

Original citation:

Sharma, A. Y. and McMillan, B. F.. (2015) A reanalysis of a strong-flow gyrokinetic formalism. *Physics of Plasmas*, 22 (3). 032510.

Permanent WRAP url:

<http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/74291>

Copyright and reuse:

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work of researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions.

This article is made available under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 (CC BY 3.0) license and may be reused according to the conditions of the license. For more details see: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>

A note on versions:

The version presented in WRAP is the published version, or, version of record, and may be cited as it appears here.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: publications@warwick.ac.uk

warwick**publications**wrap

highlight your research

<http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk>

A reanalysis of a strong-flow gyrokinetic formalism

A. Y. Sharma and B. F. McMillan

Centre for Fusion, Space and Astrophysics, Physics Department, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, United Kingdom

(Received 13 January 2015; accepted 12 March 2015; published online 24 March 2015)

We reanalyse an arbitrary-wavelength gyrokinetic formalism [A. M. Dimits, Phys. Plasmas **17**, 055901 (2010)], which orders only the vorticity to be small and allows strong, time-varying flows on medium and long wavelengths. We obtain a simpler gyrocentre Lagrangian up to second order. In addition, the gyrokinetic Poisson equation, derived either via variation of the system Lagrangian or explicit density calculation, is consistent with that of the weak-flow gyrokinetic formalism [T. S. Hahm, Phys. Fluids **31**, 2670 (1988)] at all wavelengths in the weak flow limit. The reanalysed formalism has been numerically implemented as a particle-in-cell code. An iterative scheme is described which allows for numerical solution of this system of equations, given the implicit dependence of the Euler-Lagrange equations on the time derivative of the potential. © 2015 Author(s). All article content, except where otherwise noted, is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported License. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4916129>]

I. INTRODUCTION

The weak-flow gyrokinetic formalism^{1,2} uses a gyrokinetic ordering parameter

$$\epsilon \sim \omega/\Omega \sim v_{E \times B}/v_t \ll 1, \quad (1)$$

with ω a characteristic frequency, Ω the gyrofrequency, $v_{E \times B}$ the $E \times B$ drift speed, and v_t the typical thermal speed.

The ordering (1) may be poorly satisfied in the core and edge of tokamak plasmas because of either large overall rotation or relatively strong flows in the pedestal. It is also frequently broken in astrophysical plasmas. Various approaches^{3,4} to include stronger flows in a gyrokinetic framework have been proposed, but the most general so far⁵ is based on ordering the vorticity to be small,

$$\epsilon \sim v'_{E \times B}/\Omega, \quad (2)$$

where $v'_{E \times B}$ is the characteristic magnitude of the spatial derivatives of the $E \times B$ drift velocity. This is a maximal ordering in the sense that a larger vorticity on any scale would lead to breaking of the magnetic moment invariance, as nonlinear frequencies are comparable to the vorticity. Ordering the vorticity allows for general large, time-varying flows on large length scales as well as gyroscale perturbations, and includes them within a single description, unlike schemes based on separation of scales^{6,7} or long-wavelength schemes.⁴

However, in the weak-flow limit, the gyrokinetic Poisson equation of Ref. 5 disagrees with that of the weak-flow gyrokinetic formalism at wavelengths comparable to the gyroradius. We rederive this theory and explain some minor but important departures from the derivation of the weak-flow theory. In our reanalysis, we obtain a Poisson equation, via both a variational and direct method, that, in the weak-flow limit, agrees with the weak-flow gyrokinetic Poisson equation at all wavelengths.

