάβολη); for Plato shows that the
instant is related in the same way to the transition from unity to plurality and from plurality to unity,
from likeness to unlikeness, etc., it is the instant in which there is neither ἔν nor πολλὰ, neither
discrimination nor integration.

In the instant of grasping the metaphoric figure, the tension of the is and the is not in the semantic
interaction of the metaphora is transcended by the power of the productive imagination generated
by the ontological sonority in the dialectic between Self and Other. It is transcended by the power
of the imagination re-organizing, within the instant, a unified perception of the world, and,
consequently, the rational 'space'.

Chapter seven will attempt to demonstrate the fundamental role of the imagination in the
hermeneutical movement from suspicion to affirmation. It will endeavour to show that the power
of the imagination in this movement is related to the objective, corporeal aspect of the body as
well as the phenomenal; and fundamentally is grounded in the dynamic of ontological sonority.
To this end, it will draw upon Ricoeur's interpretation of the Kantian notion of the productive
imagination.
Chapter Seven

The Creative Imagination

Throughout this study, the role of the imagination has been fundamental to the analysis which attempts to disclose the ontological ground of understanding through language, taking the reading of prose narratives as paradigmatic(1). That is, to show that and how it is the reader's imagination, grounded in his or her being-in-the-world, whereby a foundation is given to understanding which may be rationally affirmed. It is the fundamental role of the imagination, as the expressive mediation of the ontological foundation for a rational 'space', that is the critical difference between the approach of this study and the rational philosophies of Descartes, Kant and Husserl. In contrast, each of these have attempted to ground the rational structures of the mind directly in an a priori, transcendental foundation.

Kant's Notion of the Productive Imagination

The imagination does indeed play a key part in Kant's Critique of Pure Reason and the Critique of Judgment, and his notion of the productive imagination, particularly with reference to Ricoeur's attempt to redefine its role, is influential in this study. It is therefore important, in attempting to explore the critical role of the imagination, to clarify the significance of adopting this notion in a philosophical perspective that is radically different from that of its original introduction by Kant. That is, the difference between an ontological foundation expressively mediated by the productive imagination, and Kantian transcendentalism with, what may deemed to be, a more circumscribed understanding of its role(2).

Kant's aim is to validate rational unity by means of an ahistorical, transcendental subjective
foundation(3). According to Kant, although the mind is rooted in the world through sensible
intuition, it is not dependent upon empirical faculties in its power to think rationally. The original
unity of rational thought is direct, and outside of history in terms of the transcendental structures
of the mind. It may therefore be concluded that from Kant's point of view it is unchanging and
absolute. In contrast, the aim of this study is to validate the unity of a perspective in which
rational reflection is probable(4), and which is linguistically mediated through texts that are
temporally structured, and is, therefore, historically mediated. Consequently, it is a unity that is
not free from historical change and, therefore, the suspicion and constant questioning of an
hermeneutical spiral. Fundamentally, the source of this unity, as considered in the preceding
chapters, is the dialectical relationship between the Self and Other. The unity of a rational
perspective is grounded in a relationship of the Self with the Other in terms of a reader's
appropriation and habitation of the narrative identity of a text.

As a preliminary introduction to the analysis of this notion of the productive imagination in
relation to these two differing perspectives with the aim of clarifying its significance regarding
the theme of this study, the following is a brief outline of Kant's attempt to define the role played
by the imagination in his First and Third Critiques. In the Preface to the Second Edition of the
Critique of Pure Reason, Kant writes,

> it should be possible to have knowledge of objects a priori, determining something in regard
to them prior to their being given...

*Critique of Pure Reason* Tr. Norman Kemp Smith p. 22

He claims that knowledge of objects, although determined by sensibility, is founded upon *a
priori* principles of intuition that he endows with a formal character which he calls the 'science
of all principles' and terms the 'Transcendental Aesthetic'(5). According to Kant, the appearance
of sense phenomena in consciousness is represented in cognition under the synthetic control of
the *a priori* principles of intuition by means of the faculty of the imagination. This synthesis, which he calls, the 'Transcendental Synthesis', is the effect of the imaginative power to schematize a conceptual manifold which brings together, which 'grasps', the empirical phenomena represented in empirical form. It is a judgment of understanding with respect to the appearance of objects in a rational form\(^6\).

There are, however, two modes of the role of the imagination in the *Critique of Pure Reason*. The *productive* mode relates the cognitive process to the *a priori* principles, or categories of reason, whilst the *reproductive* mode ensures that the schematization of the conceptual manifold is based upon sense experience. In reference to these two modes, he writes,

> I sometimes also entitle it the *productive* imagination, to distinguish it from the *reproductive* imagination, whose synthesis is entirely subject to empirical laws, namely, of association, and which therefore contributes nothing to the explanation of the possibility of *a priori* knowledge. The reproductive synthesis falls within the domain, not of transcendental philosophy, but of psychology.  
*ibid.* #24 B152 p.165

Kant entitles the reproductive synthesis of the manifold of sensible intuition, the *figurative synthesis*.\(^7\) It is that which gives form to the representation of the appearance of sense experience in consciousness. This reproduction of the form of appearance by the *figurative* synthesis of the empirical imagination, according to Kant, is made possible by the Transcendental Aesthetic of pure intuition and form. He writes,

> That in which alone the sensations can be posited and ordered in a certain form, cannot itself be sensation; and, therefore, while the matter of appearance is given to us *a posteriori* only, its form must lie already *for sensations* *a priori* in the mind, and so must allow of being considered apart from all sensations.  
*ibid.* # B34 p.66

The Transcendental Aesthetic not only determines extension and figure, but the intuitive sense of time and space\(^8\). This is the mode of the *productive* imagination, which Kant develops as a creative faculty in the *Critique of Judgment*.  
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In this Third Critique, as it is known, Kant's aim in the first part is to show how the subjective capacity of the human mind establishes notions of taste, beauty and the sublime. To this end, the *productive* mode becomes the means of defining the imagination as a creative faculty in the mind of the genius. The *reproductive* mode continually brings back to the mind an exhibition of the forms which have been received through empirical intuition. For the most part, these are derived from the natural world. The *productive* mode has the power of original exhibition; it is a creative power that gives birth to *aesthetic ideas* which are the counterpart of *rational ideas*. By the former, Kant does not mean determinate concepts but refers to a mode of presentation which sets intellectual thought in motion.

The imagination, in the Third Critique, with respect to its *productive* mode, is a faculty with the capacity to create an original presentation of images in terms of the free play of the imagination acting upon cognition to expand conceptual meaning. By means of the creative capacity of the imagination, the rational subject may increase understanding of his or her experience of the objective world. But this understanding is determined by a rational unity founded upon the transcendental subject and not upon the objective world. Although sensible intuition is the basis for the creative capacity of the imagination, unity is derived from the *a priori* categorical structures of the mind, and *transcendental apperception* as the foundation of the thinking subject. It is these critical aspects of unity which need careful consideration in order to make clear the contrast with the ontological foundation for unity which is the theme of this study.

Kant's understanding of the unity of the categories of rational thought was, in the early writings, taken over from the traditional transcendental sense of unity derived from the unity of the supreme being. Although in the *Critique of Pure Reason* he seeks to reduce this to a category...
of quantity, he is also concerned to emphasize the extra-categorical sense of unity as the basis of all logical functions in the judgment of rational thought. The significance of this lies in Kant's attempt to develop a system of transcendental philosophy as the foundation for science to resolve the 'endless controversies' on the 'battleground' of metaphysics. He writes,

Had the ancients ever conceived such a notion (i.e. the a priori unity of judging and the pure concepts of the understanding), doubtless the whole study of the pure rational knowledge, which under the name of metaphysics has for centuries spoiled many a sound mind, would have reached us in quite another shape, and would have enlightened the human understanding, instead of actually exhausting it in obscure and vain speculation, thereby rendering it unfit for true science. *Prolegomena to Any Future Metaphysics.* ed. 1996 p. 87

Kant's notion of transcendental unity is unconditioned and is the foundation of synthetic unity which is thought in the categories. Apperception plays the central role in this foundation of transcendental unity. That is, apperception as the source of original unity which is the foundation of the thinking subject; in other words, *transcendental apperception* that lies beyond thought and is the unchanging unity of the subject's identity, in contrast to what Kant terms *empirical apperception*. He writes,

This original and transcendental condition is no other than *transcendental apperception*. Consciousness of self according to the determinations of our state in inner perception is merely empirical, and always changing. No fixed and abiding self can present itself in this flux of inner appearances. Such consciousness is usually named *inner sense, or empirical apperception*. What has necessarily to be represented numerically identical cannot be thought as such through empirical data. To render such a transcendental presupposition valid, there must be a condition which precedes all experience, and which makes experience itself possible...
The numerical unity of this apperception is thus the a priori ground of all concepts...
*Critique of Pure Reason.* A107 p. 136

The productive mode of the imagination is, therefore, on the one hand, based upon sensible intuition, but, on the other, determined by the original unity of *transcendental apperception* in terms of the achievement of greater understanding. This mode of the imagination is dependent upon sense experience of objects in creating *aesthetic ideas*, or the exhibition of new forms, by promoting and regulating a cognitive response in conceptual development and expansion. It is the dynamic capacity aesthetically to re-structure sensible intuition in a creative power of
presentation promoting thought. For example, the imaginative perception of a tree, based upon the sensible intuition of the object as a tree, may create an aesthetic idea of the majesty, or dignity or nobility of the tree which promotes rational thought in the conceptual descriptions and explanations of this idea. But the foundation of the latter is the original unity of subjective identity. In contrast to the creativity of the imagination, this unity is not dynamic. It is unchanging and ahistorical, otherwise, according to Kant, there is no possibility of validating conceptual unity as the basis for rational thought.

The Productive Imagination and Ontological Perspective

In this lies the critical difference between Kant's aim and that of this study. As indicated above, Kant claims that the unity of consciousness, which he terms transcendental apperception, makes possible the purest objective unity in the a priori concepts of time and space, and is thus the a priori ground of all concepts. It is that 'mysterious power' which 'makes judging possible'. Judging, according to Kant, is the act of understanding in terms of the condition of determining judgements as objectively valid with respect to the pure concepts of understanding listed in the a priori categories. The problem with Kant's transcendental philosophy, which has been identified in the earlier chapters and is a central theme of this study, is the issue of temporality. Trancendental apperception, the a priori unity of consciousness, is outside of temporality. If consciousness is always and essentially historical, that is, if the conscious 'I', self-consciousness, not only inherently possesses a past, present and future but is conditioned by being-in-the-world in terms of the Heideggerian notion of Dasein, then the unity of consciousness cannot lie beyond history. The source of this unity must lie within history.

An aim of this thesis has been to show that this unity, defined in terms of the identity of self-
consciousness, is grounded in the ontological perspective of a linguistically structured lifeworld. This perspective is not limited to a particular way of seeing the world. As Cassirer writes,

> For it is not a question of what we see in a certain perspective, but of the perspective itself.  
> *Language and Myth* p. 11

In other words, it is a perspective of habitation; it is a way of inhabiting a lifeworld. Cassirer is concerned here with the way human beings inhabit 'myths'\(^{(14)}\), or lifeworlds, through language. He writes,

> Mythology is inevitable, it is natural, it is an inherent necessity of language, if we recognize in language the outward form and manifestation of thought... Mythology, in the highest sense, is the power exercised by language on thought in every sphere of mental activity.  
> *ibid.* p. 5

Therefore, to inhabit a myth, or lifeworld, is to appropriate an inherent way of being-in-the-world, an ontological perspective, a unity of being, and consequently a unity of identity, a unity of self-consciousness.

In his theory of narrative, Ricoeur pays significant attention to the role of myth in the shaping of consciousness and thought, particularly in the work of Aristotle. He writes,

> However, long before us, Aristotle had vainly tried to push this intruder outside his sphere of discourse. The murmuring of mythical language has continued to resonate under the *logos* of philosophy. Fiction gives it a more sonorous echo.  
> *Time and Narrative* Vol. 3 p. 138

Among others concerned with the fundamental importance of myth and narrative in ontological conditioning of life and thought, Alisdair Macintyre in *After Virtue* agrees that 'Mythology, in its original sense, is at the heart of things.' More particularly, the concept of selfhood resides in the unity of a narrative: 'The unity of a human life is the unity of a narrative quest.'\(^{(15)}\)

A fundamental concern of this thesis is not, in the Kantian sense, to validate conceptual unity *per se*, but to establish the perspective of a lifeworld that makes sense, and is, therefore, a unified
view which may be rationally described and interpreted. The productive mode of the imagination is the means of appropriating this perspective in terms of an iconic moment of metaphor, and an act of configuration, of grasping a text. This is comparable to the Kantian notion of the creative capacity of the imagination, but instead of structuring sensible intuition in terms of an aesthetic idea, it posits a creative capacity, in terms of figuration, of an ontological act of appropriation and habitation. In contrast to reflection being provoked by an aesthetic idea, it is provoked through the imaginative habitation of a lifeworld. The productive imagination is ontologically grounded and is the dynamic mediation of the unity of an ontological perspective. In relation to the mediation of temporal narratives, the aim is to show that this is the power which roots rational thought in history with an horizon open to endless interpretation.

Linguistic mediation is a key difference between these contrasting views of the imagination. That is, the imaginative presentation in terms of appearance in consciousness is linguistically mediated. The productive mode of the imagination has the capacity to grasp linguistic figuration in a creative response to unify a pattern of mediated particular, concrete, sensual images. It is the nature of this mediation which marks the critical difference from the Kantian understanding. Ricoeurean theories, examined in previous chapters, make clear the importance of the symbolic characteristics of metaphor and narrative, and their significance in terms of mediation. That is, the grasping of the iconic moment of metaphor, and the configuration of narrative, involve an imaginative participation in the symbolically mediated activity. By means of these symbolic characteristics, the reader participates in, appropriates and inhabits, a linguistically mediated active state of affairs which involves a drive to make sense of this activity.
Developing Ricoeur's analysis, the aim is to show that the grounding of the conscious and cognitive features of this drive is corporeal and ontological. It is on the 'edge' of and lies beyond consciousness in the way that Merleau-Ponty defines operative intentionality. Therefore, the grasping of the text by the productive mode of the imagination not only 'makes reason think more' in the manner of the Kantian notion, but also grounds rational thought in a dynamic, teleological drive whereby unity is achieved by means of a dialectic between 'suspicion' and 'affirmation'.

**Foundation of Rational Unity**

The productive imagination is a way of being in the world of the Other; the means of appropriating the ontological perspective of narrative identity. This is not an act of passive observation, but an ontological vehemence in the drive towards total involvement which is a thorough disturbance of consciousness. Consequently, it is a disturbance of operative intentionality as the dynamic convergence towards unity. The nature of this disturbance is the active state of affairs mediated through prose rhythm, and the expressive effect in terms of bodily resonance. In other words, the faculty of the imagination is creative in relation to the resonance of corporeal rhythm, and the disturbance of operative intentionality. As a result, there is a centripetal effect with regard to conscious particular intentionality which is the basis of an ontological perspective, or, in Kantian terms, a schematism of the conceptual manifold. The provocation of rational thought by the productive imagination's grasp of the narrative is grounded in an ontological vehemence in the drive towards unity. Imaginatively, the reader is provoked to understand the world of the text in terms of inhabiting that world. It is the world of the Other that challenges rational thought to be open to its horizon of meaning by relinquishing any absolute sense of unity in order to affirm a new sense of unity; a new meaning, that is, in order to
understand and make sense of the world of the Other. But the Self, which is provoked into reflection by imaginative habitation, relinquishes conceptual unity, upon which its identity depends, within a Kierkegaardian instant. Within that instant, it is the ontological vehemence which is sustained in terms of sonorous Being as the moment of affirmation. In this sense, affirmation is beyond consciousness but determines the conscious intentional drive towards unity in terms of the relationship between operative and particular intentionalities as described in chapter four.

But if the moment of affirmation is beyond consciousness how is such affirmation possible? Kant's original foundation of unity lies beyond consciousness, but affirmation is in terms of rational unity. The unity of apperception is the original grounding of rational unity with respect to transcendental synthesis in the 'pure' concept of the a priori categories of thought. Kantian affirmation is, therefore, clearly in terms of 'pure' conceptual unity, although, it may be observed, since the a priori grounding lies beyond consciousness, it is beyond questioning, and is, consequently, a closure of rational thought, raising questions about the nature of this affirmation. In contrast, if affirmation of a unity of being in terms of an ontological perspective upon a lifeworld is achieved beyond consciousness, and is not an affirmation of conceptual unity free from suspicion, what is the nature of that affirmation and its relationship with conceptual unity? How is such affirmation possible if rational thought is never free from suspicion? How is it possible to validate a rational unity with respect to an ontological perspective? In response to these questions, it will be helpful to look first at the nature of the unity of this perspective in terms of intentionality and the notion of sonorous concordance in the achievement of affirmation.
Corporeal Intentionality and *Sonorous Concordance*

In the example of 'Abraham's Sacrifice' analyzed in chapter six, an attempt was made to show how it may be possible to gain an understanding of this story without complete explanation. That is, to imaginatively inhabit the lifeworld of the narrative by means of the *prose rhythm* of the 'Authorized Version' so that the reader becomes totally absorbed and loses himself, or herself, in the text. In such an act of reading there may be a moment, an *instant*, when self identity becomes one with the narrative identity; that is, one with the unity of the narrative's lifeworld. This can only happen if there is the possibility of grasping a viewpoint, a perspective, whereby intentionality, the drive to understand, is grounded in corporeally expressed *ontological concordance* (24). In other words, as the reader attempts to inhabit the world of this seemingly absurd and tragic act, there is discordance in his state of being, and an active disturbance expressed in his body and consciousness. But the *prose rhythm* that mediates the ontological condition of the world of the narrative, and is corporeally appropriated by the reader, may resonate with a sense of concordance as the reader reflects upon the story. It is a concordance which affects *operative* intentionality at the 'edge' of and beyond consciousness. It is this concordance which is the ontological grounding of an affirmation of the perspectival unity. As the reader inhabits the world of the story, it is the fundamental appropriation of the activity of the story, particularly the central act of Abraham's sacrifice, through the mediation of the *prose rhythm* that makes possible a sense of concordance, and therefore an affirmation of an ontological perspective. The imaginative active habitation of the story by the mediation of *prose rhythm* resonates with a concordance affirming a conviction that the story does make sense, and the drive to reflect, interpret and explain is continually sustained.

