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Abstract

Let $E$ be an elliptic curve defined over a field $K$. The main topic of this thesis is to present a method for the explicit computation of all separable $K$-rational $\ell$-isogenies of $E$ and isogenous curves for small primes $\ell$. The key tool for this explicit computation is that the modular curve $X_0(\ell)$ parametrises $\ell$-isogenies of elliptic curves. In [3], Cremona and Watkins give explicit isogeny formulae for $\ell \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 13\}$, where the modular curve $X_0(\ell)$ has genus 0. Their formula allow us to compute $\ell$-isogenies of $E$ by simply substituting its $j$-invariant and twisting parameter into the formulae. We extend the work of Cremona and Watkins to the cases $\ell \in \{11, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 41, 47, 59, 71\}$, where the genus of $X_0(\ell)$ is greater than 0 but the modular curve $X^+_0(\ell)$ has genus 0.
Chapter 1

Introduction

The main problem we consider in this thesis is the following.

Problem 1.0.1. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve defined over a field $K$. For small primes $\ell$, compute:

(1) all separable $K$-rational $\ell$-isogenies of $E$, up to equivalence.

(2) equations for the isogenous curves.

We say that two isogenies $\phi$ and $\phi'$ of an elliptic curve are equivalent if $\ker(\phi) = \ker(\phi')$. Moreover, if an isogeny $\phi$ is separable then $\deg(\phi) = \# \ker(\phi)$. If the characteristic of $K$ is not $\ell$ then it is well-known that $E[\ell] \cong \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$, which contains $\ell + 1$ subgroups of order $\ell$. Once we have an order $\ell$ subgroup $H \subseteq E(K)$ that is defined over $K$ (i.e. $P \in H$ implies $P^\sigma \in H$ for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$), then there exists a separable $K$-rational $\ell$-isogeny $\phi$ of $E$ to some elliptic curve $E'$ defined over $K$. Thus there are at most $\ell + 1$ $K$-rational $\ell$-isogenies of $E$, up to equivalence.

Vélu [19] gave explicit formulas for $\phi$ and $E'$ in terms of the coordinates of the points in the kernel $H$. Later Vélu’s formula was modified by Kohel [10]; he introduced the idea of computing $\phi$ and $E'$ from the associated kernel polynomial defined over $K$, the unique monic polynomial of the smallest degree such that the roots are the $x$-coordinates of the points in the kernel $H$. Hence Problem 1.0.1 is reduced to computing the associated kernel polynomials that are defined over $K$. The rational functions associated to the isogeny can

Cremona and Watkins in their unpublished preprint [3] gave a method for computing kernel polynomials for $\ell$-isogenies for $\ell \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 13\}$, where the modular curve $X_0(\ell)$ has genus 0. The great advantage of their method is that it gives a completely explicit formula for the so-called “generic kernel polynomial”, for which we can compute kernel polynomials of a given elliptic curve by simply substituting the $j$-invariant and a suitable “twisting parameter” of $E$ into the formula. Thus we can compute the generic kernel polynomial once and for all for each $\ell$ and then specialize to a given curve. Moreover, their method also works over a finite field by first computing in characteristic zero and then reducing.

In Chapter 2, we review the definitions and properties of elliptic curves, isogenies of elliptic curves, modular curves, modular forms and modular functions, which will be required in the later chapters.

In Chapter 3 we give a method called the “Division polynomial factorization method” for computing kernel polynomials for $\ell$-isogenies of $E$. The main advantage of this method is that it works for any prime $\ell$ and over a field of any characteristic, although it becomes slower for larger $\ell$ since we need to compute and factorize a polynomial of degree $\frac{\ell^2-1}{2}$. Note that this method is completely algebraic in the sense that it simply involves a factorization of the $\ell$-division polynomial of $E$ and does not involve any analytic tools such as modular curves, modular functions and $q$-expansions.

In Chapter 4 we give the method for computing $\ell$-isogenies by using the generic kernel polynomials for $\ell \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 13\}$ in detail, following Cremona and Watkins [3]. Then in Chapter 5 we extend the method described in Chapter 4 to the cases $\ell \in \{11, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 41, 47, 59, 71\}$, where the genus of the modular curve $X_0(\ell)$ is greater than 0 but $X_0^+(\ell)$ has genus 0. The work in Chapter 5 is based on the work of Elkies [7], but the main advantage of our method presented in this thesis is that it works even if the characteristic of the field is sufficiently small, whereas the method of Elkies [7] or Bostan et al [1] requires that the characteristic of the field is sufficiently larger than the degree of isogeny. We will give some illustrative examples for our method, and all the algorithms developed in this thesis are fully implemented in SAGE.
Finally, in Appendix A we give tables of data used for the method presented in Chapter 5.
Chapter 2

Background

This chapter contains reviews of the basic material used in the thesis. We refer to the books of Silverman [17], Galbraith [8] and Diamond and Shurman [5] for most of the details and omitted proofs. Throughout this thesis let \( K \) denote a field and \( \text{char}(K) \) denote the characteristic of \( K \), and let \( \overline{K} \) denote the separable closure of \( K \).

2.1 Elliptic curves

An elliptic curve \( E \) over a field \( K \) is a non-singular projective curve of genus 1 with a specified basepoint \( \mathcal{O} \) that is defined over \( K \). Using the Riemann-Roch theorem, one can show that every elliptic curve has a plane model given by a Weierstrass equation

\[
Y^2Z + a_1XYZ + a_3YZ^2 = X^3 + a_2X^2Z + a_4XZ^2 + a_6Z^3 \quad (2.1)
\]

where \( a_1, ..., a_6 \in K \) (see [17, Proposition III.3.1]). Here \( \mathcal{O} = [0 : 1 : 0] \) is the basepoint, which we call the point at infinity of \( E \). By using the coordinates \( x = X/Z \) and \( y = Y/Z \), we obtain an affine Weierstrass equation

\[
y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6. \quad (2.2)
\]

In this thesis we will usually assume that an elliptic curve \( E \) is given by an affine Weierstrass equation as in (2.2). We also define the following quantities
associated to $E$:

\[ b_2 = a_1^2 + 4a_2, \quad b_4 = a_1a_3 + 2a_4, \quad b_6 = a_3^2 + 4a_6, \]
\[ b_8 = a_1^2a_6 - a_1a_3a_4 + 4a_2a_6 + a_2a_3^2 - a_4. \]

We further define:

\[ c_4 = b_2^2 - 24b_4, \quad c_6 = -b_2^3 + 36b_2b_4 - 216b_6, \]
\[ \Delta(E) = -b_2^2b_8 - 8b_4^3 - 27b_6^2 + 9b_2b_6b_6, \]
\[ j(E) = c_4^3 / \Delta. \]

We refer to $b_2$, $b_4$, $b_6$, and $b_8$ as the $b$-invariants and $c_4$, $c_6$ as the $c$-invariants of $E$. The constant $\Delta(E)$ is called the discriminant of $E$, and a Weierstrass equation given by (2.2) is non-singular if and only if $\Delta(E)$ is non-zero. The constant $j(E)$ is called the $j$-invariant of $E$. It turns out that two elliptic curves $E$ and $E'$ over $K$ are isomorphic over the algebraic closure of $K$ if and only if $j(E) = j(E')$.

**Transformations**

Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $K$ given in the form (2.2). If $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$, then applying $(x, y) \mapsto (x, \frac{1}{2}(y - a_1x - a_3))$ gives

\[ y^2 = 4x^3 + b_2x^2 + 2b_4x + b_6. \] (2.3)

Further if $\text{char}(K) \neq 3$, then applying $(x, y) \mapsto (\frac{x-3b_2}{36}, \frac{y-108}{108})$ to (2.3) yields

\[ y^2 = x^3 - 27c_4x - 54c_6. \] (2.4)

**Twists**

Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $K$ given by the form $y^2 = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$ and let $d \in K^\times$. Then the elliptic curve $E^{(d)}$ given by

\[ E^{(d)}: y^2 = x^3 + a_2dx^2 + a_4d^2x + a_6d^3 \]

is called the twist of $E$ by $d$. Note that $j(E^{(d)}) = j(E)$ and $\Delta(E^{(d)}) = d^6\Delta(E)$.
Elliptic curve with $j$-invariant $j$

Given $j \in K \setminus \{0, 1728\}$, we can always construct an elliptic curve with $j$-invariant $j$.

(1) If char$(K) \not\in \{2, 3\}$, then the elliptic curve

$$E_j : y^2 = x^3 - 3jhx - 2jk^2$$

where $k = j - 1728$

has $j$-invariant $j(E_j) = j$ and discriminant $\Delta(E_j) = 2^{12}3^6j^2k^3$.

(2) If char$(K) = 3$, then the elliptic curve

$$y^2 = x^3 - jx^2 + j^3$$

has $j$-invariant $j$ and discriminant $\Delta = j^5$.

(3) If char$(K) = 2$, then the elliptic curve

$$y^2 + xy = x^3 + \frac{1}{j}$$

has $j$-invariant $j$ and discriminant $\Delta = \frac{1}{j}$.

In case (1), the curve $E_j$ has bad reduction at 2 and 3. However, we can transform $E_j$ as follows to obtain a curve with $j$-invariant $j$ and whose discriminant is divisible only by $j$ and $k$. First apply the transformation $(x, y) \mapsto (x - j, y)$ to $E_j$ to obtain:

$$y^2 = x^3 - 3jx^2 + 2^63^4jx + 2^63^3j(j - 2^73^3).$$

Now twist by $\frac{1}{3}$ to obtain

$$E_{g3} : y^2 = x^3 - jx^2 + 2^63^2jx + 2^6j(j - 2^73^3)$$

(2.7)

with $j$-invariant $j$ and discriminant $\Delta = 2^{12}j^2(j - 1728)^3$. Note that $E_{g3}$ has good reduction at 3, and reducing $E_{g3}$ modulo 3 yields the curve (2.5).

Now we twist $E_{g3}$ by $-j$ to obtain:

$$y^2 = x^3 + j^2x^2 + 2^63^2j^3x - 2^6j^4(j - 2^73^3).$$
Then apply \((x, y) \mapsto (x, y + jx)\) to obtain:

\[
y^2 + 2jxy = x^3 + 2^6 3^2 j^3 x - 2^6 j^4 (j - 2^7 3^3).
\]

Finally, applying \((x, y) \mapsto ((2j)^2 x, (2j)^3 y)\) yields:

\[
E_{g2} = y^2 + xy = x^3 + \frac{2^2 3^2}{j} x - \frac{j - 2^7 3^3}{j^2}
\]

(2.8)

with \(j\)-invariant \(j\) and discriminant \(\Delta = \frac{(j - 1728)^3}{j^3}\). Note that \(E_{g2}\) has good reduction at 2 and reducing \(E_{g2}\) modulo 2 yields the curve (2.6).

**Addition law**

Let \(E\) be an elliptic curve over \(K\). Let \(L \supset K\) be a field. We define the set

\[
E(L) := \{(x, y) \in L^2 : y^2 + a_1 xy + a_3 y = x^3 + a_2 x^2 + a_4 x + a_6\} \cup \{O\}.
\]

For any two points on \(E(L)\), one can define an addition operation \(+\), which makes \(E(L)\) into an abelian group with identity element \(O\). The addition law can be expressed by rational functions in \(x\) and \(y\) (see \([17, \text{§III.2}]\)).

For a positive integer \(n\) and a point \(P \in E(\overline{K})\), denote \(nP = P + \ldots + P\) (adding \(n\) times). The set

\[
E[n] = \{P \in E(\overline{K}) \mid nP = O\}
\]

is a subgroup of \(E(\overline{K})\) and is called the \(n\)-torsion subgroup of \(E\). We have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.1.** Let \(E\) be an elliptic curve over \(K\) and let \(n\) be a positive integer.

(a) If \(\text{char}(K) = 0\) or if \(\text{char}(K)\) is coprime to \(n\), then

\[
E[n] \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}.
\]
(b) If \( \text{char}(K) = p \), then

\[
\text{either } E[p^e] = \{0\} \quad \text{for all } e \in \mathbb{N} \\
or \quad E[p^e] \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^e\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{for all } e \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

Proof. See [17, Corollary III.6.4].

If \( \text{char}(K) = p \), then by the above theorem \( E[p] \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \) or \( \{0\} \). \( E \) is called ordinary if \( E[p] \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \), and \( E \) is called supersingular if \( E[p] \cong \{0\} \).

There is a natural action of the Galois group \( \text{Gal} (\overline{K}/K) \) on \( E(\overline{K}) \). Let \( \sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \). The action of \( \sigma \) on \( P = (x, y) \in E(\overline{K}) \) is given by:

\[
P^\sigma = (\sigma(x), \sigma(y)) \quad \text{and} \quad 0^\sigma = 0.
\]

Since the addition on \( E \) can be defined by rational functions defined over \( K \), the above Galois action commutes with the addition on \( E \), i.e., \( (P + Q)^\sigma = P^\sigma + Q^\sigma \). It follows that \( \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \) acts on \( E[n] \) for any positive integer \( n \), since if \( P \in E[n] \) then \( P^\sigma \in E[n] \).

## 2.2 Isogenies

### 2.2.1 An overview of isogenies

Let \( E \) and \( E' \) be elliptic curves defined over a field \( K \). An isogeny defined over \( K \) or \( K \)-rational isogeny is a morphism \( \phi : E \to E' \) that is defined over \( K \) such that \( \phi(O_E) = O_{E'} \). The zero isogeny is the constant morphism \( \phi : E \to E' \) such that \( \phi(P) = O_{E'} \) for all \( P \in E(\overline{K}) \). The kernel of an isogeny \( \phi : E \to E' \) is

\[
\ker(\phi) = \{ P \in E(\overline{K}) \mid \phi(P) = O_{E'} \}.
\]

If \( \ker(\phi) \) is a cyclic group, then we call \( \phi \) a cyclic isogeny. We say that the isogenies \( \phi_1, \phi_2 : E \to E' \) are equivalent if \( \ker(\phi_1) = \ker(\phi_2) \). Otherwise we say that \( \phi_1 \) and \( \phi_2 \) are inequivalent. A non-zero isogeny \( \phi : E \to E' \) induces an injection of function fields (see [17, §III.4])

\[
\phi^* : K(E') \to K(E).
\]
The degree of an isogeny $\phi : E \to E'$ is

$$\text{deg}(\phi) = [K(E) : \phi^*K(E')]$$

the degree of the field extension $K(E)/\phi^*K(E')$, and the degree of the zero isogeny is 0. We call an isogeny of degree $N$ an $N$-isogeny. An isogeny $\phi : E \to E'$ is called separable if the extension $K(E)/\phi^*K(E')$ is separable. If $\phi$ is separable, then $\# \ker(\phi) = \text{deg}(\phi)$.

It turns out that an isogeny $\phi : E \to E'$ is a homomorphism from $E$ to $E'$, i.e.,

$$\phi(P + Q) = \phi(P) + \phi(Q) \quad \text{for all } P, Q \in E(K)$$

(see [17, Theorem III.4.8]). We denote

$$\text{Hom}(E, E') = \{ \overline{K}\text{-rational isogenies } \phi : E \to E' \}$$

which is a group under the addition law

$$(\phi_1 + \phi_2)(P) = \phi_1(P) + \phi_2(P)$$

for all $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \text{Hom}(E, E')$ and $P \in E(K)$.

Suppose $E$ and $E'$ are given by projective equation as in (2.1). Then a $K$-rational isogeny $\phi : E \to E'$ is given by the map

$$\phi([X : Y : Z]) = [\phi_0([X : Y : Z]) : \phi_1([X : Y : Z]) : \phi_2([X : Y : Z])]$$

where $\phi_0, \phi_1, \phi_2$ are homogeneous polynomials of equal degree defined over $K$ (see [14, §III.5]) satisfying the projective equation of $E'$. If $\phi$ is an non-zero isogeny, then using the affine coordinates $x = X/Z$ and $y = Y/Z$, $\phi$ can be expressed in the rational function form:

$$\phi(x, y) = (R_1(x, y), R_2(x, y)),$$

where $R_1(x, y) = \frac{\phi_0(x,y,1)}{\phi_2(x,y,1)}$ and $R_2(x, y) = \frac{\phi_1(x,y,1)}{\phi_2(x,y,1)}$, which are rational functions defined over $K$. In fact, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2.1. Let $E : y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$ and $E' : \ldots$
\[ y^2 + a'_1 xy + a'_3 y = x^3 + a'_2 x^2 + a'_4 x + a'_6 \]

be elliptic curves defined over \( K \). Let \( \phi : E \to E' \) be a separable isogeny defined over \( K \). Then \( \phi \) can be expressed in the rational function form

\[ \phi(x, y) = (r_1(x), c y r'_1(x) + r_2(x)), \quad (2.10) \]

where \( r'_1(x) = \frac{d r_1(x)}{dx} \) is the derivative of the rational function \( r_1(x) \), \( c \in K^\times \) is a constant, and \( 2 r_2(x) = -a'_1 r_1(x) - a'_3 + c(a_1 x + a_3) r'_1(x) \).

**Proof.** See [8, Theorem 9.7.5]. \[ \square \]

Suppose an isogeny \( \phi : E \to E' \) is defined over \( K \) and let \( H = \ker(\phi) \). Then since \( \phi \) can be expressed by rational functions defined over \( K \), \( H \) is defined over \( K \), i.e., \( P \in H \) implies \( P^\sigma \in H \) for all \( \sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \). Conversely, given a finite subgroup \( H \subseteq E(\overline{K}) \) that is defined over \( K \) one can construct a \( K \)-rational isogeny \( \phi \) of \( E \) with \( \ker(\phi) = H \) as in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.2.** Let \( E \) be an elliptic curve over \( K \) and let \( H \subseteq E(\overline{K}) \) be a finite subgroup defined over \( K \). Then there is a unique elliptic curve \( E' \) over \( K \) up to isomorphism over \( \overline{K} \) and a \( K \)-rational separable isogeny \( \phi : E \to E' \) such that \( \ker(\phi) = H \).

**Proof.** See [17, Proposition III.4.12] or [8, Theorem 9.6.19]. \[ \square \]

Hence the existence of a separable \( K \)-rational \( N \)-isogeny of \( E \) is equivalent to the existence of a finite subgroup of \( E(\overline{K}) \) of order \( N \) that is defined over \( K \).

Let \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \). The *multiplication by \( m \) map* \( [m] : E \to E \) is the map defined by

\[ [m](P) = \begin{cases} mP & \text{if } m > 0 \\ [-m](-P) & \text{if } m < 0 \\ \mathcal{O} & \text{if } m = 0 \end{cases} \]

for \( P \in E(\overline{K}) \). Note that \( E[m] = \ker([m]) \).

**Theorem 2.2.3.** Let \( \phi : E \to E' \) be a non-zero isogeny of degree \( m \). Then there is a unique isogeny \( \hat{\phi} : E' \to E \)
of degree $m$ such that $\hat{\phi} \circ \phi = [m]$ on $E$.

Proof. See [17, Theorem III.6.1].

The isogeny $\hat{\phi}$ in the above theorem is called the dual isogeny of $\phi$.

Theorem 2.2.4. Let

$$\phi : E_1 \to E_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi : E_1 \to E_3$$

be non-zero isogenies. Assume that $\phi$ is separable and $\ker(\phi) \subseteq \ker(\psi)$. Then there is a unique isogeny

$$\lambda : E_2 \to E_3$$

such that $\psi = \lambda \circ \phi$.

Proof. See [17, Corollary III.4.11].

Theorem 2.2.5. Let $E$ and $E'$ be elliptic curves over $K$ and let $\phi : E \to E'$ be a separable $K$-rational isogeny. Then

$$\phi = \phi_1 \circ \ldots \circ \phi_k \circ [m],$$

where $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_k$ are $K$-rational isogenies of prime degree and $m$ is some integer.

Proof. See [8, Theorem 25.1.2].

By the above theorem, in order to construct an isogeny from $E$ to $E'$ it suffices to compute isogenies of prime degree and the multiplication by $m$ map and then compose them. Note that the multiplication by $m$ map can be computed from the division polynomials (see [20, Section 3.2]). Therefore in this thesis we focus on computing isogenies of prime degree.

Throughout the thesis $\ell$ denotes a prime unless otherwise stated. By Theorem 2.1.1, if $\text{char}(K) \neq \ell$ then $E[\ell] \cong \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$, which has $\ell + 1$ distinct order $\ell$ subgroups. Let $\Omega_\ell$ denote the collection of order $\ell$ subgroups of $E[\ell]$. We need the following well-known result.

Theorem 2.2.6. For a field $F$ consider the group action of $\text{PGL}_2(F)$ on $\mathbb{P}^1(F)$ by linear fractional transformations. Given two sets $\{z_1, z_2, z_3\}$ and $\{z'_1, z'_2, z'_3\}$ each consisting of three distinct points in $\mathbb{P}^1(F)$, there is a unique $A \in \text{PGL}_2(F)$ such that $Az_i = z'_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. 

11
Lemma 2.2.7. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $K$. Then the number of pairwise inequivalent $K$-rational $\ell$-isogenies of $E$ is 0, 1, 2 or $\ell + 1$.

Proof. Let $E[\ell] = \langle P, Q \rangle$. Then $H \in \Omega_\ell$ can be expressed as $\langle aP + bQ \rangle$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_\ell$, and we can identify $H$ and an element in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ by the map $\langle aP + bQ \rangle \mapsto [a : b]$. Since $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ acts linearly on $\Omega_\ell$, the action of $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ is represented by a matrix in $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$. Thus by Theorem 2.2.6, the number of points in $\Omega_\ell$ fixed by $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ is 0, 1, 2 or $\ell + 1$ and the lemma follows.

2.2.2 Endomorphisms and automorphisms

Let $E$ be an elliptic curve defined over $K$. We define

$$\text{End}(E) = \text{Hom}(E, E) = \{\overline{K}\text{-rational isogenies } \phi : E \to E\},$$

which is a ring with addition (that comes from addition in $\text{Hom}(E, E)$) and multiplication defined by composition

$$\phi_1 \circ \phi_2(P) = \phi_1(\phi_2(P))$$

for all $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \text{End}(E)$ and $P \in E(\overline{K})$. $\text{End}(E)$ is called the endomorphism ring of $E$, and an element of $\text{End}(E)$ is called an endomorphism of $E$. For example, the multiplication by $m$ map $[m]$ is an endomorphism of $E$. Furthermore, there is an induced injective ring homomorphism $[\ ] : \mathbb{Z} \to \text{End}(E)$.

The following is the main theorem on the endomorphism rings.

Theorem 2.2.8. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $K$. Then there exists an isomorphism $[\ ] : R \sim \to \text{End}(E)$ where:

(1) if $\text{char}(K) = 0$, then $R = \mathbb{Z}$ or an order in an imaginary quadratic field.

(2) if $\text{char}(K) \neq 0$:

(a) if $E$ is ordinary, then $R$ is an order in an imaginary quadratic field.

(b) if $E$ is supersingular, then $R$ is an order in a quaternion algebra.
Moreover, in case (1) we have \( \deg[a] = a^2 \) for all \( a \in \mathbb{Z} \), and in case (2a) we have \( \deg(a) = \text{Norm}_{L/Q}(a) \) for all \( a \in R \) where \( L = R \otimes \mathbb{Q} \). In case (2b), we have \( \deg(a) \) is equal to the reduced norm of \( a \) for all \( a \in \mathbb{R} \).

**Proof.** See [17, Theorem III.9.3] and [18, Corollary 1.5].

**Remark 2.2.9.** Suppose that either \( \text{char}(K) = 0 \) or \( \text{char}(K) \neq 0 \) and \( E \) is ordinary. In this case if \( j(E) = 0 \) then \( \text{End}(E) \cong \mathbb{Z}[-1+\sqrt{-3}/2] \), and if \( j(E) = 1728 \) then \( \text{End}(E) \cong \mathbb{Z}[i] \).

We define \( \text{Aut}(E) = \{ \phi \in \text{End}(E) : \exists \phi^{-1} \text{ such that } \phi^{-1} \circ \phi = \text{id} \} \), the group of units of \( \text{End}(E) \). \( \text{Aut}(E) \) is called the *automorphism group* of \( E \), and an element of \( \text{Aut}(E) \) is called an *automorphism* of \( E \).

**Theorem 2.2.10.** Let \( E \) be an elliptic curve over \( K \). Then \( \text{Aut}(E) \) is a finite group of order:

- \( 2 \) if \( j(E) \neq 0, 1728 \)
- \( 4 \) if \( j(E) = 1728 \) and \( \text{char}(K) \neq 2, 3 \)
- \( 6 \) if \( j(E) = 0 \) and \( \text{char}(K) \neq 2, 3 \)
- \( 12 \) if \( j(E) = 0 = 1728 \) and \( \text{char}(K) = 3 \)
- \( 24 \) if \( j(E) = 0 = 1728 \) and \( \text{char}(K) = 2 \).

**Proof.** See [17, Theorem III.10.1]

**Remark 2.2.11.** Suppose \( \text{char}(K) \notin \{2, 3\} \). Then \( \text{Aut}(E) \cong \{ \pm 1, \pm \frac{1+\sqrt{-3}}{2} \} \) (sixth roots of unity) if \( j(E) = 0 \), and \( \text{Aut}(E) \cong \{ \pm 1, \pm i \} \) (fourth roots of unity) if \( j(E) = 1728 \).

**Action of \( \text{Aut}(E) \) on \( \Omega_\ell \)**

Although the results in this section are well-known, we include proofs since we do not have a suitable reference. Recall that \( \Omega_\ell \) denotes the collection of order \( \ell \) subgroups of \( E[\ell] \). Then since \( \text{Aut}(E) \) acts on the points in \( E[n] \), it induces an action on \( \Omega_\ell \). Clearly if \( j(E) \notin \{0, 1728\} \) then \( \text{Aut}(E) = \{ \pm 1 \} \) so this action is trivial. However, if \( j(E) \in \{0, 1728\} \) then we have the following.
**Proposition 2.2.12.** Let $K$ be a field of characteristic not 2, 3 or $\ell$. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $K$ such that $j(E) \in \{0, 1728\}$. Let $\Omega^\text{Aut}(E)_\ell$ denote the set of fixed elements of $\Omega_\ell$ by the action of $\text{Aut}(E)$. Then the following hold.

1. (a) If $j(E) = 0$, then

$$|\Omega^\text{Aut}(E)_\ell| = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } \ell = 3 \\
2 & \text{if } \ell \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\
0 & \text{if } \ell \equiv 2 \pmod{3}.
\end{cases}$$

(b) If $j(E) = 1728$, then

$$|\Omega^\text{Aut}(E)_\ell| = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } \ell = 2 \\
2 & \text{if } \ell \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\
0 & \text{if } \ell \equiv 3 \pmod{4}.
\end{cases}$$

2. If $H \in \Omega^\text{Aut}(E)_\ell$, then $H = \text{ker}(\phi)$ for some $\phi \in \text{End}(E)$. Furthermore, the converse also holds if $\text{End}(E)$ is commutative.

To prove the proposition, we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.2.13.** Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $K$. If $\text{End}(E)$ is commutative, then for all $\phi \in \text{End}(E)$ and $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(E)$ we have

$$\alpha(\text{ker}(\phi)) = \text{ker}(\phi).$$

*Proof.* Since $\text{End}(E)$ is commutative, we have the following:

$$\alpha^{-1}(\text{ker}(\phi)) = \text{ker}(\phi \circ \alpha) = \text{ker}(\alpha \circ \phi) = \text{ker}(\phi).$$

\[\square\]

**Lemma 2.2.14.** Let $K$ be a field of characteristic not 2, 3 or $\ell$. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $K$ with $j(E) \in \{0, 1728\}$. Then for all $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(E) \setminus \{[\pm 1]\}$, there exists $H \in \Omega_\ell$ such that $\alpha(H) \neq H$. 
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Proof. The proof is by contradiction. Suppose that $\alpha(H) = H$ for all $H \in \Omega_\ell$. Then by Theorem 2.2.6 it follows that $\alpha(P) = cP$ for all $P \in E[\ell]$ and some $c \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times$. Hence $\ker([\ell]) \subseteq \ker(\alpha - c)$, and by Theorem 2.2.4 $\deg([\ell]) = \deg(\alpha - c)$. By Theorem 2.2.8, $\ell^2$ divides $\text{Norm}(\alpha - c) = \alpha\overline{\alpha} - (\alpha + \overline{\alpha})c + c^2$.

If $j(E) = 0$ then $\text{Aut}(E) \cong \{\pm 1, \pm \sqrt{-\frac{c}{2}}\}$ since $\text{char}(K) \notin \{2, 3\}$. Thus $\ell^2$ divides $\text{Norm}(\alpha - c) = 1 \pm c + c^2$. We claim that $\ell^2$ cannot divide $1 \pm c + c^2$. We may assume that $0 < c < \ell$ since $c \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times$. Thus it suffices to prove that $\ell^2 \neq 1 \pm c + c^2$ since $1 \pm c + c^2 < 2\ell^2$. Suppose $\ell^2 = 1 \pm c + c^2$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\sqrt{4\ell^2 - 3} \in \mathbb{Z}$. However, such $c$ does not exist since the equation $x^2 - y^2 = 3$ does not have an integer solution with $x \geq 4$.

If $j(E) = 1728$ then we have $\text{Norm}(\alpha - c) = 1 + c^2$ since $\text{Aut}(E) \cong \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$. Since $c \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times$, we may assume that $0 < c < \ell$. Then it is clear that $\ell^2$ cannot divide $1 + c^2$ for any $0 < c < \ell$.

Now we prove Proposition 2.2.12.

Proof of Proposition 2.2.12. (1a) Suppose $j(E) = 0$. If $\text{char}(K) = 0$, then $\text{End}(E) \cong \mathbb{Z}[-1+\sqrt{-3}]$. By Theorem 2.2.8 the number of inequivalent degree $\ell$ endomorphisms of $E$ is equal to $n$, where $n$ is the number of elements in $\mathbb{Z}[-1+\sqrt{-3}]$ of norm $\ell$, up to multiplication by a unit. Now quadratic reciprocity and the fact that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ has class number 1 imply that $n = 1$ if $\ell = 3$, $n = 2$ if $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $n = 0$ if $\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. By Lemma 2.2.13, it follows that

$$|\Omega_\ell^{\text{Aut}(E)}| \geq \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \ell = 3, \\ 2 & \text{if } \ell \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \ell \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

We can show that this inequality holds even when $\text{char}(K) = p \notin \{2, 3, \ell\}$. Note that in this case we have $\text{End}(E) \supseteq \mathbb{Z}[-1+\sqrt{-3}]$, since reducing a degree $\ell$ endomorphism in characteristic 0 modulo $p$ gives a degree $\ell$ endomorphism in characteristic $p$. Moreover, by looking at the kernel polynomial (see section 2.2.3) we can show that the kernel of an endomorphism that corresponds to an element in $\mathbb{Z}[-1+\sqrt{-3}]$ is fixed by $\text{Aut}(E)$, thus the above inequality still holds if $\text{char}(K) = p \notin \{2, 3, \ell\}$.

Now we show that the above inequality is in fact equality. Note that Theorem 2.2.6 implies that $|\Omega_\ell^{\text{Aut}(E)}| = 0, 1, 2$ or $\ell + 1$. Let $\Omega_\ell/ \text{Aut}(E)$ denote
the set of $\text{Aut}(E)$-orbits of $\Omega_{\ell}$. If $\ell = 3$ then $|\Omega_{\ell}^{\text{Aut}(E)}| = 1$ or 4, since an element of $\Omega_{\ell}/\text{Aut}(E)$ has size 1 or 3. But $|\Omega_{\ell}^{\text{Aut}(E)}| \neq 4$ by Lemma 2.2.14, so the result follows. If $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ then it suffices to prove that $|\Omega_{\ell}^{\text{Aut}(E)}| \neq \ell + 1$, which follows by Lemma 2.2.14. If $\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ then $\ell + 1 = |\Omega_{\ell}^{\text{Aut}(E)}| + 3 \cdot \#\{\text{orbits of size 3}\}$. Since 3 divides $\ell + 1$, it follows that $|\Omega_{\ell}^{\text{Aut}(E)}| = 0$ or $\ell + 1$. But the latter is not possible by Lemma 2.2.14, and hence the result follows.

