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ABSTRACT
Evidence points to the fact that the introduction of Social Networking Sites (SNS) features, into e-learning environments has been highly accepted by students, because of its benefits of improving the learning experience. Yet, not enough attention has been paid to what role learners’ profiles play in the use of social e-learning environments, which does not match the importance of profiles in SNS. This paper presents how profiles are implemented in the second version of Topolor, a social personalised adaptive e-learning environment (SPAEE), and learners’ perceived acceptance of the design and the implementation. To complement the findings, a case study is conducted to analyse the profile-related features of Topolor, which illustrates a generally high level of learner acceptance of these features. The analysis is finally concluded to suggest future research directions, in order to further analyse and improve these features.

Categories and Subject Descriptors
H.5.3 [Information Interfaces and Presentation]: Group and Organization Interfaces – Web-based interaction.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Social Networking Sites (SNS) are web-based services that allow users to construct public or semi-public profiles within a bounded system, articulate a list of other users with whom they share a connection, and view and traverse their list of connections and those made by others within the system [13]. Diverse technical features have been implemented in SNS, but the backbone is always based on profiles for self-presenting, and the visible connections among users within the system. As a central component of SNS, the profile a user is constructing is the staging of oneself for a particular audience or a particular task [20], which influences SNS-based relationships and interactions among users.

Learning is intrinsically a social endeavour [34]. The social facets of learning have been described in various theoretical frameworks to explain how people learn [9]. A growing number of researchers have been working on facilitating e-learning environments by introducing a social dimension [29]. For instance, there is a great deal of research on social interaction tools for e-learning [27, 33], learning behaviour analysis [31] and open social student modelling [16]. The in-progress results have indicated that users tend to be more motivated towards contributing to creating effective learning environment and enriching learning experiences in SNS-based e-learning [9]. Yet, further research needs to be performed to find the appropriate balance of features necessary in such environments.

While social interaction features drew on SNS have become widely accepted and heavily embedded in e-learning systems [8, 25], not enough attention has been paid to what role learners’ profiles play in the use of social e-learning environments, which does not match the importance of profiles in SNS. This study, therefore, aims to fill this void by investigating learners’ perceived acceptance of the use of profile-related features in a social personalised adaptive e-learning environment (SPAEE).

Firstly, we introduce the second version of Topolor, a prototype of SPAEE, focusing on those profile-related features. We then present the pedagogical aspects of the design and implementation, and an experimental case study on the evaluation of the profile-related features. Finally, we draw the conclusion and suggest future research directions and further improvements.

2. RELATED WORK
Connectivism argues that learning is the process of building networks of information, contacts, and resources that are applied to real problems [11]. Connectivist learning builds and maintains networked connections between people, digital artefacts, and content those are current and flexible enough to be applied to existing and emergent problems [2]. Connectivist pedagogy emphasises that learners are benefited from social activities such as sharing, comments and the insights of other past and current learners’ contributions and the knowledge relevant to the learning goals [2]. One of the essential characteristics of connectivist pedagogy is the need to gain high levels of skills using personal learning networks that provide ubiquitous access to infinite resources including learning materials and learning peers; the other one is the focus on the creation of information and knowledge resources [11]. In addition, information overload (IO) is frequently reported as one of the main problems that learners encounter in e-learning, yet connectivism assumes that although information is plentiful, it is not necessary to memorise or even understand everything, but to have the capacity to find, filter and apply knowledge when and where it is needed [1].

These studies suggest the necessity of designing intelligent social e-learning environments that support learners to build and maintain personal learning resource networks with the help of smart tools for creating and accessing knowledge and connecting to their learning peers, in an adaptive manner. Efficient and effective connectivist learning needs learners’ capability of
publishing, sharing, finding, filtering, sorting, commenting, rating, etc., of the learning resources in the connected networks. These competencies demand high-usability tools, while on the other hand, these tools need to be adaptable and adaptable in order to cater for learners' personal needs, including their learning goals, knowledge background, preferences, skill levels of using such tools and so on.

