The effect of surgical approach on the histology of the femoral head following resurfacing of the hip
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Objectives
We aimed to determine the effect of surgical approach on the histology of the femoral head following resurfacing of the hip.

Methods
We performed a histological assessment of the bone under the femoral component taken from retrieval specimens of patients having revision surgery following resurfacing of the hip. We compared the number of empty lacunae in specimens from patients who had originally had a posterior surgical approach with the number in patients having alternative surgical approaches.

Results
We found a statistically significant increase in the percentage of empty lacunae in retrieval specimens from patients who had the posterior approach compared with other surgical approaches (p < 0.001).

Conclusions
This indicates that the vascular compromise that occurs during the posterior surgical approach does have long-term effects on the bone of the femoral head, even if it does not cause overt avascular necrosis.
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Article focus
- We studied the long-term effects of surgical approach on vascularity of femoral head using retrieval specimens from failed hip resurfacings
- We used a nuclei-counting method to compare the vascularity of femoral heads between posterior and anterior type approaches, such as the trochanteric flip and anterolateral approaches

Strengths and limitations
- The main strength of this study was the systematic analysis of 47 histology slides from 12 retrieval specimens
- Limitations include the relatively small number of specimens and the potential effect of thermal necrosis in cemented specimens

Key messages
- There is a statistically significant increase in the percentage of empty lacunae in retrieval specimens from patients who had the posterior approach compared with other surgical approaches
- The posterior surgical approach does have long-term effects on the bone of the femoral head, even if it does not cause overt avascular necrosis

Introduction
Modern hip resurfacing arthroplasty has been a popular option for treating young active patients with arthritis of the hip for the last decade. It has potential advantages over
conventional total hip replacement (THR) include relatively less bone resection, lower dislocation rates and the ability to convert to a THR in a subsequent operation if the need arises.2,3

There have been encouraging reports of medium-term survival of metal-on-metal (MoM) resurfacing of the hip,4 but complications such as avascular necrosis, persistent groin pain, neck reabsorption, pseudotumours and metallosis have been noted as reasons for failure.5,6 Several studies have reported these complications and attempted to understand the underlying causes.7-9 When resurfacing was first introduced, the role of blood flow to the femoral head and the surgical approach was considered to play a key role in deciding the final outcome of surgery.10-12 In a previous study,13 we reported that the fall in the intraoperative blood flow to the femoral head is higher with the posterior approach compared with the trochanteric flip approaches during resurfacing of the hip.13 However, a follow-up study revealed that at the end of one year, the vascularity had returned to similar levels in both groups.14

In this study our aim is to assess the vascular compromise between posterior surgical approach and alternative approaches in 12 retrieval specimens taken from failed hip resurfacing arthroplasties.

**Materials and Methods**

The regional Research and Development department and the local ethics committee approved the study.

We retrieved femoral heads from consecutive patients who underwent revision for failed resurfacing arthroplasty at a single institution (University Hospitals of Coventry and Warwickshire NHS Trust) between August 2007 and August 2009. The exclusion criteria were badly damaged femoral heads that were unsuitable for the retrieval analysis and patients with multiple surgeries of the resurfaced hip before revision. None of the initial surgery was performed for avascular necrosis (AVN) as it is considered a contraindication for hip resurfacings in our institution.

A total of 19 implants were retrieved. Seven were excluded: five femoral heads were badly damaged and unsuitable for retrieval analysis and two patients had undergone multiple surgeries on the hip. The 12 remaining femoral heads were divided into two groups based on the surgical approach used during the initial resurfacing operation. The patient details are given in Table I.

**Preparation of specimens.** At the time of revision surgery, the specimens were transported in 0.9% normal saline containers and then stored in 10% neutral buffered formalin solution. All specimens were handled according to national guidelines on human tissue use.15

The specimens were transported to the retrieval analysis laboratory (Orthopaedic Hospital, University of California Los Angeles). At the laboratory, each specimen was orientated and fixed in a supportive cement block. Two sections 2.5 mm thick were cut using an implant cutting saw (Exakt Technologies, Gottingen, Germany); one from the anterior and the other from the posterior half of each specimen.

**Preparation of histological slides.** The metal implant and adjacent bone cement was then removed from each section. Each anterior and each posterior section was further cut into a superior and an inferior half. The sections were then decalcified using decalcifying solution (Surgipath Decalcifier II solution; Surgipath Medical Industries, Inc., Richmond, Illinois).

