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Abstract

This study assessed the 5 factor structure of the Compulsive Exercise Test and explored the relationship between compulsive exercise and eating psychopathology in athletes. Confirmatory and exploratory factor analyses of the Compulsive Exercise Test were conducted with 689 competitive athletes (aged 18 to 35 years). Convergent validity with the Eating Disorders Examination Questionnaire was explored. The 5 factor structure showed a poor fit; an alternative 3 factor structure is proposed. Exercising for weight control and to avoid a negative mood were strongly associated with eating psychopathology. Implications for using the Compulsive Exercise Test with athletes are discussed.
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Use of the Compulsive Exercise Test With Athletes: Norms and Links With Eating Psychopathology.

Exercise has been consistently identified as an important factor in both the development and maintenance of eating psychopathology (Davis et al., 1997; Davis, Katzman & Kirsh, 1999). Many patients report being involved in sport prior to dieting and levels of activity have been found to significantly increase prior to onset and during the acute phase of an eating disorder (Davis, Kennedy, Ralevski & Dionne, 1994). Exercise among eating disordered patients can also have a negative impact on treatment outcome (Dalle Grave, Calugi & Marchesini, 2008), with exercising patients more likely to relapse (Carter, Blackmore, Sutandar-Pinnock & Woodside, 2004) and require longer hospitalization (Solenberger, 2001). Further evidence for the association between exercise and eating psychopathology comes from the significantly increased prevalence of eating psychopathology among athletes (Byrne & McLean, 2002; Torstveit, Rosenvinge & Sundgot-Borgen, 2008). These studies, involving a two-stage process of screening and subsequent clinical interview, have found that up to 20% of female and 8% of male elite athletes meet the criteria for an eating disorder (Sundgot-Borgen & Torstveit, 2004). Athletes competing in lean sports (endurance, aesthetic, and weight dependent sports) are considered most at risk (Smolak, Murnen, & Ruble, 2000; Torstveit et al., 2008). The incidence of eating disorders among athletes competing at sub-elite levels is difficult to establish due to differences in the methods used to define this group of athletes. There is, however, evidence to suggest a protective effect of competing in sport at a non-elite level, with lower levels of eating psychopathology indicated in comparison to elite athletes (Byrne & McLean, 2001; Picard, 1999; Smolak et al. 2000).
Identifying athletes who are vulnerable to developing an eating disorder remains a challenge for clinicians and sports professionals alike (Thompson & Sherman, 2010). This is due to several factors, such as sport body stereotypes, which can foster expectations for athletes to be a particular size and shape. For example, coaches may expect distance runners and gymnasts to be slim and thus may experience difficulties in noticing weight loss among these athletes (Thompson & Sherman, 1999). Similarly, eating disorder symptoms such as amenorrhea may be perceived as normal among athletes (Sherman, Thompson, Dehass & Wilfert, 2005), and therefore not prompt early investigation into a potential eating problem. Moreover, coaches and sports professionals also report lacking in confidence and knowledge when identifying the early signs and symptoms of eating problems among athletes (Vaughan, King, & Cottrell, 2004). Lastly, a fundamental issue lies with differentiating between athletes engaging in unhealthy exercise that could indicate an eating disorder, and those who are merely committed to training (Thompson & Sherman, 2010). Some possible reasons for this will be considered, alongside an exploration of an alternative model for exercise in the eating disorders, and its potential to assist in distinguishing athletes at risk.

A primary difficulty in identifying athletes engaging in unhealthy exercise behaviors lies with the traditional view that the functional utility of exercise within the eating disorders is primarily for calorie burning, weight and shape control (Fairburn, Cooper & Shafran, 2003). Such conceptualizations prompted investigations into the frequency and duration of exercise that might engender an increased risk for eating disorders (Davis, 1997; 2000). However, there has been little agreement about how much exercise is excessive (Davis & Kaptein, 2006; Shroff et al., 2006), and exercise quantity has not been found to be related to eating psychopathology (Mond, Myers, Crosby, Hay & Mitchell, 2008; Taranis, Touyz & Meyer, 2011). Moreover, a definition of problematic exercise that focuses on the duration and frequency of exercise may bear little relevance for athletes. In order to successfully identify
unhealthy exercising attitudes and behaviors among athletes, it is necessary to consider an
alternative definition of exercise that incorporates cognitive behavioral maintenance factors
of exercise, in addition to weight control.