In parallel with the research on learning environment that makes use of connectivist pedagogy, adaptive educational hypermedia (AEH) [3] systems, as another branch of educational platform research, focus on utilising adaptive hypermedia [4] techniques to tailor e-learning according to individual learner’s needs. Adaptation involves the definition and continual maintenance of a user model. AEH systems use such a user model to decide how to personalise the e-learning environment, according to a range of characteristics, taking into account aspects such as learning goals, background knowledge and preferences [21]. Moreover, an AEH system continually refines the user model according to the learner’s interactions within the system. These intelligent properties of AEH systems, on the one hand, optimise the knowledge network to support adaptive and personalised learning content and learning path, and on the other hand, optimise the tools to access the connected knowledge network so as to provide context-aware user interfaces and interaction methods [22].

Drawing lessons from both connectivist learning and AEH, social personalised adaptive e-learning environments (SAPAE) [23] have been proposed as novel SNS-based learning environments offering creative opportunities for improving learning experience. Several studies on the granularity of social interactions and how it can be supported by adaptations have been conducted, towards the ultimate goal of better learning experience, such as system architecture design [28], usage of the social interaction toolset [27], and learning behaviour patterns analysis [31]. The discussions and results from these studies have shown learners’ high interest and high satisfaction in using such learning environments as well as the further directions to improve them. For instance, Shi, et al. have proposed three ‘light gamification’ mechanisms [30] to reduce the ‘side effect’ brought by the wide access to social interaction features, such as learners’ abuse of such features for unrelated chatting, rather than for learning purposes only, which might lower the learning efficiency. Building on this, the research reported in this paper describes a novel investigation of the critical role that learners’ profiles play in SAPAE, which has not been addressed almost at all by the existing research.

3. TOPOLOR – A SAPAE PROTOTYPE

Topolor is a social personalised adaptive personalised e-learning environment (SAPAE) prototype developed at the University of Warwick. It is implemented based on the requirement analysis studies [26], and built on Yii Framework (yiiframework.com) and Bootstrap (getbootstrap.com). The first version of Topolor was launched in November 2012, and has been used as an online learning environment for MSc level students at the University of Warwick. It has been evaluated from various perspectives [24]. Based on these prior evaluation results, the second version of Topolor has been designed and implemented. In the following sub-sections, first, we briefly introduce main features of the second version of Topolor, and then we present more details about the profile-related features.

3.1 Overview of the 2nd Version of Topolor

Comparing with the first version, the second version of Topolor has more powerful tools for asking, sharing and filtering learning content as well as social interactions. As shown in Figure 1 (a), it has finer categories especially for sharing (Figure 1 (1)), i.e., a text (Figure 1 (1.2)), an image (Figure 1 (1.3)), a quote (Figure 1 (1.4)), a link (Figure 1 (1.5)), an audio (Figure 1 (1.6)) and a video (Figure 1 (1.7)), while in the first version, learners can only share a ‘learning status’ in a text format. Learners can specify related topics when they share a learning resource (Figure 1 (1.2) – (1.7)) or ask a question (Figure 1 (1.1)). It has also finer filters (Figure 1 (2)), i.e., only showing questions (Figure 1 (2.2)), learning resources (Figure 1 (2.3)), learning activities (Figure 1 (2.4)), those the learner bookmarked (Figure 1 (2.5)), those the learner participated (Figure 2 (2.6)), those the learner shared (Figure 1 (2.7)) and those are featured (Figure 1 (2.8)), while in the first version, learning resources, for instance, can only be filtered by their tags. In such way, the recommendations of learning resources and peers are more personalised and have more effective adaptability.