It may be contended that such a sense of concordance does not necessarily mean rational
affirmation since this involves conscious reflection. The discordance of conscious disturbance caused by reading the story of 'Abraham's Sacrifice' is the extreme provocation of the questions, 'What does this mean?', 'How can it be explained?'. Any affirmation of a rational resolution of these questions must surely involve reflection; and if any attempted resolution by reflective analysis and interpretation is suspect then affirmation is suspect. The problem here seems to lie in the apparent attribution of rational affirmation to the sonorous characteristics of an ontological perspective. The habitation of a narrative may be grounded in the imaginative appropriation of such a perspective involving the movement from sonorous discordance to concordance, but what is the relationship between this sonority and reflection? This question appears to be more problematic if it is conceded that rational unity is never free from suspicion.

The question calls for further consideration of the effect of corporeal and ontological sonorous concordance in the relationship between operative and particular intentionalities, and the latter's key role in the act of configuration with respect to narrative and the iconic moment of metaphor. This will be given closer examination below, particularly with respect to the creation of meaning in the relationship between the ontological perspective and reflection.

Operative intentionality is the centripetal dynamic, at the 'edge' and beyond consciousness, which drives conscious particular intentionality focused upon the image of a concrete, particular act. In the example of Abraham's act of raising the knife to sacrifice his son, the imaginative power to grasp that act as the focus of configuration to give a sense of meaning to the story is the ontological sonorous concordance expressed in the dynamic of operative intentionality. As the reader appropriates and inhabits the story, there is a movement of resonance, mediated by prose rhythm, from discordance to concordance whereby the image is grasped by means of the dynamic
of operative and particular intentionalities. In other words, operative and particular intentionalities are fundamental characteristics of the creative power of the imagination.

The grasping of the focal image is a configuring act grounded upon the movement from discordance to concordance. However, it is important to take account of the involvement of reflection in the way the reader appropriates and inhabits the story, which is ontologically grounded in terms of sound and corporeal resonance, and in the way the image is grasped, or created; the two are essentially the same in this imaginative act. In the process of this act, the effect of concordance is the imaginative achievement to perceive an image that draws together, that is, configures, the whole narrative in a unity which provokes and promotes rational explanation. It is to grasp the image of Abraham in the act of sacrificing his son in such a way that every particular concrete aspect of his relationship with his son in that particular moment are united in an image which vehemently uproots the semantic discord in reflection to configure the narrative in the drive to achieve a resolution, or semantic concordance, in understanding.

Distanciation and the Primordial Dialectic

In such a way the imagination creates a perspective upon the lifeworld of the Other which is dialectically related to rational reflection. That is, the hermeneutical process of imaginative habitation is grounded in a sonorous movement in achieving the concordance of an ontological unity, but which is dialectically related to the movement of a semantic resolution towards rational unity. It is the appropriation of the prose rhythm of the Abraham story that configures the narrative which makes rational explanation of an initially seeming absurdity potentially available. Equally, the reader's reflection upon the sacrificial act plays an integral role in achieving the unity of an ontological perspective. In this sense, suspicion does not have a
negative effect, but is critical in the drive towards affirmation.

Reflection takes place within the world created by the imagination. Ricoeur calls this *distanciation*. In contrast to the Kantian transcendental rational structures of the mind that are not subject to historical change, *distanciation* is always within history, and the imaginative creative act which, as it were, sets the scene for habitation and reflection. As the reader inhabits the lifeworld configured by the imagination focused upon the image of the act of sacrifice, he or she is driven to reflect in relation to the imaginative grasping of the unity of the image. This unity, achieved by the dynamic of *particular* intentionality, gives impetus to the reflective process in terms of the drive to understand in continuing interpretation and explanation. It is the *primordial dialectic of distanciation* between understanding founded upon the power of the imagination, and explanation of rational thought. Within this dialectic, 'affirmation' is achieved as a perspectival unity upon the imaginatively inhabited lifeworld which promotes rational reflection, whilst 'suspicion' relates to conceptual unity in terms of the word as the basic unit of rational thought and explanation. The foundation for 'affirmation' is mediated through the figurative, or 'poetic' mode of the total narrative with the sentence as the basic unit, whereas, conceptual unity, in terms of the word as the basic unit, is never free from 'suspicion' because continuing interpretation is the basis of rational explanation.

An examination of this dialectic was made in chapter six in the example of 'Abraham's Sacrifice'. The reader imaginatively inhabits the world of actions drawn towards the moment of sacrifice. It is the *prose rhythm* of the 'Authorized Version' which gives momentum to this movement towards the act of Abraham raising the knife to make the fatal strike. It is in the pattern of sound
of the prose rhythm that the reader imaginatively perceives the various images of activity grasped in a configuration focused upon the act on Mount Moriah, at the same time as reflecting upon these actions. The images of the loving father taking his son on a fateful journey; the actions of the trusting son oblivious of his father's intentions; the apparent hesitancy along the way; the preparations for the sacrifice; and the climactic moment: all are drawn together in the reader's imagination by the sense of concordance in the effect of the prose rhythm. In relation to his imaginative grasp of the story, the reader continually reflects upon the actions of the world he inhabits. He is driven by the effect of the prose rhythm to affirm that this world does make sense; that this act of a loving father sacrificing his own son is not absurd. Therefore his attempt to rationally explain this act, although unresolved in any absolute sense, and, consequently, not free from 'suspicion', may be held within a dialectic of 'affirmation' and 'suspicion'. In other words, an explanation may be provisionally affirmed in terms of potential availability in the process of continuing interpretation.

Metaphor and the Creation of Meaning

The dialectic must, however, be looked at more closely with respect to metaphor. Configuration is the imaginative creation of the setting for continuing reflection. It is the basis of an understanding that continually provokes interpretation and explanation. Metaphor, in terms of the interactive theory, is the linguistic mediation of the creation of new meaning. Understanding is affirmed not only by the focal image of an act of configuration, but by an iconic moment which vehemently uproots a literal meaning into a process of semantic interaction whereby a new meaning is created. This theory was examined in the first two chapters. The significance of this theory is that a new meaning is created which potentially, and may in time actually, expand and develop conceptual thought. It is not simply the creation of an ontological perspective but a
conceptual enlargement in response to an open horizon. In the story of 'Abraham's Sacrifice', in which it was claimed that verse one to ten should be read as a metaphor, the reader's attention focuses upon the metaphoric image of the sacrificial act in verse ten in grasping the meaning of the narrative by which he or she may acquire a greater understanding than through the literal, conceptual meaning of the words. A new meaning of this sacrificial act is opened up by the imaginative, figurative appropriation of the metaphor. The literal meaning of the sentence, 'And Abraham stretched forth his hand, and took the knife to slay his son', is uprooted by the metaphoric image which mediates a vehement interaction between this meaning and the whole narrative, particularly the words, 'Take thou thy son, thine only son Isaac, whom thou lovest.' As the reader endeavours to understand the story, to grasp the viewpoint of the narrative, the power of his imagination, which is linguistically structured through and through, may transcend any conceptual inhibitions by a figurative dynamic that uproots and suspends the literal meaning in an imaginative response to an open semantic horizon. The literal meaning of a father in the act of killing his son is suspended in the reader's ontological grasp of the configuration of the narrative focused upon the metaphoric image. He responds to the possibility of a different and new meaning as he imaginatively inhabits the activity of the story. There is a discordance in his state of being initially provoked by an attempt to reflect upon the meaning of the act. But his imaginative response to the metaphoric image in, as it were, an iconic moment, overcomes the cognitive resistance of this discordance whereby this agitation of his state of being is resonantly directed towards a concordance and semantic innovation. The mediation of this imaginative response is the textual figuration grounded in the prose rhythm. The prose rhythm of the text is the mediation of the active condition of inhabiting the story. To understand the story is not fundamentally imaginatively to perceive, or to reflect, but corporeally to inhabit the activity of the narrative. Prose rhythm mediates this corporeal activity in terms of sound and bodily
resonance whereby the reader's attention, momentarily freed from reflection upon this mediation, is captured by figuration of the text focused upon the metaphoric image(31). It is, however, the reader's active condition in terms of his sonorous state of being which imaginatively animates the figuration giving rise to semantic interaction and innovation. Although consciously he may be unaware of the effect of prose rhythm, the reader's imagination is dynamically grounded in this effect with respect to his sonorous state of being expressed in the power to grasp the active focal image. It is this dynamic appropriation and habitation, grounded in an ontological vehemence, which uproots the literal reference of the sacrificial act to achieve, by semantic interaction, what Ricoeur calls, a second order, metaphorical reference(32). That is, an imaginative, ontologically generated reference which is directed through and beyond the linguistic semantic inhibitions of literal, conceptual meaning to an active image which opens up a new meaning.

This view of the imagination and metaphor is a key issue in contemporary philosophical debate, particularly with respect to those who claim that not only is there no foundation for 'truth', but that denotation is irrelevant and misleading. What is important, it has been maintained, is the creative capacity of the imagination in terms of the poetic mode of language to change the perspective, to inaugurate new ways of thinking with respect to different viewpoints in relation to experience. For example, in his Report on the state of knowledge in the Western World, Jean-Francois Lyotard writes,

*Given equal competence (no longer in the acquisition of knowledge, but in its production), what extra performity depends on in the final analysis is "imagination," which allows one either to make a new move or change the rules of the game. Postmodern Condition, p.52.*

Another influential philosopher in this debate is Richard Rorty who writes,

* A sense of human history as the history of successive metaphors would let us see the poet, in the
Metaphor plays a central role in this philosophical concern for the creative capacity of the imagination in the continual change of perspective upon historical experience. The work of Friedrich Nietzsche has been and continues to be an important influence upon the philosophical perspectival view, and what he terms, 'the primitive world of metaphor' in this primordial activity of the 'artistically creating subject'. For Nietzsche, language is informed by the primal energy of the artistic subject whose creative power is revealed in world production as an infinite regress of images. His famous and much-quoted definition of truth succinctly expresses the dominant thrust of his influence:

What then is truth? A mobile army of metaphors, metonymies, and anthropomorphisms - in short, a sum of human relations which have been poetically and rhetorically enhanced, transposed, and embellished, and which after long use seem fixed, canonical and binding to a people: truths are illusions; metaphors which are worn out and without sensuous power, coins which have lost their picture and now matter only as metal, no longer as coin.


According to Nietzsche, language is essentially an amoeba-like sensuous figurative mode of continuously changing metaphors which are forgotten in relation to the cognitive, conceptual mode as the process of use wears away the consciousness of figuration as the images of coins are worn away. Derrida makes use of this metaphor of the coin which Ricoeur criticizes in their early exchange of views in Derrida's 'White Mythology' in Margins of Philosophy, Ricoeur's Study 8 in The Rule of Metaphor, and Derrida's response in The Retrait of Metaphor. The fundamental differences of their views were looked at in chapter 2, as may the particular and important feature of Ricoeur's interactive theory of metaphor which makes a clear division between the figurative and semantic characteristics of metaphor.
It is this understanding of metaphor which plays a significant role in setting the philosophical perspective of Ricoeur and this thesis, distinct from those which commend a relinquishing of any notion of 'truth', or affirmation of rational unity, in favour of unleashing the imaginative power of world-production\textsuperscript{(34)}. In Lyotard's rhetorical appeal:

\begin{quote}
Let us wage a war on totality; let us be witnesses to the unpresentable; let us activate the differences and save the honor of the name.
\end{quote}

\textit{The Postmodern Condition}, p.82

Although this critical difference with respect to the contrasting theories of metaphor, as presented in chapter 2, reveals that the interactive theory, together with the narrative theory, as interpreted by Ricoeur and developed in this thesis, provides a way of understanding a hermeneutical process in which rational unity may be affirmed, the fundamental issue provoked by Nietzsche, and contemporary philosophers such as Lyotard and Rorty has not been fully addressed. Stephen Clark makes this very clear in his study on Ricoeur:

\begin{quote}
Ricoeur's study of metaphor was vulnerable to the obvious powerful objection that it is meaningless to talk of reference with respect to innovations that by their very nature cannot be subject to procedures of verification. The generous invitation to enter a 'habitable world' (\textit{TN} 1: xi) must deal with the rejoinder: whose world, and how does it relate to the more mundane environment in which we live and move and have our being?
\end{quote}

\textit{Paul Ricoeur}, p.190

Clark raises the issue of verification which has been an important one, particularly in the Anglo-American logico-empirical tradition. Although the nature of the debate relating to this issue has radically changed, especially following the publication of Wittgenstein's \textit{Philosophical Investigations}\textsuperscript{(39)}, there are those, including Ralph C.S.Walker who claims that 'Verificationism refuses to die.'\textsuperscript{(39)} The concern of this thesis is not to pursue this debate but to address the issue of verification with respect to the affirmation of the imaginative appropriation of narrative identity as the foundation for inhabitation. That is, objective verification of sameness is ontologically grounded in the validation and attestation of the Self's appropriation of the otherness of narrative identity. This will be addressed in the context of the final chapter in which
the ontological grounding of *sonorous being*, and the mediation of *prose rhythm*, will be related to the notions of validation and attestation with the aim of re-interpretating certainty in terms of reasonable hope.
Chapter Eight
Reasonable Hope

It is the central aim of this thesis to show that reasonable hope of affirming rational unity is achieved through the mediation of metaphor and narrative in the process of reading a text. Reasonable hope is not certainty, in the sense of what has been known in the tradition of logico-empirical philosophy as objective verification grounded in the 'principle of verifiability(i), but a sustained teleological conviction subjected to a continuing critique in relation to an open hermeneutical horizon. It is the achievement of the dynamic of a primordial dialectic between conviction and critique, understanding and explanation, Self and Other. The latter dyad refers to the nature of the grounding of this dialectic in the reader's imaginatively active habitation of the world of the text, the world of the 'other' in terms of narrative identity. In other words, reasonable hope arises from the affirmation of selfhood in the appropriation of narrative identity.

This raises the critical issue of identity that Ricoeur addresses in Oneself as Another in developing the work on narrative identity which he had begun in Volume 3 of Time and Narrative. In attempting to grasp the heart of the problem of personal identity, he distinguishes a structural difference between what he terms idem identity, or sameness, and ipse identity, or selfhood. The former relates to the permanence of identity, particularly with respect to character; whereas, the paradigm for the latter is, making a promise. Ricoeur's concern in making this distinction arises from his response to the question who? in relation to "I can": I can speak, I can act, I can recount, etc. This is the historical 'I' which evades the discursive descriptions of idem identity. Narrative identity is the notion that relates the who? of personal identity to temporality which is thematized by narrative.
This examination of personal identity is the central theme of the first six studies of *Oneself as Another* in which Ricoeur investigates the literature of Anglo-Saxon philosophy in this area. In critically acknowledging the importance of defining personal identity in terms of sameness in this rich field of analytical philosophy, it is in relation to otherness, particularly in terms of narrative identity that, according to Ricoeur, an ontological foundation is established. It is in the dialectic between *ipse* identity of making a promise that is willed, sustained and proclaims itself despite of change, and the *idem* identity of sameness that the heart of personal identity resides. But how may the 'I' of personal identity be affirmed? How is affirmation of the historical 'I', whose identity is grounded in the otherness of narrative identity, possible? Ricoeur attempts to respond to this critical issue, especially with respect to its ethical dimension, by developing the notion of attestation. This notion is examined later in this chapter.