One such multidimensional model of compulsive exercise within the eating disorders
has recently been proposed (Meyer, Taranis, Goodwin & Haycraft, 2011; Taranis et al., 2011).
In addition to exercising for weight control, the model also incorporates additional cognitive
behavioral maintenance components of compulsive exercise, such as exercising for positive
and negative affect regulation, exercise rigidity and compulsivity to exercise despite a lack of
enjoyment. The evidence for each of these components will be considered in more depth.

First, the inability to cope with adverse mood has been identified as an important
maintenance factor for eating disorders, with dysfunctional mood regulatory behaviors often
employed to normalize negative mood states (Fairburn et al., 2003). Compulsive exercise has
been proposed as one of these mood regulation strategies (Meyer et al., 2011), maintained
primarily by negative reinforcement mechanisms (Bratland-Sanda et al., 2010b; De Young &
Anderson, 2010; Penas-Lledo, Vaz Leal & Waller, 2002). Exercising to control weight, shape,
and appearance have previously been identified as primary motivations for exercise in the
eating disorders (Dalle Grave et al., 2008, Davis et al., 1994); however negative affect
regulation has recently been cited as an important reason for exercise by eating disordered
patients (Bratland-Sanda et al., 2010a). It is plausible to suggest that affect regulation may be
perceived as a more socially acceptable reason for exercise, however there is evidence to
suggest a role of exercising for negative affect regulation in the etiology and maintenance of
eating disorders (Holtkamp, Hebebrand & Herpertz-Dahlmann, 2004; Thome & Espelage,
2004). Specifically, reductions in eating psychopathology in patients have been found to
correlate with a reduction in the perceived importance of exercising to regulate negative
affect, but not with weight control exercise (Bratland-Sanda et al., 2010b). Furthermore,
negative affect but not exercising for weight control, was found to be a significant predictor of exercise dependence in both patients and controls (Bratland-Sanda et al., 2011).

Second, exercise rigidity is an important component of compulsive exercise (Yates, 1991) and is a strong predictor of eating psychopathology (Boyd, Abraham & Luscombe, 2007). Rigidity is closely linked with dysfunctional perfectionism (Riley & Shafran, 2005), which is associated with higher levels of compulsive exercise in both non-clinical and clinical groups (Shroff et al., 2006; Taranis & Meyer, 2010). Exercise rigidity can manifest as an inability to reduce exercise routines, experiencing significant distress when exercise is interrupted, or exercising despite malnourishment, injury, or illness (Bamber, Cockerill, Rodgers & Carroll, 2003; Boyd et al., 2007). Rigidity is also an important component of obsessive compulsive personality disorder, which is closely associated with eating disorders (Halmi, 2005). It has been suggested that repetitive exercise behavior may have an anxiolytic effect (Holtkamp et al., 2004), and is thus closely linked to the compulsive facet of the model.

The compulsivity component of the proposed multidimensional model (Meyer et al., 2011) is underpinned by findings that compulsive exercise has an anxiety-reducing function, analogous to the utility of compulsive behaviors observed among patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder (Davis & Kaptein, 2006). Moreover, compulsively exercising patients score very high on measures of compulsivity (Davis & Claridge, 1998). Feelings of intense guilt when exercise is missed or postponed have also been commonly reported among compulsive exercisers (Mond et al., 2008), serving to maintain driven and rigid exercise routines. In addition, these pathological attitudes towards exercise have been found to mediate the relationship between exercise and eating psychopathology (Cook & Hausenblas, 2008).

The proposed multidimensional model of compulsive exercise (Meyer et al., 2011) may be of particular use in detecting unhealthy attitudes and behaviors towards exercise in an
athletic population, where distinguishing such exercise has been identified as a particular challenge (Thompson and Sherman, 2010). Using an exercise based measure to assess eating psychopathology has been previously conducted among exercisers (Davis, Brewer & Ratusny, 1993; Yates, Edman, Crago & Crowell, 2001), however exercise in athletes has not been measured in a multifaceted way that fits with the eating disorder conceptualization. It is reasonable to extend such an approach to the athletic population, given the high prevalence rates of eating psychopathology within this group. An exercise measure may be more willingly received by athletes than an explicit eating disorder measure, as evidence has suggested that athletes may distort their responses on eating measures (Sundgot-Borgen, 1993), perhaps due to fear of being stopped from training, losing their sponsorship funding or school scholarship (Yates et al., 2001). It is acknowledged that an exercise measure may lack the specificity of an eating measure; however, assessing and subsequently addressing unhealthy exercise behaviors and attitudes may facilitate prevention of eating disorders in athletes.

