

Original citation:

Lei, Antonio, Loeffler, David and Zerbes, Sarah Livia. (2011) Coleman maps and the p-adic regulator. *Algebra & Number Theory*, 5 (8). pp. 1095-1131.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.2140/ant.2011.5.1095>

Permanent WRAP url:

<http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/48532>

Copyright and reuse:

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work of researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions. Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRAP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or, version of record, if you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the 'permanent WRAP url' above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: publications@warwick.ac.uk

warwick**publications**wrap

highlight your research

<http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/>

COLEMAN MAPS AND THE p -ADIC REGULATOR

ANTONIO LEI, DAVID LOEFFLER, AND SARAH LIVIA ZERBES

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the Coleman maps for a crystalline representation V with non-negative Hodge-Tate weights via Perrin-Riou’s p -adic “regulator” or “expanded logarithm” map \mathcal{L}_V . Denote by $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ the algebra of \mathbb{Q}_p -valued distributions on $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Our first result determines the $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ -elementary divisors of the quotient of $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) \otimes (\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0}$ by the $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ -submodule generated by $(\varphi^* \mathbb{N}(V))^{\psi=0}$, where $\mathbb{N}(V)$ is the Wach module of V . By comparing the determinant of this map with that of \mathcal{L}_V (which can be computed via Perrin-Riou’s explicit reciprocity law), we obtain a precise description of the images of the Coleman maps. In the case when V arises from a modular form, we get some stronger results about the integral Coleman maps, and we can remove many technical assumptions that were required in our previous work in order to reformulate Kato’s main conjecture in terms of cotorsion Selmer groups and bounded p -adic L -functions.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Background	2
1.2. Main results	3
1.3. Setup and notation	5
2. Refinements of crystalline representations and $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ -structure	11
2.1. Some properties of (φ, Γ) -modules over $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$	12
2.2. Quotients of (φ, Γ) -modules	13
2.3. Application to crystalline representations	15
3. The construction of Coleman maps	18
3.1. Coleman maps and the Perrin-Riou p -adic regulator	18
4. Images of the Coleman maps	19
4.1. Preliminary results on $\Lambda_E(\Gamma_1)$ -modules	20
4.2. On the image of the Perrin-Riou p -adic regulator	21
4.3. Images of the Coleman maps	24
5. The Coleman maps for modular forms	25
5.1. Some explicit linear relations	26
5.2. Integral structure of the images	28
5.3. Surjectivity via a change of basis	28
Acknowledgements	29
References	29

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 11R23 (primary); 11F80, 11S25 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. p -adic regulator, Wach module, Selmer groups of modular forms.

The authors’ research is supported by the following grants: ARC grant DP1092496 (Lei); EPSRC postdoctoral fellowship EP/F04304X/1 (Loeffler); EPSRC postdoctoral fellowship EP/F043007/1 (Zerbes).

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background. Let p be an odd prime, and write $\mathbb{Q}_\infty = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty})$. Define the Galois groups $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_\infty/\mathbb{Q})$ and $\Gamma_1 = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_\infty/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p))$. Note that $\Gamma \cong \Delta \times \Gamma_1$, where Δ is cyclic of order $p-1$ and $\Gamma_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$. For $H \in \{\Gamma, \Gamma_1\}$, denote by $\Lambda(H)$ the Iwasawa algebra of H , and $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(H) = \Lambda(H) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$.

Let V be a crystalline representation of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of dimension d with non-negative Hodge-Tate weights. (We adopt the convention that the cyclotomic character has Hodge-Tate weight 1, so this condition is equivalent to $\text{Fil}^1 \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) = 0$.) We define

$$H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V) := \mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \varprojlim_n H^1(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^n}), T),$$

where T is a $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -stable \mathbb{Z}_p -lattice in V . This is a $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Gamma)$ -module independent of the choice of T . In [LLZ10], we construct $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ -homomorphisms (called the Coleman maps)

$$\underline{\text{Col}}_i : H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V) \longrightarrow \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Gamma)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, d$, depending on a choice of basis of the Wach module $\mathbb{N}(V)$. In the case when $V = V_f(k-1)$, where $f = \sum a_n q^n$ is a modular eigenform of weight $k \geq 2$ and level coprime to p (we assume that $a_n \in \mathbb{Q}$ for the time being in order to simplify notation) and V_f is the 2-dimensional p -adic representation associated to f by Deligne, these maps have two important applications. Firstly, we can define two p -adic L -functions $L_{p,1}, L_{p,2} \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Gamma)$ on applying the Coleman maps to the localisation of the Kato zeta element as constructed in [Kat04]. In the supersingular case, i.e. when $p \mid a_p$, this enables us to obtain a decomposition of the p -adic L -functions defined in [AV75], which are not elements of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Gamma)$ but of the distribution algebra $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$. More precisely, we show that there exists a 2×2 matrix $\mathcal{M} \in M(2, \mathcal{H}(\Gamma_1))$ depending only on k and a_p such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} L_{p,\alpha} \\ L_{p,\beta} \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{M} \begin{pmatrix} L_{p,1} \\ L_{p,2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This generalises the results of Pollack [Pol03] (when $a_p = 0$) and Sprung [Spr09] (when f corresponds to an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} and $p = 3$). Secondly, by modifying the local conditions at p in the definition of the p -Selmer group using the kernels of the maps $\underline{\text{Col}}_i$, we define two new Selmer groups $\text{Sel}_p^i(f/\mathbb{Q}_\infty)$. These are both $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ -cotorsion, which is not true of the usual Selmer group in the supersingular case.

Fixing a character η of Δ and restricting to the η -isotypical component, we get maps

$$\underline{\text{Col}}_i^\eta : H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V)^\eta \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Gamma_1).$$

Via the Poitou-Tate exact sequence, we can reformulate Kato's main conjecture (after tensoring with \mathbb{Q}_p) as follows:

Conjecture 1.1. *For $i = 1, 2$, and each character η of Δ ,*

$$\text{Char}_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Gamma_1)} \left(\mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Sel}_p^i(f/\mathbb{Q}_\infty)^{\eta, \vee} \right) = \text{Char}_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Gamma_1)} \left(\text{Im}(\underline{\text{Col}}_i^\eta) / (L_{p,i}^\eta) \right)$$

where M^\vee denotes the Pontryagin dual of a $\Lambda(\Gamma_1)$ -module M and $\text{Char}_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Gamma_1)} M$ denotes the $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Gamma_1)$ -characteristic ideal of M .

When $v_p(a_p)$ is sufficiently large, we make use of the basis of $\mathbb{N}(V)$ constructed in [BLZ04] to show that the first Coleman map is surjective under some additional technical conditions. Therefore, we can rewrite Conjecture 1.1 as follows (see [LLZ10, Corollary 6.6]):

Theorem 1.2. *Under certain technical conditions, the case of $i = 1$ in Conjecture 1.1 is equivalent to the assertion that $\text{Char}_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Gamma_1)} \left(\mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Sel}_p^1(f/\mathbb{Q}_\infty)^{\eta, \vee} \right)$ is generated by $L_{p,1}^\eta$.*

(In fact we can show that this equivalence holds integrally, i.e. without tensoring with \mathbb{Q}_p .)

1.2. Main results. In this paper, we extend the above results in several ways. Let V be a crystalline representation of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of dimension d with non-negative Hodge-Tate weights. We make the following assumption:

Assumption 1.3. *The representation V admits at least one non-critical refinement, after a suitable extension of coefficients.*

See §1.3.5 below for the definition of a non-critical refinement. For now, let it suffice to say that this assumption holds for all 2-dimensional representations, and conjecturally for all representations “arising from geometry”.

We identify $\Lambda(\Gamma_1)$ with the power series ring $\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$, where $X = \gamma - 1$ for a topological generator γ of Γ_1 . Denote by $\chi : \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ the cyclotomic character.

Firstly, we study the structure of $\mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V) := \mathbb{N}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+} \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ as a Γ -module. If $\varphi^* \mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V)$ denotes the $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ -span of $\varphi(\mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V))$, then $(\varphi^* \mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V))^{\psi=0}$ is contained in $(\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$, and both are free $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ -modules of rank equal to $d = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V$. We determine the elementary divisors of the quotient of these modules:

Theorem A (Theorem 2.10). *The $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ -elementary divisors of the quotient*

$$\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) / (\varphi^* \mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V))^{\psi=0}$$

are $\mathfrak{n}_{r_1}, \dots, \mathfrak{n}_{r_d}$, where r_1, \dots, r_d are the Hodge-Tate weights of V and

$$\mathfrak{n}_k = \frac{\log(1+X)}{X} \dots \frac{\log(\chi(\gamma)^{1-k}(1+X))}{X - \chi(\gamma)^{k-1} + 1}.$$

This can be seen as a $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ -module analogue of [Ber04, Proposition III.2.1], which states that the $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ -elementary divisors of the quotient

$$(\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)) / \mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V)$$

are $(\frac{t}{\pi})^{r_1}, \dots, (\frac{t}{\pi})^{r_d}$. It is striking to note that for any $k \geq 0$, the Mellin transform of \mathfrak{n}_k agrees with $(1 + \pi)\varphi(\frac{t}{\pi})^k$ up to a unit in $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ (see Proposition 1.6).

The second aim of this paper is to use Theorem A to determine the image of the map

$$1 - \varphi : \mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V)^{\psi=1} \rightarrow (\varphi^* \mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V))^{\psi=0}.$$

To do this, we make use of the following commutative diagram of $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ -modules:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{N}(V)^{\psi=1} & \xrightarrow[\cong]{h_{\text{Iw},V}^1} & H_{\text{Iw}}^1(V) \\
\downarrow 1-\varphi & & \downarrow \mathcal{L}_V \\
(\varphi^* \mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V))^{\psi=0} & & \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \\
\downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} (\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig},\mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0} & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \mathfrak{M}^{-1}} & \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{H}(\Gamma)
\end{array}$$

Here the map \mathcal{L}_V is Perrin-Riou’s “regulator” or “expanded logarithm” map (see [PR95]), which is a dual version of the more familiar exponential maps $\Omega_{V,h}$ appearing in Perrin-Riou’s earlier work [PR94]; and $\mathfrak{M} : \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig},\mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0}$ denotes the Mellin transform. The commutativity of the diagram is a theorem of Berger [Ber03, Theorem II.13]. Colmez’s proof of the “ δ_V -conjecture” (see [Col98, Theorem IX.4.4]), which is part of Perrin-Riou’s explicit reciprocity law, gives a formula for the determinant of the matrix of \mathcal{L}_V (up to units). We can compare this with the determinant of the bottom left-hand map, which follows from Theorem A, to deduce that $1 - \varphi : \mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V)^{\psi=1} \rightarrow (\varphi^* \mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V))^{\psi=0}$ is surjective up to a small error term:

Theorem B (Corollary 4.13). *Suppose that no eigenvalue of φ on $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ lies in $p^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Then for each character η of Δ , there is a short exact sequence of $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma_1)$ -modules*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}(V)^{\psi=1,\eta} \xrightarrow{1-\varphi} (\varphi^* \mathbb{N}(V))^{\psi=0,\eta} \xrightarrow{A_\eta} \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r_d-1} (\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)/V_{i,\eta})(\chi^i \chi_0^{-i} \eta) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Here $V_{i,\eta}$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ of the same dimension as $\text{Fil}^{-i} \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$, and the map A_η is the composition of the inclusion of $(\varphi^* \mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V))^{\psi=0}$ in $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ with the map $\bigoplus_i (\text{id} \otimes A_{\eta,i})$, where $A_{\eta,i}$ is the natural reduction map $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p(\chi^i \chi_0^{-i} \eta)$ obtained by quotienting out by the ideal $(X + 1 - \chi(\gamma)^i) \cdot e_\eta$.

Using this we can describe the images of the Coleman maps (for any choice of basis of $\mathbb{N}(V)$):

Theorem C (Corollary 4.15). *Let η be any character of Δ . Then for all $1 \leq i \leq d$,*

$$\text{Im}(\text{Col}_i^\eta) = \prod_{j \in I_i^\eta} (X - \chi(\gamma)^j + 1) \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Gamma_1)$$

for some $I_i^\eta \subset \{0, \dots, r_d - 1\}$.

As a corollary of the proof, we also obtain a formula for the elementary divisors of the matrix of the map \mathcal{L}_V , which can be seen as a refinement of the statement of the $\delta(V)$ -conjecture. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $\ell_i = \frac{\log(1+X)}{\log_p(\chi(\gamma))} - i$.

Theorem D (Theorem 4.16). *The elementary divisors of the $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ -module quotient*

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)}{\mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Gamma)} \text{Im}(\mathcal{L}_V)}$$

are $[\lambda_{r_1}; \lambda_{r_2}; \dots; \lambda_{r_d}]$, where $\lambda_k = \ell_0 \ell_1 \dots \ell_{k-1}$.

Suppose now that $V = V_f(k-1)$, where $f = \sum a_n e^{2\pi i n z}$ is a modular form of weight $k \geq 2$ and level prime to p , and V_f is the 2-dimensional p -adic representation associated to f by Deligne. (Thus the Hodge-Tate weights of V_f are 0 and $1-k$, and those of V are 0 and $k-1$.) As we show in §1.3.5, Assumption 1.3 is automatically satisfied in this case, since V is 2-dimensional. In this case, we can refine the above results to study the integral structure of the Coleman maps. Let T_f be a $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -stable lattice in V_f , and let us assume that the $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ -basis of $\mathbb{N}(V_f)$ used to define the Coleman maps is in fact an $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ -basis of $\mathbb{N}(T_f)$.

Theorem E (Theorem 5.10). *For $i = 1, 2$ and for each character η of Δ , the image of $H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, T_f)^\eta$ under Col_i^η is a submodule of finite index of the module*

$$\left(\prod_{j \in I_i^\eta} (X - \chi(\gamma)^j + 1) \right) \Lambda(\Gamma_1)$$

for some subset $I_i^\eta \subset \{0, \dots, k-2\}$. Moreover, for each η the sets I_1^η and I_2^η are disjoint.