But first, there is a question regarding affirmation, or attestation, that is key to the aim of this thesis, which Ricoeur does not appear fully to address. How is affirmation of personal identity possible if it does not escape, or transcend not only sameness but temporality since these are the modes of suspicion? These are the modes in which the capable 'I', to use Ricoeur's language, is inescapably confronted by the question of 'who?' with respect to 'I can...', and 'I promise'. Must not personal identity transcend the *primordial dialectic* which lies at its heart if it is to be affirmed? But if it does, how is affirmation possible? Is this question moving the debate to the levels of conviction, or spirituality where identity is renounced, and therefore beyond philosophical discourse? Ricoeur does touch upon this question in response to the work of Derek Parfit(2), and particularly his statement, 'Identity is not what matters.' In Parfit's attack on what he calls, the "self-interest theory"(3) in which a person aims at the outcome of ethical decisions that would best serve himself, he argues for altruism in terms of a renouncement of personal
identity. Acknowledging the importance of Parfit's view, Ricoeur claims he has gone too far. He writes,

I still do not see how the question "who?" can disappear in the extreme cases in which it remains without an answer. For really, how can we ask ourselves about what matters if we could not ask to whom the thing mattered or not? *Oneself as Another.* p.137.

His response is given a clearer focus, particularly in relation to this thesis, when he further attempts to address a 'crisis within selfhood' provoked by Parfit's moral reflection. That is the crisis of different kinds of ownership in terms of 'what I have' and 'who I am', and Parfit's concern in attacking the "self-interest theory". Ricoeur writes,

But is not a moment of self-dispossession essential to authentic selfhood? And must one not, in order to make oneself open, available, belong to oneself in a certain sense? We have already asked: Would the question of what matters arise if there were no one to whom the question of identity mattered? Let us now add: if my identity were to lose all importance in every respect, would not the question of others also cease to matter? *Ibid.* p. 138-9.

In the discussion recorded in *Critique and Conviction* regarding the question of selfhood, he makes a revealing and significant statement,

I have to fight to the end, then, as a philosopher on behalf of identity, prepared to renounce - in the strict sense of the word - at another level; we shall return to this no doubt when we talk about religion. *Critique and Conviction*, p. 90.

The critical question is, can selfhood in terms of personal identity be affirmed unless identity is renounced, or preferably, relinquished in an *instant* of transcendence? What is the nature of affirmation, or is affirmation possible if it is limited to the *primordial dialectic*? Must not the dialectic between suspicion and affirmation of personal identity be sustained by a transcendental source of affirmation in the loss of this identity? But then, what is the nature of the relationship between this loss and affirmation, or, more particularly, attestation as defined by Ricoeur? In terms of the main theme of this thesis, what is the relationship between *sonorous Being* and attestation? Do not the rhythms of the soul, to use the language of religious discourse, play a
fundamental role in the 'finding' of the self?

Second-Order Reference

In an attempt to determine the nature of this relationship between sonorous Being and attestation, the notion of second-order, metaphorical reference (examined in chapter 7 in relation to the imaginative appropriation and habitation of a story in the power to grasp a focal image, and analyzed in chapter 2 with respect to the notion of split-reference) must now be looked at more closely. Attestation of selfhood among other things raises the issue of the nature of this second-order reference. If attestation of selfhood is in terms of its mediation through the otherness of narrative identity, what is the nature of the reference with respect to the textual mediation of this identity? More particularly, with respect to the focal, or metaphorical figure of this identity, what is the nature of the second-order reference, especially when taking into account the critical questions raised above regarding the loss and affirmation of personal identity?

Affirmation, or attestation also raises semantic questions: What kind of meaning is opened up by this imaginative response to the text? What is the nature of semantic unity of this second order reference in terms of an ontological grounding for rational reflection? How can this metaphorical reference, circumscribed by the particularity of the text, provide the foundation for universality of conceptual unity? Is it not a purely relative, incommensurable reference? If prose rhythm is its ontological grounding, does this not reveal a certain arbitrary nature? What ensures that prose rhythm may indeed be the dynamic of an extra linguistic reference to an objective, active state of affairs, the being-in-the-world of narrative identity, and not simply the textual means of arousing the imagination in an arbitrary fashion?
In order to address these questions, drawing later upon the juridical analogy, it will be helpful, first of all, to continue to examine the role of the imagination particularly with respect to the critical issue of reference and attestation. What is at issue here is, first, an ontological and phenomenological reference in contrast to the referential relation of word to object of rational description. It is ontological reference in terms of the expression of Selfhood compared to an understanding of reference in terms of the Cartesian Cogito(5). It is the reference of Self to Other. Secondly, it is a temporal reference; a diachronic, semantic reference not the ahistorical, synchronic, semiotic reference of structural analysis(6). It is the reference of discourse as distinct from language. In Saussurean terms, the reference of parole rather than langue(7). Thirdly, the basic unit of the former is the sentence which always has an external reference. It is always about something; it refers beyond language to the lifeworld as structured through the imagination.

Fourthly, in Ricoeur's words,

With the sentence, Language is oriented beyond itself. It says something about something. This intending of a referent by discourse is completely contemporaneous with its event character and its dialogical functioning. It is the other side of the instance of discourse. The complete event is not only that someone speaks and addresses himself to an interlocutor, it is also the speaker's ambition to bring a new experience to language and share it with someone else. It is this experience, in turn, that has the world for its horizon. Reference and horizon are correlative as are figure and ground. *Time and Narrative* Vol 1 p.78

In terms of reading, in the endeavour to imaginatively appropriate the world of the text, the reader brings new experience. The referential power of the imagination is, therefore, not only the capacity to refer to something beyond language, but the refiguring of the mediation of the horizon of the Other in relation to experience.

Fifthly, it is a reference of activity. It is a reference to the temporal state of being which is a state of activity. The transcendent power of the imagination is the power to grasp the active state of being through the mediation of discourse. It has the power to create the sensible image in the
form of the iconic moment of metaphor and the configuration or emplotment of narrative which is the grasping of an active state of being. In The Rule of Metaphor, Ricoeur analyzes the difference between Aristotle's Rhetoric and Poetics, and in particular the expression imitation of nature in terms of mimesis and phusis:

Might there not be an underlying relationship between 'signifying active reality' and speaking out phusis?

But mimesis does not signify only that all discourse is of the world; it does not embody just the referential function of poetic discourse. Being mimesis phuseos, it connects this referential function to the revelation of the Real as Act. This is the concept of phusis in the expression mimesis phuseos, to serve as an index for that dimension of reality that does not receive due account in the simple description of that-thing-over-there. To present men 'as acting' and all things 'as in act' - such could well be the ontological function of metaphorical discourse, in which every dormant potentiality of existence appears as blossoming forth, every latent capacity for action as actualized. The Rule of Metaphor p.43

In other words, in grasping reality through the mediation of the text, the transcendent referential power of the imagination, in terms of metaphor and mimesis, creates new meaning and redescribes the textual horizon by enlivening the potentiality of being; that is, by imaginatively setting the possibility of concrete acting beings before the eyes to imaginatively apprehend, through the potential of activity, the temporal perspective of the Other at and beyond the horizon of the text.

Sixthly, the ontological reference is to the actual and potential activity of being, and, therefore, it is to the One who acts. For this reason it is an intersubjective reference. It is a reference between the reader, the Self who imaginatively appropriates the activity mediated by the text, and the 'who' of the text; the 'who' whose presence is mediated through the implicit as well as explicit pronouns, and temporal adverbs. This relates directly to narrative identity which has been looked at in detail in chapter three. It is the 'who?' of the text which is fundamental in the hermeneutical process rather than the 'what?' in terms of description and analysis. The latter question leaves unresolved the prior question 'who?' which relates not to cause and effect but to
motives and intentions. It is this question that gives expression to an encounter with the Other through the appropriation of narrative identity by the Self. Although these questions are to be clearly understood as being separate, they are dialectically related. To appropriate the narrative identity in the grasping of the Other involves a return to the Same, following, what Ricoeur calls, a detour through the mediation of the linguistic figurative structures, in asking the question 'what?' in the process of understanding and reflection in the hermeneutical spiral.

Attestation: Fantasy or Reality

Before proceeding to look more closely at the notion of attestation with particular regard to the fundamental role of prose rhythm in the light of the above six characteristics, it is important to emphasize the distinction made above that this ontological reference is not to be confused with the empirical reference of scientific, rational description. It is not aimed at verification in the sense of determining 'truth' in conceptual terms. Nor is its purpose to establish certainty in the Cartesian tradition. Its aim is what Ricoeur calls *attestation*. In the introduction to *Oneself as Another* he writes,

> Attestation presents itself first, in fact, as a kind of belief. But it is not a doxic belief, in the sense in which *doxa* (belief) has less standing than *episteme* (science, or better, knowledge). Whereas doxic belief is implied in the grammar of "I believe that", attestation belongs to the grammar of "I believe in." It thus links up with testimony, as the etymology reminds us, inasmuch as it is in speech of one giving testimony that one believes. One can call upon no epistemic instance greater than that of belief - or, if one prefers, the credence - that belongs to the triple dialectic of reflection and analysis, of selfhood and sameness, and of self and other. *Oneself as Another* p. 21

Although *attestation* cannot claim any guarantee or foundation in the Cartesian strong sense of attempting to establish it on self founding theoretical knowledge, and is vulnerable to the permanent threat of suspicion, Ricoeur argues that there is no recourse against suspicion, or false testimony, but a more reliable *attestation*. However, because of the detour by way of analysis within the hermeneutical process, verification is included as a necessary epistemic moment in
reflection of belief. But it is *attestation*, in the sense of a 'reliable attestation', that validates affirmation because fundamentally it is an *attestation* of self. It is the essential credence or trust in the power of recognition of oneself as a character in the narrative.

This *instant* of recognition is analogous to Kierkegaard's 'leap of faith'. It is analogous to the *instant* when someone first takes his feet off the bottom of the pool and becomes a swimmer. His state of being has changed in an *instant*, and his self identity is transformed: he is a swimmer. It is a profound act of conversion. It is to see the world and self in a radically new way. It is this conversion which lies at the heart of the problem of the relationship between affirmation and suspicion. The critical question is, what is the basis of trust and conviction in the self's appropriation of a transformed identity in terms of the recognition of self as a character in the narrative; or, more particularly, as the grasping of a unity of narrative identity? Is such an appropriation made as a result of the suspension or relinquishment of rational reflection? For example, in the case of a child's freeplay of the imagination in appropriating the identity of a fantasy character. This of course is acceptable because it is a way in which the child learns to develop and enjoy the richness of the imaginative power in creating settings for emotional and psychological experiences in a secure environment where the child is protected from the consequences of such play if it were allowed to roam free in the world outside. Also, the child would seem to be aware, or is made to be aware, of the difference between the fantasy world of play and the realities of daily life. However, the recognition of the difference may be lost at times, and it would appear this may be a fundamental dilemma, not only of childhood, but also of the adult world. Narrative may become the medium for appropriating identities whereby the difference between fantasy and reality is lost.
In 1605, Miguel de Cervantes published the first part of *Don Quixote* which was to become a classic in European literature. As the conclusion to part II, published in 1615, he writes

> For my sole object has been to arouse men's contempt for all fabulous and absurd stories of knight errantry, whose credit this tale of my genuine Don Quixote has already shaken, and which will, without a doubt, soon tumble to the ground. Farewell.

*Don Quixote* p. 940

During the sixteenth century there had been an extraordinary compulsion to read stories of chivalric romance. Although the vogue of reading these romances had been in fast decline since the 1580s, it is evident from the above that Cervantes was still convinced that they needed to be treated as a menace to good taste. Whether that was true or not, they had been condemned by many priests and humanist critics for controlling the mind and imagination in popular culture causing people to live in the fantasy world of romance instead of the reality of everyday life. The figure of *Don Quixote* is a parody of the chivalric knight. When the things 'the knight of the sorrowful countenance' sees, or thinks he ought to be seeing, do not appear that way to others, he finds in the figure of the unseen but malevolent wizard ready-made explanations that enable him to keep his delusions intact: if a castle looks like an inn or a giant like a windmill, or Dulcinea like a peasant girl, it is because his enemies have tampered with the appearance of things to deceive or frustrate him. *Don Quixote* is an extended parody of the world which the readers of these romance stories sought to appropriate and inhabit. Its insistent note of irony strikes at the heart of the romantic identity to reveal the duplicity of its seeming unity. Its aim is to destroy any foundation of trust and conviction the readers may have had in their imaginative delusions.

The question is, why did these romance stories have such an effect upon people? One seemingly obvious reason would be the powerful and seductive attraction of the identity of the chivalrous knight at that period of history. It would require a detailed historical and cultural study to reveal
the subtle complexity of this attraction which is outside the aim of this thesis. However, the
critical aspect of this attraction is the way it is mediated through the textual characteristics of the
romance narratives and the act of reading. The effect of this mediation is the power to convince
the reader of the trustworthiness of the narrative identity as the basis for affirming a transformed
self identity; an affirmation based upon an imaginative unity achieved at the cost of a blindness
to the duplicity of rational reflection. Although, as in the case of Don Quixote, this powerful
transformation of his self identity initiated a process of reflection whereby every illusion is given
an explanation, there is still a suspension of reason and of continuing interpretation. A windmill
may of course be interpreted in numerous ways, but to describe one as a giant is a clear
suspension of reason. This is, of course, Cervantes' parody upon the chivalric illusions of that
period. Throughout history to the present day there are many examples which demonstrate the
problem of the affirmation of self identity in the act of appropriation, or the recognition of self
in a narrative. The power and attraction of the images and configurations of such narratives
influence and pervade ideals and visions in social, political and religious contexts. A northern
Ireland bishop who had served as a priest in the Falls road area of Belfast made the ironically sad
and implicitly tragic comment, 'The problem with "sincere Christians" is you cannot reason with
them.'

This study has been concerned to show that consciousness is linguistically mediated through and
through; and the primary mode of this mediation is through the characteristics of the written text.
The power and attraction of the illusionary identities referred to above are revealed in the text,
particularly in the relationship of prose rhythm and configuration. The power of rhythm to seduce
and control consciousness and behaviour, sometimes in extreme ways is evident in the
mesmerizing effect of simple, primitive rhythms. In terms of narrative in textual mode, the
combined effect of *prose rhythm* and image as the expressive mediation of the *productive* imagination is equally powerful in the creation of illusionary lifeworlds which foster trust and conviction. The resonance of *sonorous Being* may achieve a sense of corporeal concordance at the cost of relinquishing reflection in terms of a continuing dialectical process of questioning and interpretation in relation to an open semantic horizon. This is illusionary affirmation founded upon rational duplicity; an affirmation that may lead to madness and self destruction.

It is not that the power and attraction of such images, configurations and illusionary affirmation prevent reflection. *Don Quixote* reveals, as noted above, the way in which reflection is employed to provide explanations for the illusionary self identities. But reflection is dominated by the imaginary identity in the sense of being separate and not dialectically related. That is, reflection is ontologically grounded in an imaginative identity, and not the otherness of narrative identity rooted in the primordial dialectic between a metaphorical second order reference and the literal reference of discursive thought. In other words, there is a failure to allow for the mode of distanciation as defined by Ricoeur.

Consequently, reflection, which is of the order of the Same, is taken up into the imaginary world and the self attempts to reflect upon the active state of being with respect to the image and configuration as though they were also of the order of the Same. Because the modes of being in terms of reflection and habitation are not dialectically separated, the mode of habitation or otherness is treated as though it were of the order of the Same, since the nature of reflection is inherently of the Same. It is an attempt to reflect upon the image in terms of its objective characteristics analogous to a critique of the artistic merit of a painting in terms of the makeup of the paint. What is required of a critical appreciation of artistic merit is the imaginative
appropriation of the work of art to reflect upon such aspects as light, shade, colour, etc., from the perspective of that appropriation\(^{(13)}\). It is to appropriate and inhabit the perspective of the Other to reflect within that perspective, and not upon the Other. The absolute dichotomy between the Self and Other cannot be bridged by reflection, but by an imaginative appropriation of the perspective of the Other. The perspective of the Other imaginatively circumscribes the distanciation of reflection. For this reason, reflection provoked by narratives which seduce the reader to inhabit illusionary self-identities and rational duplicity is not rooted in the *primordial dialectic* between the Self and Other. Without the rational space, or distanciation created by this dialectic, there is a propensity to affirm unquestioned unity with a sense of absoluteness because of the dominating power of illusionary affirmation, and the suspension of a continuing rational process.

**Attestation and Practical Wisdom**

What is fundamental with respect to affirmation which maintains a continuing rational process is reflection within an ontological perspective based upon the characteristics of second order reference of narrative and metaphor as outlined above. It is reflection borne out of involvement and participation in particular, concrete situations. Its basis is not the universality of the word, but the semantic singularity of the sentence in its reference to particular, contextual activity. Its achievement is not the certainty of abstract analysis and deduction, but conclusions of potential availability or probability\(^{(14)}\) grounded in an imaginative, intuitive, and inductive\(^{(15)}\) process in relating to the images and configuration of temporal activity. This view of the ontological foundation for reflection draws upon the Aristotelean notion of practical wisdom, or *phronēsis*. 
In the *Nicomachean Ethics*, Aristotle is concerned with deliberation upon the practical aim of goodness, or moral excellence. In relation to this aim, how is it possible to determine the practical choice which is concerned not simply with abstract reasoning but what is right with respect to both passions and actions. According to Aristotle, deliberation is a *correctness of thinking* with regard to the particular end in view in terms of excellence. He writes,

> Excellence in deliberation in the unqualified sense, then, is that which succeeds with reference to what is the end in the unqualified sense, and excellence in deliberation in a particular sense is that which succeeds relative to a particular end. If, then, it is characteristic of men of practical wisdom to have deliberated well, excellence in deliberation will be correctness with regard to the end of which practical wisdom is the true apprehension.

