Original citation:

Permanent WRAP url:
http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/72106

Copyright and reuse:
The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work of researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions.

This article is made available under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (CC BY 4.0) and may be reused according to the conditions of the license. For more details see: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

A note on versions:
The version presented in WRAP is the published version, or, version of record, and may be cited as it appears here.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: publications@warwick.ac.uk
RESEARCH ARTICLE

Phytophthora infestans RXLR-WY Effector AVR3a Associates with Dynamin-Related Protein 2 Required for Endocytosis of the Plant Pattern Recognition Receptor FLS2

Angela Chaparro-Garcia, Simon Schwizer\textsuperscript{a}b, Jan Sklenar, Kentaro Yoshida\textsuperscript{c}, Benjamin Petre, Jorunn I. B. Bos\textsuperscript{d}, Sebastian Schornack\textsuperscript{e}, Alexandra M. E. Jones\textsuperscript{f}, Tolga O. Bozkurt\textsuperscript{g}\textsuperscript{*}, Sophien Kamoun\textsuperscript{*}

The Sainsbury Laboratory, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, United Kingdom

\textsuperscript{a} Current address: Boyce Thompson Institute for Plant Research, Ithaca, New York, United States of America.
\textsuperscript{b} Current address: Department of Plant Pathology and Plant-Microbe Biology, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, United States of America.
\textsuperscript{c} Current address: Graduate School of Agricultural Science, Kobe University, Nada-ku, Kobe, 657–8501, Japan.
\textsuperscript{d} Current address: Cell and Molecular Sciences, and Dundee Effector Consortium, James Hutton Institute, Invergowrie, Dundee, United Kingdom.
\textsuperscript{e} Current address: Sainsbury Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 1LR, UK.
\textsuperscript{f} Current address: School of Life Sciences, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom.
\textsuperscript{g} Current address: Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom.

* o.bozkurt@imperial.ac.uk (TOB); sophien.kamoun@tsl.ac.uk (SK)

Abstract

Pathogens utilize effectors to suppress basal plant defense known as PTI (Pathogen-associated molecular pattern-triggered immunity). However, our knowledge of PTI suppression by filamentous plant pathogens, i.e. fungi and oomycetes, remains fragmentary. Previous work revealed that the co-receptor BAK1/SERK3 contributes to basal immunity against the potato pathogen Phytophthora infestans. Moreover BAK1/SERK3 is required for the cell death induced by P. infestans elicitin INF1, a protein with characteristics of PAMPs. The P. infestans host-translocated RXLR-WY effector AVR3a is known to suppress INF1-mediated cell death by binding the plant E3 ligase CMPG1. In contrast, AVR3a\textsuperscript{K1-Y147del}, a deletion mutant of the C-terminal tyrosine of AVR3a, fails to bind CMPG1 and does not suppress INF1-mediated cell death. Here, we studied the extent to which AVR3a and its variants perturb additional BAK1/SERK3-dependent PTI responses in N. benthamiana using the elicitor/receptor pair flg22/FLS2 as a model. We found that all tested variants of AVR3a suppress defense responses triggered by flg22 and reduce internalization of activated FLS2. Moreover, we discovered that AVR3a associates with the Dynamin-Related Protein 2 (DRP2), a plant GTPase implicated in receptor-mediated endocytosis. Interestingly, silencing of DRP2 impaired ligand-induced FLS2 internalization but did not affect internalization of the growth receptor BRI1. Our results suggest that AVR3a associates with a key cellular trafficking and membrane-remodeling complex involved in immune response.
receptor-mediated endocytosis. We conclude that AVR3a is a multifunctional effector that can suppress BAK1/SERK3-mediated immunity through at least two different pathways.

Introduction

Plants must respond in a timely and effective manner to external cues such as biotic and abiotic stimuli. In particular, plants associate with a huge variety of microorganisms, many of which are sophisticated parasites [1–3]. To keep such parasitic microbes at bay, plants have evolved a multilayered immune system, which is triggered by microbial perception by receptors located at the cell surface and in the cytoplasm [3,4]. An important layer of defense relies on perception of pathogen associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) by cell surface localized pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), which triggers a basal defense response known as PAMP/PRR–triggered immunity (PTI) [5]. Early PTI signaling events include ion fluxes, reactive oxygen species (ROS) production, induction of mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) and calcium-dependent protein kinases (CDPKs), and transcriptional reprogramming [6–8]. Collectively, PTI contributes to immunity by delaying or arresting pathogen invasion, and in many cases PTI-deficient plant mutants become more susceptible to pathogens [9]. However, a common feature of adapted plant pathogens is the deployment of effector proteins that interfere with different steps in PTI signaling pathways [3,4,10,11]. This is particularly striking for bacterial plant pathogens, which evolved a battery of effectors to counteract PTI [3,12,13]. In contrast, our understanding of how eukaryotic pathogens, such as oomycetes and fungi, suppress PTI remains fragmentary [14–17].

The molecular signaling mechanisms activated after PAMP perception have been described for few PRRs. In Arabidopsis thaliana the leucine-rich repeat receptor-like kinases (LRR-RLKs) FLAGELLIN-SENSING 2 (FLS2) and EF-Tu RECEPTOR (EFR) recognize peptides derived from bacterial flagellin and elongation factor-Tu (EF-Tu), respectively [18]. In addition, the CHITIN ELICITOR RECEPTOR KINASE 1 (CERK1) and the LYSIN-MOTIF RECEPTOR-LIKE KINASE 5 (LYK5), together mediate binding and recognition of fungal chitin [19–24]. Activation of EFR and FLS2 leads to the recruitment of the regulatory LRR-RLK BRASSINOSTEROID INSENSITIVE 1 (BRI1)-associated receptor kinase (BAK1, also called SERK3) to activate signal transduction [25–29]. Interestingly, BAK1/SERK3 interacts with the RLK BRI1 (BRASSINOSTEROID INSENSITIVE 1) to regulate brassinosteroid signaling and plant growth [30–32], suggesting common regulatory mechanisms between plant growth and immunity [33].

In addition to LRR-RLKs, BAK1/SERK3 is also required for defense responses mediated by receptor like proteins (RLPs) [34,35]. More recently, BAK1/SERK3 emerged as a key component of basal defense against oomycetes, an important group of filamentous eukaryotic pathogens. Silencing of BAK1/SERK3 results in dramatically enhanced susceptibility and faster host colonization by the potato late blight pathogen Phytophthora infestans [36]. In addition, BAK1/SERK3 and its closest homolog BKK1/SERK4 are involved in resistance to the obligate biotrophic oomycete Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis [28], providing further evidence of the role of BAK1/SERK3-dependent basal resistance against oomycete pathogens. BAK1/SERK3 contribution to basal defense against oomycetes is most likely the result of recognition of PAMPs [26,36,37]. Indeed, BAK1/SERK3 is required for the cell death response triggered by INF1, a secreted P. infestans protein with features of PAMPs [26,36].

Plant endocytic trafficking has emerged as a dynamic process that is diverted towards sites of pathogen infection [38,39] indicating that this process may play a critical role in immune responses [40]. FLS2 undergoes constitutive endocytosis and recycles between plasma
membrane and trans-Golgi network in a BAK1-independent manner to maintain steady-state levels at the cell surface [25,41]. In addition, FLS2 undergoes ligand-induced re-localization to endosomal vesicles in a BAK1-dependent manner [41–44]. Therefore, FLS2 traffics through two different endocytic pathways depending on its activation status. Furthermore, BR1 and BAK1/SERK3 also undergo constitutive recycling via endosomes and can localize to overlapping endosomal compartments [32]. Receptor-mediated endocytosis was initially thought as a mechanism for attenuation of signaling through depletion of activated receptor complexes. Further studies in animal systems revealed that receptor internalization contributes to additional signaling at endocytic compartments [45]. In animal cells, late endosomal compartments, which contain internalized receptors, regulate signaling events such as pro-inflammatory signaling, growth, and development [45–49]. In plants, localization of BR1 and BAK1/SERK3 as well as a brassinosteroid analog at the same endosomal compartments pointed to a possible link between internalization and signaling in growth regulation [32,50,51]. Nonetheless, defense-related endocytic signaling has yet to be unambiguously demonstrated in plants.