This theorem generalises [KP07, Proposition 1.2], which determines the images of $(\text{Col}_1^\Delta, \text{Col}_2^\Delta)$ for elliptic curves with $a_p = 0$. As a consequence of Theorem E, we can rewrite Conjecture 1.1 as below, without making any technical assumptions.

Theorem F. *For $i = 1, 2$, Conjecture 1.1 is equivalent to the assertion that for each η the characteristic ideal $\text{Char}_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Gamma_1)} \left(\mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Sel}_p^i(f/\mathbb{Q}_\infty)^{\eta, \vee} \right)$ is generated by $L_{p,i}^\eta / \prod_{j \in I_i^\eta} (X - \chi(\gamma)^j + 1)$ where I_i^η is as given by Theorem E.*

Finally, we explain in Section 5.3 how it is possible to choose a basis in such a way that $I_1^\eta = I_2^\eta = \emptyset$, i.e. the modules $\Lambda(\Gamma_1)/\text{Im}(\text{Col}_i^\eta)$ are pseudo-null for both $i = 1$ and 2 .

Remark 1.4. *The local results in this paper (Theorems A, B, C and D) hold with representations of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ replaced by representations of \mathcal{G}_F for an arbitrary finite unramified extension F/\mathbb{Q}_p , with essentially the same proofs. We have chosen to work over \mathbb{Q}_p for the sake of simplicity, since this is all that is needed for applications to modular forms.*

In a forthcoming paper of the second and third authors [LZ10], these methods are applied to the study of the ‘‘critical-slope’’ L -function attached to an ordinary modular form (corresponding to the non-unit Frobenius eigenvalue).

1.3. Setup and notation.

1.3.1. *Fontaine rings.* We review the definitions of the Fontaine rings we use in this paper. Details can be found in [Ber04] or [LLZ10].

Throughout this paper, p is an odd prime. If K is a number field or a local field of characteristic 0, then G_K denotes its absolute Galois group and \mathcal{O}_K the ring of integers of K . We write Γ for the Galois group $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q})$, which we identify with \mathbb{Z}_p^\times via the cyclotomic character χ . Then $\Gamma \cong \Delta \times \Gamma_1$, where Δ is of order $p-1$ and $\Gamma_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$. We fix a topological generator γ of Γ_1 .

We write $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ for the ring of power series $f(\pi) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[\pi]]$ such that $f(X)$ converges everywhere on the open unit p -adic disc. Equip $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ with actions of Γ and a Frobenius operator φ by $g \cdot \pi = (\pi + 1)^{\chi(g)} - 1$ and $\varphi(\pi) = (\pi + 1)^p - 1$. We can then define a left inverse ψ of φ satisfying

$$\varphi \circ \psi(f(\pi)) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\zeta^p=1} f(\zeta(1+\pi) - 1).$$

Inside $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$, we have subrings $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+ = \mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi]]$ and $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+ = \mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+$. Moreover, the actions of φ , ψ and Γ preserve these subrings. Finally, we write $t = \log(1+\pi) \in \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ and $q = \varphi(\pi)/\pi \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+$. A formal power series calculation shows that $g(t) = \chi(g)t$ for $g \in \Gamma$ and $\varphi(t) = pt$.

1.3.2. *Iwasawa algebras and power series.* Given a finite extension K of \mathbb{Q}_p , denote by $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\Gamma)$ (respectively $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\Gamma_1)$) the Iwasawa algebra $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_K$ (respectively $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma_1]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_K$) over \mathcal{O}_K . We further write $\Lambda_K(\Gamma) = \mathbb{Q} \otimes \Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\Gamma)$ and $\Lambda_K(\Gamma_1) = \mathbb{Q} \otimes \Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\Gamma_1)$. If M is a finitely generated torsion $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\Gamma_1)$ -module, we write $\text{Char}_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\Gamma_1)}(M)$ for its characteristic ideal.

Let $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ be the space of distributions on Γ (the continuous dual of the space of locally analytic functions on Γ), with the ring structure defined by convolution. We may identify this with the space of formal power series

$$\{f \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[\Delta]][[X]] : f \text{ converges everywhere on the open unit } p\text{-adic disc}\},$$

where X corresponds to $\gamma - 1$. We may identify $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Gamma)$ with the subring of $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ consisting of power series with bounded coefficients.

The action of Γ on $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ gives an isomorphism of $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ with $(\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0}$, the Mellin transform

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M} : \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) &\rightarrow (\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0} \\ f(\gamma - 1) &\mapsto f(\gamma - 1) \cdot (\pi + 1). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\Gamma)$ corresponds to $(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0}$ under \mathfrak{M} . Similarly, we define $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma_1)$ as the subring of $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ defined by power series over \mathbb{Q}_p , rather than $\mathbb{Q}_p[[\Delta]]$. Then, $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma_1)$ corresponds to $(1+\pi)\varphi(\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+)$ under \mathfrak{M} , and $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\Gamma_1)$ to $(1+\pi)\varphi(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+)$. (See [PR01, B.2.8] for more details.)

If d is an integer and S is a $\Lambda_K(\Gamma_1)$ -submodule of $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{H}(\Gamma_1)^{\oplus d}$ which is free of rank d , we write $\det(S)$ for the determinant of any basis of S , which is well-defined up to multiplication by a unit of $\Lambda_K(\Gamma_1)$. If F is a homomorphism of $\Lambda_K(\Gamma_1)$ -modules whose image is a free rank d $\Lambda_K(\Gamma_1)$ -submodule of $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{H}(\Gamma_1)^{\oplus d}$, we write $\det(F)$ for $\det(\text{Im}(F))$.

For an integer i , define

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \ell_i &= \frac{\log(1+X)}{\log_p(\chi(\gamma))} - i \\ \delta_i &= \frac{\ell_i}{X+1-\chi(\gamma)^i} \end{aligned} \right\} \in \mathcal{H}(\Gamma_1).$$

Note that ℓ_i is independent of the choice of generator γ (hence the choice of normalising factor), but δ_i is not.

Remark 1.5. *Note that for any positive integer k , we have*

$$\mathbf{n}_k = a_k \delta_{k-1} \dots \delta_0,$$

where $a_k = \log(\chi(\gamma))^k \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ is nonzero.

The following result slightly refines [Ber03, Lemma II.2].

Proposition 1.6. *For any $k \geq 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M}(\ell_{k-1} \dots \ell_0 \mathcal{H}(\Gamma)) &= (t^k \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0} \\ \mathfrak{M}(\delta_{k-1} \dots \delta_0 \mathcal{H}(\Gamma)) &= \left(\left(\frac{t}{\varphi(\pi)} \right)^k \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+ \right)^{\psi=0}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. One checks easily that ℓ_i acts on $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ as the differential operator $(1 + \pi)t \frac{d}{d\pi} - i$ and hence

$$\ell_j(t^j f) = t^{j+1} (1 + \pi) \frac{df}{d\pi}.$$

Since $(1 + \pi) \frac{d}{d\pi}$ is an isomorphism on $(\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0}$ (it is the map on distributions dual to the map $f(x) \mapsto xf(x)$ on functions), it follows that each ℓ_j maps $(t^j \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0}$ bijectively onto $(t^{j+1} \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0}$.

To prove the corresponding statement for the δ_i , we note that $(\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+ / \varphi(\pi) \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}_p[\Delta]$ as a Γ -module. Since t is a uniformiser of the ideal $\varphi(\pi)$, we have $(\varphi(\pi)^j \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+ / \varphi(\pi)^{j+1} \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0} = (t^j \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+ / t^j \varphi(\pi) \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0} \cong \mathbb{Q}_p[\Delta](j)$ as a Γ -module. Hence its annihilator is $X + 1 - \chi(\gamma)^j$. These factors are mutually coprime and coprime to $\delta_0 \dots \delta_{k-1}$, and the product is $\ell_0 \dots \ell_{k-1}$, so the result follows. \square

1.3.3. Isotypical components. Let $\eta : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ be a character. We write $e_\eta = (p-1)^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \Delta} \eta^{-1}(\sigma) \sigma$. If M is a $\Lambda_E(\Gamma)$ -module, its η -isotypical component is given by $M^\eta = e_\eta M$. When $\eta = 1$, we write M^Δ in place of M^η .

We identify $\Lambda_E(\Gamma_1)$ with the power series in $X = \gamma - 1$ with bounded coefficients in E . Given

$$F = \sum_{\sigma \in \Delta, n \geq 0} a_{\sigma, n} \sigma (\gamma - 1)^n \in \Lambda(\Gamma),$$

we write $F^\eta = e_\eta F$ for its image in $\Lambda_E(\Gamma)^\eta$. In particular,

$$F^\eta = e_\eta \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \Delta} a_{\sigma, n} \eta(\sigma) \right) (\gamma - 1)^n \in e_\eta \Lambda_E(\Gamma_1).$$

Therefore, we can identify F^η with a power series in $X = \gamma - 1$. Under this identification, the value $F^\eta|_{X=\chi(\gamma)^{j-1}}$ is given by $\chi^j \chi_0^{-j} \eta(F)$ where $\chi_0 = \chi|_\Delta$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

1.3.4. Crystalline representations. Let E and F be finite extensions of \mathbb{Q}_p . Let V be a crystalline E -linear representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. We denote the Dieudonné module of V by $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$. If $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\text{Fil}^j \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ denotes the j th step in the de Rham filtration of $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$. We say V is *positive* if $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) = \text{Fil}^0 \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ (following the standard, but unfortunate, convention that positive representations are precisely those with non-positive Hodge-Tate weights).

The (φ, Γ) -module of V is denoted by $\mathbb{D}(V)$. As shown by Fontaine (unpublished – for a reference see [CC99, Section II]), we have a canonical isomorphism of $\Lambda_E(\Gamma)$ -modules

$$h_{\text{Iw}, V}^1 : \mathbb{D}(V)^{\psi=1} \rightarrow H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V).$$

We write $\exp_{F, V} : F \otimes \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) \rightarrow H^1(F, V)$ for Bloch-Kato's exponential over F .

For an integer j , $V(j)$ denotes the j th Tate twist of V , i.e. $V(j) = V \otimes Ee_j$ where $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ acts on e_j via χ^j . We have

$$\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V(j)) = t^{-j} \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) \otimes e_j.$$

For any $v \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$, $v_j = t^{-j} v \otimes e_j$ denotes its image in $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V(j))$.

If $h \geq 1$ is an integer such that $\text{Fil}^{-h} \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) = \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$, we write $\Omega_{V, h}$ for the Perrin-Riou exponential as defined in [PR94].

Let T be an \mathcal{O}_E -lattice in V which is stable under $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. We denote the Wach module of V (respectively T) by $\mathbb{N}(V)$ (respectively $\mathbb{N}(T)$), a free module of rank d over $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ (respectively $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+$). Recall that Γ acts on both of these objects, and there is a map $\varphi : \mathbb{N}(T)[\pi^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}(T)[\varphi(\pi)^{-1}]$, preserving $\mathbb{N}(T)$ if T is positive (and similarly for V).

For any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ we can identify $\mathbb{N}(T(j))$ with $\pi^{-j} \mathbb{N}(T) \otimes e_j$, where e_j is as above. Given an R -module M with an action of φ and a submodule N , $\varphi^* N$ denotes the R -submodule of M generated by $\varphi(N)$, e.g. $\varphi^* \mathbb{N}(T)$ denotes the $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ -submodule of $\mathbb{N}(T)[\pi^{-1}]$ generated by $\varphi(\mathbb{N}(T))$. Finally, we write $\mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V) = \mathbb{N}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+} \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$.

The following lemma is implicit in the calculations of [LLZ10, §3], but for the convenience of the reader we give a separate proof:

Lemma 1.7. *If the Hodge-Tate weights of V are ≥ 0 , then we have*

$$\mathbb{N}(T) \subseteq \varphi^* \mathbb{N}(T)$$

and similarly for $\mathbb{N}(V)$.

Proof. It suffices to prove the result for T . Suppose that the Hodge-Tate weights of V are in $[0, m]$. Then $\mathbb{N}(T) = \pi^{-m} \mathbb{N}(T(-m))$. Since $T(-m)$ is positive, φ preserves $\mathbb{N}(T(-m))$ and $\mathbb{N}(T(-m))/\varphi^* \mathbb{N}(T(-m))$ is killed by q^m [Ber04, proof of Theorem III.3.1]. Equivalently, we have

$$q^m \cdot \pi^m \mathbb{N}(T) \subseteq \varphi^*(\pi^m \mathbb{N}(T)) = \varphi(\pi)^m \varphi^* \mathbb{N}(T).$$

Since $q = \varphi(\pi)/\pi$, the result follows. \square

1.3.5. *Refinements of crystalline representations.* Let V be an E -linear crystalline representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of dimension d , and let $s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_d$ be the jumps in the filtration of $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$, so the Hodge-Tate weights are $-s_i$. If Y is an E -linear subspace of $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ of dimension $e \leq d$, we say Y is *in general position* (with respect to the Hodge filtration) if the intersections $\text{Fil}^j Y = Y \cap \text{Fil}^j \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ have the smallest possible dimension; that is,

$$\dim \text{Fil}^j Y = \begin{cases} \dim \text{Fil}^j \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) - d + e & \text{if } \dim \text{Fil}^j V \geq d - e \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This is equivalent to the requirement that the jumps of the filtration $\text{Fil}^j Y$ are s_1, \dots, s_e .

As in [BC09, §2.4.1], we define a *refinement* of V to be a family $\underline{Y} = (Y_i)_{i=1}^d$ of E -linear subspaces of $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ stable under φ , with $0 \subsetneq Y_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq Y_d = \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$, so $\dim_E Y_i = i$. It is clear that refinements exist if and only if the eigenvalues of φ on $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ lie in E .

We say that the refinement is *non-critical* if each of the subspaces Y_i is in general position, or equivalently if $Y_i \cap \text{Fil}^{s_i+1} \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) = 0$ for all i .

