

Original citation:

Candellero , Elisabetta and Roberts, Matthew I. . (2015) The number of ends of critical branching random walks. *Alea (Rio de Janeiro): Latin American journal of probability and mathematical statistics*, 12 (1). pp. 55-67.

Permanent WRAP url:

<http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/71855>

Copyright and reuse:

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work by researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions. Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRAP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

Publisher statement:

Published version : http://alea.impa.br/english/index_v12.htm

A note on versions:

The version presented in WRAP is the published version or, version of record, and may be cited as it appears here. For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: publications@warwick.ac.uk



<http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/>



The number of ends of critical branching random walks

Elisabetta Candellero and Matthew I. Roberts

University of Warwick
Department of Statistics,
Coventry CV4 7AL, UK.

E-mail address: elisabetta.candellero@gmail.com

URL: <http://sites.google.com/site/candelleroelisabetta/>

University of Bath
Department of Mathematical Sciences,
Bath BA2 7AY, UK.

E-mail address: mattiroberts@gmail.com

URL: people.bath.ac.uk/mir20/

Abstract. We investigate the number of topological ends of the trace of branching random walk (BRW) on a graph, giving a sufficient condition for the trace to have infinitely many ends. We then describe some interesting examples of non-symmetric BRWs with just one end.

1. Introduction

Consider a branching random walk (BRW) on a graph described as follows. We are given a locally finite graph G with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$, and a vertex $v \in V(G)$. We begin with one particle at v at time 0. For each $n \geq 0$, each particle alive at time n gives birth to an independent random number of offspring particles according to some probability distribution μ , each of which independently takes a step according to a specified random walk on the graph with transition kernel P . Subsequently, the older particle dies. The resulting configuration of particles comprises the system at time $n + 1$.

We write $\text{BRW}(G, \mu, P)$ for such a branching random walk. We assume throughout that G is infinite, P is irreducible, μ has finite mean $m = m_\mu > 1$ and $\mu(0) = 0$. We write $(X_n, n \geq 0)$ for a random walk on G with transition kernel P (G and P will therefore not be explicit in the random walk notation, but this should not lead to confusion), and \mathbb{P}_v for a probability measure under which the BRW and the random walk are independent and begin at vertex v . Let

Received by the editors May 9, 2014; accepted January 19, 2015.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 60J10, 60J80.

Key words and phrases. Branching random walk, trace, topological ends.

$\rho = \rho(P) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_v(X_n = v)^{1/n}$, the spectral radius of P (which is independent of the choice of v).

We say that a BRW is *recurrent* if some vertex of the graph is visited infinitely often by the particles of the BRW with positive probability. Otherwise it is *transient*. Furthermore, a BRW is said to be *strongly recurrent* if all vertices of the graph are visited infinitely many times almost surely (see e.g. [Benjamini and Peres, 1994](#), Section 6).

Benjamini and Peres (see [Benjamini and Peres, 1994](#)) showed that if $m < \rho^{-1}$ then the BRW is transient, while if $m > \rho^{-1}$ then the BRW is recurrent. We call a BRW (G, μ, P) *critical* if $m_\mu = \rho^{-1}$.

[Gantert and Müller \(2006\)](#) and independently (in a more general setting) [Bertacchi and Zucca \(2008\)](#) proved that any critical BRW is transient. The *trace* of the critical process is the subgraph induced by edges that are traversed by particles of the BRW, and is an interesting random structure in its own right. [Benjamini and Müller \(2012\)](#) showed that on Cayley graphs, simple random walk on the trace of transient simple BRW is itself almost surely transient, but any non-trivial simple *branching* random walk on the trace is strongly recurrent.

The range $1 < m_\mu \leq \rho^{-1}$ (when non-trivial) leads to the existence of an intermediate phase, in which the process survives globally but eventually vacates every finite set of vertices. Such intermediate behavior has been investigated on different structures, in both discrete and continuous settings.

In the discrete case, one object of interest is the boundary of the trace of BRW. [Hueter and Lalley \(2000\)](#) show that the Hausdorff dimension of the boundary of the trace of a transient BRW defined on a homogeneous tree cannot exceed one half the dimension of the boundary of the tree. This result has been generalized to BRWs defined on free products of groups (see [Candellero et al., 2012](#)).

In a continuous setting, [Lalley and Sellke \(1997\)](#) investigated similar questions for branching Brownian motion on the hyperbolic disk. Their results have been extended to more general Lobachevsky spaces by Karpelevich, Perchersky and Suhov (see [Karpelevich et al., 1998](#)). Furthermore, [Grigor'yan and Kelbert \(2003\)](#) studied the problem of recurrence and transience of branching diffusion processes on Riemannian manifolds. [Cammara and Orsingher \(2008\)](#) studied a branching process where the particles move at finite velocity along the geodesics of the Poincaré disk.