Mechanisms of receptor-mediated endocytosis involve clathrin-mediated and clathrin-independent pathways, resulting in the recruitment of a plasma membrane cargo [52–54] that is later invaginated and pinched off into the cytoplasm often by the action of the large GTPase dynamin [53,55]. Dynamin is a ~100 kDa protein that self-assembles into rings and helices to promote structural reorganization to mediate membrane fission [55]. The mechanistic details of clathrin-mediated endocytosis have been well established in animal cells and dynamin has been implicated in the internalization of the immunity-related Interleukin-2 Receptor (IL-2R) [56]. However, in plants, mechanisms of endocytosis have not been explicitly studied [57,58] and the extent to which dynamin or dynamin-like proteins play a role in receptor mediated endocytosis or plant immunity remains poorly understood.

P. infestans is the causal agent of potato and tomato late blight and a major threat to food security [59]. This oomycete pathogen deploys a large set of effectors that target multiple host cellular sites. Cytoplasmic effectors include the RXLR class, whose members are modular proteins that translocate inside host cells [60]. The biochemical activity of RXLR effectors is carried out by their C-terminal domains, which often contain variations of the conserved WY-domain fold [61,62]. One example of RXLR-WY effector is AVR3a of P. infestans. In P. infestans populations, Avr3a has two major allelic variants encoding the proteins AVR3aKI and AVR3aEM, which differ in two amino acids in the mature protein and are differentially recognized by the potato immune receptor R3a [63–68]. Contrary to AVR3aEM, AVR3aKI induces R3a-mediated resistance and confers avirulence to homoygous or heterozygous strains of the pathogen [63]. In host plants that do not carry R3a, AVR3aKI effectively suppresses the cell death induced by P. infestans INF1 elicin and is thought to contribute to pathogen virulence through this and other immune suppression activities [64,65,69]. Remarkably, AVR3aKI-Y147del, a mutant with a deleted C-terminal tyrosine residue, is not affected in activation of R3a but fails to suppress INF1-mediated cell death, demonstrating that distinct amino acids condition the two AVR3a activities [65]. Moreover, AVR3aKI-Y147del neither binds nor stabilizes the plant E3 ubiquitin ligase protein CMPG1, which is required for INF1-mediated cell death, further uncoupling the effector activities [65,67]. The current model is that AVR3a, but not AVR3aKI-Y147del, binds and stabilizes CMPG1 to suppress BAK1/SERK3-regulated immunity triggered by INF1 during the biotrophic phase of P. infestans infection [67].

The current study was prompted by our discovery that natural variants of the P. infestans effector AVR3a (AVR3aKI and AVR3aEM) and the AVR3aKI-Y147del mutant suppress FLS2-dependent early responses. This contrasts sharply with the differential activities of these three AVR3a variants in suppressing INF1-mediated cell death, another BAK1/SERK3-dependent pathway. The ability of the AVR3aKI-Y147del mutant to suppress FLS2-dependent responses would be interesting.
revealed that AVR3a can suppress BAK1/SERK3-dependent responses in a CMPG1-independent manner. Furthermore, AVR3a reduced the internalization of the activated FLS2 receptor but did not interfere with its non-activated plasma membrane localization, indicating that this effector might target cellular trafficking initiated at the cell periphery. Consistent with this model, we found that AVR3a associates with a plant GTPase dynamin-related protein 2 (DRP2) involved in receptor-mediated endocytosis, implicating AVR3a in a cellular trafficking complex. Furthermore, we found that DRP2 is required for internalization of FLS2 and that overexpression of DRP2 suppressed PRR-dependent accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS). We conclude that AVR3a associates with a key cellular trafficking and membrane-remodeling complex that may be required for PRR endocytic trafficking.

**Results**

**AVR3a suppresses PTI in a CMPG1-independent manner**

To determine the degree to which AVR3a suppresses PAMP-elicited defense responses besides INF1-mediated cell death, we measured reactive oxygen species (ROS) production and defense gene induction in *N. benthamiana* triggered by bacterial and oomycete elicitors. Plants transiently expressing epitope tagged variants of AVR3a (FLAG-AVR3aKI, FLAG-AVR3aEM, FLA-G-AVR3aKI-Y147del) or a vector control (pBinplus::ΔGFP) were treated with flg22 (100 nM) or INF1 (10 μg/ml) and the transient accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) was followed over 45 minutes or 22 hours, respectively. We observed that all variants of AVR3a reproducibly suppressed flg22-induced ROS accumulation to the same extent whereas AVR3aKI suppressed INF1-induced ROS accumulation more effectively than the other two variants, which is consistent with previous reports [64,65] (Fig 1A and 1C). We obtained similar results after flg22 treatment in *N. benthamiana* and *A. thaliana* plants stably expressing AVR3a, validating the transient expression assays (Panel A and B in S1 Fig).

The immune responses triggered by another bacterial PAMP, EF-Tu, overlap and share signaling components with those triggered by flagellin [28,70,71]. Therefore, we tested the effect of AVR3a on ROS production triggered by the EF-Tu derived peptide elf18. We found that elf18 ROS production was significantly impaired to a similar extent by all variants of AVR3a (Panel A in S2 Fig). In contrast, the BAK1/SERK3-independent ROS production in response to chitin was not affected by any of the AVR3a variants (Panel B in S2 Fig). These results may indicate that AVR3a suppresses BAK1/SERK3-dependent pathways but not BAK1-independent immune responses.

One of the outcomes of PAMP elicitation is transcriptional reprogramming, a defense response occurring downstream of ROS production [9]. Therefore, we monitored the effect of AVR3a on gene expression of the previously characterized PTI marker genes *NbCYP71D20* and *NbACRE31* [26,72]. *NbCYP71D20* expression was induced ~12-fold by flg22 and ~80-fold by INF1 treatment in control plants (Fig 1B and 1D). All AVR3a variants reduced the induction of *NbCYP71D20* by flg22 by approximately 80% (Fig 1B) whereas reduction of INF1-elicited gene induction was about 40% for AVR3aKI and AVR3aEM with no reduction observed for the AVR3aKI-Y147del variant (Fig 1D). Similarly, induction of *NbACRE31* by flg22 treatment decreased by 80% in the presence of all variants of AVR3a (Panel C in S1 Fig). In contrast, AVR3a did not suppress *NbACRE31* expression after INF1 elicitation, although INF1 induction of this gene was very low (Panel D in S1 Fig).

Overall, our results indicate that in addition to suppressing INF1-mediated cell death [64,65,67], AVR3a has the capacity of suppressing PTI responses mediated by the BAK1/SERK3-dependent cell surface receptors FLS2 and EFR. Remarkably, all the variants of AVR3a, including AVR3aKI-Y147del, which neither suppresses INF1-mediated cell death nor interacts...
with the E3 ligase CMPG1, suppressed flg22 responses to the same extent. These findings indicate that the newly identified suppression activity is CMPG1-independent and that the AVR3a effector may suppress PTI through multiple mechanisms.