![Figure 1 User Interface: (a) The Home Page (b) A Topic Page](image-url)

Another difference is in the topic page, as shown in Figure 1 (b). The second version of Topolor provides graphical and interactive pop-up views of learners’ performance (e.g., quiz scores) and contribution (e.g., the number of questions answered), supported by the newly introduced open social student modelling [16] component. The interactive visualisation of performance and contribution allows learners to operate multi-context comparisons (i.e., in the context of a specific course or a specific topic) as well as multi-group comparisons (i.e., compare to another learner, top 20% learners, or all other learners). It also provides various lists of related entities such as resources, questions, learning peers and comments, as well as various sorters and filters, such as showing the questions sorted by votes or the number of answers to a question, in order to more deeply analyse social navigation support mechanisms with finer adaptivity and adaptability.
3.2 The Profile-Related Features

One of the major milestone-updates in the second version of Topolor, which is the focus of this paper, is that it provides profile pages as another information and interaction ‘hub’. This leads to various new features including social interaction and user model visualisation. In the following, we sketch up the main profile-related features.

There are several ways of accessing the learners’ profiles. As shown in Figure 2, for instance, by clicking on a learner’s avatar on the home page, a pop-up view appears, with some statistics of learning status, the shortcuts to send a message and to go to the learner’s profile page (Figure 2 (a)). In a shared question or learning resource page, the webpage will be directed to the author’s (learner’s) profile page, by clicking on his avatar or name in the author information panel (Figure 2 (b)). In any page, a learner can always go to his own profile page, by clicking on ‘My Profile’ in the dropdown menu (appears when clicking on his avatar on the top menu bar) (Figure 2 (c)). On a profile page, there is a set of options for a learner to interact with the profile page’s owner such as commenting on his/her activity logs, liking a question s/he asked, bookmarking a video s/he shared.

Learners’ user models are visualised in various ways. Figure 3 (a) shows a profile page presented in a smart phone client-side, presenting the information about the profile’s owner, such as the resources recently shared, the questions recently asked, the questions recently answered, the topics currently learning, and so on. By clicking on the button ‘PK.’ (‘Player Killer’, naming convention taken from games), a pop-up view shows (Figure 3 (c)), comparing the performance and contribution between its current viewer and the profile page owner. Figure 3 (b) shows the Activities sub-view in a profile page, presenting the actions the profile’s owner performed, which other learners can ‘like’ and comment on. This can capture learner motivation by arousing competitive instincts [17].

4. PEDAGOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Both technical and pedagogical aspects determine the success of the e-learning implementations. Pedagogy is “the knowledge and skills that practitioners of the profession of teaching employ in performing their duties of facilitating desired learning in others”, as defined by Dunkin [12]. The pedagogy in e-learning incorporates this definition but goes beyond it, taking into consideration the instructional strategies for real-time learning resources personalisation and access adaptivity and adaptability.

4.1 Social Constructivism

E-learning systems can support and improve highly effective interactions between learners based on social constructivist learning theory [15], which argues that learners can begin to grasp concepts and knowledge on their own, with the help from learning peers who are more advanced in understanding those concepts. On a profile page (Figure 3 (a)), learners can communicate instantly with one another, and contribute to discussions in-groups. For example, a learner can click on the button ‘send a message’, and then a pop-up view will show up, so that s/he can write to the profile’s owner. S/he can also discuss with the profile owner about the questions that the profile owner posted before. These features are able to foster collaborative learning that enables learners to acquire a deeper knowledge as well as experience a higher level of motivation [18].

4.2 Competitive Learning

Competitive learning can lead to a greater engagement of learners by arousing their competitive instincts [17], thereby capturing learners’ interest and increasing motivation, satisfaction and fun [5]. Many studies have been investigating the effects of competitive forms of learning, and indicated the benefits, such as the increase in performance, enjoyment, and motivation [14]. In Topolor, a profile page (Figure 3 (a)) enables a learner to check the comparison of performance and contribution between oneself and the profile owner (Figure 3 (c)), in order to compete with each other. Besides, competition is a common element of games, and the use of games to promote effective learning has been widely studied [7]. To take advantage of competitive learning, Topolor has also gamified some elements of user interface. For instance, in a profile page (Figure 3 (a)), when a learner click on the button ‘PK.’ (a typical label element in games), a comparison panel pop-ups, the bar charts appear with a growing animation (Figure 3 (c)).