The Compulsive Exercise Test was developed in accordance with the outlined multidimensional model of compulsive exercise, (Taranis et al., 2011). Factor analysis revealed five factors explaining 64% of the variance in eating psychopathology. These factors encapsulate the model components of exercising for mood regulation, weight control exercise, compulsivity and exercise rigidity. They were labeled (a) Avoidance and Rule Driven Behavior, (b) Weight Control Exercise, (c) Mood Improvement, (d) Lack of Exercise Enjoyment, and (e) Exercise Rigidity. The factors have been replicated in male and female adolescents (Goodwin, Haycraft, Taranis & Meyer, 2011), and test scores were found to be strongly associated with eating psychopathology scores (Goodwin et al., 2011; Taranis et al., 2011). The multidimensional model of compulsive exercise, as proposed by Meyer and colleagues (2011), has yet to be assessed in athletes.
In summary, there is a wealth of evidence that supports additional cognitive-behavioral maintenance components of compulsive exercise. Athletes are at a significantly increased risk of eating psychopathology, although difficulties remain in identifying athletes engaging in unhealthy exercise, which can precede the development of an eating disorder. The Compulsive Exercise Test could facilitate the detection of exercise attitudes and behaviors that engender an increased vulnerability to eating disorders among athletes. The primary aim of this study was therefore to evaluate the five factor structure of the Compulsive Exercise Test in a sample of competitive athletes. It is predicted that compulsive exercise will be significantly, positively associated with eating psychopathology.

**Method**

**Participants**

Following ethical clearance granted through the Institutional Ethical Advisory Committee, 702 competitive athletes ($n = 258$ males), were recruited from sports clubs and teams at British universities. For the purpose of this study, athletes were required to meet specific inclusion criteria to be eligible to take part: to be currently competing in, and training for, a particular sport, and to have been doing so for a minimum of six months. Participants who reported engaging in noncompetitive sport were therefore removed ($n = 13$), leaving a total of 689 participants. Fifteen participants reported a current or previous eating disorder. The ages of the participants ranged from 18 to 35 years, with a mean of the female participants 21.20 years ($SD = 3.46$) and 21.21 years ($SD = 3.01$) for males. The mean BMI for females was 21.30 kg/m$^2$ ($SD = 2.44$), and 24.49 kg/m$^2$ ($SD = 3.25$) for the male participants. Sport classifications were made according to the system employed by Torstveit & Sundgot-Borgen (2005) to ensure concordance with other studies within the literature. The sample was relatively evenly split between participants in lean (44%) and nonlean (56%)
sports. The vast majority of the lean sport athletes competed in endurance sports, such as distance running & triathlon (88%), with small numbers competing in aesthetic (3%), weight dependent (4%) and antigravitational sports (5%). Similarly, most of the athletes within the nonlean category reported competing in ball sports (91%), with small numbers competing in power sports, such as sprinting (7%) and technical sports such as golf (2%). With regards to competitive level, just under a third of the sample (30%) was classified as elite, reporting that they currently competed at national or international level. A further 33% were classified as sub-elite, competing for their region or university, and 19% reported competing for their club or county. A sizable proportion of competition level data was missing for this sample (19%).

Participants completed the Compulsive Exercise Test (Taranis et al., 2011) and the Eating Disorders Examination Questionnaire (Fairburn & Beglin, 2008). When answering questions about their exercise attitudes and behaviours, participants were instructed to consider exercise as any form of physical exercise, whether as part of an instructed exercise schedule or recreational exercise.

Materials

The Compulsive Exercise Test (Taranis et al., 2011). The Compulsive Exercise Test is a 24-item self-report measure based on the multidimensional model of compulsive exercise, designed for use in the eating disorders domain. It has five subscales: (a) Avoidance and Rule Driven Behavior, (b) Weight Control Exercise, (c) Mood Improvement (d) Lack of Exercise Enjoyment, and (e) Exercise Rigidity. An example item is “If I cannot exercise, I feel anxious.” Responses are scored on a six-point scale anchored from 0 (never true) to 5 (always true); intermediate response points are 1 (rarely true), 2 (sometimes true), 3 (often true), and 4 (usually true). Higher scores indicate a greater degree of compulsive exercise. The global score is the sum of the means of the five individual subscales. The Compulsive Exercise Test has shown good internal consistency for the individual subscales...
(\(\alpha \geq 0.71\)) and global score (\(\alpha \geq 0.85\)) among both adult and adolescent samples (Goodwin et al., 2011; Taranis et al., 2011).

The Eating Disorders Examination Questionnaire 6.0 (Fairburn & Beglin, 2008).