An analogous intermediate phase appears also for contact processes on trees (see e.g., [Pemantle \(1992\)](#), [Liggett \(1996b\)](#), [Stacey \(1996\)](#), and [Liggett \(1996a\)](#)). For a survey on the behavior of stochastic processes and their characterization of phase transitions on non-amenable graphs, see [Lyons \(2000\)](#).

In this work we investigate the number of topological ends of the trace. Roughly speaking, if we remove a large ball about the origin, how many connected components do we see? We will make this definition precise in Section 2.

Motivation for investigating this kind of question was outlined in [Benjamini and Peres \(1994\)](#). Classical study of random walks on graphs and groups highlights geometric properties of the space that are captured by the behaviour of the random walk. However, if the graph is large enough then the random walk will typically visit only a tiny part of the structure, and sample path properties of the random walk reflect little of the large-scale geometry. Branching random walks allow us to gain geometric information about the graph on a sample path level.

Recall that $\mu(0) = 0$, hence the BRW survives for ever almost surely. We prove the following theorem, which gives a sufficient condition for the trace to have infinitely many ends. We say that a random walk $X_n, n \geq 0$ is *quasi-symmetric* if there exists C such that for all vertices v and w , and all $n \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}_v(X_n = w) \leq C\mathbb{P}_w(X_n = v).$$

In particular, simple random walk on any graph of bounded degree is quasi-symmetric.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $X_n, n \geq 0$ is quasi-symmetric, and that*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)m_{\mu}^n \mathbb{P}_v(X_n = v) < \infty.$$

Then the trace of $\text{BRW}(G, \mu, P)$ has infinitely many ends almost surely.

In particular if $m_{\mu} < 1/\rho(P)$, then the condition in Theorem 1.1 holds and the trace has infinitely many ends almost surely. On the other hand if $m_{\mu} > 1/\rho(P)$, then the BRW is recurrent and the trace therefore has as many ends as the underlying graph almost surely (indeed, almost surely the trace *is* the whole graph). We are therefore mainly interested in the critical case $m_{\mu} = 1/\rho(P)$.

Of course, there are cases which are not covered by Theorem 1.1. We highlight several examples, finding cases where critical BRW has one end, infinitely many ends, and everything in between.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. *The spectral radius and amenability.* Recall that the spectral radius of P is defined to be

$$\rho = \rho(P) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_v(X_n = v)^{1/n}.$$

It is easy to see that this does not depend on the choice of vertex v , due to the irreducibility of P . See for example [Woess \(2000\)](#) for many more details on the spectral radius of a random walk.

In many cases we will consider simple (isotropic) random walk on a graph G , which jumps to each of its current neighbours with equal probability, and write P_G for its transition kernel.

2.2. *Ends of a graph.* A ray is defined to be a semi-infinite simple (i.e. non-self-intersecting) path within a graph G . Say that two rays R and S are equivalent if there exists a third ray that contains infinitely many vertices from each of R and S . This defines an equivalence relation, and an *end* of G is an equivalence class of rays. The number of ends of G is then precisely the number of equivalence classes of rays in G . For example, \mathbb{Z} has two ends, \mathbb{Z}^2 has one end, and T_3 , the tree in which every vertex has degree 3, has infinitely many ends.

For $k \geq 0$ and $v \in V(G)$, let $B(v, k)$ be the closed ball of radius k (in the graph distance) about v . Note that if e_k is the number of connected components of $G \setminus B(v, k)$, then the number of ends of G is equal to $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} e_k$.

As a warm-up, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *If G is vertex-transitive and has infinitely many ends, then the trace of any (irreducible, vertex-transitive) BRW on G will have infinitely many ends almost surely.*

Proof: If the BRW is recurrent, then the trace is the whole graph, so the claim is trivially true. We may therefore assume that the BRW is transient.

Fix $k \geq 2$ and a vertex $o \in V(G)$. Recall that for every $n \geq 0$, $B(o, n)$ denotes the closed ball of radius n (in the graph distance) about o . Since G has infinitely many ends, we may choose n_k such that $G \setminus B(o, n_k)$ has at least k pairwise-disjoint components. Let C_1, \dots, C_k be k of these components. Since G is vertex-transitive, for any $v \in V(G)$ we may take an automorphism $\sigma_v : V(G) \rightarrow V(G)$ such that $\sigma_v(o) = v$. Let $C_i(v) = \sigma_v(C_i)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $v \in V(G)$.

Suppose that we start with one particle at o . There exists $p_k > 0$ such that with probability p_k , at time $n_k + 1$ we have at least one particle in each C_i for $i = 1, \dots, k$, and none of these particles ever has a descendant that returns to $B(o, n_k)$ after time $n_k + 1$.