II. GUIDING-CENTRE LAGRANGIAN

The particle fundamental 1-form for electrostatic perturbations in a slab uniform equilibrium magnetic field is

$$\gamma = [\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{v}] \cdot d\mathbf{x} - \left[\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}^2 + \phi(\mathbf{x}, t) \right] dt, \quad (3)$$

where we use units such that $q = T = m = v_t = 1$, q is the particle charge, T is the temperature, m is the particle mass, \mathbf{A} is the magnetic vector potential, \mathbf{x} is the particle position, \mathbf{v} is the particle velocity, and t is time. We redefine \mathbf{v} as the velocity in a frame moving with a velocity $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, t)$ such that Eq. (3) becomes

$$\gamma = [\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}] \cdot d\mathbf{x} - \left[\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u})^2 + \phi \right] dt. \quad (4)$$

The guiding-centre fundamental 1-form (Appendix A) is

$$\Gamma = [\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{R}) + U\hat{\mathbf{b}} + \mathbf{u}] \cdot d\mathbf{R} - \rho \cdot d\mathbf{u} + \mu d\theta - \left(\frac{1}{2} U^2 + \mu\Omega + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{u}^2 + \langle \phi \rangle + \delta_1 \tilde{\phi} \right) dt, \quad (5)$$

$$\delta_1 \tilde{\phi} = \tilde{\phi} + \rho \cdot \boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \mathbf{u},$$

where $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\rho}$ is the guiding-centre position, $\boldsymbol{\rho} = v_\perp \Omega^{-1} (\cos \theta \hat{\mathbf{1}} - \sin \theta \hat{\mathbf{2}})$ is the gyroradius, v_\perp is the perpendicular speed, θ is the gyroangle defined with the opposite sign to that of Ref. 5, $\hat{\mathbf{1}} = \hat{\mathbf{2}} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}$, $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ is the magnetic field unit vector, $U = \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \mathbf{v}$ is the parallel speed, $\mu = \frac{1}{2} v_\perp \Omega^{-1}$ is the magnetic moment, $\langle \dots \rangle = (2\pi)^{-1} \oint d\theta \dots$, $\tilde{\phi} = \phi - \langle \phi \rangle$, $\boldsymbol{\Omega} = \Omega \hat{\mathbf{b}}$ and we have used

$$\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$$

and the gauge

$$S = -\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \nabla + 1 \right) \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{R}) + \mathbf{u} \right]. \quad (6)$$

III. GYROCENTRE LAGRANGIAN

Using the ordering (2), magnitude of the particle position $x \sim 1$ and

$$\mathbf{u} = \Omega^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \nabla \langle \phi \rangle, \quad (7)$$

we can order the terms in the Lagrangian in terms of their variation over typical length scales as

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_0 + \Gamma_1, \quad (8)$$

with

$$\Gamma_1 = -\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot d\mathbf{u} - \delta_1 \tilde{\phi} dt. \quad (9)$$

As in weak-flow formalisms, the lowest order Lagrangian Γ_0 contains terms which may be large on sufficiently long length scales. In addition to the conditions in Appendix B, \mathbf{u} must satisfy the condition

$$(\partial_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} \sim \epsilon^2.$$

We use noncanonical Hamiltonian Lie-transform perturbation theory^{8,9} to determine a set of gyrocentre coordinates where the Lagrangian is θ -independent. This procedure systematically removes the θ -dependence from the Lagrangian order by order. The transformation between guiding-centre and gyrocentre space is then given in terms of a Lie transform of the form

$$\mathbb{T}^{\pm 1} = \exp \left(\pm \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^n \mathcal{L}_n \right),$$

where $\mathcal{L}_n \Gamma = g_n^a \omega_{ab} dZ^b$, g_n^a are the generators, $a, b \in \{0, \dots, 6\}$,

$$\omega_{ab} = \Gamma_{b,a} - \Gamma_{a,b} \quad (10)$$

are the Lagrange matrix components and $\Gamma_{b,a} = \partial_a \Gamma_b$ (Einstein notation is used). The requirement that the first-order Lagrangian be θ -independent, with the choice $g_n^t = 0$, yields (Appendix B) the non-zero first-order generators

$$\begin{aligned} g_1^R &= \Omega^{-2} \nabla \tilde{\Phi} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}, \\ g_1^\mu &= \Omega^{-1} \delta_1 \tilde{\phi}, \\ g_1^\theta &= \boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{,\mu} - \Omega^{-1} \delta_1 \tilde{\Phi}_{,\mu} = -\Omega^{-1} \tilde{\Phi}_{,\mu} - \mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_{,\mu}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where $\delta_1 \tilde{\Phi} = \int d\theta \delta_1 \tilde{\phi}$ and $\tilde{\Phi} = \int d\theta \tilde{\phi}$. Given a long wavelength flow, g_1^μ and g_1^θ are smaller in this strong-flow formalism than in the equivalent weak-flow formalism, reflecting the improvement in the ordering scheme for such a case. Unlike Ref. 5, we simplify the second order Lagrangian by moving the second order terms into the time component (Appendix B). The gyrocentre Lagrangian up to second order is