This is a 28-item self-report measure derived from the Eating Disorders Examination; an investigator based interview schedule considered to be the gold standard in assessing eating disorders. The Eating Disorders Examination Questionnaire has shown high internal consistency (\(\alpha = 0.85\)) and test-retest reliability, Pearson’s \(r = 0.81 - 0.94\) (Luce & Crowther, 1999). It uses a 7-point forced choice rating scheme, exploring eating behaviors and attitudes in the preceding 28 days, anchored by 0 (No days) and 6 (Every day). It has four subscales: (a) Restraint, (b) Eating Concern, (c) Shape Concern, and (d) Weight Concern. An example item is “Have you been deliberately trying to limit the amount of food you eat to influence your shape and weight?” The Global Score is the mean of the four individual subscales. The questionnaire has previously been used with athletes to assess eating psychopathology (Sundgot-Borgen & Torstveit, 2004; Shanmugam, Jowett & Meyer, 2011). Cronbach alpha coefficients in this study were (a) Restraint .78, (b) Eating Concern .82, (c) Shape Concern .92, (d) Weight Concern .85, and (e) Global Score .91.

Data Analysis

The data were assessed for univariate and multivariate normality and screened for outliers. Three multivariate outliers were identified and removed (\(d^2 = 88.93; 81.14; 80.65; p1 < 0.00; p2 < 0.00\) in all cases). Six hundred and eighty six cases remained. Mardia’s normalized estimate of multivariate kurtosis (1974) was found to be 82.35, with a critical ratio of 30.53; values greater than 5.00 (Bentler, 2005) are suggested to be non-normally distributed. Hence, bootstrapping procedures were applied to account for the non-normality of the data. Overall model fit was assessed using the Bollen-Stine corrected \(p\) value. Previous research has suggested that the five factor structure is appropriate for both males
and females and for adults and adolescents (Goodwin et al., 2011; Taranis et al., 2011); hence the data were not separated for the analysis.

Confirmatory Factor Analysis was employed to examine the fit of the five factor model in this athlete sample. The analysis was conducted using IBM AMOS 20, employing the Maximum Likelihood Estimation procedure. Multiple goodness of fit indices were used to assess the factorial validity of the model including the significance of $\chi^2$, the normed chi-square, the Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA), Tucker Lewis Index (TLI), Incremental Fit Index (IFI) and the Comparative Fit Index (CFI). An RMSEA value of <.06 indicates a good fitting model (Hu & Bentler, 1999), and values of greater than .95 are considered a good fit of data for the remaining indices (Hu & Bentler, 1999). A cutoff of $\geq .40$ was used to identify significant factor loadings (Ford, MacCallum & Tait, 1986).

Data that demonstrated poor fit were submitted to a principal components exploratory factor analysis, with direct oblimin (oblique) rotation. Oblique rotation was employed as it was expected that the factors would be correlated as they are assessing components of the same underlying compulsive exercise construct (Taranis et al., 2011). The sample size of 686 could be considered ‘very good’ for a factor analysis (>500, Comrey & Lee, 1992). Missing data were replaced with the means for the individual, and not the sample, to avoid reducing the sample variance (Hill & Lewicki, 2005). The analysis was conducted in SPSS 21.0.

**Results**

**Confirmatory Factor Analysis of the Compulsive Exercise Test Five Factor Structure**

Factor loadings for the items are shown in Figure 1. Item 8 “I do not exercise to be slim”, and Item 12 “I enjoy exercising”, did not meet the cutoff of $\geq .40$, so were removed from further analysis. The five factor model showed a poor fit to the data, failing to
sufficiently meet the goodness of fit criteria: $\chi^2(199) = 1196.55, p < .001$, RMSEA = 0.086, 
(90% CI [0.081, 0.090]), TLI = .79, IFI = .82, and CFI = .82. The Bollen-Stine corrected $p$
was significant ($p < 0.001$). Most of the latent variables were found to significantly co-vary
with one another (Figure 1); however, the paths between Lack of Exercise Enjoyment and
Exercise Rigidity and between Avoidance and Rule Driven Behavior and Lack of Exercise
Enjoyment did not. Removing the nonsignificant paths did not improve the overall fit.

An exploratory principal components analysis was considered appropriate to examine
alternative model structures for the athlete sample. The analysis was conducted with the
same participant group as for the Confirmatory Factor Analysis. This sequence of analysis
has previously been reported by numerous published articles, where fit criteria were not met
for CFA models (Darcy, Hardy, Crosby, Lock & Peebles, 2013; Lampard, Byrne, McLean &
Fursland, 2011; Raykos, Byrne & Watson, 2009).

**Exploratory Analysis of the Compulsive Exercise Test in Athletes**

The exploratory principal components analysis was initially conducted separately for
males and females, lean and nonlean athletes, and older and younger athletes (via a median
split); no differences were found in the factor structure between these groups, so the data was
subsequently analyzed as a whole. The data was not separated by competitive level due to the
significant proportion of missing data.