AVR3a does not alter receptor levels at the cell surface or receptor complex formation

The bacterial effector AvrPtoB targets FLS2 for degradation to suppress plant immunity [73]. This prompted us to determine whether the suppression of flg22-triggered responses by AVR3a involved perturbation of FLS2 or BAK1 protein accumulation or complex formation [74,75]. To address this question, we transiently co-expressed AVR3a variants with FLS2-GFP.
or BAK1/SERK3-YFP in *N. benthamiana* and assessed the fusion protein levels. We found that AVR3a did not alter FLS2 or BAK1/SERK3 protein accumulation *in planta* (Fig 2A). Next, we tested whether AVR3aK1 perturbed heterodimerization of FLS2 with BAK1/SERK3 after flg22 treatment [25,26,28]. We used leaves of transgenic *N. benthamiana* plants stably expressing AVR3aK1 or a vector control and transiently expressed FLS2-GFP and BAK1/SERK3-HA. In the presence of AVR3aK1 a double band signal appeared (WB:HA) for BAK1/SERK3 total protein extracts (Fig 2B) that was not seen after immunoprecipitation. However, this observation was not consistent between experiments and probably is the result of protein degradation during protein extraction. After co-immunoprecipitation, AVR3a did not prevent the flg22-triggered association between FLS2 and BAK1/SERK3 (Fig 2B). In summary, these results suggest that AVR3a does not interfere with receptor protein accumulation or complex formation and that the effector suppression of flg22 responses most likely occurs downstream of FLS2/BAK1 heterodimerization.

**AVR3a interferes with FLS2 internalization**

We hypothesized that AVR3a alters the subcellular distribution of FLS2 and/or BAK1/SERK3 to perturb their activities. To determine the effect of AVR3a on subcellular distribution of the receptors, we transiently co-expressed FLS2-GFP or BAK1/SERK3-YFP with AVR3a variants in *N. benthamiana* and assessed the localization of the non-activated receptors by confocal microscopy. In both cases, AVR3a did not alter the previously reported plasma membrane localization of FLS2 and BAK1/SERK3 [31,42] (Fig 3A). We then examined whether AVR3a has an effect in the non-activated subcellular localization of other cell surface receptors. We co-expressed EFR and CERK1 with all AVR3a variants in *N. benthamiana* and found that AVR3a did not alter the membrane localization of these immune receptors (S3 Fig).

FLS2 activation after flg22 perception leads to endocytosis and accumulation of the receptor in mobile endosomal compartments [41,42,44]. To test whether AVR3a has an effect on the subcellular distribution of an activated receptor, we transiently expressed FLS2-GFP in *N. benthamiana* plants expressing a vector control. We detected FLS2-GFP vesicles at 80 minutes to 150 minutes after flg22 elicitation (Fig 3B and S4 Fig), whereas we rarely detected vesicle-like structures in cells treated with water (Fig 3B). Remarkably, the flg22-induced FLS2 endosomal localization was partially inhibited in plants expressing AVR3a (AVR3aK1 or AVR3aEM or AVR3aK1-Y147del) (Fig 3B and S4 Fig). To evaluate the robustness of this phenomenon, we quantified the number of flg22-induced FLS2-GFP endosomes, seen as distinct fluorescent signal in punctate structures. We found that in the presence of AVR3a (AVR3aK1 or AVR3aEM or AVR3aK1-Y147del), the number of FLS2-GFP labelled endosomes was reduced down to almost half compared to the control (Fig 3B and 3C). Thus, we conclude that AVR3a partially inhibits FLS2 internalization.

Next, we assessed whether AVR3a specifically inhibits the internalization of FLS2 or if it generally interferes with endocytic processes. For this we used BRI1, a receptor involved in development that also requires BAK1/SERK3 for its activity and shows constitutive internalization [32]. Using the same experimental procedure described above, we observed that BRI1-GFP fluorescent signal from vesicle-like structures was unaltered in the presence of AVR3aK1 (Panel A and B in S5 Fig). These results suggest that AVR3a interferes with FLS2 internalization without affecting general receptor endocytosis, possibly by targeting a plant protein specifically required for FLS2 internalization.

**AVR3a associates with DRP2, a plant protein involved in cellular trafficking**

AVR3a was previously shown to bind the E3 ligase CMPG1 [67]. To determine which additional host proteins associate with AVR3a, we used immunoprecipitation of FLAG-AVR3aK1.
Fig 2. AVR3a does not affect protein accumulation of the non-activated receptors FLS2 and BAK1 or their association in planta. (A) Transient co-expression in *N. benthamiana* of FLS2-GFP or BAK1-YFP with FLAG-AVR3a<sup>KI</sup> or FLAG-AVR3a<sup>EM</sup> or FLAG-AVR3a<sup>KI</sup>-Y147del or Vector Control (ΔGFP) as indicated. Total proteins were isolated at 2.5 days post infiltration (dpi) and total extracts were subjected to immunoprecipitation with 8 μl of anti-GFP agarose beads (Chromotek) to enrich for the GFP-tagged proteins, and detected using anti-GFP antibody. Equal amounts of protein were analyzed (Ponceau lane) in all cases. (B) Transgenic *N. benthamiana* expressing FLAG-AVR3a<sup>KI</sup> or vector control (ΔGFP) were transiently infiltrated with a mix (1:1) of *Phytophthora* AVR3a Effector Associates with Dynamin.
expressed in *N. benthamiana* followed by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analysis using previously described methods [76]. We identified four proteins that associated with AVR3aKI that had unique peptide counts compared to the controls in two biological replicates (S1 Table). We selected a homolog of the GTPase dynamin-related protein (DRP) (NCBE_074039.1) for further investigation based on the established role of dynamins in cellular trafficking and clathrin-mediated endocytosis in animal systems [77]. In mammals, dynamin is a five-domain protein consisting of a GTPase domain, an unstructured middle domain, a Pleckstrin-homology domain, a GTPase effector (GED) domain and a proline-rich domain, and its functions range from vesicle scission during endocytosis to the formation of the tubular-vesicular network during cytokinesis [77]. In Arabidopsis, DRP2A and DRP2B (previously known as ADL6 and ADL3, respectively) are the only dynamin-related proteins (DRP) with a domain architecture similar to other canonical dynamins [77–80]. Database searches using the tblastn algorithm and NCBE_074039.1 as the protein query sequence revealed that DRP2A and DRP2B are the most similar proteins for NCBE_074039.1. Therefore, we designed primers based on the Arabidopsis DRP2A and DRP2B sequences and the partial *N. benthamiana* sequences available at the time of the experiment. However, PCR reactions using these primers and *N. benthamiana* leaf cDNA as a matrix did not produce amplicons. As an alternative strategy, we cloned two putative DRP2 proteins from *Nicotiana tabacum*, termed NtDRP2–1 and NtDRP2–2, which share 99% amino acid sequence identity. Sequence analysis revealed that NtDRP2–1 and NtDRP2–2 have the classical five-domain structure of canonical dynamin proteins [77] and have 77% amino acid sequence similarity to the Arabidopsis DRP2 proteins (S6 Fig). To determine the evolutionary relationship of NtDRP2–1/2 to other plant DRPs, we first searched the genomes of solanaceous species, Arabidopsis and other dicot plants for proteins containing the dynamin signature [81]. Next, we constructed a maximum likelihood tree based on the amino acid sequence alignment of the conserved GTPase-domain of 45 identified DRPs (S7 Fig and S2 Table). The tree confirmed that NtDRP2–1/2 are most similar to AtDRP2A and AtDRP2B among the 11 Arabidopsis DRPs (S7 Fig).

We then investigated the subcellular localization of NtDRP2 to determine whether it is consistent with the presumed function of DRPs in cellular trafficking. Transient expression of GFP-NtDRP2–1 and GFP-NtDRP2–2 in *N. benthamiana* followed by confocal microscopy revealed that GFP-NtDRP2–1 and GFP-NtDRP2–2 mainly localized to the plasma membrane, as confirmed by the formation of thin cytoplasmic strands at points of adhesion between the plasma membrane and the cell wall (Hechtian strands) after plasmolysis treatment (Panel B and C in S8 Fig). In addition, GFP-NtDRP2–1 and GFP-NtDRP2–2 localized to the cytosol in a punctate pattern (Panel C in S8 Fig). This subcellular distribution is consistent with the reported localization of canonical dynamins in animal, yeast and Arabidopsis [77,78,82,83].