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Gamma} &= [A(\bar{\mathbf{R}}) + \bar{U}\hat{\mathbf{b}}] \cdot d\bar{\mathbf{R}} + \bar{\mu} d\bar{\theta} - \left(\frac{1}{2} \bar{U}^2 + \bar{\mu} \Omega + \langle \phi \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \langle g_1^R \cdot \bar{\nabla} \tilde{\phi} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \Omega^{-1} \langle \tilde{\phi}^2 \rangle_{,\bar{\mu}} \right) dt + \bar{\mathbf{u}} \cdot (d\bar{\mathbf{R}} - \bar{\mathbf{u}} dt), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where the overbar denotes a gyrocentre quantity. The last term is the only one absent from the weak-flow gyrocentre

Lagrangian at this order; the main qualitative difference with the weak-flow formalism is simply the presence of the electric potential in the symplectic part of the Lagrangian.

IV. EULER-LAGRANGE EQUATIONS

Using the gyrocentre Lagrangian up to first order, the gyrocentre Euler-Lagrange equations,

$$\bar{\omega}_{ij} \dot{Z}_j = \bar{\omega}_{ii}, \quad (13)$$

where $i, j \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$, yield (Appendix C)

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\bar{\mathbf{R}}} &= \bar{\mathbf{u}} + \bar{\Omega}_{\parallel}^{*-1} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times (\partial_t + \bar{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \bar{\nabla} + \bar{U} \bar{\nabla}_{\parallel}) \bar{\mathbf{u}} + \bar{U} \hat{\mathbf{b}}, \\ \dot{\bar{U}} &= -\langle \phi \rangle_{,\bar{z}} + \bar{\Omega}_{\parallel}^{*-1} \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{,\bar{z}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times (\partial_t + \bar{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \bar{\nabla}) \bar{\mathbf{u}}, \\ \dot{\bar{\mu}} &= 0, \\ \dot{\bar{\theta}} &= \Omega + \langle \phi \rangle_{,\bar{\mu}} - \bar{\Omega}_{\parallel}^{*-1} \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{,\bar{\mu}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times (\partial_t + \bar{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \bar{\nabla} + \bar{U} \bar{\nabla}_{\parallel}) \bar{\mathbf{u}}, \\ \bar{\Omega}_{\parallel}^* &= \Omega + \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \bar{\nabla} \times \bar{\mathbf{u}}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Note that we recover an additional term in the $\dot{\bar{U}}$ equation which appears to be missing in Ref. 5. Physically, it is a ponderomotive term that typically results from the appearance of a \bar{u}^2 term in the Lagrangian;¹⁰ the analogue of this term is present in Ref. 3. The contributions to the Euler-Lagrange equations from the second order part of the Lagrangian are

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\bar{\mathbf{R}}}_2 &= -\bar{\Omega}_{\parallel}^{*-1} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \bar{\nabla} \bar{H}_2, \\ \dot{\bar{U}}_2 &= \bar{H}_{2,\bar{z}} - \bar{\Omega}_{\parallel}^{*-1} \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{,\bar{z}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \bar{\nabla} \bar{H}_2, \\ \dot{\bar{\theta}}_2 &= -\bar{H}_{2,\bar{\mu}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{H}_2 = \frac{1}{2} \langle g_1^R \cdot \bar{\nabla} \tilde{\phi} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \Omega^{-1} \langle \delta_1 \tilde{\phi}^2 \rangle_{,\bar{\mu}} + \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \langle \delta_1 \tilde{\phi} \bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \rangle \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{,\bar{\mu}},$$

where \bar{H}_2 is the second order part of the gyrocentre Hamiltonian. The Euler-Lagrange equations that include the contributions from the second order part of the Lagrangian can be simplified by renormalising the potential.¹¹

V. POISSON EQUATION

Gyrokinetic Poisson and Ampère equations have previously been obtained by varying the system Lagrangian with respect to the field variables.^{12,13} We find it helpful to give an elementary explanation of why this should be possible.

