A NOVEL COLLAGEN GEL-BASED MEASUREMENT TECHNIQUE FOR QUANTITATION OF CELL CONTRACTION FORCE
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Abstract

Cell contraction force plays an important role in wound healing, inflammation, angiogenesis and metastasis. The present study describes a novel method to quantify single cell contraction force in vitro using human aortic adventitial fibroblasts embedded in a collagen gel. The technique is based on a depth sensing nano-indentation tester to measure the thickness and elasticity of collagen gels containing stimulated fibroblasts and a microscopy imaging system to estimate the gel area. In parallel, a simple theoretical model has been developed to calculate cell contraction force based on the measured parameters. Histamine (100 µM) was used to stimulate fibroblast contraction while the myosin light chain kinase inhibitor ML-7 (25 µM) was used to inhibit cell contraction. The collagen matrix used in the model provides a physiological environment for fibroblast contraction studies. Measurement of changes in collagen gel elasticity and thickness arising from histamine treatments provides a novel convenient technique to measure cell contraction force within a collagen matrix. This study demonstrates that histamine can elicit a significant increase in contraction force of fibroblasts embedded in collagen, while the Young’s modulus of the gel decreases due to the gel degradation.
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1. Introduction

The collagen contraction assay was firstly reported by Bell et al [1] and has been described as fibroblast-populated collagen lattice (FPCL). The mechanism for fibroblast contraction is mediated by the control of myosin light chain (MLC) phosphorylation status through the action of MLC kinase (MLCK) [2]. As reviewed by Dallon and Ehrlich [3], raised intracellular calcium levels leads to calmodulin dependent MLCK activation, which results in MLC phosphorylation and actin-myosin crossbridge formation and filament sliding.

Human aortic adventitial fibroblasts (HAoAF) are resident in the adventitia of the aorta and they have been employed in experimental models of arterial remodelling and restenosis [4]. Histamine is an agonist that elicits increases in intracellular calcium and is released by basophils and mast cells during inflammatory responses. It acts on cells via a family of G protein-coupled histamine receptors, histamine receptor H1 through H4. Several studies have shown that human fibroblasts express H1 receptor and can be activated via histamine binding, resulting in phospholipase C activation and production of inositol triphosphates (IP$_3$) and diacylglycerol (DAG) [5, 6]. The increase of IP$_3$ causes calcium release from the internal stores and consequently triggers fibroblast contraction via activation of MLCK [7].

Several approaches have been developed to measure cell contraction forces in vitro; among these, collagen gel contraction assay (CGCA) and traction force microscopy (TFM) are the most common techniques. For the both methods, cell contraction forces are measured indirectly based on measurement of deformation of the matrix surrounding the cells, such as a cell-embedded collagen gel or cell-seeded polymer substrate. However, the CGCA technique is used for the measurement of forces in populations of cells, while TFM is more suited for the measurement of forces in single cells.

When CGCA is used for assessing contraction forces, cells are embedded within the collagen matrix, which provides cells with a physiological environment. Collagen is a key component of the extracellular matrix that facilitates cell migration and contraction [8]. Cell embedded collagen gels are easily constructed and the measurement of their contraction is straightforward. Conventional techniques used to measure collagen gel contraction rely on imaging only the gel area from a top-view. The estimation of contraction is often expressed by the area changes of the gels between the beginning and end of the treatment or cell.
contraction period [9, 10]. To improve the measurement of cell contraction kinetics for time-dependent changes, time-lapse-video-based imaging techniques have been developed to record changes in gel areas continuously while the cells contract [11]. Although the versatility of the CGCA method facilitates general use, it does not provide quantification of cellular contraction forces, since the mechanical properties of the collagen matrix will dictate the measurement accuracy of the cellular contraction forces. The elasticity of the disk-shaped collagen gel can be influenced by the cell treatments and the same amount of gel radius shrinkage may result from very different cellular contraction forces. Moreover, in the normal physiological environment, cells do not merely contract in a two-dimensional manner, therefore measuring the radius of gel disk only from the top view will not provide an accurate assessment of the magnitude of cellular contraction.

Alternatively, TFM was developed to measure single cell contraction forces by observing the underlying elastic polymer substrate (e.g. polyacrylamide gel) embedded with multiple fluorescence microbeads [12-14]. A number of mathematical models have been developed to facilitate the estimation of contraction force based on the relative motion of the beads. However, the stiffness of the gel substrate can be altered by cell differentiation and biochemical treatments during the measurement and this may affect the accuracy of the force measurement. More importantly, it is more technically challenging to obtain results using the TFM method and measurements do not provide a true physiological model because the assessment of cellular contraction based on a 2-D surface, instead of a 3-D gel matrix.

