

Original citation:

Iliopoulos, C. S. (1982) Composition and characters of binary quadratic forms. University of Warwick. Department of Computer Science. (Department of Computer Science Research Report). (Unpublished) CS-RR-039

Permanent WRAP url:

<http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/47394>

Copyright and reuse:

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work by researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions. Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRAP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

A note on versions:

The version presented in WRAP is the published version or, version of record, and may be cited as it appears here. For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: publications@warwick.ac.uk



<http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/>

The University of Warwick

THEORY OF COMPUTATION

REPORT NO.39.

COMPOSITION AND CHARACTERS OF
BINARY QUADRATIC FORMS

BY

CONSTANTINOS S ILIOPOULOS

Department of Computer Science
University of Warwick
Coventry CV4 7AL
England

JANUARY 1982

COMPOSITION AND CHARACTERS OF
BINARY QUADRATIC FORMS

CONSTANTINOS S. ILIOPOULOS
WARWICK UNIVERSITY
DEPT. OF COMPUTER SCIENCE
COVENTRY CV4 7AL
U.K.

To Spiros - Despina & Vickie - Yiannis

JANUARY 1982

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper two number theoretic algorithms are presented. The first is an algorithm for composition of binary quadratic forms having running time of $O(M(\log|D|)\log\log|D|)$ elementary operations (for reduced forms with determinant D) improving the upper bound given by Lagarias in [6] by a factor of $O(\log\log|D|/\log|D|)$. This algorithm will appear in [4]. The second algorithm is for evaluation of the genus characters of the form class group $Cl(D)$. It has running time $O(M(\log|D|)\log|D|)$ elementary operations, asymptotically the same as the upper bound of Lagarias in [6] but the algorithm described here is simpler and has a better implicit constant.

2. BASIC DEFINITIONS-NOTATIONS

Suppose that

$$Q(x,y) = ax^2 + 2bxy + cy^2 \quad a,b,c \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Then Q is called binary quadratic form (or abbreviated form) and it is denoted by $Q = (a,b,c)$. Its determinant D is the integer $D = b^2 - ac$.

If $D > 0$, non-square, then the form $Q = (a,b,c)$ is reduced iff

$$|\sqrt{D} - |a|| < b < \sqrt{D}$$

and if $D < 0$, then the form $Q = (a,b,c)$ is reduced iff

$$|2b| \leq |a| \leq c$$

Hence a reduced form satisfies

$$O(\|Q\|) = O(|D|) \tag{2.1}$$

where $\|Q\| = \max\{a,b,c\}$.

An integer M is represented by a form Q iff there exist integers m,n such that $Q(m,n) = M$.

3. COMPOSITION

Suppose that $Q_1 = (a_1, b_1, c_1)$, $Q_2 = (a_2, b_2, c_2)$ are properly primitive forms with determinant D (non-square, if $D > 0$). Then the forms Q_1, Q_2 are composed to a properly primitive form Q_3 with the same determinant via a bilinear matrix B , if the following holds:

$$Q_1(x_1, y_1) \cdot Q_2(x_2, y_2) = Q_3(z_1, z_2)$$

with $(z_1, z_2) = B \cdot (x_1 y_1, x_1 y_2, x_2 y_1, x_2 y_2)^T$ for some bilinear matrix B with integer entries given by

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & b_{13} & b_{14} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & b_{23} & b_{24} \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfying the conditions:

- (i) Unimodularity : The greatest common divisor of Δ_{ij} 's for $1 \leq i < j < 4$ is one, where

$$\Delta_{ij} = \begin{vmatrix} b_{1i} & b_{1j} \\ b_{2i} & b_{2j} \end{vmatrix} = b_{1i} b_{2j} - b_{2i} b_{1j}$$

- (ii) Orientability : $a_1 \Delta_{12} > 0$, $a_2 \Delta_{13} > 0$

(Orientability of the matrix B is necessary to distinguish between composition with a form (a, b, c) and composition with its opposite $(a, -b, c)$).

The operation of composition of forms is denoted by

$$Q_1 \circ Q_2 = Q_3 \text{ via } B$$

The algorithm described below for composition is based on the constructive proofs of the following lemma and theorem.