Now, choose $j \geq 2$ and wait until the first time τ_j that there are at least j particles alive. Call them u_1, \dots, u_j (if there are more than j then choose the first j according to some arbitrary order) and let Y_1, \dots, Y_j be their positions. By the strong Markov property, for each $i = 1, \dots, j$, particle u_i has probability p_k of producing a descendant in each of $C_1(Y_i), \dots, C_k(Y_i)$ at time $\tau_j + n_k + 1$, none of whose descendants return to $B(Y_i, n_k)$ after time $n_k + 1$. The probability that this does not happen for any of the j particles is therefore $(1 - p_k)^j$. As a result,

$$\mathbb{P}(\text{this does not happen for any of the } j \text{ particles for any } j) = 0$$

and therefore

$$\mathbb{P}(\exists v \in V(G) : C_i(v) \text{ contains infinitely many points of BRW trace for each } i) = 1.$$

We deduce that the trace has at least k ends almost surely, and since k was arbitrary, infinitely many ends almost surely. \square

2.3. Cartesian products of graphs. It is natural, at first, to restrict ourselves to vertex-transitive graphs. If $\rho(P_G) = 1$ then the critical branching random walk $\text{BRW}(G, 1/\rho(P_G), P_G)$ is just a random walk, so we are interested in graphs for which $\rho(P_G) < 1$. By Lemma 2.1, if a vertex-transitive graph G has infinitely many ends itself, then the trace of any (irreducible, vertex-transitive) BRW will have infinitely many ends too.

Thus we are interested in one-ended, vertex-transitive graphs with $\rho(P_G) < 1$. A natural way to construct such graphs is to take the Cartesian product of two infinite, vertex-transitive graphs G_1 and G_2 , at least one of which satisfies $\rho(G_i) < 1$. It is a standard result — see for example [Woess \(2000\)](#), Theorem 4.10 — that if this holds then $\rho(G_1 \times G_2) < 1$.

To be precise, for two graphs G_1 and G_2 (not necessarily vertex transitive), the Cartesian product $G_1 \times G_2$ is the graph with vertex set $V(G_1 \times G_2) = V(G_1) \times V(G_2)$ and edge set

$$E(G_1 \times G_2) = \{(a, v), (b, w) : (a, b) \in E(G_1) \text{ and } v = w, \\ \text{or } a = b \text{ and } (v, w) \in E(G_2)\}.$$

Given transition kernels P_{G_1} and P_{G_2} on G_1 and G_2 respectively, we can then define a random walk on $G_1 \times G_2$ by flipping a fair coin at every step: if it comes up heads,

then we take a step along an edge inherited from G_1 according to P_{G_1} , and if it comes up tails, we take a random walk along an edge inherited from G_2 according to P_{G_2} . We write $P = \frac{1}{2}P_{G_1} \oplus \frac{1}{2}P_{G_2}$ for the corresponding transition kernel.

For a slightly more thorough treatment of Cartesian products see Section 6.

3. $T_3 \times \mathbb{Z}$ and lazy random walk

Our first example is $T_3 \times \mathbb{Z}$, where T_3 is the tree in which every vertex has degree 3. Recall that P_{T_3} and $P_{\mathbb{Z}}$ denote the transition kernels of simple random walk on T_3 and \mathbb{Z} respectively, and consider $P = \frac{1}{2}P_{T_3} \oplus \frac{1}{2}P_{\mathbb{Z}}$. It is easy to show that $\rho(P_{T_3}) = 2\sqrt{2}/3$, and of course $\rho(P_{\mathbb{Z}}) = 1$. From this we deduce (see Lemma 6.1) that

$$\rho(P) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} + \frac{1}{2} < 1.$$

Proposition 3.1. *If $m_\mu = 1/\rho(P)$, then the trace of $\text{BRW}(T_3 \times \mathbb{Z}, \mu, P)$ has infinitely many ends almost surely.*

Proof: Consider the projection of the branching random walk onto T_3 . At each time $n \geq 0$, each particle branches independently into a random number of particles with law μ , and each of these particles moves independently according to *lazy* simple random walk on T_3 ; that is, it stays put with probability $1/2$, otherwise it makes a step according to a simple random walk on T_3 . Call the transition kernel for this walk L (so $L = \frac{1}{2}P_{T_3} + \frac{1}{2}I$, where I is the identity matrix). Then

$$\rho(L) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} + \frac{1}{2} = \rho(P),$$

so $\text{BRW}(T_3, \mu, L)$ is a critical branching random walk and therefore transient. We deduce that each copy of \mathbb{Z} is hit only finitely often by particles in $\text{BRW}(T_3 \times \mathbb{Z}, \mu, P)$, and since T_3 has infinitely many ends, this property is inherited by the trace of the branching random walk by an argument very similar to that of Lemma 2.1. \square

Note that our proof did not require many detailed properties of the two graphs T_3 and \mathbb{Z} . In fact all that we used was that critical BRW on T_3 has infinitely many ends, and that $\rho(P_{\mathbb{Z}}) = 1$.

4. $T_3 \times T_3$, purple dots, and a proof of Theorem 1.1

The natural next question is to consider what happens when our Cartesian product is of two graphs G_1, G_2 with $\rho(G_1) < 1$ and $\rho(G_2) < 1$. We note that our previous tactic no longer works for (for example) simple random walk on $T_3 \times T_3$. If we look at projections, then we get $\rho(P) < \rho(L)$, and therefore each copy of T_3 is hit infinitely often by particles of the critical BRW (see e.g. Müller, 2008, Theorem 3.7 or Bertacchi and Zucca, 2014, Corollary 3.6), which tells us nothing about the number of ends of the trace.