First, consider the many-body Lagrangian for a set of point particles interacting with a field, with integral terms for the field self-interaction: this is a well posed problem at least if we restrict the fields to be sufficiently smooth, and Euler-Lagrange equations for the particles and the usual Maxwell equations are directly obtained by varying particle coordinates and fields. We now apply our guiding and gyrocentre transformations to write this many-body Lagrangian in terms of the particle gyrocentre variables. The system Lagrangian, which is the sum of the particle Lagrangians, plus the field component integrated over space, then directly leads to gyrocentre Euler-Lagrange equations, and Poisson and Ampère equations for the fields. We are usually interested in the

smooth limit of these equations (potentially with a collision operator representing short spatial scale correlations), with particles described by a distribution function $\bar{F}(\bar{Z})$, in which case the time evolution of \bar{F} can be evaluated in terms of the Euler-Lagrange equations of the gyroparticles (a gyrokinetic Vlasov equation) and in field equations sums over particles are replaced by integrals of \bar{F} .

We note the contrast between this approach, which is similar to that of Refs. 4 and 12, and attempts to vary a system Lagrangian written in terms of the distribution function: the Euler-Lagrange equations appear naturally, rather than being inserted by hand as a constraint.

At this point, it is useful to introduce some notation: we denote a mapping from coordinate system \bar{Z} to z as $\mathcal{T}_{\bar{Z}\rightarrow z}$ and the associated Jacobian as $J_{\bar{Z}\rightarrow z} = |\partial_i \mathcal{T}_{\bar{Z}\rightarrow z} \bar{Z}_j|$.

We will consider only the electrostatic, quasineutral limit where the field terms have been ignored and species sums, charges, and masses have been suppressed. The Poisson equation can be obtained from the stationary variation of the system Lagrangian in original coordinates with respect to ϕ , and this can also be written directly in gyrocentre coordinates, based on the above consideration of interpretation as the limit of a many body theory,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \int d^6 z f(z) L_p(z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \int d^6 \bar{Z} \bar{F}(\bar{Z}) L_p(\bar{Z}); \quad (15)$$

the invariance of the value is also what we expect due to the covariance of the form of the integral. Note, however, that, here, f must be defined so that it transforms as a scalar density: the ‘‘usual’’ gyrocentre distribution function is actually $\bar{F}'(\bar{Z}) = f(\mathcal{T}_{\bar{Z}\rightarrow z} \bar{Z}) = (J_{\bar{Z}\rightarrow z})^{-1} \bar{F}(\bar{Z})$. This Jacobian is a function of ϕ , unlike for the transformations in the weak-flow case, and varying ϕ with fixed \bar{F} is not identical to varying ϕ with fixed \bar{F}' .

Performing this variation (Appendix D) yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = (\delta L)_\phi = & - \int d^3 r \delta \phi(\mathbf{r}) \int d^6 \bar{Z} \delta(\bar{\mathbf{R}} + \bar{\boldsymbol{\rho}} - \mathbf{r}) \\ & \times [(1 + \Omega^{-2} \bar{\mathbf{v}} \bar{\Phi} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{v}} + \Omega^{-1} \bar{\phi} \partial_{\bar{\mu}}) \bar{F} \\ & + \Omega^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{v}} \times (\bar{F} \bar{\mathbf{R}} - 2\bar{F} \bar{\mathbf{u}})]. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

If the distribution function \bar{F}' is uniform, and we neglect terms which are of order ϵ^2 , this Poisson equation reduces to the usual weak-flow Poisson equation as shown in Appendix D.