*Nicomachean Ethics* VI 9, 1142b 30

In his essay, 'Practical Reason', and the Ninth Study of *Oneself as Another*, Ricoeur develops this notion of practical reason, or practical wisdom. An important and significant characteristic of practical wisdom, analyzed in the former, is the notion of reason for acting. He sets out four major features of this notion related to the category of motives which are retrospective and interpretative. That is, these are reasons which concern the retrospective intentional character of explaining, or justifying or excusing a completed action. At a more fundamental, ontological level, there is 'the intention *with which* we do something', or 'the character of desirability'. He writes,

> The idea of an order of reasons for acting is the key to practical reasoning. This reasoning has no function other than to order the "long chain of reasons" to which the final intention has given rise. The reasoning starts from a reason for acting held to be ultimate, that is to say, one that exhausts the series of questions *why*; in other words, it starts from the character of desirability (in the broadest sense of the word, including the desire to do one's duty). It is this character of desirability that orders, regressively, the series of means envisioned to satisfy it.

*Practical Reason. From Text to Action*. p. 193

Relating this to the aim of this study, the character of desirability may be defined as an intentionality grounded in the condition of being-in-the-world. It arises from an active state of being that is driven to achieve harmony which may be considered analogous to the ethical aim of moral excellence. It is a desirability borne out of the aporias of discordant being which are
explored in this study in terms of sonority. Sonority is the key expressive characteristic of active, temporal being. It is the expressive mediation of operative and particular intentionalities in the drive to achieve, in concrete, particular, active situations, the desired aim of rationally affirming a self identity. It is the ontological grounding of reflection, or correctness of thinking, in terms of practical wisdom. It is reflection in tune with the rhythm of active being-in-the-world in a movement from discordance to concordance.

To these features of practical wisdom must be added reflection which grasps an integrated view of concrete temporal activity. In *Truth and Method*, Hans-Georg Gadamer writes,

> Practical knowledge, phronesis, is another kind of knowledge. Primarily, this means that it is directed towards the concrete situation. Thus it must grasp the "circumstances" in their infinite variety.

> *Truth and Method* p. 21

Thus, it is the power of the productive imagination as the synthetic dynamic of the conceptual manifold which roots the latter in its grasp of particular concrete activity with an ontological vehemence that drives it towards a unity of being-in-the-world.

In this way, the act of conversion of self identity in the instant of affirmation may be founded upon trust in the testimony of reliable attestation. What is important here with respect to 'reliable attestation' is the trustworthiness of testimony based upon argumentation and the witness of practical wisdom. That is, the unity of selfhood achieved with respect to argumentation of practical wisdom is not that of the unity of the Same, but the unity of being as act and power. In other words, the affirmation of the achievement of unity in the dialectic of Self and Other is fundamentally a unity of act and power expressed in the attestation of conviction. This relates to the nature of the second order ontological reference of the imagination in terms of the textual mediation of metaphor and narrative as the power to grasp
an active state of affairs.

But the question with respect to the seemingly relative or arbitrary nature of this unity needs further attention. How is it possible to engage in argumentation to give testimony and express conviction of the achievement of the unity of being in action? The aim of this study is to demonstrate that it is the dialectical relationship between argumentation and habitation in terms of the mediation of active being through *prose rhythm* which gains this achievement. With respect to reading, 'argumentation' is the process of reflection within the rational 'space' or distanciation created by the perspective of the Other. In other words, in the process of grasping, of imaginatively inhabiting the world of the text, the reader is provoked into continuing reflection by its configuration ontologically grounded in terms of *prose rhythm*. It is a questioning that may be compared analogously with the juridical process of attempting to understand and judge the evidence in terms of the facts and stories that are related in court. It is not simply an analytical process, but one based upon rational judgement in terms of the juridical process described below. It is a process of practical wisdom, of *phronēsis* which may achieve probability not certainty. The point being made here is that this reflective process is grounded in the mediation of an active condition of being. It is the corporeal resonance of *sonorous Being* dialectically related to the process of practical wisdom that may bring the reader to the moment of relinquishing self reflection in grasping the narrative identity. A moment of affirmation of the unity of identity, that in an *instant* is the affirmation of the unity of selfhood which immediately comes under suspicion in the detour through reflection.

In the story of 'Abraham's Sacrifice' in the text of the 'Authorized Version' of the Bible, as the reader imaginatively appropriates the active world of the story which draws him towards the
figurative focus of the sacrificial act, provoked into questioning by his imagination, the movement of this dialectical process is founded upon the way he is enabled imaginatively to participate in the actions of the story. It is his state of being in terms of his bodily habitation of the actions which may drive him to the moment of complete identification with the narrative's viewpoint. At that moment, the reader's self identity is lost in the narrative. It is the moment of transcending temporality because there is a loss of consciousness of historical time. It has been relinquished on the basis of conviction and trust. The instant of this loss is the achievement of resonant concordance through the dialectical process of practical wisdom and imaginative appropriation and habitation ontologically grounded in the sonorous mediation of prose rhythm. The reader achieves the moment of understanding, of Verstehen(\textbf{\textdagger}), which is the moment of conviction and trust. At the one side of this dialectic is the imaginative focusing upon the act of sacrifice in terms of particular intentionality which resonates in relation to operative intentionality corporeally mediated by prose rhythm; and, on the other side, is the process of reflecting upon this act in terms of practical wisdom. It is an hermeneutical spiral which may teleologically move towards the affirmation of a unity of active being as the foundation of Verstehen, and the attestation of conviction and trust. The reader grasps the meaning of the narrative from the viewpoint of Abraham's sacrificial act which affirms not a complete explanation, but an understanding based upon reasonable hope that there is a foundation for continuing reflection and enlargement of understanding.

This is not arbitrary because it is a rational process of practical wisdom based upon the achievement of conviction and trust in which, paradoxically, the reader's self identity is at stake in the instant of relinquishing self reflection and self identity. This is the critical issue referred to at the beginning of this chapter. Here is the instant of losing and finding personal identity.
Although reason is under suspicion with respect to the fundamental issue of the affirmation of ontological unity as the foundation for reason, nevertheless, the commitment to reason, that is *reasonable hope*, is the critical factor in the achievement of conviction and trust. *Reasonable hope*, which may be compared with Ricoeur's notion of the 'character of desirability', ensures that reflection is dialectically related to the dynamic effects of *sonorous Being* in the hermeneutical spiral. In this dialectic, there cannot be a movement from discordance to concordance without the corresponding movement from suspicion to affirmation of rational understanding, and vice versa. *Reasonable hope*, defined as an ontological dynamic of intentionality, is the teleological characteristic of both *sonorous Being* and the synthesis of the conceptual manifold. It is the dynamic of the productive imagination.

**The Juridical Analogy**

In an endeavour to show how this dialectical movement is possible, the juridical process, which occupies Ricoeur's thought in his later work, is a valuable analogy. The aim is not to attempt to enter the historical and contemporary wide-ranging debate on the issues of justice (18), but simply to draw the analogy relating to three key elements of judgement in the juridical system. These are, the commitment to the rational principle, argumentation based upon practical wisdom, and an imaginative appropriation of human actions. A commitment to the rational principle is fundamental to a juridical system which endeavours to demonstrate its rejection of arbitrary judgement. Although the system is recognized as not being free from the possibility of error, this does not detract from but strengthens the commitment. It is this commitment which informs and shapes the process and procedures of judgement by the dynamic of its motive and intention. This commitment to the rational principle does not, or should not, close the process of judgement. Its aim is not conviction in terms of solution but justice which may leave the conclusion unresolved.
For example, if guilt of a crime cannot be judged proven on the basis of evidence then conviction is unresolved and the accused is set free because within the process of the particular case justice prevails.

Judgement is not simply based upon a commitment to an abstract principle but is grounded in a dialectic between argumentation of practical wisdom and the imaginative appropriation of human actions. As stated above, it involves an uncompromising determination to establish empirical facts. This does not mean that such facts may be treated in an absolute sense. They are set clearly within the context of descriptive evidence which directly relates to the testimony of witnesses in terms of narrative. The facts are subject to the interpretation of testimony. This is analogous to the words which make up the descriptions of written narratives; for example the story of Abraham. They are subject to interpretation based upon the appropriation and interpretation of the narrative. The issue is one of probability not certainty. However, the point being made here is the way the juridical process reveals that the commitment to the rational principle is rooted in the process of interpretation by argumentation in a system of advocacy. This is not limited to analysis, but is committed to rational judgement on the basis of practical wisdom. Crucially, this is founded upon concrete temporal actions, and therefore the imaginative appropriation of human action. Although commitment to the rational principle is fundamental to the juridical system, it is judgement in terms of a process of interpretation founded upon the appropriation of human activity that is the basis of conviction and trust in the system. A conviction that judgement is made in terms of an achievement of understanding the particular act that has been performed.

Although this study is not directly concerned to examine the juridical process and procedures with respect to the role of the imagination in relation to the spoken word in contrast to reading
prose narratives in terms of the written word, it may be considered to provide a valuable analogy. The commitment to the rational principle is analogous to reasonable hope in reading and interpreting prose narratives. The juridical system is based upon a commitment to the rational interpretation of evidence with respect to facts that are inextricably part of the narratives of witnesses. It is the rational process of understanding and explaining these narratives whereby the meaning of the crime may be grasped in terms of the 'who?' and 'why?'. In this system, it is not enough to claim understanding, there must be rational explanation in terms of argumentation and the conclusions of probability. This may be seen to be analogous to reading narratives that are not imaginative flights of fantasy but imaginative habitation of lifeworlds which may create change in the personal identity of the reader. A reader's and a jury's appropriation and habitation are both dialectically related to the rational process of argumentation and reflection, internal with respect to the reader. This grasping of the narrative is, in the case of the jury, a grasping of the perspective of the narrative(s) whereby there may be a rational understanding and explanation of the meaning of the crime in terms of probability; and, in the case of the reader, a grasping of the perspective of the otherness of narrative identity whereby there may be a rational understanding and explanation of a new meaning of personal identity. The former is based upon a commitment to the rational principle, the latter to reasonable hope.

It is the dynamic intention of rational understanding and reflection in terms of practical wisdom which directs and informs the hermeneutical dialectic between jury, or reader and narrative(s). In the philosophical terms of this thesis, it is the dialectic between Self and Other. Sonorous Being is the ontological nature of the commitment to the rational principle, or reasonable hope expressed in the dynamic of resonance in terms of corporate and particular intentionalities. Discordant sonority is the ontological agitation of the Self in the face of the problematic of
rational unity, or the seemingly meaningless Other; it is the profound disturbance of reasonable hope as the security and teleological dynamic of the Self. Reasonable hope is the breath of life.

Internal Dynamism of Reasonable Hope

The metaphor, 'breath of life', expresses intentionality not simply as a subjective commitment but a condition of being. In his study entitled, 'What Ontology in View?', Ricoeur concludes his discussion of Aristotle's energeia by making some brief but seemingly significant observations upon Spinoza's idea of conatus in the Ethics which may shed light upon the notion of reasonable hope. He quotes proposition 4 of book 3 (which appears to be an error in the number), and claims that it is in man that conatus is clearly readable, particularly in relation to consciousness. Acknowledging the definition of conatus in proposition seven of Spinoza's Ethics, that would seem to exclude all initiative of intention which may break with the determinism of nature, conatus, according to Ricoeur, is the power of being of all things which in terms of man is the power to act by means of the passage from inadequate to adequate ideas.

He writes,

Thus there is a close connection between the internal dynamism worthy of the name of life and the power of intelligence, which governs the passage from inadequate to adequate ideas. 

Oneself as Another. p. 316

The idea of conatus, or the notion of an internal dynamism, which in one sense is pre-reflective but generated by reflection grounded in activity, relates significantly to the juridical analogy. Commitment to the rational principle which dynamically informs and shapes the system is embodied and animated by the relationship between argumentation grounded in the appropriation of activity and imaginative grasp of the narrative. Argumentation is not reasonable because of the commitment to the rational principle, but because of the incarnation of this commitment in
argumentation rooted in the appropriation of action.

This is analogous to reading prose narratives with respect to reasonable hope. That is, according to the analysis conducted in this study, this dynamism is generated by the creative relationship between two modes of being: the mode of Self reflection, and the mode of activity as the ontological engagement with the Other; a creativity that is fundamentally imaginative. It is the dynamism of reasonable hope which is the creative energy of the productive imagination enfleshed in the prereflexive relationship of the Self with its own body as its intimacy with the Other, and the consequent provocation of argumentation in terms of practical wisdom. It is the dynamism of the desire of the Self to validate its appropriation of the open horizon of the Other in a vehement affirmative act to be free of suspicion. It is, for example, to read the story of 'Abraham's sacrifice' imaginatively driven by reasonable hope that the sacrificial act may be understood and, to some extent, may be reasonably explained. The qualifying clause, 'to some extent' implies that conviction based on the achievement of understanding does not mean complete explanation, but affirms trust in continuing interpretation and explanation; in the movement from suspicion to affirmation.

In conclusion, acknowledgement must be paid to Ricoeur's endeavour to address the seemingly intractable aporias of tragic action in the quest for selthood, particularly at a time of profound sadness in the personal tragedy of his son's death. In directing his attention to the tragedy of Antigone, he submits the deeply moving testimony,

The tragedy of Antigone touches what, following Steiner, we can call the agonistic ground of human experience, where we witness the interminable confrontation of man and woman, old age and youth, society and the individual, the living and the dead, humans and gods. Self-recognition is at the price of a difficult apprenticeship acquired over the course of a long voyage through the persistent conflicts, whose universality is inseparable from their particular localizations, which is, in every instance, unsurpassable. Oneself as Another p. 243
Through such an apprenticeship there is, what Ricoeur testifies to, a tragic wisdom which is the achievement of those who refuse to contribute a 'solution' to these conflicts, and pass through, what may be a painful conversion of selfhood, a catharsis, whereby practical wisdom responds to tragic wisdom to reach the 'haven of conviction' beyond. It has been the insistent concern of this study to propose that such a conversion is rooted in the discordant resonances of sonorous Being; that the 'haven of conviction' is affirmed in a 'rhythm of hope' whereby there is a losing and finding of Self.

But there is always the suspicion that this is the haven of madness. This is a suspicion from which human beings cannot escape. Macbeth's cry of despair would seem to be an inherent, fearful disturbance of human consciousness.

> it is a tale told by an idiot, full of sound and fury,
> Signifying nothing

In his lecture, *Hamlet and Don Quixote*, Ivan Turgenev contrasts these two literary figures to reveal the nature of their madness. He describes Hamlet as the complete egocentric; the obsessively reflective person who doubts everything, including himself, and inflicts merciless suffering on himself. Turgenev finds in Don Quixote a more appealing figure of madness in his willingness to sacrifice himself. However, both suffer in a way that is destructive. Hamlet's self reflection is not rooted in the powerful dynamic of reasonable hope which informs practical wisdom. Don Quixote's unconstrained hope is not subject to an apprenticeship of tragic wisdom. In both directions lie madness and self destruction(24). It has been the aim of this thesis to show that Reasonable Hope may be affirmed when it is grounded in the rhythms of sonorous Being.
Chapter One: Presence, Meaning and Metaphor (Part I)

1. Kant's attempt to establish a transcendental grounding for rational knowledge in place of traditional metaphysics decisively influenced the developments of the debate, particularly Husserl's Transcendental Idealism and the consequent radical critiques of Ricoeur and Derrida analyzed in this first chapter. An important introduction to the Critique of Pure Reason is Kant's Prolegomena to Any Future Metaphysics (ed. Beryl Logan, Routledge, 1996). Among the considerable number of studies on the first Critique, Henry E. Allison's Kant's Transcendental Idealism, written as an interpretation and defense, is a valuable supplementary text.

2. Ricoeur's theory of metaphor and semantic innovation in The Rule of Metaphor contrasts with the interest shown by Nietzsche, Heidegger and Derrida in metaphors as single words whose figurative characteristics have 'worn away' (usure), like the face on a coin or medal, and in vulgar usage have become accepted as concepts.

3. Temps et Récit forms a pair with La Métaphore Vive in dealing with the same basic phenomenon of semantic innovation and the role of the productive imagination.

4. For example, see Imagination and Chance: The Difference between the Thought of Ricoeur and Derrida. Leonard Lawlor.

5. The notion of the 'rational mind' has been the subject of wide ranging debate and interpretation, particularly since the advent of Cartesian rationalism. A valuable contribution to this debate from an ontological perspective is Heidegger's The Principle of Reason. See also Roger Trigg's Reality at Risk with respect to the Anglo-American logico-empirical tradition.

6. The many forms of Empiricism lay stress on experience, typically sensory experience, as a source of knowledge or belief. See 'Empiricism', Bruce Hunter, included in Blackwell's A Companion to
7. In relation to phenomenology, a valuable analysis of the Cartesian Cogito may be found in Merleau-Ponty's *Phenomenology of Perception*, Part III Chapter 1, 'The Cogito'.

