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Abstract

Oligotrophic subtropical gyres are the largest oceanic ecosystems covering >40% of the Earth’s surface. Unicellular cyanobacteria and the smallest algae (plastidic protists) dominate CO₂ fixation in these ecosystems competing for dissolved inorganic nutrients. Here we present direct evidence from the surface mixed layer of the subtropical gyres and adjacent equatorial and temperate regions of the Atlantic Ocean, collected on three Atlantic Meridional Transect cruises on consecutive years, that bacterioplankton are fed on by plastidic and aplastidic protists at comparable rates. Rates of bacterivory were similar in the light and dark. Furthermore, because of their higher abundance, it is the plastidic protists, rather than the aplastidic forms, that control bacterivory in these waters. These findings change our basic understanding of food web function in the open ocean, since plastidic protists should now be considered as the main bacterivores as well as the main CO₂ fixers in the oligotrophic gyres.
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Oligotrophic ecosystems of subtropical oceanic gyres are the most extensive ecosystems on Earth. These ecosystems cover approximately 40% of the planet’s surface, with their area currently expanding (1). *Prochlorococcus* cyanobacteria and the SAR11 group of alpha-proteobacteria are the most numerous microbes in these ecosystems (2, 3), whilst the smallest plastidic protists, comprising various taxonomic groups including uncultured members of the Prymnesiophyceae and Chrysophyceae (4-8), are the most numerous among the eukaryotes, dominating over their aplastidic counterparts (9). The large area of these oligotrophic gyres means that they play a key role in global biogeochemical cycles. However, current knowledge about the functioning of these microbe-controlled systems is relatively limited owing to the difficulty of studying microbes in a photic layer typified by nanomolar concentrations of inorganic macro-nutrients.

According to our current understanding of oligotrophic ecosystem functioning, the roles of different microbial populations are tightly defined. In the established paradigm (10) for these systems, phytoplankton such as cyanobacteria and plastidic protists harvest light, fix CO$_2$ and take up inorganic nutrients. They are the primary producers of organic matter that fuel the entire system, allowing heterotrophic bacterioplankton, dominated by the SAR11 group, to thrive. Populations of both cyanobacteria and heterotrophic bacterioplankton
are controlled by viruses and aplastidic protist predators. Organic matter and inorganic nutrients, released by these control processes, in addition to cell death and bacterioplankton remineralisation of dissolved organic matter, sustain heterotrophic bacterioplankton and phytoplankton. However, some more recent observations are at variance with this paradigm.

It is generally accepted that prokaryotes are more efficient than protists in acquiring nutrients at low concentration because of their higher cell surface to volume ratio (11). Indeed, in the North Atlantic subtropical gyre bacterioplankton dominate phosphate uptake and outcompete protists for this nutrient (12, 13). However, despite their low phosphate uptake plastidic protists are major contributors to CO₂ fixation (5, 14). Consequently, the C:P ratio, calculated using CO₂ and phosphate uptake rates by plastidic protists is unrealistically high, suggesting that osmotrophic uptake of phosphate cannot satisfy protist requirements for growth (12). Therefore, in order to sustain themselves in oligotrophic ecosystems, plastidic protists must somehow be able to compensate for a lack of inorganic nutrients. We hypothesise that they do this by mixotrophy: they gain energy from sunlight and simultaneously prey on bacterioplankton to acquire inorganic, and perhaps some essential organic, nutrients, e.g. amino acids and vitamins.

Mixotrophy in natural populations of large (>3µm) plastidic protists has been previously documented microscopically in coastal oligotrophic and upwelling regions as well as in the open equatorial Pacific Ocean and the Mediterranean Sea (15-17). There is also qualitative molecular evidence from the
subtropical North Pacific of the presence of mixotrophs among picocyanobacterial predators (18). Furthermore, the quantitative dominance of bacterivory by small plastidic protists (<3µm) over aplastidic protists has been reported for the temperate North Atlantic Ocean in summer (19). The latter paper also outlined preliminary evidence of bacterivory by plastidic protists in the mesotrophic subtropical northeast Atlantic Ocean. However, the ecological extent of mixotrophy in the vast ecosystems of the oligotrophic open ocean remains unknown.