To improve the accuracy of CGCA force measurements, a culture force monitor (CFM) system was developed by attaching strain gauges at the edges of a cell-embedded collagen gel to directly assess cell contraction [15-20]. Cellular contraction force can be measured continuously without influence of the elastic variation of collagen gel. Single cell contraction force can thus be approximately estimated by the measured force divided by the total number of the embedded cells. However, the measurement was only limited to a random uniaxial stress as the strain gauges could only measure the contraction in single directions.

Having taken into account the variation in elasticity of the collagen matrix, we re-designed the collagen gel based assay technique to quantify cell contraction forces with a significantly higher degree of accuracy. The method essentially correlates the relationship between gel deformation and embedded cell contraction force. The elastic modulus and thickness of each collagen gel were routinely quantified by a bespoke nano-indentation tester and the data were used as input parameters for a simple mathematical model that correlates cell contraction force with gel properties, including radius, thickness, and elasticity, which varied throughout
the different treatments. The single cell contraction force can also be determined providing that total cell number embedded in the gels are known. In this study, we have also applied the new gel-based sensing technique to investigate the contraction force of HAoAF treated with the agonist histamine or following co-treatment with ML-7, a well-established inhibitor of MLCK, to attenuate fibroblast contraction.
2. Material and Methods

2.1 Cell culture

Cryopreserved human aortic adventitial fibroblasts (HAoAF) were purchased from PromoCell GmbH at passage 2. Cells were cultured at 37 °C in a 95% air/5% CO₂ atmosphere using phenol red-free Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with fetal calf serum (FCS, 10%), penicillin (100 IU mL⁻¹), streptomycin (100µg mL⁻¹) and L-Glutamine (2mM). Cells at passage 7 to 9 were used in all experiments.

2.2 Collagen Gel Contraction Assay

2.2.1 Collagen Gel Assay

Confluent HAoAF cultures were detached from flasks using trypsin/EDTA and cell number determined using a haemocytometer. After centrifugation, cells were re-suspended in DMEM containing 10% FCS at densities of between 0.5×10⁶ and 2.5×10⁶ cells mL⁻¹ and mixed with culture medium containing collagen I on ice at a ratio of 1:9, resulting in the final DMEM containing 1.76 mg mL⁻¹ collagen I, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 IU ml⁻¹ penicillin, 100 µg mL⁻¹ streptomycin and 5% FCS. The cell suspension was transferred into 35mm petri dishes (1.2 mL dish⁻¹, achieving cell densities between 0.06×10⁶ and 0.3×10⁶ cells gel⁻¹) and incubated in a cell culture incubator for 20 min to polymerise the gel before addition of a further 1.5 mL DMEM containing 5% FCS. After incubation for 16h, the culture medium was replaced with fresh DMEM containing 5% FCS and collagen gels were dislodged from the edge of the dish using a sterile spatula. Gel thickness and elasticity measurements were conducted 48h later. In experiments to address the effects of histamine on cell contraction, fibroblasts were re-suspended in DMEM containing 10% FCS at a density of 1.8×10⁶ cells ml⁻¹ (achieving a cell density of 0.216 ×10⁶ cells gel⁻¹) and incubated for 16h before treatment of cells in the presence or absence of the myosin light chain kinase inhibitor ML-7 (25 µM) for 30 min. Some dishes were then stimulated with the agonist histamine (100µM) to elicit cell contraction. Collagen gels were then immediately dislodged from the dish using a sterile spatula with gel thickness and elasticity measurements conducted 5h later.

2.2.2 Experimental Measurements

A depth-sensing nano-indentation tester was developed to quantitatively measure the thickness and Young's modulus of the cell-embedded collagen gel, which were used as input
parameters to estimate contraction force (see Section 2.3.2). As shown in the Figure 1, the system is mainly comprised of a force transducer (406A, Aurora Scientific Inc.) attached with a cylindrical flat punch or indenter (ca 1 mm in diameter), Z-axis step motor linear stage (UTS 100CC with ESP301 Motion Controller, Newport), XY-axis stage (ProScan III, Prior Scientific) and a temperature control heating plate (Temp. Control, iBidi). All components are mounted on an inverted microscope (TE2000-S, Nikon) and controlled by Labview software (National Instrument). The system has ultimate force and displacement resolutions of 10 nN and 100 nm respectively. Force transducer senses the force via the cylindrical indenter. Mechanical properties of collagen gels were measured by the detailed system. Nanoindentation of collagen gel was performed at controlled indentation speed of 40 µm sec\(^{-1}\). Force and displacement were recorded simultaneously during the loading/unloading indentation cycles. When the thickness and elasticity were measured, collagen gel was plated in the 35mm petri dishes with no culturing medium on the heating plate at 37 °C. The whole system was placed on an anti-vibration table (Wentworth Laboratories Ltd.). Ten random positions were picked and measured to generate ten different Force-Displacement (F-D) curves for analysis. The thickness of the gel was measured based on the displacement difference between the gel’s top surface and the petri dish surface, while the gel elasticity was measured based on the analysis of the indentation F-D curves (see section 2.3.1).