We instead look from a different viewpoint: if we start two independent branching random walks from vertices a long way apart, do they meet? Start one BRW from a vertex $v \in T_3 \times T_3$, and call this the *red* process; start the other, independent BRW from vertex w , and call it the *blue* process. Colour red any vertex that is hit by a particle in the red process, and colour blue any vertex that is hit by a particle

in the blue process. Vertices that are coloured both red and blue we call *purple*. We are interested in whether the number of purple vertices is finite or not.

We work in general, aiming for a proof of Theorem 1.1, but the reader may wish to continue thinking of $T_3 \times T_3$. To check that simple random walk on $T_3 \times T_3$ satisfies the condition of Theorem 1.1, we use Cartwright and Soardi (1986, Theorem 2(i)) together with a result from Cartwright and Soardi (1987), which we translate into our notation in Section 6 as Lemma 6.1. These tell us that if $(X_n, n \geq 0)$ is simple random walk on $T_3 \times T_3$, then for any vertex v , there exists a constant C_v such that

$$\mathbb{P}_v(X_n = v) \sim C_v \frac{\rho^n}{n^3}$$

where $\rho = 2\sqrt{2}/3$ and we say that $\mathbb{P}_v(X_n = v) \sim f(n)$ if $\mathbb{P}_v(X_n = v)/f(n) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ through values such that $\mathbb{P}_v(X_n = v) > 0$.

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose that $X_n, n \geq 0$ is quasi-symmetric, and that*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) m_{\mu}^n \mathbb{P}_v(X_n = v) < \infty.$$

Take independent red and blue branching random walks started from any vertices v and w respectively. Then the expected number of purple vertices is finite.

Once we have established this Proposition, we are able to complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (assuming Proposition 4.1): For every $n \geq 0$ define $N(n)$ to be the set of particles alive in the branching random walk at time n . Now fix $k > 0$, and let $T = \inf\{n \geq 0 : |N(n)| \geq k\}$, the first time that we have more than k particles alive (since $m > 1$ and $\mu(0) = 0$, $T < \infty$ almost surely). Label these particles $1, \dots, |N(T)|$. For each particle r at time T , given its position, its descendants draw out a BRW; call this BRW_r . Note that, by Proposition 4.1, the intersection of the trace of BRW_r with the trace of BRW_s is almost surely finite for each $r \neq s$. Thus the particles of BRW_r almost surely form at least one topological end distinct from the particles of $\bigcup_{s \neq r} \text{BRW}_s$. We deduce that we have at least k ends almost surely. Since k was arbitrary, we must have infinitely many ends almost surely. \square

To prove Proposition 4.1, we will use the following well-known result about branching random walks. The proof of this simple version is an easy exercise. Consider a $\text{BRW}(G, \mu, P)$. Let $N(n)$ be the set of particles alive in the branching random walk at time n , and for $u \in N(n)$, write $Z(u)$ for its position in G .

Lemma 4.2 (Many-to-one). *For any vertices v, w and any $n \geq 0$,*

$$\mathbb{E}_v[\#\{u \in N(n) : Z(u) = w\}] = m_{\mu}^n \mathbb{P}_v(X_n = w)$$

where $(X_n, n \geq 0)$ is a random walk driven by P .

We can now prove our key proposition.

Proof of Proposition 4.1: Let $N^R(n)$ be the set of particles in the red BRW at time n , and $N^B(n)$ the set of particles in the blue BRW at time n . Using independence

and then applying Lemma 4.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\#\{\text{purple vertices}\}] &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{x \in G} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}_{\{\exists u \in N^R(k), v \in N^B(n): Z(u)=Z(v)=x\}}\right] \\ &\leq \sum_{x \in G} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[\#\{u \in N^R(k) : Z(u) = x\}] \mathbb{E}[\#\{v \in N^B(n) : Z(v) = x\}] \\ &= \sum_{x \in G} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m_{\mu}^k \mathbb{P}_v(X_k = x) \cdot m_{\mu}^n \mathbb{P}_w(X_n = x). \end{aligned}$$

But by quasi-symmetry,

$$\sum_{x \in G} \mathbb{P}_v(X_k = x) \mathbb{P}_w(X_n = x) \leq C \sum_{x \in G} \mathbb{P}_v(X_k = x) \mathbb{P}_x(X_n = w) = C \mathbb{P}_v(X_{k+n} = w),$$

so

$$\mathbb{E}[\#\{\text{purple vertices}\}] \leq C \sum_{k,n} m_{\mu}^{k+n} \mathbb{P}_v(X_{k+n} = w) = C \sum_n (n+1) m_{\mu}^n \mathbb{P}_v(X_n = w).$$

Thus whenever this quantity is finite the Proposition, and therefore Theorem 1.1, holds. \square

We remark here that Müller (2009) obtained the same condition for ensuring that BRW on a Cayley graph is *dynamically stable*: that is, if we construct a BRW and then rerandomise each random walk step at rate 1, there is never a time at which the BRW is recurrent.