Here, we show that plastidic protists prey on bacterioplankton in the surface mixed layer of both oligotrophic subtropical gyres and adjoining low latitude pelagic regions of the Atlantic Ocean (40°N to 40°S). Owing to their high abundance, plastidic protists prevail over aplastidic protists in bacterivory. This new evidence suggests that mixotrophy is crucial to sustain the functioning of oligotrophic marine ecosystems.

Results

Protist bacterivory was assessed on three Atlantic Meridional Transect (AMT) research cruises in October-November 2008, 2009 and 2010 encompassing subtropical oligotrophic gyres of the Northern and Southern hemisphere and the Equatorial convergence area (Fig. 1). Temperate waters adjoining the Southern gyre were also examined. The results of an earlier study conducted in 2007 in North Atlantic temperate waters (19) are included for comparison.
Protist feeding on bacterioplankton (Bpl) was determined using a dual-labeling pulse-chase method (20) and flow cytometric sorting of labelled prey and predator cells (19, 21). Three populations of the smallest planktonic protists were examined (supporting information (SI) Fig. S1): plastidic protists (i.e. chloroplast containing) smaller, approximately 2 µm (Plast-S) and larger, approximately 3 µm (Plast-L) as well as aplastidic (without chloroplast) protists, approximately 3 µm (Aplast) (Table S1). The two size classes of plastidic protists were operationally differentiated by flow cytometry using cell 90° light scatter, DNA content and autofluorescence (Fig. S1).

Bacterioplankton cells were labelled using a pulse-chase of two amino acids, 35S-methionine and 3H-leucine (see Methods), to examine digestion of prey biomass by protist predators (see SI). In the majority of experiments, in all regions studied, tracer content of protist cells increased with time during the chase phase in contrast to stable or slightly decreased tracer content of bacterioplankton cells (Figs S2-4). The increase demonstrates bacterivory by the three types of protist cells. More robust 35S-based assessments (see SI) were used to compare protist bacterivory in different oceanic regions (Fig. 2). Because only two stations were examined on each cruise in the Southern temperate (ST) region in austral spring, the 2008, 2009 and 2010 measurements were combined to get a more representative average estimate of protist bacterivory. The rates of bacterivory compared favourably with independent estimates (see SI) derived from cell uptake rates of phosphate in surface waters of the Northern subtropical gyre (NG). Moreover, the influence of light and dark incubation on bacterivory
was studied on the cruise in 2010. No statistically significant light-induced
differences (t-test, p>0.1) in protist bacterivory were determined (Fig. S2, SG
2010).

The rates of cell bacterivory (i.e. the number of bacterioplankton cells
consumed per protist cell h\(^{-1}\)) by the Aplast protists were comparable in all
regions apart from the Northern temperate (NT) region in summer (Fig. 2). The
difference between the ST and the NT regions was probably seasonal.

Bacterivory by the Plast-L cells was the lowest in the Southern subtropical gyre
(SG) in 2008 and 2010 but it was comparable to bacterivory by Aplast cells in the
ST region. Bacterivory by the Plast-S cells was lowest in temperate waters and in
the SG in 2010. It was similar to bacterivory by the Plast-L cells in the NG in

Rates of bacterivory in the SG varied inter-annually. Cell bacterivory by all
three types of protists was significantly higher in 2009 compared to 2008 and
2010, while the differences between 2008 and 2010 were insignificant (Fig. 2).
On the other hand the concentration/biomass of the Plast-S population and
concentration of bacterioplankton were comparable between the three years (Fig.
3a, Fig. S5), whereas the concentration/biomass of Aplast and the Plast-L
protists were higher in 2010. For comparison, *Synechococcus* and
*Prochlorococcus* concentrations were 70% and 50% higher in 2010 compared to
2008 (Figs 1b-d, Fig. S6). Bacterioplankton, *Synechococcus* and
*Prochlorococcus* concentrations in the surface mixed layer of the two gyres were
similar in 2009, while Plast-L and Aplast biomass was lower in the SG than in the
NG, while the opposite was true for the Plast-S protists (Fig. 1c, Figs S5-6). The biomass of Plast-L protists was highest in all regions followed by the biomass of Aplast and Plast-S protists (Figs 3a, b). The combined biomass of the two plastidic protist groups made up between 65% and 90% of the combined biomass of the smallest protists (Fig. 3b).