In parallel, a computerised CCD-enhanced camera (ORCA-ER, Hamamatsu) has been used to measure the radius of the disk-shaped hydrogel, from the vertical top view, and the radius change before and after cell contraction can be determined by referencing the culturing area of petri dishes. The measurement accuracy of the radius was up to 1 µm.
2.3 Theoretical Analysis

2.3.1 Young’s Modulus of Collagen gel

The Young’s Modulus of collagen gel is modelled by employing non-linear strain dependent elasticity [21]:

\[ E = E_0 \left( 1 - \varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{3} \right) \left( 1 - \varepsilon \right)^2 \]

(2.1)

where \( E_0 \) is the Young’s Modulus at strain \( \varepsilon = 0 \). To estimate the Young’s modulus, it is necessary to find a suitable connection between \( F-D \) curve and the modulus. When a cylindrical punch indenting a flat elastic substrate (collagen gel), a linear relationship derived from Hertz contact theory can be express as [22]:

\[ E^s = \frac{F}{2rD} \]

(2.2)

where \( E^s \) is reduced modulus of collagen gel, \( r \) is the radius of cylindrical indenter, \( D \) is the displacement or depth of indenter into the collagen gel surface, and \( F \) is the force measured by the force transducer. For two elastic bodies in contact, the reduced modulus can be also described as following according to Hertz contact theory [23]:

\[ E^s = \left[ \frac{1-v^2}{E} + \frac{1-v_i^2}{E_i} \right]^{-1} \]

(2.3)

where \( v \) is the Poisson’s ratio and \( E \) is the Young’s modulus of indented collagen gel. The subscript \( i \) refers to the properties of cylindrical indenter. Hydrogel can normally considered as an incompressible material, i.e., \( v = 1/2 \), and the indenter is regarded as perfectly rigid, i.e., \( E_i = \infty \), and thus the (2. 3) can be simplified as following:

\[ E^s = \frac{4E}{3} \]

(2.4)
Combining (2.1), (2.2) and (2.4), the following equation can be derived:

\[
F(\varepsilon) = E_0 \cdot \frac{8\pi D}{3} \cdot \left(\varepsilon - \varepsilon^3 + \frac{\varepsilon^3}{3} \right)
\]

For the strain $\varepsilon$ can be approximately calculated as the ratio of the displacement of indenter to the measured thickness of the collagen gel, $H$, i.e., $\varepsilon = \frac{D}{H}$. Hence, by using non-linear least square regression to fit $F(\varepsilon)$ with $D$ based on the Equation (2.5), the Young’s modulus $E_0$ of collagen gel can be estimated from each measured $F-D$ curve.

### 2.3.2 Contraction Force

A simple theoretical model has been developed to estimate cell contraction force based on the force balance between cell contraction and gel deformation. As shown in Figure 2, thickness ($h_i$ and $h_f$) and radius ($r_i$ and $r_f$) of a disk-shape collagen gel at the beginning and end of contraction. The Young’s modulus $E_0$ of collagen gel is described by the linear elastic mechanics as:

\[
E_0 = \frac{d\sigma}{d\varepsilon}
\]

where $d\sigma$ and $d\varepsilon$ represent stress and strain respectively, generated by cell contraction to the gel. During the entire contraction process, the contraction force acts perpendicularly on the circumference surface which area can be expressed as $2\pi r \cdot h$. Hence the stress $d\sigma$ can be calculated by radial contraction force $dF$ per unit area as the equation:

\[
d\sigma = \frac{dF}{2\pi r \cdot h}
\]

where $r$ and $h$ are the immediate radius and thickness of collagen gel. Correspondingly, the strain $d\varepsilon$ can be expressed as the deformation of collagen gel at radial direction.

\[
d\varepsilon = \frac{dr}{r}
\]