This proves (1a).

(1b) Suppose $j(E) = 1728$. The similar argument as in (1a) shows that

$$|\Omega_{\ell}^{\text{Aut}(E)}| \geq \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \ell = 2, \\ 2 & \text{if } \ell \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \ell \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

If $\ell = 2$ then $|\Omega_{\ell}^{\text{Aut}(E)}|$ is either 1 or 3 since $|\Omega_{\ell}^{\text{Aut}(E)}| \geq 1$. But the latter is not possible by Lemma 2.2.14. Thus the result follows. If $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ then it suffices to prove that $|\Omega_{\ell}^{\text{Aut}(E)}| \neq \ell + 1$, which is true by Lemma 2.2.14. If $\ell \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ then since $\text{Aut}(E) \cong \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$, we will show that $[i](H) \neq H$ for all $H \in \Omega_{\ell}$. Suppose that $[i](H) = H$ for some $H \in \Omega_{\ell}$. Since $[i] \circ [i] = [-1]$, we have $[i](h) = \lambda h$ for all $h \in H$ where $\lambda \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times$ such that $\lambda^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$. However, such element does not exist since $\ell \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Hence the result follows, and this proves (1b).

(2) It follows from the proof of (1).

\[ \square \]

**Remark 2.2.15.** Note that in Proposition 2.2.12, we do need the condition that $\text{End}(E)$ is commutative for the converse to hold. For example, consider the elliptic curve $E : y^2 = x^3 + 1$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_5$ and $\ell = 7$. Since $j(E) = 0$ and $\text{char}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_5) = 5$, it follows that $E$ is supersingular and hence $\text{End}(E)$ is not commutative. Here although $E$ has eight inequivalent degree 7 endomorphisms, only two of the kernels are fixed by $\text{Aut}(E)$.

### 2.2.3 Kernel polynomials and division polynomials

**Kernel polynomials**

In [10] Kohel gives the formula for computing an isogeny from the kernel polynomial, the unique monic polynomial of minimal degree such that the
roots are precisely the distinct $x$-coordinates of the points in the kernel. In contrast to Vélu’s formula [19] for computing an isogeny from the coordinates of the points in the kernel, Kohel’s formula is more convenient in practice since we only need to work over a field where the kernel polynomial is defined, whereas the coordinates of the points in the kernel may be defined over a higher degree extension. The computation of an isogeny from the kernel polynomial is straightforward. We illustrate Kohel’s formula [10, Section 2.4] for the case where the order of the kernel is odd, 2 or 4. In general, an isogeny of arbitrary degree can be computed by composing isogenies of degree odd, 2 or 4.

Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $K$ given by $y^2 + a_1 xy + a_3 y = x^3 + a_2 x^2 + a_4 x + a_6$. Let $H \subseteq E(K)$ be a finite subgroup defined over $K$ and assume that the order of $H$ is $n$, where $n$ is odd, 2 or 4. We define the kernel polynomial of $H$ to be

$$
\psi_H(x) = \prod_{\pm P=(x_P,y_P)\in H\setminus\{O\}} (x - x_P),
$$

where the product is over one of each pair $\pm P$, which is well-defined since $P$ and $-P$ have the same $x$-coordinate. If $n$ is odd then the degree of the polynomial $\psi_H(x)$ is $\frac{n-1}{2}$. Otherwise the degree of $\psi_H(x)$ is 1 or 3 if $n = 2$ or 4 respectively. Note that it follows from the definition that $\psi_H(x) \in K[x]$ if and only if $H$ is defined over $K$. Kohel gives the following formula for a separable isogeny of $E$ with kernel $H$ and the equation for the isogenous curve. The isogeny is given by the rational functions

$$
\left( \frac{\phi(x)}{\psi_H(x)^2}, \frac{\omega(x,y)}{\psi_H(x)^3} \right),
$$

and as in Vélu’s formula [19] the isogenous curve is given by

$$
y^2 + a_1 xy + a_3 y = x^3 + a_2 x^2 + (a_4 - 5t)x + (a_6 - b_2 t - 7w),
$$

where $\phi(x), \omega(x,y), t$ and $w$ are given according to the following three cases; $n$ is odd, 2 or 4.

Case 1: $n$ odd. In this case, the kernel polynomial $\psi_H$ can be written as

$$
\psi(x) = x^d + \sum_{i=1}^{d} (-1)^i s_i x^{d-i},
$$

and as in Vélu’s formula [19] the isogenous curve is given by

$$
y^2 + a_1 xy + a_3 y = x^3 + a_2 x^2 + (a_4 - 5t)x + (a_6 - b_2 t - 7w),
$$

where $\phi(x), \omega(x,y), t$ and $w$ are given according to the following three cases; $n$ is odd, 2 or 4.
where \( d = \frac{n-1}{2} \). \( \phi(x) \) is given by

\[
\phi(x) = (4x^3 + b_2 + 2b_4x + b_6)(\psi'(x)^2 - \psi''(x)\psi(x))
- (6x^2 + b_2x + b_4)\phi'(x)\psi(x) + (nx - 2s_1)\psi(x)^2,
\]

where \( b_2, b_4 \) and \( b_6 \) are the \( b \)-invariants of \( E \). Furthermore, \( \omega(x,y) \) is given by

\[
\omega(x,y) = \phi'(x)\psi(x)y - \phi(x)\psi'(x)(2y + a_1x + a_3)
+ \left( (a_1x + a_3)(2y + a_1x + a_3)^2(\tilde{\psi}(x)\psi'(x) - \tilde{\tilde{\psi}}(x)\psi(x)) \right)
+ (a_1(2y + a_1x + a_3)^2 - 3(a_1x + a_3)(6x^2 + b_2x + b_6))\tilde{\psi}(x)\psi(x)
+ ((a_1x^3 + 3a_3x^2 + (2a_2a_3 - a_1a_4)x + (a_3a_4 - 2a_1a_6))\psi'(x)^2
+ (-3a_1x^2 + 6a_3x + 2a_2a_3 - a_1a_4) + (a_1x + a_3)(N_x - 2s_1))\psi'(x)\psi(x)
+ (a_1s_1 + a_3n)\psi(x)^2 \right) \psi(x),
\]

where

\[
\tilde{\psi}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \binom{i + 2}{2} s_{i+2}x^i, \quad \tilde{\tilde{\psi}}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-3} \binom{i + 3}{2} s_{i+3}x^i.
\]

In the equation for the isogenous curve, \( t \) and \( w \) are given by

\[
t = 6(s_1^2 - 2s_2) + b_2s_1 + nb_4,
\]
\[
w = 10(s_1^3 - 3s_1s_2 + 3s_3) + 2b_2(s_1 - 2s_2) + 3b_4s_1 + nb_6.
\]

Case 2: \( n = 2 \). In this case \( \psi_H(x) = x - x_0 \), where \( x_0 \) is the \( x \)-coordinate of the unique non-zero point in \( H \). We define

\[
y_0 = \begin{cases} 
\sqrt{x_0^2 + a_2x_0^2 + a_4x_0 + a_6} & \text{if } \text{char}(K) = 2, \\
\frac{a_1x_0 + a_3}{2} & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

Note that if \( \text{char}(K) = 2 \) and assuming that \( K \) is perfect, then the square root is unique and defined over \( K \). In the equation for the isogenous curve, \( t \) and
For the isogeny, \( \phi(x) \) and \( \omega(x, y) \) are given by

\[
\phi(x) = (x\psi_H(x) + v)\psi_H(x),
\]

\[
\omega(x, y) = (y\psi_H^2(x) - va_1\psi_H(x) + (y - y_0))\psi_H(x).
\]

**Case 3: \( n = 4 \).** In this case \( H = E[2] \) and \( \psi_H(x) = x^3 + \frac{b_2}{4}x^2 + \frac{b_4}{2}x + \frac{b_6}{4} \).

Note that the \( \text{char}(K) \) is necessarily not 2, since otherwise the multiplication by 2 map is not separable. For the isogeny, \( \phi(x) \) and \( \omega(x, y) \) are given by

\[
\phi(x) = \psi'^2(x) - 2\psi''(x)\psi(x) + (4x - s_1)\psi^2(x),
\]

\[
\omega(x, y) = \frac{(2y + a_1x + a_3)(\phi'(x)\psi(x) - \phi(x)\psi'(x)) - (a_1\phi(x) + a_3\psi(x))\psi(x)}{2}.
\]

Finally, in the equation for the isogenous curve, \( t \) and \( w \) are given by

\[
t = 3(s_1^2 - 2s_2) + \frac{b_2s_1 + 3b_4}{2},
\]

\[
w = 3(s_1^3 - 3s_1s_2 + 3s_3) + \frac{b_2(s_1^2 - 2s_2) + b_4s_1}{2}.
\]

Although we illustrated the case \( n = 4 \), in this thesis we will only work with the case where \( n = \ell \) is a prime. We will call a polynomial \( f \) an \( \ell \)-kernel polynomial of \( E \) if there exists a subgroup \( H \subseteq E(K) \) of order \( \ell \) such that \( f = \psi_H \). Note that the degree of an \( \ell \)-kernel polynomial is \( \frac{\ell - 1}{2} \) if \( \ell \) is odd, and it is equal to 1 if \( \ell = 2 \). Since there are \( \ell + 1 \) distinct subgroups of order \( \ell \) of \( E(K) \), there are precisely \( \ell + 1 \) distinct \( \ell \)-kernel polynomials of \( E \).

**Division polynomials**

Let \( E \) be an elliptic curve given by \( y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6 \) and let \( n \) be a positive integer. Let \( b_2, b_4, b_6, b_8 \) are the \( b \)-invariants of \( E \). We define the \( n \)-division polynomial \( \psi_n \) of \( E \) by the following recursion:
\[ \psi_0 = 0, \quad \psi_1 = 1, \quad \psi_2 = 2y + a_1x + a_3, \]
\[ \psi_3 = 3x^4 + b_2x_3 + 3b_4x^2 + 3b_6x + b_8, \]
\[ \psi_4 = \psi_2(2x^6 + b_2x^5 + 5b_4x^4 + 10b_6x^3 + 10b_8x^2 + (b_2b_8 - b_4b_6)x + b_4b_8 - b_6^2), \]
\[ \psi_{2m+1} = \psi_{m+2}\psi_m^3 - \psi_{m-1}\psi_{m+1}^2 \quad \text{for } m \geq 2, \]
\[ \psi_{2m} = \frac{\psi_m}{\psi_2}(\psi_{m+2}\psi_{m-1} - \psi_{m-2}\psi_{m+1}^2) \quad \text{for } m \geq 3. \]

If \( n \) is odd then \( \psi_n \in \mathbb{Z}[x, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6] \) and \( \psi_n = \psi_{E[n]} \). If \( n \) is even then \( \psi_n \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6] \). For a prime \( \ell \), recall that \( \Omega_\ell \) denotes the collection of order \( \ell \) subgroups of \( E[\ell] \). Since the non-zero points in \( E[\ell] \) is the disjoint union of non-zero points in order \( \ell \) subgroups in \( \Omega_\ell \), we have
\[ \psi_\ell(x) = \psi_{E[\ell]} = \prod_{H \in \Omega_\ell} \psi_H, \]
the product of all the \( \ell \)-kernel polynomials of \( E \).

### 2.3 Modular forms and modular curves

In this section we review the basic material on modular forms and modular curves. We refer to [5] for a standard reference.

#### 2.3.1 Modular forms and modular functions

Let \( \mathcal{H} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im}(z) > 0 \} \) be the complex upper half-plane and let \( \mathcal{H}^* = \mathcal{H} \cup \mathbb{Q} \cup \{ \infty \} \). The group \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) acts on \( \mathcal{H}^* \) by the linear fractional transformation:
\[
\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} z = \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \infty = \frac{a}{c}
\]
where \(( \begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix} ) \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\) and \(z \in \mathbb{C}\). Let \(N\) be a positive integer. We define

\[
\Gamma(N) = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : a, d \equiv 1 \pmod{N}, \ b, c \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \right\},
\]

\[
\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : c \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \right\},
\]

\[
\Gamma_1(N) = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : a, d \equiv 1 \pmod{N}, \ c \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \right\}.
\]

**Definition 2.3.1.** A subgroup \(\Gamma\) of \(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\) is a *congruence subgroup* if \(\Gamma(N) \subset \Gamma\) for some positive integer \(N\). In this case, \(\Gamma\) is called a *congruence subgroup of level* \(N\).

The groups \(\Gamma_0(N)\) and \(\Gamma_1(N)\) are congruence subgroups since \(\Gamma(N) \subset \Gamma_1(N) \subset \Gamma_0(N) \subset \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\). We define the *cusps* of a congruence subgroup \(\Gamma\) to be the equivalence classes of \(\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}\) under the above action of \(\Gamma\) on \(\mathcal{H}^*\).

**Definition 2.3.2.** A *modular function* for \(\Gamma\) is a meromorphic function \(f : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}\) such that

\[(1) \ f(Az) = f(z) \text{ for all } A = \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \in \Gamma \text{ and } z \in \mathcal{H},\]

\[(2) \ f \text{ is meromorphic at the cusps of } \Gamma.\]

**Definition 2.3.3.** A *modular form of weight* \(k\) *for* \(\Gamma\) is a holomorphic function \(f : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}\) such that

\[(1) \ f(Az) = (cz + d)^k f(z) \text{ for all } A = \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \in \Gamma \text{ and } z \in \mathcal{H},\]

\[(2) \ f \text{ is holomorphic at the cusps of } \Gamma.\]

A modular form is called a *cusp form* if it vanishes at all the cusps of \(\Gamma\). We denote by \(M_k(\Gamma)\) and \(S_k(\Gamma)\) respectively, the space of modular forms and the space of cusp forms of weight \(k\) for \(\Gamma\).
Eisenstein series, \( j \)-function and Dedekind eta function

Let \( k > 2 \) be an even integer. The *Eisenstein series of weight* \( k \) is defined by

\[
G_k(\tau) = \sum_{(c,d) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}} \frac{1}{(c\tau + d)^k} \quad \text{for } \tau \in \mathcal{H}.
\]

It turns out that \( G_k \in M_k(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})) \). We further define

\[
g_2(\tau) = 60G_4(\tau) \quad \text{and} \quad g_3(\tau) = 140G_6(\tau)
\]

and define the *modular discriminant* \( \Delta : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C} \) by

\[
\Delta(\tau) = g_2^3(\tau) - 27g_3^2(\tau).
\]

The *\( j \)-function* \( j : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C} \) is defined by

\[
j(\tau) = 1728 \frac{g_2^3(\tau)}{\Delta(\tau)},
\]

which turns out to be a modular function for \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \). One can show that \( j(\tau) \) induces an isomorphism \( j : \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathcal{H}^* \to \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \). Note that the function \( j(\tau) \) has a simple pole at \( \infty \) and has the Fourier expansion

\[
j(q) = \frac{1}{q} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^n \quad \text{where } a_n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } q = e^{2\pi i \tau}.
\]

The *Dedekind eta function* is defined over the upper half-plane \( \mathcal{H} \) by

\[
\eta(\tau) = e^{\frac{\pi i}{12}} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2\pi i k\tau}).
\]

Note that \( \eta(\tau) \) is related to the modular discriminant \( \Delta \) by

\[
\Delta(\tau) = (2\pi)^{12}\eta^{12}(\tau).
\]
2.3.2 Modular curves

Let $\Gamma$ be a congruence subgroup. The modular curves $Y(\Gamma)$ and $X(\Gamma)$ are defined as the quotient space of $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}^*$ respectively under the action of $\Gamma$:

$$Y(\Gamma) = \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H} = \{ \Gamma \tau \mid \tau \in \mathcal{H} \},$$

$$X(\Gamma) = \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}^* = \{ \Gamma \tau \mid \tau \in \mathcal{H}^* \}.$$

We denote the modular curves for $\Gamma_0(N)$ and $\Gamma_1(N)$ by

$$Y_0(N) = \Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathcal{H}, \quad X_0(N) = \Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathcal{H}^*, \quad Y_1(N) = \Gamma_1(N) \backslash \mathcal{H}, \quad X_1(N) = \Gamma_1(N) \backslash \mathcal{H}^*.$$

In this thesis we work mainly with $X_0(N)$. By the cusps of $X(\Gamma)$ we mean the cusps of $\Gamma$. Note that $X_0(\ell)$ has exactly two cusps for a prime $\ell$, which we denote by 0 and $\infty$. One can show that $Y_0(N)$ is a non-compact Riemann surface, and $X_0(N)$ is the compactified Riemann surface of $Y_0(N)$. Since $X_0(N)$ is a compact Riemann surface, $X_0(N)$ is a smooth complex algebraic curve. Furthermore, one can show that $X_0(N)$ can be given the structure of a smooth algebraic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$, which we denote by $X_0(N)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

The modular curve $X_0(N)$ can be viewed as the moduli space of elliptic curves with extra structure as follows. We define a modular pair $(E, H)$ over $K$ to be an elliptic curve $E$ over $K$ together with a cyclic subgroup $H \subseteq E(K)$ of order $N$ defined over $K$. An isomorphism over $K$ between two modular pairs $(E, H)$ and $(E', H')$ is an isomorphism $\phi : E \to E'$ defined over $K$ such that $\phi(H) = H'$.

Let $N$ be a positive integer. We define

$$\text{Ell}_{0,N}(K) = \{ \text{modular pairs } (E, H) \text{ over } K \}/ \cong_K,$$

the set of isomorphism classes over $K$ of modular pairs. We have the following theorem.
Theorem 2.3.4. For any field $K \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$, there is a surjective map

$$\text{Ell}_{0,N}(K) \longrightarrow X_{0}(N)_{\mathbb{Q}}(K) \setminus \{\text{cusps}\}.$$ 

Moreover, this map is bijective if $K$ is algebraically closed.

Proof. See [12, Chapter V. Theorem 2.7].

If $K \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$ is not algebraically closed, then we can obtain the following bijection:

$$\text{Ell}'_{0,N}(K) \sim \longrightarrow X_{0}(N)_{\mathbb{Q}}(K) \setminus \{\text{cusps}\},$$

where $\text{Ell}'_{0,N}(K)$ is given by

$$\text{Ell}'_{0,N}(K) = \{\text{modular pairs } (E,H) \text{ over } K\}/\cong_{K},$$

the set of isomorphism classes over $\overline{K}$ of modular pairs (see [4, Section 8.2]). Hence we can consider the set of $K$-rational points $X_{0}(N)_{\mathbb{Q}}(K)$ for all extensions $K$ of $\mathbb{Q}$. Moreover if $p$ is a prime not dividing $N$, then the curve $X_{0}(N)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ has a good reduction at $p$. Let the reduced curve modulo $p$ be $X_{0}(N)_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}$. Thus for a field $K$ of characteristic $p$, we may also consider the set of $K$-rational points on $X_{0}(N)_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}$ that correspond to modular pairs $(E,H)$ over $K$ up to isomorphism over $\overline{K}$.

Function fields of $X(\Gamma)$

Let $\Gamma$ be a congruence subgroup. We denote the field of meromorphic functions on the modular curve $X(\Gamma)$ by $\mathbb{C}(X(\Gamma))$. For example, for $X_{0}(N)$ one can show that

$$\mathbb{C}(X_{0}(N)) = \mathbb{C}(j,j_{N}), \quad \text{where } j_{N}(\tau) = j(N\tau)$$

(see [5, Exercise 7.5.3] for details). Moreover, the curve $X_{0}(N)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ can be defined so that the function field $\mathbb{Q}(X_{0}(N)_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathbb{Q}(j,j_{N})$. In chapter 4 and 5 we will determine more convenient generators of $\mathbb{Q}(X_{0}(\ell)_{\mathbb{Q}})$ for several primes $\ell$. 
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Atkin-Lehner involution

Let $N$ be a positive integer. We define the *Atkin-Lehner involution* $w_N : X_0(N) \to X_0(N)$ by

$$w_N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ N & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

Note that $w_N$ normalizes $\Gamma_0(N)$ and hence induces an automorphism of $X_0(N)$. Further $w_N$ is an involution on $X_0(N)$ since $w_N^2 = \begin{pmatrix} N & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix}$ acts trivially on $\mathcal{H}$.

We define the quotient curve $X_0^+(N)$ by

$$X_0^+(N) = \langle w_N \rangle \setminus X_0(N).$$

For a prime $\ell$, we have the following formula for the genus $g(X_0^+(\ell))$ of $X_0^+(\ell)$:

$$g(X_0^+(\ell)) = \frac{1}{2} \left( g(X_0(\ell)) + 1 - H(\ell) \right),$$

where $g(X_0(\ell))$ is the genus of $X_0(\ell)$ and

$$H(\ell) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} h(-4\ell) & \text{if } \ell \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{1}{2} (h(-\ell) + h(-4\ell)) & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

with $h(D)$ the class number of an order of a quadratic field with discriminant $D$ (see [2]).

By the *cusps of $X_0^+(N)$* we mean the equivalence classes of the cusps of $X_0(N)$ under the action of $\langle w_N \rangle$. For example, $X_0^+(\ell)$ for a prime $\ell$ has only one cusp since $w_\ell$ interchanges the two cusps $0$ and $\infty$ of $X_0(\ell)$.

Furthermore, the action of $w_N$ on $X_0(N)$ induces the action of $w_N$ on a function $f$ on $X_0(N)$ by $w_N(f(\tau)) = f(w_N\tau)$, where $w_N$ acts on $\tau$ by the linear fractional transformation. Hence $w_N$ induces an involution on the function field $\mathbb{C}(X_0(N))$ and also on $\mathbb{Q}(X_0(N))$. Recall that $\mathbb{Q}(X_0(N)_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathbb{Q}(j, j_N)$. Note that $w_N$ interchanges $j$ and $j_N$.

The covering map $X_0(\ell) \to X(1)$

Consider the covering map $X_0(\ell) \to X(1)$ for a prime $\ell$. One can show that this covering map ramifies only above $i$, $\rho$ and $\infty$, where $\rho = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2}$. Note
that the $j$-function $j : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ takes $i \mapsto 1728$ and $\rho \mapsto 0$. The following shows the ramification behavior above $i$ and $\rho$. Let $e_1(z), ..., e_t(z)$ be the ramification indices above $z \in \{i, \rho\}$. Then it turns out that $\mu = e_1(z) + \cdots + e_t(z)$ where $\mu = \left[ SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_0(\ell) \right] = \ell + 1$. If $z = \rho$ then $e_k(z) = 1$ or $3$ for $k = 1, ..., t$. Similarly if $z = i$ then $e_k(z) = 1$ or $2$ for $k = 1, ..., t$. Let $\nu_2$ denote the number of $k$ for which $e_k(i) = 1$, and $\nu_3$ denote the number of $k$ for which $e_k(\rho) = 1$.

By the formulas for $\nu_2$ and $\nu_3$ in [16, Section 1.6] one can show that

$$
\nu_2 = \begin{cases} 
2 & \text{if } \ell \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\
0 & \text{if } \ell \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \\
1 & \text{if } \ell = 2,
\end{cases}
$$

(2.12)

and

$$
\nu_3 = \begin{cases} 
2 & \text{if } \ell \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\
0 & \text{if } \ell \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \\
1 & \text{if } \ell = 3.
\end{cases}
$$

(2.13)

**Proposition 2.3.5.** The genus $g(X_0(\ell))$ of $X_0(\ell)$ is given by

$$
g(X_0(\ell)) = \frac{1}{12} (\ell + 1 - 3\nu_2 - 4\nu_3)
$$

$$
= \begin{cases} 
\left\lfloor \frac{\ell+1}{12} \right\rfloor - 1 & \text{if } \ell \equiv 1 \pmod{12}, \\
\left\lfloor \frac{\ell+1}{12} \right\rfloor & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
$$

**Proof.** It follows from [16, Proposition 1.4.0].

\[\square\]
Chapter 3

Division polynomial factorization method

Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over a field $K$ and let $\ell$ be a prime. We assume that $\text{char}(K) \neq \ell$ so that $E[\ell]$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$. Recall that the $\ell$-division polynomial $\psi_\ell$ of $E$ is the product of all $\ell$-kernel polynomials of $E$. The main aim of this chapter is to compute all $K$-rational $\ell$-kernel polynomials of $E$ by factorizing $\psi_\ell$.

If $\ell = 2$ or 3 then an $\ell$-kernel polynomial has degree 1, so any linear factor of $\psi_\ell$ is indeed an $\ell$-kernel polynomial of $E$. For $\ell \geq 5$, the degree of an $\ell$-kernel polynomial is $\frac{\ell-1}{2}$. However, it is important to note that a degree $\frac{\ell-1}{2}$ factor of $\psi_\ell$ is not necessarily an $\ell$-kernel polynomial of $E$.

Thus in this chapter we will develop a method to determine whether a given degree $\frac{\ell-1}{2}$ factor of $\psi_\ell$ is an $\ell$-kernel polynomial or not. Furthermore, given an irreducible factor $f$ of $\psi_\ell$ such that $\deg(f) < \frac{\ell-1}{2}$, we will give a construction of an $\ell$-kernel polynomial from $f$ when it exists. Since it is straight-forward when $\ell = 2$ or 3, we will assume that $\ell \geq 5$ throughout this chapter unless stated otherwise.

3.1 Factorization of $\psi_\ell$

We have seen in section 2.2.3 that the kernel polynomial of a $K$-rational $\ell$-isogeny of $E$ is a $K$-rational degree $\frac{\ell-1}{2}$ factor of $\psi_\ell$. However, the converse is not necessarily true. In particular, even an irreducible degree $\frac{\ell-1}{2}$ factor
of $\psi_\ell$ is not necessarily an $\ell$-kernel polynomial of $E$. The following example illustrates the case where some irreducible degree $\frac{\ell - 1}{2}$ factors of $\psi_\ell$ are not $\ell$-kernel polynomials of $E$.

**Example 3.1.1.** Let $K = \mathbb{F}_3$ and $\ell = 13$. Let $E$ be the elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_3$ given by

$$E : y^2 = x^3 - x.$$ 

Note that a 13-kernel polynomial of $E$ has degree $\frac{13 - 1}{2} = 6$. The 13-division polynomial $\psi_{13}$ of $E$ factorizes over $\mathbb{F}_3$ as:

$$\psi_{13} = (x^6 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 - x - 1)(x^6 + x^4 - x^3 + x^2 + x - 1)$$

$$(x^6 + x^5 + x^3 - x^2 + x - 1)(x^6 + x^5 - x^3 - x^2 - 1)$$

$$(x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + x^2 - x - 1)(x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x - 1)$$

$$(x^6 + x^5 - x^4 + x^3 + x - 1)(x^6 + x^5 - x^4 - x^3 - 1)$$

$$(x^6 - x^5 + x^3 - x^2 - x - 1)(x^6 - x^5 - x^3 = x^2 - x - 1)$$

$$(x^6 - x^5 + x^4 + x^2 + x - 1)(x^6 - x^5 + x^4 - x^3 + x^2 - x - 1)$$

$$(x^6 - x^5 - x^4 + x^3 - 1)(x^6 - x^5 - x^4 - x^3 - x - 1),$$

a product of 14 irreducible polynomials of degree 6. However, only two among them, namely $x^6 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 - x - 1$ and $x^6 + x^4 - x^3 + x^2 + x - 1$, are 13-kernel polynomials of $E$. In fact, all other 13-kernel polynomials of $E$ are actually defined over $\mathbb{F}_9$. These can be checked by the methods described in section 3.3 and 3.4. Over $\mathbb{F}_9$, $\psi_{13}$ factorizes into a product of 28 irreducible polynomials of degree 3, and all 13-kernel polynomials of $E$ can be obtained by matching those irreducible factors correctly in pairs. We will visit this example again in detail at the end of this chapter.

### 3.2 Action of endomorphisms on kernel polynomials

Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $K$. In this section we develop a method to determine whether a degree $\frac{\ell - 1}{2}$ factor of $\psi_\ell$ is an $\ell$-kernel polynomial of $E$ or not. Since $\text{char}(K) \neq \ell$ by assumption, $E[\ell]$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$, which contains $\ell + 1$ subgroups of order $\ell$. Let $\Omega_\ell = \{H_1, ..., H_{\ell+1}\}$ be the collection of order $\ell$ subgroups of $E[\ell]$. 
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Let $\alpha \in \text{End}(E)$ be such that $\deg(\alpha)$ is coprime to $\ell$. Then $\alpha$ permutes the points in $E[\ell]$, and thus it induces the permutation $\alpha : \Omega_\ell \to \Omega_\ell$ given by

$$H \mapsto \alpha(H) := \{\alpha(P) \mid P \in H\}.$$ 

**Remark 3.2.1.** Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ be coprime to $\ell$. Then $[m](H) = H$ for all $H \in \Omega_\ell$. However, note that the permutation $\alpha : \Omega_\ell \to \Omega_\ell$ can be non-trivial if $\text{End}(E)$ is larger than $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha$ is not a multiplication by $m$ map. For example, let $E$ be the elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ given by $y^2 = x^3 - x$ and let $\alpha \in \text{End}(E)$ be such that $\alpha^2 = [-1]$. Then $\alpha$ acts as an involution on $\Omega_\ell$ if $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ as in Proposition 2.2.12.

Let $\Phi_\ell$ be the set of all $\ell$-kernel polynomials of $E$ and let $\alpha \in \text{End}(E)$ be such that $\deg(\alpha)$ is coprime to $\ell$. Then the permutation $\alpha : \Omega_\ell \to \Omega_\ell$ induces the permutation $\alpha : \Phi_\ell \to \Phi_\ell$ given by

$$\psi_H \mapsto \alpha(\psi_H) := \psi_{\alpha(H)},$$

where $\psi_H$ is the kernel polynomial of $H$. Note that if $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ is coprime to $\ell$, then $[m](\psi_H) = \psi_H$ for all $H \in \Omega_\ell$, since $[m](H) = H$ for all $H \in \Omega_\ell$.

Now we are ready to work with a factor of the $\ell$-division polynomial $\psi_\ell$. Let $f$ be a factor of $\psi_\ell$. We define the equivalence relation on $E[\ell]$ by $P \sim Q$ if and only if $P = \pm Q$, and we denote $E[\ell]/\{\pm 1\}$ to be the set of equivalence classes of $E[\ell]$ under the above equivalence relation. Then $f$ can be written as:

$$f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} (x - x_{P_i})$$

for some $1 \leq d \leq \frac{\ell^2 - 1}{2}$ and where $P_1, ..., P_d$ are distinct non-zero points in $E[\ell]/\{\pm 1\}$. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ be coprime to $\ell$. We define the action of $[m]$ on $f$ by

$$[m](f) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} (x - x_{mP_i}).$$

Note that $[m](f)$ is also a factor of $\psi_\ell$ of degree $d$. The following lemma determines whether a degree $\frac{\ell - 1}{2}$ factor of $\psi_\ell$ is an $\ell$-kernel polynomial of $E$ or not.
Proposition 3.2.2. Let $f$ be a degree $\ell - \frac{1}{2}$ factor of $\psi_{\ell}$, and suppose that $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ generates the quotient group $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell \mathbb{Z})^\times/\{\pm 1\}$. Then $f$ is an $\ell$-kernel polynomial of $E$ if and only if $[a](f) = f$.

Proof. Suppose $f$ is an $\ell$-kernel polynomial of $E$. Then $f = \psi_H$ for some $H \in \Omega_{\ell}$. Clearly $a$ is coprime to $\ell$. Thus $[a](\psi_H) = \psi_H$.