(If the Hodge-Tate weights of V are distinct, as is assumed in [BC09], then this is equivalent to the assertion that $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) = Y_i \oplus \text{Fil}^{s_i+1} \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ for each i , which is the definition given in Definition 2.4.5 of *op.cit.*)

Proposition 1.8. *If the eigenvalues of Frobenius on $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ lie in E , and either $d = 2$ or φ acts semisimply on $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$, then there exists a non-critical refinement of V .*

Proof. As noted in [BC09, Remark 2.4.6(iii)], the case where φ acts semisimply is obvious: any basis of eigenvectors of $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ defines $d!$ refinements, one for each ordering of the basis vectors, and it is easy to see that we can choose an ordering such that the resulting refinement is non-critical. Hence let us assume that V is 2-dimensional and φ acts non-semisimply on $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$. Thus $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ has a basis (e_1, e_2) such that $\varphi(e_1) = \alpha e_1$ and $\varphi(e_2) = e_1 + \alpha(e_2)$, for some $\alpha \in E^\times$. By twisting, we may assume that the jumps in the Hodge filtration are 0 and s with $s \geq 0$. Let N be the valuation of α ; the Newton and Hodge numbers of $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ are $t_H = s$ and $t_N = 2N$, so we have $s = 2N$ by weak admissibility.

The unique possible refinement is given by $Y_1 = Ee_1$, and this is non-critical unless $s > 0$ and $\text{Fil}^1 \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) = Y_1$. If this is the case, then the Newton and Hodge numbers of Y_1 are respectively $t_H(Y_1) = s$ and $t_N(Y_1) = N$, and since $s = 2N > N$ this contradicts the weak admissibility of $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$. \square

Remark 1.9.

- (1) *It is shown in [Mil94] that the Tate conjecture implies the semisimplicity of φ on the crystalline cohomology groups of any smooth projective variety over \mathbb{F}_p (or, more generally, on the crystalline realisation of any motive over \mathbb{F}_p); so the hypotheses of the proposition conjecturally hold for all crystalline representations “arising from geometry”.*
- (2) *For representations of dimension ≥ 3 with non-semisimple Frobenius there may be no non-critical refinements, as the following counterexample shows. Let $D = \mathbb{Q}_p^3$ with its standard basis e_1, e_2, e_3 , and let $\varphi : D \rightarrow D$ be given by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ has valuation 1. We define a filtration*

on D with jumps $\{0, 1, 2\}$ by $\text{Fil}^0(D) = D$, $\text{Fil}^1 D = \mathbb{Q}_p e_1 + \mathbb{Q}_p e_3$, $\text{Fil}^2 D = \mathbb{Q}_p e_3$, $\text{Fil}^3 D = 0$. Then the only φ -stable submodules are $Y_0 = 0$, $Y_1 = \mathbb{Q}_p e_1$, and $Y_2 = \mathbb{Q}_p e_1 + \mathbb{Q}_p e_2$ and $Y_3 = D$. The Hodge and Newton numbers are given by

i	$t_H(Y_i)$	$t_N(Y_i)$
1	1	1
2	1	2
3	3	3

so D is a weakly admissible filtered φ -module; and the unique refinement of D is $(Y_i)_{i=0,\dots,3}$, but Y_1 is not in general position.

1.3.6. *Modular forms.* Let $f(z) = \sum a_n e^{2\pi i n z}$ be a normalised new eigenform of weight $k \geq 2$, level N and nebentypus ε . Write $F_f = \mathbb{Q}(a_n : n \geq 1)$ for its coefficient field. Let $\bar{f}(z) = \sum \bar{a}_n e^{2\pi i n z}$ be the dual form to f , which also has coefficients in F_f . We assume that $p \nmid N$ and a_p is not a p -adic unit, so f is supersingular at p .

Remark 1.10. *We make this assumption in order to save ourselves from doing the same calculations twice in Section 5; they easily generalise to the ordinary case.*

We fix a prime of F_f above p . We denote the completion of F_f at this prime by E and fix a uniformiser ϖ_E . We write V_f for the 2-dimensional E -linear representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ associated to f from [Del69]. We fix an \mathcal{O}_E -lattice T_f stable under $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$, which determines a lattice $T_{\bar{f}}$ of $V_{\bar{f}}$. When restricted to $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, V_f is crystalline and its de Rham filtration is given by

$$\dim_E \text{Fil}^i \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V_f) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } i \leq 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq k-1 \\ 0 & \text{if } i \geq k \end{cases}$$

The action of φ on $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V_f)$ satisfies $\varphi^2 - a_p \varphi + \varepsilon(p)p^{k-1} = 0$. Let us choose a “good basis” ν_1, ν_2 of $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V_f)$ as in [LLZ10, §3.3]; that is, ν_1 spans $\text{Fil}^1 \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V_f)$ and $\nu_2 = p^{1-k} \varphi(\nu_1)$. We also choose a basis $\bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2$ of $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V_{\bar{f}})$ in the same way. The isomorphism $V_{\bar{f}} = V_f^*(1-k)$ gives a pairing $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V_f) \times \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V_{\bar{f}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(E(1-k)) = E \cdot t^{k-1} e_{1-k} \cong E$. As noted in [LLZ10, §3.4], we have $[\nu_1, \bar{\nu}_1] = [\nu_2, \bar{\nu}_2] = 0$ and $[\nu_2, \bar{\nu}_1] = -[\nu_1, \bar{\nu}_2]$, and (by scaling) we may assume without loss of generality that $[\nu_1, \bar{\nu}_2] = 1$.

Unless otherwise stated, we always assume that the eigenvalues of φ on $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V_f)$ are not integral powers of p and the nebentypus of f is trivial. Our assumption on the eigenvalues of φ allows us to define the Perrin-Riou pairing

$$\mathcal{L}_i = \mathcal{L}_{1, (1+\pi) \otimes \nu_{i,1}} : H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_{\bar{f}}(k-1)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$$

for $i = 1, 2$ where we have identified $V_f(1)^*(1)$ with $V_{\bar{f}}(k-1)$ (see [Lei09, Section 3.2] or [LLZ10, Section 3.3] for details).

1.3.7. *Adequate rings and elementary divisors.* Let R be a commutative integral domain with identity, such that the following conditions hold:

- All finitely generated ideals in R are principal (i.e., R is a Bézout domain).
- R is *adequate*, i.e. for any $a, b \in R$ with $a \neq 0$, we may write $a = a_1 a_2$, where $(a_1, b) = (1)$ and $(d, b) \neq (1)$ for every non-unit divisor d of a_2 .

Then R is an elementary divisor ring. That is, let $M \subseteq N$ be finitely generated R -modules such that $N \cong R^d$. Then there exists a R -basis n_1, \dots, n_d of N and $r_1, \dots, r_d \in R$ (unique up to units of R) such that $r_1 \mid \dots \mid r_d$ and $r_1 n_1, \dots, r_d n_d$, where e is the largest integer such that $r_e \neq 0$, form a R -basis of M . In particular, we have $\det(M) = r_1 \dots r_d$. In this case, we write $[N : M] = [N : M]_R = [r_1; \dots; r_d]$. When $d = 1$, we simply write $[N : M] = r_1$.

If Q is an arbitrary finitely presented R -module, then we may write Q as a quotient N/M where N is a free module of finite rank and M is a finitely-generated submodule of N , so the elementary divisors $[N : M]_R$ are defined. It is easy to check that these are independent of the choice of presentation of Q , and we define these to be the elementary divisors of Q .

As explained in [Ber02, §4.2], $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ is an adequate Bézout domain and hence an elementary divisor ring. The same is true of $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ for any finite extension E of \mathbb{Q}_p , and of $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma_1)$ (which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ as an abstract ring).

We will need the following lemma; see [Lan02, Lemma III.7.6].

Lemma 1.11. *Let R be an adequate Bézout domain, M a finitely-presented R -module, and N a submodule of M . Suppose that there is some $a \in R$ such that $N \cong R/a$ and $aM = 0$. Then $M \cong N \oplus M/N$.*

Proof. Let q_1, \dots, q_r be a set of generators for M/N , with annihilators a_i , giving an isomorphism $M/N \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r R/a_i$. Since $aM = 0$, each a_i divides a . Let p_i be an arbitrary lift of q_i ; then $a_i p_i \in N$, so $a_i p_i = b_i p_0$ where p_0 is a generator of N and $b_i \in R/aR$. Since $aM = 0$, we have $0 = (a/a_i) a_i p_i = (a/a_i) b_i p_0$.

Then we must have $(a/a_i) b_i \in aR$, so $ab_i \in aa_i R$. Since R is an integral domain, we must have $a_i \mid b_i$, and we may write $b_i = a_i c_i$. Thus $p'_i = p_i - c_i p_0$ is a lift of p_i such that $a_i p'_i = a_i p_i - a_i c_i p_0 = a_i p_i - b_i p_0 = 0$. It follows that the subgroup generated by the p'_i maps bijectively to M/N , giving the required splitting. \square

A straightforward induction gives the following generalisation:

Corollary 1.12. *If M is an R -module with a filtration by submodules $0 = M_0 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq M_d = M$, and there are elements $a_1, \dots, a_d \in R$ such that for each $i = 1, \dots, d$ we have $M_i/M_{i-1} \cong R/a_i$ and $a_i M \subseteq M_{i-1}$, then $M \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^d R/a_i$.*

The ring $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ is not a domain; but it is equal to the direct sum of its subrings $e_\eta \mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$, where e_η is the idempotent in $\mathbb{Q}_p[\Delta]$ corresponding to the character $\eta : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ as above. Each of these subrings is isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma_1)$, and hence admits a theory of elementary divisors. If M is a submodule of $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)^{\oplus d}$, we define the i th elementary divisor of M to be $\sum_\eta e_\eta a_i^\eta$, where a_i^η is the i th elementary divisor of the submodule $M^\eta = e_\eta M \subseteq e_\eta \mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ considered as a $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma_1)$ -module. In practice we shall only apply this in situations where M has the form $\mathbb{Q}_p[\Gamma] \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} M'$ for an $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma_1)$ -module M' , in which case the isotypical components M^η all have the same elementary divisors.

2. REFINEMENTS OF CRYSTALLINE REPRESENTATIONS AND $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ -STRUCTURE

In this section, we will prove Theorem A. We will do this by working with a certain filtration of the module $\mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V)$, which is a (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$; the steps in this filtration are (φ, Γ) -modules over $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$, but they are not necessarily

of the form $\mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(W)$ for any representation W , so we begin by systematically developing a theory of such modules. Our approach is very much influenced by the description of the theory of (φ, Γ) -modules over the Robba ring $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^\dagger$ given in [BC09, §2.2].

2.1. Some properties of (φ, Γ) -modules over $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$. We define a (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ to be a free $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ -module \mathcal{N} of finite rank, endowed with semilinear commuting actions of φ and Γ , such that the quotient $\mathcal{N}/\varphi^*(\mathcal{N})$ is annihilated by some power of q (where $q = \varphi(\pi)/\pi$ as above). We define

$$\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{N}^\Gamma.$$

We equip $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{N})$ with the filtration defined by

$$\text{Fil}^i \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{N}) = \{v \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{N}) : \varphi(v) \in q^i \mathcal{N}\}.$$

Let $K_n = \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n})$ and $K_\infty = \bigcup_n K_n$. We define

$$\mathbb{D}_{\text{dR}}^{(n)}(\mathcal{N}) = \left(K_\infty \otimes_{K_n} K_n[[t]] \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+} \mathcal{N} \right)^\Gamma,$$

where the tensor product is via the embedding $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+ \hookrightarrow K_n[[t]]$ arising from the fact that

$$K_n \cong \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+ / \varphi^{n-1}(q)$$

and t is a uniformiser of the prime ideal $\varphi^{n-1}(q)$. We endow $K_n[[t]]$ with the obvious semilinear action of Γ , for which this homomorphism is Γ -equivariant, and the t -adic filtration. Then $\mathbb{D}_{\text{dR}}^{(n)}(\mathcal{N})$ is a filtered \mathbb{Q}_p -vector space, of dimension $\leq d$ where d is the $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ -rank of \mathcal{N} (since $K_\infty((t))^\Gamma = \mathbb{Q}_p$ [BC09, §2.2.7]); the operator φ gives an isomorphism of filtered \mathbb{Q}_p -vector spaces $\mathbb{D}_{\text{dR}}^{(n)}(\mathcal{N}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{D}_{\text{dR}}^{(n+1)}(\mathcal{N})$ for each n , and an embedding of filtered \mathbb{Q}_p -vector spaces $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{N}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{D}_{\text{dR}}^{(1)}(\mathcal{N})$.

We shall say that \mathcal{N} is *crystalline* if $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{N}) = d$, and *de Rham* if $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\text{dR}}^{(n)}(\mathcal{N}) = d$ (for some, and hence all, $n \geq 1$). If \mathcal{N} is de Rham, we define the *Hodge-Tate weights* of \mathcal{N} to be the integers r such that $\text{Fil}^{-r} \mathbb{D}_{\text{dR}}^{(n)}(\mathcal{N}) \neq \text{Fil}^{1-r} \mathbb{D}_{\text{dR}}^{(n)}(\mathcal{N})$ (with multiplicities given by the size of the jump in dimension). Note that these are necessarily ≤ 0 , which is unfortunate but necessary for compatibility with the usual definition in the case of Galois representations.

Finally, we define $\mathbb{D}_{\text{Sen}}^{(n)}(\mathcal{N}) = K_\infty \otimes_{K_n} \mathcal{N} / \varphi^{n-1}(q)\mathcal{N}$. This is a K_∞ -vector space of dimension d , with a semilinear action of Γ . As above, the φ operator gives isomorphisms $\mathbb{D}_{\text{Sen}}^{(n)}(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{\text{Sen}}^{(n+1)}(\mathcal{N})$, of K_∞ -vector spaces with semilinear Γ -action. (So both $\mathbb{D}_{\text{Sen}}(\mathcal{N})$ and $\mathbb{D}_{\text{dR}}(\mathcal{N})$ are independent of n as abstract objects; we retain the n in the notation when we are interested in the relation between these spaces and the original module \mathcal{N} .)