8. The notion of 'givenness' draws upon Heidegger's interpretation of the term, *es gibt* (there is, it gives; thus, "the given"). See *Being and Time*, H7, pp. 26-27. See also Derrida's use of this notion particularly in *Glas*.


10. See chapter 7, 'Kant and Husserl' in Ricoeur's *Husserl: An Analysis of his Phenomenology*.


12. According to Ricoeur, Husserl's phenomenological reduction is based upon a metaphysical decision (see above pp.190-192. See also Emmanuel Levinas' criticism of Husserl's failure to clarify the meaning of 'absoluteness' with respect to the assertion of the 'absolute existence of consciousness' in *The Theory of Intuition in Husserl's Phenomenology*, p.29. Northwestern University Press, 1995).

13. 'Suspicion' is a central theme throughout Ricoeur's work. Prior to the development of his thought in *Freud & Philosophy* with respect to 'the hermeneutics of suspicion', the fundamental issues relating to this notion were addressed in his early work, *History and Truth*, particularly in the final chapter, 'Negativity and Primary Affirmation'. A critical view of this theme can be found in S.H.Clark's, *Paul Ricoeur*, chapter 4.

14. Derrida is critical of Husserl and traditional Western philosophy for privileging the voice, *la voix*, the
spoken word, *parole*, with respect to its dominance as self-presence. This "apparent transcendence" of the voice is directly linked, according to Derrida, with the concept of truth in the history of philosophy. See *Speech and Phenomena*, pp.74-79.

15. The immediacy of Husserl's understanding of intuition is grounded in the ontological structures of the transcendental ego's historical presence in terms of intentional consciousness. This contrasts with the variants of Aristotle's account in the *Posterior Analytics* in which he claims that intuition is the originative source of Scientific knowledge (Book II, 19, 100b), and Kant's view that although the immediacy of intuition is situated at the level of sensibility, it is grounded in the *a priori* formal structures of the rational mind (*CPR*,B73). See Levinas's illuminating study of Husserl's theory of intuition (as above).

16. In chapter 6, 'The Voice that Keeps Silence', in *Speech and Phenomena* pp. 82 - 87, Derrida is critical of Husserl's attempt to demonstrate how the voice is the temporal self-presence of absolute subjectivity. See *On the Phenomenology of the Consciousness of Internal Time*, (quoted PCIT in following notes) §36, p.

17. See, in particular, Derrida's critical commentary on Husserl's use of *Vorstellung* in chapter 4 'Meaning and Representation' (ibid.) See also, §19 ('Repetition') in *PCIT* p47ff.

18. See No. 45 *PCIT* p.308ff.


20. The importance of the *iconic moment* of metaphor in Ricoeur's thought is rooted in his work on symbols. A valuable essay on the development of this work is, 'The Symbol Gave Rise to Thought', by David Pellauer in *The Philosophy of Paul Ricoeur*, ed. Lewis Edwin Hahn.

21. For Ricoeur's view see, 'Imagination in Discourse and Action' in *From Text to Action*, Ricoeur. Two
helpful commentaries on Ricoeur's development of the role of the imagination are, 'The Hermeneutical Imagination' Richard Kearney's Poetics of Imagining, (Harper Collins, 1991), and Imagination and Chance, Leonard Lawlor. For a criticism of this interpretation of Kant's Third Critique, see The Resistance to Theory, Paul De Man.


24. See PCIT Appendix IV, pp. 113 - 114.


26. The concept 'closure' in modern philosophy is used in the contemporary debate about the end of Metaphysical discourse. For a valuable contribution see Delimitations: Phenomenology and the End of Metaphysics, John Sallis. Also Derrida's important essay, 'The Ends of Man' in Margins of Philosophy, and Simon Critchley's The Ethics of Deconstruction. Chapter 2.

27. Ferdinand de Saussure's notion of 'difference' is a key element in his theory of signs. A word is a sign consisting of two integral parts: the signifier or sound-image, and the signified or concept. It is the former in terms of intra-linguistic difference in sound which is the basis of meaning. The theory is set out in Course in General Linguistics. This notion has played an influential role in Structuralist thought, see The Feud of Language: A History of Structuralist Thought, Thomas Pavel, and The Prison-House of Language, Frederic Jameson. With respect to the Post-Structuralist debate, see, An Introductory Guide to Post-Structuralism and Postmodernism, Madan Sarup, and Superstructuralism, Richard Harland. For a further example of Saussure's role in modern linguistics see Language, Saussure and Wittgenstein, Roy Harris.

28. The phenomenological horizon is used in the work of Husserl and Heidegger to describe the way perception is rooted in a "background" experience. Heidegger relates this to the ontological meaning of the disclosure
of Dasein; that is, the horizon is defined as the ecstatical unity of temporality. See Being and Time, 365, p. 416. This concept has become part of hermeneutical philosophy. For example, see H.G. Gadamer's Truth and Method, pp. 302-307.

29. See Derrida's essay, 'Differance', in Margins of Philosophy.


31. The word Retrait in French has a variety of meanings, including withdrawal, retirement and retreat, which Derrida draws upon in relation to metonymy with respect to semantic deferral in his theory of metaphor. (See 'The Retrait of Metaphor', Enclitic 2.2, 1978).

32. This primary conceptual break refers to the traditional implicit metaphysical assumption or explicit critical philosophical attempts to ground rational, conceptual thought on an absolute foundation.

33. Ricoeur's fundamental view of the human condition runs through his work and is the basis of this teleological movement. In his early existential writings (see Fallible Man) Ricoeur claims that there is an originating affirmation which allows a human being to think and act only through the existential negation which he calls perspective, character and vital feeling. This relationship between affirmation and negation, which is later interpreted in terms of the notion of suspicion, then becomes the primordial dialectic of the hermeneutical spiral in which conceptual thought is affirmed.

34. See Ricoeur's essay, 'Explanation and Understanding' in From Text to Action.

35. See Derrida's 'White Mythology' in The Margins of Philosophy. According to Derrida there is a continuity between concept and metaphor in which the former is a 'dead' mode of the latter whose image has 'worn
away'. In a process of deconstruction the metaphor is unveiled revealing its metonymic characteristic of endless semantic deferral.

36. Ricoeur and Derrida are committed to the view that mediation of self-knowledge or self understanding is prior to thought or perception. Furthermore, mediation as the externalization of thought in a system of signs is essentially linguistic mediation. For a helpful summary of the similarities and difference between them, see Leonard Lawlor's *Imagination and Chance*.


38. The question of the meaning of Being is fundamental to Heidegger's project in *Being and Time*. In Part I of the Introduction he attempts to demonstrate ¶ 1. 'The Necessity, Structure and the Priority of the Question of Being' acknowledging that in Western philosophy it is said to be the 'most universal and emptiest of concepts.' (p.2)

39. Ricoeur acknowledges his debt to Heidegger with respect to his ontological analysis of temporality in *Being and Time*, but is critical of the failure, according to Ricoeur, to the return to address the ordinary concept of time, or universal time of the human sciences. See 'Heidegger and the "Ordinary Concept of Time' in *Time and Narrative*, Vol. 3.

40. Derrida raises the question about the "we" in Heidegger's text ('The Ends of Man', *Margins of Philosophy*). Also in *Of Spirit* Derrida considers the implication of *Spirit (Geist)* in Heidegger's writings.


42. *Vorhanden* and *Zuhanden* respectively. See *Being and Time*, pp. 70-74.
In Being and Time, Heidegger's concern with presence as the appearance of Being is in terms of place as the site of Dasein's letting be. In his later writings, following what is known as his turning, or Kehre (see the Introduction to Basic Writings, pp. 32-35. ed. David Farrell Krell,) he explores the notion of presence in terms of art in which the figure is a clearance, a rift in the concealment of Being. See 'The Origin of the Work of Art'.
Chapter Two: Presence, Meaning and Metaphor (Part II)

1. See the interview with Ricoeur, 'Poetry and Possibility' in A Ricoeur Reader: Reflection & Imagination, ed. Mario J. Valdés.

2. The Symbolism of Evil is part of the early trilogy, including Fallible Man and Freedom and Nature: The Voluntary and the Involuntary in which he first addresses the nature of the symbolic with respect to concrete expressions of the human experience of evil. His aim is to identify a method for making sense of mythic language which is symbolic through and through. Later, he developed this work on the symbolic features of metaphor and narrative. See Time and Narrative, Vol i, pp. 57 - 58.

3. Ricoeur's idiosyncratic work on Freud (Freud and Philosophy) is devoted to the language of his psychological discoveries, and not, as Ricoeur confesses, with psychoanalysis. It is again a concern with mythological language with respect to the expressions of unconsciousness. For an opposing view, see the article, 'Psychoanalysis as anti-hermeneutics', Jean Laplanche in Radical Philosophy 79.

4. In Creative Evolution, chapter 1, Bergson writes, "Time is an invention or it is nothing at all." He explains that creative time or duration is originally experienced as time lived in the stream of consciousness and then applied by analogy to the universe. Therefore it is inner time that is being analyzed. From Bergson's view, calendar time is merely an externalized form of time, a "fall" of time in the direction of spatialization.

5. This is Heidegger's term which first emerged in his Summer Semester 1919 at Freiburg University. It is a term referring to a method of disclosing presuppositions and their ontological origins in factic life experience which is linked, in 1920, to "systematic" deconstruction. (See Appendix D, p. 493-4, The Genesis of Heidegger's Being and Time, Theodore Kisiel).

6. Because of Derrida's linking of Heidegger's term with his notion of Differance, it is more elusive in its definition. According to Derrida, meaning is endlessly deferred and any attempt to make Deconstruction
into another critical philosophy must be resisted. See Deconstruction. Christopher Norris. See also, 'From ironist theory to private allusions: Derrida' in Richard Rorty's, Contingency, irony and solidarity; and 'The Deconstruction of Actuality: Derrida Interview' Radical Philosophy 68.

7. It is 'non-conceptual' in the paradoxical sense that, according to Heidegger, cannot be defined in terms of traditional Western logic. See Introduction, Part I, Being and Time. For a discussion of this paradox in the context of a penetrating account of the problem of Being, see An Introduction to Metaphysics.

8. For a critical study of Heidegger texts with respect to the German poets refer to Heidegger and the Poets: Poiésis, Sophia, Techné. Véronique M. Fótí.

9. 'Self-identity' relates to a number of associated notions, e.g. personal identity', 'self-awareness', self-concept', 'mind'. In modern philosophy, the contemporary debate has its roots in eighteenth century thought, among others, Hume who held, 'what we call a mind, is nothing but a heap or collection of different perceptions, united together by certain relations, and suppos'd falsely, to be endow'd with perfect simplicity and identity.' (A Treatise of Human Nature, 1978a [1739-40] ed. P.H.Nidditch); Locke claims that the 'idea' of person is not a material mass but consists 'in nothing but a participation of the same continued life, by constantly fleeting particles of matter, in succession vitally united to the same organised body' (An Essay Concerning Human Understanding, 1990 [1671], ed. Peter H. Nidditch and G.A.Rogers). The contemporary debate has been influenced by this issue in developmental psychology, e.g. 'Identity and the Life Cycle' by E.Erikson in Psychological Issues, Monograph 1, 1959. With respect to phenomenology, the notion relates particularly to self-consciousness. Husserl writes, 'The ego grasps himself not only as a flowing life but also as I, who live this and that subjective process, who live through this and that ego, as the same I.' Cartesian Meditations, §31, p.66. Ricoeur's perspective is set out in the Introduction to Oneself as Another.

10. See Narrative in Culture, ed. Cristopher Nash; also, Love's Knowledge, Martha C.Nussbaum.

11. See Study 1 in The Rule of Metaphor. In his Poetics, Aristotle sets out his definition of metaphor as 'the application of an alien name by a transference either from genus to species, or from species to genus,
or from species to species, or by analogy,' 1457b 4-9. He also discusses the use of metaphor with respect to style in section 9 of the *Rhetoric*.

12. In *The Philosophy of Rhetoric*, I.A. Richards was the first to propose that two ideas are active together in metaphor, although he did not offer any explicit definition or theory. Nevertheless, he did identify the one idea as the *vehicle* which is conveyed by the literal meaning of the words used metaphorically, and the *tenor* as the idea conveyed by the *vehicle*. The metaphor is neither the *vehicle* nor the *tenor* but the two conjoined.

13. In *Models and Metaphors*, Max Black makes a number of significant contributions and emendations to Richards. He claims, a metaphor is not an isolated term but a sentence. He calls the metaphorical sentence the *frame*, and the word or words used the *focus*. The *frame* imposes 'extension of meaning upon the focal word'. He makes explicit what Richards only hints at, namely that a metaphor not merely invokes two subjects but, in the case of the *vehicle*, involves a 'system of associated commonplaces'. Such a system will serve as a 'lens' or 'filter' by which to organize the view of the principal subject.

14. To account for metaphorical meaning, Monroe C. Beardsley, in his *Aesthetics*, makes a distinction between designation, denotation and connotation. For example, the term 'wolf' designates certain characteristics that define a class of animals; it also denotes the animals that have those defining characteristics in common, and it connotes characteristics that wolves have, or are believed to have, such as fierceness, persistence, predatory clannishness. In a metaphor there appears a falsehood or logical absurdity that makes reading at the level of designation of the terms impossible. Consequently, the reader falls back on the level of connotation that is called metaphor. In Beardsley's 'controversion' theory, as it is called, there is a conflict of word meaning in metaphor that is resolved by moving down the hierarchy of readings.

15. The 'ornamental' or 'emotional' theory of metaphor has been dominant in the traditional theories until this century, although, Ricoeur, among others, attempts to show the historical roots of these theories in Aristotle's *Poetics* and *Rhetoric*. See Study 1, *The Rule of Metaphor*. See also 'The Classical View' in Terence Hawkes' *Metaphor*, and 'Classical Accounts of Metaphor' in Janet Martin Soskice's *Metaphor and Religious Language*. The key issue is whether metaphor has a cognitive element, or is simply an emotional
figure of speech. Donald Davidson rejects the view promoted by Ricoeur that metaphor involves a creation of new meaning. By means of emotional surprise, he claims, metaphors help in the appreciation of particular facts. See 'What Metaphors Mean' in On Metaphor, ed. Sheldon Sacks.

16. See The Rule of Metaphor, p.313

17. ibid. pp.299 - 230


19. For an outline of Ricoeur's thought in this early period, see the 'Biographical Essay' in Charles Reagan's, Paul Ricoeur: His Life and His Work.

20. In Ricoeur's early years, Gabriel Marcel had an important influence upon him. A general theme identified by Marcel was the distinction between "problem" and "mystery". A problem can be resolved, whereas a mystery, which he claimed was the proper concern of philosophy, are not subject to present or future resolution. See the introduction to Fallible Man, pp.xi-xiii. A particular influence was Marcel's understanding of a second-order method of 'secondary reflection' to grasp experiences that 'primary reflection', reputed to be reductive and objectifying, was held to obliterate and rob them of their original and affirmative power. Ricoeur confesses, 'to Gabriel Marcel I owed the problematic of a subject at once embodied and capable of placing desires and powers at a distance, in short a subject who was master of himself and the servant of necessity in the figure of character, the unconscious, and life.' 'Intellectual Autobiography' in The Philosophy of Paul Ricoeur, ed. Lewis E. Hahn.

21. The notion of lebenswelt, or life-world, first introduced into hermeneutical philosophy by Wilhelm Dilthey (see, The Construction of the Historic World in Human Studies, Dillhey's Selected Writings). His concern was a form of science correlative to the study of human experience. "Life" represents the shared flow of human experiences both in its social activity and individual particularity. Husserl attempts to illuminate the conditions of the possibility of this science in terms of the life-world as the horizon of subjective modes.
of givenness upon which the objectified world of the natural sciences is erected. Heidegger suspends the
search for ultimate cognitive foundations below the life-world replacing Husserl's transcendental ego with
being-in-the-world. These interpretations have profoundly influenced the developments of hermeneutical
philosophy, particularly in H-G. Gadamer's *Truth and Method*, and Ricoeur. It is also used in other
philosophical disciplines with differing interpretations. For example, Habermas's understanding of the
notion to social systems. See *The Philosophical Discourse of Modernity*. Also, Wittgenstein uses the term
"forms of life" in relation to his notion of "language-games": See *Philosophical Investigations*, No. 7, p.5e.

22. See *Fallible Man*, chapter 1.

23. The influence of Merleau-Ponty's *Phenomenology of Perception* upon Ricoeur's thought was significant
in an early period particularly in his attempt to develop Husserl's eidetic analysis with respect to affection
and volition in *Freedom and Nature: Voluntary and Involuntary*. Merleau-Ponty's description of
representative acts of consciousness revealed a way of resisting Husserl's idealism and the traditional
dualism of consciousness and corporality.

24. Ricoeur's notion of the "hermeneutics of suspicion" became clearer in his thought during his work on Freud.
At the end of this study, in establishing a dialectic between suspicion and faith, drawing upon Merleau-
Ponty's term for psychoanalysis, the "archaeology of the subject" as the topography for the unmasking of
illusions, he proposes that, faith being the opposite of suspicion, there must be a "teleology of the subject".
Consequently, he creates a dialectic between psychoanalysis as the interpretation of the unconscious and
eschatological hope which moves beyond a human being is to what he may become. See *Freud and
Philosophy*, Book III, Chapters 2 and 3.