In contrast to cell bacterivory, population bacterivory (i.e. the total number of bacterioplankton consumed ml\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) by each protist population) in the NG and NT regions was not significantly different between the three protist populations (Fig. 3c). Population bacterivory was significantly higher in more productive temperate regions followed by the equatorial region (Fig. 3c), owing to higher protist concentrations (Fig. 3a, Fig. S5). In the equatorial waters (EQ), and particularly in the SG in 2009, bacterivory by the Plast-L population was the highest compared to other populations, comprising 50% of total protist bacterivory (Fig. 3d). A comparison between the sum of plastidic populations and the aplastidic population showed a significant difference in population bacterivory by plastidic and aplastidic protists (t-test, p=0.01). Cumulative bacterivory by plastidic protists accounted for 60 to 77% of total protist bacterivory across the Atlantic Ocean. Furthermore, inter-annual variability had a minor effect on the domination of bacterivory by plastidic protists.

Discussion

The uniformly higher population rates of bacterivory by plastidic protists compared to aplastidic protists in the surface mixed layer of the northern and
southern gyres and the equatorial region shows for the first time the large contribution of phytoplankton to harvesting bacterioplankton in the low latitude Atlantic Ocean. There are several important implications of this finding.

Firstly, it challenges the long-standing assumption of the total dependence of phytoplankton on dissolved inorganic nutrients in oligotrophic oceanic waters. Independent culture studies have shown that some marine and freshwater algae can acquire scarce nutrients, such as phosphorus and iron, using bacterivory (22, 23). There are also field reports from non-gyre regions that rates of bacterivory by plastidic protists between 3-5 µm and larger than 5 µm negatively correlate with the concentration of soluble reactive phosphorus or iron (16, 17). In this study, rates of bacterivory by Plast-S cells were lower in surface waters of the South Atlantic subtropical gyre compared to the North Atlantic subtropical gyre, which is depleted in phosphate (13). This suggests that the mixotrophy of Plast-S cells may be linked to phosphate depletion. However, differences linked to the seasonality of studies in the gyres (boreal autumn, austral spring) as well as inter-annual variability may also play a role here. In contrast, rates of bacterivory by Plast-L protists were comparable along the whole transect in 2009. Rates of bacterivory by Plast-L cells similar to those found in 2009 have also been measured in the temperate North Atlantic Ocean (19), further supporting the lack of correlation between macro-nutrient availability and bacterivory in Plast-L cells. The main temporal variability in Plast-L bacterivory was inter-annual in the SG. Both biomass and rates of bacterivory by Plast-L and Aplast populations are broadly comparable between the phosphate depleted
Northern and relatively phosphate replete Southern Atlantic oligotrophic gyres (Fig. 3). This corroborates earlier observations of similar microbial abundance and bacterioplankton activities in the two gyres (24) (Fig. 1, Fig. S5).

The second major implication of this work is related to the sustainability of oligotrophic ecosystems, because of the metabolic “inefficiency” of mixotrophs. Notionally, mixotrophs require energy investment in both photosynthetic and phagotrophic cellular apparatus, and laboratory experiments suggest that they may be most ecologically successful when nutrient resources are limited (25) but light energy is plentiful, making surface waters of oligotrophic oceanic gyres their ideal habitat. High basic metabolic requirements and hence a decreased efficiency of nutrient retention by mixotrophic protist generalists compared to phototrophic or phagotrophic specialists (25, 26) should enhance rates of nutrient regeneration in the surface mixed layer of oligotrophic gyres. It has been claimed (26, 27) that by being mixotrophs, algae could also escape nutrient competition with bacterioplankton by reducing bacterioplankton concentrations to levels that would reduce or even arrest growth of specialist phagotrophs, e.g. aplastidic protists.

The third implication of our work is related to the cell metabolism of mixotrophs. Because CO₂ fixation as well as predation and respiration are concomitantly taking place in the same cells, mixotrophy could help to explain the tightness of biogenic carbon budgets at the community level (28). Tight intercellular coupling of production and respiration could contribute to the stability of oligotrophic ecosystems in the absence of seasonal or episodic perturbations.
such as seasonally accumulated bio-available organic matter (30), or allochthonous matter transported by advection (31) or deposited from the atmosphere (32, 33) which enhance growth of opportunistic species and ultimately change the composition of microbial communities.