Proposition 2.1. *Let $j \geq 0$, and suppose \mathcal{N} is de Rham. Then there is an isomorphism of \mathbb{Q}_p -vector spaces*

$$\text{Fil}^j \mathbb{D}_{\text{dR}}(\mathcal{N}) / \text{Fil}^{j+1} \mathbb{D}_{\text{dR}}(\mathcal{N}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{D}_{\text{Sen}}(\mathcal{N})^{\Gamma = \chi^{-j}}.$$

Proof. Let us fix an $n \geq 1$ and let θ be the reduction map $K_n[[t]] \rightarrow K_n$. Then θ induces a map

$$\mathbb{D}_{\text{dR}}^{(n)}(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{\text{Sen}}^{(n)}(\mathcal{N})^\Gamma$$

whose kernel is $\text{Fil}^1 \mathbb{D}_{\text{dR}}(\mathcal{N})$ and whose image is a \mathbb{Q}_p -linear subspace $S_0 \subseteq \mathbb{D}_{\text{Sen}}(\mathcal{N})^\Gamma$. Similarly, we find that $\theta \circ t^{-j}$ gives an injection $\text{Fil}^j \mathbb{D}_{\text{dR}}(\mathcal{N}) / \text{Fil}^{j+1} \mathbb{D}_{\text{dR}}(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{\text{Sen}}(\mathcal{N})^{\Gamma=\chi^{-j}}$, whose image is a \mathbb{Q}_p -linear subspace S_j .

Since $\bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} S_j$ has dimension d , it suffices to show that $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{D}_{\text{Sen}}(\mathcal{N})^{\Gamma=\chi^{-j}} \leq d$. This follows from the fact that it is a subspace of $(K_\infty((t)) \otimes_{K_\infty} \mathbb{D}_{\text{Sen}}(\mathcal{N}))^\Gamma$, and (as remarked above) $K_\infty((t))$ is a field, with $K_\infty((t))^\Gamma = \mathbb{Q}_p$. \square

Corollary 2.2. *If \mathcal{N} is crystalline, then the map*

$$\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{N}^\Gamma \xrightarrow{\varphi^n} (\mathcal{N}/\varphi^{n-1}(q)^r \mathcal{N})^\Gamma$$

is surjective for all $r \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$, with kernel $\text{Fil}^r \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{N})$.

Proof. Let us define $\mathcal{N}^{(n)} = K_\infty \otimes_{K_n} K_n[[t]] \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+} \mathcal{N}$, so $(\mathcal{N}^{(n)})^\Gamma = \mathbb{D}_{\text{dR}}(\mathcal{N})$. By hypothesis the map $\varphi^n : \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{\text{dR}}^{(n)}(\mathcal{N})$ is an isomorphism of filtered vector spaces, and the filtration on $\mathbb{D}_{\text{dR}}(\mathcal{N})$ is defined by the t -adic filtration of $\mathcal{N}^{(n)}$, so it suffices to show that reduction modulo t^r gives a surjection

$$(\mathcal{N}^{(n)})^\Gamma \rightarrow (\mathcal{N}^{(n)}/t^r \mathcal{N}^{(n)})^\Gamma.$$

We show that for each j , the map $(t^j \mathcal{N}^{(n)})^\Gamma \rightarrow (t^j \mathcal{N}^{(n)}/t^{j+1} \mathcal{N}^{(n)})^\Gamma$ is surjective. Multiplication by t^{-j} gives an isomorphism $(t^j \mathcal{N}^{(n)}/t^{j+1} \mathcal{N}^{(n)})^\Gamma \rightarrow (\mathcal{N}^{(n)}/t \mathcal{N}^{(n)})^{\Gamma=\chi^{-j}}$; but $\mathcal{N}^{(n)}/t \mathcal{N}^{(n)} = \mathbb{D}_{\text{Sen}}^{(n)}(\mathcal{N})$, and by the preceding proposition we know that $\theta \circ t^{-j}$ gives an isomorphism from $\text{Fil}^j \mathbb{D}_{\text{dR}}(\mathcal{N}) / \text{Fil}^{j+1} \mathbb{D}_{\text{dR}}(\mathcal{N})$ to $\mathbb{D}_{\text{Sen}}^{(n)}(\mathcal{N})^{\Gamma=\chi^{-j}}$. So the map $(\mathcal{N}^{(n)})^\Gamma \rightarrow (\mathcal{N}^{(n)}/t^r \mathcal{N}^{(n)})^\Gamma$ is a morphism of filtered vector spaces for which the associated map of graded modules is surjective. Since the domain and codomain are finite-dimensional and their filtrations are separated, the original map is itself surjective. \square

Let us write $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{N}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}$.

Proposition 2.3. *If \mathcal{N} is crystalline and Γ acts trivially on $\mathcal{N}/\pi \mathcal{N}$, then the elementary divisors of \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{M} are*

$$\left(\frac{t}{\pi}\right)^{s_1}, \dots, \left(\frac{t}{\pi}\right)^{s_d},$$

where $-s_1 \geq \dots \geq -s_d$ are the Hodge-Tate weights of \mathcal{N} .

Proof. This follows exactly as in [Ber04, Proposition III.2.1]. \square

2.2. Quotients of (φ, Γ) -modules. We now let \mathcal{N} be a (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$, as above. We assume that \mathcal{N} is crystalline and Γ acts trivially on $\mathcal{N}/\pi \mathcal{N}$, and investigate the properties of a certain class of (φ, Γ) -modules obtained as quotients of \mathcal{N} . We continue to write $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{N}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}$.

Let Y be a φ -stable E -linear subspace of $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{N})$. We set

$$\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Y \subseteq \mathcal{M}.$$

and

$$\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{Y} \left[\left(\frac{t}{\pi}\right)^{-1} \right] = \{x \in \mathcal{N} : \left(\frac{t}{\pi}\right)^m x \in \mathcal{Y} \text{ for some } m\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}.$$

Proposition 2.4. *The spaces $Y, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X}$ have the following properties:*

- (a) \mathcal{X} is a $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ -submodule of \mathcal{N} stable under φ and Γ ;
- (b) $\mathcal{X} = \{x \in \mathcal{N} : ax \in \mathcal{Y} \text{ for some nonzero } a \in \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+\}$ (the saturation of \mathcal{Y});

- (c) \mathcal{X} is free of rank $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Y$ as an $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ -module;
- (d) $Y = \mathcal{X} \cap \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{N})$ and $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{M}$;
- (e) \mathcal{X} and $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{X}$ are (φ, Γ) -modules over $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$.

Proof. Part (a) is immediate from the definition.

For (b), suppose $x \in \mathcal{N}$ and there is some nonzero $a \in \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ such that $ax \in \mathcal{Y}$. By Proposition 2.3, we can find m such that $(\frac{t}{\pi})^m x \in \mathcal{M}$, and $a(\frac{t}{\pi})^m x \in \mathcal{Y}$. Since \mathcal{Y} is clearly saturated in \mathcal{M} , we deduce that $(\frac{t}{\pi})^m x \in \mathcal{Y}$, and hence $x \in \mathcal{X}$ as required.

For part (c), we note that \mathcal{X} is a closed submodule of \mathcal{N} , since it is the intersection of the closed submodules $(\frac{t}{\pi})^{-N} \mathcal{Y}$ and \mathcal{N} of $(\frac{t}{\pi})^{-N} \mathcal{N}$, for any sufficiently large N . (It suffices to take N larger than s_d , where $-s_d$ is the lowest Hodge-Tate weight of \mathcal{N} .) Hence \mathcal{X} is also a free module, of finite rank. As $\mathcal{X} \left[\left(\frac{t}{\pi} \right)^{-1} \right]$ is clearly free of rank $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Y$ as a $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+ \left[\left(\frac{t}{\pi} \right)^{-1} \right]$ -module, the rank of \mathcal{X} over $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ must also be equal to $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Y$.

For part (d), it is clear that $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{M}$; and this inclusion is an equality, since \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{Y} is torsion-free and \mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y} is torsion. Since $\mathcal{Y} \cap \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{N}) = Y$, the statement follows.

For the final statement (e), since \mathcal{X} and $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{X}$ are both free $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ -modules with semilinear actions of φ and Γ , it suffices to check that $\mathcal{X}/\varphi^* \mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{W}/\varphi^* \mathcal{W}$ are annihilated by a power of q . Since \mathcal{X} is saturated in \mathcal{N} , and $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ is an elementary divisor ring, we can find a $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ -basis n_1, \dots, n_d of \mathcal{N} such that n_1, \dots, n_r is a basis of \mathcal{X} and the images of n_{r+1}, \dots, n_d are a basis of \mathcal{W} , where $r = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Y$.

Since \mathcal{X} is φ -stable, the matrix of φ in this basis is of the form $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}$. Hence we have $\det(\varphi^* \mathcal{N}) = \det(A) \det(C)$. As $\mathcal{N}/\varphi^* \mathcal{N}$ is annihilated by a power of q , $\det(\varphi^* \mathcal{N})$ is a power of q , and thus the same is true of $\det(A)$ and $\det(C)$. Since A and C are the matrices of φ on \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{W} in the bases described above, the modules $\mathcal{X}/\varphi^* \mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{W}/\varphi^* \mathcal{W}$ are also annihilated by a power of q , as required. \square

Let $W = \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{N})/Y$, and (as above) let $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{X}$. The natural map $W \hookrightarrow \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{W})$ is injective, by part (d) of the preceding proposition; hence it is also surjective, for reasons of dimension. Thus \mathcal{W} is a crystalline (φ, Γ) -module and $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{W}) = W$.

Proposition 2.5. *The quotient filtration $\text{Fil}^\bullet W$ induced on W by the filtration of $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{N})$ agrees with the filtration $\underline{\text{Fil}}$ given by*

$$\underline{\text{Fil}}^r W = \{w \in W : \varphi(w) \in q^r \mathcal{W}\}.$$

Proof. It is clear from the definition that $\text{Fil}^r W \subseteq \underline{\text{Fil}}^r W$.

Conversely, let $y \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{N})$ such that $[y] \in \underline{\text{Fil}}^r W$, so we can write $\varphi(y) = q^r y' + z$ for some $y' \in \mathcal{N}$ and $z \in \mathcal{X}$. Then

$$z \bmod q^r \mathcal{X} \in (\mathcal{X}/q^r \mathcal{X})^\Gamma.$$

Applying Corollary 2.2 to \mathcal{X} , we find that z is congruent modulo q^r to an element of $\mathcal{X}^\Gamma = Y$. \square

The final result we will need about these quotients is the following slightly fiddly lemma. Let us suppose that the jumps in the filtration of $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{N})$, with multiplicity, are $s_1 \leq s_2 \leq \dots \leq s_d$ (i.e. the Hodge-Tate weights of \mathcal{N} are $-s_i$). We say that the φ -stable subspace Y is *in general position* (with respect to the Hodge filtration of $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{N})$) if the jumps in the filtration $\text{Fil}^\bullet Y$ are s_1, \dots, s_j , where $j = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Y$.

Lemma 2.6. *If Y is in general position, then for any $m \geq s_d$, we have*

$$\left(\frac{t}{\pi}\right)^{m-s(j+1)} \mathcal{M} \subseteq \left(\frac{t}{\pi}\right)^m \mathcal{N} + \mathcal{Y}.$$

Proof. As remarked above, the quotient module $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{X}$ is a crystalline (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ of rank $d - j$, with Γ acting trivially modulo π . By proposition 2.5, the Hodge-Tate weights of \mathcal{W} are exactly $\{-s_{(j+1)}, \dots, -s_d\}$; hence its Γ -invariants lie in $\left(\frac{t}{\pi}\right)^{s(j+1)} \mathcal{W}$. This is equivalent to $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \left(\frac{t}{\pi}\right)^{s(j+1)} \mathcal{N} + \mathcal{X}$. Multiplying by $\left(\frac{t}{\pi}\right)^{m-s(j+1)}$, we see that

$$\left(\frac{t}{\pi}\right)^{m-s(j+1)} \mathcal{M} \subseteq \left(\frac{t}{\pi}\right)^m \mathcal{N} + \left(\frac{t}{\pi}\right)^{m-s(j+1)} \mathcal{X}.$$

Since both $\left(\frac{t}{\pi}\right)^{m-s(j+1)} \mathcal{M}$ and $\left(\frac{t}{\pi}\right)^m \mathcal{N}$ are manifestly contained in \mathcal{M} , we may replace the last term with its intersection with \mathcal{M} , which is clearly contained in $\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{Y}$. \square

2.3. Application to crystalline representations. Let V be a d -dimensional crystalline representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ with Hodge-Tate weights $\{-s_1, \dots, -s_d\}$, where $0 \leq s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_d$ (so V is positive in the sense of §1.3.4 above). As above, we define $\mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V) = \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+} \mathbb{N}(V)$, where $\mathbb{N}(V)$ is the Wach module of V as constructed in [Ber04]. Then $\mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V)$ is a crystalline (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ with Γ acting trivially modulo π , and $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ is isomorphic (as a filtered φ -module over \mathbb{Q}_p) to $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V))$ as defined in the previous section [Ber04, Theorems II.2.2 and III.4.4].

If V is in fact an E -linear representation, for E some finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , then $\mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V)$ is naturally an $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ -module, and $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ is a filtered E -vector space. If we choose an E -linear φ -stable subspace, then all of the above constructions commute with the additional E -linear structure.

We shall suppose that V admits a non-critical refinement, and fix a choice of such a refinement \underline{Y} . Applying the above theory to each of the subspaces Y_i , we obtain $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ -submodules $\mathcal{Y}_i = \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} Y_i \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{X}_i = \mathcal{Y}_i^{\text{sat}}$ of $\mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V)$.

Let us consider the representation $V(m)$, for some $m \geq s_d$. This has non-negative Hodge-Tate weights $\{m - s_i\}_{i=1, \dots, d}$. If e_m denotes a basis of $\mathbb{Q}_p(m)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V(m)) &= \{t^{-m} x \otimes e_m : x \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)\}, \\ \mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V(m)) &= \{\pi^{-m} y \otimes e_m : y \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We define $\mathcal{A}_i = \{\pi^{-m} y \otimes e_m : y \in \mathcal{X}_i\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_i = \{t^{-m} x \otimes e_m : x \in \mathcal{Y}_i\}$.