Conversely, suppose $[a](f) = f$. We can write $f = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell - \frac{1}{2}} (x - x_{P_i})$ where $P_1, ..., P_{\ell - \frac{1}{2}}$ are distinct non-zero points in $E[\ell]/\{\pm 1\}$. Let $Q = P_1$ and let $H$ be the cyclic group generated by $Q$. Since $f = [a](f) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell - \frac{1}{2}} (x - x_{aP_i})$, we see that $x_{aQ}$ is also a root of $f$. Moreover, $x_{a^2Q}$ is also a root of $f$ since $f = [a]^2(f)$. By continuing this process iteratively, we obtain that $x_{a^kQ}$ is a root of $f$ for all $k = 1, ..., \ell - \frac{1}{2}$. But since $a$ generates $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell \mathbb{Z})^\times/\{\pm 1\}$, we have

$$
\prod_{k=1}^{\ell - \frac{1}{2}} (x - x_{a^kQ}) = \prod_{\pm P = (x_{P, y_P}) \in H \setminus \{0\}} (x - x_P),
$$

which is an $\ell$-kernel polynomial of $E$. \qed

We will call a generator of the quotient group $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell \mathbb{Z})^\times/\{\pm 1\}$ a semi-primitive root modulo $\ell$. In the next section we will show that the polynomial $[a](f)$ can be expressed by a rational function in terms of $f$.

### 3.3 Kernel polynomial criterion

Let $f$ be a factor of $\psi_{\ell}$ and let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ be coprime to $\ell$. Let $r_m = [m]^* x$, which is a rational function in $x$. Then $f(r_m(x))$ is a rational function in $x$ and can be written as:

$$
f(r_m(x)) = \frac{f_1(x)}{f_2(x)},
$$

where $f_1, f_2$ are polynomials with $\gcd(f_1, f_2) = 1$. We define

$$
\tau_m(f) = \frac{1}{c} \cdot \gcd(\psi_{\ell}, f_1),
$$

where $c$ is the leading coefficient of $\gcd(\psi_{\ell}, f_1)$). Hence $\tau_m f$ is a monic polynomial. Note that $\tau_m(f)$ is also a factor of $\psi_{\ell}$.
Proposition 3.3.1. Let $f$ be a factor of $\psi_\ell$ and let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ be coprime to $\ell$. Suppose $mn \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$. Then $\tau_m(f) = [n](f)$.

Proof. Write $f = \prod_{i=1}^{d}(x - x_{P_i})$, where $d$ is the degree of $f$ and $P_1, \ldots, P_d$ are distinct non-zero points in $E[\ell]/\{\pm 1\}$. Since $[n](f) = \prod_{i=1}^{d}(x - x_{nP_i}) = \prod_{i=1}^{d}[n](x - x_{P_i})$, it suffices to show that $\tau_m(x - x_P) = [n](x - x_P)$ for all $P \in E[\ell] \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\}$.

Write $r_m(x) = \frac{u_m(x)}{v_m(x)}$, where $u_m, v_m$ are polynomials with $\gcd(u_m, v_m) = 1$. Then we have

$$r_m(x) - x_P = \frac{u_m(x) - x_P v_m(x)}{v_m(x)},$$

and $u_m(x) - x_P v_m(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = x_{mQ}$ for some point $Q$ on $E$ such that $mQ = \pm P$. Therefore

$$\tau_m(x - x_P) = \frac{1}{c} \cdot \gcd(\psi_\ell, u_m(x) - x_P v_m(x)) = x - x_Q,$$

where $c$ is the leading coefficient of $\gcd(\psi_\ell, u_m(x) - x_P v_m(x))$ and $Q$ is the unique point in $E[\ell]/\{\pm 1\}$ such that $mQ = \pm P$. Thus $x - x_Q = x - x_{nP} = [n](x - x_P)$ and hence the result follows. \qed

Corollary 3.3.2. Let $f$ be a degree $\frac{\ell - 1}{2}$ factor of $\psi_\ell$, and let $a$ be a semi-primitive root modulo $\ell$. Then $f$ is an $\ell$-kernel polynomial of $E$ if and only if $\tau_a(f) = f$.

Proof. Follows from Proposition 3.2.2 and 3.3.1. \qed

The above corollary determines whether a degree $\frac{\ell - 1}{2}$ factor of $\psi_\ell$ is an $\ell$-kernel polynomial of $E$ or not. In the next section we will develop a method for construction of an $\ell$-kernel polynomial of $E$ from an irreducible factor of $\psi_\ell$.

3.4 Computing an $\ell$-kernel polynomial from an irreducible factor of $\psi_\ell$

In this section, we will develop a method to construct an $\ell$-kernel polynomial from an irreducible factor of $\psi_\ell$. More precisely, given such a factor $h$, we give a
criterion for $h$ to be a factor of an $\ell$-kernel polynomial $f$ of $E$ and a construction of $f$ from $h$ when it exists. We start with the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.4.1.** Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $K$ and let $f$ be an $\ell$-kernel polynomial of $E$. Suppose $f$ factorizes over a field $L \supseteq K$ as $f = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f_i$ where each $f_i$ is irreducible over $L$. Then $\deg(f_i) = \frac{\ell - 1}{2d}$ for all $i$.

*Proof.* Let $x_1$ be a root of $f$. Then for any root $x_2$ of $f$, there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $1 \leq m < \ell$ such that $r_m(x_1) = x_2$. Since $E$ is defined over $K$, $r_m$ is a rational function in $x$ with coefficients in $K \subseteq L$ and hence $x_2 \in L(x_1)$. By symmetry, the same argument shows that $x_1 \in L(x_2)$. Thus $L(x_1) = L(x_2)$, which implies that all the irreducible factors of $f$ over $L$ have the same degree, and the result follows. □

Since the multiplication by $m$ map $[m]$ maps a factor of a kernel polynomial to another factor of the same kernel polynomial, the above proposition shows that $[m]$ takes an irreducible factor of a kernel polynomial to another irreducible factor of the same kernel polynomial. The following proposition shows how to construct a kernel polynomial $f$ from an irreducible factor of $f$.

**Proposition 3.4.2.** Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $K$ and let $f$ be an $\ell$-kernel polynomial of $E$. Let $h$ be an irreducible degree $d$ factor of $f$ over a field $L \supseteq K$. If $a$ is a semi-primitive root modulo $\ell$, then $f = \prod_{i=1}^{e} \tau_a^i(h)$ where $e = \frac{\ell - 1}{2d}$.

*Proof.* Let $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$. Note that $b$ is also a semi-primitive root modulo $\ell$. By Proposition 3.3.1, we have $\prod_{i=1}^{e} \tau_a^i(h) = \prod_{i=1}^{e} [b]^i(h)$. Moreover by Proposition 3.4.1, $f$ has $e$ irreducible factors of degree $d$ over $L$. Since $[b]$ takes an irreducible factor of $f$ to another irreducible factor of $f$, it suffices to show that $[b]^i(h)$ are distinct for each $i = 1, \ldots, e$.

Suppose $[b]^i(h) = [b]^j(h)$ for some $i, j$ with $1 \leq i < j \leq e$. Then there exists an irreducible factor $h_0$ of $f$ such that $[b]^k(h_0) = h_0$ for some $k < e$. This implies that $b$ does not generate $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times/\{\pm 1\}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $[b]^i(h)$ are distinct for each $i = 1, \ldots, e$ and hence $f = \prod_{i=1}^{e} \tau_a^i(h)$ as required. □

By above proposition, one can construct a kernel polynomial from any one of its irreducible factors. Now suppose we are given an irreducible factor of
the \( \ell \)-division polynomial \( \psi_\ell \). By Proposition 3.4.1, if the degree of \( h \) does not divide \( \frac{\ell - 1}{2} \), then \( h \) is not a factor of an \( \ell \)-kernel polynomial of \( E \). Otherwise, the proposition below gives a criterion for whether the given factor is a factor of an \( \ell \)-kernel polynomial of \( E \) or not.

**Proposition 3.4.3.** Let \( E \) be an elliptic curve over \( K \). Let \( h \) be an irreducible degree \( d \) factor of \( \psi_\ell \) over a field \( L \supseteq K \) such that \( d \) divides \( \frac{\ell - 1}{2} \). Let \( a \) be a semi-primitive root modulo \( \ell \) and let \( e = \frac{\ell - 1}{2d} \). Then \( \tau_a^e(h) = h \) if and only if \( h \) is a factor of an \( \ell \)-kernel polynomial of \( E \).

**Proof.** Suppose \( h \) is a factor of an \( \ell \)-kernel polynomial \( f \) of \( E \). By Proposition 3.4.1, we know that the number of irreducible factors of \( f \) over \( L \) is \( e \). Since \( a \) is a semi-primitive root modulo \( \ell \), the polynomials \( h, \tau_a^e(h), \ldots, \tau_a^{e-1}(h) \) are precisely all the distinct irreducible factors of \( f \), as in the proof of proposition 3.4.2. Suppose \( \tau_a^e(h) \neq h \). Then there exists an irreducible factor \( h_0 \) of \( f \) such that \( [b]^k(h_0) = h_0 \) for some \( 1 \leq k < e \) where \( ab \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell} \). This is a contradiction as in the proof of proposition 3.4.2.

Conversely, suppose that \( \tau_a^e(h) = h \). Since \( h \) is a factor of \( \psi_\ell \), we can write \( h \) as

\[
h(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} (x - x_{P_i})
\]

where \( P_1, \ldots, P_d \in E[\ell] \). Since \( \tau_a^e(h) = h \), we have for any \( i = 1, \ldots, d \),

\[
[b]^e(x - x_{P_i}) = (x - x_{P_i}),
\]

where \( b \) is such that \( ab \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell} \) and for some \( j \in \{1, \ldots, d\} \). Next we claim that the set of points \( \{[b]^e(P_1) \mid n = 1, \ldots, d\} \) is equal to the set of points \( \{P_1, \ldots, P_d\} \) in \( E[\ell]/\{\pm1\} \). Suppose it is not true. Then there exists a point \( P \in \{P_1, \ldots, P_d\} \) such that \( [b]^k(P) \neq P \) in \( E[\ell]/\{\pm1\} \) for some \( 1 \leq k < d \). Note that \( ek < \frac{\ell - 1}{2} \). Since \( b \) is a semi-primitive root modulo \( \ell \), \( [b]^n(P) \neq P \) in \( E[\ell]/\{\pm1\} \) for all \( 1 \leq n < \frac{\ell - 1}{2} \), which is a contradiction. Therefore \( \{[b]^e(P_1) \mid n = 1, \ldots, d\} = \{P_1, \ldots, P_d\} \). It implies that \( P_1, \ldots, P_d \) are in the same cyclic subgroup, say \( \langle P_1 \rangle \). Hence \( h \) is a factor of an \( \ell \)-kernel polynomial of \( E \).

We have seen that a semi-primitive root modulo \( \ell \) plays an important
role in constructing kernel polynomials. Since the rational function \( r_m = [m] \ast x \) has degree \( m^2 \), for computation it is best to choose the smallest semi-primitive root modulo \( \ell \). The following lemma gives a criterion for an element of \((\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times\) to be a semi-primitive root modulo \( \ell \).

**Lemma 3.4.4.** Let \( a \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times \). Denote the order of \( a \) by \( |a| \).

1. If \( \ell \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \), then \( a \) is a semi-primitive root modulo \( \ell \) if and only if \( |a| = \ell - 1 \).

2. If \( \ell \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \), then \( a \) is a semi-primitive root modulo \( \ell \) if and only if \( |a| \geq \frac{\ell - 1}{2} \).

**Proof.** First note that if \( a \) is a semi-primitive root modulo \( \ell \) then clearly \( |a| \geq \frac{\ell - 1}{2} \) since the order of the group \((\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times/\{\pm 1\}\) is \( \frac{\ell - 1}{2} \). Therefore either \( |a| = \ell - 1 \) or \( \frac{\ell - 1}{2} \).

We first prove the statement (1). Suppose \( |a| = \ell - 1 \). Then since \( a \) generates \((\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times\), it also generates \((\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times/\{\pm 1\}\), i.e., \( a \) is a semi-primitive root modulo \( \ell \).

Conversely, suppose \( a \) is a semi-primitive root modulo \( \ell \). Then either \( |a| = \ell - 1 \) or \( \frac{\ell - 1}{2} \). If \( |a| = \ell - 1 \), then we are done. Suppose \( |a| = \frac{\ell - 1}{2} \). Then \( a \) is a square, so the group \( H = \{a^i \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{\ell - 1}{2}\} \) consists of all squares in \((\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times\). Let \( h \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times \setminus H \). Then since \( \ell \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \), \(-1\) is a square in \((\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times\) and hence \(-h \notin H \). Thus \( a \) cannot generate \((\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times/\{\pm 1\}\), which contradicts the assumption that \( a \) is a semi-primitive root modulo \( \ell \). Therefore \( |a| = \ell - 1 \).

Next we prove the statement (2). Suppose \( a \) is a semi-primitive root modulo \( \ell \). Then either \( |a| = \ell - 1 \) or \( \frac{\ell - 1}{2} \), so \( |a| \geq \frac{\ell - 1}{2} \).

Conversely, suppose \( |a| \geq \frac{\ell - 1}{2} \). If \( |a| = \ell - 1 \), then we are done. Suppose \( |a| = \frac{\ell - 1}{2} \). Let \( H = \{a^i \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{\ell - 1}{2}\} \). Since \( \ell \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \), \(-1\) is not a square and so \(-1 \notin H \). It implies that \( H = (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times/\{\pm 1\} \), i.e., \( a \) generates \((\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times/\{\pm 1\}\). Therefore \( a \) is a semi-primitive root modulo \( \ell \). \(\square\)

For most \( \ell < 200 \), either 2 or 3 is a semi-primitive root modulo \( \ell \). In fact, 2 is semi-primitive modulo \( \ell \) for

\[
\ell = 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 19, 23, 29, 37, 47, 53, 59, 61, 67, 71, 79, 83, 101, 103, 107, 131, 139, 149, 163, 167, 173, 179, 181, 191, 197, 199,
\]
but not for

\[ \ell = 17, 31, 41, 43, 73, 89, 97, 109, 113, 127, 137, 151, 157, 193. \]

It turns out that 2 or 3 is a semi-primitive root modulo \( \ell \) for all \( \ell < 200 \) except for \( \ell = 41, 73, 97, 109, 151, 157, 193 \). However, for \( \ell = 41, 73, 97, 109, 151, 157, 193 \), the smallest semi-primitive root modulo \( \ell \) is 6, 5, 5, 6, 5, 5, 5 respectively.

For \( \ell < 1000 \), the smallest semi-primitive root modulo \( \ell \) is \( \leq 6 \) for all except for 9 out of 167 primes, it is \( \leq 13 \) for all but \( \ell = 407 \). For \( \ell = 407 \), the smallest semi-primitive root is 21. Hence the smallest semi-primitive root is not too big for most small primes.

### 3.5 Algorithm for computing \( \ell \)-kernel polynomials

Let \( E \) be an elliptic curve over a field \( K \) and let \( \psi_\ell \) be the \( \ell \)-division polynomial of \( E \). Here we summarize the method described in this chapter for constructing \( K \)-rational \( \ell \)-kernel polynomials of \( E \) by factorizing \( \psi_\ell \).

**Remark 3.5.1.** For this algorithm, it is best to take the smallest semi-primitive root modulo \( \ell \) to reduce the computation expense. As \( \ell \) becomes large, computation becomes very expensive since we need to factorize \( \psi_\ell \) which has degree \( \frac{\ell^2 - 1}{2} \). In subsequent chapters, we will develop methods which only require factorization of polynomials of degree \( \ell + 1 \).
Algorithm 1 Computing $K$-rational $\ell$-kernel polynomials by factoring the
$\ell$-division polynomial.

Input: $E$, $K$, $\ell$, $a$ (a semi-primitive root modulo $\ell$)
Output: A set of $K$-rational $\ell$-kernel polynomials of $E$

1: Compute $\psi_{\ell}$
2: Factorize $\psi_{\ell}$ over $K$
3: if $\ell = 2$ or 3 then
4: Set $\mathcal{K} = \{\text{linear factors of } \psi_{\ell} \text{ over } K\}$
5: else
6: Set $\mathcal{F} = \{\text{irreducible factors of } \psi_{\ell} \text{ over } K \text{ of degree dividing } \frac{\ell-1}{2}\}$
7: Set $\mathcal{K} = \emptyset$
8: for $f$ in $\mathcal{F}$ do
9: Let $d = \deg(f)$ and $e = \frac{\ell-1}{2d}$
10: Compute $f, \tau_a(f), ..., \tau^e_a(f)$
11: if $\tau^e_a(f) = f$ then
12: Append $\prod_{i=0}^{e-1} \tau^i_a(f)$ to $\mathcal{K}$
13: end if
14: Delete $\tau^i_a(f)$ from $\mathcal{F}$ for $0 \leq i \leq e - 1$
15: end for
16: end if
17: return $\mathcal{K}$

We give the following two examples that use Algorithm 1.

Example 3.5.2. Let $E$ be the elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ given by

$$E : y^2 + y = x^3 - x^2 - 10x - 20.$$  

We will compute all $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$-rational 5-kernel polynomials of $E$. Note that a 5-kernel polynomial of $E$ has degree $\frac{5-1}{2} = 2$. The 5-division polynomial $\psi_5$ of $E$ factorizes as $\psi_5 = \prod_{i=1}^{8} f_i$ where:

$$f_1 = x - 16, \quad f_2 = x - 5,$$
$$f_3 = x + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{11\sqrt{5}}{10}, \quad f_4 = \overline{f_3},$$
$$f_5 = x^2 + \frac{15-5\sqrt{5}}{2}x + \frac{95-41\sqrt{5}}{2}, \quad f_8 = \overline{f_5},$$
$$f_6 = x^2 + \frac{1-3\sqrt{5}}{2}x + 11 + 2\sqrt{5}, \quad f_7 = \overline{f_6},$$

where $\overline{h_i}$ denotes the conjugate of $h_i$.

We can use $\tau_2$ to construct kernel polynomials since 2 is a semi-primitive
root modulo 5. Since \( \tau_2(f_1) = f_2 \) and \( \tau_2^2(f_1) = f_1 \), we obtain that
\[
f_1 \cdot f_2 = (x - 16)(x - 5) = x^2 - 21x + 80
\]
is a 5-kernel polynomial of \( E \). Moreover, since \( \tau_2(f_3) = f_4 \) and \( \tau_2^2(f_3) = f_3 \), the polynomial
\[
f_3 \cdot f_4 = x^2 + x - \frac{29}{5}
\]
is also a 5-kernel polynomial of \( E \).

However, the polynomials \( f_5, f_6, f_7 \) and \( f_8 \) are not 5-kernel polynomials of \( E \), since they do not satisfy \( \tau_2(f_i) = f_i \) even though they are irreducible quadratic factors; \( \tau_2 \) permutes \( f_5, f_6 \) and permutes \( f_7, f_8 \). Hence there are two \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}) \)-rational 5-kernel polynomials of \( E \), namely \( x^2 - 21x + 80 \) and \( x^2 + x - \frac{29}{5} \), which are in fact defined over \( \mathbb{Q} \).

**Example 3.5.3.** This is a revisist of Example 3.1.1. Let \( E \) be the elliptic curve over \( \mathbb{F}_3 \) given by
\[
E : y^2 = x^3 - x.
\]
We will compute all \( \mathbb{F}_3 \)-rational 13-kernel polynomials of \( E \). Note that a 13-kernel polynomial of \( E \) has degree \( \frac{13-1}{2} = 6 \). The 13-division polynomial \( \psi_{13} \) of \( E \) factorizes as \( \psi_{13} = \prod_{i=1}^{14} f_i \) where:
\[
\begin{align*}
f_1 &= x^6 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 - x - 1, & f_2 &= x^6 + x^4 - x^3 + x^2 + x - 1, \\
f_3 &= x^6 + x^5 + x^3 - x^2 + x - 1, & f_4 &= x^6 + x^5 - x^3 - x^2 - 1, \\
f_5 &= x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + x^2 - x - 1, & f_6 &= x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x - 1, \\
f_7 &= x^6 + x^5 - x^4 + x^3 + x - 1, & f_8 &= x^6 + x^5 - x^4 - x^3 - 1, \\
f_9 &= x^6 - x^5 + x^3 - x^2 - 1, & f_{10} &= x^6 - x^5 - x^3 - x^2 - x - 1, \\
f_{11} &= x^6 - x^5 + x^4 + x^2 + x - 1, & f_{12} &= x^6 - x^5 + x^4 - x^3 + x^2 - x - 1, \\
f_{13} &= x^6 - x^5 - x^4 + x^3 - 1, & f_{14} &= x^6 - x^5 - x^4 - x^3 - x - 1.
\end{align*}
\]
Note that 2 is a semi-primitive root modulo 13. Since each \( f_i \) has degree 6, \( f_i \) is a 13-kernel polynomial if and only if \( \tau_2(f_i) = f_i \) for each \( i = 1, ..., 14 \). One can check that
\[
\tau_2(f_1) = f_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_2(f_2) = f_2,
\]
so \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \) are 13-kernel polynomials of \( E \). However, for other factors we have:
\[\begin{align*}
\tau_2(f_3) &= f_{14}, \quad \tau_2(f_4) = f_9, \quad \tau_2(f_5) = f_{11}, \\
\tau_2(f_6) &= f_{13}, \quad \tau_2(f_7) = f_{10}, \quad \tau_2(f_8) = f_{12},
\end{align*}\]

thus \(f_i\) are not 13-kernel polynomials \(E\) for \(i = 3, \ldots, 14\). Hence \(E\) has two \(\mathbb{F}_3\)-rational 13-kernel polynomials, namely \(f_1 = x^6 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 - x - 1\) and \(f_2 = x^6 + x^4 - x^3 + x^2 + x - 1\).

Now we see what happens over \(\mathbb{F}_9\). Over \(\mathbb{F}_9\), \(\psi_{13}\) factorizes as \(\psi_{13} = \prod_{i=1}^{28} h_i\) where \(h_i\) are as follows and \(a\) denotes an element in \(\mathbb{F}_9\) such that \(a^2 = -1\):

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi_{13} &= x^6 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 - x - 1, \\
\psi_{14} &= x^6 - ax^5 + ax^3 + x^2 - ax + 1.
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\overline{h_i}\) denotes the conjugate of \(h_i\) with respect to the conjugation \(a \mapsto -a\).

By using \(\tau_2\), we obtain the following 14 \(\mathbb{F}_9\)-rational 13-kernel polynomials of \(E\):

\[
\begin{align*}
h_1 \cdot \tau_2(h_1) &= h_1 \cdot h_3 = x^6 + x^4 - x^3 + x^2 + x - 1, \\
h_2 \cdot \tau_2(h_2) &= h_2 \cdot h_4 = x^6 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 - x - 1, \\
h_5 \cdot \tau_2(h_5) &= h_5 \cdot h_{25} = x^6 + (-a + 1)x^4 + ax^3 + (-a - 1)x^2 + x + 1, \\
h_6 \cdot \tau_2(h_6) &= h_6 \cdot h_{12} = x^6 - ax^5 + ax^3 + x^2 - ax + 1, \\
h_7 \cdot \tau_2(h_7) &= h_7 \cdot h_{23} = x^6 + (-a + 1)x^4 - ax^3 + (-a - 1)x^2 - x + 1, \\
h_8 \cdot \tau_2(h_8) &= h_8 \cdot h_{13} = x^6 - ax^5 - ax^4 + x^3 + (a + 1)x^2 + x + a,
\end{align*}
\]
\[ h_9 \cdot \tau_2(h_9) = h_9 \cdot h_{16} = x^6 - ax^5 + ax^4 - x^3 + (-a + 1)x^2 - x - a, \]
\[ h_{10} \cdot \tau_2(h_{10}) = h_{10} \cdot h_{28} = x^6 + (-a + 1)x^4 + (-a - 1)x^2 - a, \]
\[ h_{11} \cdot \tau_2(h_{11}) = h_{11} \cdot h_{21} = x^6 + (a + 1)x^4 + ax^3 + (a - 1)x^2 - x + 1, \]
\[ h_{14} \cdot \tau_2(h_{20}) = h_{14} \cdot h_{20} = x^6 + (a + 1)x^4 + (a - 1)x^2 + a, \]
\[ h_{15} \cdot \tau_2(h_{15}) = h_{15} \cdot h_{17} = x^6 + (a + 1)x^4 - ax^3 + (a - 1)x^2 + x + 1, \]
\[ h_{18} \cdot \tau_2(h_{18}) = h_{18} \cdot h_{24} = x^6 + ax^5 - ax^4 + x^3 + ax + 1, \]
\[ h_{19} \cdot \tau_2(h_{19}) = h_{19} \cdot h_{26} = x^6 + ax^5 - ax^4 - x^3 + (a + 1)x^2 - x + a, \]
\[ h_{22} \cdot \tau_2(h_{22}) = h_{22} \cdot h_{27} = x^6 + ax^5 + ax^4 + x^3 + (-a + 1)x^2 + x - a. \]
Chapter 4

Modular Approach: cases where $X_0(\ell)$ has genus 0

Recall from section 2.3.2 that $\text{Ell}_{0,N}'(K)$ denotes the set of pairs $(E, H)$, up to isomorphism over $\overline{K}$, where $E$ is an elliptic curve defined over $K$ and $H \subseteq E(\overline{K})$ is a cyclic subgroup of order $N$ that is defined over $K$. Then there is a bijection $\text{Ell}_{0,N}'(K) \sim X_0(N)_{\mathbb{Q}}(K) \setminus \{\text{cusps}\}$. Using this moduli interpretation, we compute $\ell$-isogenies of an elliptic curve for $\ell \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 13\}$, following Cremona and Watkins [3]. In this chapter except for section 4.5, we assume that $\text{char}(K) \notin \{2, 3, \ell\}$. In section 4.5 we consider the cases where $\text{char}(K) = 2$ or 3.

4.1 Modular approach

By Proposition 2.3.5, we can see that $X_0(\ell)$ has genus 0 if and only if $\ell \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 13\}$. When the genus of $X_0(\ell)$ is 0, the function field $\mathbb{C}(X_0(\ell))$ is generated by a single function. For each $\ell$, we may choose the generator to be

$$h = \left( \frac{\eta(q)}{\eta(q^\ell)} \right)^{24/(\ell-1)}$$

(see [7, section 4]). The Atkin-Lehner involution $w_\ell$ takes $h$ to $t := \frac{\ell^{12/(\ell-1)}}{h}$, and thus $t$ is also a generator of $\mathbb{C}(X_0(\ell))$. Using $t$, the $j$-function $j : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
can be expressed as \( j = F_\ell(t) \) given by the following rational functions:

\[
\begin{align*}
F_2(t) &= \frac{(t + 16)^3}{t}, \\
F_3(t) &= \frac{(t + 27)(t + 3)^3}{t}, \\
F_5(t) &= \frac{(t^2 + 10t + 5)^3}{t}, \\
F_7(t) &= \frac{(t^2 + 13t + 49)(t^2 + 5t + 1)^3}{t}, \\
F_{13}(t) &= \frac{(t^2 + 5t + 13)(t^4 + 7t^3 + 20t^2 + 19t + 1)^3}{t^{13}}.
\end{align*}
\]

Hence given \( j_0 \in K \), each root \( t \in K \) of the equation \( F_\ell(t) = j_0 \) corresponds to a modular pair \((E, H)\) over \( K \) such that \( j(E) = j_0 \).

Since \( w_\ell \) takes \( t \) to \( h = \frac{\ell^{12/(\ell - 1)}}{t} \) and takes \( j \) to \( j_\ell := j_\ell(\tau) = j(\ell \tau) \), for each \( \ell \) the function \( j_\ell \) can be written as \( j_\ell = \tilde{F}_\ell(t) \) where:

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{F}_2(t) &= \frac{(t + 2^8)^3}{t^2}, \\
\tilde{F}_3(t) &= \frac{(t + 27)(t + 3^5)^3}{t^3}, \\
\tilde{F}_5(t) &= \frac{(t^2 + 250t + 5^5)^3}{t^5}, \\
\tilde{F}_7(t) &= \frac{(t^2 + 13t + 49)(t^2 + 245t + 7^4)^3}{t^7}, \\
\tilde{F}_{13}(t) &= \frac{(t^2 + 5t + 13)(t^4 + 247t^3 + 3380t^2 + 15379t + 13^4)^3}{t^{13}}.
\end{align*}
\]

Since \( j \) and \( j_\ell \) can be written as rational functions in \( t \), \( t \) generates the function field \( \mathbb{Q}(j, j_\ell) \). Moreover, if \( t_0 \in K \) satisfies \( F_\ell(t_0) = \tilde{F}_\ell(t_0) \), then \( t_0 \) corresponds to a degree \( \ell \) endomorphism.

Let \( \ell \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 13\} \). Let \( E(t) \) be an elliptic curve over \( \mathbb{Q}(t) \) of the form

\[
E(t) : y^2 = x^3 + a_4(t)x + a_6(t) \quad \text{such that} \quad j(E(t)) = F_\ell(t).
\]
Such curve does exist since we can always take $\mathcal{E}(t) = E_j$ given by

$$E_j : y^2 = x^3 - 3jkx - 2jk^2,$$

where $j = F_\ell(t)$ and $k = j - 1728$. We will call $\mathcal{E}(t)$ a universal elliptic curve. By computation we find that the $\ell$-division polynomial of $\mathcal{E}(t)$ has a unique irreducible degree $\frac{\ell-1}{2}$ factor in $\mathbb{Q}(t)[x]$, which we denote by $\Psi_\ell(x, t)$. We call $\Psi_\ell(x, t)$ the generic $\ell$-kernel polynomial of $\mathcal{E}(t)$.

Given an elliptic curve $E$ over $K$, for each root $t_0 \in K$ of $j(E) = F_\ell(t)$, if the curve $\mathcal{E}(t_0)$ over $K$ given by

$$\mathcal{E}(t_0) : y^2 = x^3 + a_4(t_0)x + a_6(t_0)$$

is non-singular then $\mathcal{E}(t_0)$ is an elliptic curve with $j(\mathcal{E}(t_0)) = j(E)$. For example, by taking $\mathcal{E}(t) = E_j$ the curve $\mathcal{E}(t_0)$ is non-singular if $j(E) \not\in \{0, 1728\}$. In this case the polynomial $\Psi_\ell(x, t_0) \in K[x]$ is an $\ell$-kernel polynomial of $\mathcal{E}(t_0)$, and a $K$-rational $\ell$-kernel polynomial of $E$ can be recovered from $\Psi_\ell(x, t_0)$. Thus the main idea of the method is to compute and store $\Psi_\ell(x, t)$ in advance and then specialize to any given elliptic curve. We will give the details in section 4.3.

### 4.2 Minimal universal elliptic curve

In previous section we saw that the elliptic curve

$$E_j : y^2 = x^3 - 3jkx - 2jk^2,$$

where $j = F_\ell(t)$ and $k = j - 1728$, is a universal elliptic curve. In this section we will construct a “minimal” universal elliptic curve from $E_j$ for each $\ell \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 13\}$, which has the “smallest” coefficients. By minimal we mean a curve satisfying Definition 4.2.1. By working with a minimal universal elliptic curve, computation of the generic $\ell$-kernel polynomial can be much more efficient and faster.