Relaxing our assumptions even further, we obtain the following even when the underlying random walk is not quasi-symmetric.

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose that*

$$\sum_{k,n=0}^{\infty} \rho(P)^{-(k+n)} \mathbb{P}(X_k = X'_n) < \infty$$

where $(X_n, n \geq 0)$ and $(X'_n, n \geq 0)$ are independent random walks driven by P . If $m_{\mu} = 1/\rho(P) > 1$, then $\text{BRW}(G, \mu, P)$ has infinitely many ends almost surely.

5. One-ended branching random walks, and some open problems

It is natural to ask whether a converse of Theorem 1.1 might hold. But we already know that a full converse cannot hold: simple random walk on $T_3 \times \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) \rho^{-n} \mathbb{P}_v(X_n = v) = \infty,$$

but the corresponding critical BRW has infinitely many ends.

Can we, then, construct a non-trivial critical BRW on a graph G with $\rho(P_G) < 1$ whose trace is one-ended? If we allow ourselves to bias our random walk in one direction, then the answer is yes. (For simple random walk, the answer is still yes, but the construction is more difficult and we save it for later.) We return to considering $G = T_3 \times \mathbb{Z}$, but this time we bias the random walk so that on \mathbb{Z} it is more likely to move in one direction than the other. To be precise, let P_{T_3} drive

simple random walk on T_3 , and $P_{\mathbb{Z}}(p)$ drive the random walk on \mathbb{Z} that moves right with probability p and left with probability $1 - p$. Let $P(p) = \frac{1}{2}P_{T_3} \oplus \frac{1}{2}P_{\mathbb{Z}}(p)$.

Proposition 5.1. *For $P(p)$ as above with $p \neq 1/2$, the trace of critical BRW on $T_3 \times \mathbb{Z}$ has one end almost surely.*

Proof: Just as in the proof that the symmetric case has infinitely many ends, this essentially follows from comparing the spectral radius of lazy random walk on T_3 with the spectral radius of $P(p)$. Indeed, just as in the proof of Proposition 3.1, we have $\rho(L) = \sqrt{2}/3 + 1/2$; but this time $\rho(P(p)) = \sqrt{2}/3 + \sqrt{p(1-p)} < \rho(L)$. We deduce that each copy of \mathbb{Z} is hit “often enough” that there is only one end; the rest of the proof is concerned with making this statement precise. We resort to the use of purple vertices.

Fix a copy of \mathbb{Z} ; call it Z_0 . Since $m = 1/\rho(P(p)) > 1/\rho(L)$, the lazy BRW on T_3 is recurrent and therefore the (non-lazy) BRW on $T_3 \times \mathbb{Z}$ hits Z_0 infinitely often. Take a realisation of the BRW, and choose two particles. The descendants of the first particle we call *red*, and the descendants of the second particle we call *blue*. Any site in Z_0 that is hit by both red and blue particles we colour purple.

We first show that there are infinitely many purple sites almost surely, and then deduce that the trace is one-ended. Let p_n be the probability that a biased RW started from a site in Z_0 is in Z_0 at time n ; otherwise defined, p_n is the return probability of the lazy random walk on T_3 at time n . Since $\rho(L) > \rho(P(p))$, we can choose k such that

$$p_k > \rho(P(p))^k. \quad (5.1)$$

Fix a red particle in Z_0 . Call it u . Let $S_0^u = \{u\}$, and for $j \geq 1$ define

$$S_j^u = \{\text{descendants of particles in } S_{j-1}^u \text{ that are in } Z_0 \text{ at time } jk\}.$$

Let $Y_j^u = |S_j^u|$ for each j . Then $(Y_j^u, j \geq 0)$ is a Galton-Watson process whose birth distribution λ satisfies (by Lemma 4.2)

$$\mathbb{E}[\lambda] = \rho(P(p))^{-k} p_k > 1.$$

Let Q^u be the event that this Galton-Watson process survives forever, $Q^u = \{Y_j^u \geq 1, \forall j \geq 0\}$. Note that the probability that Q^u occurs does not depend on the choice of u ; let $q = \mathbb{P}(Q^u) > 0$.

We choose a red particle in Z_0 via the following algorithm:

Let u_1 be the first red particle to hit Z_0 (in the case of ties, let u_1 be any one of the candidates arbitrarily). If Q^{u_1} occurs, then choose u_1 . For each $i \geq 2$, if $Q^{u_{i-1}}$ does not occur, then let u_i be the first red particle to hit Z_0 that is not a descendant of u_{i-1} . If Q^{u_i} occurs, then choose u_i .