Once decalcification was complete, the sections were embedded in paraffin, and sectioned at 5 μm. The sections were mounted on slides and stained with haematoxylin & eosin. A total of 47 slides were analysed; 24 in the posterior approach group and 23 in the non-posterior group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Other approaches <em>(n = 6)</em></th>
<th>Posterior approach <em>(n = 6)</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age at revision (yrs) (range)</td>
<td>52 (37 to 66)</td>
<td>54 (40 to 57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (n, %)</td>
<td>3 (50)</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixation (n, %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cemented</td>
<td>4 (67)</td>
<td>4 (67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncemented</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean time since initial surgery to revision (mths) (range)</td>
<td>43 (11 to 70)</td>
<td>40 (8 to 120)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* comprising four trochanteric flip and two anterolateral approaches

**Table I. Patient details of the two groups.**

Cutting diagram showing the coronal sections of the femoral head.
Each slide was scanned using a slide scanner (3DHistech Ltd, Budapest, Hungary) and viewed using the corresponding software on a computer. The degree of bone necrosis was assessed by counting the percentage of empty lacunae within a single strip of bone in the middle of each section. A rectangular area 0.5 mm wide extending vertically from the proximal to the distal end at the mid-point of the slide was selected as the counting area (Fig. 2).

The mid strip was selected as it gave the widest cross section from margin to the centre of the bone. However, to ensure that the ‘mid’ strip was representative of the bone within the slide, we performed a comparison study in 13 slides: seven from the non-posterior and six from the posterior group (Table II). The number of nuclei in the ‘mid’ strip was compared with an identical strip from another area identified as the ‘most’ strip (Fig. 2).

Table II. Comparison study results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slide</th>
<th>Empty lacunae in ‘mid’ strip (%)</th>
<th>Empty lacunae in ‘most’ strip (%)</th>
<th>p-value (chi-squared)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-posterior</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>23.80</td>
<td>26.88</td>
<td>0.745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>21.42</td>
<td>22.61</td>
<td>0.896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15.45</td>
<td>19.28</td>
<td>0.356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>16.38</td>
<td>14.06</td>
<td>0.665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>19.56</td>
<td>18.09</td>
<td>0.989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>16.88</td>
<td>15.51</td>
<td>0.958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.22</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>0.975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>18.37</td>
<td>18.37</td>
<td>0.910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>18.93</td>
<td>20.84</td>
<td>0.601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>23.36</td>
<td>24.85</td>
<td>0.708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>26.50</td>
<td>27.71</td>
<td>0.765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>24.95</td>
<td>36.47</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>54.44</td>
<td>51.16</td>
<td>0.525</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Once the comparison study and the agreement study were completed, we compared the proportion of empty lacunae in the posterior approach group and non-posterior groups using a chi-squared test (Table III). We looked for any interaction between the surgical approach and the position (anterior of posterior) of the section using logistic regression analysis.

Finally, as a control test, the results were compared with the histology of a femoral head taken from a patient who had a hip replacement for established Ficat and Arlet stage avascular necrosis (AVN). In this AVN slide, we counted three identical strips of bone similar to the ‘mid’ strips used in the posterior approach and non-posterior groups; the strips were identified as ‘mid’, ‘left’ and ‘right’.

Results

Table III and Figure 3 show the comparison between the posterior approach group and non-posterior group.
Logistic regression analysis for empty cell numbers showed a significant effect due to surgical approach (p < 0.001, for model regression coefficient), but no evidence of statistical significance for anterior or posterior location within the head (p = 0.319) or for an interaction between position and group (p = 0.282). Parameter estimates from the regression model suggest that the odds ratio for empty nuclei was 1.71 (95% confidence interval (CI) 1.59 to 1.83) for the posterior approach group versus the non-posterior group; i.e. the data indicates that there were significantly more empty cells in the posterior approach group than the non-posterior group.

Control study. When comparing the AVN slide with both posterior and non-posterior groups we found that the AVN slide to have a mean percentage of empty lacunae of 88%, compared with 35% in the non-posterior group was 35% and 24% in the posterior group (Table IV, Fig. 4).

Discussion
The relationship between surgical approach and blood flow to the femoral head following resurfacing of the hip has been a subject of interest to surgeons for several years. Most intra-operative studies have confirmed a drop in blood flow during the posterior approach compared with other surgical approaches. However, the question still remains as to whether this reduction in blood flow during surgery leads to permanent changes in the bone of the femoral head?