Proposition 2.7. *For each $i = 1, \dots, d$,*

- (a) $\left(\frac{t}{\pi}\right)^{m-s_i} \mathcal{B}_i \supseteq \mathcal{A}_i \supseteq \left(\frac{t}{\pi}\right)^{m-s_1} \mathcal{B}_i$;
- (b) \mathcal{B}_i is the saturation of \mathcal{A}_i in $\mathcal{B}_d = \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+ \otimes \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V(m))$;
- (c) The inclusion $\mathcal{A}_d \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}_d$ identifies $\mathcal{A}_d/\mathcal{A}_{d-1}$ with a submodule of $\mathcal{B}_d/\mathcal{B}_{d-1}$ and the quotient is annihilated by $\left(\frac{t}{\pi}\right)^{m-s_i}$.

Proof. The chain of inclusions in (a) is equivalent to $(\frac{t}{\pi})^{s_1} \mathcal{X}_i \supseteq \mathcal{Y}_i \supseteq (\frac{t}{\pi})^{s_i} \mathcal{X}_i$, which follows from Proposition 2.3 since the Hodge-Tate weights of \mathcal{X}_i are $\{-s_1, \dots, -s_i\}$. Moreover, \mathcal{B}_i is manifestly saturated in \mathcal{B}_d (being the base extension of a subspace of $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V(m))$), and together with (a), this proves (b). For part (c), we note that $\mathcal{A}_d \cap \mathcal{B}_{i-1} = \mathcal{A}_{i-1}$, so the given map is well-defined and injective; to show that the annihilator is as claimed, we must check that

$$\left(\frac{t}{\pi}\right)^{m-s_i} \mathcal{B}_d \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{i-1} + \mathcal{A}_d,$$

which is equivalent to Lemma 2.6. \square

We now pass from the “additive” to the “multiplicative” situation. Let us define $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_i = \bigoplus_{s=1}^{p-1} (1 + \pi)^s \varphi(\mathcal{A}_i)$, and similarly for $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_i$. Note that these are Γ -stable, since Γ and φ commute. Moreover, the action of Γ on $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_d$ clearly extends to an action of the ring $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$, which is continuous with respect to the Fréchet topologies of $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_d$. As the submodules $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_i$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_i$ are all clearly closed and Γ -invariant, they also inherit a Fréchet topology and a continuous action of $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$.

Remark 2.8. *Note that we can define an operator $\psi : \mathcal{B}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_d$ which is φ^{-1} on $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ and is $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ -semilinear (for the usual definition of ψ acting on $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$). Then $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_i = (\varphi^* \mathcal{A}_i)^{\psi=0}$, where $\varphi^* \mathcal{A}_i$ is the $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ -submodule of \mathcal{B}_i generated by $\varphi(\mathcal{A}_i)$. Clearly we have $\varphi^*(\mathcal{B}_i) = \mathcal{B}_i$ for all i , and $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_i = (\varphi^* \mathcal{B}_i)^{\psi=0} = \mathcal{B}_i^{\psi=0}$.*

Lemma 2.9. *For each $i = 1, \dots, d$, these spaces have the following properties:*

- (a) $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_i \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_i$.
- (b) $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_d \cap \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_i = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_i$.
- (c) *The quotient $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_d / (\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i-1} + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_d)$ is annihilated by \mathfrak{n}_{m-s_i} .*
- (d) *The quotient $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_i / (\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i-1} + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_i)$ is cyclic as a $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ -module, generated by $(1 + \pi)\varphi(v_i)$, and its annihilator is \mathfrak{n}_{m-s_i} .*

Proof. Parts (a) and (b) are clear from the corresponding statements for the spaces \mathcal{A}_i and \mathcal{B}_i . For part (c), we note that $\mathcal{B}_d / \mathcal{B}_{i-1}$ is isomorphic as a (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ to the tensor product

$$\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} (Y_d / Y_{i-1})$$

with Γ acting trivially on the latter factor and the φ -action multiplied by p^{-m} . By Proposition 1.6, we have

$$\mathfrak{n}_k \cdot (\mathcal{B}_d / \mathcal{B}_{i-1})^{\psi=0} = \left(\left(\frac{t}{\varphi(\pi)} \right)^k \mathcal{B}_d / \mathcal{B}_{i-1} \right)^{\psi=0}.$$

Since $\mathcal{B}_d / (\mathcal{B}_{i-1} + \mathcal{A}_d)$ is annihilated by $(\frac{t}{\pi})^{m-s_i}$, we deduce that $\mathcal{B}_d / (\mathcal{B}_{i-1} + \varphi^* \mathcal{A}_d)$ is annihilated by $\left(\frac{t}{\varphi(\pi)}\right)^{m-s_i}$. Hence, by the displayed formula above, $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_d / (\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i-1} + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_d)$ is annihilated by the ideal \mathfrak{n}_{m-s_i} of $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma_1)$.

Similarly, $\mathcal{B}_i / (\mathcal{B}_{i-1} + \mathcal{A}_i)$ has the single elementary divisor $(\frac{t}{\pi})^{m-s_i}$ (by applying Proposition 2.3 to $\mathcal{X}_i / \mathcal{X}_{i-1}$, which is a (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ by Proposition 2.4(e)). Hence we deduce that \mathfrak{n}_{m-s_i} is the exact annihilator of the corresponding $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ -module $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_i / (\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i-1} + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_i)$. \square

We are now in a position to complete the proof of Theorem A.

Theorem 2.10 (Theorem A). *Let W be any E -linear crystalline representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ with non-negative Hodge-Tate weights $r_1 \leq \dots \leq r_d$. Suppose that there exists a finite extension F of E such that $V \otimes_E F$ admits a non-critical refinement. Then the $E \otimes \mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ -elementary divisors of the quotient*

$$(\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0} \otimes \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(W) / (\varphi^* \mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(W))^{\psi=0}$$

are $[\mathfrak{n}_{r_1}; \dots; \mathfrak{n}_{r_d}]$.

Proof. Let us choose an m such that $V = W(-m)$ is positive. Then the Hodge-Tate weights of V are $-s_1 \geq \dots \geq -s_d$, where $s_i = m - r_{d+1-i} \geq 0$. Suppose first that V admits a non-critical refinement. Choosing such a refinement, we may argue as above to deduce that the $E \otimes \mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ -module

$$M = (\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0} \otimes \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(W) / (\varphi^* \mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(W))^{\psi=0} = \mathcal{B}_d / \mathcal{A}_d$$

has a filtration by $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ -modules $M_i = \mathcal{B}_i / \mathcal{A}_i$ where M_i / M_{i-1} is cyclic with annihilator \mathfrak{n}_{m-s_i} , and \mathfrak{n}_{m-s_i} annihilates M / M_{i-1} . So for each character η of Δ , the module M^η is an $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma_1)$ -module of the type covered by Corollary 1.12. This gives the result in this special case.

If V only admits a non-critical refinement after extending scalars to an extension F/E , then we may consider the representation $V \otimes_E F$ and apply the above argument to this representation. It is clear that if M is any $E \otimes \mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ -module, then the elementary divisors of $F \otimes_E M$ as a $F \otimes \mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ -module are the base extensions of the elementary divisors of M ; by uniqueness, this gives the proposition. \square

We now briefly explain how $\varphi^* \mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V)$ is related to the Wach module $\mathbb{N}(V)$ considered in our earlier work. Note that $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ and $\varphi(\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+)$ are both Fréchet-Stein algebras in the sense of [ST03] (by Theorem 5.1 of *op.cit.*); hence any finite-rank free module over either one of these algebras has a canonical topology, and a submodule of such a module is finitely-generated if and only if it is closed in this topology (Corollary 3.4(ii) of *op.cit.*). Moreover, $(\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p-1} (1 + \pi)^i \varphi(\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+)$ is a free module over $\varphi(\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+)$ of rank $p-1$.

Proposition 2.11. *There is an isomorphism*

$$(\varphi^* \mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V))^{\psi=0} \cong \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Gamma)} (\varphi^* \mathbb{N}(V))^{\psi=0}.$$

Proof. We first note that $(\varphi^* \mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V))^{\psi=0} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p-1} (1 + \pi)^i \varphi(\mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V))$ is a finitely-generated $\varphi(\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+)$ -submodule of $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} (\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0}$. Hence it is closed in the canonical Fréchet topology of the latter space. It is also Γ -stable. Since the Mellin transform is a topological isomorphism between $(\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0}$ and $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$, we see that $(\varphi^* \mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V))^{\psi=0}$ is a closed Γ -stable subspace of a finite-rank free $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ -module; hence the action of Γ extends to a (continuous) action of $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$. So there is a natural embedding of $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Gamma)} (\varphi^* \mathbb{N}(V))^{\psi=0}$ into $(\varphi^* \mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V))^{\psi=0}$.

The image of this embedding is a $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ -submodule, which is finitely-generated, since $(\varphi^* \mathbb{N}(V))^{\psi=0}$ is finitely-generated as a Λ_E -module [LLZ10, Theorem 3.5]. So it is closed. On the other hand, the image contains $(\varphi^* \mathbb{N}(V))^{\psi=0}$. Since we evidently have

$$(\varphi^* \mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V))^{\psi=0} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p-1} (1 + \pi)^i \varphi(\mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V))$$

and $\varphi(\mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V)) = \varphi(\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+) \otimes_{\varphi(\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+)} \varphi(\mathbb{N}(V))$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi^* \mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V))^{\psi=0} &= \varphi(\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+) \otimes_{\varphi(\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+)} \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{p-1} (1 + \pi)^i \varphi(\mathbb{N}(V)) \right) \\ &= \varphi(\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+) \otimes_{\varphi(\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+)} (\varphi^* \mathbb{N}(V))^{\psi=0}. \end{aligned}$$

As $\varphi(\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+)$ is dense in $\varphi(\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+)$, it follows that $(\varphi^* \mathbb{N}(V))^{\psi=0}$ is dense in $(\varphi^* \mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V))^{\psi=0}$. Thus the image of $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Gamma)} (\varphi^* \mathbb{N}(V))^{\psi=0}$ in $(\varphi^* \mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V))^{\psi=0}$ is both dense and closed; hence it is everything. \square

We recall the following result from our previous work:

Theorem 2.12 ([LLZ10, Lemma 3.15]). *$(\varphi^* \mathbb{N}(V))^{\psi=0}$ is a free $\Lambda_E(\Gamma)$ -module of rank d . More specifically, for any basis ν_1, \dots, ν_d of $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$, there exists a $E \otimes \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ -basis n_1, \dots, n_d of $\mathbb{N}(V)$ such that $n_i = \nu_i \bmod \pi$ and $(1 + \pi)\varphi(n_1), \dots, (1 + \pi)\varphi(n_d)$ form a $\Lambda_E(\Gamma)$ -basis of $(\varphi^* \mathbb{N}(V))^{\psi=0}$.*

Combining this with Proposition 2.11, the following corollary is immediate:

Corollary 2.13. *$(\varphi^* \mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V))^{\psi=0}$ is a free $E \otimes \mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ -module of rank d . More specifically, for any basis ν_1, \dots, ν_d of $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$, there exists a $E \otimes \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ -basis n_1, \dots, n_d of $\mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V)$ such that $n_i = \nu_i \bmod \pi$ and $(1 + \pi)\varphi(n_1), \dots, (1 + \pi)\varphi(n_d)$ form a $E \otimes \mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ -basis of $(\varphi^* \mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V))^{\psi=0}$.*

Remark 2.14. *It seems reasonable to conjecture that for any $E \otimes \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ -basis m_1, \dots, m_d of $\mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V)$, the vectors $(1 + \pi)\varphi(m_i)$ are a $E \otimes \mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ -basis of $(\varphi^* \mathbb{N}_{\text{rig}}(V))^{\psi=0}$, and similarly for $\mathbb{N}(V)$; but we do not know a proof of this statement.*

3. THE CONSTRUCTION OF COLEMAN MAPS

3.1. Coleman maps and the Perrin-Riou p -adic regulator. Let E be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . Let V be a d -dimensional E -linear representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ with non-negative Hodge-Tate weights $r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \dots \leq r_d$. We assume that V has no quotient isomorphic to the trivial representation. Let T be a $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -stable \mathcal{O}_E -lattice in V . Under these assumptions, there is a canonical isomorphism of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_E}(\Gamma)$ -modules

$$h_{\text{Iw}}^1 : \mathbb{N}(T)^{\psi=1} \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, T).$$

by [Ber03, Theorem A.3]. Moreover, since the Hodge-Tate weights of V are non-negative, we have $\mathbb{N}(T) \subseteq \varphi^* \mathbb{N}(T)$ by Lemma 1.7. Hence there is a well-defined map $1 - \varphi : \mathbb{N}(T) \rightarrow \varphi^* \mathbb{N}(T)$, which maps $\mathbb{N}(T)^{\psi=1}$ to $(\varphi^* \mathbb{N}(T))^{\psi=0}$.

As we recalled above, [LLZ10, Theorem 3.5] (due to Laurent Berger) shows that for some basis n_1, \dots, n_d of $\mathbb{N}(T)$ as an $\mathcal{O}_E \otimes \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ -module, the vectors $(1 + \pi)\varphi(n_1), \dots, (1 + \pi)\varphi(n_d)$ form a basis of $(\varphi^* \mathbb{N}(T))^{\psi=0}$ as a $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_E}(\Gamma)$ -module. This basis gives an isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{J} : (\varphi^* \mathbb{N}(T))^{\psi=0} \xrightarrow{\cong} \Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_E}(\Gamma)^{\oplus d}$$

(the *Iwasawa transform*), and we define the Coleman map

$$\text{Col} = (\text{Col}_i)_{i=1}^d : \mathbb{N}(T)^{\psi=1} \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_E}(\Gamma)^{\oplus d}$$

as the composition $\mathfrak{J} \circ (1 - \varphi)$.