LEMMA 3.1 (Dickson [1], p.134)

Suppose that $\gcd(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n) = 1$. If s divides $m_i q_j - m_j q_i$ for $1 < i, j < n$, then there exists exactly one solution $B \pmod{s}$ of the system of equations

$$m_i B = q_i \pmod{s} \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \quad (3.1)$$

Proof

Since $\gcd(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n) = 1$, there exist integers a_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i m_i = 1$$

Then

$$B = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i q_i \pmod{s}$$

is a solution of the system (3.1) because

$$m_k B = m_k \sum_i a_i q_i \equiv \sum_i a_i m_i q_k = q_k \sum_i a_i m_i \equiv q_k \pmod{s}, 1 \leq k \leq n$$

It is not difficult to see that the above solution is unique
(mod s) \square

Proofs of the following theorem were given by Gauss ([2], A.243),
Mathews ([7], p.152) and Pall ([8], p.404).

THEOREM 3.2

Suppose that $Q_1 = (a_1, b_1, c_1)$ and $Q_2 = (a_2, b_2, c_2)$ are properly primitive forms with determinant D . Let $\mu = \gcd(a_1, a_2, b_1 + b_2)$, $m_1 = a_1/\mu$, $m_2 = a_2/\mu$, $m_3 = (b_1 + b_2)/\mu$ and $a_3 = m_1 m_2$. Then

(i) The following system has integer coefficients and a unique solution $x \pmod{a_3}$

$$m_1 x \equiv b_2 m_1 \pmod{a_3} \quad (A)$$

$$m_2 x \equiv b_1 m_2 \pmod{a_3} \quad (B) \quad (3.2)$$

$$m_3 x \equiv (b_1 b_2 + D)/\mu \pmod{a_3} \quad (C)$$

(ii) Suppose b_3 is the solution of (3.2). Then $Q_3 = (a_3, b_3, *)$ is a form with determinant D and there exists a bilinear matrix B such that $Q_1 \circ Q_2 = Q_3$ via B .

Proof

(i) The system (3.2) has integer coefficients, since

$$b_1 b_2 + D = b_1 b_2 + b_2^2 - a_2 c_2 = b_2 (b_1 + b_2) - a_2 c_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{\mu}$$

Since $\gcd(m_1, m_2, m_3) = 1$ and a_3 divides $m_1 m_2, b_2 - m_2 m_1 b_1,$
 $m_2(b_1 b_2 + D)/\mu - m_3 b_1 m_2 = -m_1 m_2 c_1, m_1(b_1 b_2 + D)\mu - m_3 m_1 b_2 =$
 $-m_1 m_2 c_2,$ lemma 3.1 applies, and one can find the unique solution
 $x \pmod{a_3}$ of the system (3.2) by choosing integers s_1, s_2, s_3

$$\text{such that } s_1 m_1 + s_2 m_2 + s_3 m_3 = 1$$

and defining

$$x = s_1 b_2 m_1 + s_2 b_1 m_3 + s_3 (b_1 b_2 + D)/\mu$$

(ii) Let $Q_3 = (a_3, b_3, c_3)$. It will be shown that $c_3 = (b_3^2 - D)/a_3$
is integer. Using (C) of (3.2)

$$b_3^2 - D \equiv b_3^2 - (b_1 + b_2)b_3 + b_1 b_2 = (b_3 - b_1)(b_3 - b_2) \pmod{\mu a_3}$$

From (A), (B) follows

$$b_3 - b_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{m_1} \text{ and } b_3 - b_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{m_2}$$

Hence $b_3^2 - D \equiv 0 \pmod{a_3}$ and c_3 is an integer.

It is not difficult to show that $Q_1 \circ Q_2 = Q_3$ via B, with

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \mu & (b_2 - b_3)/\mu & (b_1 - b_3)/m_1 & (b_1 b_2 + D - b_3 m_3 \mu)/\mu m_1 m_2 \\ 0 & m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad \square$$

ALGORITHM 3.4

INPUT : Two properly primitive forms $Q_1 = (a_1, b_1, c_1)$ and

$Q_2 = (a_2, b_2, c_2)$ of the same determinant D

OUTPUT : A properly primitive form $Q_3 = (a_3, b_3, c_3)$ and a

bilinear matrix B, which satisfies $Q_1 \circ Q_2 = Q_3$ via B

Begin

1. $\mu \leftarrow \gcd(a_1, a_2, b_1 + b_2)$;
2. $m_1 \leftarrow a_1/\mu$; $m_2 \leftarrow a_2/\mu$; $m_3 \leftarrow (b_1 + b_2)/\mu$;
3. Find s_1, s_2, s_3 such that : $s_1 m_1 + s_2 m_2 + s_3 m_3 = 1$

Comment This can be done with two applications of the
Extended Euclidean algorithm (see Knuth [5])