**Definition 4.2.1.** Let $\mathcal{E}(t) : y^2 = x^3 + a_4(t)x + a_6(t)$ be a universal elliptic curve. We say that $\mathcal{E}(t)$ is minimal if the following conditions hold.
We can obtain a minimal universal elliptic curve from \( E(t) : y^2 = x^3 + a_4(t)x + a_6(t) \) as follows. First we make \( E(t) \) defined over \( \mathbb{Z}[t] \) in the same way as making an elliptic curve over \( \mathbb{Q} \) integral by clearing the denominators of \( a_4(t) \) and \( a_6(t) \). Note that the \( j \)-invariant does not change by this operation. Once the curve is defined over \( \mathbb{Z}[t] \), it is easy to see that there is a unique \( h \in \mathbb{Z}[t] \) up to sign, such that the above conditions (1) and (2) hold. Hence we obtain a minimal universal elliptic curve, and note that it is unique up to twist by \(-1\).

We present the table below for a minimal universal elliptic curve \( E(t) : y^2 = x^3 + a_4(t)x + a_6(t) \) for each \( \ell \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 13\} \). The table consists of \( a_4(t) \), \( a_6(t) \), the discriminant \( \Delta(E(t)) \) and the generic kernel polynomial \( \Psi_\ell(x, t) \) of \( E(t) \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \ell )</th>
<th>( a_4(t) )</th>
<th>( a_6(t) )</th>
<th>( \Delta(E(t)) )</th>
<th>( \Psi_\ell(x, t) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(-3(t + 16)(t + 64))</td>
<td>(-2(t + 64)^2(t - 8))</td>
<td>(2^{12}3^6t(t + 64)^3)</td>
<td>(x + t + 64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(-3(t + 3)(t + 27))</td>
<td>(-2(t + 27)(t^2 + 18t - 27))</td>
<td>(2^{12}3^6(t(t + 27))^2)</td>
<td>(x + t + 27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(-3(t^2 + 10t + 5)(t^2 + 22t + 125))</td>
<td>(-2(t^2 + 22t + 125)^2(t^2 + 4t - 1))</td>
<td>(2^{12}3^6t(t^2 + 22t + 125)^3)</td>
<td>(x^2 + 2(t^2 + 22t + 125)x + (t^2 + 22t + 89)(t^2 + 22t + 125))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\[\ell = 7:\]

\[a_4(t) = -3(t^2 + 5t + 1)(t^2 + 13t + 49)\]
\[a_6(t) = -2(t^2 + 13t + 49)(t^4 + 14t^3 + 63t^2 + 70t - 7)\]
\[\Delta(E(t)) = 2^{12}3^6t(t^2 + 13t + 49)^2\]
\[\Psi_7(x, t) = x^3 + 3(t^2 + 13t + 49)x^2 + 3(t^2 + 13t + 33)(t^2 + 13t + 49)x\]
\[+(t^2 + 13t + 49)(t^4 + 26t^3 + 219t^2 + 778t + 881)\]

\[\ell = 13:\]

\[a_4(t) = -3(t^2 + 5t + 13)(t^2 + 6t + 13)(t^4 + 7t^3 + 20t^2 + 19t + 1)\]
\[a_6(t) = -2(t^2 + 5t + 13)(t^2 + 6t + 13)^2(t^6 + 10t^5 + 46t^4 + 108t^3\]
\[+ 122t^2 + 38t - 1)\]
\[\Delta(E(t)) = 2^{12}3^6t(t^2 + 5t + 13)^2(t^2 + 6t + 13)^3\]
\[\Psi_{13}(x, t) = x^6 + 6(t^2 + 5t + 13)(t^2 + 6t + 13)x^5 + 3(t^2 + 5t + 13\]
\[(t^2 + 6t + 13)(5t^4 + 55t^3 + 260t^2 + 583t + 537)x^4 + 4(t^2 + 5t + 13\]
\[(t^2 + 6t + 13)^2(5t^6 + 80t^5 + 560t^4 + 2214t^3 + 5128t^2 + 6568t + 3373)x^3\]
\[+ 3(t^2 + 5t + 13)^2(t^2 + 6t + 13)^2(5t^8 + 110t^7 + 1045t^6 + 5798t^5\]
\[+ 20508t^4 + 47134t^3 + 67685t^2 + 54406t + 17581)x^2 + 6(t^2 + 5t + 13)^2\]
\[(t^2 + 6t + 13)^3(t^{10} + 27t^9 + 316t^8 + 2225t^7 + 10463t^6 + 34232t^5\]
\[+ 78299t^4 + 122305t^3 + 122892t^2 + 69427t + 16005)x + (t^2 + 5t + 13)^2\]
\[(t^2 + 6t + 13)^3(t^{14} + 38t^{13} + 649t^{12} + 6844t^{11} + 50216t^{10}\]
\[+ 271612t^9 + 1115174t^8 + 3520132t^7 + 8549270t^6 + 15812476t^5\]
\[+ 21764840t^4 + 21384124t^3 + 13952929t^2 + 5282630t + 854569)\]

### 4.3 Computing \(\ell\)-isogenies

Let \(\ell \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 13\}\) and assume that \(\text{char}(K) \not\in \{2, 3, \ell\}\). Let \(E\) be an elliptic curve over \(K\) given by \(y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6\). In this section we show how to compute all \(K\)-rational \(\ell\)-isogenies of \(E\) up to equivalence, by using the minimal universal elliptic curve \(E(t) : y^2 = x^3 + a_4(t)x + a_6(t)\) and the generic \(\ell\)-kernel polynomial \(\Psi_\ell(x, t)\) of \(E(t)\) given in Table 4.1.
4.3.1 \( j(E) \notin \{0, 1728\} \) case

Suppose \( j(E) \notin \{0, 1728\} \). In this case the roots of \( j(E) = F_\ell(t) \) are distinct since the covering map \( X_0(\ell) \to X(1) \) ramifies only above \( i \) or \( \rho \), which correspond to the \( j \)-invariant 1728 and 0 respectively.

Isogenies from the generic kernel polynomial

Since \( \text{char}(K) \neq 2 \) or 3, by (2.3) and (2.4) we can transform \( E \) to

\[
E_w : y^2 = x^3 - 27c_4x - 54c_6. \tag{4.1}
\]

Note that \( c_4 \) and \( c_6 \) are non-zero since \( j(E) \notin \{0, 1728\} \). Let

\[
T(t) = \frac{a_6(t)}{a_4(t)} \cdot \frac{-27c_4}{-54c_6} = \frac{a_6(t)c_4}{2a_4(t)c_6}.
\]

We will call \( T(t) \) the twisting parameter of \( E(t) \) for \( j(E) \notin \{0, 1728\} \). We have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3.1. Let \( t_0 \in K \) be a root of \( j(E) = F_\ell(t) \). Then

\[
(36T(t_0))^{-d} \cdot \Psi_\ell((36x + 3b_2)T(t_0), t_0)
\]

is a \( K \)-rational \( \ell \)-kernel polynomial of \( E \), where \( d = 1 \) if \( \ell = 2 \) and \( d = \frac{\ell - 1}{2} \) otherwise.

Proof. It is easy to check that the twist of \( E(t_0) \) by \( T(t_0)^{-1} \), given by

\[
E^{(T(t_0)^{-1})}(t_0) : y^2 = x^3 + a_4(t_0)xT(t_0) - 2 + a_6(t_0)T(t_0)^{-3},
\]

is equal to \( E_w \). Since \( \Psi_\ell(x, t_0) \) is an \( \ell \)-kernel polynomial of \( E(t_0) \) and since a kernel polynomial is monic and has degree \( d \), it follows that \( T(t_0)^{-d} \cdot \Psi_\ell((xT(t_0), t_0) \) is an \( \ell \)-kernel polynomial of \( E_w \). Now the result follows from the transformation from \( E_w \) to \( E \) given in (2.3) and (2.4). The resulting polynomial is clearly \( K \)-rational since \( T(t_0) \) and \( b_2 \) are both in \( K \). \( \square \)

For each root \( t_0 \) of \( j(E) = F_\ell(t) \), we can compute a \( K \)-rational \( \ell \)-isogeny of \( E \) from the \( \ell \)-kernel polynomial obtained from Lemma 4.3.1, by
using Kohel’s formula given in section 2.2.3.

4.3.2 \( j(E) = 0 \) case

In this case the equation \( 0 = j(E) = F_\ell(t) \) has multiple roots since the covering map \( X_0(\ell) \to X(1) \) ramifies above \( \rho \).

For each \( \ell \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 13\} \), the rational function \( F_\ell(t) \) is of the form

\[
F_\ell(t) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{e_{0,\ell}(t)h_{0,\ell}(t)}{t} & \text{if } \ell = 3 \text{ or } \ell \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\
\frac{h_{0,\ell}(t)}{t} & \text{if } \ell \equiv 2 \pmod{3},
\end{cases}
\]

where \( e_{0,\ell}(t), h_{0,\ell}(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t] \), \( \deg(e_{0,\ell}(t)) = 1 \) if \( \ell = 3 \) and \( \deg(e_{0,\ell}(t)) = 2 \) if \( \ell \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \). Note that \( e_{0,\ell}(t) \) and \( h_{0,\ell}(t) \) have no common root.

The structure of \( X_0(\ell) \) as a moduli space and Proposition 2.2.12 imply that a root of \( e_{0,\ell}(t) = 0 \) corresponds to an order \( \ell \) subgroup of \( E \) that is fixed by \( \text{Aut}(E) \), while a root of \( h_{0,\ell}(t) = 0 \) corresponds to an order \( \ell \) subgroup of \( E \) that is not fixed by \( \text{Aut}(E) \). Hence a root of \( e_{0,\ell}(t) = 0 \) corresponds to a \( K \)-rational degree \( \ell \) endomorphism of \( E \) up to equivalence, and a root of \( h_{0,\ell}(t) = 0 \) corresponds to a \( K \)-rational \( \ell \)-isogeny of \( E \) up to equivalence that is not an endomorphism.

Endomorphisms

For each \( \ell \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 13\} \), it turns out that if \( t_0 \) is a root of \( e_{0,\ell}(t) = 0 \) then \( E(t_0) \) is singular, where \( E(t) \) is the minimal universal elliptic curve given in Table 4.1. Thus we cannot use the generic \( \ell \)-kernel polynomial \( \Psi_\ell(x, t) \) to compute \( \ell \)-kernel polynomials of given \( E \) that correspond to endomorphisms up to equivalence. Hence we provide an alternative approach to compute these endomorphisms.

Consider the elliptic curve \( E_0(z) : y^2 = x^3 + z \) over \( \mathbb{Q}(z) \). If \( \ell = 3 \), it turns out that the \( \ell \)-division polynomial of \( E_0(z) \) has a unique linear factor in \( \mathbb{Q}(z)[x] \), namely \( x \). From (2.4) it follows that the polynomial

\[
36^{-1} \cdot (36x + 3b_2) = x + \frac{b_2}{12}
\]
is a kernel polynomial of $E$.

If $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ then there are precisely two irreducible degree $\frac{\ell-1}{2}$ factors in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})(z)[x]$, and they are complex conjugates of each other. We denote these two polynomials by $\Psi_{\ell,0}(x, z)$ and $\overline{\Psi}_{\ell,0}(x, z)$. By substituting $z = -54c_6$ and from (2.4), we obtain two kernel polynomials of $E$ that correspond to two inequivalent degree $\ell$ endomorphisms, given by

$$36^{-d} \cdot \Psi_{\ell,0}((36x + 3b_2), -54c_6),$$

$$36^{-d} \cdot \overline{\Psi}_{\ell,0}((36x + 3b_2), -54c_6).$$

We present the table below for $\Psi_{\ell,0}(x, z)$ for $\ell \in \{3, 7, 13\}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\ell$</th>
<th>$\Psi_{\ell,0}(x, z)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\ell = 3$</td>
<td>$x$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\ell = 7$</td>
<td>$x^3 + \frac{z}{7}(-6\rho - 2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\ell = 13$</td>
<td>$x^6 + (-6\rho + 2)x^3z + \frac{z^2}{13}(-24\rho + 40)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Non-endomorphisms

Since $j(E) = 0$, we have $c_4 = 0$ and $c_6 \neq 0$. Thus as in (4.1) $E$ can be transformed to

$$E_w : y^2 = x^3 - 54c_6.$$ 

Let

$$T(t) = \frac{a_6(t)}{-54c_6}.$$ 
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We call $T(t)$ the twisting parameter of $E(t)$ for $j(E) = 0$. We have the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.3.2.** Let $t_0 \in K$ be a root of $h_{0,\ell}(t) = 0$. Let $U \in K$ be such that $U^3 = T(t_0)$. Then

$$(36U)^{-d} \cdot \Psi_\ell((36x + 3b_2)U, t_0)$$

is a $K$-rational $\ell$-kernel polynomial of $E$, where $d = 1$ if $\ell = 2$ and $d = \frac{\ell - 1}{2}$ otherwise.

**Proof.** Since $j(E(t_0)) = j(E) = 0$ and the elliptic curve $E(t_0)$ is non-singular, $E(t_0)$ is of the form

$E(t_0) : y^2 = x^3 + a_6(t_0)$.

It is easy to check that the twist of $E(t_0)$ by $U^{-1}$, given by

$E^{(U^{-1})}(t_0) : y^2 = x^3 + a_6(t_0)U^{-3}$,

is equal to $E_w$. Now the result follows by the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 4.3.1. 

For each root $t_0 \in K$ of $h_{0,\ell}(t) = 0$, there are up to 3 distinct values of $U$, each giving a distinct kernel polynomial. These three kernel polynomials correspond to one orbit under the action of $\text{Aut}(E)$. For each kernel polynomial, we can compute a $K$-rational $\ell$-isogeny $\phi$ of $E$ using Kohel’s formula given in section 2.2.3.

**4.3.3 $j(E) = 1728$ case**

In this case the equation $1728 = j(E) = F_\ell(t)$ has multiple roots since the covering map $X_0(\ell) \to X(1)$ ramifies above $i$.

For each $\ell \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 13\}$, the rational function $F_\ell(t) - 1728$ is of the form

$$F_\ell(t) - 1728 = \begin{cases} \frac{e_{1728,\ell}(t)h_{1728,\ell}(t)}{t} & \text{if } \ell = 2 \text{ or } \ell \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ \frac{h_{1728,\ell}(t)}{t} & \text{if } \ell \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$
where $e_{1728,\ell}(t), h_{1728,\ell}(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$. $\deg(e_{1728,\ell}(t)) = 1$ if $\ell = 2$ and $\deg(e_{1728,\ell}(t)) = 2$ if $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Note that $e_{1728,\ell}(t)$ and $h_{1728,\ell}(t)$ have no common root.

By the similar argument as in section 4.3.2, a root of $e_{1728,\ell}(t) = 0$ corresponds to a $K$-rational degree $\ell$ endomorphism of $E$ up to equivalence, and a root of $h_{1728,\ell}(t) = 0$ corresponds to a $K$-rational $\ell$-isogeny of $E$ up to equivalence that is not an endomorphism.

**Endomorphisms**

For each $\ell \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 13\}$, it turns out that if $t_0$ satisfies $e_{1728,\ell}(t) = 0$ then $E(t_0)$ is singular, where $E(t)$ is the minimal universal elliptic curve given in Table 4.1. Thus we cannot use the generic $\ell$-kernel polynomial $\Psi_\ell(x, t)$ to compute $\ell$-kernel polynomials of given $E$ that correspond to endomorphisms up to equivalence. Hence we provide an alternative approach to compute these endomorphisms.

Consider the elliptic curve $E_{1728}(z) : y^2 = x^3 + zx$ over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$. If $\ell = 2$, it turns out that the $\ell$-division polynomial of $E_{1728}(z)$ has a unique linear factor in $\mathbb{Q}(z)[x]$, namely $x$. From (2.4) it follows that the polynomial

$$36^{-1} \cdot (36x + 3b_2) = x + \frac{b_2}{12}$$

is a kernel polynomial of $E$.

If $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ then there are precisely two irreducible degree $\frac{\ell-1}{2}$ factors in $\mathbb{Q}(i)(z)[x]$, and they are complex conjugates of each other. We denote these two polynomials by $\Psi_{\ell,1728}(x, z)$ and $\overline{\Psi}_{\ell,1728}(x, z)$. By substituting $z = -27c_4$ and from (2.4), we obtain two kernel polynomials of $E$ that correspond to two degree $\ell$ endomorphisms, given by

$$36^{-d} \cdot \Psi_{\ell,1728}((36x + 3b_2), -27c_4),$$
$$36^{-d} \cdot \overline{\Psi}_{\ell,1728}((36x + 3b_2), -27c_4).$$

We present the table below for $\Psi_{\ell,1728}(x, z)$ for $\ell \in \{2, 5, 13\}$. 49
Table 4.3: $\Psi_{\ell,1728}(x, z)$ for $\ell \in \{2, 5, 13\}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\ell$</th>
<th>$\Psi_{\ell,1728}(x, z)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$x$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$x^2 + \frac{z}{5}(-2i + 1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>$x^6 + (-2i - 1)x^4z + (-4i - 1)x^2z^2 + \frac{z^3}{13}(-2i - 3)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Non-endomorphisms

Since $j(E) = 1728$, we have $c_4 \neq 0$ and $c_6 = 0$. Thus as in (4.1) $E$ can be transformed to

$$E_w : y^2 = x^3 - 27c_4x.$$  

Let

$$T(t) = \frac{a_4(t)}{-27c_4}.$$  

We call $T(t)$ the twisting parameter of $E(t)$ for $j(E) = 1728$. We have the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.3.3.** Let $t_0 \in K$ be a root of $h_{1728,\ell}(t) = 0$. Let $U \in K$ be such that $U^2 = T(t_0)$. Then

$$(36U)^{-d} \cdot \Psi_{\ell}((36x + 3b_2)U, t_0)$$

is a $K$-rational $\ell$-kernel polynomial of $E$, where $d = 1$ if $\ell = 2$ and $d = \frac{\ell - 1}{2}$ otherwise.

**Proof.** Since $j(E(t_0)) = j(E) = 1728$ and the elliptic curve $E(t_0)$ is non-
singular, $E(t_0)$ is of the form

$$E(t_0) : y^2 = x^3 + a_4(t_0)x$$

It is easy to check that the twist of $E(t_0)$ by $U^{-1}$, given by

$$E(U^{-1}t_0) : y^2 = x^3 + a_4(t_0)xU^{-2}$$

is equal to $E_u$. Now the result follows by the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 4.3.1.

For each root $t_0 \in K$ of $h_{1728,\ell}(t) = 0$, there are up to 2 distinct values of $U$, each giving a distinct kernel polynomial. These two kernel polynomials correspond to one orbit under the action of $\text{Aut}(E)$. For each kernel polynomial, we can compute a $K$-rational $\ell$-isogeny $\phi$ of $E$ using Kohel’s formula given in section 2.2.3.

4.3.4 Algorithm

Let $\ell \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 13\}$. Let $K$ be a field such that $\text{char}(K) \notin \{2, 3, \ell\}$. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $K$ given by

$$E : y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6.$$

Let $E(t)$ be the minimal universal elliptic curve

$$E(t) : y^2 = x^3 + a_4(t)x + a_6(t)$$

and $\Psi_{\ell}(x, t)$ be the generic $\ell$-kernel polynomial of $E(t)$ given in Table 4.1. Let $\Psi_{\ell,0}(x, z)$ and $\Psi_{\ell,1728}(x, z)$ be the polynomials in Table 4.2 and 4.3 respectively, and let $\overline{\Psi}_{\ell,0}(x, z)$ and $\overline{\Psi}_{\ell,1728}(x, z)$ be complex conjugates of $\Psi_{\ell,0}(x, z)$ and $\Psi_{\ell,1728}(x, z)$ respectively.

We present three algorithms which compute all $K$-rational $\ell$-isogenies of $E$ up to equivalence for the cases $j \notin \{0, 1728\}$, $j = 0$, $j = 1728$ as Algorithm 2, 3 and 4.
Algorithm 2 isogenies_prime_degree_genus_0(E, ℓ)

**Input:** E, ℓ

**Output:** A set of K-rational ℓ-isogenies of E

1: Compute \( j(E) \)
2: if \( j(E) = 0 \) then
3:   return isogenies_prime_degree_genus_0_j0(E, ℓ)
4: else if \( j(E) = 1728 \) then
5:   return isogenies_prime_degree_genus_0_j1728(E, ℓ)
6: else if \( j(E) \not\in \{ 0, 1728 \} \) then
7:   Let \( d = 1 \) if \( ℓ = 2 \) and \( d = \frac{\ell - 1}{2} \) otherwise
8:   Compute \( R_t = \{ t_0 \in K \mid j(E) = F_\ell(t_0) \} \)
9:   Compute \( c_4, c_6, b_2 \) of E
10:  Let \( T(t) = \frac{a_6(t)c_4}{2a_4(t)c_6} \)
11:  Set \( K = \{ (36T(t_0))^{-d} \cdot \Psi_\ell((36x + 3b_2)T(t_0), t_0) \mid t_0 \in R_t \} \)
12:  Set \( I = \emptyset \)
13:  for \( f \) in \( K \) do
14:     Compute the isogeny \( \phi \) of E from \( f \) using Kohel’s formula
15:     Append \( \phi \) to \( I \)
16:  end for
17:  return \( I \)
18: end if
Algorithm 3 isogenies_prime_degree_genus_0_j0(E, ℓ)

Input: $E$ with $j(E) = 0$, ℓ

Output: A set of $K$-rational ℓ-isogenies of $E$

1: Let $d = 1$ if $\ell = 2$ and $d = \frac{\ell - 1}{2}$ otherwise
2: Compute $R_\ell = \{ t_0 \in K \mid h_{\ell,0}(t_0) = 0 \}$
3: Compute $c_6, b_2$ of $E$
4: Set $K = \emptyset$
   // For endomorphisms:
5: if $\ell = 3$ then
6: Append $x + \frac{b_2}{12}$ to $K$
7: else if $\ell \equiv 1 \mod 3$ and $-3$ is a square in $K$ then
8: Append $36^{-d} \cdot \Psi_{\ell,0}((36x + 3b_2), -54c_6), 36^{-d} \cdot \overline{\Psi}_{\ell,0}((36x + 3b_2), -54c_6)$ to $K$
9: end if
   // For non-endomorphisms:
10: for $t_0$ in $R_\ell$ do
11: for $U \in K$ such that $U^3 = \frac{a_6(t_0)}{-54c_6}$ do
12: Append $(36U)^{-d} \cdot \Psi_{\ell}((36x + 3b_2)U, t_0)$ to $K$
13: end for
14: end for
15: Set $\mathcal{I} = \emptyset$
16: for $f$ in $K$ do
17: Compute the isogeny $\phi$ of $E$ from $f$ //using Kohel’s formula
18: Append $\phi$ to $\mathcal{I}$
19: end for
20: return $\mathcal{I}$
Algorithm 4 isogenies_prime_degree_genus_0_j1728(E, ℓ)

Input: $E$ with $j(E) = 1728$, $\ell$

Output: A set of $K$-rational $\ell$-isogenies of $E$

1: Let $d = 1$ if $\ell = 2$ and $d = \frac{\ell - 1}{2}$ otherwise
2: Compute $R_t = \{t_0 \in K \mid h_{1728, \ell}(t) = 0\}$
3: Compute $c_4$, $b_2$ of $E$
4: Set $K = \emptyset$
   // For endomorphisms:
5: if $\ell = 2$ then
6: Append $x + \frac{b_2}{12}$ to $K$
7: else if $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $-1$ is a square in $K$ then
8: Append $36^{-d}.\Psi_{\ell, 1728}((36x + 3b_2), -27c_4), 36^{-d}.\overline{\Psi}_{\ell, 1728}((36x + 3b_2), -27c_4)$ to $K$
9: end if
   // For non-endomorphisms:
10: for $t_0$ in $R_t$ do
11: for $U \in K$ such that $U^2 = a_4(t_0) -27c_4$ do
12: Append $(36U)^{-d} \cdot \Psi_{\ell}((36x + 3b_2)U, t_0)$ to $K$
13: end for
14: end for
15: Set $I = \emptyset$
16: for $f$ in $K$ do
17: Compute the isogeny $\phi$ of $E$ from $f$ //using Kohel’s formula
18: Append $\phi$ to $I$
19: end for
20: return $I$
4.4 Examples

Here we illustrate three examples that use Algorithm 2, 3 and 4.

Example 4.4.1. Let

\[ E : y^2 + xy = x^3 - x^2 + 1 \quad \text{over } \mathbb{Q}. \]

We will compute all \( \mathbb{Q} \)-rational 7-isogenies of \( E \) up to equivalence. One can check that the only root of the equation \(-95 = j(E) = F_7(t) \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \) is \( t_0 = -5 \).

We compute \( c_4, c_6 \) and \( b_2 \) of \( E \):

\[ c_4 = 9, \quad c_6 = -837, \quad b_2 = -3. \]

Let \( \mathcal{E}(t) : y^2 = x^3 + a_4(t)x + a_6(t) \) be the universal elliptic curve where \( a_4(t) \) and \( a_6(t) \) are given by

\[ a_4(t) = -3(t^2 + 5t + 1)(t^2 + 13t + 49), \]
\[ a_6(t) = -2(t^2 + 13t + 49)(t^4 + 14t^3 + 63t^2 + 70t - 7). \]

The generic 7-kernel polynomial of \( \mathcal{E}(t) \) is given by

\[ \Psi_7(x, t) = x^3 + 3(t^2 + 13t + 49)x^2 + 3(t^2 + 13t + 33)(t^2 + 13t + 49)x \\
+ (t^2 + 13t + 49)(t^4 + 26t^3 + 219t^2 + 778t + 881), \]

and the twisting parameter is given by \( T(t) = \frac{a_6(t)c_4}{2a_4(t)c_6} \).

A kernel polynomial of \( E \) is given by

\[ (36T(t_0))^{-d} \cdot \Psi_7((36x + 3b_2)T(t_0), t_0) = x^3 - 3x^2 + 1. \]

Using Kohel’s formula we obtain a 7-isogeny from \( E \) to the curve

\[ E' : y^2 + xy = x^3 - x^2 - 225x - 1250 \quad \text{with } j(E') = -\frac{3^2 \cdot 1201^3}{5^7}, \]
and the isogeny is given by \((x, y) \mapsto (r_1(x), yr_1'(x) + r_2(x))\), where

\[
\begin{align*}
r_1(x) &= \frac{x^7 - 6x^6 + 54x^5 - 70x^4 - 15x^3 + 72x^2 - 17x + 18}{(x^3 - 3x^2 + 1)^2}, \\
r_2(x) &= \frac{-45x^8 + 108x^7 - 90x^6 - 117x^5 + 270x^4 - 180x^3 + 171x^2 - 9}{(x^3 - 3x^2 + 1)^3}.
\end{align*}
\]

This is the only \(\mathbb{Q}\)-rational 7-isogeny of \(E\) up to equivalence.

**Example 4.4.2.** Let \(K = \mathbb{Q}(i, \sqrt{5})\) and let

\[E : y^2 = x^3 - \sqrt{5}x\] over \(K\).

We will compute all \(K\)-rational 5-isogenies of \(E\) up to equivalence. Since \(j(E) = 1728\) and

\[F_5(t) - 1728 = \frac{(t^2 + 22t + 125)(t^2 + 4t - 1)^2}{t},\]

the roots of 1728 = \(j(E) = F_5(t)\) over \(K\) are \(t = -11 \pm 2i\) and \(t = -2 \pm \sqrt{5}\).

We compute \(c_4\) and \(b_2\) of \(E\):

\[c_4 = 48\sqrt{5}, \quad b_2 = 0.\]

We use the universal elliptic curve \(E(t) : y^2 = x^3 + a_4(t)x + a_6(t)\) where \(a_4(t)\) and \(a_6(t)\) are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
a_4(t) &= -3(t^2 + 10t + 5)(t^2 + 22t + 125), \\
a_6(t) &= -2(t^2 + 22t + 125)^2(t^2 + 4t - 1).
\end{align*}
\]

Its generic kernel polynomial is given by

\[
\Psi_5(x, t) = x^2 + 2(t^2 + 22t + 125)x + (t^2 + 22t + 89)(t^2 + 22t + 125),
\]

and the twisting parameter is given by \(T(t) = \frac{a_4(t)}{27c_4}\).

The roots \(t = -11 \pm 2i\) correspond to two degree 5 endomorphisms of
we obtain two kernel polynomials of $E$ given by:

$$36^{-2} \cdot \Psi_{\ell,1728}((36x + 3b_2), -27c_4) = x^2 + \frac{2i\sqrt{5}}{5} - \frac{\sqrt{5}}{5},$$
$$36^{-2} \cdot \overline{\Psi}_{\ell,1728}((36x + 3b_2), -27c_4) = x^2 - \frac{2i\sqrt{5}}{5} - \frac{\sqrt{5}}{5}.$$
9845745509376. In total we obtain six $K$-rational 5-isogenies of $E$. Here we omit the rational functions for the isogenies since they are too long to list.

**Example 4.4.3.** Let

$$E : y^2 + xy + y = x^3 - x^2 + 4x \quad \text{over } \mathbb{F}_7.$$  

We will compute all $\mathbb{F}_7$-rational 13-isogenies of $E$ up to equivalence. One can check that the only roots of the equation $3 = j(E) = F_{13}(t)$ over $\mathbb{F}_7$ are $t_1 = 2$ and $t_2 = 3$. We compute $c_4$, $c_6$ and $b_2$ of $E$:

$$c_4 = 3, \quad c_6 = 1, \quad b_2 = 4.$$  

We use the minimal universal elliptic curve $E(t) : y^2 = x^3 + a_4(t)x + a_6(t)$ and its generic 13-kernel polynomial $\Psi_{13}(x, t)$ given in Table 4.1. The twisting parameter is given by $T(t) = \frac{a_6(t)c_4}{2a_4(t)c_6}$.

For $t_1 = 2$, a kernel polynomial of $E$ is given by

$$(36T(t_1))^{-6} \cdot \Psi_{13}((36x + 3b_2)T(t_1), t_1) = x^6 - 2x^4 + x^3 - x^2 - 2x - 2.$$  

Using Kohel’s formula we obtain a 13-isogeny from $E$ to the curve

$$E' : y^2 + xy + y = x^3 - x^2 - x + 3 \quad \text{with } j(E') = 3.$$  

For $t_2 = 3$, a kernel polynomial of $E$ is given by

$$(36T(t_2))^{-6} \cdot \Psi_{13}((36x + 3b_2)T(t_2), t_2) = x^6 + x^5 - x^4 - x^3 + x^2 + 3x - 3.$$  

Using Kohel’s formula we obtain a 13-isogeny from $E$ to the curve

$$E' : y^2 + xy + y = x^3 + 6x^2 + 1 \quad \text{with } j(E') = 3.$$  

These two are all the $\mathbb{F}_7$-rational 13-isogenies of $E$ up to equivalence.
4.5 Characteristic 2 and 3 case

4.5.1 Ordinary curves in characteristic 3

Let $K$ be a field of characteristic 3, and let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $K$. Note that $E$ is ordinary if and only if $j(E) \neq 0$ (see [20, section 3.1]). If $E$ is given in the Weierstrass form (2.2) in characteristic 3, then $E$ can be transformed by (2.3) to the curve

$$y^2 = x^3 + b_2x^2 - b_4x + b_6.$$  \(4.2\)

If $j(E) \neq 0$ then $b_2 \neq 0$, thus applying

$$x \mapsto x + \frac{b_4}{b_2} \quad \text{and} \quad y \mapsto y$$  \(4.2\)

to the above curve yields

$$y^2 = x^3 + b_2x^2 + \frac{-b_2^2b_4^2 + b_3^2b_6 + b_1^3}{b_2^3}.$$  \(4.3\)

For each $\ell \in \{2, 5, 7, 13\}$, we define a minimal universal elliptic curve $E(t) : y^2 = x^3 + a_4(t)x + a_6(t)$ over $\mathbb{F}_3(t)$ to be a universal elliptic curve satisfying:

1. $a_2(t), a_6(t) \in \mathbb{F}_3[t]$,
2. $\not\exists h \in \mathbb{F}_3[t] \setminus \{\pm 1\}$ such that $h | a_2(t)$ and $h^3 | a_6(t)$.