Next we validated the association of AVR3a with the cloned NtDRP2–1 in planta by co-immunoprecipitation analysis. All three AVR3a variants co-immunoprecipitated with GFP-NtDRP2–1 when expressed in *N. benthamiana* as FLAG epitope tagged proteins (Fig 4). The RXLR effector AVRblb2 was used as a negative control in these experiments as it failed to co-immunoprecipitate with NtDRP2–1 (Fig 4). We also used the *Phytophthora capsici* effector PcAVR3a-4 [61] as an additional negative control because this AVR3a homolog does not
suppress the ROS burst induced by flg22 (Panel B in S9 Fig). Indeed, in side-by-side co-immunoprecipitation experiments we only detected a weak NtDRP2–1 band signal after increasing exposure times (Panel A in S9 Fig). Overall, these results confirm that all AVR3a variants associate with NtDRP2–1 and suggest this association may be linked to the ability of AVR3a to suppress responses elicited by flg22.

**DRP2 dynamin is required for FLS2 internalization**

In a recent independent study, Smith and colleagues [84] showed that DRP2B functions in flg22-signaling and bacterial immunity in Arabidopsis [84]. To further investigate the link between AVR3a activities and NtDRP2, and notably the degree to which DRP2 is required for FLS2 internalization, we used RNAi silencing experiments. We designed silencing constructs that target *N. benthamiana* DRP2 Nb05397 (NbDRP2–1) and Nb31648 (NbDRP2–2), which are the most similar proteins to NtDRP2–1 and NtDRP2–2 in *N. benthamiana* (S7 Fig). Reports in Arabidopsis showed that members of the DRP2 family are implicated in cell cytokinesis and post-Golgi vesicular trafficking, and are essential for development since *drp2* double mutants exhibit pleiotropic developmental defects [78–80,85]. Indeed, using virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) in *N. benthamiana*, we observed that plants silenced for NbDRP2–1 and NbDRP2–2 display severe developmental defects and ultimately become necrotic and died confirming the essential role of dynamins in plant development (S10 Fig). To circumvent VIGS-induced lethality, we transiently expressed a DRP2-targeted hairpin-silencing construct in fully developed *N. benthamiana* leaves to silence Nb05397 and Nb31648 (Panel A in S11 Fig). Using quantitative RT-PCR, we confirmed that the hairpin construct reduced the mRNA levels of Nb05397 and Nb31648 but not of the two other related *N. benthamiana* DRP2 genes Nb11538 and Nb09838, indicating that the RNAi silencing is specific to the targeted *N. benthamiana* DRP2 genes (Panel B in S11 Fig). We also confirmed that the silenced epidermal cells remained viable by staining with propidium iodide (Panel C in S11 Fig).

Next, we used the hairpin RNAi system to determine the effect of silencing NbDRP2 in *N. benthamiana* leaves on flg22-induced internalization of FLS2-GFP. We found that FLS2-GFP containing vesicles were reduced in the NbDRP2 silenced leaves upon flg22 treatment, but were clearly visible in control-silenced leaves (Fig 5A and 5C). Moreover, silencing NbDRP2 did not alter accumulation of FLS2 at the plasma membrane in water treated samples (Fig 5A), indicating that DRP2 only affects FLS2 after activation. To determine whether the effect of NbDRP2-silencing on receptor internalization is specific to FLS2, we performed the same NbDRP2 RNAi experiments with BRI1. Remarkably, BRI1-GFP constitutive endocytosis was not affected in
leaves silenced for NbDRP2 and a similar number of BRI1-GFP labelled vesicles was observed in the NbDRP2 RNAi treatment compared to the negative control (Panel C and E in S5 Fig). We conclude that NbDRP2 is required for ligand-induced endocytosis of FLS2 and that this requirement might be specific for immune-related receptors. Importantly, these findings are consistent with our earlier finding that AVR3a interferes with the internalization of the activated FLS2 receptor but not BRI1 (Fig 3B and 3C, S4 and panel A and B in S5 Figs).

Overexpression of NtDRP2 dynamin suppresses flg22-induced ROS burst

To assess the role of Solanaceous DRP2 in early defense responses, we first determined the degree to which silencing of NbDRP2 affects flg22-induced ROS production. Although we observed a reduction in flg22-induced ROS accumulation in NbDRP2-silenced N. benthamiana leaves, the effect was inconsistent and was only noted in 40% of the experiments (n = 10) (S12 Fig). We then determined the effect of overexpressing NtDRP2 in N. benthamiana on ROS production upon flg22 treatment. Consistent with the finding that AtDRP2B is a negative regulator of flg22-triggered ROS [84], we found that NtDRP2–1/2 decreased flg22-induced ROS burst by more than 50% compared to plants expressing a vector control (Fig 6A). In addition, NtDRP2–1 significantly reduced the ROS production in response to INF1 and chitin treatments (Fig 6B and 6C). These results might indicate that the effect of DRP2 on ROS responses...
is not limited to BAK/SERK3-dependent pathways potentially placing NtDRP2 as a modulator of early signaling responses induced by several PAMPs.

Discussion

Even though perception of pathogen-associated molecular patterns significantly contributes to basal defense of plants against *P. infestans*, our knowledge of how *P. infestans* effectors subvert PTI is patchy. One of the most studied effectors of *P. infestans* is the RXLR-WY type effector AVR3a [63–67,69,86]. In this study, we further characterized the virulence activities of AVR3a and discovered that AVR3a suppresses early defense responses mediated by the cell surface
Fig 6. Overexpression of NtDRP2 reduces the accumulation of ROS upon PAMP perception. (A, B, C) Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transient expression of GFP-NtDRP2–1 or vector control (35:GFP) in N. benthamiana leaves showed that ROS production after elicitation with flg22 (A), INF1 (B) or chitin (C) is reduced in the presence of NtDPR2. Total ROS production was measured in relative light units (RLU) over time after treatment with 100 nM flg22 (A), with 10 μg/ml INF1 (B) or with 5 μg/ml chitin (SIGMA) (C). ROS production was measured at 3 days post infiltration. Similar results were obtained in at least four independent experiments.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0137071.g006
immune co-receptor BAK1/SERK3, which contributes to basal immunity against *P. infestans* [36]. More specifically, we provide evidence that AVR3a reduces internalization of the activated pattern-recognition receptor FLS2 but neither interferes with the plasma membrane localization of non-activated FLS2 nor perturbs the steady state levels of this immune receptor or other PRRs. Furthermore, we found that AVR3a associates with DRP2, a *Solanaceae* member of the plant GTPase dynamin family whose members mediate endocytosis and membrane remodeling [87]. Interestingly, DRP2 is required for FLS2 internalization but does not affect internalization of the growth receptor BRI1.