The fourth implication concerns the ecological significance of the smallest plastidic protists in oligotrophic ecosystems. Apart from being key CO$_2$ fixers (5, 14), plastidic protists control bacterioplankton abundance, acting as producers of organic matter and predators at the same time. Such dual control and interdependence of bacterioplankton and protists could help to explain the constancy of low bacterioplankton concentrations in the oligotrophic ocean compared to more productive regions (34, 35). The scarcity of bacterioplankton prey in oligotrophic gyres in turn probably reduces both propagation of phage infections and growth of specialised predators like aplastidic protists (Fig. S5).

In summary, this work shows the significance and ubiquity of mixotrophy in the survival of the smallest pelagic protists in sunlit oligotrophic surface waters. This deceptively “inefficient” life style should reduce nutrient export and maintain faster nutrient turnover in the surface mixed layer, both of which are essential for sustainable functioning of oligotrophic ecosystems. Consequently, future food web models should consider including mixotrophs as a basic ecosystem element.
Materials and methods

**Sampling**

This study, comprising 68 experiments, was carried out in the Atlantic Ocean during one Atlantic Meridional Transect cruise (AMT) on board the UK RRS *James Clark Ross* in October-November 2008 and on two AMT cruises on board the UK RRS *James Cook* in October-November 2009 and 2010 (Fig. 1). Seawater samples were collected from a depth of 20 m before dawn with 20-litre Niskin bottles mounted on a sampling rosette of a conductivity-temperature-depth profiler (Sea-Bird Electronics, Washington, USA). In 2008 and 2009 experiments were set up within 20 min of sample collection in the dark at ambient temperature, controlled by a water bath within 0.5°C. In 2010 experiments were set up for dark and light measurements in a dark room using only a dim green light (LEE filter 090, transmission of 20-30% of light at 500-550 nm). Light incubation experiments were placed in a 6 L water tank illuminated by a warm white light emitting diodes (LED) array (Photon Systems Instruments, Drasov, Czech Republic). Parallel dark incubations were done in a similar water tank but were isolated from light. Both tanks were plumbed into a refrigerated bath (Grant Instruments, Cambridge, UK) to maintain temperature within 0.5°C of *in situ* temperature at the depth of sample collection. The LED array was adjusted to keep light intensity at 300 µmol Q m⁻² s⁻¹ inside the incubation bottles.

**Cell counting**

Bacterioplankton and protist cell concentrations were determined by flow cytometry (Fig. S1) using FACSsort and FACSCalibur instruments (Becton
Dickinson, Oxford, UK). *Synechococcus* and *Prochlorococcus* cyanobacteria were counted in unfixed samples (Figs 1b-d, Fig. S6). Concentrations of *Prochlorococcus* in the surface mixed layer were likely underestimated owing to low chlorophyll content of cells that led to red autofluorescence of cells lying closer to the detection limit of the flow cytometers. The other samples were fixed with paraformaldehyde (PFA) 1% (w/v) final concentration and stained with SYBR Green I DNA dye (13, 36). A mixture of multifluorescent beads, diameter 0.5 µm and 1.0 µm (fluoresbrite microparticles, Polysciences, Germany), was used as internal standard for fluorescence and flow rates (37). To compare protist population biomass (Figs 3a, b), protist concentrations were multiplied by the corresponding cell biomass values.

To estimate their cell sizes, the three groups of protists were flow sorted (Fig. S1), sorted cells being deposited on polycarbonate membrane filters with 0.2 µm pore diameter. Filters were mounted onto glass slides and stained excessively with DAPI (final concentration 1 µg ml$^{-1}$) to reveal cell cytoplasm. Aplast cells were sorted and sized from 4 experimental samples on the 2009 cruise and from 5 experimental samples on the 2010 cruise, which represented all regions studied. Plast-L and Plast-S cells were sorted from 5 and 4 experimental samples on the 2010 cruise. At least 200 cells were measured at 1000× magnification of an epifluorescence microscope (Axioskope 2, Zeiss, Germany) to estimate mean cell diameters. Mean cell diameters of each of the three protist groups were statistically similar in analysed samples (Table S1). The overall mean size of Plast-S cells of 2.0 ± 0.1 µm was significantly lower (t-test,
p=0.0002) than the overall mean for Plast-L cells of 3.1 ± 0.3 µm, while the overall mean size of Aplast cells of 2.9 ± 0.3 µm was statistically similar to the size of Plast-L cells. To estimate the biomass of the three protist groups their cell biovolume was computed assuming that the cells are spheres with a diameter equal to the mean cell size. Cell biovolume was converted into cell biomass using a specific carbon content of 200 fg C µm$^{-3}$, taken as a mean value from Christian and Karl (38).