We found a statistically significant difference in the percentage of empty lacunae in retrieval specimens from patients who underwent the posterior approach compared with other surgical approaches. This indicates that the vascular compromise that occurs during the posterior surgical approach does have long-term effects on the bone of the femoral head.

Table III. Total cell counts and empty cell rates by group (non-posterior and posterior) and section (anterior and posterior)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group/Section</th>
<th>Cell count (n)</th>
<th>Nucleated (n)</th>
<th>Empty (n, %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-posterior</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>2307</td>
<td>1758</td>
<td>549 (23.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior</td>
<td>4329</td>
<td>3251</td>
<td>1078 (24.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>3993</td>
<td>2559</td>
<td>1434 (35.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior</td>
<td>4117</td>
<td>2659</td>
<td>1458 (35.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14 746</td>
<td>10 227</td>
<td>4519 (30.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table IV. Proportion of empty lacunae counted from the three strips in the control (avascular necrosis)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strip</th>
<th>Total (n)</th>
<th>Empty (n, %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mid</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>38 (92.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>68 (84.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>35 (89.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>141 (87.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3

Strip plot of the mean percentage empty nuclei (bars represent 95% confidence intervals), by group (non-posterior and posterior), with shading to indicate section (anterior, black; posterior, grey) within groups; the x-axis values have been jittered by adding a small random component to aid presentation.

Fig. 4a

Slide from a) the non-posterior group showing nucleated lacunae (magnification ×20) and b) the hip with avascular necrosis, showing mostly empty lacuna (magnification ×40, both haematoxylin and eosin).
Steffen et al.\(^8\) reported only 9% empty lacunae in retrieval specimens from hip replacements done for osteoarthritis, compared with 85% in revision hip replacement done for failed resurfacings due to femoral neck fractures where avascular necrosis would be expected. Other studies of histological retrieval specimens have also shown high rates of avascular necrosis after hip resurfacing.\(^24,25\) In contrast, our study demonstrated only 35% empty lacunae in the posterior surgical approach group and 23% empty lacunae in the non-posterior group. These results suggest that although the posterior approach seems to cause more vascular compromise than other surgical approaches, it may not be enough to cause avascular necrosis, at least in the majority of cases.

In our study, the average percentage of empty lacunae in the femoral head of a patient with well-established avascular necrosis was 89% (Table IV), which is in keeping with other studies.\(^8\) The advantage of our study is that we took pre-defined 2.5 mm thick coronal sections from the mid-point of the anterior and posterior half of the femoral head (Fig. 1), such that comparisons between groups were made from the same areas of the femoral head. This also gives a larger representative sample of bone extending from the outer margin of the epiphysis to inner margin of the head-neck junction.

One limitation of this study was the fact there was a mix of cemented and uncemented implants. In cemented specimens, the outer margin (cement-bone interphase) may be exposed to thermal necrosis,\(^26,27\) which may affect the number of empty lacunae. However, both groups of patients had the same number of cemented specimens (Table I). The total number of specimens was also relatively small. However, each specimen was analysed in great depth, including 24 cut sections and 47 histological slides. Finally, as the study involved an exhaustive manual counting process, error due to observer eccentricity or (unintentional) bias was a possibility. We addressed this by conducting an agreement study.

We analysed both anterior and posterior sections from each femoral head. The posterior part of the head is mainly supplied by retinacular branches of the medial circumflex femoral artery while the anterior part is supplied by retinacular branches from both the medial and lateral circumflex femoral arteries.\(^28,29\) In theory, we might therefore expect the posterior sections to show a significant difference in empty lacunae compared with the anterior sections. However, we did not find any statistically significant difference between the anterior and posterior sections (\(p = 0.319\)) (Fig. 3). This may suggest that the difference between the two groups is not directly associated with a reduction in the posterior blood supply caused by damage to medial circumflex femoral artery and there may be considerable overlap between areas of bone supplied by medial and lateral circumflex femoral artery.

In conclusion, we found a statistically significant difference in the percentage of empty lacunae in retrieval specimens from patients who had the posterior approach compared with other surgical approaches during resurfacing of the femoral head. This indicates that the vascular compromise that occurs during the posterior surgical approach does have long-term effects on the bone of the femoral head, even if it does not cause overt avascular necrosis.
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