Several bacterial type III secretion system effectors target cell surface immune receptor complexes to modulate their activities and suppress plant immunity [13,73,75]. AVR3a is an example of a filamentous plant pathogen effector that has evolved to deregulate plant immune signaling, including suppression of the cell death triggered by several pathogen molecules, among them the elicitin molecular pattern of oomycetes [64,67,69]. Our results further demonstrate that AVR3a contributes to suppression of basal defense responses similar to bacterial effectors. However, the exact molecular mechanisms by which eukaryotic effectors such as AVR3a subvert plant immunity remains poorly known. A recent screen showed the potential of *P. infestans* RXLR effectors to suppress early PTI signaling [88]. Although several RXLR effectors suppressed flg22-mediated PTI responses, AVR3a was not able to suppress the activation of the flg22-responsive *FRK1* gene in tomato or Arabidopsis protoplasts [88]. The difference with our results could be due to intrinsic experimental differences, since the reporter screen was performed on protoplasts and the mechanisms of PTI suppression may vary under those conditions. Alternatively, it may indicate a level of specificity for the AVR3a suppression activity. Indeed, here we showed that AVR3a equally suppresses the activation of two marker genes after flg22 treatment but differentially suppressed the same marker genes set upon INF1 elicitation (Fig 1 and S1 Fig). Nevertheless, our work is consistent with the previous finding that AVR3a blocks signal transduction cascades initiated at the plasma membrane after pathogen perception [69]. Importantly, we found that interference with FLS2 signaling and endocytosis does not involve the interaction with the E3 ligase CMPG1 since AVR3a<sup>K1-Y147del</sup>, a variant that is unable to bind and stabilize CMPG1, is still able to suppress flg22-triggered ROS production and endocytosis (Fig 1A, Fig 3B and 3C). Therefore, AVR3a is a multifunctional effector that can suppress BAK1/SERK3-mediated immunity through at least two different pathways, possibly by acting at different sites or at different time points during immune signaling.

The step at which AVR3a interferes with FLS2 signaling is unclear. AVR3a did not alter subcellular localization of FLS2 or BAK1/SERK3 (Fig 3A and S3 Fig) and it did not affect ligand induced complex formation between FLS2 and BAK1/SERK3 (Fig 2). However, the receptor complex somehow remained inactive in the presence of AVR3a given that downstream signaling cascades were not activated. Given that elicitor-induced internalization of FLS2 occurs in a BAK1-dependent manner [42,43] it is possible that the effect of AVR3a in FLS2 internalization occurs via BAK1/SERK3. However, BAK1/SERK3 C-terminal fusions are partially impaired in early PTI responses [89], and assays evaluating the extent to which BAK1/SERK3 undergoes endocytosis after flg22 perception can be difficult to interpret. Interestingly, AVR3a exhibits some degree of specificity in suppressing PAMP-triggered immunity. Unlike the *P. syringae* effector AvrPtoB, which blocks the responses elicited by bacterial flagellin and chitin [90–92], none of the AVR3a variants suppressed chitin-induced ROS burst suggesting that AVR3a specifically suppresses BAK1/SERK3-dependent immune responses. At this stage, we propose that AVR3a interferes with BAK1/SERK3-mediated cellular trafficking involved in the perception of pathogens at the cell periphery but additional work is needed to clarify the underlying mechanisms.
Our finding that AVR3a co-immunoprecipitates with DRP2 does not necessarily imply that these two proteins directly bind in planta nor that DRP2 is a target of the effector. DRP2 could be a helper (facilitator) of AVR3a that functions as a cofactor or enables localization of AVR3a to particular subcellular compartments as defined by Win et al. [4]. Whether AVR3a affects the biochemical activity or regulation of DRP2 remains to be studied. However, AVR3a is unlikely to completely inhibit DRP2 activity since DRP2 silencing resulted in plant lethality unlike transient or stable in planta expression of AVR3a. Nonetheless, our results place AVR3a in proximity to a membrane-remodeling complex that is implicated in endocytosis of the cell surface pattern-recognition receptor FLS2.

Although we cannot rule out that PTI suppression and inhibition of FLS2 endocytosis by AVR3a are two unrelated processes, AVR3a affected FLS2 but not BRI1 endocytosis, suggesting that AVR3a may specifically affect PRRs activities and that the mechanisms for FLS2 and BRI1 internalization may be different. Indeed, previous reports have shown that the regulatory role of BAK1/SERK3 in BR and PTI signaling are distinct and can be mechanistically uncoupled [71,89]. In addition, there are different pools of BAK1/SERK3 that are not interchangeable between BRI1 and FLS2 and activation by BR or flg22 does not cross activate these signaling pathways [93]. Consistent with the specific activity of AVR3a on FLS2 internalization, DRP2 is specifically required for FLS2-endocytosis but not for BRI1. This supports a model in which different internalization pathways for receptor endocytosis may occur following flg22 and brassino steroid perception. For instance, AVR3a may perturb DRP2 functions only at sites where the activated FLS2 receptor complex accumulates preventing downstream endocytosis. Our results suggest that BAK1/SERK3-associated membrane-bound receptors may be initially internalized via different endocytic pathways, which later on can converge at late endosomal compartments.

In animal systems, canonical dynamin proteins mediate pinching off of clathrin-coated vesicles from the membrane during constitutive endocytosis [52,55]. In Arabidopsis, the two canonical dynamins DRP2A and DRP2B have been shown to be genetically and functionally redundant and to play a role in endocytosis and gametophyte development [79,80,85]. However, Smith et al., [84] recently showed that DRP2B but not DRP2A, has distinct effects on flg22-signaling. DRP2B acts as a positive regulator of plant immunity to Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000 while it functions as a negative regulator of RbohD/Ca2+-dependent responses. In addition, FLS2 endocytosis is partially dependent on DRP2B but not DRP2A [84]. In this study, we also found that DRP2 is involved in FLS2 internalization and that it affects the accumulation of ROS upon PAMP treatment potentially placing this plant protein along the flg22-signaling pathway. However, these results do not indicate that FLS2 internalization is required for flg22 immune signaling responses. Indeed, suppression of ROS accumulation by DRP2 overexpression could be an indirect consequence of overexpressing an important component of plant cellular trafficking or may reflect pleiotropic effects on the PRRs or other components involved in ROS accumulation. Interestingly, the DRP2 family has expanded in Solanaceae compared to Arabidopsis, with no apparent orthologs of DRP2A and DRP2B (S7 Fig). Consistent with Smith et al., [84], our results also indicate that the DRP2 family has evolved multiple activities, with the Solanaceous DRP2 being involved in FLS2 but not BRI1 internalization (Fig 5 and panel C in S5 Fig). Possibly, plant DRPs have diversified to enable increased plasticity in response to biotic and abiotic stimuli. Further studies are clearly needed to fully understand the precise contributions of different plant DRPs to vesicle trafficking and flg22 responses.

In summary, we link the P. infestans effector AVR3a to a membrane complex that includes the vesicle trafficking protein DRP2. We found evidence supporting an active role of DRP2 during endocytosis of the classic pattern recognition receptor FLS2 following activation by the
flagellin-derived peptide flg22. Although the FLS2 co-receptor BAK1/SERK3 is required for basal immunity against the oomycete *P. infestans*, there is no evidence that FLS2, a receptor for bacterial flagellin, is activated and internalized during infection by this oomycete pathogen [39]. Therefore, AVR3a suppression of FLS2 responses and endocytosis may indicate that this effector targets a common node shared by FLS2 and a yet to be discovered PRR involved in oomycete immunity. Future studies are required to address this possibility and further determine the various mechanisms by which AVR3a perturbs PTI signaling.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant material**

*N. benthamiana* and *A. thaliana* plants were grown and maintained under controlled environmental conditions at an average temperature of 23–25°C, with 45–65% humidity, and in long day conditions (16 hours of light) throughout the experiments. *N. benthamiana* and *A. thaliana* were transformed [94] with *A. tumefaciens* GV3101 or AGL1, respectively, carrying the following constructs: pBinplus::FLAG-AVR3aKI, pBinplus::FLAG-AVR3aEM, pBinplus::FLAG-AVR3aKI Y147del, or pBinplus::ΔGFP. Transformed plants were selected on Murashige-Skoog salts (MS) media containing selective antibiotic (kanamycin). Plates showing a segregation of 3:1 were selected and at least ten individual lines were selected to confirm expression of the transgene by western blot analysis with anti-FLAG antibody (SIGMA). Protein expression was confirmed for each individual plant once the plants reached the 3-week-old stage. Lines with different expression profiles were selected for further analysis. Transgenic lines used in this study were T4 and T3 for *N. benthamiana* and *A. thaliana* respectively.