Since each particle’s Galton-Watson process is independent of the others, and each has a fixed probability of surviving forever, the algorithm terminates with probability one. Then we have an infinite sequence v_1, v_2, \dots of red particles in Z_0 each of which is at distance at most k from another (our random walk cannot move further than distance k in time k). For any particle v , let $Y(v)$ be the projection of that particle’s position (in $T_3 \times \mathbb{Z}$) onto Z_0 . Since the process is transient, by taking a subsequence and re-ordering if necessary, we may assume that $Y(v_i) > Y(v_{i-1})$ for each $i \geq 1$.

Similarly we can construct an increasing sequence of blue particles w_1, w_2, \dots in Z_0 with spacing at most k (where k is such that (5.1) is satisfied). From these two sequences of particles, we see that there are infinitely many pairs of red and

blue particles within distance $\lceil k/2 \rceil$ of each other. Since the RW has a positive probability of stepping upwards $\lceil k/2 \rceil$ times in a row, there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that each pair has probability at least δ of generating a purple vertex independently of the others. Thus we must have infinitely many purple vertices.

Now fix any two vertices v and w . If we start a red BRW from v and a blue BRW from w , then we get infinitely many purple dots almost surely. That means that if v and w are in the trace, then there are almost surely infinitely many distinct paths between v and w within the trace. Thus the probability that there exist two vertices v and w in the trace, that do not have infinitely many distinct paths between them within the trace, is zero. Since the BRW is transient, and so for any $R > 0$ with probability one only finitely many particles ever enter $B(o, R)$, we deduce that the trace has only one end. \square

On $T_3 \times T_3$, the situation is more complicated. We introduce a bias on one of the factors by choosing one direction in the tree to be “up” and sending particles in that direction with probability p , and the other direction with probability $1 - p$. A rigorous description follows.

Choose an isometric embedding $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow T_3$; that is, choose a two-sided infinite path in T_3 and label the vertices on that path $\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots$. For each vertex v in T_3 , we give it a *height* $h(v) = \arg \min_k d(v, \phi(k)) - \min_k d(v, \phi(k))$. If we view ϕ as labelling certain vertices in T_3 , then $h(v)$ is the label of the closest vertex in $\phi(\mathbb{Z})$ minus the graph distance between v and $\phi(\mathbb{Z})$. Note that each vertex with height h has two neighbours of heights $h + 1$ and one of height $h - 1$. Now define a random walk with transition kernel P_p on T_3 which jumps from a vertex with height h to its neighbour of height $h - 1$ with probability p , and to each of its neighbours of height $h + 1$ with probability $(1 - p)/2$. Let $\tilde{P}_p = \frac{1}{2}P_p \oplus \frac{1}{2}P_{T_3}$. Suppose that for each p , μ_p is an offspring distribution such that $m_{\mu_p} = 1/\rho(\tilde{P}_p)$.

Example 5.2. The trace of $\text{BRW}(T_3 \times T_3, \mu_{1/2}, \tilde{P}_{1/2})$ has infinitely many ends almost surely: this follows from exactly the same argument as Proposition 3.1. The trace of $\text{BRW}(T_3 \times T_3, \mu_{1/3}, \tilde{P}_{1/3})$ also has infinitely many ends almost surely, by Theorem 1.1. On the other hand, if $p > 1/2$, then the trace of $\text{BRW}(T_3 \times T_3, \mu_p, \tilde{P}_p)$ has one end almost surely. The proof of this is almost identical to that of Proposition 5.1, except that we have to look at a copy of \mathbb{Z} embedded in T_3 .

We do not know how many ends the trace of $\text{BRW}(T_3 \times T_3, \mu_p, \tilde{P}_p)$ has when $p \in (0, 1/3) \cup (1/3, 1/2)$.

What if we insist that our random walk is simple isotropic random walk? Can we construct a graph on which the corresponding critical BRW is one-ended? Again, the answer is yes.

Example 5.3. Let $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, and let \mathbb{T} be the rooted tree in which every vertex has four children, and every vertex except the root has one parent. We say that the root has generation 0, its children have generation 1, and so on. Construct a graph H by joining $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ to every vertex in generation n and every vertex in generation $n + 1$ in \mathbb{T} , for each $n \geq 0$.

Denote by P_H the transition kernel governing simple random walk on H . We claim that $\rho(P_H) \in (0, 1)$, but if $m_\mu = 1/\rho(P_H)$, then $\text{BRW}(H, \mu, P_H)$ has one end almost surely.

To check that $\rho(P_H) < 1$, let $(X_n, n \geq 0)$ be simple random walk on H . Let L_k be the vertices in generation k of \mathbb{T} , together with vertex $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{n+1} \in L_{k+1} | X_n \in L_k) \geq 4/7$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{n+1} \in L_j | X_n \in L_k) = 0 \quad \text{for } j \notin \{k-1, k, k+1\}.$$

By coupling with a random walk on \mathbb{Z} that jumps right with probability $4/7$ and left with probability $3/7$, we see that $\rho(P_H) < 1$.