**Determining rates of protist bacterivory using pulse-chase dual-labelling of natural communities**

Before an experiment, glass bottles (250 ml, Schott, Fisher Scientific, UK) were soaked in 10% hydrochloric acid and rinsed twice with 50 ml of sampled seawater (taken from the same Niskin bottle as that for the subsequent experiment). Seawater (250 ml) from the sample was subsequently added to each washed glass bottle and spiked with L-$^{[35S]}$ methionine (specific activity >37 TBq/mmol, Hartmann Analytic, Germany), final concentration 0.25 nM or 0.4 nM, and L-$^{[4,5-\text{H}]}$ leucine (specific activity 1.48-2.22 TBq/mmol, Hartmann Analytic), final concentration 0.5 nM. An increase in the amount of label (and thus the sensitivity of the experiment) was necessary to compensate for the low abundance of protists in the oligotrophic gyres. After 1.5 h incubation, non-radioactive methionine and leucine were added to final concentrations of 0.25 µM, or 0.4 µM and 0.5 µM, respectively, to chase the radioactive amino acids (19, 20). Samples were incubated for 1.5 h to stabilise pulses in bacterioplankton cells before taking subsamples (120 ml, fixed with 1% (w/v) PFA at 3 h and 9 h)
for the measurement of protist tracer uptake rates. In parallel, the pulse-chase was monitored over 9 h by taking 1.6 ml subsamples every 15 min for 3 h followed by sampling intervals of 1 h until the end of the 9 h experiment. These samples were fixed with 1% (w/v) PFA and after 1 h of fixation particulate material was collected onto 0.2 μm polycarbonate filters (Nuclepore, Whatman, UK) to measure the total sample radioactivity (Fig. S7).

In addition to the two point experiments (3 h vs. 9 h), time course experiments were carried out at several stations on two cruises (2008 and 2009) with samples fixed at four time points for subsequent population sorting to resolve protist feeding dynamics (Fig. S3).

To check for potential osmotrophic uptake of tracers by protists during the chase phase, additional so-called “chase-pulse” experiments (in parallel to “pulse-chase” experiments) were carried out at three stations on the 2009 cruise. In the “chase-pulse” experiments samples were initially spiked with unlabelled leucine and methionine molecules at concentrations of radiotracers used in the parallel pulse-chase experiment. After 1.5 h incubation unlabelled amino acids were added at concentrations to make up to the values used in the chase (see above) and in addition radiotracers were added at concentrations identical to the ones used in the pulse phase. Subsamples were fixed for flow sorting after 3 h and 9 h. The measured radioactivity in chase-pulse labelled and sorted cells was comparable to the background (Fig. S4), confirming the insignificant osmotrophic uptake of tracer molecules by sorted protists and bacterioplankton during the chase phase.
Flow cytometric cell sorting

PFA-fixed radioactively-labelled samples were stored at 4°C and sorted within 10 h. For each time point four different populations (total bacterioplankton (Bpl), Plast-S, Plast-L and Aplast protists) were sorted (Fig. S1). For each population four to eight replicates of different cell number were sorted (19).

Sorted bacterioplankton cells were collected onto 0.2 µm polycarbonate filters. Sorted protist cells were collected onto 0.8 µm polycarbonate filters to reduce the retention of potentially by-sorted Bpl cells. Filters were washed with deionised water to remove contaminating tracer and placed into scintillation vials to which 5 ml of scintillation cocktail (GoldStar, Meridian, UK) was added.

Subsequently, the vials were radioassayed for 0.5-2 h (depending on sample radioactivity) using ultra-low-level liquid scintillation counters (1220 Quantalus, Wallac, Finland).