Sufficient inter-item correlations existed, with 21 of the 24 items correlating with at
least one item (> 0.3, Tabachnick & Fidell, 2001). The three items that did not correlate
sufficiently were (a) Item 3 “I like my days to be organised and structured of which exercise
is just one part”, (b) Item 8 “I do not exercise to be slim”, and (c) Item 12 “I enjoy
exercising” (Taranis et al., 2011). These items were removed from subsequent analysis. The
Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin test was employed as a measure of sampling adequacy (MSA = 0.86),
indicating that inter-item correlations were compact. Bartlett’s test of sphericity was also significant, $\chi^2 (210) = 5456, p < 0.001$.

**Factor structure.** The retention of factors was determined by a number of criteria. First, the Kaiser (1961) criterion of eigenvalues greater than one indicated a five factor solution that explained 61% of the variance. However, Horn’s parallel analysis (Horn, 1965) suggested a four factor solution, and scree plot analysis (Cattell, 1966) suggested a three factor solution. Ambiguity between the factor retention criteria required inspection of the communalities and the factor coefficients to determine the items that could be retained (Field, 2005). The average communalities for the three solutions were very similar: (a) the five factor solution .61, (b) the four factor solution .59, and (c) the three factor solution .60.

A cutoff of $\geq .40$ was implemented to identify significant factor coefficients (Ford et al., 1986); items that failed to meet this cutoff were removed. Item 11 “I usually continue to exercise despite injury or illness, unless I am very ill or too injured” and Item 15 “If I miss an exercise session, I will try and make up for it when I next exercise”, were therefore removed from further analysis. Factors with fewer than two items were deemed to be unstable, therefore these items were also removed (Pallant, 2007). This included (a) Item 7 “My weekly pattern of exercise is repetitive”, (b) Item 19 “I follow a set routine for my exercise sessions”, (c) Item 5 “I find exercise a chore”, and (d) Item 21 “I do not enjoy exercising.” Principal components analysis with oblique rotation was conducted with the remaining items, resulting in a three factor solution that explained 59.90% of the variance (Table 1).

**Factor interpretation.** The factors generated by the analysis were subject to interpretation. Factor 1 included six items, all of which were related to the avoidance of negative feelings that are experienced when exercise is missed. An example of an item loading onto Factor 1 was Item 9: “If I cannot exercise I feel low or depressed.” This was consistent with the avoidance of negative affect component of the subscale Avoidance and...
Rule Driven Behavior as identified by Taranis et al. (2011), thus was labeled *Avoidance of Negative Affect*. Two items were missing from the original subscale—Item 11 and Item 15—which were removed at an earlier stage of analysis.

The four items loading onto Factor 2 were related to exercising to improve appearance or for weight and shape reasons. An example of one of the items loading onto this factor is Item 18: “I exercise to burn calories and to lose weight.” This corresponds with the Weight Control Exercise subscale of the original Compulsive Exercise Test, although is missing Item 8, which was excluded earlier in the analysis. The *Weight Control Exercise* label was retained for this subscale.

The five items loading onto Factor 3 were related to the positive mood improvements associated with exercise. An example of an item loading on Factor 3 was Item 1: “I feel happier and/or more positive after I exercise.” The items loading onto this factor are identical to those on the Mood Improvement subscale identified by Taranis et al. (2011); this label was therefore retained. In summary, 15 items remain from the Compulsive Exercise Test after exploratory principal components analysis, with three subscales retained.

**Internal Consistency of the Compulsive Exercise Test**

The internal consistency of the three factors was established using reliability analysis. Alpha coefficients were (a) Avoidance of Negative Affect .87, (b) Weight Control Exercise .82, (c) Mood Improvement .71, and (d) Global Score .62. Means and standard deviations for the three and five factor Compulsive Exercise Test subscales are given in Table 2. Means (with standard deviations in parentheses) for the Eating Disorders Examination Questionnaire subscales were (a) Restraint, $M = 1.31$ ($SD = 1.30$); (b) Eating Concern, $M = 0.68$ ($SD = 1.04$); (c) Shape Concern $M = 1.71$ ($SD = 1.53$); (d) Weight Concern, $M = 1.37$ ($SD = 1.42$); and (e) Global Score, $M = 1.27$ ($SD = 1.19$).
Convergent Validity With the Eating Disorders Examination Questionnaire

Correlation analysis. To assess the convergent validity of the Compulsive Exercise Test with an established measure of eating psychopathology, a series of one-tailed Spearman’s rho correlations were conducted between the proposed three factor Compulsive Exercise Test and the Eating Disorders Examination Questionnaire. Strong positive correlations existed between all eating psychopathology subscales and (a) Weight Control Exercise, $r(685) \geq .53, p < 0.01$; (b) Avoidance of Negative Affect, $r(685) \geq .31, p < 0.01$; and (c) Global Score, $r(685) \geq .47, p < 0.01$. Smaller positive correlations were observed for the Mood Improvement subscale, $r(685) \geq .16, p < 0.01$.