4.3 Self-Regulated Learning

Self-regulated learning is a self-directive process where learners transform their mental abilities such as self-generated thoughts, feelings and behaviours oriented towards attaining goals into academic skills [35]. Topolor supports a learner to be aware of her strengths, weaknesses, limitations and opportunities, by providing statistics of learning status such as the number of topics learnt, line chart of the quiz/test score trend, doughnut chart and bar chats.
of comparison with learning peers, tree chart of topic completion rate in a course and so on. With these functionalities, learners are able to monitor and evaluate their own behaviours in terms of learning goals and achievement, so as to increase effectiveness, satisfaction, and motivation to continue learning.

4.4 Adaptive Learning

Adaptive learning uses techniques to interpret the activities of learners on the basis of domain-specific models, infers learner needs out of the interpreted activities, represents the needs in associated models appropriately, and acts upon the available knowledge on the learners and the subject matter at hand, in order to dynamically facilitate the learning process [19]. While the implementation of pedagogical theories of social constructivism, competitive learning and self-regulated learning have the potential to improve the learning experience, adaptive learning undoubtedly has the ability to enhance this potential. For example, it supports peer recommendation (for a learner to discuss with the most suitable peers); it can recommend adaptive tasks, when a learner finds her/his weakness and limitations via comparison with other peers’ performance. A personalised e-learning environment with adaptive learning resources can more easily raise learners’ interest, and therefore improve the learning experience.

5. A CASE STUDY

The second version of Topolor is being evaluated from various perspectives such as motivation mechanisms, gamification, adaptation strategies and social interaction influences. To isolate research variables, this paper focuses exclusively on studying the profile-related features, based on a case study of the usage of those features, with a survey that investigates learners’ perceived acceptance of the design and the implementation of those features. This case study was comprised of three consecutive phases: 1) the experiment of using Topolor, 2) the questionnaire survey about users’ perceived usefulness and ease of use, and 3) the analysis of the questionnaire results and some qualitative feedback from the learners. In the following, we detail the methodology and the experiment process, and report on the results.

5.1 Instruments and Measurements

Learners’ perception on technology is among the determining factors for successful e-learning environments. Technology acceptance model (TAM) [10] incorporating learners’ perception on the technology’s usefulness and ease of use has the ability to investigate learners’ intention to use a system as a result of a group of perceived qualities, hence interpreting learners’ desired outcomes and motivation. Learning environments that are useful and easy to use are expected to facilitate learner satisfaction.

6. DISCUSSION

In addition to the questionnaire survey results collected from the students, we also received some qualitative feedback on the profile-related features from both students and the lecturer. The general feedback was consistent with the quantitative results, but the responses also contained some specific suggestions for further improvement for some evaluated features that ranked relatively lower (whilst above average, i.e., mean ≥ 3) in terms of usefulness and ease of use. Due to the space limitation, this section focuses on the quantitative results from the questionnaire survey, but some qualitative feedback is discussed when appropriate.

Overall, the results show that 91.3% (21 out of 23) of the profile-related features have been rated by the students as useful, and 100% (all the 23) as easy to use (i.e., average mean ≥ 4).
Consistently with the questionnaire, qualitative feedback included a description of the profile-related features, as “it is very useful to compare what I have done to what others have done”. Another student said, “There are lots of different ways to interact with the user when I am on his profile page”. Besides, the lecturer complimented on the ‘alternative paths’ to access the same material. In the following, the discussions focus on the highest and the lowest rated features of the two categories, i.e., social interaction and user model visualisation, separately.