We can construct such a curve from the universal elliptic curve

$$y^2 = x^3 - jx^2 + j^2 \quad \text{where} \quad j = F_\ell(t),$$

by a similar way as in section 4.2. We present a list of minimal universal elliptic curves for each $\ell$ in Table 4.4. For each $\ell \in \{2, 5, 7, 13\}$, we find by computation that the $\ell$-division polynomial of the minimal universal elliptic curve in Table 4.4 has a unique irreducible degree $\frac{\ell-1}{2}$ factor in $\mathbb{Q}(t)[x]$. We denote this factor by $\Psi_\ell(x, t)$ and call it the generic $\ell$-kernel polynomial of $E(t)$. We will compute all $K$-rational $\ell$-isogenies of $E$ up to equivalence by using $\Psi_\ell(x, t)$.  

59
Computing ℓ-isogenies

Let \( \ell \in \{2, 5, 7, 13\} \) and let \( E \) be an ordinary elliptic curve over \( K \). Note that the roots of \( j(E) = F_\ell(t) \) are distinct for each \( \ell \in \{2, 5, 7, 13\} \), since the above equation has multiple roots over \( K \) if and only if \( j(E) = 0 \). However \( E \) ordinary implies that \( j(E) \neq 0 \).

Let \( E(t) : y^2 = x^3 + a_2(t)x^2 + a_6(t) \) be a minimal universal elliptic curve, and let \( \Psi_\ell(x, t) \) denote the generic kernel polynomial of \( E(t) \). Let

\[
T(t) = \frac{a_2(t)}{b_2},
\]

and we call \( T(t) \) the twisting parameter of \( E(t) \). We have the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.5.1.** Let \( t_0 \in K \) be a root of \( j(E) = F_\ell(t) \). Then

\[
T(t_0)^{-d} \cdot \Psi_\ell \left( \left( x - \frac{b_4}{b_2} \right) T(t_0), t_0 \right)
\]

is a \( K \)-rational \( \ell \)-kernel polynomial of \( E \), where \( d = 1 \) if \( \ell = 2 \) and \( d = \frac{\ell - 1}{2} \) otherwise.

**Proof.** It is easy to check that the twist of \( E(t_0) \) by \( T(t_0)^{-1} \), given by

\[
E^{(T(t_0)^{-1})}(t_0) : y^2 = x^3 + a_2(t_0)x^2 + a_6(t_0)T(t_0)^{-1},
\]

is equal to \( E_w \). Now the result follows from the transformation (4.2). \( \square \)

For each root of \( j(E) = F_\ell(t) \), we can compute a \( K \)-rational \( \ell \)-isogeny of \( E \) from the \( \ell \)-kernel polynomial obtained from Lemma 4.3.1, by using Kohel’s formula given in section 2.2.3.

We present the table below for a minimal universal elliptic curve for each \( \ell \in \{2, 5, 7, 13\} \). The table consists of \( a_4(t) \), \( a_6(t) \), the discriminant \( \Delta(E(t)) \) and the generic kernel polynomial \( \Psi_\ell(x, t) \) of \( E(t) \).
Table 4.4: Minimal universal elliptic curves: for ordinary curves in characteristic 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ℓ = 2 :</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a_2(t) = t + 1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a_6(t) = -t)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta = t(t + 1)^3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Psi_2(x, t) = x + 1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ℓ = 5 :</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a_2(t) = t^2 + t - 1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a_6(t) = -t)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta = t(t^2 + t - 1)^3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Psi_5(x, t) = x^2 - (t + 1)x + t - 1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ℓ = 7 :</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a_2(t) = (t - 1)(t + 1)^2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a_6(t) = -t(t - 1))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta = t(t - 1)^4(t + 1)^6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Psi_7(x, t) = x^3 - (t - 1)(t + 1)x^2 + (t - 1)^2(t + 1)x - (t - 1)(t^2 + t - 1))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ℓ = 13 :</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a_2(t) = (t - 1)^2(t + 1)(t^2 + 1))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a_6(t) = -t(t + 1))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta = t(t - 1)^6(t + 1)^4(t^2 + 1)^3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Psi_{13}(x, t) = x^6 - (t - 1)(t + 1)(t^2 + 1)x^5 + (t - 1)(t + 1)^2(t^2 + 1)^2x^4 - t(t + 1)(t^7 - t^6 + t^5 + t^4 - t^3 + t^2 - t + 1)x^3 + (t - 1)(t + 1)^2(t^5 - t^4 + 1)x^2 - (t - 1)(t + 1)^5(t^2 + 1)^2x + (t + 1)^2(t^7 + t^6 + t^5 - t^4 - t^3 - t + 1))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Let us look at the following example.

**Example 4.5.2.** Let

\[
E : y^2 + xy = x^3 - 1 \quad \text{over } \mathbb{F}_3.
\]

We will compute all \(\mathbb{F}_3\)-rational 5-isogenies of \(E\) up to equivalence. One can check that the roots of the equation \(1 = j(E) = F_5(t)\) over \(\mathbb{F}_3\) are \(t_1 = -1\) and...
\( t_2 = 1 \). We compute \( b_2 \) and \( b_4 \) of \( E \):

\[
b_2 = 1, \quad b_4 = 0.
\]

Let \( E(t) : y^2 = x^3 + a_2(t)x^2 + a_6(t) \) be the universal elliptic curve where \( a_2(t) \) and \( a_6(t) \) are given by

\[
a_2(t) = t^2 + t - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad a_6(t) = -t.
\]

The generic 5-kernel polynomial of \( E(t) \) is given by

\[
\Psi_5(x, t) = x^2 - (t + 1)x + t - 1,
\]

and the twisting parameter is given by \( T(t) = \frac{a_2(t)}{b_2} \).

For the root \( t_1 = -1 \), a kernel polynomial of \( E \) is given by

\[
T(t_1)^{-2} \cdot \Psi_5 \left( x - \frac{b_4}{b_2} T(t_1), t_1 \right) = x^2 + x.
\]

By using Kohel’s formula and post-composing an appropriate isomorphism, we obtain the degree 5 endomorphism of \( E \) given by \( (x, y) \mapsto (r_1(x), -yr_1'(x) + r_2(x)) \), where

\[
r_1(x) = \frac{x^5 - x^3 + x^2 + x - 1}{(x^2 + x)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad r_2(x) = \frac{-x^7 + x^6 - x^3 + x}{(x^2 + x)^3}.
\]

For the root \( t_2 = 1 \), a kernel polynomial of \( E \) is given by

\[
T(t_2)^{-2} \cdot \Psi_5 \left( x - \frac{b_4}{b_2} T(t_2), t_2 \right) = x^2 + 1.
\]

By using Kohel’s formula we obtain another degree 5 endomorphism of \( E \) given by \( (x, y) \mapsto (r_1(x), yr_1'(x) + r_2(x)) \), where

\[
r_1(x) = \frac{x^5 - x^3 - x - 1}{(x^2 + 1)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad r_2(x) = \frac{x^3 + x^2 - 1}{(x^2 + 1)^3}.
\]

These two are all the \( \mathbb{F}_3 \)-rational 5-isogenies of \( E \) up to equivalence.
4.5.2 Ordinary curves in characteristic 2

Let $K$ be a field of characteristic 2, and let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $K$. Note that $E$ is ordinary if and only if $j(E) \neq 0$ (see [20, section 3.1]). If $E$ is given in Weierstrass form (2.2) and $j(E) \neq 0$, then $a_1 \neq 0$. Hence $E$ can be transformed to a curve

$$E_w : y^2 + xy = x^3 + \frac{a_1a_2 + a_3}{a_1^3}x^2 + \frac{1}{j(E)}$$

(4.4)

by the transformation

$$x \mapsto a_1^2x + \frac{a_3}{a_1} \quad \text{and} \quad y \mapsto a_1^3y + \frac{a_1^2a_4 + a_3^2}{a_1^3} \tag{4.5}$$

(see [17, Appendix A]).

Let $\mathcal{E}(t)$ be the universal elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_2(t)$ given by:

$$\mathcal{E}(t) : y^2 + xy = x^3 + \frac{1}{j} \quad \text{where} \ j = F_\ell(t).$$

Note that the $\Delta(\mathcal{E}(t)) = t$ for each $\ell \in \{3, 5, 7, 13\}$. For each $\ell \in \{3, 5, 7, 13\}$, we find by computation that the $\ell$-division polynomial of $\mathcal{E}(t)$ has a unique irreducible degree $\ell - 1$ factor in $\mathbb{Q}(t)[x]$. We denote this factor by $\Psi_\ell(x, t)$ and call it the generic $\ell$-kernel polynomial of $\mathcal{E}(t)$.

Note that a transformation preserving a curve of the form $y^2 + xy = x^3 + Ax^2 + B$ where $A, B \in K$ is given by (see [17, Appendix A])

$$x \mapsto x \quad \text{and} \quad y \mapsto y + sx, \quad \text{where} \ s \in K.$$

Since a kernel polynomial only depend on $x$, the kernel polynomials of $E_w$ are same as the kernel polynomials of $\mathcal{E}(t_0) : y^2 + xy = x^3 + 1/F_\ell(t_0)$, where $j(E_w) = j(E) = F_\ell(t_0)$ for some $t_0$. Hence we compute $K$-rational $\ell$-isogenies of a given elliptic curve as follows.

**Computing $\ell$-isogenies**

Let $\ell \in \{3, 5, 7, 13\}$ and let $E$ be an ordinary elliptic curve over $K$. Note that the roots of $j(E) = F_\ell(t)$ are distinct for each $\ell \in \{3, 5, 7, 13\}$, since the above
equation has multiple roots over $K$ if and only if $j(E) = 0$. However, $j(E) \neq 0$ since $E$ is ordinary.

For each root $t_0 \in K$ of $j(E) = F_\ell(t)$, the polynomial $\Psi_\ell(x, t_0)$ is an $\ell$-kernel polynomial of $E_w$. From (4.5) it follows that

$$a_1^{\ell-1} \cdot \Psi_\ell \left( \frac{1}{a_1^\ell} \left( x + \frac{a_3}{a_1} \right), t_0 \right)$$

is a $K$-rational $\ell$-kernel polynomial of $E$. By using Kohel’s formula, we obtain a $K$-rational $\ell$-isogeny $\phi$ of $E$.

We present the table below for the generic kernel polynomial $\Psi_\ell(x, t)$ of $E(t)$ above for each $\ell \in \{3, 5, 7, 13\}$. Note that $\Psi_\ell(x, t)$ is well-defined, since $j(E) \neq 0$ implies that $t + 1 \neq 0$ for $\ell = 3$ and $5$, $t^2 + t + 1 \neq 0$ for $\ell = 7$, and $(t + 1)(t^2 + t + 1) \neq 0$ for $\ell = 13$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\ell$</th>
<th>$\Psi_\ell(x, t)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$3$</td>
<td>$x + \frac{1}{(t + 1)}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5$</td>
<td>$x^2 + \frac{1}{(t + 1)^3}x + \frac{1}{(t + 1)^3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$7$</td>
<td>$x^3 + \frac{tx^2}{(t^2 + t + 1)} + \frac{(t + 1)x}{(t^2 + t + 1)^2} + \frac{1}{(t^2 + t + 1)^3}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We give the following example.

Example 4.5.3. Let

$$E : y^2 + xy + y = x^3 + x^2 \quad \text{over } \mathbb{F}_2.$$  

We will compute all \(\mathbb{F}_2\)-rational 7-isogenies of \(E\) up to equivalence. We find that the only root of the equation \(1 = j(E) = F_7(t)\) over \(\mathbb{F}_2\) is \(t_0 = 1\).

Let

$$\mathcal{E}(t) : y^2 + xy = x^3 + \frac{t}{(t^2 + t + 1)^4}$$

be the universal elliptic curve. The generic 7-kernel polynomial of \(\mathcal{E}(t)\) is given by

$$\Psi_7(x, t) = x^3 + \frac{tx^2}{(t^2 + t + 1)} + \frac{(t + 1)x}{(t^2 + t + 1)^2} + \frac{1}{(t^2 + t + 1)^3}.$$  

Thus a kernel polynomial of \(E\) is given by

$$a_1^6 \cdot \Psi_7 \left( \frac{1}{a_1^2} \left( x + \frac{a_3}{a_1} \right), t_0 \right) = x^3 + x + 1.$$  

By using Kohel’s formula and post-composing appropriate isomorphism, we obtain the degree 7 endomorphism of \(E\) given by \((x, y) \mapsto (r_1(x), yr'_1(x) + r_2(x))\), where

$$r_1(x) = \frac{x^7 + x^5 + x^4 + x^2 + x}{(x^3 + x + 1)^2}, \quad r_2(x) = \frac{x^9 + x^8 + x^6 + x^3 + x^2 + 1}{(x^3 + x + 1)^3}.$$  

This is the only \(\mathbb{F}_2\)-rational 7-isogeny of \(E\) up to equivalence.
4.5.3 Supersingular curves in characteristic 2 and 3

Since a supersingular elliptic curve in characteristic 2 or 3 has a large automorphism group and more isomorphism classes (there are 3 or 7 isomorphism classes in characteristic 2, and there are 4 or 6 isomorphism classes in characteristic 3), the generic kernel polynomial method will be complicated. In this case the division polynomial factorization method would be more desirable to use, since factorization of a polynomial in small characteristic is fast enough to compute.
Chapter 5

Modular Approach: cases where $X_0^+(\ell)$ has genus 0

Let $\mathcal{L}$ denote the set of primes \{11, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 41, 47, 59, 71\}. These are precisely the primes such that $g(X_0(\ell)) > 0$ and $g(X_0^+(\ell)) = 0$ (see section 5.1 below). In this chapter we extend the method for computing $\ell$-isogenies given in Chapter 4 to the cases $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$. We assume throughout the chapter that $\text{char}(K) \neq \ell$.

5.1 Modular Approach

In this section we review the work of Elkies [7] which we will need in later sections. From the genus formulas in Proposition 2.3.5 and (2.11), it follows that $g(X_0(\ell)) > 0$ but $g(X_0^+(\ell)) = 0$ if and only if $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$. For each such $\ell$, we can choose a modular function $u$ such that the function field $\mathbb{Q}(X_0^+(\ell))$ is equal to $\mathbb{Q}(u)$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $u$ has a pole only at the cusp of $X_0^+(\ell)$. If $v \in \mathbb{Q}(X_0^+(\ell))$ is such that $w_\ell(v) = -v$, where $w_\ell$ is the Atkin-Lehner involution, then the function field $\mathbb{Q}(X_0(\ell))$ is generated by $u$ and $v$. We can choose such $v$ with the property that it has poles only at the cusps of $X_0(\ell)$. Since $u$ and $v$ have poles only at the cusps, there exists a polynomial $f_\ell(u)$ such that $v^2 = f_\ell(u)$, and the degree of $f_\ell(u)$ is $2g + 2$ where $g = g(X_0(\ell))$. Furthermore, suitable choice of $u$, $v$ (see [7, section 4]) gives $f_\ell(u) \in \mathbb{Q}[u]$. Thus the modular curve $X_0(\ell)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ as a curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ is given by the equation $v^2 = f_\ell(u)$. See Example 5.1.1 for the details of the calculation.
(following [7]) for \( \ell = 11 \) and see [9] for other \( \ell \in \mathcal{L} \). In Appendix A we give all 10 polynomials \( f_\ell \).

Since the \( j \)-function \( j \) has poles only at the cusps of \( X_0(\ell) \), we can write \( j \) as a polynomial in \( u \) and \( v \). By using the relation \( v^2 = f_\ell(u) \), we can write

\[
j = \alpha_\ell(u) + v\beta_\ell(u), \tag{5.1}
\]

where \( \alpha_\ell, \beta_\ell \in \mathbb{Q}[u] \). To find the polynomials \( \alpha_\ell \) and \( \beta_\ell \) explicitly, recall the function \( j_N(\tau) = j(N\tau) \) from section 2.3.2. Since \( w_\ell(j) = j_\ell \) and \( w_\ell(v) = -v \), we have

\[
j_\ell = \alpha_\ell(u) - v\beta_\ell(u). \tag{5.2}
\]

Since \( \frac{\tau + \sqrt{\tau}}{2} = \alpha_\ell(u) \) and \( \frac{\tau - \sqrt{\tau}}{2} = \beta_\ell(u) \), by comparing the \( q \)-expansions of \( u, v, \frac{\tau + \sqrt{\tau}}{2} \) and \( \frac{\tau - \sqrt{\tau}}{2} \), we can obtain the polynomials \( \alpha_\ell \) and \( \beta_\ell \). Recall from section 2.3.2 that the function field \( \mathbb{Q}(X_0(\ell)_{\mathbb{Q}}) \) is equal to \( \mathbb{Q}(j, j_\ell) \). Since \( j \) and \( j_\ell \) can be written as a polynomial in \( u \) and \( v \) with coefficients in \( \mathbb{Q} \), we have \( \mathbb{Q}(j, j_\ell) = \mathbb{Q}(u, v) \).

**Example 5.1.1.** For \( \ell = 11 \), we may choose \( u \) and \( v \), whose \( q \)-expansions are given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
    u &= q^{-1} + 5 + 17q + 46q^2 + 116q^3 + 252q^4 + O(q^5), \\
    v &= -q^{-2} - 2q^{-1} + 12 + 116q + 597q^2 + 2298q^3 + 7616q^4 + O(q^5).
\end{align*}
\]

The modular curve \( X_0(11)_{\mathbb{Q}} \) is given by the equation

\[
X_0(11)_{\mathbb{Q}} : v^2 = u^4 - 16u^3 + 2u^2 + 12u - 7.
\]

Moreover, the \( j \)-function \( j \) can be written as \( j = \alpha_{11}(u) + v\beta_{11}(u) \) where

\[
\begin{align*}
    \alpha_{11}(u) &= \frac{1}{2}(u^{11} - 55u^{10} + 1188u^9 - 12716u^8 + 69630u^7 - 177408u^6 \\
    &\quad + 133056u^5 + 132066u^4 - 187407u^3 + 40095u^2 + 24300u - 6750), \\
    \beta_{11}(u) &= \frac{1}{2}(u - 15)(u - 6)(u - 3)(u - 1)u(u^2 - 12u - 9)(u^2 - 10u + 5).
\end{align*}
\]

See Appendix A for \( \alpha_\ell \) and \( \beta_\ell \) for other \( \ell \).
**Finding \((u,v)\) on \(X_0(\ell)_Q\) given \(j(E)\)**

Recall that the degree of the covering map \(X_0(\ell) \to X(1)\) is \(\ell + 1\). Thus given \(j\)-invariant \(j_0 \in K\), there are at most \(\ell + 1\) \(K\)-rational points \((u,v)\) on \(X_0(\ell)_Q\) satisfying \(j_0 = \alpha_\ell(u) + v\beta_\ell(u)\). Each such point corresponds to a modular pair \((E,H)\) defined over \(K\) such that \(j(E) = j_0\). We will describe how to find all points \((u,v)\) on \(X_0(\ell)_Q\) given \(j(E)\).

From (5.1) and (5.2), \(j\) satisfies the quadratic relation

\[
j^2 - P_\ell(u)j + Q_\ell(u) = 0,
\]

where \(P_\ell(u) = j + j_\ell = 2\alpha_\ell(u)\) and \(Q_\ell(u) = jj_\ell = \alpha_\ell^2(u) - v^2\beta_\ell^2(u) = \alpha_\ell^2(u) - f_\ell(u)\beta_\ell^2(u)\). By a suitable choice of \(u\) and \(v\) as in [7, section 4], we have \(P_\ell(u), Q_\ell(u) \in \mathbb{Z}[u]\) (see appendix A). Note that since the left hand side of (5.3) has degree \(\ell + 1\) as a polynomial in \(u\), there are at most \(\ell + 1\) roots in \(u\) over \(K\).

Let \(u_0 \in K\) be a root of (5.3). If \(\beta_\ell(u_0) \neq 0\), then (5.1) gives \(v = \frac{j(E)-\alpha_\ell(u_0)}{\beta_\ell(u_0)} \in K\). If \(\beta_\ell(u_0) = 0\), then we obtain at most two values of \(v\) by solving \(v^2 = f_\ell(u_0)\) over \(K\). We obtain at most \(\ell + 1\) \(K\)-rational points \((u,v)\) on \(X_0(\ell)_Q\) satisfying \(j(E) = \alpha_\ell(u) + v\beta_\ell(u)\). If \(j(E) \not\in \{0, 1728\}\) then the covering map \(X_0(\ell) \to X(1)\) is unramified, thus there are exactly \(\ell + 1\) distinct such points \((u,v)\) on \(X_0(\ell)_Q(\overline{K})\) of which the number that are \(K\)-rational is 0, 1, 2 or \(\ell + 1\).

**Remark 5.1.2.** Consider a point \((u_0,v_0)\) on \(X_0(\ell)_Q\) such that \(v_0 = 0\) or \(b_\ell(u_0) = 0\). Then since \(j(u_0,v_0) = \alpha_\ell(u_0) = j_\ell(u_0,v_0)\), it follows that the point \((u_0,v_0)\) corresponds to some endomorphism.

As in Chapter 4, for each \(\ell\) we construct a universal elliptic curve \(E(u,v)\) and generic \(\ell\)-kernel polynomial \(\Psi_\ell(x,u,v)\) to compute all \(K\)-rational \(\ell\)-isogenies of \(E\) up to equivalence. For the details of computation of \(\Psi_\ell(x,u,v)\), see section 5.3. Let \(E(u,v)\) be an elliptic curve over \(\mathbb{Q}(u,v)\) of the form

\[
E(u,v) : y^2 = x^3 + a_4(u,v)x + a_6(u,v),
\]

with \(a_4(u,v), a_6(u,v) \in \mathbb{Q}(u,v)\), such that \(j(E(u,v)) = \alpha_\ell(u) + v\beta_\ell(u)\). We will call \(E(u,v)\) a universal elliptic curve. Such curve does exist since we can
always take $E(u, v) = E_j$ given by

$$E_j : y^2 = x^3 - 3jkx - 2jk^2,$$

where $j = \alpha_\ell(u) + v\beta_\ell(u)$ and $k = j - 1728$.

Suppose that we are given an elliptic curve $E$ over $K$. For each $K$-rational point $(u_0, v_0)$ on $X_0(\ell)\mathbb{Q}$ satisfying $j(E) = \alpha_\ell(u_0) + v_0\beta_\ell(u_0)$, if the curve $E(u_0, v_0)$ over $K$ given by

$$E(u_0, v_0) : y^2 = x^3 + a_4(u_0, v_0)x + a_6(u_0, v_0)$$

is non-singular then $E(u_0, v_0)$ is an elliptic curve with $j(E(u_0, v_0)) = j(E)$. In this case the polynomial $\Psi_\ell(x, u_0, v_0) \in K[x]$ is an $\ell$-kernel polynomial of $E(u_0, v_0)$, and we can recover a $K$-rational $\ell$-kernel polynomial of $E$ from $\Psi_\ell(x, u_0, v_0)$. The method will be analogous to the one in section 4.3. We compute and store $\Psi_\ell(x, u, v)$ in advance and then specialize to any given elliptic curve. We will give the details in section 5.4.

### 5.2 Minimal universal elliptic curve

In this section we simplify the universal elliptic curve

$$E_j : y^2 = x^3 - 3jkx - 2jk^2,$$

where $j = \alpha_\ell(u) + v\beta_\ell(u)$ and $k = j - 1728$, by studying the divisors of functions $j$ and $k$. We first define the following.

**Definition 5.2.1.** Let $E(u, v) : y^2 = x^3 + a_4(u, v)x + a_6(u, v)$ be a universal elliptic curve. We say that $E(u, v)$ is **minimal** if the following conditions hold.

1. $a_4(u, v), a_6(u, v) \in \mathbb{Z}[u, v]$.
2. $\nexists h \in \mathbb{Z}[u, v] \setminus \{\pm 1\}$ such that $a_4(u, v) = h^2f$ and $a_6(u, v) = h^3g$ for some $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[u, v]$.

Elkies [6] constructs a minimal universal elliptic curve by using the Eisenstein series of weight 4 and 6 and a suitable cusp form of weight 2. We
take the following alternative approach by using the divisors to compute the curve obtained by Elkies’ method.

Let $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$. Let $0$ and $\infty$ denote the two cusps of $X_0(\ell)$. By (2.12) and (2.13), the divisors of $j$ and $k$ are given by:

$$\text{div}(j) = \begin{cases} 3D_0 + [P_1'] + [P_2'] - \ell[0] - [\infty] & \text{if } \ell \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ 3D_0 - [0] - [\infty] & \text{if } \ell \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \end{cases}$$

$$\text{div}(k) = \begin{cases} 2D_{1728} + [Q_1'] + [Q_2'] - \ell[0] - [\infty] & \text{if } \ell \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 2D_{1728} - [0] - [\infty] & \text{if } \ell \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

where $D_0$ and $D_{1728}$ are of the form

$$D_0 = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} [P_i] & \text{if } \ell \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{\ell+1} [P_i] & \text{if } \ell \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \end{cases}$$

$$D_{1728} = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} [Q_i] & \text{if } \ell \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{\ell+1} [Q_i] & \text{if } \ell \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

By a theorem of Ogg [13], there is a function $h_{\infty}$ in $\mathbb{Q}(X_0(\ell))$ such that $\text{div}(h_{\infty}) = n([0] - [\infty])$, where $n$ is the numerator of $\frac{\ell+1}{12}$.

Furthermore, by using MAGMA we find that there is a function $h_0$ in $\mathbb{Q}(X_0(\ell))$ such that $\text{div}(h_0) = D_0 - \lfloor \frac{\ell+1}{6} \rfloor ([0] + [\infty])$. Thus we have

$$\text{div}(j) = \begin{cases} \text{div}(\frac{h_0^3}{h_{\infty}^3}) + [P_1'] + [P_2'] - [0] - [\infty] & \text{if } \ell \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \text{div}(\frac{h_0^3}{h_{\infty}^3}) & \text{if } \ell \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \end{cases}$$

(5.4)

where $d$ is the denominator of $\frac{\ell+1}{12}$. It follows from (5.4) that there exists a function $e_0$ in $\mathbb{Q}(X_0(\ell))$ such that $\text{div}(e_0) = [P_1'] + [P_2'] - [0] - [\infty]$ if $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Since $h_{\infty}$, $h_0$ and $e_0$ have poles only at the cusps of $X_0(\ell)$, they can be expressed as polynomials in $u$ and $v$; moreover, we may assume that they are in $\mathbb{Z}[u,v]$. Hence the $j$-function can be written as

$$j = \frac{e_0 h_0^3}{h_{\infty}^d}$$

(5.5)
for some $c \in \mathbb{Q}$, where we take $e_0 = 1$ if $\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. For example, for $\ell = 11$ we obtain:

$$c = 2, \quad e_0 = 1, \quad h_0 = 61u^2 - 246u + 45 + 60v,$$

$$h_\infty = u^5 - 37u^4 + 459u^3 - 2087u^2 + 2040u + (-u^3 + 29u^2 - 258u + 680)v.$$

Similarly, by using MAGMA we find that there is a function $h_{1728}$ in $\mathbb{Q}(X_0(\ell)_{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that $\text{div}(h_{1728}) = D_{1728} - [\frac{\ell + 1}{4}]([0] + [\infty])$. Thus

$$\text{div}(k) = \begin{cases} 
\text{div}(\frac{h_{1728}^2}{h_\infty}) + [Q'_1] + [Q'_2] - [0] - [\infty] & \text{if } \ell \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\
\text{div}(\frac{h_{1728}^2}{h_\infty}) & \text{if } \ell \equiv 3 \pmod{4}.
\end{cases}$$

(5.6)

It follows from (5.6) that there exists a function $e_{1728}$ in $\mathbb{Q}(X_0(\ell)_{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that $\text{div}(e_{1728}) = [Q'_1] + [Q'_2] - [0] - [\infty]$ if $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Since $h_{1728}$ and $e_{1728}$ have poles only at the cusps of $X_0(\ell)$, they can be again expressed as polynomials in $u$ and $v$, and we may again assume that they are in $\mathbb{Z}[u,v]$. Hence the function $k$ can be written as

$$k = c\frac{e_{1728}h_{1728}^2}{h_\infty},$$

(5.7)

where we take $e_{1728} = 1$ if $\ell \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. For example, for $\ell = 11$ we obtain:

$$e_{1728} = 1, \quad h_{1728} = -7(95u^3 - 819u^2 + 189u + 135) - 18v(37u - 51).$$

From (5.5) and (5.7), we can twist the curve $E_j : y^2 = x^3 - 3jkx - 2j^2$ to obtain a universal elliptic curve $E(u,v) : y^2 = x^3 + a_4(u,v) + a_6(u,v)$ where

$$a_4(u,v) = -3e_0e_{1728}h_0 \quad \text{and} \quad a_6(u,v) = -2e_0^2e_{1728}^2h_{1728}.$$

Then $E(u,v)$ is a minimal universal elliptic curve by construction. For example, for $\ell = 11$ we have

$$a_4(u,v) = -3(61u^2 - 246u + 45 + 60v),$$

$$a_6(u,v) = -2(-7(95u^3 - 819u^2 + 189u + 135) - 18v(37u - 51)).$$

See Appendix A for the table of $a_4(u,v)$ and $a_6(u,v)$ for each $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$. 
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5.3 Computing generic kernel polynomials

Let $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ and let $E(u,v)$ be a universal elliptic curve. To compute the generic $\ell$-kernel polynomial $\Psi_\ell(x,u,v)$ of $E(u,v)$, we need to factorize the $\ell$-division polynomial of $E(u,v)$ and look for an irreducible degree $\frac{\ell-1}{2}$ factor; however, the factorization using the current algorithms (e.g. using MAGMA) takes a very long time as $\ell$ becomes large. For example, for $\ell = 41$ we are not able to factorize the $\ell$-division polynomial of degree $\frac{41^2-1}{2} = 840$ in $\mathbb{Q}(u,v)[x]$ using MAGMA, even over three weeks. Hence in this section we give an alternative method for computing $\Psi_\ell(x,u,v)$, which is very much faster.

Elkies [7] gave the following idea. Let $E$ and $E'$ be elliptic curves over $K$ given by $E: y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ and $E': y^2 = x^3 + A'x + B'$. Suppose that we have a normalized $1$-$\ell$-isogeny $\phi: E \rightarrow E'$ for a prime $\ell$. Let $H = \ker(\phi)$. Let $\psi_H$ be the kernel polynomial of $H$ and write

$$\psi_H(x) = x^d + \sum_{i=1}^{d} s_i x^{d-i}, \quad \text{where } d = \frac{\ell-1}{2}. $$

The idea of Elkies [7] is that we can recover $\psi_H$ from $E$, $E'$ and $s_1$, by using the following recurrence formulas. We give the brief summary of the recurrence here. See [7] for the details.

Recall from chapter 2 that the isogeny $\phi: E \rightarrow E'$ can be written as $\phi(x,y) = (r_1(x), cy r_1'(x) + r_2(x))$. Write

$$r_1(x) = x + \sum_{i \geq 1} c_n x_i. $$

One can show that $c_1 = \frac{A-A'}{5}$ and $c_2 = \frac{B-B'}{7}$. Then for $n \geq 3$ there is the following recurrence relation

$$c_{n+1} = \frac{3 \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_n c_{n-i} - (2n-1)(n-1)Ac_{n-1} - (2n-2)(n-2)Bc_{n-2}}{(n-1)(2n-5)}. $$

Note that this recursion works only if $n-1$ and $2n-5$ are non-zero in $K$ for

---

1We say that $\phi: E \rightarrow E'$ is normalized if $\omega_E = \phi^* \omega_{E'}$, where $\omega_E = \frac{dx}{2y+a_1x+a_3}$ and $E$ is given by the general Weierstrass form $y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$.  
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\( n \geq 3 \). Now let
\[
p_n = \sum_{i=1}^{d} x_i^n,
\]
where \( d = \frac{\ell - 1}{2} \).