Regression analysis. Stepwise regression analysis was conducted to assess the proportion of variance in Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire scores that could be explained by scores on the three factor Compulsive Exercise Test. Gender, age, BMI, sport-type (lean or nonlean) and competitive level were also included as possible predictors, although only gender was retained as significant predictor for eating psychopathology scores. The total variance in eating psychopathology scores that could be explained by the regression model was 44%. The Weight Control Exercise subscale from the Compulsive Exercise Test could account for the largest amount of variance in Eating Disorders Examination Questionnaire scores (39%); the Avoidance of Negative Affect subscale accounted for a small, but significant, proportion of the variance (4%). The Mood Improvement subscale was not retained as a significant predictor (Table 3).

Discussion

This study aimed to evaluate the five factor structure of the Compulsive Exercise Test in an athlete sample, and to explore the relationship between compulsive exercise and eating psychopathology. The findings indicated that the five factor structure of the Compulsive
Exercise Test represented a moderately good fit for the athlete sample. Exploratory analysis resulted in an alternative 15 item, three factor Compulsive Exercise Test. The factors were labeled (a) Avoidance of Negative Affect, (b) Weight Control Exercise, and (c) Mood Improvement. The Exercise Rigidity and Lack of Exercise Enjoyment subscales that have previously been validated in adolescent and female exercisers (Goodwin et al., 2011; Taranis et al., 2011) were not retained in this study. In support of the hypothesis, compulsive exercise and eating psychopathology scores were significantly and positively associated. Specifically, the Weight Control Exercise and Avoidance of Negative Affect subscales were found to explain a significant proportion of the variance in eating psychopathology scores.

The findings support a multidimensional model of compulsive exercise in athlete groups (Meyer et al., 2011). In addition to exercising for weight control, which has been consistently reported as an important motivation for exercise within the eating disorders (Fairburn et al., 2003), Avoidance of Negative Affect was identified as a core component of compulsive exercise among athletes. Compulsive exercise has been identified as a mood regulatory strategy, which is dysfunctional when maintained by negative reinforcement mechanisms (Meyer et al., 2011), and these findings indicate that exercise in athletes may also sometimes serve this function. Exercise can also be maintained by positive reinforcement mechanisms, as indicated by the retention of the Mood Improvement subscale. However, the Exercise Rigidity and Lack of Exercise Enjoyment components of compulsive exercise are likely to be less relevant for athletes. Indeed, the rule driven behavior component of the original Avoidance and Rule Driven Behavior subscale was dropped from the three factor model. Athlete exercise schedules are likely to be highly repetitive, habitual and performance oriented, particularly among those competing at an elite level. Such schedules may also be externally regulated or supervised by a coach. It is plausible that rigid, self-imposed exercise schedules that lack external regulation or specific performance goals
may be more closely aligned with eating psychopathology. This suggests a need to explore internally motivated and externally imposed exercise rigidity in relation to eating psychopathology in athletes.

The Lack of Exercise Enjoyment subscale was also not retained for this sample. This may be surprising given that highly strenuous exercise is rarely associated with mood improvement (Reed & Buck, 2009) even when controlling for goal orientation (Motl, Berger & Wilson, 1996). However, the positive effects of exercise on mood are more likely to occur in those who exercise regularly (Hoffman & Hoffman, 2008), and athletes are likely to experience enjoyment when exercising with others or when working towards performance goals (McCarthy, Jones & Clark-Carter, 2008; Scanlan, Carpenter, Lobel & Simons, 1993).

It is important to note that the original Exercise Rigidity and Lack of Exercise Enjoyment subscales had only three items and may have lacked initial stability (Pallant, 2007). The proposed factor structure requires replication with additional athlete samples, but this study suggests that the subscales of Lack of Exercise Enjoyment and Exercise Rigidity need to be interpreted with caution with athlete groups.