4. $a_3 \leftarrow m_1 m_2$;
5. $b_3 \leftarrow s_1 b_2 m_1 + s_2 b_1 m_2 + s_3(b_1 b_2 + D)/\mu$;
6. $c_3 \leftarrow b_3^2 - D/a_3$;

$$7. \quad B \leftarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mu & (b_2 - b_3)/m_2 & (b_1 - b_3)/m_1 & (b_1 b_2 + D - b_3 m_3 \mu) / \mu m_1 m_2 \\ 0 & m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Return $Q_3 = (a_3, b_3, c_3), B$;

end. \square

THEOREM 3.5

Algorithm 3.4 correctly computes a properly primitive form Q_3 and a bilinear matrix B such that $Q_1 \circ Q_2 = Q_3$ via B in $O(M(\log\|Q\|)\log\log\|Q\|)$ elementary operations, where $\|Q\| = \max\{\|Q_1\|, \|Q_2\|\}$. Moreover $\log\|B\| = O(\log\|Q\|)$.

Proof

The correctness follows from Theorem 3.3.

Step 1 requires $O(M(\log\|Q\|)\log\log\|Q\|)$ elementary operations for an application of the Euclidean algorithm (see [5]). Step 2 requires only $O(M(\log\|Q\|))$ elementary operations for divisions. Step 3 requires $O(M(\log\|Q\|)\log\log\|Q\|)$ elementary operations for two applications of the Extended Euclidean Algorithm. Steps 4-7 require only $O(M(\log\|Q\|))$ elementary operations for multiplications and divisions. Hence the algorithm terminates in $O(M(\log\|Q\|)\log\log\|Q\|)$ elementary operations in worst-case. It follows directly that $\log\|B\| = O(\log\|Q\|)$. \square

COROLLARY 3.6

If the forms Q_1, Q_2 of the input of algorithm 3.4 are reduced, then algorithm 3.4 requires $O(M(\log|D|)\log\log|D|)$ elementary operations to compute a properly primitive form Q_3 (not necessarily reduced) and a bilinear matrix B such that $Q_1 \circ Q_2 = Q_3$ via B . Moreover $\log\|B\| = O(\log|D|)$.

Proof

The corollary follows from (2.1) and from Theorem 3.5. \square

Lagarias [6] gave an $O(M(\log\|Q\|)\log\|Q\|)$ algorithm for composition of forms, which is based on Dirichlet's method (it makes use of "concordant" or "united" forms).

4. CHARACTERS

The equivalence classes of properly primitive forms with fixed determinant D under composition form an abelian group via $G\ell(D)$ (see [3], section 1.2). An algorithm for evaluation of the genus characters (the character of order 2) of $C\ell(D)$ is given below.

The definition of the genus characters and the algorithm for their evaluation depends on the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.1 (Mathews [7], p.132)

If Q is a properly primitive form, then there exists an integer N represented by Q with $\gcd(N, 2D) = 1$.

Proof

Suppose that $Q = (a, b, c)$. Then let

$$\gcd(a, c, 2D) = \prod_{\alpha} p_{\alpha}^{n_{\alpha}}$$

$$\gcd(a, 2d) = \prod_{\alpha} p_{\alpha}^{m_{\alpha}} \prod_{\beta} q_{\beta}^{v_{\beta}} \tag{4.1}$$

$$\gcd(c, 2D) = \prod_{\alpha} p_{\alpha}^{k_{\alpha}} \prod_{\gamma} \tau_{\gamma}^{t_{\gamma}} \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$2D = \prod_{\alpha} p_{\alpha}^{e_{\alpha}} \prod_{\beta} q_{\beta}^{u_{\beta}} \prod_{\gamma} \tau_{\gamma}^{z_{\gamma}} \prod_{i=1}^{\delta} s_i^{h_i} \tag{4.3}$$

where p_i, q_i, τ_i, s_i are distinct primes and $n_\alpha \leq m_\alpha \leq e_\alpha$,

$n_\alpha \leq k_\alpha \leq e_\alpha, v_\beta \leq u_\beta$ and $t_\gamma \leq z_\gamma$.