Hence, combing the equation (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8), Young’s modulus $E_0$ can be expressed as:
Here, the average thickness can be expressed as \( h = \frac{h_0 + h_2}{2} \) if the gel thickness change is approximately linear during the contraction process. After rearranging the equation (2.9) with integration, the final overall contraction force therefore can be presented as:

\[
F = \int_{r_2}^{r_0} dF = \int_{r_2}^{r_0} \pi E_0 (h_0 + h_2) dr = \pi E_0 (h_0 + h_2) (r_0 - r_2)
\]

(2.10)

The model simply correlates the overall cell contraction force \( F \) with the measured material parameters, including Young’s modulus, thickness, and radius of collagen gel. Single cell contraction force is approximately calculated as the overall contraction force divided by the counted cell numbers.
3. Result

3.1 Young’s Modulus of Collagen Gel

Typical indentation curves and their corresponding non-linear fitting curves are represented in figure 3a. First 25% of indentation depth to the gel thickness (i.e. strain up to 0.25) is selected to estimate Young’s Modulus of collagen based on the minimum least square fitting of the equation (2.5) with the experimental force-strain data. Figure 3b shows the Young’s modulus for HAoAF-embedded collagen gels for controlled gel and treated gel at the cell density of 0.216×10⁶ (cells gel⁻¹). After the treatment with histamine, the Young’s modulus of collagen gels were decreased by 41.2% compared with the untreated ones, while treatment with ML-7 shows the inhibitory effect of decreasing elasticity (ca 59.3%).

3.2 HAoAF Contraction Force after Histamine and ML-7 Treatment

The images shown in Figure 4 (B) and (C) demonstrate the shrinkage of the HAoAF-embedded collagen gels treated by histamine with/without ML-7 compared with the untreated gel (Figure 4(A)). The shaken gel sizes/radii can be calculated by counting the pixels of the gel images referencing the culturing area of petri dishes. By substituting the measured radius, Young’s modulus and thickness of collagen gels into the equation (2.10), HAoAF contraction force can then be estimated. Figure 5 shows that when cells were treated with histamine (100 µM), there was a nearly three-fold increase of contraction force from 0.3 ± 0.04 to 0.89 ± 0.02 mN of overall contraction force. At the meantime, single cells contraction forces were calculated by the overall contraction force divided with the total number of cells embedded into collagen gel. The single cell contraction force correspondingly increases from 1.41 ± 0.4 nN to 4.15 ± 0.5 nN when histamine was added. The myosin light chain kinase inhibitor ML-7 (25 µM) attenuated the increase of contraction force by 60%.

3.3 Cell density effect on contraction force

In the study, a constant volume of collagen gel was embedded with different cell numbers to examine whether cell density could potentially have an influence on single cell contraction force. As shown in Figure 6, the gel contraction forces determined for three different cell densities, i.e., 0.06, 0.12 and 0.3×10⁶ (cells gel⁻¹), have been measured after 48 hours. The result shows that the overall contraction force calculated as determined by linear regression increases in a linear manner with the seeding densities of fibroblasts. As a result, the cell
densities within the gels can be assumed to have no significant influence on the single cell contraction force measurements.
4. Discussion

This study describes a novel method to assess cellular contraction force *in vitro* using a collagen gel-based sensing technique to demonstrate cell contraction force changes in fibroblasts treated with the agonist histamine, which increases intracellular calcium to elicit contraction, and attenuated by the MLCK inhibitor ML-7. It is worth highlighting that conventional collagen gel contraction assays have been mainly based on the observation of the change of cell-embedded collagen gel area [24], although a few studies have included measurement of the gel thickness [25]. However, the Young’s modulus of the gel should be regarded as one of the key parameters required to estimate the cell contraction force, as described mathematically by the equation (2.10). The result (Figure 3 & 5) demonstrates the significant increase in cell contraction force after histamine treatment, despite the Young’s modulus of collagen gel decreasing. Since the treatment conditions or state of cell differentiation may alter the gel elastic modulus, careful characterisation of the gel elasticity before and after the culturing period are critical to accurately determine the cell contraction forces.

A significant increase in single cell contraction force by histamine treatment has been demonstrated using this novel technique by combining nano-indentation with mathematical modelling of collagen gel contraction. As reported in the literature [7], histamine can elicit an extensive contraction of cells as measured using CGCA. However, adding ML-7, a MLCK inhibitor, to the collagen gel can significantly attenuate the cellular contraction elicited by histamine, confirming that the fibroblast contraction was mediated via MLC phosphorylation [26]. Overall, the order of magnitude of cell contraction forces measured using this novel technique were comparable to those values determined by other methods, e.g., CFM [20, 27].