A significant strength of this study is the large sample of competitive athletes that were included. The sample did, however, pose some limitations to the conclusions that can be drawn from this study. The majority of the samples in both studies were endurance athletes and ball sport players, and participant groups for the two studies were not equal in terms of the percentage of lean and non-lean athletes. Competitive level data was also missing for a significant proportion of the sample, and it is acknowledged that this could have a significant impact both on the interpretation of the results (Acuna & Rodriguez, 2004) and in reducing the replicability of the study. The data were not separated by competitive level for the exploratory analysis, due to the proportion that was missing, however the literature exploring the impact of competitive level on eating psychopathology in athletes has been
somewhat inconclusive (Picard, 1999; Smolak et al., 2000; Toro et al., 2005). It was therefore
not considered problematic to explore the sample as a whole within this study. However, it is
an important avenue for future work to compare compulsive exercise across athletes at
differing competitive levels. This is important as it is plausible to suggest that competition
intensity and training level may be an important factor in both levels of compulsive exercise
and the relationship between compulsive exercise and eating psychopathology.

Similarly, the three factor structure proposed requires replication across lean and non-
lean sports, and for athlete groups for which there were low numbers for this study -
aesthetic, power, technical and weight-dependent athletes. It is important to assess whether
the three factor structure of the Compulsive Exercise Test is relevant for athletes outside of
ball sports and endurance sports. In addition, it is recommended that invariance testing for
gender, competitive level and age is conducted to further validate the proposed athlete model.
The variance in eating psychopathology accounted for by the Compulsive Exercise Test
subscales was lower than in previous studies (Goodwin et al., 2011; Taranis et al., 2011).
Additional factors could account for some of the variance that is not captured by the
Compulsive Exercise Test, notably perfectionism. An important next step would therefore be
the inclusion of a validated measure of perfectionism to assess the relationship with eating
psychopathology and compulsive exercise in athlete groups. Further improvements are also
needed in determining the relationship between eating psychopathology and compulsive
exercise in athlete groups. One way to do this would be to use the Eating Disorders
Examination (Fairburn & Cooper, 1993) to establish eating disorder diagnosis. Longitudinal
investigations would help to establish the direction of the relationship between compulsive
exercise and eating psychopathology in athletes.

This study provides support for the multidimensional model of compulsive exercise in
athletes, indicating a particularly strong relationship between exercising for weight control
and for mood regulation with eating psychopathology. The original five factor structure of
the Compulsive Exercise Test showed a poor fit; a three factor structure may be more
appropriate when assessing and comparing athlete groups. In particular, this study suggests
that the Exercise Rigidity and the Lack of Exercise Enjoyment subscales may be less relevant
for athletes, and thus should be interpreted with caution by researchers and clinicians.

The Weight Control Exercise, Avoidance of Negative Affect and Mood Improvement
subscales may potentially provide a valid method of assessment of athletes on cognitive
behavioral features of compulsive exercise. The Compulsive Exercise Test may therefore be
an important, and useful, tool for sport psychologists and other practitioners working within
the sports context to detect unhealthy attitudes towards exercise among athletes. The
Compulsive Exercise Test can be used as a screening measure due to its ease of
administration and facilitate practitioners in detecting athletes who are motivated to exercise
for weight control and mood regulation; factors which are strongly associated with increased
eating problems. As a measure of exercise attitudes and behaviours, the Compulsive Exercise
Test may also be more readily received and completed by athletes in comparison to a
measure of eating psychopathology. This study makes an important contribution to the
literature in exploring the concept of compulsive exercise within an athlete sample. The
findings can inform the development and tailoring of eating disorder therapies that are
specific to the needs of athletes; which do not currently exist.
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Table 1

Pattern Matrix of Compulsive Exercise Test Items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Factor 1</th>
<th>Factor 2</th>
<th>Factor 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Factor 1 Avoidance of Negative Affect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(20) If I cannot exercise I feel angry and/or frustrated</td>
<td>.81</td>
<td>-.04</td>
<td>.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(23) If I cannot exercise I feel anxious</td>
<td>.78</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10) I feel extremely guilty when I miss an exercise session</td>
<td>.76</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>-.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(22) I feel like I’ve let myself down if I miss an exercise session</td>
<td>.76</td>
<td>.21</td>
<td>-.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(16) If I cannot exercise I feel agitated and/or irritable</td>
<td>.69</td>
<td>-.11</td>
<td>-.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9) If I cannot exercise I feel low or depressed</td>
<td>.67</td>
<td>-.17</td>
<td>.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor 2 Weight Control Exercise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(13) I exercise to burn calories and to lose weight</td>
<td>-.02</td>
<td>.86</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) I exercise to improve my appearance</td>
<td>-.16</td>
<td>.79</td>
<td>.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) If I feel I have eaten too much, I will do more exercise</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>.79</td>
<td>-.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(18) If I cannot exercise, I worry that I will gain weight.</td>
<td>.39</td>
<td>.64</td>
<td>-.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor 3 Mood Improvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) I feel happier and/or more positive after I exercise</td>
<td>-.14</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(14) I feel less stressed and/or tense after I exercise</td>
<td>-.05</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(17) Exercise improves my mood</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>-.02</td>
<td>.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) I feel less anxious after I exercise</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(24) I feel less depressed or low after I exercise</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>-.06</td>
<td>.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Eigenvalue | 5.27 | 2.20 | 1.51 |
Variance (%) | 35.15 | 14.67 | 10.10 |
Table 2