For weak flows, it has been shown¹³ that the variational method for obtaining the Poisson equation is equivalent to the direct method of setting the charge-density to zero, up to the chosen order of approximation. Here, we have the quasineutrality equation

$$0 = \int d^6 z \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}) f(z), \quad (17)$$

where f is the original distribution function. A change of variables can be made to guiding-centre coordinates, and the guiding-centre distribution function $F'(Z)$ can be expressed in terms of the gyrocentre distribution function $\bar{F}'(Z)$ using the Lie transform,¹⁴ to yield

$$0 = \int J_{Z\rightarrow z} d^6 Z \delta(\mathbf{R} + \boldsymbol{\rho} - \mathbf{r}) \mathcal{T} \bar{F}'. \quad (18)$$

Note that the Jacobian is of the transform from original coordinates to guiding-centre space, which is not equal to $J_{Z\rightarrow z}$ for this strong-flow formalism; the two are equivalent in the weak-flow analysis.¹⁵ Explicit evaluation of Eq. (18) leads to the same result as the variational formalism; details are given in Appendix D for completeness.

Alternatively, we can directly evaluate Eq. (17) in gyrocentre coordinates so that the Lie transform appears in the delta function: this again gives an equivalent expression for the Poisson equation.

VI. NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF THE EQUATIONS

The second order Lagrangian derived here allows relatively simple explicit forms of the equations of motion for the particles, and the Poisson equation is also of a tractable form. However, the advection of gyroscale structures with velocities of order v_i results in time variations of order of the gyration time, and standard Eulerian schemes would be forced to run on this time scale. This would negate the point of using gyrokinetics, and appears suboptimal considering that nonlinear time scales are expected to be of the order of the inverse vorticity. This suggests the use of semi-Lagrangian or particle-in-cell (PIC) methods which allow Courant numbers much larger than one. We have chosen to use a PIC method for the particle distribution and a finite-difference method for the field equations.

The dependence of the Euler-Lagrange equations derived from the first or second order Lagrangian on the time derivative of the potential implies that the Euler-Lagrange equations and the gyrokinetic Poisson equation must be solved simultaneously in general: this complication arises because part of the polarisation drift is now contained within the particle trajectories, unlike in the weak-flow gyrokinetic formalism where the polarisation drift is captured completely in the change of variables. The Poisson equation also involves a term containing the time derivative of the potential: however, the term is of a smaller order than the dominant terms. We solve the Vlasov-Poisson system in the quasistatic limit (the solution is the smooth continuation of the solution in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$).

One approach to the numerical solution of this system is to expand the Poisson equation around an approximate solution \bar{F}'_0 . The polarisation of the background part of the plasma \bar{F}'_0 is balanced mostly by the gyroaveraged charge associated with $\delta \bar{F}'$, and this can be used to find an initial approximation for the potential. The Vlasov-Poisson system may then be solved iteratively, with the first particle trajectory step neglecting the polarisation term, given that only the electrostatic potential, and not its time derivative, is known at this point. Once an approximate solution has been computed, this can be used to evaluate the time derivative and $\delta \bar{F}'$ polarisation terms which were neglected; this method is then iterated until convergence is satisfied.

We have currently only partially implemented the full set of equations: the code computes an iterative solution of a

system composed of the first-order Euler-Lagrange equation (14) and the linearised Poisson equation with uniform \bar{F}'_0 . The convergence ratio per iteration is of order ϵ . This has been used to investigate the Kelvin-Helmholtz instability of a shear layer, to demonstrate that the numerical scheme converges, is well-behaved, and reduces to the weak-flow model in the appropriate limit. We have also simulated a simplified problem that reduces the spatial dynamics to three-wave coupling, to verify that the numerical implementation is correct in certain limits.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This paper was sponsored in part by EPSRC Grant No. EP/D062837/1. Computational facilities were provided by the MidPlus Regional Centre of Excellence for Computational Science, Engineering and Mathematics, under EPSRC Grant No. EP/K000128/1.