Remark 3.1. *This direct definition of the Coleman map is equivalent to that given in our earlier work, but applies to any representation with non-negative Hodge-Tate weights, rather than starting with a positive representation and twisting by the sum of its Hodge-Tate weights as in [LLZ10].*

Let ν_1, \dots, ν_d be a basis of $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$, so $(1 + \pi) \otimes \nu_1, \dots, (1 + \pi) \otimes \nu_d$ are a basis of $(\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0} \otimes \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ as an $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ -module; and let n_1, \dots, n_d be a basis of $\mathbb{N}(V)$ lifting ν_1, \dots, ν_d as in Theorem 2.12. Then there exists a unique $d \times d$ matrix \underline{M} with entries in $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ such that

$$(1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} (1 + \pi)\varphi(n_1) \\ \vdots \\ (1 + \pi)\varphi(n_d) \end{pmatrix} = \underline{M} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} (1 + \pi) \otimes \nu_1 \\ \vdots \\ (1 + \pi) \otimes \nu_d \end{pmatrix}.$$

In fact \underline{M} is defined over $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma_1)$, since the n_i lie in $(1 + \pi)\varphi(\mathbb{N}(V)) \subseteq (1 + \pi)\varphi(\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+) \otimes \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$. By Theorem 2.10, we know that the elementary divisors of \underline{M} are $\mathfrak{n}_{r_1}, \dots, \mathfrak{n}_{r_d}$.

Corollary 3.2. *Up to a unit, $\det(\underline{M})$ is equal to $\prod_{i=1}^d \mathfrak{n}_{r_i}$.*

We can write the Coleman map $\underline{\text{Col}}$ in terms of \underline{M} as follows:

Lemma 3.3. *For $x \in \mathbb{N}(T)^{\psi=1}$, we have*

$$(1 - \varphi)(x) = \underline{\text{Col}}(x) \cdot \underline{M} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} (1 + \pi) \otimes \nu_1 \\ \vdots \\ (1 + \pi) \otimes \nu_d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. We have by definition

$$(1 - \varphi)x = \underline{\text{Col}}(x) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} (1 + \pi)\varphi(n_1) \\ \vdots \\ (1 + \pi)\varphi(n_d) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, we are done on combining this with (1). \square

Definition 3.4. *The Perrin-Riou p -adic regulator \mathcal{L}_V for V is defined to be the $\Lambda_E(\Gamma)$ -homomorphism*

$$(\mathfrak{M}^{-1} \otimes 1) \circ (1 - \varphi) \circ (h_{\text{Iw}, V}^1)^{-1} : H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V).$$

Using the isomorphism $h_{\text{Iw}, V}^1 : \mathbb{N}(V)^{\psi=1} \rightarrow H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V)$, we can thus rewrite Lemma 3.3 as

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{L}_V(z) = (\underline{\text{Col}} \circ (h_{\text{Iw}, V}^1)^{-1})(z) \cdot \underline{M} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \vdots \\ \nu_d \end{pmatrix}.$$

4. IMAGES OF THE COLEMAN MAPS

Let η be a character on Δ . In this section, we study the image of $\underline{\text{Col}}^\eta(\mathbb{N}(V)^{\psi=1})$ as a subset of $\Lambda_E(\Gamma_1)^{\oplus d}$ for a crystalline representation V of dimension d with non-negative Hodge-Tate weights. We then consider the projection of this image, giving a description of $\text{Im}(\underline{\text{Col}}_i^\eta)$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$.

4.1. Preliminary results on $\Lambda_E(\Gamma_1)$ -modules. Recall that we identify $\Lambda_E(\Gamma_1)$ with the power series ring $E \otimes \mathcal{O}_E[[X]]$ by identifying $\gamma - 1$ with X . Therefore, if $F \in \Lambda_E(\Gamma_1)$ and x is an element of the maximal ideal of E , $F|_{X=x} \in E$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let V be an E -subspace of E^d with codimension n . For a fixed element x of the maximal ideal of E , we define the $\Lambda_E(\Gamma_1)$ -module*

$$S = \{(F_1, \dots, F_d) \in \Lambda_E(\Gamma_1)^{\oplus d} : (F_1(x), \dots, F_d(x)) \in V\}.$$

Then, S is free of rank d over $\Lambda_E(\Gamma_1)$ and $\det(S) = (X - x)^n$.

Proof. Let v_1, \dots, v_d be a basis of E such that $\sum_{i=1}^d e_i v_i \in V$ if and only if $e_i = 0$ for all $i > d - n$. On multiplying elementary matrices in $GL_d(E)$ if necessary, we may assume that S is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \{(F_1, \dots, F_d) \in \Lambda_E(\Gamma_1)^{\oplus d} : F_{d-n+1}(x) = \dots = F_d(x) = 0\} \\ &= \Lambda_E(\Gamma_1)^{\oplus(d-n)} \oplus ((X - x)\Lambda_E(\Gamma_1))^{\oplus n}, \end{aligned}$$

so we are done. \square

Proposition 4.2. *Let $I = \{x_0, \dots, x_m\}$ be a subset of the maximal ideal of E . For each $i = 0, \dots, m$, let V_i be an E -subspace of $E^{\oplus d}$ with codimension n_i . Define*

$$S = \{(F_1, \dots, F_d) \in \Lambda_E(\Gamma_1)^{\oplus d} : (F_1(x_i), \dots, F_d(x_i)) \in V_i, i = 0, \dots, m\},$$

then S is free of rank d over $\Lambda_E(\Gamma_1)$, and $\det(S) = \prod_{i=0}^m (X - x_i)^{n_i}$.

Proof. We prove the result by induction on m . The case $m = 0$ is just Lemma 4.1.

Assume that $m > 0$ and let

$$S' = \{(F_1, \dots, F_d) \in \Lambda_E(\Gamma_1)^{\oplus d} : (F_1(x_i), \dots, F_d(x_i)) \in V_i, i = 0, \dots, m-1\}.$$

By induction, S' is free of rank d over $\Lambda_E(\Gamma_1)$ and $\det(S') = \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (X - x_i)^{n_i}$. Let $F^{(i)} = (F_1^{(i)}, \dots, F_d^{(i)})$, $i = 1, \dots, d$, be a $\Lambda_E(\Gamma_1)$ -basis of S' . Write \mathcal{F}_m for the $d \times d$ matrix with entries $F_j^{(i)}(x_m)$. As $X - x_m$ does not divide $\det(F_j^{(i)})$, we have $\mathcal{F}_m \in GL_d(E)$. Define

$$S'' = \{(G_1, \dots, G_d) \in \Lambda_E(\Gamma_1)^{\oplus d} : (G_1(x_m), \dots, G_d(x_m)) \in V_m \mathcal{F}_m^{-1}\}.$$

By Lemma 4.1, S'' is free of rank d over $\Lambda_E(\Gamma_1)$ and $\det(S'') = (X - x_m)^{n_m}$. Say, $(G_1^{(k)}, \dots, G_d^{(k)})$, $k = 1, \dots, d$, is a basis.

For $(G_1, \dots, G_d) \in \Lambda_E(\Gamma_1)^{\oplus d}$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^d G_i F^{(i)} \in S' \subset S$ by definition. It is easy to check that $\sum_{i=1}^d G_i F^{(i)} \in S$ if and only if $(G_1, \dots, G_d) \in S''$. Therefore, a basis for S is given by the row vectors of $(G_i^{(k)})(F_j^{(i)})$ and $\det(S) = \det(S') \det(S'')$. Hence, we are done. \square

Lemma 4.3. *If S is a $\Lambda_E(\Gamma_1)$ -module as described in the statement of Proposition 4.2, then the image of a projection from S into $\Lambda_E(\Gamma_1)$ is of the form $\prod_{i \in J} (X - x_i) \Lambda_E(\Gamma_1)$ where J is some subset of $\{0, \dots, m\}$.*

Proof. We consider the first projection $\text{pr}_1 : (F_1, \dots, F_d) \mapsto F_1$. Let

$$J = \{i \in [0, m] : (e_1, \dots, e_d) \in V_i \Rightarrow e_1 = 0\}.$$

It is clear that $\text{Im}(\text{pr}_1) \subset \prod_{i \in J} (X - x_i) \Lambda_E(\Gamma_1)$. It remains to show that $\prod_{i \in J} (X - x_i) \in \text{Im}(\text{pr}_1)$.

By definition, for each $i \notin J$, there exist $e_k^{(i)} \in E$, $k = 2, \dots, d$, such that

$$\left(\prod_{j \in J} (x_i - x_j), e_2^{(i)}, \dots, e_d^{(i)} \right) \in V_i.$$

Similarly, take any $(0, e_2^{(i)}, \dots, e_d^{(i)}) \in V_i$ for $i \in J$. There exist polynomials F_k over E such that $F_k(x_i) = e_j^{(i)}$ for $k = 2, \dots, d$ and $i = 0, \dots, m$. It is then clear that

$$\left(\prod_{i \in J} (X - x_i), F_2, \dots, F_d \right) \in S.$$

Hence we are done. \square

4.2. On the image of the Perrin-Riou p -adic regulator. Let V be a d -dimensional E -linear crystalline representation of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ with non-negative Hodge-Tate weights $r_1 \leq \dots \leq r_d$.

Definition 4.4. For an integer $i \geq 0$, we write

$$n_i = \dim_E \text{Fil}^{-i} \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) = \#\{j : r_j \leq i\}.$$

We make the following assumption:

Assumption 4.5. The eigenvalues of φ on $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ are not integer powers of p .

Recall that we have the Perrin-Riou exponential map (c.f. [PR94])

$$\Omega_{V, r_d} : (\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0} \otimes \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V).$$

The Perrin-Riou p -adic regulator is related to Ω_{V, r_d} via the following equation.

Theorem 4.6. As maps on $H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V)$, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_V = (\mathfrak{M}^{-1} \otimes 1) \left(\prod_{i=0}^{r_d-1} \ell_i \right) (\Omega_{V, r_d})^{-1}.$$

Proof. By definition, this is the same as saying

$$(1 - \varphi) \circ (h_{\text{Iw}, V}^1)^{-1} = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{r_d-1} \ell_i \right) (\Omega_{V, r_d})^{-1},$$

which is just a rewrite of [Ber03, Theorem II.13]. \square

Corollary 4.7. We have

$$\det(\mathcal{L}_V) = \prod_{i=0}^{r_d-1} (\ell_i)^{d-n_i}.$$

Proof. The $\delta(V)$ -conjecture (see [PR94, Conjecture 3.4.7]) predicts that

$$\det(\Omega_{V, r_d}) = \prod_{i \leq r_d-1} (\ell_i)^{n_i}.$$

As pointed out in [PR94, Proposition 3.6.7], this conjecture is a consequence of Perrin-Riou's explicit reciprocity law (Conjecture (Réc) in *op.cit.*) which is proved in [Col98, Théorème IX.4.5]. Therefore, Theorem 4.6 implies that

$$\det(\mathcal{L}_V) = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{r_d-1} (\ell_i)^d \right) \left(\prod_{i \leq r_d-1} (\ell_i)^{-n_i} \right),$$

which finishes the proof, since $n_i = 0$ for $i < 0$. \square

Let $z \in H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V)$. Then $\mathcal{L}_V(z) \in \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$, so we can apply to $\mathcal{L}_V(z)$ any character on Γ to obtain an element in $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$. The following proposition studies elements obtained in this way when we choose characters of a specific kind. Recall that we denote by χ the cyclotomic character, and by χ_0 the restriction of χ to Δ .

Proposition 4.8. *Let $z \in H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V)$. Then for any integer $0 \leq i \leq r_d - 1$ and any Dirichlet character δ of conductor $p^n > 1$, we have*

$$(3) \quad (1 - \varphi)^{-1} (1 - p^{-1}\varphi^{-1}) \chi^i(\mathcal{L}_V(z) \otimes t^i e_{-i}) \in \text{Fil}^0 \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V(-i));$$

$$(4) \quad \varphi^{-n} (\chi^i \delta(\mathcal{L}_V(z) \otimes t^i e_{-i})) \in \mathbb{Q}_{p,n} \otimes \text{Fil}^0 \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V(-i)).$$

Proof. We write $[\ , \]$ for the pairing

$$\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V(-i)) \times \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V^*(1+i)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(E(1)) = E \cdot t^{-1} e_1.$$

The orthogonal complement of $\text{Fil}^0 \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V(-i))$ under $[\ , \]$ is $\text{Fil}^0(V^*(1+i))$. Let $x \in \text{Fil}^0 \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V^*(1+i))$ and $x' = (1 - \varphi)(1 - p^{-1}\varphi^{-1})^{-1}x$, and write x'_{-i} for $x' \otimes t^i e_{-i}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [(1 - \varphi)^{-1} (1 - p^{-1}\varphi^{-1}) \chi^i(\mathcal{L}_V(z) \otimes t^i e_{-i}), x] &= [\chi^i(\mathcal{L}_V(z) \otimes t^i e_{-i}), x'] \\ &= \chi^i[\mathcal{L}_V(z), x'_{-i}], \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from the observation that $1 - \varphi$ and $1 - p^{-1}\varphi^{-1}$ are adjoint to each other under the pairing $[\ , \]$.