As shown in Figure 6, single cell contraction force was demonstrated to be cell-density independent. As the histamine treated collagen gels were only allowed to contract for 5 hours before the measurement, the cell proliferation during this period was negligible and cell numbers can be reasonably assumed to remain constant throughout the measurements. Single cell contraction force can be therefore calculated by overall contraction force divided by known initial cell numbers. However, for longer-term measurement (more than 24 hours) in the cell-density contraction experiments, it was noticeable that cells were aligned on the bottom of the petri dishes. This implies that there may be a potential cell-number loss and the
collagen gel liquid content may be reduced [1]. Moreover, cell proliferation rate during long-term treatment could cause a significant increase in cell number within the gels. Assessment of total DNA content would be a possible way to verify the final cell numbers within the gels remained constant at the end of assay.

Nevertheless, from the results shown in Figure 6 (B), single cell contraction force can be calculated by the initial cell seeding number as there was no significant force difference measured between the different cell densities. We have shown that \(0.1 \times 10^6\) cell gel\(^{-1}\) is an ideal cell seeding density for the long-term contraction force measurement while a higher cell seeding density, e.g., \(0.3 \times 10^6\) cell gel\(^{-1}\) will be desirable for shorter periods of measurement (less than 24 hours). This is because with same amount of cells embedded, contraction assays performed soon after seeding (less than 6h) will generate less overall contraction force than measurements taken after a longer period (24h) due to cell proliferation. Therefore increasing cell seeding density would provide a greater overall contraction force and result in more accurate measurements of cell contraction force.

The novel technique reported in this study is applicable to assess forces generated by any cell type that can be cultured within a 3D collagen gel and responds to agonist stimulation leading to cell contraction. For example, assessment of forces elicited by vascular smooth muscle cells may indicate the likelihood of a treatment causing contractile responses that may lead to hypertension [28] while assessment of contraction forces in dermal fibroblasts may provide insights in wound healing potential of drugs, anti-wrinkling properties of therapeutic compounds or suitability of 3D gel matrices for tissue-engineering of skin substitutes [29-31]. These are only a few potential applications where the novel technique to assess cellular and gel contraction forces may provide important information for future bioengineering and clinically relevant therapeutic strategies.
5. Conclusion

The present study has demonstrated a novel technique to quantify cellular contraction force when cells are embedded in a three dimensional collagen gel matrix. The histamine-induced contraction of fibroblasts through MLCK activation and MLC phosphorylation, determined in cells seeded in a collagen gel, are in agreement with observations previously reported [27]. Moreover, the alteration in the collagen gel elasticity before and after histamine treatment was measured. The non-linear theoretical fittings of collagen gel elasticity are in agreement with the experimental data. The measurements have confirmed that the mechanical property changes of the gel matrix should be taken into account to accurately measure cell contraction forces using gel contraction assays.
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Figures

Figure 1. (A), Schematic of depth-sensing nano-indentation system for force-displacement measurement. (B), Image of nano-indentation system.
Figure 2. Schematic of theoretical model for collagen gel before and after contraction.

Figure 3. (A), Typical Young’s modulus fitting of collagen gel contraction measurement from force-strain curve. (B), Data denote Mean ± S.E.M of Young’s modulus of collagen gel with/without Histamine and ML-7. P value was calculated using One-Way ANOVA with Bonferroni Post-Hoc test. ** P<0.05 * P<0.5.
Figure 4. Photographs of the typical HAoAF embedded collagen gel after treatments. (A) Controlled collagen gel, (B) Agonist histamine (100 µM) stimulated collagen gel, (C) Histamine stimulated collagen gel with the presence of myosin light chain kinase inhibitor ML-7 (25µM). The areas circled with black solid lines in (A), (B) and (C) represent the culturing areas of 35 mm petri dishes. The areas circled with coloured dash lines in (A), (B) and (C) denote the sizes of collagen gels, which were calculated by referencing the culturing area of petri dishes.

Figure 5. HAoAF were embedded into collagen gels at different density of 0.216×10^6 (cells gel^-1). (A), Overall contraction force and (B), Single cell contraction force per gel with/without Histamine and ML-7. Data denote Mean ± S.E.M from 3 independent
measurements, P value was calculated using One-Way ANOVA with Bonferroni Post-Hoc test. *** P<0.005

Figure 6. Cellular Contraction Force at Different Cell Densities. (A), Overall contraction force of HAoAF at three different cell densities, i.e., 0.06, 0.12 and 0.3×10^6 (cells gel\(^{-1}\)). (B), Single cell contraction force of the three cell densities. Data denote Mean ± S.E.M from 4 independent measurements, P value and R square value in A were calculated based on the linear regression model.