The Sainsbury Laboratory and the John Innes Centre are registered with the Health and Safety Executive (site reference GM38) to use the laboratory premises, including growth rooms and controlled environment rooms (CERs) under containment level 1 and 2 for work involving genetically modified organisms. We confirm that our study did not involve any endangered or protected species.

*A. tumefaciens*-mediated transient gene expression assays in *N. benthamiana*

*Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (strain GV3101) carrying the desired T-DNA construct was grown overnight at 28°C in Luria-Bertani culture medium with the appropriate antibiotics. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 8000 g and resuspended in agro-infiltration media [5 mM MES, 10 mM MgCl2, pH 5.6] prior to syringe infiltration into leaves of 3–4 week-old *N. benthamiana* plants. Bacteria carrying each construct were infiltrated at a final OD600nm of 0.3. Acetosyringone was added to the resuspended cultures at a final concentration of 150 μM and bacterial cultures were left at room temperature for 2 hours before infiltration.

**Silencing experiments**

A 445-nucleotide cDNA fragment of *NbDRP2–1 (Nb05397, position 2100–2545 bp)* was cloned into pTV00 (between SpeI/KpnI sites) using the following primers *NbDRP2–1_SpeI, 5’—GC GACTAGTATCAGCTAAAGGCGGTCA* and *NbDRP2–1_KpnI, 5’—AAAAGGTACCCGC TGTTGGGCTACTTTCTGC* to form TRV2-NbDRP2. TRV2 plasmids were transformed into *A. tumefaciens* GV3101. For VIGS assays, *A. tumefaciens* containing TRV2 and TRV1 plasmids were grown and prepared individually for agro-infiltration assays as previously described [95,96]. *A. tumefaciens* TRV1 and TRV2 were mixed in a 1:4 ratio to a final OD600 = 1.0 and infiltrated into 2-week-old *N. benthamiana* plants. Plants were analyzed and photographed.
three weeks after inoculation. TRV-ΔGFP was used as a negative control and TRV-SU (reduced chlorophyll content of the silenced leaves) was used as a silencing control.

For transient silencing experiments, the same 445-nucleotide cDNA fragment of NbDRP2–1 used for VIGS was cloned into pENTR/D-TOPO (Invitrogen) using the following primers: NbDRP2–1_hp_F2, 5’—CACCATCAGCTCTAAAGGCGGTCA and NbDRP2–1_hp_R2, 5’—GCTGTTGGGCTACTTTCTGC. The hairpin-silencing construct was generated by recombination into pHellesgate8 (Gateway LR recombination, Invitrogen) as described by Helliwell and Waterhouse [97]. The same procedure described above was used to generate the control silencing construct pHellsgate8-GUS (574 bp fragment size) using primers pH8_GUS_F1, 5’—CACCCCAGGCAGTTTTAACGATCAG and pH8_GUS_R1, 5’—GATTCACCACTTGAATGCT. The final constructs were transformed into A. tumefaciens GV3101. Four-week-old N. benthamiana leaves were infiltrated with the hairpin silencing construct at a final OD600 = 0.3 either individually or co-expressed with a construct expressing the plant receptor under assessment. Further experiments (microscopy, analysis of gene silencing by qRT-PCR, PAMP elicitation and others described elsewhere in Materials and Methods) were performed three days after silencing.

**Reactive oxygen species measurement**

Generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) was measured as previously described [91]. Briefly, leaf-discs (16–24 per treatment, 4 mm diameter) from pre-infiltrated or transgenic N. benthamiana leaves were floated 16-hours in 200 μl of water in a 96-well plate. Solution was replaced by a luminol/peroxidase mix [17 mg/ml (w/v) luminol (Sigma); 10 mg/ml horseradish peroxidase (Sigma)] supplied with either 100 nM flg22 peptide (EzBiolab), 100 nM elf18 peptide (EzBiolab), 5 μg/ml chitin (Sigma), or 10 μg/ml purified INF1[Pi] protein [36,98]. Luminescence was measured over time (up to 1320 min) using an ICCD photon-counting camera (Photek, East Sussex, UK) and analyzed using company software and Microsoft Excel.

**Gene expression analysis**

Transgenic N. benthamiana leaves expressing the constructs pBinplus::FLAG-AVR3aKI, pBinplus::FLAG-AVR3aEM, pBinplus::FLAG-AVR3aKI-Y147del or pBinplus::ΔGFP were treated for 0 and 180 minutes with flg22 (100 nM) or INF1[Pi] (10 μg/ml) on one side of the leaf and with Milli-Q water on the other side of the leaf as control. Total RNA was extracted using TRI reagent (Invitrogen) following manufacturer’s instructions. DNase treatment (Ambion) was performed according to manufacturer’s protocol and total RNA was quantified with a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo). 1.5 μg of DNase treated RNA was used for cDNA synthesis using SuperScript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). qRT-PCR was performed with SYBR Green master mix (SIGMA) in triplicate per sample per gene. NbEF1α was used to normalize transcript abundance for the marker genes NbACRE132, NbCYP71D20 and NbACRE31 [72]. Primers used for amplification of NbEF1α and PAMP-induced marker genes in N. benthamiana have been reported previously [72].

qRT-PCR analysis of N. benthamiana genes Nb05397 (NbDRP2–1), Nb31648 (NbDRP2–2), Nb11538 and Nb09838 was performed with SYBR Green master mix (SIGMA) in triplicate per sample per gene, using primers silDyn_97–48_F, 5’—CGATCGAGGAATTGACACAA and silDyn_97–48_R, 5’—GCGTGAGCAGCCATATGG to detect Nb05397 and Nb31648 or 38s_F4, 5’—TAATCGAGGACCTTGCTGTG and 38s_3’UTR_R, 5’—TATGGATCCAGCA GCAACTG to detect Nb11538 and Nb09838. NbEF1α [72] was used to normalize transcript abundance. 2.5 μg of DNase treated RNA was used for cDNA synthesis. Primers for Nb11538 or Nb09838 were predicted to specifically anneal at the 3’UTR of both sequences whereas
primers for Nb05397 and Nb31648 were predicted to specifically anneal along the GTPase domain of Nb05397 and Nb31648.

**Elicitor preparations**

Chitin (crab shell chitin) and flg22 (QRLSTGSRINSARDDAAGLQIA) peptides were purchased from SIGMA and EzBiolab, respectively and dissolved in ultrapure water. INF1[Pi] was purified from *P. infestans* 88069 by chromatography and the final working solution was dissolved in ultrapure water [36,98].

**Co-immunoprecipitation assays and western blot analysis**

*N. benthamiana* leaves expressing pTRBO::AVR3a<sup>KI</sup>, pTRBO::AVR3a<sup>EM</sup>, pTRBO::AVR3a<sup>KI-Y147del</sup>, pTRBO::RFP or pTRBO::pCAVR3a-4 with pK7WG2::NtDRP2-1 or pK7WG2-3xA::NtDRP2-1 constructs were harvested at 3 days post infiltration and ground in liquid N<sub>2</sub> (see figure legends for more information). In Fig 2, pK7FWG2::FLS2 and pGBW14::BAK1 were co-infiltrated in *N. benthamiana* transgenic plants expressing pBinplus::FLAG-AVR3a<sup>KI</sup> or pBinplus::ΔGFP and treated with flg22 (100 nM) or water for 15 minutes. Protein extraction and immunoprecipitation was performed with 50 μl of anti-FLAG resin (SIGMA) or 30 μl GFP-affinity matrix (Chromotek) as previously described [28,76]. Western blots analyses were performed as described by Oh et al., [99]. Protein blotting was done with monoclonal FLAG primary antibody (SIGMA) and antimouse as secondary antibody (SIGMA), or monoclonal GFP-HRP antibody (Santa Cruz) or monoclonal HA-HRP antibody (Santa Cruz).