We extend $[\ , \]$ to a pairing on

$$\mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) \times \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V^*(1)) \longrightarrow E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$$

in the natural way. By Perrin-Riou's explicit reciprocity law (c.f. [Col98, Théorème IX.4.5]) and Theorem 4.6, we have

$$(5) \quad [\mathcal{L}_V(z), x'_{-i}] = (-1)^{r_d-1} \left\langle \left(\prod_{j=0}^{r_d-1} \ell_j \right) z, \Omega_{V^*(1), 1-r_d}((1 + \pi) \otimes x'_{-i}) \right\rangle$$

where $\langle \ , \ \rangle$ denotes the pairing

$$(\mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V)) \times (\mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V^*(1))) \longrightarrow E \otimes \mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$$

as defined in [PR94, § 3.6]. By [PR94, Lemme 3.6.1(i)], the right-hand side of (5) in fact equals

$$(6) \quad \left\langle z, \left(\prod_{j=0}^{r_d-1} \ell_{-j} \right) \Omega_{V^*(1), 1-r_d}((1 + \pi) \otimes x'_{-i}) \right\rangle = \langle z, \Omega_{V^*(1), 1}((1 + \pi) \otimes x'_{-i}) \rangle.$$

By an abuse of notation, we let Tw denote the twist map on the H_{Iw}^1 's as well as the map on $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ that sends any $g \in \Gamma$ to $\chi(g)g$. We have

$$\langle \text{Tw}^{-i}(x), \text{Tw}^i(y) \rangle = \text{Tw}^i \langle x, y \rangle$$

for any x and y by [PR94, Lemme 3.6.1(ii)]. Therefore, by combining (5) and (6), $\chi^i[\mathcal{L}_V(z), x'_{-i}]$ is equal to the projection of

$$\langle \text{Tw}^{-i}(z), \text{Tw}^i(\Omega_{V^*(1),1}((1+\pi) \otimes x'_{-i})) \rangle$$

into E . The projection of $\text{Tw}^i(\Omega_{V^*(1),1}((1+\pi) \otimes x'_{-i}))$ into $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V^*(1+i))$ at the origin is equal to a scalar multiple of

$$\exp_{\mathbb{Q}_p, V^*(1+i)}((1-p^{-1}\varphi^{-1})(1-\varphi)^{-1}(x'))$$

(see for example [LLZ10, Proposition 3.19]). But

$$(1-p^{-1}\varphi^{-1})(1-\varphi)^{-1}(x') = x \in \text{Fil}^0 \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V^*(1+i))$$

by definition. Therefore, as $\exp_{\mathbb{Q}_p, V^*(1+i)}$ vanishes on $\text{Fil}^0 \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V^*(1+i))$ by construction, it follows that

$$\exp_{\mathbb{Q}_p, V^*(1+i)}((1-\varphi)^{-1}(1-p^{-1}\varphi^{-1})(x')) = 0$$

and hence that

$$[(1-\varphi)^{-1}(1-p^{-1}\varphi^{-1})\chi^i(\mathcal{L}_V(z) \otimes t^i e_{-i}), x] = \chi^i[\mathcal{L}_V(z), x'_{-i}] = 0.$$

This implies (3), and (4) can be proved similarly. \square

For any character η of Δ and an integer $0 \leq i \leq r_d - 1$, define

$$V_{i,\eta} = \begin{cases} (1-p^i\varphi)(1-p^{-1-i}\varphi^{-1})^{-1} \text{Fil}^{-i} \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) & \text{if } \chi_0^i = \eta \\ \varphi(\text{Fil}^{-i} \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Note that $V_{i,\eta}$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ of the same dimension as $\text{Fil}^{-i} \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$.

Corollary 4.9. *If η is a character on Δ , then*

$$\{\chi^i \chi_0^{-i} \eta(e_\eta \mathcal{L}_V(z)) : z \in H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V)\} \subset V_{i,\eta}.$$

Proof. Note that $\text{Fil}^{-i} \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) = \text{Fil}^0 \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V(-i)) \otimes t^{-i} e_i$. Therefore, if $\chi_0^i = \eta$, the result follows from (3) and the fact that $\varphi(t^i e_{-i}) = p^i t^i e_{-i}$. Assume otherwise. Since $\chi^i \chi_0^{-i} \eta|_\Delta = \eta$, we have $\chi^i \chi_0^{-i} \eta(e_\eta \mathcal{L}_V(z)) = \chi^i \chi_0^{-i} \eta(\mathcal{L}_V(z))$. Hence, (4) implies that

$$\varphi^{-1}(\chi^i \chi_0^{-i} \eta(e_\eta \mathcal{L}_V(z) \otimes t^i e_{-i})) \in \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_p) \otimes \text{Fil}^0 \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V(-i)).$$

But $\chi^i \chi_0^{-i} \eta(e_\eta \mathcal{L}_V(z) \otimes t^i e_{-i}) = \mathcal{L}_V(z)^\eta|_{X=\chi(\gamma)^{i-1}} \otimes t^i e_{-i}$ in fact lies inside $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V(-i))$. Hence,

$$\varphi^{-1}(\chi^i \chi_0^{-i} \eta(e_\eta \mathcal{L}_V(z) \otimes t^i e_{-i})) \in \text{Fil}^0 \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V(-i)) = \text{Fil}^{-i} \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) \otimes t^i e_{-i}$$

and we are done on applying φ to both sides. \square

Corollary 4.10. *If η is a character on Δ , then*

$$\{\mathcal{L}_V(z)^\eta|_{X=\chi(\gamma)^{i-1}} : z \in H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V)\} \subset V_{i,\eta}.$$

Proof. This is immediate from Corollary 4.9 as $\mathcal{L}_V(z)^\eta|_{X=\chi(\gamma)^{i-1}} = \chi^i \chi_0^{-i} \eta(e_\eta \mathcal{L}_V(z))$. \square

4.3. Images of the Coleman maps. We now fix a character $\eta : \Delta \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. Let ν_1, \dots, ν_d be a basis of $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ and n_1, \dots, n_d a basis of $\mathbb{N}(V)$ lifting ν_1, \dots, ν_d as in Theorem 2.12. We consider the image of the Coleman map defined with respect to this basis as in Section 3.

Proposition 4.11. *The image of the map*

$$\underline{\text{Col}}^\eta : \mathbb{N}(V)^{\psi=1} \longrightarrow \Lambda_E(\Gamma_1)^{\oplus d}$$

lies inside a $\Lambda_E(\Gamma_1)$ -submodule S as described in the statement of Lemma 4.2 with $I = \{x_i = \chi(\gamma)^i - 1 : 0 \leq i \leq r_d - 1\}$ and $V_i = V_{i,\eta}$, which is an E -vector space of the same (co-)dimension as $\text{Fil}^{-i} \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$.

Proof. Recall from (2) that

$$\mathcal{L}_V = (\underline{\text{Col}} \circ h_{\text{Iw},V}^1) \underline{M} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \vdots \\ \nu_d \end{pmatrix}$$

where \underline{M} is as defined in (1). Note that $\underline{M}^\eta = \underline{M}$ for any character η of Δ , since \underline{M} is defined over $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma_1)$. Moreover, Corollary 3.2 implies that $X - \chi(\gamma)^i + 1$ does not divide $\det(\underline{M})$, so $\underline{M}|_{X=\chi(\gamma)^i-1} \in GL_d(E)$. Therefore, we are done by Corollary 4.10. \square

Theorem 4.12. *Equality holds in Proposition 4.11.*

Proof. Write S for the basis matrix of the $\Lambda_E(\Gamma_1)$ -submodule of $\Lambda_E(\Gamma_1)^{\oplus d}$ described in the statement of Proposition 4.11. Then, Proposition 4.2 says that

$$\det(S) = \prod_{i=0}^{r_d-1} (X - \chi(\gamma)^i + 1)^{d-n_i}.$$

But

$$\det(\underline{M}) = \prod_{j=1}^d \left(\prod_{i=0}^{r_j-1} \frac{\ell_i}{X - \chi(\gamma)^i + 1} \right) = \prod_{i=0}^{r_d-1} \left(\frac{\ell_i}{X - \chi(\gamma)^i + 1} \right)^{d-n_i},$$

since $n_i = \#\{j : r_j \leq i\}$, as noted above. Hence, Corollary 4.7 implies that

$$\det(\mathcal{L}_V) = \det(\underline{M}) \det(S)$$

and we are done. \square

We can summarize the above results via the following short exact sequence:

Corollary 4.13. *Suppose that no eigenvalue of φ on $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ lies in $p^\mathbb{Z}$. Then for each character η of Δ , there is a short exact sequence of $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma_1)$ -modules*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}(V)^{\psi=1,\eta} \xrightarrow{1-\varphi} (\varphi^* \mathbb{N}(V))^{\psi=0,\eta} \xrightarrow{A_\eta} \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r_d-1} (\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)/V_{i,\eta})(\chi^i \chi_0^{-i} \eta) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Here the map $A_\eta = \bigoplus_i (1 \otimes A_{\eta,i})$, where $A_{\eta,i}$ is the natural reduction map $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p(\chi^i \chi_0^{-i} \eta)$ obtained by quotienting out by the ideal $(X + 1 - \chi(\gamma)^i) \cdot e_\eta$.

Remark 4.14. *The short exact sequence in Corollary 4.13 can be seen as an analogue of Perrin-Riou's exact sequence (see [PR94, §2.2])*

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r_d} t^i \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)^{\varphi=p^{-i}} \longrightarrow (\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+ \otimes \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V))^{\psi=1} \\ \xrightarrow{\varphi-1} (\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0} \otimes \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r_d} \left(\frac{\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)}{1-p^i\varphi} \right) (i) \longrightarrow 0.$$

In particular, the injectivity of the first map in our sequence follows from Perrin-Riou's sequence, since our assumption on V implies that the first term of Perrin-Riou's sequence vanishes.

We can now prove Theorem C.

Corollary 4.15. *For $i = 1, \dots, d$, we have*

$$\text{Im}(\underline{\text{Col}}_i^\eta) = \prod_{j \in I_i^\eta} (X - \chi(\gamma)^j + 1) \Lambda_E(\Gamma_1)$$

for some $I_i^\eta \subset \{0, \dots, r_d - 1\}$.

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 4.3. \square

We can also use this argument to determine the elementary divisors of the cokernel of the map \mathcal{L}_V , refining the result of Proposition 4.7.

Theorem 4.16. *The elementary divisors of the $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ -module quotient*

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)}{\mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Gamma)} \text{Im}(\mathcal{L}_V)}$$

are $[\lambda_{r_1}; \dots; \lambda_{r_d}]$, where $\lambda_k = \ell_0 \ell_1 \dots \ell_{k-1}$.

Proof. We know that the matrix of \mathcal{L}_V is equal to $M \cdot S$, where M and S have elementary divisors that are coprime. Hence the elementary divisors of the product matrix are the products of the elementary divisors, which gives the above formula. \square

5. THE COLEMAN MAPS FOR MODULAR FORMS

In this section, we fix a modular form f as in Section 1.3.6. We pick bases n_1, n_2 of $\mathbb{N}(T_{\bar{f}})$ and \bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2 of $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V_{\bar{f}})$ as in [LLZ10, Section 3.3]. Let $V = V_{\bar{f}}(k-1)$, which has Hodge-Tate weights 0 and $k-1$. We consider the Coleman maps $\underline{\text{Col}}_1^\eta$ and $\underline{\text{Col}}_2^\eta$ defined on $\mathbb{N}(V)^{\psi=1}$ where η is a fixed character on Δ . As a special case for Theorem 4.12 and Corollary 4.15, we have the following result.

Proposition 5.1. *There exist 1-dimensional E -subspaces V_i of E^2 for $0 \leq i < k-1$ such that*

$$\text{Im}(\underline{\text{Col}}^\eta) = \{(F, G) \in \Lambda_E(\Gamma_1) : (F(\chi^i(\gamma) - 1), G(\chi^i(\gamma) - 1)) \in V_i\}.$$

Moreover, for $l = 1, 2$, we have

$$\text{Im}(\underline{\text{Col}}_l^\eta) = \prod_{j \in I_l^\eta} (X - \chi^j(\gamma) + 1) \Lambda_E(\Gamma_1)$$

for some $I_l^\eta \subset \{0, \dots, k-2\}$ with I_1^η and I_2^η disjoint.

Proof. For $0 \leq j \leq k-2$, $\mathrm{Fil}^{-j} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$ is of dimension 1 over E . Hence the first part of the proposition by Theorem 4.12. The second part of the proposition follows by putting

$$I_1^\eta = \{i : V_i = 0 \oplus E\} \quad \text{and} \quad I_2^\eta = \{i : V_i = E \oplus 0\}.$$

□

Remark 5.2. Note that the second part of the proposition is a slightly stronger version of Corollary 4.15.

Corollary 5.3. In particular, there exist non-zero elements $r_i \in E$ for $i \in I_3^\eta := \{0, \dots, k-2\} \setminus (I_1^\eta \cup I_2^\eta)$ such that

$$\mathrm{Im}(\underline{\mathrm{Col}}^\eta) = \left\{ (F, G) \in \Lambda_E(\Gamma_1) \left| \begin{array}{l} F(u^i - 1) = 0 \quad \text{if } i \in I_1^\eta \\ G(u^i - 1) = 0 \quad \text{if } i \in I_2^\eta \\ F(u^i - 1) = r_i G(u^i - 1) \quad \text{if } i \in I_3^\eta \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

where $u = \chi(\gamma)$.

The aim of this section is to study the set above in more detail.

5.1. Some explicit linear relations. Recall from [LLZ10, proof of Proposition 3.22] that the maps \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 as defined in Section 1.3.6 satisfy

$$\mathcal{L}_V(z) = -\mathcal{L}_2(z)\bar{\nu}_{1,k-1} + \mathcal{L}_1(z)\bar{\nu}_{2,k-1}$$

for any $z \in H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V)$. Therefore, Corollary 4.9 says that $\mathcal{L}_1(z)$ and $\mathcal{L}_2(z)$ satisfy some linear relations when evaluated at $\chi^j \delta$ for $0 \leq j \leq k-2$ and δ some character on Δ . We now make these relations explicit. First we recall that we have:

Lemma 5.4. Let $j, n \geq 0$ be integers and $i \in \{1, 2\}$. For $z \in H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V)$, we write $z_{-j,n}$ for the image of z under

$$(7) \quad H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V(-j)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_{p,n}, V(-j))$$

where the first map is the twist map $(-1)^j \mathrm{Tw}_j$ and the second map is the projection. Then, we have

$$(8) \quad \chi^j(\mathcal{L}_i(z)) = j! [(1 - \varphi)^{-1} (1 - p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}) \nu_{i,j+1}, \exp_{\mathbb{Q}_p, V(j)}^*(z_{-j,0})].$$

If δ is a character of G_n which does not factor through G_{n-1} with $n \geq 1$, then

$$(9) \quad \chi^j \delta(\mathcal{L}_i(z)) = \frac{j!}{\tau(\delta^{-1})} \sum_{\sigma \in G_n} \delta^{-1}(\sigma) [\varphi^{-n}(\nu_{i,j+1}), \exp_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,1}, V(j)}^*(z_{-j,n}^\sigma)]$$

where τ denotes the Gauss sum.

Proof. See for example [Lei09, Lemma 3.5 and (4)]. □

Lemma 5.5. If $0 \leq j \leq k-2$ and δ is a non-trivial character on Δ , then $\chi^j \delta(\mathcal{L}_2(z)) = 0$.