Note that \( p_0 = d \) and \( p_1 = s_1 \). Then for \( n \geq 1 \) we have
\[
p_{n+1} = \frac{c_n - (4n - 2)Ap_{n-1} - (4n - 4)Bp_{n-2}}{4n + 2}.
\]

If \( n = 1 \) then the recursion is well-defined since \( 4n - 4 = 0 \) in this case. Finally, we can compute \( s_n \) for \( n \geq 2 \) from the following relation:
\[
s_n = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{d} (-1)^i p_i s_{d-i}.
\]

Note that in order for the above three recursion to work we need the condition that \( \text{char}(K) > \ell + 2 \).

Now we show how we can compute \( s_1 \), given \( E \) and \( E' \). Let \( \mathbb{C}/(1, \tau) \) be an elliptic curve. Then there is an isomorphism
\[
\mathbb{C}/(1, \tau) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times/\mathbb{Z}^\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{given by } z \mapsto q_z := e^{2\pi iz},
\]
where \( q = e^{2\pi i \tau} \). The isogeny \( \mathbb{C}/(1, \tau) \to \mathbb{C}/(1, \ell \tau) \) given by \( z \mapsto \ell z \) corresponds to the isogeny \( q_z \mapsto q_\ell^z \). Elkies [7, section 3] showed that there is a normalized isogeny from \( E_q : y^2 = x^3 + a_4x + a_6 \) to \( E'_q : y^2 = x^3 + a'_4x + a'_6 \) over \( \mathbb{Z}[[q]] \), where
\[
a_4 = -\frac{\lambda^2 - 2}{48} E_4(q) \quad \text{and} \quad a_6 = \frac{\lambda^3}{864} E_6(q),
\]
\[
a'_4 = -\frac{\ell^2 \lambda^2 - 2}{48} E_4(q^\ell) \quad \text{and} \quad a'_6 = \frac{\ell^3 \lambda^3}{864} E_6(q^\ell)
\]
for some non-zero element \( \lambda \) in \( \mathbb{Z}[[q]] \). Here \( E_4(q) \) and \( E_6(q) \) are the Eisenstein series of weight 4 and 6 respectively, given by
\[
E_4(q) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_3(n)q^n \quad \text{and} \quad E_6(q) = 1 - 504 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_5(n)q^n.
\]
Let \( H \) be the kernel of this isogeny and let \( \psi_H(x) = x^d + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (-1)^i s_i(q)x^{d-i} \).
be the kernel polynomial of $H$. Furthermore, Elkies showed that

$$s_1(q) = -\ell \lambda_0^{-1} E_2^{(\ell)} (q),$$

where

$$E_2^{(\ell)} (q) = q \frac{d}{dq} \log \eta(q^\ell) = \frac{\ell - 1}{24} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_1(n) (q^n - \ell q^{\ell n}).$$

For each $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$, by choosing a suitable $\lambda \in S_2(\Gamma_0(\ell))$ we can write each of $a_4$, $a_6$, $a'_4$ and $a'_6$ as a polynomial in $u$ and $v$. Thus given a $K$-rational point $(u_0, v_0)$ on $X_0(\ell)\mathbb{Q}$, Elkies’ idea is to specialize to a curve over $K$ and then use the recurrence to obtain a kernel polynomial. However, this method works only if char($K$) $> \ell + 2$.

Using this idea, we can compute the generic $\ell$-kernel polynomial as follows. Consider the minimal universal elliptic curve $E(u, v) : y^2 = x^3 + a_4(u, v) + a_6(u, v)$ constructed in section 4.2. Then there is a normalized $\ell$-isogeny from $E(u, v)$ to the curve $E'(u, v) : y^2 = x^3 + a'_4(u, v) + a'_6(u, v)$, where

$$a'_4(u, v) = \ell^2 a_4(u, -v) \quad \text{and} \quad a'_6(u, v) = -\ell^3 a_6(u, -v).$$

Let $H$ be the kernel of this isogeny. Then the kernel polynomial of $H$ is the generic $\ell$-kernel polynomial $\Psi_\ell(x, u, v) = x^d + \sum_{i=1}^{d} s_i(u, v)x^{d-i}$. Since $E(u, v)$ and $E_q$ above are isomorphic, it follows that

$$s_1(u, v) = -12\ell \lambda_0^{-1} E_2^{(\ell)} (q).$$

Note that the function $-12\ell \lambda_0^{-1} E_2^{(\ell)} (q)$ can be written as a polynomial in $u$ and $v$. See Appendix A for the list of $s_1(u, v)$ for each $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$. It turns out that $s_1(u, v) \in \mathbb{Z}[u]$ for each $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$. Since $s_1(u, v) \in \mathbb{Z}[u] \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(u, v)$ and the characteristic of $\mathbb{Q}(u, v)$ is 0, we can compute the generic kernel polynomial of $E(u, v)$ from $s_1$ by using the recurrences formula given by Elkies. Computation of $\Psi_\ell(x, u, v)$ for $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ by the recurrence formulas using Sage takes less than 1 second for $\ell \in \{11, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 41\}$. For $\ell = 71$, it takes around only 10 seconds, which is much faster than factorizing the $\ell$-division polynomial of $E(u, v)$.

We also remark that for larger $\ell$, this generic kernel polynomial $\Psi_\ell(x, u, v)$
of $E(u, v)$ is extremely large to write down and store. For example, for $\ell = 71$ the size of $\Psi_\ell(x, u, v)$ is 500KB, and the total size of $\Psi_\ell(x, u, v)$ for $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ is almost 1MB. An additional advantage of the above method is that $s_1$ is very small (see Appendix A) and recovering $\Psi_\ell(x, u, v)$ from $s_1$ is fast (and only needs to be computed once for each $\ell$).

5.4 Computing $\ell$-isogenies

Let $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ and assume that $\text{char}(K) \not\in \{2, 3, \ell\}$. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $K$ given by $y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$. In this section we show how to compute all $K$-rational $\ell$-isogenies of $E$ up to equivalence, by using the minimal universal elliptic curve $E(u, v)$:

$$y^2 = x^3 + a_4(u, v)x + a_6(u, v)$$

where $a_4(u, v)$ and $a_6(u, v)$ are given in Appendix A, and the generic $\ell$-kernel polynomial $\Psi_\ell(x, u, v)$ of $E(u, v)$.

5.4.1 $j(E) \not\in \{0, 1728\}$ case

Isogenies from the generic kernel polynomial

Suppose $j(E) \not\in \{0, 1728\}$. Here we use the similar technique to that of section 4.3. Since $\text{char}(K) \not\in \{2, 3\}$, we can transform $E$ to

$$E_w : y^2 = x^3 - 27c_4x - 54c_6,$$

and $c_4, c_6$ are non-zero since $j(E) \not\in \{0, 1728\}$. Let

$$T(u, v) = \frac{a_6(u, v)}{a_4(u, v)} \cdot \frac{-27c_4}{-54c_6} = \frac{a_6(u, v)c_4}{2a_4(u, v)c_6},$$

which we call the twisting parameter of $E(u, v)$ for $j(E) \not\in \{0, 1728\}$.

For each $K$-rational point $(u_0, v_0)$ on $X_0(\ell)_Q$ satisfying $j(E) = \alpha_\ell(u) + v\beta_\ell(u)$, it follows from Lemma 4.3.1 that

$$(T(u_0, v_0))^{-\frac{\alpha_\ell}{\beta_\ell}} \cdot \Psi_\ell(xT(u_0, v_0), u_0, v_0)$$

is an $\ell$-kernel polynomial of $E_w$, and from the transformation from $E_w$ to $E$
given in (2.3) and (2.4) implies that
\[(36T(u_0,v_0))^{\frac{\ell-1}{2}} \cdot \Psi_\ell((36x + 3b_2)T(u_0,v_0), u_0, v_0)\]
is a $K$-rational $\ell$-kernel polynomial of $E$. By using Kohel’s formula, we obtain $K$-rational $\ell$-isogenies of $E$ from these kernel polynomials.

5.4.2 $j(E) = 0$ case
In this case there are repeated solutions $(u, v)$ on $X_0(\ell)_\mathbb{Q}$ satisfying $0 = j(E) = \alpha_\ell(u) + v\beta_\ell(u)$ since the covering map $X_0(\ell) \to X(1)$ ramifies above $\rho$.

Since $j(E) = 0$, the equation (5.3) becomes $Q_\ell(u) = 0$. For each $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$, by computation we find that $Q_\ell(u)$ is of the following form:

\[Q_\ell(u) = \begin{cases} (u - u_\ell)^2h_{0,\ell}^3(u) & \text{if } \ell \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ h_{0,\ell}^3(u) & \text{if } \ell \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \end{cases}\]

where $h_{0,\ell}(u) \in \mathbb{Z}[u]$. The pairs $(u_\ell, \pm v_\ell)$ where $v_\ell^2 = f_\ell(u_\ell)$ correspond to two inequivalent degree $\ell$ endomorphisms, and the points $(u, v)$ on $X_0(\ell)_\mathbb{Q}$ satisfying $h_{0,\ell}(u) = 0$ correspond to isogenies of degree $\ell$ that are non-endomorphisms.

**Endomorphisms**
For each $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$, the elliptic curve $E(u_\ell, \pm v_\ell)$ is singular. Thus in this case we cannot use the generic $\ell$-kernel polynomial $\Psi_\ell(x, u, v)$. Hence as in section 4.3.2, we compute the kernel polynomials of the endomorphisms separately as follows.

Let $E_0(z) : y^2 = x^3 + z$ be the elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$. If $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ then the $\ell$-division polynomial of $E_0(z)$ has precisely two irreducible degree $\frac{\ell-1}{2}$ factors in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})(z)[x]$, and they are complex conjugates of each other. We denote these two polynomials by $\Psi_{\ell,0}(x, z)$ and $\overline{\Psi}_{\ell,0}(x, z)$. By substituting $z = -54c_6$, we obtain kernel polynomials of the two inequivalent
degree $\ell$ endomorphisms of $E$, given by

$$36^{-d} \cdot \Psi_{\ell,0}((36x + 3b_2), -54c_6),$$

$$36^{-d} \cdot \overline{\Psi}_{\ell,0}((36x + 3b_2), -54c_6).$$

**Non-endomorphisms**

We first find all $K$-rational points $(u,v)$ on $X_0(\ell)\mathbb{Q}$ satisfying $0 = j(E) = \alpha_\ell(u) + v\beta_\ell(u)$. For each root $u_0 \in K$ of $h_0,\ell(u) = 0$, if $\beta_\ell(u_0) \neq 0$, then we obtain $v = -\frac{\alpha_\ell(u_0)}{\beta_\ell(u_0)}$. If $\beta_\ell(u_0) = 0$, then we obtain at most two values of $v$ by solving $v^2 = f_\ell(u_0)$ over $K$.

Since $j(E) = 0$, we have $c_4 = 0$ and $c_6 \neq 0$. Thus as in (4.1) $E$ can be transformed to

$$E_w : y^2 = x^3 - 54c_6.$$  

Let

$$T(u,v) = \frac{a_6(u,v)}{-54c_6}.$$  

We call $T(u,v)$ the twisting parameter of $E(u,v)$ for $j(E) = 0$.

Let $(u_0,v_0)$ be a $K$-rational point on $X_0(\ell)\mathbb{Q}$ satisfying $0 = j(E) = \alpha_\ell(u) + v\beta_\ell(u)$. Let $U \in K$ be such that $U^3 = T(u_0,v_0)$. Then it follows from Lemma 4.3.2 that

$$(36U)^{-\frac{\ell-1}{2}} \cdot \Psi_\ell((36x + 3b_2)U, u_0, v_0)$$

is a $K$-rational $\ell$-kernel polynomial of $E$.

Note that there are up to 3 distinct values of $U$, each giving a distinct kernel polynomial. These three kernel polynomials correspond to one orbit under the action of Aut($E$). For each kernel polynomial, we can compute a $K$-rational $\ell$-isogeny $\phi$ of $E$ using Kohel’s formula given in section 2.2.3.

**5.4.3 $j(E) = 1728$ case**

In this case there are repeated solutions $(u,v)$ on $X_0(\ell)\mathbb{Q}$ satisfying $1728 = j(E) = \alpha_\ell(u) + v\beta_\ell(u)$ since the covering map $X_0(\ell) \rightarrow X(1)$ ramifies above $i$.

Since $j(E) = 1728$, the equation (5.3) becomes $Q'_\ell(u) := 1728^2 - $
\(1728P_\ell(u) + Q_\ell(u) = 0\). For each \(\ell \in \mathcal{L}\), by computation we find that \(Q'_\ell(u)\) is of the following form:

\[
Q'_\ell(u) = \begin{cases} 
(u - u_e)^2h_{1728,\ell}(u) & \text{if } \ell \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\
h_{1728,\ell}(u) & \text{if } \ell \equiv 3 \pmod{4},
\end{cases}
\]

where \(h_{1728,\ell}(u) \in \mathbb{Z}[u]\). The pairs \((u_e, \pm v_e)\) where \(v_e^2 = f_\ell(u_e)\) correspond to two inequivalent degree \(\ell\) endomorphisms, and the points \((u, v)\) on \(X_0(\ell)_\mathbb{Q}\) satisfying \(h_{1728,\ell}(u) = 0\) correspond to isogenies of degree \(\ell\) that are non-endomorphisms.

**Endomorphisms**

For each \(\ell \in \mathcal{L}\), the elliptic curve \(\mathcal{E}(u_e, \pm v_e)\) is singular. Thus in this case we cannot use the generic \(\ell\)-kernel polynomial \(\Psi_\ell(x, u, v)\). Hence as in section 4.3.3, we will compute the kernel polynomials of the endomorphisms separately as follows.

Let \(\mathcal{E}_{1728}(z) : y^2 = x^3 + zx\) be the elliptic curve defined over \(\mathbb{Q}(z)\). If \(\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{4}\) then the \(\ell\)-division polynomial of \(\mathcal{E}_{1728}\) has precisely two irreducible degree \(\frac{\ell-1}{2}\) factors in \(\mathbb{Q}(i)(z)[x]\), and they are complex conjugates of each other. We denote these two polynomials by \(\Psi_{\ell,1728}(x, z)\) and \(\overline{\Psi}_{\ell,1728}(x, z)\). By substituting \(z = -27c_4\) and from (2.4), we obtain kernel polynomials of the two inequivalent degree \(\ell\) endomorphisms of \(E\), given by

\[
36^{-d} \cdot \Psi_{\ell,1728}((36x + 3b_2), -27c_4),
\]

\[
36^{-d} \cdot \overline{\Psi}_{\ell,1728}((36x + 3b_2), -27c_4).
\]

**Non-endomorphisms**

We first find all \(K\)-rational points \((u, v)\) on \(X_0(\ell)_\mathbb{Q}\) satisfying \(1728 = j(E) = \alpha_\ell(u) + v/\beta_\ell(u)\). For each root \(u_0 \in K\) of \(h_{1728,\ell}(u) = 0\), if \(\beta_\ell(u_0) \neq 0\), then we obtain \(v = \frac{1728 - \alpha_\ell(u_0)}{\beta_\ell(u_0)}\). If \(\beta_\ell(u_0) = 0\), then we obtain at most two values of \(v\) by solving \(v^2 = f_\ell(u_0)\) over \(K\).

Since \(j(E) = 1728\), we have \(c_4 \neq 0\) and \(c_6 = 0\). Thus as in (4.1) \(E\) can be transformed to

\[E_w : y^2 = x^3 - 27c_4x.\]
Let
\[ T(u, v) = \frac{a_4(u, v)}{-27c_4}. \]
We call \( T(u, v) \) the twisting parameter of \( E(u, v) \) for \( j(E) = 1728 \).

Let \( (u_0, v_0) \) be a \( K \)-rational point on \( X_0(\ell)_Q \) satisfying \( 1728 = j(E) = \alpha_\ell(u) + v\beta_\ell(u) \). Let \( U \in K \) be such that \( U^2 = T(u_0, v_0) \). Then it follows from Lemma 4.3.3 that
\[ (36U)^{-\frac{\ell+1}{4}} \cdot \Psi_\ell((36x + 3b_2)U, u_0, v_0) \]
is a \( K \)-rational \( \ell \)-kernel polynomial of \( E \).

Note that there are up to 2 distinct values of \( U \), each giving a distinct kernel polynomial. These two kernel polynomials correspond to one orbit under the action of \( \text{Aut}(E) \). For each kernel polynomial, we can compute a \( K \)-rational \( \ell \)-isogeny \( \phi \) of \( E \) using Kohel’s formula given in section 2.2.3.

### 5.4.4 Algorithm

Let \( \ell \in \mathcal{L} \). Let \( K \) be a field such that \( \text{char}(K) \not\in \{2, 3, \ell\} \). Let \( E \) be an elliptic curve over \( K \) given by
\[ E : y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6. \]

Let \( \mathcal{E}(u, v) \) be the minimal universal elliptic curve
\[ \mathcal{E}(u, v) : y^2 = x^3 + a_4(u, v)x + a_6(u, v) \]
given in Appendix A and \( \Psi_\ell(x, u, v) \) be the generic kernel polynomial of \( \mathcal{E}(u, v) \). Let \( \Psi_{\ell, 0}(x, z) \) and \( \Psi_{\ell, 1728}(x, z) \) be the polynomials mentioned in section 5.4.2 and 5.4.3 respectively, and let \( \overline{\Psi}_{\ell, 0}(x, z) \) and \( \overline{\Psi}_{\ell, 1728}(x, z) \) be complex conjugates of \( \Psi_{\ell, 0}(x, z) \) and \( \Psi_{\ell, 1728}(x, z) \) in Appendix A respectively.

We present three algorithms which compute all \( K \)-rational \( \ell \)-isogenies of \( E \) up to equivalence for the cases \( j \not\in \{0, 1728\} \), \( j = 0 \), \( j = 1728 \) as Algorithm 5, 6 and 7.
Algorithm 5 isogenies_prime_degree_genus_plus_0(E, ℓ)

Input: E, ℓ

Output: A set of K-rational ℓ-isogenies of E

1: Compute j(E)
2: if j(E) = 0 then
3: return isogenies_prime_degree_genus_plus_0 j0(E, ℓ)
4: else if j(E) = 1728 then
5: return isogenies_prime_degree_genus_plus_0 j1728(E, ℓ)
6: else if j(E) /∈ \{0, 1728\} then
7: Let R_u = \{u_0 \in K \mid j(E)^2 - P_\ell(u_0)j(E) + Q_\ell(u_0) = 0\}
8: Set R_u,v = ∅
9: for u_0 in R_u do
10: if \beta_\ell(u_0) = 0 then
11: Append (u_0, ±v_0) such that v_0^2 = f_\ell(u_0) and v_0 ∈ K to R_u,v
12: else
13: Append (u_0, v_0) where v_0 = \frac{j(E) - \alpha_\ell(u_0)}{\beta_\ell(u_0)} to R_u,v
14: end if
15: end for
16: Compute c_4, c_6, b_2 of E
17: Let T(u, v) = \frac{g_6(u,v)c_4}{2n_4(a,u)c_6}
18: Set \mathcal{K} = \{(36T(u_0, v_0))^{-\frac{\ell - 1}{2}} \cdot \Psi_\ell((36x + 3b_2)T(u_0, v_0), u_0, v_0) \mid (u_0, v_0) \in R_u,v\}
19: Set \mathcal{I} = ∅
20: for f in \mathcal{K} do
21: Compute the isogeny \phi of E from f //using Kohel’s formula
22: Append \phi to \mathcal{I}
23: end for
24: return \mathcal{I}
25: end if
Algorithm 6 isogenies_prime_degree_genus_plus_0_j0(E, \ell)

Input: E with \( j(E) = 0 \), \( \ell \)
Output: A set of \( K \)-rational \( \ell \)-isogenies of \( E \)

1: Compute \( R_u = \{ u_0 \in K \mid h_{0, \ell}(u_0) = 0 \} \)
2: Compute \( c_6, b_2 \) of \( E \)
3: Set \( \mathcal{K} = \emptyset \)
   // For endomorphisms:
4: if \( \ell \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \) and \(-3\) is a square in \( K \) then
5:   Append \( 36^{\frac{\ell - 1}{2}} \cdot \Psi_{\ell, 0}(36x + 3b_2, -54c_6), 36^{\frac{\ell - 1}{2}} \cdot \overline{\Psi}_{\ell, 0}(36x + 3b_2, -54c_6) \)
   to \( \mathcal{K} \)
6: end if
   // For non-endomorphisms:
7: Set \( R_{u, v} = \emptyset \)
8: for \( u_0 \) in \( R_u \) do
9:   if \( \beta_{\ell}(u_0) = 0 \) then
10:      Append \( (u_0, \pm v_0) \) such that \( v_0^2 = f_{\ell}(u_0) \) and \( v_0 \in K \) to \( R_{u, v} \)
11:   else
12:      Append \( (u_0, v_0) \) where \( v_0 = \frac{-\alpha_{\ell}(u_0)}{\beta_{\ell}(u_0)} \) to \( R_{u, v} \)
13: end if
14: end for
15: for \( (u_0, v_0) \) in \( R_{u, v} \) do
16:   for \( U \in K \) such that \( U^3 = \frac{\sigma_6(u_0, v_0)}{-54c_6} \) do
17:      Append \( (36U)^{\frac{\ell - 1}{2}} \cdot \Psi_{\ell}(36x + 3b_2, U, u_0, v_0) \) to \( \mathcal{K} \)
18: end for
19: end for
20: Set \( \mathcal{I} = \emptyset \)
21: for \( f \) in \( K \) do
22:   Compute the isogeny \( \phi \) of \( E \) from \( f \) //using Kohel’s formula
23:   Append \( \phi \) to \( \mathcal{K} \)
24: end for
25: return \( \mathcal{I} \)
Algorithm 7 isogenies_prime_degree_genus_plus_0_j1728(E, ℓ)

Input: E with j(E) = 1728, ℓ
Output: A set of K-rational ℓ-isogenies of E
1: Compute $R_u = \{u_0 \in K \mid h_{1728, \ell}(u_0) = 0\}$
2: Compute $c_4, b_2$ of E
3: Set $\mathcal{K} = \emptyset$
   // For endomorphisms:
4: if $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $−1$ is a square in $K$ then
5: Append $36^{-\frac{\ell−1}{2}} \cdot \Psi_{\ell, 1728}((36x + 3b_2), −27c_4)$, $36^{-\frac{\ell−1}{2}} \cdot \overline{\Psi}_{\ell, 1728}((36x + 3b_2), −27c_4)$ to $\mathcal{K}$
6: end if
   // For non-endomorphisms:
7: Set $R_{u,v} = \emptyset$
8: for $u_0$ in $R_u$ do
9: if $\beta_{\ell}(u_0) = 0$ then
10: Append $(u_0, \pm v_0)$ such that $v_0^2 = f_{\ell}(u_0)$ and $v_0 \in K$ to $R_{u,v}$
11: else
12: Append $(u_0, v_0)$ where $v_0 = \frac{1728 - \alpha_{\ell}(u_0)}{\beta_{\ell}(u_0)}$ to $R_{u,v}$
13: end if
14: end for
15: for $(u_0, v_0)$ in $R_{u,v}$ do
16: for $U \in K$ such that $U^2 = \frac{a_4(u_0, v_0)}{-27c_4}$ do
17: Append $(36U)^{-\frac{\ell−1}{2}} \cdot \Psi_{\ell}((36x + 3b_2)U, u_0, v_0)$ to $\mathcal{K}$
18: end for
19: end for
20: Set $\mathcal{I} = \emptyset$
21: for $f$ in $\mathcal{K}$ do
22: Compute the isogeny $\phi$ of $E$ from $f$ //using Kohel’s formula
23: Append $\phi$ to $\mathcal{I}$
24: end for
25: return $\mathcal{I}$
5.5 Examples

In this section we illustrate some examples that use Algorithm 5, 6 and 7.

**Example 5.5.1.** Let

\[ E : y^2 + xy + y = x^3 + x^2 - 30x - 76 \text{ over } \mathbb{Q}. \]

with \( j(E) = -11 \cdot 131^3 \). We will compute all \( \mathbb{Q} \)-rational 11-isogenies of \( E \) up to equivalence. We find that the only root of the equation \( j(E)^2 - P_{11}(u)j(E) + Q_{11}(u) = 0 \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \) is \( u = -2 \). Since \( \beta_{11}(-2) = -1124040 \neq 0 \), we obtain \( v = \frac{j(E) - \alpha_{11}(-2)}{\beta_{11}(-2)} = 11 \). Thus the pair \((u_0, v_0) = (-2, 11)\) parametrizes the unique \( \mathbb{Q} \)-rational 11-isogeny of \( E \) up to equivalence. We compute \( c_4, c_6 \) and \( b_2 \) of \( E \) :

\[ c_4 = 1441, \quad c_6 = 54703, \quad b_2 = 5. \]

Let \( \mathcal{E}(u, v) : y^2 = x^3 + a_4(u, v)x + a_6(u, v) \) be the universal elliptic curve where \( a_4(u, v) \) and \( a_6(u, v) \) are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
  a_4(u, v) &= -3(61u^2 - 246u + 45 + 60v), \\
  a_6(u, v) &= -2(-7(95u^3 - 819u^2 + 189u + 135) - 18v(37u - 51)).
\end{align*}
\]

The generic 11-kernel polynomial of \( \mathcal{E}(u, v) \) is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
  \Psi_{11}(x, u, v) &= x^5 + (-55u + 33)x^4 + (216v + 994u^2 + 276u + 162)x^3 \\
  &\quad + [(-4536u - 4536)v - 8774u^3 - 7794u^2 + 38718u - 16470]x^2 \\
  &\quad + [(31752u^2 + 73872u - 185976)v + 41453u^4 + 4452u^3 - 610578u^2 \\
  &\quad + 580068u + 7533]x + [(-842616u^3 - 2745576u^2 + 14143896u \\
  &\quad - 12389112)v - 928945u^5 + 1319331u^4 + 33434694u^3 \\
  &\quad - 67821354u^2 - 20437029u + 19964151]/11,
\end{align*}
\]

and the twisting parameter is given by

\[
T(u, v) = \frac{a_6(u, v)c_4}{2a_4(u, v)c_6}.
\]

Thus an 11-kernel polynomial of \( E \) is given by

\[
(36T(u_0, v_0))^{-5} \cdot \Psi_{11}((36x + 3b_2)T(u_0, v_0), u_0, v_0) = x^5 + 14x^4 + 63x^3 + 62x^2 - 230x - 439.
\]
Using Kohel’s formula we obtain an 11-isogeny from $E$ to the curve

$$E': y^2 + xy + y = x^3 + x^2 - 305x + 7888 \quad \text{with } j(E') = -121.$$  

This is the only $\mathbb{Q}$-rational 11-isogeny of $E$ up to equivalence. Here we omit the rational functions for the isogenies since they are too long to list.

**Example 5.5.2.** Let

$$E : y^2 = x^3 + x + 4 \quad \text{over } \mathbb{F}_{13}.$$  

with $j(E) = 5$. We will compute all $\mathbb{F}_{13}$-rational 71-isogenies of $E$ up to equivalence. Note that this is an example where the method of Bostan et al. [1] cannot be applied since the characteristic of the field is smaller than the degree of isogenies. The roots of the equation $j(E)^2 - P_{71}(u) j(E) + Q_{71}(u) = 0$ over $\mathbb{F}_{13}$ are $u_1 = 5$ and $u_2 = 8$. Since $\beta_{71}(5) = 1 \neq 0$ and $\alpha_{71}(5) = 5$, we obtain $v_1 := \frac{j(E) - \alpha_{71}(5)}{\beta_{71}(5)} = 0$. Similarly, since $\beta_{71}(8) = 1 \neq 0$ and $\alpha_{71}(8) = 5$, we obtain $v_2 := \frac{j(E) - \alpha_{71}(8)}{\beta_{71}(8)} = 0$. Thus $(u_1, v_1) = (5, 0)$ and $(u_2, v_2) = (8, 0)$ parametrize $\mathbb{F}_{13}$-rational 71-isogenies of $E$ up to equivalence. We compute $c_4$, $c_6$ and $b_2$ of $E$:

$$c_4 = 4, \quad c_6 = 2, \quad b_2 = 0.$$  

Let $\mathcal{E}(u, v) : y^2 = x^3 + a_4(u, v)x + a_6(u, v)$ be the universal elliptic curve where $a_4(u, v)$ and $a_6(u, v)$ are given in Appendix A. Let $T(u, v) = \frac{n_6(u, v)c_4}{2a_4(u, v)c_6}$ and let $\Psi_{71}(x, u, v)$ be the generic 71-kernel polynomial of $\mathcal{E}(u, v)$.

By using $\Psi_{71}(x, u, v)$ and $T(u, v)$, we obtain the following two 71-kernel polynomials of $E$:

$$x^{35} + 8x^{34} + 7x^{33} + 4x^{32} + 2x^{31} + 12x^{30} + 6x^{29} + 2x^{28} + 5x^{27} + 5x^{26} + 6x^{25} + 4x^{24} + 10x^{23} + 9x^{22} + 9x^{21} + 10x^{20} + 10x^{19} + x^{18} + x^{17} + 12x^{16} + 2x^{15} + 5x^{14} + 3x^{13} + 7x^{12} + 7x^{11} + 3x^{10} + 8x^{9} + 6x^{8} + 10x^{7} + 2x^{6} + 10x^{5} + 5x^{4} + 2x^{3} + 11x + 1.$$  
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and
\[ x^{35} + x^{34} + 8x^{33} + 9x^{32} + 8x^{31} + x^{30} + 8x^{29} + 10x^{28} + x^{27} + 7x^{26} + 10x^{25} \\
+ 9x^{24} + 7x^{23} + 3x^{22} + 5x^{21} + 12x^{20} + 9x^{19} + 5x^{18} + 7x^{17} + 7x^{16} + 7x^{15} + 7x^{14} \\
+ 2x^{12} + x^{10} + 5x^{8} + 8x^{7} + 4x^{6} + 3x^{5} + 11x^{4} + 11x^{3} + 11x^{2} + 2x + 5. \]

By Kohel’s formula we obtain two 71-isogenies from $E$, both to the curve

\[ E': \ y^2 = x^3 + 10x + 7 \quad \text{with} \ j(E') = 5. \]

Note that although $j(E) = j'(E)$, $E$ is not isomorphic to $E'$ over $\mathbb{F}_{13}$. These two are all the $\mathbb{F}_{13}$-rational 71-isogenies of $E$ up to equivalence. Here we again omit the rational functions for the isogenies since they are too long to list.

### 5.6 Characteristic 2 and 3 case

#### 5.6.1 Ordinary curves in characteristic 3

In this section we use the similar technique as that of section 4.5.1. The only minor difference to section 4.5.1 is that here we use $\mathcal{E}(u,v)$ for the universal elliptic curve instead of $\mathcal{E}(t)$, and all the argument works as in section 4.5.1.