On the other hand, starting at the root o of \mathbb{T} , by repeatedly jumping to generation 1 and then back to the root, we get

$$P(X_{2n} = o) \geq (4/5)^n (1/7)^n$$

so $\rho(P_H) > 0$.

Showing that the critical BRW is one-ended can be done in a very similar way to the proof of Proposition 5.1. Choose any two particles in the BRW, and colour their descendants red and blue respectively; any site hit by both a red and a blue particle is coloured purple. Clearly a random walk on H eventually hits \mathbb{N}_0 almost surely, so \mathbb{N}_0 is hit infinitely often by red particles and infinitely often by blue particles. We can ensure (for example by constructing embedded Galton-Watson processes as in the proof of Proposition 5.1) that there are almost surely infinitely many red-blue pairs within distance at most k of each other. Each of these pairs has at least a fixed probability $\delta > 0$ of creating a purple dot (since this time \mathbb{N}_0 does not have bounded degree, we have to allow particles to bounce back and forth between \mathbb{N}_0 and \mathbb{T}). Thus we have infinitely many purple dots almost surely, and since our initial choice of red and blue particles was arbitrary, this guarantees that we have only one end.

Remark 5.4. By gluing together various copies of graphs already constructed, it is easy to construct graphs on which critical BRW has any number of ends. For example, if we glue two copies of H and one copy of $T_3 \times T_3$ at a single vertex, then we have a graph on which critical BRW has one end, two ends, or infinitely many ends, each with positive probability.

Open problem. Does there exist a quasi-symmetric random walk on a graph G with $\rho < 1$ such that the corresponding critical BRW is one-ended almost surely?

Remark 5.5. An earlier version of this article mentioned a result of [Lalley and Sellke \(1997\)](#) which says that critical branching Brownian motion on the hyperbolic plane has a limit set that does not have full measure. We hypothesised that by analogy one might expect critical branching random walks on co-compact Fuchsian groups (corresponding to tilings of the hyperbolic plane) to have infinitely many ends. Since then [Gilch and Müller \(2014\)](#) have shown that indeed, critical branching random walks on planar hyperbolic Cayley graphs have infinitely many ends.

Finally, we relay a conjecture from Itai Benjamini.

Conjecture 5.6 (Itai Benjamini, private communication). *On any vertex-transitive graph G with $\rho(P_G) < 1$, the trace of $\text{BRW}(G, 1/\rho(P_G), P_G)$ has infinitely many ends.*

6. Appendix on product random walks

Given random walks $X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(d)}$ on G_1, \dots, G_d respectively, and real values $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d \geq 0$ with $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_d = 1$, we define the $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d)$ -product random walk X on $G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_d$ by setting

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{(v_1, \dots, v_d)}(X_1 = (w_1, \dots, w_d)) &= \\ &= \begin{cases} \alpha_l \mathbb{P}(X_1^{(l)} = w_l | X_0^{(l)} = v_l) & \text{if } w_k = v_k \ \forall k \neq l, w_l \neq v_l \\ \sum_{k=1}^d \alpha_k \mathbb{P}(X_1^{(k)} = v_k | X_0^{(k)} = v_k) & \text{if } w_k = v_k \ \forall k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We write $P = \alpha_1 P^{(1)} \oplus \dots \oplus \alpha_d P^{(d)}$ for the transition kernel of this random walk. For vertex-transitive graphs, there are at least two natural choices for α_i : we could take $\alpha_i = 1/d$ for each i , or $\alpha_i = \deg(G_i) / \sum_j \deg(G_j)$ where $\deg(G_j)$ is the degree of an arbitrary vertex in G_j . The latter choice corresponds to simple isotropic random walk on $G_1 \times \dots \times G_d$.

The following lemma, due to [Cartwright and Soardi \(1987\)](#), tells us how to translate results about return probabilities on certain graphs into results about return probabilities on their Cartesian products. We recall that the Cayley graph $G(Y)$ of a group Y with generating set S has as its vertex set the elements of Y , with two vertices $v, w \in Y$ joined by an edge if $v = ws$ for some $s \in S$. We write that $\mathbb{P}(X_n = v) \sim b_n$ if $\mathbb{P}(X_n = v)/b_n \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ through values such that $\mathbb{P}(X_n = v) > 0$.

Lemma 6.1. *Suppose that G_1, \dots, G_d are Cayley graphs with associated random walks $X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(d)}$. Let X be the $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d)$ -product random walk on $G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_d$. Fix $v = (v_1, \dots, v_d) \in V(G)$. Suppose that there exist constants $C_1, \dots, C_d > 0$ and a_1, \dots, a_d such that for each $k = 1, \dots, d$,*

$$\mathbb{P}_{v_k}(X_n^{(k)} = v_k) \sim C_j \frac{\rho_k^n}{n^{a_k}}.$$

Then there exists C such that

$$\mathbb{P}_v(X_n = v) \sim C \frac{(\alpha_1 \rho_1 + \dots + \alpha_d \rho_d)^n}{n^{a_1 + \dots + a_d}}.$$