Data analyses

Using quench curves, the $^3$H label was deconvoluted from the $^{35}$S label and the amount of each label was computed as Bq cell$^{-1}$ by dividing the cumulative $^3$H or $^{35}$S radioactivity by the corresponding number of sorted cells. Cell radioactivities at 3 h and 9 h were compared including dark and light incubations (Fig. S2).

Cell bacterivory was calculated according to the following formula:

$$\text{Bacterivory} = (\text{Prt}_{\text{avg}T2} \times \text{Bpl}_{\text{avg}T2}^{-1} - \text{Prt}_{\text{avg}T1} \times \text{Bpl}_{\text{avg}T1}^{-1}) \times (T2-T1)^{-1}$$

where $\text{Prt}_{\text{avg}T2}$ is the average activity of 4-8 replicates of one of the protist groups at the second time point and $\text{Prt}_{\text{avg}T1}$, the same at the first time point; T1 and T2 are the first and the second time points of the experiment (e.g. 3 h and 9 h,
respectively); \( B_{pl}^{avg}T_1 \) and \( B_{pl}^{avg}T_2 \) are the average activity of 4-8 replicates of the bacterioplankton cells at T1 and T2, respectively. Because of the pulse-chase experimental design, the activity of the Bpl was in most cases the same at T1 and T2 (Figs S2-4), a cumulative mean could be used.

To verify that the increase in label between the first and second time points was statistically significant, t-tests (\( p<0.05 \)) were carried out using SigmaPlot 11.0 (Systat Software, USA) and Quattro-Pro X4 (Corel, Ottawa, Canada) software. Errors were calculated according to standard error propagation procedures. The majority of experiments (80%) showed a significant difference in protist radioactivity between the two time points (Figs S2, S4) and hence demonstrated bacterivorous activity of the Plast-S, Plast-L and Aplast cells. We attribute non-significant bacterivory in some experiments to the detection limit of our method owing to the low radioactivities measured. All estimates of rates of cell bacterivory were included in calculations of average regional rates (Fig. 2). The mean rates were all significantly higher than zero (t-tests, \( p<0.05 \)). To calculate regional bacterivory of the protist populations (Fig. 3c), bacterivory per cell was multiplied by the corresponding mean concentration of protist cells ml\(^{-1}\). T-tests were used to compare mean values; standard deviations, derived from pooled variance, were used to show variability within regions (Figs 2, 3).
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Figure legends

Fig. 1. A schematic map of the Atlantic Ocean showing the area sampled in the 2008, 2009 and 2010 cruises (a) with corresponding contour plots of vertical distribution of *Synechococcus* cyanobacteria in 2008 (b), 2009 (c) and 2010 (d). These distributions were used to identify the boundaries of the five oceanic regions: Northern temperate waters (NT), Northern subtropical gyre (NG), equatorial waters (EQ), Southern subtropical gyre (SG) and Southern temperate waters (ST). Short dashed lines (a) indicate these boundaries. Solid lines with dots (a) indicate the ship tracks and sampled stations, respectively. Circles (b, c) indicate sampled depths. The stations sampled in 2007 in North Atlantic temperate waters are also indicated.

Fig. 2. A comparison of mean rates of cell bacterivory by the flow-sorted aplastidic (Aplast), large plastidic (Plast-L) and small plastidic (Plast-S) protists in the five regions (see Fig. 1 for details). The numbers next to the region abbreviations indicate the year of sampling, and then the numbers in brackets indicate the number of separate experiments performed in each region. The rates were calculated using $^{35}$S-methionine pulse-chase tracing. Error bars show single standard deviations to indicate the variance of rates within regions. The results of an earlier study conducted in 2007 in North Atlantic temperate waters (19) are included for comparison.

Fig. 3. A comparison of mean absolute (a) and relative (b) population biomass and mean absolute (c) and relative (d) population bacterivory of aplastidic (Aplast), large plastidic (Plast-L) and small plastidic (Plast-S) protists in the five
regions (see Fig. 1 for details). The numbers next to the region abbreviations indicate the year of sampling. The numbers in brackets indicate the number of experiments done in each region. The rates were calculated using $^{35}$S-methionine pulse-chase tracing. Error bars show single standard deviations to indicate the variance of biomass and rates within regions.