Let $K$ be a field of characteristic 3, and let $E$ be an ordinary elliptic curve over $K$. If $E$ is given in the Weierstrass form (2.2), then $E$ can be transformed to

\[ y^2 = x^3 + b_2x^2 + \frac{-b_2^2b_4^2 + b_3^3b_6^3 + b_4^3}{b_2^3}. \]  

(5.9)

Let $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$. Recall the universal elliptic curve

\[ y^2 = x^3 - jx^2 + j^2 \quad \text{where} \ j = \alpha_\ell(u) + \nu\beta_\ell(u). \]  

(5.10)

Moreover, recall from (5.5) that the $j$-function $j$ is of the form

\[ j = \frac{c_0h_0^3}{h_\infty^4}, \]  
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where $d$ is the denominator of $\frac{\ell+1}{12}$. Thus we can twist the curve (5.10) by $\frac{b_6^d}{h_0}$ to obtain the simplified universal elliptic curve

$$E(u, v)_{\text{char} 3} : y^2 = x^3 + a_2(u, v)x^2 + a_6(u, v),$$

where

$$a_2(u, v) = -ce_0h_0 \quad \text{and} \quad a_6(u, v) = c^2e_0^2h_\infty^d.$$  

Note that $E(u, v)_{\text{char} 3}$ can be obtained from the minimal universal elliptic curve $E(u, v)$ in section 5.4.4 by a sequence of transformations as follows. We first twist $E(u, v)$ by $\frac{\text{ch}_0h^{1728}}{h_\infty}$ to obtain the curve $E_j : y^2 = x^3 - 3jkx - 2jk^2$, which follows from section 5.2. Further, we saw in section 2.1 that $E_j$ can be transformed to the curve (2.7), and reducing (2.7) yields the curve (5.10). Finally, twisting (5.10) yields the curve $E(u, v)_{\text{char} 3}$ above.

Now recall the generic $\ell$-kernel polynomial $\Psi(\epsilon, x, u, v)$ of $E(u, v)$. Applying the above transformations to $\Psi(\epsilon, x, u, v)$ yields a kernel polynomial of $E(u, v)_{\text{char} 3}$, say $\Psi(\epsilon, x, u, v)$. We refer to $\Psi(\epsilon, x, u, v)$ as the generic $\ell$-kernel polynomial of $E(u, v)_{\text{char} 3}$. As in section 4.5.1, we will compute all $K$-rational $\ell$-isogenies of $E$ up to equivalence using $\Psi(\epsilon, x, u, v)$ as follows.

### Computing $\ell$-isogenies

Let $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ and let $E$ be an ordinary elliptic curve over $K$. The equation of the modular curve $X_0(\ell)_\mathbb{Q} : v^2 = f_\ell(u)$ can be reduced modulo 3 to obtain the curve

$$X_0(\ell)_{\mathbb{F}_3} : v^2 = f_\ell(u), \quad \text{where } f_\ell(u) \in \mathbb{F}_3(u).$$

We first find all $K$-rational points $(u, v)$ on $X_0(\ell)_{\mathbb{F}_3}$ satisfying $j(E) = \alpha_\ell(u) + v\beta_\ell(u)$. For each root $u_0 \in K$ of the quadratic equation

$$j(E)^2 - P_\ell(u)j(E) + Q_\ell(u) = 0,$$

if $\beta_\ell(u_0) \neq 0$ then we obtain unique $v = \frac{j(E) - \alpha_\ell(u_0)}{\beta_\ell(u_0)} \in K$. If $\beta_\ell(u_0) = 0$ then we obtain at most two values of $v$ in $K$ by solving $v^2 = f_\ell(u_0)$.

Now let

$$T(u, v) = \frac{a_2(u, v)}{b_2},$$
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which we call the twisting parameter of $E(u,v)$. As in section 4.5.1, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.6.1.** Let $(u_0, v_0)$ be a $K$-rational point on $X_0(\ell)_{\text{char}}$ satisfying $j(E) = \alpha_\ell(u) + v\beta_\ell(u)$. Then

$$T(u_0, v_0) - \frac{\ell^2}{\ell^2 - 1} \cdot \Psi_{\ell, \text{char}3} \left( \left( x - \frac{b_4}{b_2} \right) T(u_0, v_0), u_0, v_0 \right)$$

is a $K$-rational $\ell$-kernel polynomial of $E$.

**Proof.** It follows from the proof of 4.5.1. \qed

Once we obtain an $\ell$-kernel polynomial by Lemma 4.3.1, we can compute a $K$-rational $\ell$-isogeny of $E$ from the kernel polynomial by using Kohel’s formula given in section 2.2.3. We give the following example for $\ell = 11$.

**Example 5.6.2.** For $\ell = 11$, recall from section 5.2 that the $j$-function $j$ can be written as

$$j = c \frac{e_0 h_0^3}{h_\infty^d},$$

where

$$c = 2, \quad e_0 = 1, \quad h_0 = 61u^2 - 246a + 45 + 60v,$$

$$h_\infty = u^5 - 37u^4 + 459u^3 - 2087u^2 + 2040u + (-u^3 + 29u^2 - 258u + 680)v.$$

Thus we can construct the universal elliptic curve

$$E(u,v)_{\text{char}3} : y^2 = x^3 + a_2(u,v)x^2 + a_6(u,v),$$

where

$$a_2(u,v) = -ce_0h_0 = u^2,$$

$$a_6(u,v) = c^2e_0^2h_\infty^d = u^5 - u^4 + u^2 - (u^3 + u^2 + 1)v.$$
The generic 11-kernel polynomial \( \Psi_{11, \text{char}3}(x, u, v) \) of \( E(u,v) \) is given by

\[
\Psi_{11, \text{char}3}(x, u, v) = x^5 + (2v + u^2)x^4 + (u^2v + (2u^4 + 2u^3 + u^2 + 2))x^3 \\
+ ((u^4 + 2u^3 + 2u^2 + 2)v + (2u^6 + 2u^3))x^2 \\
+ ((u^6 + u^4 + u^3 + 2u^2)v + (2u^8 + 2u^7 + u^3 + u^2 + 2))x \\
+ (2u^8 + 2u^7 + 2u^6 + 2u^5 + u^4 + 2u^3 + 1)v + u^{10} + 2u^9 \\
+ u^8 + 2u^7 + u^6 + u^5 + u^3 + u^2.
\]

Now we use \( \Psi_{11, \text{char}3}(x, u, v) \) to compute all \( \mathbb{F}_3 \)-rational 11-isogenies up to equivalence of the curve

\[
E : y^2 = x^3 + x^2 - 1 \quad \text{over} \quad \mathbb{F}_3
\]

with \( j(E) = 1 \). We first find all \( K \)-rational points \((u, v)\) on \( X_0(\ell)_{\mathbb{F}_3} \) satisfying \( j(E) = \alpha_{\ell}(u) + v\beta_{\ell}(u) \). We find that the only root of the equation \( j(E)^2 - P_{11}(u)j(E) + Q_{11}(u) = 0 \) over \( \mathbb{F}_3 \) is \( u_0 = -1 \). Since \( \beta_{11}(u_0) = -1 \neq 0 \), we obtain \( v_0 := \frac{j(E) - \alpha_{11}(-1)}{\beta_{11}(-1)} = 0 \). Thus \((-1, 0)\) parametrizes the unique \( \mathbb{F}_3 \)-rational 11-isogeny of \( E \) up to equivalence.

The twisting parameter of \( E(u,v) \) is given by

\[
T(u,v) = \frac{a_2(u,v)}{b_2} = \frac{u^2}{1} = u^2.
\]

Since we compute that \( b_4 = 0 \), the polynomial

\[
T(u_0, v_0)^5 \cdot \Psi_{11, \text{char}3} \left( \left( x - \frac{b_4}{b_2} \right) T(u_0, v_0), u_0, v_0 \right) = x^5 + x^4 - x + 1
\]

is an 11-kernel polynomial of \( E \). By using Kohel’s formula and post-composing appropriate isomorphism, we obtain an \( \mathbb{F}_3 \)-rational degree 11 endomorphism of \( E \) given by \((x, y) \mapsto (r_1(x), -yr'_1(x))\), where

\[
r_1(x) = \frac{x^{11} - x^9 + x^8 + x^7 - x^6 + x^4 + x^3 - x^2 - 1}{(x^5 + x^4 - x + 1)^2}.
\]

This is the only \( \mathbb{F}_3 \)-rational 11-isogeny of \( E \) up to equivalence.
5.6.2 Ordinary curves in characteristic 2

Recall that the equation of the modular curve $X_0(\ell) \mathbb{Q}$ is given by

$$X_0(\ell) \mathbb{Q} : v^2 = f_\ell(u), \quad \text{where } f_\ell(u) \in \mathbb{Z}[u]. \quad (5.11)$$

However, for each $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ this hyperelliptic curve becomes singular in characteristic 2, and the $j$-function $j$ is given by $j = \alpha_\ell(u) + v\beta_\ell(u) \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}[u,v]$, so we cannot reduce it modulo 2. We can solve this problem by changing the equation of $X_0(\ell) \mathbb{Q}$ as follows.

By computation we find that the polynomial $f_\ell(u)$ is a square modulo 4 for each $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$. Let $H_\ell(u) \in \mathbb{Z}[u]$ be such that $H_\ell^2(u) \equiv f_\ell(u) \pmod{4}$. Moreover, let $F_\ell(u) = \frac{f_\ell(u) - H_\ell^2(u)}{4} \in \mathbb{Z}[u]$. Then the hyperelliptic curve

$$v^2 + H_\ell(u)v = F_\ell(u) \quad (5.12)$$

is non-singular in characteristic 2 and thus we can reduce it modulo 2 to obtain the equation of the modular curve $X_0(\ell) \mathbb{F}_2$. The curve (5.12) is isomorphic to (5.11) over $\mathbb{Q}$ via the isomorphism

$$u \mapsto u \quad \text{and} \quad v \mapsto 2v + H_\ell(u), \quad (5.13)$$

and the hyperelliptic involution is given by

$$(u, v) \mapsto (u, -v - H_\ell(u)).$$

Hence the $j$-function $j$ and the function $j_\ell$ where $j_\ell(\tau) = j(\ell \tau)$ can be written as

$$j = \tilde{\alpha}_\ell(u) + v\tilde{\beta}_\ell(u),$$

$$j_\ell = \tilde{\alpha}_\ell(u) + (-v - H_\ell(u))\tilde{\beta}_\ell(u),$$

where $\tilde{\alpha}_\ell(u) = \alpha_\ell(u) + H_\ell(u)\beta_\ell(u)$ and $\tilde{\beta}_\ell(u) = 2\beta_\ell(u)$ with $\tilde{\alpha}_\ell(u), \tilde{\beta}_\ell(u) \in \mathbb{Z}[u]$. Note that $j$ still satisfies the quadratic equation (5.3) given by $j^2 - P_\ell(u)j + Q_\ell(u) = 0$ where $P_\ell(u), Q_\ell(u) \in \mathbb{Z}[u]$, since the above isomorphism does not change $u$. 
Thus given an ordinary elliptic curve $E$ over a field $K$ of characteristic 2, we first find the roots of $j(E)^2 - P_\ell(u)j(E) + Q_\ell(u) = 0$ in $K$. For each root $u_0 \in K$, if $\tilde{\beta}_\ell(u_0) \neq 0$ then we obtain the unique $v = \frac{j(E) - \tilde{\alpha}_\ell(u_0)}{\tilde{\beta}_\ell(u_0)} \in K$. If $\tilde{\beta}_\ell(u_0) = 0$ then we obtain at most two values of $v$ in $K$ from (5.12).

Let $\mathcal{E}(u,v)$ be the universal elliptic curve over $F_2(u,v) \cap K$ given by

$$y^2 + xy = x^3 + \frac{1}{j} \quad \text{where } j = \tilde{\alpha}_\ell(u) + v\tilde{\beta}_\ell(u).$$

Note that $\mathcal{E}(u,v)_{\text{char}2}$ can be obtained from the minimal universal elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}(u,v)$ in section 5.4.4 by a sequence of transformations as follows. Since here we are using the modular curve equation (5.12), we first apply the transformation (5.13) to $\mathcal{E}(u,v)_{\text{char}2}$. From section 5.2 it follows that twisting $\mathcal{E}(u,v)_{\text{char}2}$ by $\chi_{1728}$ gives the curve $\mathcal{E}_j : y^2 = x^3 - 3jkx - 2j^2k^2$. In section 2.1 we saw that $\mathcal{E}_j$ can be transformed to the curve (2.8), and reducing (2.8) yields $\mathcal{E}(u,v)_{\text{char}2}$.

Now recall the generic $\ell$-kernel polynomial $\Psi_\ell(x,u,v)$ of $\mathcal{E}(u,v)_{\text{char}2}$. Applying the above transformations to $\Psi_\ell(x,u,v)$ yields a kernel polynomial of $\mathcal{E}(u,v)_{\text{char}2}$, say $\Psi_{\ell,\text{char}2}(x,u,v)$. We refer to $\Psi_{\ell,\text{char}2}(x,u,v)$ as the generic $\ell$-kernel polynomial of $\mathcal{E}(u,v)_{\text{char}2}$. Then from section 4.5.2 it follows that for each $K$-rational point $(u_0, v_0)$ on $X_0(\ell)_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ satisfying $j(E) = \tilde{\alpha}_\ell(u) + v\tilde{\beta}_\ell(u)$, the polynomial

$$a_1^{\ell-1} \cdot \Psi_{\ell,\text{char}2} \left( \frac{1}{a_1^2} \left( x + \frac{a_3}{a_1} \right), u_0, v_0 \right)$$

is a $K$-rational $\ell$-kernel polynomial of $E$. We give the following example for $\ell = 11$.

**Example 5.6.3.** For $\ell = 11$ we may take

$$H_{11}(u) = u^2 + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad F_{11}(u) = -4u^3 + 3u - 2.$$ 

Now we work in characteristic 2. The equation of the modular curve $X_0(11)_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ is given by

$$X_0(11)_{\mathbb{F}_2} : v^2 + (u^2 + 1)v = u.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.14)

The $j$-function $j$ can be written as $j = \tilde{\alpha}_{11}(u) + v\tilde{\beta}_{11}(u)$, where $\tilde{\alpha}_{11}(u) = (u+1)^6(u^5+u^4+u^3+u+1)$ and $\tilde{\beta}_{11}(u) = u^2(u+1)^7$. Moreover, $j$ satisfies the
quadratic equation \( j^2 - P_{11}(u)j + Q_{11}(u) = 0 \), where \( P_{11}(u) = u^2(u + 1)^9 \) and \( Q_{11}(u) = (u + 1)^{12} \). Note that if \( E \) is ordinary, \( j(E) \neq 0 \) and thus \( u + 1 \neq 0 \).

Let \( \mathcal{E}(u,v)_{\text{char2}} \) be the universal elliptic curve given by

\[
\mathcal{E}(u,v)_{\text{char2}} : y^2 + xy = x^3 + \frac{1}{j} \quad \text{where} \quad j = \tilde{\alpha}_{11}(u) + v\tilde{\beta}_{11}(u).
\]

The generic 11-kernel polynomial of \( \mathcal{E}(u,v) \) is given by

\[
\Psi_{11,\text{char2}}(x,u,v) = x^5 + \frac{v + u}{(u + 1)^2} x^4 + \frac{v}{(u + 1)^2} x^3 + \frac{uv + 1}{(u + 1)^4} x^2 \\
+ \frac{u^3(u + 1)v + u^3 + u^2 + u}{(u + 1)^6} x \\
+ \frac{(u^6 + u^5 + u^4 + u^3 + u)v + u^5 + u^4 + u^2 + u + 1}{(u + 1)^8}.
\]

Now we compute all \( \mathbb{F}_2 \)-rational 11-isogenies up to equivalence of the elliptic curve \( E \) given by

\[
E : y^2 + xy = x^3 + 1 \quad \text{over} \quad \mathbb{F}_2
\]

with \( j(E) = 1 \). The only root of the quadratic equation \( j(E)^2 - P_{11}(u)j(E) + Q_{11}(u) = 0 \) over \( \mathbb{F}_2 \) is \( u_0 = 0 \). Since \( \tilde{\beta}_{11}(u_0) = 0 \), from (5.14) we obtain \( v = 0 \) and \( v = 1 \). Thus \((0,0)\) and \((0,1)\) parametrize \( \mathbb{F}_3 \)-rational 11-isogenies of \( E \) up to equivalence.

Since \( a_1 = 1 \) and \( a_3 = 0 \), the polynomials

\[
a_1^{10} \cdot \Psi_{\ell,\text{char2}} \left( \frac{1}{a_1^2} \left( x + \frac{a_3}{a_1} \right), 0, 0 \right) = x^5 + x^2 + 1, \\
a_1^{10} \cdot \Psi_{\ell,\text{char2}} \left( \frac{1}{a_1^2} \left( x + \frac{a_3}{a_1} \right), 0, 1 \right) = x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + 1
\]

are 11-kernel polynomials of \( E \). By using Kohel’s formula and post-composing appropriate isomorphism, we can obtain the two degree 11 endomorphism of \( E \) given by \((x,y) \mapsto (r_1(x), y,r_1'(x) + r_2(x))\), where one of the endomorphisms is given by

\[
r_1(x) = \frac{x^{11} + x^7 + x}{(x^5 + x^2 + 1)^2}, \quad r_2(x) = \frac{x^{13} + x^8 + x^7 + x^6 + x^4}{(x^5 + x^2 + 1)^3},
\]
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and the other is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
    r_1(x) &= \frac{x^{11} + x^7 + x^5 + x^3 + x}{(x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + 1)^2}, \\
    r_2(x) &= \frac{x^{15} + x^{12} + x^{11} + x^9 + x^7 + x^3 + x^2}{(x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + 1)^3}.
\end{align*}
\]

These two endomorphisms are all the \( \mathbb{F}_2 \)-rational 11-isogenies of \( E \) up to equivalence.

5.6.3 Supersingular curves in characteristic 2 and 3

As mentioned in section 4.5.3, since a supersingular elliptic curve in characteristic 2 or 3 has a large automorphism group and more isomorphism classes, the generic kernel polynomial method will be complicated. Thus in this case the division polynomial factorization method would be more desirable to use.
Appendix A

Tables

A.1 Formulas

In the following table we give the data used for the computations for \( \ell \)-isogenies for \( \ell \in \{11, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 41, 47, 59, 71\} \) given in Chapter 5. We first list the \( q \)-expansions of the generators \( u \) and \( v \) such that \( \mathbb{C}(X_0(\ell)) = \mathbb{C}(u,v) \) and the equation for the modular curve \( X_0(\ell) \). The \( j \)-function \( j \) can be written as 
\[
j = e_0^h_{3,0} + \alpha_{\ell}(u) + v\beta_{\ell}(u),
\]
and the function \( j - 1728 \) can be written as 
\[
k = e_{1728}^h_{3,1728} + \beta_{\ell}(u),
\]
where \( d \) is the denominator of \( \frac{\ell+1}{12} \). \( j \) satisfies the quadratic relation 
\[
 j^2 - P_{\ell}(u)j + Q_{\ell}(u) = 0,
\]
and \( j - 1728 \) satisfies the quadratic relation 
\[
 Q'_{\ell}(u) := (j - 1728)^2 - P_{\ell}(u)(j - 1728) + Q_{\ell}(u) = 0.
\]
Then \( Q_{\ell}(u) = e_0^h_{3,0,\ell}(u) \) and \( Q'_{\ell}(u) = e_{1728}^h_{3,1728,\ell}(u) \). Finally, we denote the generic \( \ell \)-kernel polynomial \( \Psi_{\ell}(x, u, v) \) of the curve \( \mathcal{E}(u,v) : y^2 = x^3 + a_4(u,v) + a_6(u,v) \) by \( \Psi_{\ell}(x, u, v) = x^d + \sum_{i=1}^d s_i(u, v) x^{d-i} \), and the coefficient \( s_1 \) is given in the table below.

Table A.1: \( \ell = 11 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( u )</th>
<th>( q^{-1} + 5 + 17q + 46q^2 + 116q^3 + 252q^4 + O(q^5) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( v )</td>
<td>( -q^{-2} - 2q^{-1} + 12 + 116q + 597q^2 + 2298q^3 + 7616q^4 + O(q^5) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X_{0}(11) )</td>
<td>( v^2 = (u + 1)(u^2 - 17u^2 + 19u - 7) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( s_1 )</td>
<td>( 11(5u - 3) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c )</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( e_0 )</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( e_{1728} )</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline
h_\infty & (-u^3 + 29u^2 - 258u + 680)v + u^5 - 37u^4 + 459u^3 - 2087u^2 + 2040u + 1962 \\
\hline
h_0 & 61u^2 - 246u + 45 + 60v \\
\hline
h_{1728} & -7(95u^3 - 819u^2 + 189u + 135) - 18v(37u - 51) \\
\hline
h_{0,11} & u^4 + 228u^3 + 486u^2 - 540u + 225 \\
\hline
h_{1728,11} & u^6 - 522u^5 - 10017u^4 + 2484u^3 - 5265u^2 + 12150u - 5103 \\
\hline
P_1 & u^{11} - 55u^{10} + 1188u^9 - 12716u^8 + 69630u^7 - 177408u^6 + 133056u^5 + 132066u^4 - 187407u^3 + 40095u^2 + 24300u - 6750 \\
\hline
Q_1 & (h_{0,11})^3 \\
\hline
a_{11} & \frac{1}{2}P_1 \\
\hline
b_{11} & \frac{1}{2}(u - 15)(u - 6)(u - 3)(u - 1)u(u^2 - 12u - 9)(u^2 - 10u + 5) \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

Table A.2: $\ell = 17$

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline
u & q^{-1} + 3 + 7q + 14q^2 + 29q^3 + 50q^4 + O(q^5) \\
\hline
v & -q^{-2} - q^{-1} + 6 + 33q + 117q^2 + 321q^3 + 830q^4 + O(q^5) \\
\hline
X_{0(17)} & v^2 = u^4 - 10u^3 - 3u^2 + 4u - 8 \\
\hline
s_1 & 4 \cdot 17(u - 1)(2u - 1) \\
\hline
c & 4 \\
\hline
e_0 & 1 \\
\hline
e_{1728} & u - 1 \\
\hline
h_\infty & (-u^2 + 13u - 38)v + u^4 - 18u^3 + 89u^2 - 76u - 90 \\
\hline
h_0 & 5(29u^3 - 121u^2 + 52u + 4) + 24v(6u - 5) \\
\hline
h_{1728} & -4(614u^4 - 4235u^3 + 1827u^2 + 698u - 1064) - 63v(39u^2 - 82u + 20) \\
\hline
h_{0,17} & u^6 + 230u^5 + 497u^4 - 1216u^3 + 1352u^2 - 1120u + 400 \\
\hline
h_{1728,17} & u^8 - 518u^7 - 11039u^6 - 1552u^5 - 11116u^4 + 35168u^3 - 54032u^2 + 27328u - 6272 \\
\hline
P_1 & u^{17} - 51u^{16} + 1105u^{15} - 13243u^{14} + 95659u^{13} - 424065u^{12} + 1110355u^{11} - 1454945u^{10} + 73746u^9 + 2450210u^8 - 3131026u^7 + 1104830u^6 + 1073992u^5 - 1392232u^4 + 557600u^3 + 2720u^2 - 67200u + 16000 \\
\hline
Q_1 & (h_{0,17})^3 \\
\hline
a_{17} & \frac{1}{2}P_1 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]
\[ b_{17} = \frac{1}{2}(u - 10)(u - 5)(u - 2)(u - 1)u(u + 1)(u^2 - 10u + 7)(u^2 - 6u - 4)(u^2 - 4u + 2)(u^3 - 9u^2 + 8u - 4) \]

\[ \Psi_{17,1728} = x^8 + (-4i + 4)x^6z + (4i + 6)x^4z^2 + (4i + 4)x^2z^3 + (-4i + 1)z^4/17 \]

| \( u \) | \( q^{-1} + 2 + 6q + 10q^2 + 21q^3 + 36q^4 + O(q^5) \) |
| \( v \) | \( -q^{-2} + 4 + 18q + 74q^2 + 188q^3 + 476q^4 + O(q^5) \) |
| \( X_0(19) \) | \( v^2 = (u + 2)(u^2 - 10u^2 + 12u - 4) \) |
| \( s_1 \) | \( 3 \cdot 19(u + 1)(3u - 2) \) |
| \( c \) | \( 8 \) |
| \( e_0 \) | \( u + 1 \) |
| \( e_{1728} \) | \( 1 \) |
| \( h_\infty \) | \( (-u + 6)v + u^3 - 10u^2 + 12u + 34 \) |
| \( h_0 \) | \( 181u^3 - 423u^2 - 488u + 340 + 60v(3u + 1) \) |
| \( h_{1728} \) | \( -9(381u^5 - 1496u^4 - 3323u^3 + 1246u^2 + 1772u - 560) - 14u(245u^3 - 18u^2 - 385u - 106) \) |
| \( h_{0,19} \) | \( u^6 + 234u^5 + 1193u^4 + 248u^3 - 536u^2 - 640u + 400 \) |
| \( h_{1728,19} \) | \( u^{10} - 512u^9 - 13614u^8 - 50340u^7 - 64983u^6 + 36108u^5 + 69148u^4 - 21728u^3 - 11280u^2 + 11200u - 6272 \) |
| \( P_{19} \) | \( (u + 1)(u^6 - 13u^5 + 34u^4 + 58u^3 - 118u^2 - 32u + 40)(u^{12} - 26u^{11} + 237u^{10} - 768u^9 - 588u^8 + 6948u^7 - 3751u^6 - 17606u^5 + 9353u^4 + 11448u^3 - 6808u^2 - 640u + 400) \) |
| \( Q_{19} \) | \( (u + 1)^2(h_{0,19})^3 \) |
| \( a_{19} \) | \( \frac{1}{2}P_{19} \) |
| \( b_{19} \) | \( \frac{1}{2}(u - 7)(u - 2)(u - 1)u(u + 1)(u^2 - 8u - 4)(u^2 - 6u - 15)(u^2 - 5u - 5)(u^2 - 2u - 4)(u^2 + u - 1) \) |
| \( \Psi_{19,0} \) | \( x^8 + (-12\sqrt{-3}-24)x^6z + (-24\sqrt{-3}-24)x^4z^2 + (96\sqrt{-3}-224)z^3/19 \) |

Table A.4: \( \ell = 23 \)

| \( u \) | \( q^{-1} + 2 + 4q + 7q^2 + 13q^3 + 19q^4 + O(q^5) \) |
| \( v \) | \( -q^{-3} - 2q^{-2} - q^{-1} + 12 + 67q + 228q^2 + 667q^3 + 1696q^4 + O(q^5) \) |
\[
X_0(23) \quad v^2 = (u^3 - u + 1)(u^3 - 8u^2 + 3u - 7)
\]

| \(s_1\) | \(23(11u^2 - 10u + 3)\) |
| \(c\) | 2 |
| \(e_0\) | 1 |
| \(e_{1728}\) | 1 |
| \(h_{\infty}\) | \((-u^8 + 30u^7 - 357u^6 + 2100u^5 - 5985u^4 + 5340u^3 + 7975u^2 - 12086u - 3280)v + u^{11} - 34u^{10} + 470u^9 - 3345u^8 + 12558u^7 - 20815u^6 - 3274u^5 + 49169u^4 - 36235u^3 + 1729u^2 + 9840u - 22734\) |
| \(h_0\) | \(5(53u^4 - 188u^3 + 62u^2 - 12u - 27) + 24v(11u - 5)\) |
| \(h_{1728}\) | \(-7(869u^6 - 5178u^5 + 3651u^4 - 580u^3 - 2637u^2 + 2430u - 1107) - 36v(169u^3 - 345u^2 + 107u - 15)\) |
| \(h_{0,23}\) | \(u^8 + 232u^7 + 732u^6 - 968u^5 + 1894u^4 - 2280u^3 + 2268u^2 - 1080u + 225\) |
| \(h_{1728,23}\) | \(u^{12} - 516u^{11} - 11550u^{10} - 3076u^9 - 15105u^8 + 54456u^7 - 151460u^6 + 218520u^5 - 210033u^4 + 132300u^3 - 67230u^2 + 24300u - 5103\) |
| \(P_{23}\) | \(u^{23} - 46u^{22} + 920u^{21} - 10465u^{20} + 74221u^{19} - 336927u^{18} + 953856u^{17} - 1470068u^{16} + 336674u^{15} + 3209696u^{14} - 6447728u^{13} + 5423124u^{12} + 302266u^{11} - 6612454u^{10} + 8362616u^9 - 4877702u^8 - 116403u^7 + 2732814u^6 - 2492280u^5 + 1115109u^4 - 170775u^3 - 83835u^2 + 48600u - 6750\) |
| \(Q_{23}\) | \((h_{0,23})^3\) |
| \(a_{23}\) | \(\frac{1}{2}P_{23}\) |
| \(b_{23}\) | \((u - 5)(u - 3)(u - 2)(u - 1)u(u + 1)(u^2 - 8u + 3)(u^2 - 6u - 9)(u^3 - 7u^2 + 3u - 5)(u^3 - 7u^2 + 7u - 3)(u^4 - 4u^3 - 1)\) |

table {Table A.5: \(\ell = 29\)}

| \(u\) | \(q^{-1} + 1 + 3q + 4q^2 + 7q^3 + 10q^4 + O(q^5)\) |
| \(v\) | \(-q^{-3} - q^{-2} + 6 + 29q + 85q^2 + 231q^3 + 520q^4 + O(q^5)\) |

\[
X_0(29) \quad v^2 = u^6 - 4u^5 - 12u^4 + 2u^3 + 8u^2 + 8u - 7
\]

| \(s_1\) | \(2 \cdot 29(u + 1)(7u^2 - u - 3)\) |
| \(c\) | 4 |
| \(e_0\) | 1 |
| \(e_{1728}\) | \(u + 1\) |
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( h_{\infty} )</th>
<th>((-u^4 + 11u^3 - 31u^2 - 14u + 100)v + u^7 - 13u^6 + 45u^5 + 25u^4 - 269u^3 + 29u^2 + 300u + 166)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( h_0 )</td>
<td>(421u^5 - 301u^4 - 1867u^3 - 671u^2 + 627u + 405 + 60v(7u^2 + 5u - 1))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h_{1728} )</td>
<td>(-14(871u^7 - 1724u^6 - 7263u^5 - 1831u^4 + 4313u^3 + 3393u^2 - 918u - 675) - 9v(1355u^4 - 28u^3 - 2307u^2 - 634u + 249))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h_{0,29} )</td>
<td>(u^{10} + 238u^9 + 1907u^8 + 4072u^7 + 3365u^6 - 1730u^5 - 3707u^4 - 744u^3 + 459u^2 + 270u + 225)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h_{1728,29} )</td>
<td>(u^{14} - 508u^{13} - 15134u^{12} - 82634u^{11} - 196489u^{10} - 143636u^9 + 80491u^8 + 233402u^7 + 141391u^6 - 59652u^5 - 136053u^4 + 1350u^3 + 40662u^2 - 972u - 5103)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_{29} )</td>
<td>(u^{29} - 29u^{28} + 319u^{27} - 1421u^{26} - 580u^{25} + 26680u^{24} - 53679u^{23} - 189399u^{22} + 622398u^{21} + 853818u^{20} - 3427365u^{19} - 3592085u^{18} + 10954634u^{17} + 14041394u^{16} - 18871083u^{15} - 37142939u^{14} + 9216142u^{13} + 54103270u^{12} + 19207947u^{11} - 38397537u^{10} - 31795426u^9 + 9708910u^8 + 19103721u^7 + 2357613u^6 - 5229135u^5 - 1754181u^4 + 570024u^3 + 281880u^2 - 12150u - 6750)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Q_{29} )</td>
<td>((h_{0,29})^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a_{29} )</td>
<td>(\frac{1}{2}P_{29})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( b_{29} )</td>
<td>((u - 3)(u - 1)u(u + 1)(u + 2)(u^2 - 6u + 2)(u^2 - 5u - 5)(u^2 - 5u + 3)(u^2 - 3u - 9)(u^2 - u - 3)(u^2 - u - 1)(u^3 - 4u^2 - 6u - 5)(u^4 - 2u^3 - 5u^2 - 4u - 1))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Psi_{29,1728} )</td>
<td>(x^{14} + (-14i + 3)x^{12}z + (-20i + 73)x^{10}z^2 + (-58i + 115)x^8z^3 + (-56i + 59)x^6z^4 + (30i + 1)x^4z^5 + (12i - 5)x^2z^6 + (2i + 5)z^7/29)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A.6: \(\ell = 31\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( u )</th>
<th>(q^{-1} + 2 + 3q + 3q^2 + 6q^3 + 9q^4 + O(q^5))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( v )</td>
<td>(-q^{-3} - 2q^{-2} + 8 + 32q + 90q^2 + 215q^3 + 464q^4 + O(q^5))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X_0(31) )</td>
<td>(v^2 = (u^3 - 6u^2 - 5u - 1)(u^3 - 2u^2 - u + 3))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( s_1 )</td>
<td>(3 \cdot 31(u - 2)(5u^2 - 6u - 3))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c )</td>
<td>(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( e_0 )</td>
<td>(u - 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( e_{1728} )</td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline
h & (-u^2 + 10u - 24)v + u^5 - 14u^4 + 59u^3 - 57u^2 - 72u + 46 \\
\hline
h_0 & 481u^5 - 2766u^4 + 3486u^3 + 1320u^2 - 2423u - 690 + 120v(4u^2 - 9u + 3) \\
\hline
h_{1728} & -9(1655u^8 - 16522u^7 + 52005u^6 - 51168u^5 - 31099u^4 + 69638u^3 - 5709u^2 - 17436u - 1980) - 28v(532u^5 - 3201u^4 + 6123u^3 - 3261u^2 - 1259u + 726) \\
\hline
h_{0,31} & u^{10} + 228u^9 - 192u^8 - 3192u^7 + 8630u^6 - 8064u^5 + 4884u^4 - 4200u^3 - 911u^2 + 2940u + 900 \\
\hline
h_{1728,31} & u^{16} - 524u^{15} - 7398u^{14} + 77436u^{13} - 235329u^{12} + 308496u^{11} - 543420u^{10} + 2282040u^9 - 5204193u^8 + 5149812u^7 - 753174u^6 - 2249748u^5 + 752113u^4 + 797704u^3 - 118440u^2 - 237600u - 52272 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline
P_31 & (u - 2)(u^{10} - 20u^9 + 149u^8 - 495u^7 + 601u^6 + 368u^5 - 1285u^4 + 357u^3 + 546u^2 - 98u - 60)(u^{20} - 40u^{19} + 698u^{18} - 6950u^{17} + 43203u^{16} - 170814u^{15} + 406833u^{14} - 433212u^{13} - 399237u^{12} + 1798836u^{11} - 1596071u^{10} + 1084674u^9 + 2649985u^8 - 564454u^7 - 1445909u^6 + 621736u^5 + 367692u^4 - 137256u^3 - 53183u^2 + 2940u + 900) \\
\hline
Q_{31} & (u - 2)^2(h_{0,31})^3 \\
\hline
a_{31} & \frac{1}{2}P_{31} \\
\hline
b_{31} & (u - 3)(u - 2)(u - 1)u(u + 1)(u^2 - 8u + 11)(u^2 - 7u + 2)(u^2 - 5u - 2)(u^2 - 5u + 5)(u^2 - 4u - 4)(u^2 - 4u - 1)(u^2 - 2u - 1)(u^2 - u - 1)(u^3 - 9u^2 + 21u - 15)(u^4 - 8u^3 + 8u^2 + 12u - 9) \\
\hline
\Psi_{31,0} & x^{15} + (-66\sqrt{-3} + 86)x^{12}z + (168\sqrt{-3} + 280)x^9z^2 + (576\sqrt{-3} + 1792)x^6z^3 + (384\sqrt{-3} + 896)x^3z^4 + (-3072\sqrt{-3} - 2048)z^5/31 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