25. Ricoeur's interest in Paul Tillich's view on symbols was influential in the development of his thought. See
F.W. Dillistone, and John E. Smith's essay, 'Freud, Philosophy and Interpretation' in *The Philosophy of Paul
Ricoeur*, ed. Lewis E. Hahn, pp.150-152.
Among others, Paul Tillich's theological interpretation of social and religious symbols with respect to an immanent participation in a transcendent ultimate reality (e.g. Part 2, 'Concrete Applications' in Theology of Culture, and the work of Ernst Cassirer, Philosophy of Symbolic Forms), were profoundly influential in the development of Ricoeur's thought leading to the description of the symbolic resources of the practical field as the second anchorage of Mimèsis in Time and Narrative, vol. 1, pp.57-58. See also, Northrop Frye's essay, 'Theory of Symbols' in Anatomy of Criticism.

See Note 27, Chapter 1.

An important and significant experimentation with respect to the readability of the symbolic structuring of social reality is set out in the work of the Brazilian educator, Paulo Freire, in The Pedagogy of the Oppressed.

Translation must take account of sound considering its fundamental significance with respect to the historical developments of any particular language (see 'The Importance of Sound-changes' in A History of the English Language, Albert C. Baugh & Thomas Cable pp.230-235). However, the theory that language has its roots in metaphor and narrative means that this particular historical development of sound-change is grounded in the rhythmic sound patterns and images of a linguistic culture or lifeworld. Translation therefore involves an appropriation of a linguistic lifeworld of which sound and image of metaphor are primary.

Derrida writes, 'The primitive meaning, the original, and always sensual and material figure...is not exactly
a metaphor. It is a kind of transparent figure, equivalent to a literal meaning (sens propre). It becomes a metaphor when philosophical discourse puts it into circulation. Simultaneously the first meaning and first displacement are forgotten. The metaphor is no longer noticed, and it is taken for the proper meaning.' ('White Mythology' in Margins of Philosophy p.211). This proper meaning, however, according to Derrida, is an illusion. Language is subject to Différence, the endless play of metaphoric displacement, which he describes in terms of "supplementarity" and "spacing"; a play of language as a system of inscribed signs, of writing or l'écriture.

34. Derrida's understanding of metaphor in a metonymic sense closely relates to the question of western metaphysics and presence, and the withdrawal of Being. He writes, 'This metaphysics as a tropical system and singularly as a metaphoric detour would correspond to the withdrawal of Being: unable to reveal itself except in dissimulating itself under the 'species' of an epochal determination, under the species of an as which obliterates itself as such (Being as eidos, as subjectity, as will, as work, etc.), Being would allow itself to be named in a metaphoric-metonymical divergence (écart). One would then be tempted to say: the metaphysical, which corresponds in its discourse to the withdrawal of Being, tends to reassemble, in resemblance, all its metonymic divergences in a great metaphor of Being or of the thought of Being. This bringing together is the language of metaphysics itself.' 'The Retrait of Metaphor' p.21.

35. Logical Investigations, Fifth Investigation


37. In chapter 21 of the Poetics, Aristotle follows the derivation of the term 'metaphor' in saying that metaphor (carrying across) is a kind of epiphora (carrying over). The 'carrying over', or transference is a word, or word's meaning. In the traditional theory of 'carrying over' it is for the purpose of substituting one word for another. The word's meaning stimulates surprise and emotion by the nature of its deviance. The 'carrying over' is not cognitive process, and does not involve any change or creation of meaning. According to this theory, metaphor is one type of trope in the classical glossary of figures of speech. According to Ricoeur (Rule of Metaphor, Study 1, p.17), for Aristotle's definition of metaphor a sort of displacement applies
to every transposition of terms. He claims that this understanding of transpositional movement may be seen to apply to all domains including the sentence, and therefore it is movement involving semantic change.

38. Chapter 3 of Janet Martin Soskice's *Metaphor and Religious Language* (see Note 15) is a good summary of the various theories.

Nietzsche's view of metaphor has had profound effects on Derrida and many 'postmodernist' philosophers. See *Nietzsche and Metaphor*, Sarah Kofman. The interest in metaphor in many 'postmodernist' philosophers relates to the attention given to the study of 'Aesthetics' and the 'world-creating' capacity of 'poetic' language (See, *The Ideology of the Aesthetic*, Terry Eagleton; *The Condition of Postmodernity*, David Harvey; *Postmodernism-Philosophy and the Arts*, Continental Philosophy III, ed. Hugh J. Silverman.)

With respect to cognitive theories, see Eva Feder Kittay's, *Metaphor*; and from a scientific perspective, Earl R. Mac Cormac's, *A Cognitive Theory of Metaphor*. John Searle's chapter on 'Metaphor' in *Expression and Meaning* is also important in relation to his theory of speech acts.

39. See Study 2 in *The Rule of Metaphor*. For the renewed interest in the contemporary developments of literary studies and philosophy, see *The Bible as Rhetoric*, ed. Martin Warner; also, *Persuasion: Greek Rhetoric in Action*, ed. Ian Worthington.


41. See *Rule of Metaphor*, pp.222-228; 'Closing Statements: Linguistics and Poetics' *Style in Language*, ed. T.A. Sebeok; and a helpful commentary, 'A Synecdoche' in *Russian Formalism*, Peter Steiner.

42. In philosophical terms, one definition of a proper name, or noun, is, 'A proper noun is used to refer to a particular individual person or thing. A common noun refers to any member of a class.' *Talking Philosophy*, A.W. Sparkes. This definition is qualified in the sense that a proper name is rendered 'more proper' by the addition of descriptive phrases. A helpful essay in the Analytical philosophical tradition is John R. Searle's 'Proper Names' in *The Philosophy of Language*, ed. A.P. Martinich. Derrida's approach to proper names
is from a radically different perspective related to his concern with iterability of the sign, and more particularly with the singularity and repeatability of the signature. See 'Signature Event Context' in Margins of Philosophy.

43. The Hegelian term *aufhebung* has still not been really translated, or rethought in English. See an additional note of Hegel's *The Encyclopaedia Logic*, tr. T.F.Geraets, W.A.Suchting, and H.S.Harris, '...we should remember the double meaning of the German expression "aufheben". On the one hand, we understand it to mean "clear away" or "cancel", and in that sense we say a law or regulation is cancelled (aufgehenoben). But the word also means "to preserve", and we say in this sense that something is well taken care of (wohl aufgehenoben). This ambiguity in linguistic usage, through which the same word has a negative and a positive meaning, cannot be regarded as an accident nor yet as a reason to reproach language as if it were a source of confusion. We ought rather to recognise here the speculative spirit of our language which transcends the "either-or" of mere understanding.' p.154. In the contemporary Continental philosophic debate there are a number of varied interpretations of this concept. Derrida says, 'We will never be finished with the reading or rereading of Hegel, and in a certain way, I do nothing other than attempt to explain myself on this point.' Quoted in the Introduction to *Hegel After Derrida*, ed. Stuart Barnett, p.1. In the essay by Kevin Thompson, 'Hegelian Dialectic and the Quasi-Transcendental in Glas' he claims that there is a deep affinity between aufhebung and différence. p.239.

44. *How to do thing with Words*, J.L.Austin, and *Speech Acts*, John R.Searle.

45. See Ferdinand de Saussure's *Course in General Linguistics*, tr. Roy Harris, [23ff] p.8ff.

46. In 'Différence' (Margins of Philosophy), Derrida writes, 'we will designate as différence the movement according to which language, or any code, any system of referral in general, is constituted "historically" as a weave of differences.' p.12. According to Derrida, this movement of différence is possible only if the present element, that is, each element appearing on the scene, is related to something other than itself to keep its mark of the past, and letting itself be vitiated by the mark of the future. Therefore an interval must separate the present from what is not in order to be the present. 'In constituting itself, in dividing itself
dynamically, this interval is what might be called spacing, or becoming-space of time or the becoming-time of space (temporization).’ p.13. Derrida's concept of supplementarity relates to Différance in that since presence is always deferred there is, what he calls, a logic of supplementarity in language. The word is supplemented in the absence of presence. His interest in the Confessions of Rousseau who tells how in society he shows himself to be 'completely different to what I am', and so, this leads him to absent himself - 'writing and hiding himself.' In 'The Essay on the Origin of Language' in Of Grammatology, tr. Gayatri Spivak, Derrida explores this concept of supplementarity.
Chapter Three: Presence, Sound and Narrative

1. See Aristotle's Metaphysics, Book IV, 1005b, 20. This is qualified with respect to temporality in De Interpretatione, Chapter 6, 17a, 30. Kant, although affirming the principle with respect to analytical judgements, reconsiders the Aristotelean temporal qualifier by supplementing the principle with a series of additional ones. See the entry on 'contradiction' in A Kant Dictionary, Howard Caygill, p.134-35. A radically different view is taken by Hegel in his Logic in relation to the dialectical movement of the becoming of Being. According to Hegel, everything is inherently contradictory. See the Science of Logic, tr. A.V.Miller, 'C. Contradiction', pp.431-443. It is this view which has influenced the developments in dialectical philosophy including Ricoeur and Derrida.

2. The separation of rational and poetic modes of language in the rational tradition of Western philosophy has its roots in the Platonic concern with 'truth'. For example, see Book X of the Republic. (See also, 'Plato on poetic creativity', Elizabeth Asmis, in The Cambridge Companion to Plato, ed. Richard Kraut.) Platonic idealism has not remained the only philosophical influence in the Western tradition. The commitment to the ideal of rational 'truth' free from poetic emotional contamination has continued dominant, particularly with respect to science. However, the radical questioning of the notion of 'truth' in all disciplines of modern philosophy has led to an increased interest in the role of poetry, especially in hermeneutic philosophy. For example, see, Contingency, irony, and solidarity, Richard Rorty. For a different perspective which aims at a greater integration between the rational and the poetic, see Love's Knowledge, Martha Nussbaum. Also, A Ricoeur Reader: Reflection & Imagination, ed. Mario I. Valdés.

3. See Study 8 of The Rule of Metaphor and the conclusions of Time and Narrative, vol.3.


5. See chapter 2, Of Grammatology, tr. Gayatri C.Spivak.

6. The metaphorical reference is founded upon the symbolic characteristic of 'this is that'. Ricoeur retains the
ontological na"iveté of the metaphorical is in relation to a tensional view of metaphorical truth and literal truth by insisting upon the inclusion of the literal 'is not' within the ontological vehemence of the metaphorical 'is'. See, Rule of Metaphor, pp.247-256.

7. The 'inside' of language is the acoustical production of meaning. The written word, from Saussure's view, is semantically dependent upon this system, and is therefore 'outside' of it.

8. In particular the notion of difference: 'It is at the price of this war against language against itself that the sense and question of its origin will be thinkable. This war is obviously not a war among others. A polemic for the possibility of sense and world, it takes place in this difference, which, we have seen, cannot reside in the world but only in language, in the transcendental disquietude of language, Indeed, far from only living in language, this war is also the origin and residence of language. Language preserves the difference that preserves language. Speech and Phenomena. p.14.

9. From 1920 a new development in linguistics, based upon a theoretical rigour endeavouring to make the science of natural languages logical, spread in Central Europe, the English speaking countries and Scandinavia. Hjelmslev's work in the School of Copenhagen was part of this. For a critical view of the relationship of this work and phenomenology, with particular reference to Derrida, see Thomas Pavel's The Feud of Language, pp.54-61.

10. In Derrida's concern to isolate the literary text from the "natural bond" of the sound of the voice, the development in the Copenhagen School of glossematics as the means to reach the literary element in terms of an irreducible graphic text provides an example of a way to study the play of form.

11. The fundamental problem of the structuralist endeavour to establish a scientific approach to the study of language as a linguistic sign system is the failure to question the subjective foundation of this approach.

12. This notion of the text contrasts with Derrida's view that it is 'a fabric of traces referring endlessly to something other than itself, to other differential traces. Thus the text overruns all the limits assigned to it.
so far...everything that was set up in opposition to writing (speech, life, the world, the real history, and what
not, every field of reference - to body or mind, conscious or unconscious, politics, economics, and so forth).'
'Living On - Border Lines' in Deconstruction and Criticism, ed. Harold Bloom.

13. This does not refer to the author, living or dead, but to the 'who' of the text, or the 'implied author'.

14. That is, configuration understood as an achievement of a creative process involving the objective sonorous
materiality.

15. The figurative characteristic of language, in one sense, is analogous to a musical score in terms of the sound
patterns, but in place of the notes it is the imagistic feature that is intrinsically related rhythmic sonority with
respect to the particular focal metaphor and the narrative profile.

16. This is a reference to the descriptive statements clustered around an entity in terms of, for example, the
representation of the objectified 'what' of Cartesian realitas objectiva, or the Kantian epistemologically
inaccessible, intuited Ding an sich. See Heidegger's The Basic Problems of Phenomenology, pp. 34-38..


18. For a helpful definition see Theodore Kiesel's The Genesis of Heidegger's Being and Time, Appendix D,
pp. 494-95.

19. See Merleau-Ponty's Phenomenology of Perception, particularly chapter 3. For a useful commentary refer
to M.C.Dillon's Merleau-Ponty's Ontology, Part 2, Chapter 8.

20. According to Howard Caygill in A Kant Dictionary, Aristotle's definition of energeia in terms of both
actuality and action, was incorporated in his argument for the eternity of matter and the world which later
posed problems for the Christian doctrine of creation ex nihilo. 'A solution favoured by the scholastics was
to subordinate the cosmological exposition of actuality...to an onto-theological one.' p.51.

22. Merleau-Ponty's, *The Visible and the Invisible* is unfinished and is a seminal work. Consequently, the references to *sonorous being* are not part of a defined ontology. Furthermore, his work on the linguistic implications is incomplete, and does not address the issue of the written text. Nevertheless, he provides valuable insights into nature of the lived body and sonority as a key characteristic.

23. Dylan Thomas writes about poetry and words, and the first poems read to him as a child: 'What mattered most was the *sound* of them...And these words were, to me, as the notes of bells, the sounds of musical instruments, the noises of wind, the rattle of milk carts, the clopping of hooves on cobbles, the fingering of branches on a window pane, might be to someone, deaf from birth, who has miraculously found his hearing.' *Notes on the Art of Poetry* in *Modern Poets on Modern Poetry*, ed. James Sculley.

24. This notion of 'grasping' has its roots in the Kantian act of synthesis. Kant consistently relates this either to 'power of the imagination, a blind but indispensable function of the soul, without which we should have no knowledge whatsoever, but of which we are scarcely ever conscious' (*CPR* a 78/B 103), or to 'an act of spontaneity of the faculty of representation' or 'an act of the self-activity of the subject' (*B*130).

25. In his concern with the human condition and the disciplines of the human sciences, Ricoeur clearly acknowledges his work in terms of a philosophical anthropology particularly in his early work, *Fallible Man*. But also in his later work, for example, *Time and Narrative*, when he is sensitive to the accusation of interpreting Heidegger's *Being and Time* from the viewpoint of philosophical anthropology and failing to apprehend the anti-metaphysical critique of this work (vol.3 p.60-61), he claims that an attentive reading may discern features of the present which do not reflect the alleged errors of intuitive metaphysics. If this is combined with his criticism of Heidegger's failure, according to Ricoeur, to return to the level of rational discourse, it reveals his insistent philosophical anthropological aim to establish a foundation for the human sciences.


29. See Ricoeur's comments on the 'symbolic resources of the practical field' in *Time and Narrative* Vol 1, pp. 57 - 58.

For a concise study of Cassirer's analysis of the thought processes that go to make up culture, see *Language and Myth*, tr. Suzanne Langer.


31. In particular, as they relate to the relationship between historical, or 'lived' time, and linear, or 'cosmic' time.

32. See Addendum to 'The Origin of the Work of Art', *Basic Writings*, pp. 207 - 121.

33. See Note 25.

34. See ¶326 - 328 *B & T*.

35. See ¶284f. *B & T*.


37. See p. 123f.

38. See Note 26 Chapter 1.
Chapter Four: *Prose Rhythm: The Mediation of Sonorous Being*

1. See *The Fundamental Question of Metaphysics*, Chapter 1, 'An Introduction to Metaphysics'.

2. See Chapter 4, *Being and Time*.

3. That is, the question regarding, to use Derrida's phrase, 'the principle of all principles', the principle of self-presence. See pp.126-6, 'The Ends of Man' in *Margins of Philosophy*.

4. If the disclosure is founded upon an unquestioned self-presence, it is a disclosure which is not under suspicion and therefore its validation is an illusion.

5. It is a dilemma that Derrida seeks to avoid by means of deconstruction, and Ricoeur by a dialectic of suspicion and affirmation.

6. But Heidegger's aim is not to question in terms of the subject-predicate analytical process, since this approach assumes the ontological question to be invalid. Consequently, his attempt to radically question the who is disclosed in self consciousness through the mediation of reflection is in existential terms. But this 'labour of language' may be judged a failure, particularly in the light of Derrida's critical observation with respect to the 'we' of the text.