Note in particular that the choice of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$ affects the spectral radius in the obvious way, and has no effect on the polynomial terms. Thus the proofs in earlier sections are unaffected by choosing different $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$. For example, the results on $T_3 \times \mathbb{Z}$ where we used $\frac{1}{2}P_{T_3} \oplus \frac{1}{2}P_{\mathbb{Z}}$ hold also for $\frac{3}{5}P_{T_3} \oplus \frac{2}{5}P_{\mathbb{Z}}$, which corresponds to simple isotropic random walk on $T_3 \times \mathbb{Z}$.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Itai Benjamini for asking us these questions, and for several helpful discussions. We are also grateful to Steven Lalley, Yuval Peres and Yehuda Pinchover for their help. During this project EC received support from the Austrian Academy of Science (DOC-fFORTE fellowship, project number D-1503000014); Microsoft Research; Austrian Science Fund (FWF): S09606, part of the Austrian National Research Network ‘‘Analytic Combinatorics and Probabilistic Number Theory’’; and Project DK plus, funded by FWF, Austrian Science Fund (project number E-1503W01230). MR was supported by a CRM-ISM postdoctoral

fellowship, McGill University, the University of Warwick, and EPSRC Fellowship EP/K007440/1.

References

- I. Benjamini and S. Müller. On the trace of branching random walks. *Groups Geom. Dyn.* **6** (2), 231–247 (2012). [MR2914859](#).
- I. Benjamini and Y. Peres. Markov chains indexed by trees. *Ann. Probab.* **22** (1), 219–243 (1994). [MR1258875](#).
- D. Bertacchi and F. Zucca. Critical behaviors and critical values of branching random walks on multigraphs. *J. Appl. Probab.* **45** (2), 481–497 (2008). [MR2426846](#).
- D. Bertacchi and F. Zucca. Strong local survival of branching random walks is not monotone. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.* **46** (2), 400–421 (2014). [MR3215539](#).
- V. Cammarota and E. Orsingher. Cascades of particles moving at finite velocity in hyperbolic spaces. *J. Stat. Phys.* **133** (6), 1137–1159 (2008). [MR2462014](#).
- E. Candellero, L.A. Gilch and S. Müller. Branching random walks on free products of groups. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* **104** (6), 1085–1120 (2012). [MR2946082](#).
- D.I. Cartwright and P. M. Soardi. A local limit theorem for random walks on the Cartesian product of discrete groups. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A (7)* **1** (1), 107–115 (1987). [MR880107](#).
- D.I. Cartwright and P.M. Soardi. Random walks on free products, quotients and amalgams. *Nagoya Math. J.* **102**, 163–180 (1986). [MR846137](#).
- N. Gantert and S. Müller. The critical branching Markov chain is transient. *Markov Process. Related Fields* **12** (4), 805–814 (2006). [MR2284404](#).
- L. Gilch and S. Müller. Ends of branching random walks on planar hyperbolic Cayley graphs. *ArXiv Mathematics e-prints* (2014). [arXiv: 1409.3443](#).
- A. Grigor’yan and M. Kelbert. Recurrence and transience of branching diffusion processes on Riemannian manifolds. *Ann. Probab.* **31** (1), 244–284 (2003). [MR1959793](#).
- I. Hueter and S.P. Lalley. Anisotropic branching random walks on homogeneous trees. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **116** (1), 57–88 (2000). [MR1736590](#).
- F.I. Karpelevich, E.A. Pechersky and Yu.M. Suhov. A phase transition for hyperbolic branching processes. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **195** (3), 627–642 (1998). [MR1641015](#).
- S.P. Lalley and T. Sellke. Hyperbolic branching Brownian motion. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **108** (2), 171–192 (1997). [MR1452555](#).
- T.M. Liggett. Branching random walks and contact processes on homogeneous trees. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **106** (4), 495–519 (1996a). [MR1421990](#).
- T.M. Liggett. Multiple transition points for the contact process on the binary tree. *Ann. Probab.* **24** (4), 1675–1710 (1996b). [MR1415225](#).
- R. Lyons. Phase transitions on nonamenable graphs. *J. Math. Phys.* **41** (3), 1099–1126 (2000). Probabilistic techniques in equilibrium and nonequilibrium statistical physics. [MR1757952](#).
- S. Müller. Recurrence for branching Markov chains. *Electron. Commun. Probab.* **13**, 576–605 (2008). [MR2461533](#).
- S. Müller. Dynamical sensitivity of recurrence and transience of branching random walks. *ArXiv Mathematics e-prints* (2009). [arXiv: 0907.4557](#).
- R. Pemantle. The contact process on trees. *Ann. Probab.* **20** (4), 2089–2116 (1992). [MR1188054](#).

- A.M. Stacey. The existence of an intermediate phase for the contact process on trees. *Ann. Probab.* **24** (4), 1711–1726 (1996). [MR1415226](#).
- W. Woess. *Random walks on infinite graphs and groups*, volume 138 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2000). ISBN 0-521-55292-3. [MR1743100](#).