### Table 2. Usefulness and ease of use of profile-related features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Usefulness</th>
<th>Ease of Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social Interaction Features</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01 Answering a question</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 Bookmarking a question</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03 Bookmarking a resource</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 Checking my messages</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05 Commenting on activity logs</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06 Liking / disliking a question</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 Liking / disliking a resource</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08 Liking an activity log entry</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09 Replying to a message</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Messaging on a profile page</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Messaging on a message page</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Commenting on a resource</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>User Model Visualisation Features</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Checking my contribution</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Checking my performance</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Checking my statistic data</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Listing the activity log</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Listing courses I am learning</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Listing topics I am learning</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Listing the topics I learned</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Listing questions I answered</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Listing questions I asked</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Listing resources I shared</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Comparing me with others</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6.1 Social Interaction

As shown in table 2, in the category of social interaction, the usefulness of feature (03) bookmarking a resource and feature (04) checking my messages were ranked the highest (both mean = 4.6). Similar responses were found from the qualitative feedback, where the students mentioned the necessity of collecting and arranging the learning materials they were interested in, as well as communicating with each other. In terms of ease of use, the highest rated features including (03) bookmarking a resource and (07) liking / disliking a resource, were both scored as mean = 4.6, and the lowest rated feature is (09) replying to a message, scored as mean = 4.2. All the features categorised as social interaction were marked as easy to use (mean ≥ 4), indicating high-perceived acceptance of these features from ease of use point of view.

However, the usefulness of feature (12) writing a comment on a resource was ranked the lowest (mean = 3.9, whilst still above average i.e. mean = 3) among the features categorised as social interaction. It is conjectured that, for one thing, before the students started learning the lesson ‘Collaborative Filtering’ using Topolor, the lecturer had already posted at least one resource (either a text, an image, a link, a quote, an audio or a video) for each topic of the lesson (a lesson consists of several tree-structured topics), and these resources were all high-quality learning content, which might make the students think that their supplement would be unnecessary. For another, Topolor provides mutual-rating mechanisms, e.g., learners can rate (like or dislike) each other’s questions, answers and comments, aiming at improving the quality of UGC i.e. user generated content, but on the other hand, it might cause the students to feel less confident in creating content including writing a comment. Hence, it is necessary to seek mechanisms for keeping the balance between producing more content and maintaining its high-quality.

### 6.2 User Model Visualisation

Table 2 also shows that the usefulness of feature (15) checking my statistic data and feature (21) listing the questions I recently asked were both ranked the highest (mean = 4.7) among all the user model visualisation features. This result is further supported by the qualitative feedbacks. For instance, one student explicitly said it was very useful to see the overview of what learners had been doing. Similarly to those social interaction features, all the user model visualisation features were rated as easy to use i.e. mean ≥ 4, which allows us to conclude high-perceived acceptance of these features from the point of view of ease of use.

The rating for (16) listing the activity log was, whilst high, the lowest (mean = 3.6) with regards to its usefulness. The possible reason is that, as shown in Figure 3 (b), although the activity log demonstrates which topic it is related to, it does not provide further suggestions for what a learner should do accordingly. Therefore, it might be better if an activity log provides a button or a link to click on, as a recommended action to perform.

### 7. Conclusions and Future Work

This study investigates the critical role that profiles play in social e-learning by investigating learners’ perceived acceptance of the design and the implementation of the profile-related features in a prototype of social personalised adaptive e-learning environment (SPAAE), the second version of Topolor. We have described the main updated features of Topolor, focusing on those profile-related ones. Besides the technical aspects, we have also explained the pedagogical considerations, which reveal some design principles for profiles in social e-learning. An initial case study has then been presented for reporting on the evaluation.
results of the profile-related features’ usefulness and ease of use from end-user point of view, which illustrates a generally high level of learner acceptance of the design and the implementation. The main limitation of this pilot investigation is the low number of participants, although the Cronbach’s Alpha suggests a high level of reliability of the results. However, the second version of Topolor has already been opened to the public (http://www.topolor.com), thus a larger cohort of users are expected in the near future, providing opportunities for collecting feedback, usage data and suggestions for the follow-up research. In addition to the larger-scale investigation, several other perspectives of evaluation are on our agenda, such as whether users feel in control in their interactions with profile-related features, how profile pages lead learners to access various recommended learning resources and to communicate with their learning peers and so on, for further improvements.
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