If $x = \prod_{\beta} q_{\beta} \prod_{i} s_i$ and $y = \prod_{\gamma} \tau_{\gamma}$, then let $N = Q(x,y)$. It is not difficult to show that $\gcd(N, 2D) = 1$. \square

Remark

If we partition the s_i 's into two disjoint sets, say $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}, \{s_{n+1}, \dots, s_\delta\}$, then $Q(x', y') = N'$ with

$$x' = \prod_{\beta} q_{\beta} s_1 \dots s_{\mu}, y' = \prod_{\gamma} \tau_{\gamma} s_{\mu+1} \dots s_{\delta} \text{ satisfies } \gcd(N', 2D) = 1. \square$$

Now for each prime division p_i of D the character χ_{p_i} is defined such that

$$\chi_{p_i} : \mathcal{Cl}(D) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\} \text{ via } \chi_{p_i}(Q) := \chi_{p_i}(N) := \left(\frac{N}{p_i}\right)$$

where Q is a form representing a class of $\mathcal{Cl}(D)$, N is an integer represented by Q with $\gcd(N, 2D) = 1$ and $\left(\frac{N}{p_i}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{-4}(Q) &:= \chi_{-4}(N) = (-1)^{(N-1)/2} \text{ when } D \equiv 0, 3, 4, 7 \pmod{8} \\ \chi_8(Q) &:= \chi_8(N) = (-1)^{(N^2-1)/8} \text{ when } D \equiv 2, 0 \pmod{8} \\ \chi_{-8}(Q) &:= \chi_{-4}(Q) \cdot \chi_8(Q) \text{ when } D \equiv 6 \pmod{8} \end{aligned}$$

where Q, N are as above.

TABLE I
Basis for Genus Characters

Determinant $D = df^2$	Field characters	Ring characters
$d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$	$f \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$	$\chi_{p_1}, \dots, \chi_{p_n}$
	$f \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	$\chi_{p_1}, \dots, \chi_{p_n}, \chi_{-4}$
	$f \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$	$\chi_{p_1}, \dots, \chi_{p_n}, \chi_{-4}, \chi_8$
$d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$	$f \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$	$\chi_{-4}, \chi_{p_1}, \dots, \chi_{p_n}$
	$f \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	$\chi_{-4}, \chi_{p_1}, \dots, \chi_{p_n}, \chi_8$
	$f \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$	$\chi_{-4}, \chi_{p_1}, \dots, \chi_{p_n}, \chi_8, \chi_{-8}$
$d \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$	$f \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$	$\chi_8, \chi_{p_1}, \dots, \chi_{p_n}$
	$f \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$	$\chi_8, \chi_{p_1}, \dots, \chi_{p_n}, \chi_{-4}$
$d \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$	$f \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$	$\chi_{-8}, \chi_{p_1}, \dots, \chi_{p_n}$
	$f \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$	$\chi_{-4}, \chi_8, \chi_{p_1}, \dots, \chi_{p_n}, \chi_{-8}, \chi_{-4}$

THEOREM 4.2

The characters χ_{p_i} , χ_{-4} , χ_8 , χ_{-8} are well defined. If the first character of Table I is deleted, then the remaining characters are a basis for the genus characters of $\mathcal{Cl}(D)$ for the appropriate type of D .

Proof

See [7] p.133 and [9], p.143-144. \square

ALGORITHM 4.3

INPUT : The set $P = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_\tau\}$ of all odd distinct prime divisors of D and a reduced form $Q = (a, b, c)$ with determinant D .

OUTPUT : $\chi_p(Q) \forall p \in P$ and $\chi_{-4}(Q)$, $\chi_8(Q)$, $\chi_{-8}(Q)$ when appropriate.

Begin

1. $m_1 \leftarrow \gcd(a, c, 2D)$;
2. $m_2 \leftarrow \gcd(a, 2D)$;
3. $m_3 \leftarrow \gcd(c, 2D)$;
4. \langle Compute $R = \{q_1, \dots, q_m\} \subseteq P$ as in (4.1) \rangle ;
5. \langle Compute $T = \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_e\} \subseteq P$ where τ_i as in (4.2) \rangle ;
6. \langle Compute $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\} \subseteq P$ where s_i as in (4.3) \rangle ;

Comment The computation of R , T , S is done in the following way :

for each $p \in P$ which does not divide m_1 , if $p|m_2$, then $p \in R$,
if $p|m_3$, then $p \in T$, else $p \in S$.