Sample preparation and liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analysis was performed as described in Caillaud et al., [100]. Candidate AVR3a-associated proteins were identified from the peak lists searched on Mascot server v.2.4.1 (Matrix Science) against an in-house *N. benthamiana* database available upon request. We followed the same criteria in Caillaud et al., [100] for peptide identification. A complete list of all identified peptides for each AVR3a<sup>KI</sup>-associated protein is provided in (S3 Table).

The pK7FWG2::FLS2 clone was generated from a pENTR::FLS2 and recombined into pK7FWG2 (GATEWAY, Invitrogen). The pGBW14::BAK1 clone is described in [28,71].

**Cloning of NtDRP2–1/2**

The AVR3a<sup>KI</sup>-associated protein NCBE_074039.1 sequence identified through the LC-MS/MS analysis was used as query to search the TAIR9 database. *Arabidopsis thaliana* proteins DRP2A and DRP2B were then used as query to perform a TBLASTN in the *Nicotiana benthamiana* draft genome scaffolds and tomato genome database (Solanum Genomic Network (SGN)). Partial sequences were used to design the primers Dyn_F3, 5′—CACCATGGAAGCAATCGAGGAATTGGAGCAG and Dyn_R2, 5′—TTATGATCTATAACCAGATCCAGACTGTGGTGG to amplify the open reading frame of the *Nicotiana tabacum* putative homologs of AtDRP2A/B from cDNA using Phusion proof reading polymerase (New England Biolabs). Amplicons were cloned into pENTR/D-TOPO (Invitrogen) and sequenced. Clones representing NtDRP2–1 and NtDRP2–2 were recombined into pK7WG2, pK7WG2, and pK7WG2-3xA to generate fusion proteins GFP-NtDRP2–1/2, non-tagged NtDRP2–1/2, and HA-NtDRP2–1/2, respectively. The final constructs were transformed into *A. tumefaciens* GV3101 and used for further analysis.

**Phylogenetic analysis**

In *Arabidopsis*, GTPases with a PH domain belong to the DRP2 subfamily [81]. Members of this subfamily, AtDRP2-A, AtDRP2-B, and the NtDRP2–1/2 cloned protein were used as
queries to search for homologs of DRP2 in Solanaceous and other dicot plants. We performed TBLASTN searches against the *Nicotiana benthamiana* genome version 0.4.4, the tomato genome International Tomato Annotation Group release 2.3, the potato genome Potato Genome Sequencing Consortium DM 3.4 (solanaceous genomes available at [http://solgenomics.net](http://solgenomics.net)) and the NCBI database. To reveal the evolutionary relationship of these proteins, an alignment of the conserved GTPase domain was constructed using the multiple alignment software MUSCLE [101] and a maximum likelihood (ML) tree was generated using the RAxML software [102]. To choose the best model for the ML tree, we estimated likelihoods of the trees constructed based on commonly used substitution models. The ML tree in S7 Fig was constructed with GAMMA model of rate heterogeneity and Whelan and Goldman model (WAG), since the tree based on WAG showed the highest likelihood. We performed 500 non-parametric bootstrap inferences. Bootstrap values over 70% are shown. All Arabidopsis DRPs were included in the analysis to ensure proper estimation of the closest homologs of AtDRP2A/B. Sequences identifiers are available in S3 Table.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses (One-way ANOVA, TukeyHSD test, Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney Test, and Student’s t Test) were performed using the software package R [103].

**Confocal microscopy**

Standard confocal microscopy was carried out in *N. benthamiana* epidermal cells 2 to 3 days post infiltration. Cut leaf pieces were mounted in water and analyzed with a Leica DM6000B/ TCS SP5 microscope (Leica Microsystems, Germany) with laser excitation settings of 488-nm for eYFP and GFP and 561-nm for RFP. Fluorescent emissions were taken at 500–550 nm for GFP and 580–620 nm for RFP. The 63x water immersion objective was used to acquire all images. Image analysis was done with the Leica LAS AF software, ImageJ and Adobe Photoshop CS5. For all Z-stacks, a distance of 1 μm was set. For induction of FLS2 internalization, flg22 peptide (EzBiolab) was gently infiltrated into leaves expressing FLS2-GFP at a concentration of 100 μM [44] and imaging was done 80–150 minutes post elicitation. All images were processed with ImageJ (2.0) and endosomes were quantified based on naked-eye detection of distinct fluorescent signal in punctate structures per total image area (136 μm × 136 μm) using the multi-point tool. Propidium iodide (PI, SIGMA) staining was performed as previously described [104] with few modifications: leaf tissue was incubated in a solution of propidium iodide (200 μg/ml) for 10 minutes at room temperature, followed by three washing steps in ultra-pure water. To visualize PI-stained cells, laser excitation was set at 488-nm and fluorescence was detected between 598–650 nm.

**Supporting Information**

S1 Fig. All variants of AVR3a suppress flg22-mediated responses in *N. benthamiana* but show differential suppression of the marker gene *NbACRE31* after INF1 elicitation. (A, B) Total ROS production measured in relative light units (RLU) is expressed as percentage of the control treated with 100 nM flg22 over 45 minutes in transgenic plants of *N. benthamiana* (A) or *A. thaliana* (B). Plants were stably transformed with the following constructs: FLAG-AVR3aKI (red), FLAG-AVR3aEM (blue), FLAG-AVR3aKI-Y147del (grey) or vector control (ΔGFP) (green). Values are average ± SE (n = 24). Statistical significance was evaluated in comparison to the control by one-way ANOVA followed by TukeyHSD test. (C, D) Expression of the marker gene *NbACRE31* was assessed by qRT-PCR at time 0 and 180 minutes after elicitation with 100 nM flg22 (C) or 10 μg/ml INF1[Pi] (D) and normalized by NbEF1α gene expression.
Results are average ± SE (n = 3 technical replicates). AVR3a variants were transiently expressed in *N. benthamiana* using the following constructs: FLAG-AVR3a<sup>KI</sup> (red), FLAG-AVR3a<sup>EM</sup> (blue), FLAG-AVR3a<sup>KI-Y147del</sup> (grey) or vector control (ΔGFP) (green). (E, F) Western blots probed with anti-FLAG antibody after flg22 (E) or INF1 (F) treatment detected total protein expression of AVR3a variants.

**S2 Fig. AVR3a suppresses elf18-ROS production but does not affect chitin-triggered ROS accumulation.** (A, B) *N. benthamiana* agro-infiltrated with FLAG-AVR3a<sup>KI</sup> (red), FLAG-AVR3a<sup>EM</sup> (blue), FLAG-AVR3a<sup>KI-Y147del</sup> (grey) or vector control (ΔGFP) (green). Leaf discs were incubated in an elf18 (A) or chitin (B) containing solution and ROS production was measured in relative light units (RLU) over time. Letters above the graph indicate statistical significant differences at *P* < 0.05 assessed by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey HSD test. No statistical significance was found for group b (*P* = 0.068). Similar results were observed in two independent experiments.

**S3 Fig. AVR3a does not alter the subcellular localization of the surface receptors EFR or CERK1.** Transient co-expression at 2.5 days post infiltration in *N. benthamiana* of EFR-YFP-HA or CERK1-GFP with FLAG-AVR3a<sup>KI</sup> or FLAG-AVR3a<sup>EM</sup> or FLAG-AVR3a<sup>KI-Y147del</sup> or vector control (ΔGFP) as indicated. Confocal microscopy shows that the plasma membrane localization of EFR-YFP or CERK1-GFP was not altered by the presence of variants of AVR3a. Bar = 25 μm.