Athlete Norms for the Five Factor and Three Factor Compulsive Exercise Test Subscales

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subscale</th>
<th>M (SE)</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Avoidance of negative affect (three factor)</td>
<td>2.47 (.04)</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight control exercise (three factor)</td>
<td>2.23 (.04)</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mood improvement (three factor and five factor)</td>
<td>3.48 (.03)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global score (three factor)</td>
<td>8.18 (.09)</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoidance of negative affect and rule driven behaviour (five factor)</td>
<td>2.51 (.04)</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight control exercise (five factor)</td>
<td>2.33 (.04)</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise rigidity (five factor)</td>
<td>3.09 (.04)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of exercise enjoyment (five factor)</td>
<td>1.45 (.03)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global score (five factor)</td>
<td>12.85 (.11)</td>
<td>2.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3

**Stepwise Regression Analysis Predicting Eating Psychopathology Scores From Three Factor Compulsive Exercise Test Scores in Athletes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>Global score</th>
<th>Restraint</th>
<th>Eating concern</th>
<th>Shape concern</th>
<th>Weight concern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>$\Delta R^2$</td>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>$\Delta R^2$</td>
<td>$\beta$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>.39***</td>
<td>.28***</td>
<td>.26***</td>
<td>.38***</td>
<td>.32***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight control exercise</td>
<td>.63***</td>
<td>.53***</td>
<td>.51***</td>
<td>.62***</td>
<td>.57***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>.43***</td>
<td>.31***</td>
<td>.29***</td>
<td>.40***</td>
<td>.35***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight control exercise</td>
<td>.55***</td>
<td>.46***</td>
<td>.44***</td>
<td>.56***</td>
<td>.50***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoidance of negative affect</td>
<td>.21***</td>
<td>.19***</td>
<td>.20***</td>
<td>.17***</td>
<td>.19***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>.44***</td>
<td>.31***</td>
<td>.42***</td>
<td>.37***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight control exercise</td>
<td>.54***</td>
<td>.42***</td>
<td>.54***</td>
<td>.49***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoidance of negative affect</td>
<td>.20***</td>
<td>.19***</td>
<td>.16***</td>
<td>.18***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>-.09**</td>
<td>-.13**</td>
<td>-.14***</td>
<td>-.13***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note.*** Significant at $p < .001$; ** Significant at $p < .01$
Figure 1

Path Diagram for the Original Five Factor Model of the Compulsive Exercise Test

- **Avoidance**
  - .80 → CET 23
  - .70 → CET 20
  - .62 → CET 14
  - .40 → CET 15
  - .31 → CET 11
  - .23 → CET 16
  - .55 → CET 17
  - .65 → CET 24

- **Weight Control**
  - .77 → CET 6
  - .62 → CET 2
  - .59 → CET 13
  - .62 → CET 18

- **Mood Improvement**
  - .71 → CET 10
  - .51 → CET 11
  - .16 → CET 15
  - .23 → CET 16

- **Lack Exercise Enjoyment**
  - .65 → CET 20
  - .70 → CET 22
  - .49 → CET 22
  - .64 → CET 23

- **Exercise Rigidity**
  - .40 → CET 2
  - .59 → CET 6
  - .62 → CET 13
  - .53 → CET 18

- **Exercise Rigidity**
  - .40 → CET 4
  - .38 → CET 1
  - .31 → CET 14
  - .59 → CET 17

- **Exercise Rigidity**
  - .39 → CET 1
  - .38 → CET 4
  - .31 → CET 14
  - .59 → CET 17

- **Exercise Rigidity**
  - .53 → CET 5
  - .45 → CET 21
  - .18 → CET 3
  - .40 → CET 7

- **Exercise Rigidity**
  - .35 → CET 19
  - .53 → CET 5
  - .45 → CET 21
  - .18 → CET 3

- **Exercise Rigidity**
  - .40 → CET 7
  - .35 → CET 19
  - .18 → CET 3
  - .40 → CET 7