7. $x \leftarrow \langle$ the product of all primes in $R \cup S$ \rangle ;
8. $y \leftarrow \langle$ the product of all primes in T \rangle ;
9. $N \leftarrow ax^2 + 2bxy + cy^2$;

10. For $p = 1$ until k do

Begin

11. $N_1 \leftarrow N \pmod{p_i}$;

12. $X_{p_i}(Q) \leftarrow N_1^{(p_i-1)/2} \pmod{p_i}$;

Comment The symbol $\left(\frac{N}{p}\right)$ is computed using Euler's criterion

13. end

14. If $D \equiv 0, 3, 4, 7 \pmod{8}$ then $X_{-4} \leftarrow (-1)^{(N-1)/2}$;

15. If $D \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{8}$ then $X_8 \leftarrow (-1)^{(N^2-1)/8}$;

16. If $D \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$ then $X_8 \leftarrow (-1)^{(N-1)/2 + (N^2-1)/8}$;

end

THEOREM 4.4

Algorithm 4.3 correctly computes the characters χ_{p_i} for each p_i odd prime divisor of D and χ_{-4} , χ_8 , χ_{-8} when appropriate in $O(\log|D|M(\log|D|))$ elementary operations.

Proof

Lemma 4.1 shows that N has the required properties and Euler's criterion justifies the computation of the χ_{p_i} 's.

Since Q is reduced, steps 1-3 require $O(M(\log|D|)\log\log|D|)$ elementary operations. Steps 4-6 require at most $O(\tau)$, where τ is the number of distinct prime divisors of D . Since $\tau = O(\log|D|/\log\log|D|)$, steps 4-6 require $O(M(\log|D|))$ elementary operations.

Steps 7, 8 require τ multiplications at most and thus $O(M(\log|D|)\log|D|/\log\log|D|)$ elementary operations. Since Q is reduced, step 9 requires $O(M(\log|D|))$ elementary operations.

Step 11 requires $O(M(\log|D|))$ elementary operations and step 12 requires $O(\log p_i M(\log p_i))$ elementary operations. Hence loop 10-13 requires

$O(M(\log|D|)\log|D|/\log\log|D| + \sum_{P|D} \log p M(\log p)) = O(\log|D| M(\log|D|))$
 elementary operations.

Finally steps 14-15 require only $O(M(\log|D|))$ elementary operations and the theorem follows. \square

THEOREM 4.5

Suppose that χ is a genus character expressed in terms of the basis for genus characters specified in Theorem 4. For Q reduced form with determinant D , one can compute $\chi(Q)$ in $O(\log|D| M(\log|D|))$ elementary operations

Proof

Suppose that $\{\chi_i\}$ is the basis of genus characters and $\chi = \prod_i \chi_i^{\alpha_i}$ with $\alpha_i \in \{0, 1\}$. To compute $\chi(Q)$, compute $\chi_i(Q)$ for all the i 's using algorithm 4.3 in $O(M(\log|D|) \log|D|)$ elementary operations and afterwards compute the product of $\chi_i(Q)$'s in $O(\log|D|/\log\log|D|)$ elementary operations, since $\chi_i^{\alpha_i}(Q) = \pm 1$. \square

Lagarias in [6] gave an algorithm for evaluation of $\chi(Q)$, which computes a form $Q' = (A, B, C)$ equivalent to Q such that $\gcd(A, 2D) = 1$ and thus $\chi(Q) = \chi(Q') = \chi(Q'(1, 0)) = \chi(A)$ and then evaluates $\chi(A)$ as in algorithm 4.3. Algorithm 4.3 has the same asymptotic complexity as Lagarias' algorithm in [6], but inspection readily shows that it runs faster by a constant factor.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My best thanks to my supervisor, Dr. Meurig Beynon, for his continuous help. Also thanks to Mrs. Josie Lloyd for typing this paper.

REFERENCES

1. DICKSON, L.E., "Introduction to the theory of numbers", Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1929.
2. GAUSS, C.F., "Disquisitiones Arithmeticae", 1801; English trans., Yale Univ. Press, New Haven, Conn. 1966.
3. ILIOPOULOS, C.S., "Analysis of an algorithm for composition of binary quadratic forms", J. Algorithms 2, (1982).
4. ILIOPOULOS, C.S., "Algorithms in the theory of integral binary quadratic forms", M.Sc. Thesis, Univ. of Warwick, (1981).
5. KNUTH, D., "Seminumerical Algorithms", Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass. 1969.
6. LAGARIAS, J.C., "Worst-case Complexity bounds for Algorithms in the theory of quadratic forms", J. Algorithms 1, (1980).
7. MATHEWS, G.B., "Theory of Numbers", 2nd ed., Chelsea, New York, (1961), (reprinted).
8. PALL, G., "Some aspects of Gaussian composition", Acta Arithmetica, 24 (1973), pp. 401-409.
9. VENKOV, B.A., "Elementary number theory", English trans. (by Alderson, H.), Wolters-Noordhoff publishing groningen, The Netherlands (1970).