7. The critical question is, can existential analysis escape the inherent problematic of the reflective mode of being? That is, the problem of an unquestioned mode of being as the foundation for reflection. It would seem significant that existential philosophers, particularly, Kierkegaard and Sartre have written so extensively in narrative form.

8. That is, the possibility of a linguistic mediation of an extra-linguistic foundation of an affirmation of conceptual unity.


12. This ontological understanding of textual sonority and phonicity is not to be confused with sound patterns in language related to generative phonology described in The Sound Pattern of English, by Noam Chomsky and Morris Halle.

13. For an interesting and valuable analysis of phenomenological intentionality with respect to rhythm, which will become a key feature of this study, see §A 'Rhythmizing Intentionality' Rhythms by Nicholas Abrahams.

14. Merleau-Ponty writes about music and language in 'The Intertwining - The Chiasm' and describes such moments of intensity as follows: 'We do not possess the musical or sensible ideas, precisely because they are negativity or absence circumscribed; they possess us. The performer is no longer producing or reproducing the sonata: he feels himself, and the others feel him to be at the service of the sonata; the sonata sings through him or cries out suddenly that he must "dash the bow" to follow it. And these open vortexes in the sonorous world finally form one sole vortex in which ideas fit with one another.' Signs, p.151.

15. 'It confirms my working hypothesis that the distinction between selfhood and sameness does not simply concern two constellations of meaning but involves two modes of being.' Oneself as Another. p.309.

16. See 'The Hermeneutical Imagination' in Richard Kearney's Poetics of Imagining.

17. This hidden power of interrogation will be looked at more closely in relation to Ricoeur's notion of distanciation. See 'The Hermeneutical Function of Distanciation' in Hermeneutics & the Social Sciences. See also 'Philosophical Interrogation' in Merleau-Ponty's The Visible and the Invisible. The notion of
interrogation has its roots in Aristotle's fundamental conviction 'All men by nature desire to know.' *Metaphysics* 1.1, 980a21. See also Jonathan Lear's *Aristotle the desire to understand*, chapter 1.

18. See 'Resonance' in R.L. Trask's *A Dictionary of Phonetics and Phonology*, particularly with respect to 'resonant frequencies'. See also Merleau-Ponty's *The Visible and the Invisible*, p.133f, beginning, 'The look, we said, envelops, palpates, espouses the visible things. As though it were in a relation of a pre-established harmony with them...'. Another interesting literary source, with respect to involuntary memory, is to be found in Marcel Proust's *Remembrance of Things Past*, particularly in the concluding part *Time Regained* in Vol.3.

19. The notion of rational identity used in this study relates to the Cartesian Cogito, and more particularly to Kant's concept of apperception. See the entry in Caygill's *A Kant Dictionary*.


21. See *A History of Reading* by Alberto Manguel, particularly, 'Learning to Read'.

22. With respect to rational, discursive texts of an abstract nature, two key features must be noted:


ii. The semantic nature of these texts may be shown to be rooted in cultural narratives. See particularly Jean-Francois Lyotard, *The Postmodern Condition*, and Richard Rorty, *Contingency, irony and solidarity*. The important difference, however, in this study is the dialectical relationship between poetic and rational linguistic modes whereby the latter is grounded in the former. Again, there is no good reason to suggest that the significance of sonority in terms of the resonance of cultural narratives may not have a decisive influence upon scientific and other texts of an abstract nature.
23. See *Mimesis, Culture, Art, Society* tr. Don Reneau


26. *Ethos* see Aristotle's *Poetics*, chapter xv, and *dianoia* see chapter xix. For a helpful discussion see the Critical Notes in S.H. Butcher's tr. p. 337f.


28. In his analysis of his notion of Threefold *Mimesis*, Ricoeur, drawing upon *Heidegger's Being and Time*, describes the experience of the unity of time and space in terms of the activity of temporal being. See *Time and Narrative*, Vol. 1, pp.59 - 64.

29. See *Anatomy of Criticism* p.243 - 244.

30. See Note 26.

31. Although Nicholas Abraham's *Rhythms* deals mainly with poetic rhythm, it offers a valuable analysis which may be related to *prose rhythm*. See the first part, 'Outline of a Phenomenology of Poetic Expression', particularly the Semantic and Mythological layers.

32. Leavis includes this novel under 'Minor Works and *Nostromo*' but claims that 'it is by common consent, one of Conrad's best things' (p.201). In contrast to Leavis's view of Conrad, Frank Kermode, for example, claims that he is more of a romantic. Leavis concedes that he was "in some respects a simple soul", pointing to the lack of irony and the Poe-like melodramatic intensities in *Heart of Darkness.* (Leavis by Ronald Hayman, p.72).
33. See Nicholas Abraham's *Rhythms* with respect to his notion of *Paradeictic* as related to translation pp.133-154.

34. See 'Proust and Signs', 'Proust's work is based not on exposition of memory, but on the apprenticeship of signs' (p.4). Quoted by Ricoeur in *Time and Narrative*, Vol. 2, p.131.


36. See *Phenomenology of Perception* p.384f.

37. Ibid. 'The theory of the body is already a theory of perception', pp.203 - 206.

38. See chapter 4, *The Visible and the Invisible*.


40. See Chapter 1, Note 30.

41. See the chapter on the Phenomenology of Language in *Signs*, pp.88 - 89.

42. 'Being is the "place" where "modes of consciousness" are inscribed as structurations of Being (a way of thinking oneself within a society is implied in its social structure), and where structurations of Being are modes of consciousness.' *The Visible and the Invisible*, p.253.

43. See *Phenomenology of Perception*, p.429. See also, James Schmidt's *Maurice Merleau-Ponty: Between Phenomenology and Structuralism*, pp.40 - 1, and 156 - 7.

44. See 'The experience of Time' in *Time and Narrative*, Vol. 1, Chapter 1. Also, 'The Human Experience of
45. This understanding of metaphor relates to the sensual plasticity of the poetic mode of language together with its centripetal dynamic particularly as defined by Northrop Frye in chapter 3 of *The Great Code*. Among the many critical views of the nature and importance of poetic language examples may be found in A.C. Bradley's *Oxford Lectures on Poetry*, particularly, 'Shelley's View on Poetry'; Paul Hamilton's *Coleridge's Poetics*, especially Chapter 5 'The Necessity of Poetry'; and *Masterful Images* by A.E. Dyson and Julian Lovelock.

46. See *D.H.Lawrence: Novelist* by F.R. Leavis, and *D.H. Lawrence* by Tony Slade.

47. Tony Slade's *D.H.Lawrence*, p.63.

48. See 'Narrative Identity and the Dialectic of Selfhood and Sameness' in *Oneself as Another*, p.140f. Also, 'Life in Quest of a Narrative' in *On Paul Ricoeur: Narrative and Interpretation*.

49. Aristotle's *Poetics*, 1459a 3 - 8.

50. See Chapter 2, Note 29.


52. See *Modernist Fiction* by Randall Stevenson pp. 45 - 53.


54. See Preface to *Phenomenology of Perception*. 
Chapter 5: The Primordial Dialectic and Temporal Perspective

1. See *Time and Narrative*, Vol. 3, p.160-166. Also Martha Nussbaum's distinction of three author figures in *Love's Knowledge* pp.9-10. A useful definition may be found in Jeremy Hawthorn's *A Concise Glossary of Contemporary Literary Terms*, ed. under the entry, 'Author' pp.11 - 12.

2. This process is central to hermeneutical philosophy. For example, see 'Analysis of Historically Effected Consciousness' in Gadamer's *Truth and Method*, p.341f. With particular regard to Ricoeur's thought, the essay by Kathleen Blamey, 'From the Ego to the Self' in *The Philosophy of Paul Ricoeur*, ed. L.E.Hahn, is of interest and importance.

3. The notion of risk is an existential one with respect to the security of self identity. It relates to Kierkegaard's understanding of becoming a Christian, see *The Journal's of Kierkegaard*, pp.185 - 6.; also to his *Concept of Dread*, and the notion of 'the leap' of faith.

4. It is suspicion of conceptual unity which is inherent to the teleological dynamic of the unity of identity of the Other.

5. Ricoeur draws upon Aristotle's *Poetics* and the 'all-emcompassing concept' of *mimesis*. See *Time and Narrative*, Vol. 1, p. 33f. David Wood comments, '...we recall that the study of metaphor and narrative are for Ricoeur integral parts of a general poetics, 'one vast poetic sphere', both instances of the productive imagination.' *On Paul Ricoeur, Narrative and Interpretation*, p.6.


7. See chapter 12 on Rhythm in *The Psychology of Music* by John Booth Davies. Also chapter 7, 'Mother Goose Rhymes, Ballads' in James Reeves, *Understanding Poetry*.
8. This problematic was considered in chapter 1. Refer also to Husserl's *Cartesian Meditations*, §3 and §10, and 'The Cogito' in Merleau-Ponty's *Phenomenology of Perception*, p.369f.


10. For a brief outline of rhyme structure see chapter 4 of Philip Hobsbaum's *Metre, Rhythm and Verse Form*.


13. *Biographia Literaria*, p.270

14. For an examination of the influence of ancient oratory and rhetoric upon literary genres see, *Persuasion: Greek Rhetoric in Action*, ed. Ian Worthington. See also *The Bible as Rhetoric*, ed. Martin Warner.


17. *ibid.* pp. 100 - 110.

18. See Northrop Frye's *Anatomy of Criticism* pp.243 - 244.

19. See *Time and Narrative*, vol. 1, chapter 2.
20. *Prose Rhythm* is the creative refinement of expression in the poetic mode of language drawing upon the sedimented rhythmic patterns of ordinary, everyday speech.

21. See Note 4, chapter 2.

22. In his critique of Heidegger in *Time and Narrative*, vol. 3, p. 78, Ricoeur writes, 'What appears to me to be shunted aside is the problematic of the trace...' This comment is made in reference to the past. It also may be made with respect to the future in terms of the sonorous mediation of the trace as rhythms of hope.


24. In *Time and Narrative*, vol. 1, p. 32f, Ricoeur gives some thought to melody in Aristotle's *Poetics* with respect to imitation, and also the notions of concordance and discordance. But he does not appear to pursue the musical connotations of these notions in terms of phonicity and the ontological possibilities. In *The Rule of Metaphor* he makes clear his understanding of the work of resemblance involves an auditory characteristic in terms of the sensible characteristic of poetic language (p. 209), but does not develop the critical ontological implications as presented in this thesis.

25. Ricoeur's ontological view of action, particularly with respect to the relationship between Self and Other, gives to the ability to follow a story, in terms of a phenomenological act of perception, an ontological foundation which transcends the narrative. It is the imaginative appropriation of actively inhabiting the narrative, that is ontologically grounded, and not founded upon transcendental subjectivism.

26. This metaphor 'anchorage' would seem to express a maritime sense of an haven of security as the hermeneutic destination on a semantic ocean, but which must be relinquished in a continuing journey.

27. See *ibid.* pp. 54 - 57.
28. For a critical commentary on this unfinished work, see M.C. Dillon's *Merleau-Ponty's Ontology*, Chapter 10, particularly, pp.194 - 208.

29. See *Freud & Philosophy* pp. 10 - 11.

30. That is, the rhythmic expression of the physical body is the phenomological mediation of the ontological condition of the flesh's sonorous being-in-the-world.

31. In Barthes' essay, 'The Structural Analysis of Narrative' in *The Semiotic Challenge*, in which he analyzes *Acts 10 - 11*, he concludes, 'the message is its very form, it is its destination' (p.245). A post-structuralist critique of this view, for example Geoff Bennington and Robert Young in the introduction to *Post-Structuralism and the question of history*, states, 'Structuralism attempts to examine the structure of such systems from a more 'impersonal' or 'scientific' perspective than that of the perceiving subject.' (p.1). It therefore leaves aside the question of history. But then the question, which this thesis attempts to address, is what is the grounding of the historical subject? How is appearance affirmed?

32. See *Time and Narrative*, Vol. 1, pp.61 - 64.


34. The film or still photographs of Belsen may be explained in terms of the decisions and events which led to the horrific scenes, or the corpse-like figures may be described in detail, or attempts may be made to understand the accounts given by the victims, but there is a failure in these terms to understand, to ascribe meaning to these images.

35. They are aesthetic creations that may be considered analogous to music. See George Steiner's *Real Presences*, particularly, pp. 215 - 227.


38. Macbeth, Act V. Scene V.

39. See Philosophical Fragments, Chapter III. With respect to language, see also, Kierkegaard and Modernity, ed. Martin J. Matustik and Merold Westphal pp. 137 - 138.

40. A significant aspect of Ricoeur's understanding of Kant's notion of the regulative idea is the sense of a limit-idea. For example, see Time and Narrative, vol.1, p.160. See also, Critique and Conviction, pp.65 - 67. For a helpful commentary see Kevin J. Vanhoozer's essay, 'Philosophical Antecedents to Ricoeur's Time and Narrative' in On Paul Ricoeur: Narrative and Interpretation, pp. 38 - 41.
Chapter Six: Self and Other; Suspicion and Affirmation

1. See Critique and Conviction, p. 119.

2. See the chapter, 'Work and the Word', in History and Truth.

3. See Chapter 7: 'The Creative Imagination'.

4. That is, a literary critical perspective on the text is taken for the philosophical analysis.


7. See George Steiner's essay, 'A Preface to the Hebrew Bible' in No Passion Spent, particularly pp. 53 - 57.

8. See T.R.Henn's The Bible as Literature, pp. 38 - 40. With respect to the significance of cadence in the relationship of prose and poetry, see Seamus Heaney's essay, 'The Makings of Music', in Preoccupations: 'The given line, the phrase or cadence which haunts the ear and the eager parts of the mind, this is the tuning fork to which the overall melodies are worked or calculated.' p. 61.


10. Although the themes of obedience to God, and the covenantal relationship are inextricably part of Abraham's faith, and therefore his motives for decision and action, the fundamental love of the father for
his son, made clear in the narrative, cannot be ignored in terms of its decisive influence upon the particular sacrificial act, and consequently that which is seemingly incomprehensible. This is the essential nature of the singularity of the act, and the secret between God and Abraham to which Kierkegaard and Derrida refer.

11. In this narrative, the metaphorical figure is not in terms of a single word, but a verbal structure which, in the process of reading, gives rise to an 'implicit' metaphor. See Northrop Frye's *The Great Code*, pp.57 - 59.


13. The sacrificial act is not a substitution for expiation or propitiation of sins, and a relationship of obedience, *it is* an act of these fundamental characteristics of the ancient cultic ritual of sacrifice. See entry for 'Sacrifice' in *The New Bible Dictionary*.


15. See Derrida's critique of Heideggerian onto-theology and negative theology in his essay, 'Différance', in *Margins of Philosophy*. Also, see a commentary on his relationship with negative theology in John D. Caputo's *The Prayers and Tears of Jacques Derrida*, chapter 1, §1 'God Is Not différente'.

16. Here 'closure' means the *instant* of affirmation in terms of ontological, corporeal intentionality in which reflection is relinquished. Suspicion is aroused in the detour of reflection but dialectically related to the effect of the affirmation in terms of reasonable hope (see chapter 8).


19. That is, not free from suspicion of its seeming transparency of meaning in a fiduciary institution of conventional language, and the necessity for a continuing hermeneutical spiral in relation to temporal active being-in-the-world.

20. An unquestioned self-identity which implicitly, or explicitly assumes a metaphysical closure.


22. See Levinas’s essay, 'Transcendence and Height' in *Basic Philosophical Writings*.


24. See *Oneself as Another*, Tenth Study, 3a One's Own Body, or the Flesh, pp. 319 - 329; and Merleau Ponty’s *The Visible and the Invisible*, Chapter 4.

25. This rhythmic structure of the narrative is integrally related to the metaphoric configuring effect of the *iconic moment* of verse 10 so that it is incorporated into the dynamic of the *ontological vehemence* which precipitates the semantic interaction and the probability of new meaning.

26. The first three verses take the following form:

   Woman much missed, how you call to me, call to me,
   Saying that now you are not as you were
   When you had changed from the one who was all to me,
   But as at first, when our day was fair.

   The final verse is:

   Thus I; Faltering forward
   Leaves oozing around me falling,
   Wind oozing thin through the thorn norward,
   And the woman calling.

27. See *Fear and Trembling* and the notion of the 'dizziness of freedom' in the *Concept of Dread*, p.55. See also Derrida's *The Gift of Death*, pp. 53 - 56.
28. See 'The Origin of the Work of Art' in Basic Writings, particularly, p. 189.
Chapter Seven: The Creative Imagination

1. See Ricoeur's 'Model of the Text' in From Text to Action, pp. 166 - 167.

2. For two valuable essays on Ricoeur's re-interpretation of the Kantian productive imagination see Richard Kearney's, The Hermeneutical imagination' in Poetics of Imagining, and Kevin J. Vanhoozer's 'Kant and the Creative Imagination' in On Paul Ricoeur, ed. by David Wood. The development of this re-interpretation in this thesis is with respect to linguistically mediated ontological sonority as a fundamental characteristic of the imagination.