Proof. On putting $n = 1$ in (9), we have

$$\chi^j \delta(\mathcal{L}_2(z)) = \frac{j!}{\tau(\delta^{-1})} \sum_{\sigma \in \Delta} \delta^{-1}(\sigma) [\varphi^{-1}(\nu_{2,j+1}), \exp_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,1}, V(j)}^*(z_{-j,1}^\sigma)].$$

But $\nu_2 = p^{1-k} \varphi(\nu_1)$, so

$$\varphi^{-1}(\nu_{2,j+1}) \in E \cdot \nu_{1,j+1} = \mathrm{Fil}^0 \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V_f(j+1)).$$

Therefore, we have

$$[\varphi^{-1}(\nu_{2,j+1}), \exp_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,1}, V(j)}^*(z^\sigma)] = 0$$

for all $\sigma \in \Delta$ and we are done. \square

Lemma 5.6. *If $\varphi^2 + a\varphi + b = 0$, then*

$$(1 - \varphi)^{-1}(1 - p^{-1}\varphi^{-1}) = \frac{(1 + a + pb)\varphi + a(1 + a + pb) + b(p - 1)}{pb(1 + a + b)}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^2 + a\varphi + b &= 0 \\ \varphi^2 - 1 + a(\varphi - 1) &= -1 - a - b \\ (1 - \varphi)(\varphi + 1 + a) &= 1 + a + b. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(1 - \varphi)^{-1} = \frac{\varphi + 1 + a}{1 + a + b}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\varphi^{-1} = -\frac{\varphi + a}{b}.$$

The result then follows from explicit calculation. \square

Corollary 5.7. *For $0 \leq j \leq k - 2$, we have*

$$(-a_p + p^{j+1} + p^{k-1-j})\chi^j(\mathcal{L}_2(z)) = (p - 1)\chi^j(\mathcal{L}_1(z)).$$

Proof. On $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V_{\bar{f}}(k - 1 - j))$, φ satisfies

$$\varphi^2 - a_p p^{-k+1+j} \varphi + p^{-k+1+2j} = 0,$$

as we assume $\varepsilon(p) = 1$. Let $u = 1 - a_p p^{-k+1+j} + p^{-k+2+2j}$, $u' = -a_p p^{-k+1+j} u + p^{-k+1+2j}(p - 1)$. Then, Proposition 4.8 and Lemma 5.6 imply that

$$(u\varphi + u')\chi^j(-\mathcal{L}_2(z)\bar{\nu}_{1,k-1-j} + \mathcal{L}_1(z)\bar{\nu}_{2,k-1-j}) \in \text{Fil}^0 \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V_{\bar{f}}(k - 1 - j)) = E\nu_{1,k-1-j}.$$

On writing the above expression as a linear combination of $\nu_{1,k-1-j}$ and $\nu_{2,k-1-j}$, the coefficient of the latter turns out to be

$$-p^j u \chi^j(\mathcal{L}_2(z)) + (u' + a_p p^{-k+1+j} u) \chi^j(\mathcal{L}_1(z)),$$

which must be zero, hence the result. \square

Remark 5.8. *The coefficient $-a_p + p^{j+1} + p^{k-1-j}$ is non-zero by the Weil bound.*

Recall from [LLZ10, (32)] that we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\mathcal{L}_2 & \mathcal{L}_1 \end{pmatrix} = (\underline{\text{Col}} \circ h_{\text{Iw}, V}^1) \underline{M}.$$

By [LLZ10, proof of Proposition 3.28 and Theorem 5.4], we have $\underline{M}|_{X=0} = A_\varphi^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & p^{k-1} \\ -1 & a_p \end{pmatrix}$. Therefore, the relations for $j = 0$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} (-a_p + 1 + p^{k-2}) \underline{\text{Col}}_2(x)^\Delta|_{X=0} &= p^{k-2}(p - 1) \underline{\text{Col}}_1(x)^\Delta|_{X=0} && \text{if } \eta = 1; \\ \underline{\text{Col}}_2(x)^\eta|_{X=0} &= 0 && \text{if } \eta \neq 1. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for the case $k = 2$, we have the following analogue of [KP07, Proposition 1.2].

Proposition 5.9. *If $k = 2$, the trivial isotypical component of the Coleman maps give a short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V) \xrightarrow{\text{Col}^\Delta} \Lambda_E(\Gamma_1) \oplus \Lambda_E(\Gamma_1) \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathbb{Q}_p \longrightarrow 0,$$

where ρ is defined by

$$\rho(g(X), h(X)) = (2 - a_p)g(0) - (p - 1)h(0).$$

5.2. Integral structure of the images. We now describe the integral structure of $\text{Im}(\underline{\text{Col}}_i)^\eta$. Under the notation of Corollary 5.3, we define

$$X_i^\eta = \prod_{j \in I_i^\eta} (X - \chi(\gamma)^j + 1).$$

Then, we have:

Theorem 5.10. *For $i = 1, 2$, let X_i^η be as defined above, then $\underline{\text{Col}}_i(\mathbb{D}(T_{\bar{f}}(k-1))^{\psi=1})^\eta \subset X_i^\eta \Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_E}(\Gamma_1)$. Moreover, $X_i^\eta \Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_E}(\Gamma_1) / \underline{\text{Col}}_i(\mathbb{D}(T_{\bar{f}}(k-1))^{\psi=1})^\eta$ is pseudo-null.*

Proof. Let

$$X_k = \prod_{j=0}^{k-2} (X - \chi(\gamma)^j + 1).$$

Note that [LLZ10, proof of Proposition 4.11] is true integrally. We therefore have

$$(\varphi^{k-1}(\pi)\varphi^*\mathbb{N}(T_{\bar{f}}(k-1)))^{\psi=0} \subset (1 - \varphi)\mathbb{N}(T_{\bar{f}}(k-1))^{\psi=1}.$$

This implies that $X_k \in \text{Im}(\underline{\text{Col}}_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Hence, we have the following inclusions:

$$X_k \Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_E}(\Gamma_1) \subset \underline{\text{Col}}_i(\mathbb{D}(T_{\bar{f}}(k-1))^{\psi=1})^\eta \subset X_i^\eta \Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_E}(\Gamma_1)$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Since X_k is not divisible by ϖ_E , the quotient

$$X_i^\eta \Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_E}(\Gamma_1) / X_k \Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_E}(\Gamma_1)$$

is a free \mathcal{O}_E -module of finite rank. Moreover, for a coset representative, x say, it follows from Corollary 4.15 that there exists an integer n such that

$$\varpi_E^n x \in \underline{\text{Col}}_i(\mathbb{D}(T_{\bar{f}}(k-1))^{\psi=1})^\eta.$$

Therefore, $\underline{\text{Col}}_i(\mathbb{D}(T_{\bar{f}}(k-1))^{\psi=1})^\eta$ is of finite index in $X_i^\eta \Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_E}(\Gamma_1)$. \square

5.3. Surjectivity via a change of basis. Unfortunately, we do not have an explicit description of the sets I_i^η given by Corollary 5.3. However, this can be resolved by choosing a different basis:

Proposition 5.11. *Let S be a subset of $\Lambda_E(\Gamma_1)^{\oplus 2}$ as defined in Corollary 5.3. Then, there exists $A \in GL(2, \mathcal{O}_E)$ such that $SA = S'$ for some S' which is of the form*

$$\{(F, G) \in \Lambda_E(\Gamma_1)^{\oplus 2} : F(u^i - 1) = r'_i G(u^i - 1), 0 \leq i \leq k - 2\}$$

for some non-zero elements $r'_i \in E$.

Proof. Let $e_1, e_2 \in \mathcal{O}_E$ be non-zero elements such that $e_1 e_2 \neq 1$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & e_2 \\ e_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathcal{O}_E).$$

Let $(F, G) \in \Lambda_E(\Gamma_1)^{\oplus 2}$, we write $(F' \ G') = (F \ G)A = (F + e_1G \ G + e_2F)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} F(u^i - 1) = 0 &\iff F'(u^i - 1) = e_1G'(u^i - 1); \\ G(u^i - 1) = 0 &\iff G'(u^i - 1) = e_2F'(u^i - 1); \\ F(u^i - 1) = r_iG(u^i - 1) &\iff (e_2r_i + 1)F'(u^i - 1) = (e_1 + r_i)G'(u^i - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we are done on choosing $e_2 \neq -r_i^{-1}$ and $e_1 \neq -r_i$ for all $i \in I_3^n$. \square

Remark 5.12. *In the construction of the Coleman maps, replacing $\begin{pmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{pmatrix}$ by $A \begin{pmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{pmatrix}$ where $A \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathcal{O}_E)$ is equivalent to replacing \underline{M} by $A\underline{M}$.*

Therefore, on multiplying \underline{M} by an appropriate matrix in $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathcal{O}_E)$ on the left, we can make both Coleman maps surjective (though we cannot assume $\underline{M}|_{X=0} = A_\varphi^T$ any more). By Proposition 5.11, we deduce

Theorem 5.13. *There exists a basis of $\mathbb{N}(T_{\bar{f}})$ such that the corresponding Coleman maps have the following properties:*

$$\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}_E}(\Gamma_1) / \underline{\mathrm{Col}}_i(\mathbb{D}(T_{\bar{f}}(k-1))^{\psi=1})^\eta$$

is pseudo-null for $i = 1, 2$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are very grateful to Bernadette Perrin-Riou for giving us some unpublished notes about her p -adic regulator; and to the anonymous referee, for numerous helpful comments and corrections.

REFERENCES

- [AV75] Yvette Amice and Jacques Vlu, *Distributions p -adiques associes aux sries de Hecke*, Journes Arithmtiques de Bordeaux (Conf., Univ. Bordeaux, 1974), Astrisque, vol. 24-25, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1975, pp. 119–131. MR 0376534.
- [BC09] Jol Bellache and Gatan Chenevier, *Families of Galois representations and Selmer groups*, Astrisque **324** (2009), 1–314. MR 2656025.
- [Ber02] Laurent Berger, *Reprsentations p -adiques et quations diffrentielles*, Invent. Math. **148** (2002), no. 2, 219–284. MR 1906150.
- [Ber03] ———, *Bloch and Kato’s exponential map: three explicit formulas*, Doc. Math. Extra Vol. **3** (2003), 99–129, Kazuya Kato’s fiftieth birthday. MR 2046596.
- [Ber04] ———, *Limites de reprsentations cristallines*, Compos. Math. **140** (2004), no. 6, 1473–1498. MR 2098398.
- [BLZ04] Laurent Berger, Hanfeng Li, and Hui June Zhu, *Construction of some families of 2-dimensional crystalline representations*, Math. Ann. **329** (2004), no. 2, 365–377. MR 2060368.
- [CC99] Frdric Cherbonnier and Pierre Colmez, *Thorie d’Iwasawa des reprsentations p -adiques d’un corps local*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1999), no. 1, 241–268. MR 1626273.
- [Col98] Pierre Colmez, *Thorie d’Iwasawa des reprsentations de de Rham d’un corps local*, Ann. of Math. (2) **148** (1998), no. 2, 485–571. MR 1668555.
- [Del69] Pierre Deligne, *Formes modulaires et reprsentations ℓ -adiques*, Sminaire Bourbaki **11** (1968/69), Exp. No. 355, 139–172.
- [Kat04] Kazuya Kato, *p -adic Hodge theory and values of zeta functions of modular forms*, Astrisque **295** (2004), ix, 117–290, Cohomologies p -adiques et applications arithmtiques. III. MR 2104361.

- [KP07] Masato Kurihara and Robert Pollack, *Two p -adic L -functions and rational points on elliptic curves with supersingular reduction*, L -functions and Galois representations, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes, vol. 320, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2007, pp. 300–332. MR [2392358](#).
- [Lan02] Serge Lang, *Algebra*, third ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 211, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002. MR [1878556](#).
- [Lei09] Antonio Lei, *Iwasawa theory for modular forms at supersingular primes*, to appear in Compos. Math., 2009, [arXiv:0904.3938](#).
- [LLZ10] Antonio Lei, David Loeffler, and Sarah Livia Zerbes, *Wach modules and Iwasawa theory for modular forms*, Asian J. Math. **14** (2010), no. 4, 475–528.
- [LZ10] David Loeffler and Sarah Livia Zerbes, *Wach modules and critical slope p -adic L -functions*, preprint, 2010, [arXiv:1012.0175](#).
- [Mil94] James S. Milne, *Motives over finite fields*, Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991) (Uwe Janssen, Stephen Kleiman, and Jean-Pierre Serre, eds.), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 55, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994, pp. 401–459. MR [1265538](#).
- [PR94] Bernadette Perrin-Riou, *Théorie d’Iwasawa des représentations p -adiques sur un corps local*, Invent. Math. **115** (1994), no. 1, 81–161. MR [1248080](#).
- [PR95] ———, *Fonctions L p -adiques des représentations p -adiques*, Astérisque **229** (1995), 1–198. MR [1327803](#).
- [PR01] ———, *Théorie d’Iwasawa des représentations p -adiques semi-stables*, Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.) **84** (2001), 1–111.
- [Pol03] Robert Pollack, *On the p -adic L -function of a modular form at a supersingular prime*, Duke Math. J. **118** (2003), no. 3, 523–558. MR [1983040](#).
- [ST03] Peter Schneider and Jeremy Teitelbaum, *Algebras of p -adic distributions and admissible representations*, Invent. Math. **153** (2003), no. 1, 145–196. MR [1990669](#).
- [Spr09] Ian Sprung, *Iwasawa theory for elliptic curves at supersingular primes: beyond the case $a_p = 0$* , preprint, 2009, [arXiv:0903.3419](#).

(Lei) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, MONASH UNIVERSITY, CLAYTON, VIC 3800, AUSTRALIA

E-mail address: antonio.lei@monash.edu

(Loeffler) MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, ZEEMAN BUILDING, UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK, COVENTRY CV4 7AL, UK

E-mail address: d.a.loeffler@warwick.ac.uk

(Zerbes) MATHEMATICS RESEARCH INSTITUTE, HARRISON BUILDING, UNIVERSITY OF EXETER, EXETER EX4 4QF, UK

E-mail address: s.zerbes@exeter.ac.uk