**S4 Fig. AVR3a partially suppresses the endosomal localization of the activated FLS2 receptor.** Enlarged confocal images showed in Fig 3. White arrowheads and inset image indicate FLS2 endosomes. Bar = 25 μm.

**S5 Fig. BRI1 constitutive internalization is not modified by AVR3a or by silencing NbDRP2−1/2.** (A) Confocal microscopy at 2.5 days post infiltration (dpi) in *N. benthamiana* epidermal leaf cells transiently or stably expressing FLAG-AVR3a<sup>KI</sup> and infiltrated with BRI1-GFP. AVR3a did not alter the plasma membrane subcellular localization of BRI1 or its constitutive endosomal localization (green dots). Bar = 50 μm. Plastids auto-fluorescence (purple) is shown. (B) Quantification of the effect of AVR3a on AtBRI1 endocytosis. Scatter plots show the number of BRI1-GFP endosomes per total image area from 3 independent biological experiments. Vector control (ΔGFP) n = 10, FLAG-AVR3a<sup>KI</sup> n = 14. No statistical difference was found as assessed by Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney Test. *P* = 0.3. (C) BRI1-GFP was co-expressed with a hairpin-silencing construct for *NbDRP2*−1/2 or the vector RNAi-GUS and confocal imaging was done at 2.5 dpi. Reduced levels of expression of *NbDRP2*−1/2 did not change BRI1-GFP intracellular vesicle-like (green dots) or plasma membrane localization. Bar = 50 μm. Plastids auto-fluorescence (purple) is shown. All images are a maximum projection of 21 slices taken at 1-μm intervals. Same confocal settings were used to acquire all images. (D) Validation of *NbDRP2*−1/2 silencing by RT-PCR in leaf-discs collected from the same leaves used for microscopy. (E) Quantification of the effect of RNAi-*NbDRP2*−1/2 on AtBRI1 endocytosis. Scatter plots show the number of BRI1-GFP endosomes per total image area from 3 independent biological experiments. RNAi-GUS n = 27, RNAi-*NbDRP2*−1/2 n = 27. No statistical difference was found as assessed by Student’s t test. *P* = 0.1.
S6 Fig. *A. thaliana* dynamin GTPase DRP2A/B homologs in *N. tabacum*. ClustalW alignment shows Arabidopsis DRP2A and DRP2B dynamin GTPase proteins and homologs in *N. tabacum*. Amino acid residues are shaded dark grey if identical and a lighter shade of grey if similar. Sequences were viewed in Jalview. Full-length sequences were used for the alignment. Canonical domains previously described for large dynamin GTPase are shown.

(TIF)

S7 Fig. Cladogram of dynamin related proteins (DRP). Phylogenetic tree of dynamin-related proteins (DRP) from *A. thaliana* (red), *N. benthamiana* (green), tomato (purple), potato (yellow), *N. tabacum* (dark blue), *P. trichocarpa* (pink), *V. vinifera* (black) and *M. truncatula* (light blue). The conserved GTPase-domain of DRP proteins was aligned by MUSCLE and analyzed with RAxML to construct a phylogenetic tree using the maximum likelihood method. Branch length represents the estimated genetic distance. Bootstrap values for 500 replicates are shown. The sequence identifiers are from the Solgenomics, NCBI and Arabidopsis database. Green asterisks indicate the homologs of Arabidopsis DRP2A and DRP2B in *N. benthamiana* targeted by silencing in this study.

(TIF)

S8 Fig. *N. tabacum* dynamin (DRP2–1) is a modular protein localized to the plasma membrane and cytosol in *N. benthamiana*. (A) Schematic representation of *N. tabacum* dynamin proteins (NtDRP2–1 and NtDRP2–2) and their domain organization: GTPase domain (G domain), Pleckstrin homology domain (PH), GTPase effector domain (GED), and a proline-rich domain (PRD). (B, C) Confocal microscopy in *N. benthamiana* pavement cells of *Agrobacterium*-mediated expressing NtDRP2–1/2 GFP fusions. GFP-NtDRP2–1 or GFP-NtDRP2–2 primarily localized to the plasma membrane as confirmed by plasmolysis (C), far right end. (C) GFP-NtDRP2–1 and GFP-NtDRP2–2 also localized in punctuate, small vesicle-like structures. Scale bar values are shown in each picture. Representative confocal images were taken at 2.5 days post infiltration. Plastids auto-fluorescence (purple) is shown.

(TIF)

S9 Fig. *P. capsici* effector AVR3a-4 does not associate with NtDRP2–1 in planta. (A) *Phytophthora capsici* AVR3a-4 effector weakly co-immunoprecipitates with NtDRP2–1 in planta. HA-NtDRP2–1 was transiently co-expressed with FLAG-AVR3aK1, FLAG-PcAVR3a-4 or FLAG-RFP (control) in *N. benthamiana* and immunoprecipitated with anti-FLAG antiserum (SIGMA). Immunoprecipitates and total protein extracts were immunoblotted with the appropriate antisera. (B) Oxidative burst triggered by 100 nM flg22 in *N. benthamiana* agroinfiltrated with members of the *Avr3a* family FLAG-AVR3aK1, FLAG-PcAVR3a-4 or FLAG-RFP (control). ROS production was measured in relative light units (RLU) over time and depicted relative to the total ROS burst of the control. Values are average ± SE (n = 16). Statistical significance was evaluated in comparison to the control by one-way ANOVA followed by TukeyHSD test. **P < 0.001. Experiment was repeated 3 times with similar results.

(TIF)

S10 Fig. Impact of systemically silencing NbDRP2–1/2 in *N. benthamiana* using VIGS. *N. benthamiana* plants were silenced using tobacco rattle virus vectors harboring a partial sequence of NbDRP2–1 (TRV::NbDRP2–1/2 Fragment I or TRV::NbDRP2–1/2 Fragment II) or an empty cloning site (TRV::GFP). Pictures were taken 2.5 weeks after the initial infiltration with the silencing constructs.

(TIF)
S11 Fig. RNAi-mediated transient silencing of NbDRP2–1/2 in *N. benthamiana* does not compromise cell viability. (A) Schematic representation of NbDRP2–1/2 (Nb05397 and Nb31648) showing the canonical dynamin domains and the sequence region targeted by the silencing fragment. (B) Constructs carrying a hairpin plasmid (pHellsgate 8) targeting NbDRP2–1/2 or GUS (RNAi-NbDRP2–1/2 and RNAi-GUS, respectively) were infiltrated in *N. benthamiana* and the expression of *N. benthamiana* homologs of AtDRP2A/B was assessed by qRT-PCR at three days post silencing. The silencing fragment targeting part of the pleckstrin homology domain (PH, green) and the GTPase effector domain (blue) specifically knocks down the expression of Nb05397 and Nb31648 (NbDRP2–1 and NbDRP2–2) but not the expression of Nb11538 or Nb09838. Gene expression was normalized to NbEF1a. (C) The NbDRP2–1/2 genes were transiently silenced in *N. benthamiana* and at three days post silencing, the epidermal cells were stained for 5 minutes with a solution of propidium iodide (PI). Auto-fluorescence of chloroplasts (purple) is shown. The absence of PI fluorescence in the cell nucleus (dashed white lines) indicates that the cells are still viable. Bar = 25 μm.

(TIF)

S12 Fig. ROS accumulation after flg22 treatment in NbDRP2-silenced *N. benthamiana* leaves.

(TIF)

S1 Table. Plant proteins that associate with AVR3aKl in planta.

(XLSX)

S2 Table. Sequence identifiers used in S6 Fig.

(XLSX)

S3 Table. AVR3aKl-associated proteins identified peptides by LC-MS/MS.

(XLSX)
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