3. Kant uses the concept of unity in a number of ways. The transcendental unity is the a priori ground of all concepts (CPR A 107) which is the unity of 'transcendental apperception', or the transcendental unity of self-consciousness. It is this ground of unity which is referred to in the text as ahistorical in contrast to the dialectical relationship of the affirmation and suspicion of unity grounded in the ontological condition of historical self-consciousness. The other uses of this concept by Kant are, categorial unity as the basis of unity of given concepts (CPR B 131), the unity of understanding which is a categorical unity of reason, and synthetic unity of reason which is an extension of transcendental unity in the act of judgment (CPR B 383). See the entry for 'Unity' in Caygill's A Kant Dictionary.

4. That is, the hermeneutical process of reading a narrative creates the conditions whereby rational reflection can take place. In the course of the analysis, the notion of probability will be introduced as a significant and central development with the intention of demonstrating that rational reflection may not only be possible but will be expected in terms of an affirmation of rational meaning on an open semantic horizon.

5. See CPR A 42 - 44, B 60 - 62. Also, 'aesthetic' in A Kant Dictionary.


7. ibid. B 151,


10. Ibid. 315.


12. See Note 3.

13. See Note 9. Also CJ § 57, Comment I.

14. For a Ricoeurian view of 'myth', see the interview with Richard Kearney, 'Myth as the Bearer of Possible Worlds' in A Ricoeur Reader: Reflection and Imagination, ed. by Mario J. Valdés.

15. After Virtue, pp. 218 - 219

16. In his conclusion to The Rule of Metaphor, Ricoeur states, 'What is given to thought in this way by the 'tensional' truth of poetry is the most primordial, most hidden dialectic - the dialectic that reigns between the experience of belonging as a whole and the power of distanciation that opens up the space of speculative thought.' p. 313. See also his essay, 'The Hermeneutical function of distanciation', in Hermeneutics & the Human Sciences, Part II, 4.

17. That is, the power of historical activity as the expression of sonorous being mediated by the rhythmic characteristics of temporal narratives.

18. Ricoeur is concerned to make clear that the constitution of presentation (Darstellung), preceded and
supports the linguistic medium which it summons, and that the reference of language back to the structure of experience is the most important presupposition of hermeneutics. See *Hermeneutics & the Human Sciences*, pp. 117-118. However, the view in this thesis is that Ricoeur's understanding of the relationship between language and presentation must be complemented by Merleau-Ponty's linguistic phenomenology, for example, when he states, 'Language is much more like a sort of being than a means, and that is why it can present something to us so well.' *Signs*, p. 43.


20. The problematic of the notion of unity is identified in the early writings of Ricoeur in, for example, *History and Truth* in the chapter on 'Negativity and Primary Affirmation'; and in Book III, chapter 3, 'Dialectic: Archaeology and Teleology' in *Freud and Philosophy*. This theme is central to the development of his hermeneutical philosophy appearing in his later writing, *Oneself as Another* with respect to the dialectic between Self and Other and the issue of the unity of self-attestation. This thesis attempts to develop the analysis of the dialectic between 'suspicion' and 'affirmation' in terms of discordance and concordance of *sonorous being*.

21. It disturbs the viewpoint of consciousness affecting every aspect of perception in a discordance between the present's inherited perspective and the ontological dynamic of a re-focusing of this perspective. This may be compared with Gadamer's fusion of horizons in the hermeneutical process. See *Truth and Method*, pp. 302-307.

22. This is analogous to the process of adjustment in inhabiting an alien culture. It is an intentional, active, corporeal process. The rhythmic patterns of cultural activity effect a physical process which is the grounding of the conscious intention to achieve an appropriate condition of living in a new world.

23. In the mode of reflection, affirmation cannot escape suspicion but it is sustained by a teleological conviction grounded in the *instant* at the ontological and corporeal levels which lies at the 'edge' and beyond consciousness.
24. In *Time and Narrative*, vol. 1, Ricoeur attempts to define the plot with respect to the notion of Emplotment as 'A Model of Concordance' (see pp. 38 -42). In his reading of Aristotle's *Poetics*, he identifies Melody as the feature of *mimesis* as that which interests him with respect to the importance of linguistic rhythm in the imitation or representation of action (p. 33). Furthermore, he notes the play of discordance internal to concordance in Aristotle's theory of tragic plots (p. 38). He does not however appear to develop the significance of the role of rhythm as an ontological grounding of affirmation.

25. Imagination is here defined not simply in terms of a faculty but the dynamic of a condition of being-in-the-world.

26. That is, the imaginative act is a creative response to the 'grasping' of the image. It is not limited to vision but is an ontological and corporeal creative response whereby the dynamic mediation of *prose rhythm* provokes the act of configuration.

27. The dynamic process of configuration, effected by the *iconic moment* of the sacrificial act in verse 10, uproots the literal reference of this act in terms of the act of a father killing his son to create a second order metaphorical reference by means of an interaction within the semantic structures of the narrative with particular emphasis upon the relationship between verse 2 and verse 10. This second order reference is a teleological drive towards the unity of the love of the father and the sacrificial act.

28. Throughout the analysis of this thesis there is an attempt to move towards the notion of 'probability' with respect to Affirmation. At this stage, the analysis has not reached the point where this notion may be used since the important criterion of attestation has not been considered. For this reason, the notion of 'potentially available' is being used. The significance of the notion of 'probability' included in the final chapter and corresponding notes.

29. See 'The Hermeneutical Function of Distanciation' in Ricoeur's *From Text to Action*, chapter 3. For a useful commentary, see Leonard Lawlor's *Imagination and Chance*, Part II, 'Ricoeur's Notion of Distanciation'.
In the relation of the word and sentence in the process of continuing interpretation, Ricoeur's theory of metaphor is fundamental. See his essay, 'Metaphor and the Main Problem of Hermeneutics in A Ricoeur Reader: Reflection and the Imagination, ed. by Mario J. Valdés.

In this instant, the primordial dialectic is transcended. It is a transcendence of habitation in terms of the operative characteristic of corporeal intentionality as the mediation of sonorous being. In other words, the imaginative grasp of a particular viewpoint as the basis of understanding is grounded in, and in an instant transcended by, the corporeal rhythm of being-in-the-world.

See Study 7, 'Metaphor and Reference' in The Rule of Metaphor.


The notion of linguistic world production is an important theme in contemporary debate in the philosophy of language. Among its advocates are Jean-Francois Lyotard (see The Postmodern Condition), Richard Rorty (see Contingency, irony and solidarity), and Nelson Goodman (see Languages of Art and Ways of Worldmaking). The context of this theme in the debate relates to the wide-ranging notion of postmodernism. Examples of the contributions to this debate may be found in Postmodernism - Philosophy and the Arts, ed. by Hugh J. Silverman. For a critical view of this notion see What's Wrong with Postmodernism? by Christopher Norris.

That is, the radical change from logical atomism in his earlier Tractatus to the concept of language-games in the Philosophical Investigations. See also The Wittgenstein Reader, ed. by Anthony Kenny, particularly, chapter 2 'The Rejection of Logical Atomism'.

See the essay, 'Verificationism, Anti-Realism and Idealism' by Ralph C.S.Walker in European Journal of Philosophy, volume 3 number 3, December 1995.
Chapter Eight: Reasonable Hope


2. See *Oneself as Another*, pp.137 - 139.

3. See *Reasons and Persons*, Derek Parfit, p.3: 'S gives to each person this aim: the outcomes that would be best for himself, and would make his life go, for him, as well as possible'.

4. This question provokes the critical issue with respect to the relationship between fiction and reality. See Ricoeur's essay, 'The Function of Fiction in Shaping Reality' in *Reflection & Imagination*, ed. by Mario J. Valdés. It also relates to the question of subjectivity, and what S.H.Clark refers to as 'The Limits of Narrative' in his study on *Paul Ricoeur*, p.190ff.

5. See Descartes' *Discourse on Method and the Meditations*, Second Meditation.

6. Since meaning in structural analysis is derivative of the internal structural differences of linguistic sign system, according to Saussure, language does not possess extra-linguistic characteristics and therefore may deemed to be anti-referential. For a helpful study of a history of structuralist thought, see Thomas Pavel's, *The Feud of Language*, particularly, chapter 5, 'On Conventionalism in Poetics'.

7. See *Course in General Linguistics*.

8. The notion of 'Same' is used here in the Levinasian sense of identity (see extract from *Transcendence and Height* in *Basic Philosophical Writings*, pp. 11 - 20), and in particular in the way Ricoeur employs this notion in relation to *idem*-identity. (See 'The Question of Selfhood' in *Oneself as Another*, p.18).

10. Descartes's approach to certainty in terms of an epistemological foundation for knowledge was based upon his sceptical arguments in the First and Second Meditations, and his ontological argument for the existence of God in the Fifth Meditation. In the Cartesian tradition, the former have been favourably received, but the latter has been rejected. In recent debate, See Wittgenstein's *On Certainty*, in which he enunciates a number of theses about how doubt presupposes certainty.

11. See *Oneself as Another*, p.22.

12. This refers to the moment of change or increase in knowledge in the process of textual interpretation. According to Ricoeur, this is closer to the logic of probability than to the logic of empirical verification (see the essay, 'The model of the text' in *Hermeneutics & the Human Sciences*, pp.212 - 213). However, in his essay, 'The question of proof in Freud's writings', part III, 'Truth and Verification', he claims that the cumulative effect of validation makes proof 'probable and even convincing.' (p. 271) Verification is used in this sense with respect to the 'necessary epistemic moment' that ensures the hermeneutical circle is not vicious. This will be looked at further later in this final chapter. (See note 14)

13. See Kenneth Clark's, *Looking at Pictures*, particularly his pattern of discipline p. 16.

14. The notion of probability is a key feature which has been implicit and at times explicit in the development of the analysis in this study. In this final chapter, there is an attempt to complete the analysis with respect to the critical importance of attestation in relation to probability. The analysis draws closely upon Ricoeur's work in which there is also a development of this notion which has its roots in Aristotle's *Rhetoric*. At the beginning of *The Rule of Metaphor*, he writes: 'Rhetoric is the treatise containing the equilibrium between two opposed movements, one that inclines rhetoric to break away from philosophy, if not to replace it, and one that disposes philosophy to reinvent rhetoric as a system of second-order proofs. It is at this point, where the dangerous power of eloquence and the logic of probability meet, that we find rhetoric stands
under the watchful eye of philosophy. It is this deep-seated conflict between reason and violence that has plunged the history of rhetoric into oblivion...' (p. 12) Plato played a powerfully influential role in this separation and decline of the importance of the art of persuasion in claiming, incorrectly according to Ricoeur (see also Michael Gagarin's essay, 'Probability and Persuasion' in *Persuasion: Greek Rhetoric in Action*, ed. by Ian Worthington), the early Rhetoricians placed probability above truth. Ricoeur also attempts to show that metaphor was reduced to a single figure of speech, and in consequence the dynamic of metaphoric displacement involving the sentence and whole discourse was lost in the traditional western substitutional theory of metaphor. The effect of the neglect or loss of the integral relationship of the logic of probability and metaphor in the separation of the poetic and rational modes of discourse was the consequent loss of the crucial importance of second-order proofs in philosophy. Ricoeur's concern to reunite the poetic and rational modes of discourse in a dialectical relationship is pursued in development of his hermeneutical philosophy. In his essay, 'The model of the text', in *Hermeneutics & the Human Sciences*, the nature of second-order proofs is taken up in the contrast of validation in contrast to verification. He writes, 'As concerns the procedures of validation by which we test our guesses, I agree with Hirsch that they are closer to a logic of probability than to a logic of verification.... Validation is an argumentative discipline comparable to the juridical procedures of legal interpretation. It is a logic of uncertainty and of qualitative probability.' (p. 212) He is, however, aware of the danger of the hermeneutical circle being a vicious circularity, and the need to show that an interpretation must be more probable than another. He states, 'There are criteria of relative superiority which may easily be derived from the logic of subjectivity.' (p.231).

It is apparent in relation to the latter that this leads to his work on the notion of attestation, particularly in *Oneself as Another*. (For a helpful study on this notion, see Jean Greisch's essay, 'Testimony and Attestation' in *Paul Ricoeur: The Hermeneutics of Action*, ed. by Richard Kearney). Attestation is a central theme of this final chapter which attempts to demonstrate that it is not based upon the logic of subjectivity, but the logic of sonorous Being.

15. Intuition relates to the poetic and induction to the rational linguistic modes which are separate but dialectically related in the hermeneutical process.

16. See Ricoeur's interpretation of Aristotle's concepts of *dunamis* and *energeia* in section 2 of the tenth study
of Oneself as Another, pp. 303 - 317.

17. *Verstehen* was first developed as a method of understanding in hermeneutical philosophy by Dilthey and has since become a key concept in the developments of this branch of philosophy. See Ricoeur's essay, 'The task of Hermeneutics' in *Hermeneutics & the Human Sciences*. See also Josef Bleicher's *Contemporary Hermeneutics*, particularly, chapter 1, 'The rise of classical hermeneutics'.

18. For a useful outline of the various contemporary legal issues, see *The Philosophy of Law*, ed. by R.M.Dworkin.


20. This thesis follows Ricoeur's theory of texts as the paradigmatic case for the conflict of interpretation with respect to the critical importance of mediation in the objectification of discourse as a process of exteriorization calling for a detour through explanatory devices. However, the closeness of this hermeneutical theory of the 'said' and a dialogic theory of 'saying' is revealed in the 'Debate with Hans-Georg Gadamer' recorded in *A Ricoeur Reader: Reflection & Imagination*.

21. See Oneself as Another, pp. 315 - 317.

22. The proposition, 'Each thing, in so far as it is in itself, endeavours to persist in its own being' is given as proposition 6 of book 3 in *The Ethics and Other Works*, ed. and trans. by Edwin Curley, p. 159.

23. Oneself as Another, p. 316.

24. In *Points... Interviews, 1974 - 1994*, Derrida is recorded as saying, '...a certain "madness" must keep a lookout over every step, and finally watch over thinking, as reason does also?' p. 363. Is this kind of madness the madness of the self keeping a lookout over the self keeping a lookout over the self keeping a
lookout over the self... as the self is deconstructed and disseminated? In contrast, in the continuing hermeneutic founded upon the primordial dialectic between 'suspicion' and 'affirmation' the latter may be the moments of the dying and resurrection of self in the manner of George Herbert's poem *Mortification*, 'That all my dyings may be life in death.'
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APPENDIX

Prose Rhythm
of
Abraham's Sacrifice of Isaac
(The 'Authorized Version' of the Bible)

// - dominant stress
~ - light stress
--- - cadence

Genesis 22:1 And it came to pass after these things, that God did tempt Abraham, and said unto him, Abraham: and he said, Behold, here I am.

Genesis 22:2 And he said, Take now thy son, thine only son Isaac, whom thou lovest, and get thee into the land of Moriah; and offer him there for a burnt offering upon one of the mountains which I will tell thee of.

Genesis 22:3 And Abraham rose up early in the morning, and saddled his ass, and took two of his young men with him, and Isaac his son, and clave the wood for the burnt offering, and rose up, and went unto the place of which God had told him.

Genesis 22:4 Then on the third day Abraham lifted up his eyes, and saw the place afar off.

Genesis 22:5 And Abraham said unto his young men, Abide ye here with the ass; and I and the lad will go yonder and worship, and come again to you.

Genesis 22:6 And Abraham took the wood of the burnt offering, and laid it upon Isaac his son; and he took the fire in his hand, and a knife;
Appendix (cont.)

and they went both of them together.

Genesis 22:7 And Isaac spake unto Abraham his father, and said, My father: and he said, Here am I, my son. And he said, Behold the fire and the wood: but where is the lamb for a burnt offering?

Genesis 22:8 And Abraham said, My son, God will provide himself a lamb for a burnt offering: so they went both of them together.

Genesis 22:9 And they came to the place which God had told him of; and Abraham built an altar there, and laid the wood in order, and bound Isaac his son, and laid him on the altar upon the wood.

Genesis 22:10 And Abraham stretched forth his hand, and took the knife to slay his son.

Genesis 22:11 And the angel of the LORD called unto him out of heaven, and said, Abraham, Abraham: and he said, Here am I.

Genesis 22:12 And he said, Lay not thine hand upon the lad, neither do thou any thing unto him: for now I know that thou fearest God, seeing thou hast not withhold thy son, thine only son from me.
Appendix (cont.)

Genesis 22:13 And Abraham lifted up his eyes, and looked,
and behold behind him a ram caught in a thicket by his horns:
and Abraham went and took the ram, and offered him up for a burnt offering in the stead of his son.

Genesis 22:14 And Abraham called the name of that place Jehovahjireh:
as it is said to this day, In the mount of the LORD it shall be seen.

Genesis 22:15 And the angel of the LORD called unto Abraham out of heaven the second time,

Genesis 22:16 And said, By myself have I sworn, saith the LORD,
for because thou hast done this thing,
and hast not withheld thy son, thine only son:

Genesis 22:17 That in blessing I will bless thee,
and in multiplying I will multiply thy seed as the stars of the heaven,
and as the sand which is upon the sea shore;
and thy seed shall possess the gate of his enemies;

Genesis 22:18 And in thy seed shall all the nations of the earth be blessed;
because thou hast obeyed my voice.

Genesis 22:19 So Abraham returned unto his young men,
and they rose up and went together to Beersheba;

and Abraham dwelt at Beersheba.