**Table A.7: \( \ell = 41 \)**

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline
u & q^{-1} + 1 + 2q + 2q^2 + 3q^3 + 4q^4 + O(q^5) \\
\hline
v & -q^{-4} - 2q^{-3} - 2q^{-2} + q^{-1} + 12 + 42q + 120q^2 + 283q^3 + 612q^4 + O(q^5) \\
\hline
X_0(41) & v^2 = u^8 - 4u^7 - 8u^6 + 10u^5 + 20u^4 + 8u^3 - 15u^2 - 20u - 8 \\
\hline
s_1 & 4 \cdot 41(u - 1)(5u^3 - 2u^2 - 6u - 2) \\
\hline
c & 4 \\
\hline
e_0 & 1 \\
\hline
e_{1728} & u - 1 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]
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\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline
h_\infty & (-u^6 + 14u^5 - 66u^4 + 95u^3 + 106u^2 - 286u + 20)v + u^{10} - 16u^9 + 88u^8 - 150u^7 - 236u^6 + 832u^5 + 77u^4 - 976u^3 - 452u^2 + 80u + 522 \\
\hline
h_0 & 841u^7 - 2403u^6 - 1683u^5 + 4443u^4 + 2395u^3 - 1229u^2 - 1796u - 460 + 120v(7u^3 - 8u^2 - 2u + 2) \\
\hline
h_{1728} & -4(8615u^{10} - 34397u^9 + 23955u^8 + 105727u^7 + 51833u^6 - 79767u^5 - 94038u^4 - 4224u^3 + 42594u^2 + 20572u + 560) - 63v(547u^6 - 1098u^5 - 675u^4 + 1212u^3 + 525u^2 - 178u - 116) \\
\hline
h_{0,41} & u^{14} + 234u^{13} + 963u^{12} - 1896u^{11} - 2659u^{10} - 1006u^9 + 9101u^8 + 3040u^7 - 7733u^6 - 2926u^5 + 2361u^4 - 672u^3 - 184u^2 + 1120u + 400 \\
\hline
h_{1728,41} & u^{20} - 512u^{19} - 13094u^{18} - 25658u^{17} + 44779u^{16} + 256408u^{15} - 74933u^{14} - 473006u^{13} - 332369u^{12} + 236464u^{11} + 678355u^{10} + 675574u^9 - 356026u^8 - 1074148u^7 - 206215u^6 + 605400u^5 + 294756u^4 - 115776u^3 - 126992u^2 - 39872u - 6272 \\
\hline
P_{41} & u^{41} - 41u^{40} + 738u^{39} - 7544u^{38} + 46617u^{37} - 162483u^{36} + 163057u^{35} + 1099661u^{34} - 4706595u^{33} + 3613289u^{32} + 20512341u^{31} - 49355103u^{30} - 24135265u^{29} + 193718727u^{28} - 61715127u^{27} - 46227351u^{26} + 261059095u^{25} + 879637411u^{24} - 421357697u^{23} - 1503727029u^{22} + 282422801u^{21} + 2179745361u^{20} + 343522723u^{19} - 2400692229u^{18} + 1772593672u^{17} + 1832223375u^{16} + 605560447u^{15} - 1542677562u^{14} - 271341362u^{13} + 745775322u^{12} + 436080674u^{10} - 147139488u^9 - 217030548u^8 - 31732934u^7 + 46140990u^6 + 20985112u^5 - 1742664u^4 - 2966432u^3 - 465760u^2 + 67200u + 16000 \\
\hline
Q_{41} & (h_{0,41})^3 \\
\hline
a_{41} & \frac{1}{2} P_{41} \\
\hline
b_{41} & (u - 5)(u - 2)(u - 1)u(u + 1)(u^2 - 5u + 5)(u^2 - 3u - 7)(u^2 - 2u - 4)(u^2 - 2u - 1)(u^2 - 2u - 2)(u^2 + u - 1)(u^2 - 3u - 5u - 2)(u^2 - 2u - 2u - 1)(u^4 - 6u^3 + 5u^2 + 2u - 1)(u^4 - 5u^3 + u^2 + 4)(u^4 - 4u^3 + 2) \\
\hline
\Psi_{41,1728} & x^{20} + (-12i - 22)x^{18}z + (-252i - 247)x^{16}z^2 + (-176i - 424)x^{14}z^3 + (464i - 254)x^{12}z^4 + (1688i - 868)x^{10}z^5 + (1720i - 1190)x^8z^6 + (528i - 232)x^6z^7 + (16i + 29)x^4z^8 + (20i + 10)x^2z^9 + (4i + 5)z^{10}/41 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]
### Table A.8: \( \ell = 47 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( u )</th>
<th>( q^{-1} + 1 + q + 2q^2 + 3q^3 + 3q^4 + O(q^5) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( v )</td>
<td>( -q^5 - 2q^4 - 4q^3 - 4q^2 - 2q^{-1} + 24 + 89q + 236q^2 + 565q^3 + 1218q^4 + O(q^5) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X_0(47) )</td>
<td>( v^2 = (u^5 - 5u^4 + 5u^3 - 15u^2 + 6u - 11)(u^5 - u^4 + u^3 + u^2 - 2u + 1) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( s_1 )</td>
<td>( 47(23u^4 - 34u^3 + 47u^2 - 32u + 8) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c )</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( e_0 )</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( e_{1728} )</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h_{\infty} )</td>
<td>((-u^{18} + 32u^{17} - 451u^{16} + 3670u^{15} - 18970u^{14} + 64386u^{13} - 142259u^{12} + 190100u^{11} - 102740u^{10} - 134790u^9 + 397118u^8 - 481050u^7 + 212233u^6 + 205926u^5 - 356850u^4 + 255206u^3 - 1768u^2 - 141184u + 6984)v + w^{23} - 35w^{22} + 54w^{21} - 5064w^{20} + 30701w^{19} - 128502u^{18} + 380807u^{17} - 804478u^{16} + 1181647u^{15} - 1028219u^{14} - 128194u^{13} + 2174401u^{12} - 3944815u^{11} + 3887507u^{10} - 1481829u^9 - 2130163u^8 + 4340198u^7 - 3645695u^6 + 1228720u^5 + 1193720u^4 - 1610664u^3 + 676480u^2 - 55872u - 206350 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h_0 )</td>
<td>( 5(221u^8 - 860u^7 + 1470u^6 - 2316u^5 + 1757u^4 - 1200u^3 + 96u^2 + 272u - 160) + 24v(46u^3 - 51u^2 + 47u - 16) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h_{1728} )</td>
<td>( -(51911u^{12} - 311214u^{11} + 785721u^{10} - 1630156u^9 + 2167161u^8 - 2307006u^7 + 1460351u^6 - 257904u^5 - 754680u^4 + 1054696u^3 - 752640u^2 + 303168u - 59752) - 252v(206u^7 - 619u^6 + 999u^5 - 1245u^4 + 871u^3 - 468u^2 + 96u + 4) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h_{0,47} )</td>
<td>( u^{16} + 232u^{15} + 508u^{14} - 1032u^{13} + 7814u^{12} - 17480u^{11} + 43644u^{10} - 76312u^9 + 120769u^8 - 152864u^7 + 163968u^6 - 143584u^5 + 102656u^4 - 57984u^3 + 25024u^2 - 7168u + 1024 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h_{1728,47} )</td>
<td>( u^{24} - 516u^{23} - 11022u^{22} + 14876u^{21} - 108177u^{20} + 219720u^{19} - 924036u^{18} + 2530344u^{17} - 7168689u^{16} + 16156524u^{15} - 32334990u^{14} + 55102380u^{13} - 82583999u^{12} + 108299856u^{11} - 125452032u^{10} + 128037264u^9 - 114961824u^8 + 90070656u^7 - 60908352u^6 + 34907136u^5 - 16548864u^4 + 6243328u^3 - 1775616u^2 + 344064u - 34496 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\[
P_{47} \quad u^{47} - 47u^{46} + 1034u^{45} - 14194u^{44} + 136864u^{43} - 990431u^{42} + 5617769u^{41} - 2577006u^{40} + 97893151u^{39} - 313468474u^{38} + 856757031u^{37} - 201318357u^{36} + 407188137u^{35} - 704065261u^{34} + 10182603298u^{33} - 11611941072u^{32} + 8435506655u^{31} + 2065827049u^{30} - 20241250112u^{29} + 41879296232u^{28} - 5787389048u^{27} + 57280402355u^{26} - 3336459937u^{25} - 10454018992u^{24} + 59196883097u^{23} - 92028642340u^{22} + 93046207239u^{21} - 60791892299u^{20} + 9942017442u^{19} + 36612252089u^{18} - 60426283952u^{17} + 56657584158u^{16} - 34288012648u^{15} + 8421580132u^{14} + 9100668184u^{13} - 14673798654u^{12} + 11705275552u^{11} - 5945275904u^{10} + 1469334304u^{9} + 552981696u^{8} - 845669120u^{7} + 508021120u^{6} - 187217920u^{5} + 38598656u^{4} - 96256u^{3} - 2502656u^{2} + 688128u - 65536
\]

\[
Q_{47} \quad (h_{0,47})^3
\]

\[
a_{47} \quad \frac{1}{2} P_{47}
\]

\[
b_{47} \quad (u - 4)(u - 2)(u - 1)u(u + 1)(u^2 - 5u + 2)(u^2 - 2u - 1)(u^3 - 5u^2 + 5u - 7)(u^3 - 4u^2 + 3u - 4)(u^3 - 4u^2 + 3u - 1)(u^3 - 3u^2 + 2u - 4)(u^3 - 2u^2 + 2u - 2)(u^3 + u + 1)(u^4 - 4u^3 - 2u^2 - 4)(u^5 - 5u^4 + 5u^3 - 11u^2 + 6u - 4)(u^6 - 4u^5 + 2u^4 - 4u^3 - u^2 + 4u - 2)
\]

Table A.9: \( \ell = 59 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( u )</th>
<th>( q^{-1} + 1 + q + q^2 + 2q^3 + 2q^4 + O(q^5) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( v )</td>
<td>( -q^{-6} - 2q^{-5} - 4q^{-4} - 6q^{-3} - 5q^{-2} + 4q^{-1} + 36 + 114q + 291q^2 + 652q^3 + 1356q^4 + O(q^5) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X_0(59) )</td>
<td>( v^2 = (u^3 - u^2 - u + 2)(u^9 - 7u^8 + 16u^7 - 21u^6 + 12u^5 - u^4 - 9u^3 + 6u^2 - 4u - 4) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( s_1 )</td>
<td>( 59(29u^5 - 75u^4 + 70u^3 - 5u^2 - 25u + 10) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c )</td>
<td>( 2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( e_0 )</td>
<td>( 1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( e_{1728} )</td>
<td>( 1 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\[ h_\infty = (-u^{23} + 37u^{22} - 616u^{21} + 6069u^{20} - 39055u^{19} + 170076u^{18} - 495775u^{17} + 881089u^{16} - 537033u^{15} - 1541350u^{14} + 4481421u^{13} - 4596423u^{12} - 457056u^{11} + 6912466u^9 - 8288040u^9 + 3173710u^8 + 349375u^7 - 6126974u^6 + 3753975u^5 + 66575u^4 - 1618980u^3 + 1096775u^2 - 3568735u - 97290v + u^{29} - 41u^{28} + 767u^{27} - 864u^{26} + 65242u^{25} - 345346u^{24} + 1299200u^{23} - 3394428u^{22} + 5532057u^{21} - 2754549u^{20} - 11455781u^{19} + 3342206u^{18} - 39712945u^{17} + 4765791u^{16} + 59683258u^{15} - 97108805u^{14} + 60459413u^{13} + 29458008u^{12} - 97582565u^{11} + 88819755u^{10} - 20651425u^9 - 4090430u^8 + 51626870u^7 - 24114790u^6 - 3594375u^5 + 12158775u^4 - 6792025u^3 + 56825u^2 + 97290u - 304958 \]

\[ h_0 = 1741u^{10} - 10326u^9 + 23113u^8 - 24254u^7 + 420u^6 + 16806u^5 - 19051u^4 + 6906u^3 + 1281u^2 - 2948u + 820 + 60v(29u^4 - 60u^3 + 42u^2 - 2u - 5) \]

\[ h_{1728} = -(102689u^{15} - 923949u^{14} + 3395037u^{13} - 6767646u^{12} + 7137705u^{11} - 1410051u^{10} - 7059704u^9 + 10767999u^8 - 7135101u^7 + 815998u^6 + 2658035u^5 - 2317185u^4 + 573935u^3 - 284010u^2 - 186780u + 12880) - 126v(815u^9 - 4077u^8 + 8092u^7 - 7639u^6 + 1800u^5 + 2865u^4 - 2762u^3 + 729u^2 + 11u - 94) \]

\[ h_{0.59} = u^{20} + 228u^{19} - 418u^{18} - 2584u^{17} + 16417u^{16} - 48352u^{15} + 100862u^{14} - 170700u^{13} + 241876u^{12} - 267564u^{11} + 195830u^{10} - 45208u^9 - 74383u^8 + 82400u^7 - 23442u^6 - 10532u^5 + 4945u^4 + 3144u^3 - 776u^2 - 1120u + 400 \]

\[ h_{1728.59} = u^{30} - 522u^{29} - 7917u^{28} + 76386u^{27} - 307545u^{26} + 820932u^{25} - 2142548u^{24} + 6885156u^{23} - 22079883u^{22} + 57791950u^{21} - 117435843u^{20} + 184505958u^{19} - 223131606u^{18} + 203732586u^{17} - 133730439u^{16} + 53975742u^{15} + 1407345u^{14} - 31142904u^{13} + 46515140u^{12} - 45199360u^{11} + 23071443u^{10} + 4298322u^9 - 15343845u^8 + 8880366u^7 - 44571u^6 - 2320908u^5 + 958668u^4 + 13312u^3 - 108240u^2 + 33600u - 6272 \]
\[
\begin{array}{|c|l|}
\hline
P_{59} & u^{59} - 59u^{58} + 1652u^{57} - 29205u^{56} + 365800u^{55} - 3452149u^{54} + 25475079u^{53} - 150487052u^{52} + 721829600u^{51} - 2830320860u^{50} + 905905436u^{49} - 23352309386u^{48} + 46651654354u^{47} - 64236756338u^{46} + 28871590941u^{45} + 13439808009u^{44} - 45918435769u^{43} + 800595050760u^{42} - 748989116551u^{41} - 179519591637u^{40} + 1987950394792u^{39} - 3658171840037u^{38} + 339758323872u^{37} - 54249978263u^{36} - 519022733987u^{35} + 8713332734648u^{34} - 6917286294515u^{33} - 315658868113u^{32} + 8512399456274u^{31} - 11643540780203u^{30} + 7131088674129u^{29} + 1742977715620u^{28} - 8488557160148u^{27} + 877245793356u^{26} - 3589340274442u^{25} - 2342393877496u^{24} + 4920423266916u^{23} - 356675620196u^{22} + 614906882627u^{21} + 1373184519667u^{20} - 1549365239197u^{19} + 682044179678u^{18} + 121615007703u^{17} - 372641172307u^{16} + 230682514316u^{15} - 33068562195u^{14} - 51342089572u^{13} + 41679530185u^{12} - 10887635780u^{11} - 3953349811u^{10} + 4310971231u^{9} - 1262437160u^{8} - 170978932u^{7} + 241324042u^{6} - 60792184u^{5} - 5127336u^{4} + 5573376u^{3} - 783520u^{2} - 67200u + 16000 \\
\hline
Q_{59} & (b_{0,59})^3 \\
\hline
a_{59} & \frac{1}{2} P_{59} \\
\hline
b_{59} & (u - 2)(u - 1)u(u + 1)(u^2 - 4u - 1)(u^2 - 3u - 5)(u^2 - 3u - 2)(u^2 - 3u + 1)(u^2 - u - 1)(u^3 - 6u^2 + 10u - 7)(u^3 - 5u^2 + 7u - 5)(u^3 - 3u^2 + 2u - 1)(u^3 - u^2 + 1)(u^4 - 5u^3 + 4u^2 - 1)(u^4 - 4u^3 + 3u^2 + 2u - 4)(u^4 - 3u^3 - u - 1)(u^4 - u^3 + 2u - 1)(u^5 - 6u^4 + 10u^3 - 11u^2 + 8u - 4)(u^6 - 5u^5 + 5u^4 - 5u^2 + 5u - 5) \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

Table A.10: $\ell = 71$

\[
\begin{array}{|c|l|}
\hline
u & q^{-1} + 1 + q + q^2 + q^3 + q^4 + O(q^5) \\
\hline
v & -q^{-7} - 2q^{-6} - 4q^{-5} - 6q^{-4} - 8q^{-3} - 6q^{-2} + 8q^{-1} + 48 + 147q + 354q^2 + 772q^3 + 1550q^4 + O(q^5) \\
\hline
X_0(71) & v^2 = (u^7 - 7u^6 + 14u^5 - 11u^4 + 14u^3 - 14u^2 - u - 7)(u^7 - 3u^6 + 2u^5 + u^4 - 2u^3 + 2u^2 - u + 1) \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]
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| \( s_1 \) & \( 71(35u^6 - 128u^5 + 140u^4 - 70u^3 + 60u^2 - 20u + 3) \) \\
| \( c \) & 2 \\
| \( e_0 \) & 1 \\
| \( e_{1728} \) & 1 \\

\( h_{\infty} \) & \((-u^{28} + 42u^{27} - 806u^{26} + 9334u^{25} - 72500u^{24} + 396424u^{23} - 1553368u^{22} + 4326410u^{21} - 8160873u^{20} + 8759620u^{19} + 19304u^{18} - 16702602u^{17} + 27869242u^{16} - 22483954u^{15} + 4186685u^{14} - 17823176u^{13} - 3177848u^{12} + 24183078u^{11} - 3392576u^{10} - 9882970u^9 + 13774395u^8 - 10286052u^7 + 1944068u^6 + 2820804u^5 - 2836345u^4 + 893312u^3 - 304857u^2 + 5178u + 254560)u^v + u^{35} - 47u^{34} + 1022u^{33} - 13619u^{32} + 124132u^{31} - 817352u^{30} + 3998715u^{29} - 14693075u^{28} + 40339679u^{27} - 80418271u^{26} + 106690988u^{25} - 62599465u^{24} - 83567174u^{23} + 272370138u^{22} - 376039968u^{21} + 299347418u^{20} - 40666576u^{19} - 286649771u^{18} + 478017134u^{17} - 413542066u^{16} + 178084164u^{15} + 80660478u^{14} - 247871444u^{13} + 250578745u^{12} - 140527595u^{11} + 26915405u^{10} + 39541134u^9 - 57789935u^8 + 45389940u^7 - 18044877u^6 + 1878151u^5 + 2182861u^4 - 4751823u^3 + 2537833u^2 - 763680u - 242478 \) \\

\( h_0 \) & \( 2521u^{12} - 20048u^{11} + 60744u^{10} - 91716u^9 + 83912u^8 - 63144u^7 + 34806u^6 - 2528u^5 - 7736u^4 + 8844u^3 - 6008u^2 + 3336u - 855 + 120v(21u^5 - 64u^4 + 56u^3 - 21u^2 + 12u - 2) \) \\

\( h_{1728} \) & \(-7(25565u^{18} - 306744u^{17} + 1534692u^{16} - 4245086u^{15} + 7365924u^{14} - 8900868u^{13} + 8213555u^{12} - 5570496u^{11} + 1705848u^{10} + 1476740u^9 - 2966568u^8 + 3165576u^7 - 2550405u^6 + 1651128u^5 - 843804u^4 + 371866u^3 - 135612u^2 + 27324u - 4995) - 36v(4971u^{11} - 34804u^9 + 94260u^9 - 129897u^8 + 111568u^7 - 79254u^6 + 44433u^5 - 13360u^4 + 2248u^3 + 801u^2 - 748u + 258) \) \\

\( h_{0,71} \) & \( u^{24} + 224u^{23} - 1328u^{22} - 456u^{21} + 25936u^{20} - 115088u^{19} + 319068u^{18} - 714688u^{17} + 1376816u^{16} - 2256088u^{15} + 3130928u^{14} - 3727056u^{13} + 3886438u^{12} - 3629088u^{11} + 3051056u^{10} - 2289208u^9 + 1540528u^8 - 935728u^7 + 501596u^6 - 235776u^5 + 98512u^4 - 33576u^3 + 9936u^2 - 2160u + 225 \)
\[ h_{1728,71} = u^{36} - 528u^{35} - 4776u^{34} + 119188u^{33} - 836040u^{32} + 3354312u^{31} - 10180254u^{30} + 30268416u^{29} - 96122760u^{28} + 290870292u^{27} - 76564248u^{26} + 1718954424u^{25} - 3321068545u^{24} + 5612654496u^{23} - 8445198288u^{22} + 11482474344u^{21} - 14237874960u^{20} + 1617779856u^{19} - 1689710786u^{18} + 16278213312u^{17} - 14500065744u^{16} + 11961718792u^{15} - 9149462544u^{14} + 642567792u^{13} - 4273294193u^{12} + 2606941680u^{11} - 1470232968u^{10} + 76356396u^9 - 363853608u^8 + 157605480u^7 - 61336862u^6 + 21233088u^5 - 6376104u^4 + 1592676u^3 - 327240u^2 + 48600u^1 - 5103u^0 \]

\[ P_{71} = u^{71} - 71u^{70} + 2414u^{69} - 52327u^{68} + 812240u^{67} - 9613968u^{66} + 90223321u^{65} - 689157169u^{64} + 4364552115u^{63} - 23228729413u^{62} + 1049231181u^{61} - 405138280373u^{60} + 1343947848527u^{59} - 3841191816845u^{58} + 9464364765852u^{57} - 20052219750661u^{56} + 3629054778472u^{55} - 54817285755105u^{54} + 6621604725551u^{53} - 54867900326127u^{52} + 5131897859077u^{51} + 87504290135653u^{50} - 20513186553392u^{49} + 304702155703516u^{48} - 330646545417814u^{47} + 241209603962570u^{46} - 36287337331916u^{45} - 230395084854230u^{44} + 466024421705696u^{43} - 576967281819172u^{42} + 512373447321402u^{41} - 289840331821002u^{40} - 11142427766850u^{39} + 284518400252142u^{38} - 443144048889720u^{37} + 451855980915164u^{36} - 334009986053250u^{35} + 152367877270246u^{34} + 22513210292184u^{33} - 140033523896938u^{32} + 182582147217312u^{31} - 16223473592974u^{30} + 10713983908502u^{29} - 46332664858222u^{28} + 468390635342u^{27} + 25902000374138u^{26} - 32365758791872u^{25} + 27006964902986u^{24} - 17218530732347u^{23} + 810233630029u^{22} - 1930304898882u^{21} - 1147488305875u^{20} + 2020891913264u^{19} + 1753961304410u^{18} + 1136695427037u^{17} + 585283867605u^{16} + 230566737711u^{15} - 5385529273u^{14} - 11859874932u^{13} + 23635982289u^{12} - 17516526653u^{11} + 9450153463u^{10} - 408981964u^{9} + 1441975423u^{8} - 399596520u^{7} + 75190491u^{6} - 2486349u^{5} - 4854483u^{4} + 2263977u^{3} - 603855u^{2} + 9720u - 6750 \]

\[ Q_{71} = (h_{0,71})^3 \]

\[ a_{71} = \frac{1}{2} P_{71} \]
| $b_{71}$ | $(u-3)(u-2)(u-1)u(u+1)(u^2-5u+5)(u^2-3u+1)(u^2-2u-1)(u^2-u-1)(u^3-5u^2+5u-3)(u^3-4u^2-1)(u^3-2u^2-1)(u^4-6u^3+7u^2+6u-9)(u^4-5u^3+4u^2+u+3)(u^4-5u^3+6u^2-3u+5)(u^4-4u^3+u^2-4u+1)(u^4-4u^3+2u^2-u+1)(u^4-2u^3-3u^2-2u-1)(u^4-2u^3+u-1)(u^6-5u^5+8u^4-7u^3+6u^2-3u+1)(u^8-6u^7+9u^6-2u^5+2u^3-9u^2+2u-1) $ |
A.2  \(X_0(\ell)\) for \(\ell = 11, 17, 19\) as an elliptic curve

The modular curve \(X_0(\ell)\) for \(\ell = 11, 17, 19\) is an elliptic curve since the genus of \(X_0(\ell)\) is 1 for these \(\ell\). Thus there is a standard minimal Weierstrass model of the form (2.2), which we denote by \(E_\ell\). Also, \(X_0(\ell)\) has an equation of the form \(C_\ell: v^2 = f_\ell(u)\) where \(f_\ell(u)\) is a polynomial of degree 4 in \(u\). Note that both of two cusps of \(X_0(\ell)\) are put into the point at infinity of \(C_\ell\) (say “double infinity point” \(O^{\pm}\)). We present the tables below for the equations of \(E_\ell\) and \(X_0(\ell)\), explicit isomorphisms between them and \(\mathbb{Q}\)-rational points on \(C_\ell\) and \(E_\ell\).

Table A.11: \(\ell = 11\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equations of (E_{11}) and (X_0(11))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(C_{11}: v^2 = u^4 - 16u^3 + 2u^2 + 12u - 7 = (u + 1)(u^3 - 17u^2 + 19u - 7))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E_{11}: y^2 + y = x^3 - x^2 - 10x - 20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isomorphisms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(x = \frac{5u - 6}{u + 1}), (y = -\frac{u^2 + 2u + 11v + 1}{2(u + 1)^2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(u = -\frac{x + 6}{x - 5}), (v = -\frac{11(2y + 1)}{(x - 5)^2})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(\mathbb{Q})-rational points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(C_{11}(\mathbb{Q}) = {O^{\pm}, (-1, 0), (-2, 11), (-2, -11)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E_{11}(\mathbb{Q}) = {O, (5, 5), (16, -61), (16, 60), (5, -6)})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The cusps of \(X_0(11)\) correspond to \(O\) on \(C\) with multiplicity 2 and points \((5, 5), (5, -6)\) on \(E\).
Table A.12: $\ell = 17$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equations of $E_{17}$ and $X_0(17)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_{17}$: $v^2 = u^4 - 10u^3 - 3u^2 + 4u - 8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{17}$: $y^2 + xy + y = x^3 - x^2 - x - 14$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isomorphisms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x = \frac{1}{2}(u^2 - 5u + v)$, $y = \frac{1}{2}(u^3 - 8u^2 + uv + u - 3v)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u = \frac{3x + y}{x - 7}$, $v = \frac{2x^3 - 22x^2 - xy - y^2 - 7x - 35y}{(x - 7)^2}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\mathbb{Q}$-rational points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_{17}(\mathbb{Q}) = {O, (-\frac{3}{2}, \frac{17}{4}), (-\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{17}{4})}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{17}(\mathbb{Q}) = {O, (7, -21), (\frac{11}{4}, -\frac{15}{8}), (7, 13)}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The cusps of $X_0(17)$ correspond to $O$ on $C$ with multiplicity 2 and points $(7, -21), (7, 13)$ on $E$.

Table A.13: $\ell = 19$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equations of $E_{19}$ and $X_0(19)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_{19}$: $v^2 = u^4 - 8u^3 - 8u^2 + 20u - 8 = (u + 2)(u^3 - 10u^2 + 12u - 4)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{19}$: $y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 9x - 15$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isomorphisms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x = \frac{5u - 9}{u + 2}$, $y = \frac{u^2 + 4u + 19v + 4}{2(u + 2)^2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u = \frac{2x + 9}{x - 5}$, $v = \frac{19(2y + 1)}{(x - 5)^2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathbb{Q}$-rational points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$C_{19}(\mathbb{Q}) = \{O^\pm, (-2,0)\}$  
$E_{19}(\mathbb{Q}) = \{O, (5,-10), (5,9)\}$

The cusps of $X_0(19)$ correspond to $O$ on $C$ with multiplicity 2 and points $(5,-10), (5,9)$ on $E$. 
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