APPENDIX A: GUIDING-CENTRE LAGRANGIAN

Substituting $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{R} + \boldsymbol{\rho}$ and $\mathbf{v} = U\hat{\mathbf{b}} + \mathbf{v}_\perp$ into Eq. (4) yields

$$\gamma = \left[\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{R} + \boldsymbol{\rho}) + U\hat{\mathbf{b}} + \mathbf{v}_\perp + \mathbf{u} \right] \cdot (d\mathbf{R} + d\boldsymbol{\rho}) - \left(\frac{1}{2}U^2 + \mu\Omega + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{u}^2 + \langle \phi \rangle + \delta_1 \tilde{\phi} \right) dt. \quad (\text{A1})$$

Using $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{R} + \boldsymbol{\rho}) = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{R}) + (\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{R})$, the gauge (6) and $\mathbf{v}_\perp \cdot d\mathbf{R} = \boldsymbol{\rho} \times [\nabla \times \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{R})] \cdot d\mathbf{R}$ in Eq. (A1) yields

$$\gamma = \left[\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{R}) + U\hat{\mathbf{b}} + \mathbf{u} \right] \cdot d\mathbf{R} - d\mathbf{R} \cdot \left\{ \nabla [\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{R}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}] - (\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{R}) - \boldsymbol{\rho} \times [\nabla \times \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{R})] \right\} - \boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot d\mathbf{u} + \mu d\theta - \left(\frac{1}{2}U^2 + \mu\Omega + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{u}^2 + \langle \phi \rangle + \delta_1 \tilde{\phi} \right) dt. \quad (\text{A2})$$

By identifying the terms in curly brackets in Eq. (A2) as $[\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{R}) \cdot \nabla]\boldsymbol{\rho} + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{R}) \times (\nabla \times \boldsymbol{\rho}) = 0$, we obtain Eq. (5).

APPENDIX B: GYROCENTRE LAGRANGIAN

The requirement

$$\delta_1 \tilde{\phi} = O(\epsilon)$$

is equivalent to restrictions on the possible choices for the θ -independent potential appearing in Eq. (5) and \mathbf{u} given by

$$\phi_g - \phi(\mathbf{R}) \leq O(\epsilon) \quad (\text{B1})$$

and

$$\mathbf{u} - \Omega^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \nabla\phi(\mathbf{R}) \leq O(\epsilon), \quad (\text{B2})$$

respectively, where ϕ_g is a general θ -independent potential. Some possible choices for ϕ_g and \mathbf{u} that satisfy orderings (B1) and (B2) are $\phi_g = \phi(\mathbf{R})$,

$$\phi_g = \langle \phi \rangle,$$

$\mathbf{u} = \Omega^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \nabla\phi(\mathbf{R})$, and Eq. (7).

Using Eq. (10), we can compute the non-zero Lagrange matrix components of Γ_0 as

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{0R_i R_j} &= \epsilon_{i'j'k'} \Omega_{k't}^*, \\ \omega_{0R\mu} &= -\mathbf{u}_{,\mu}, \\ \omega_{0Rt} &= -\nabla\langle\phi\rangle - \mathbf{u} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}) - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla + \partial_t)\mathbf{u}, \\ \omega_{0\mu t} &= -\langle\phi\rangle_{,\mu} - \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{,\mu} - \Omega, \\ \omega_{0RU} &= -\hat{\mathbf{b}}, \\ \omega_{0Ut} &= -U, \\ \omega_{0\mu\theta} &= 1, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B3})$$

where $i', j', k' \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and

$$\Omega^* = \Omega + \nabla \times \mathbf{u}. \quad (\text{B4})$$

The first-order part of the gyrocentre Lagrangian is

$$\bar{\Gamma}_1 = \Gamma_1 - L_1 \Gamma_0 + dS_1,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1 &= (-\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) \cdot d\mathbf{R} - \boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{,\mu} d\mu - (\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{,t} + \delta_1 \tilde{\phi}) dt, \\ -L_1 \Gamma_0 &= g_1^R \times \Omega \cdot d\mathbf{R} + g_1^\theta d\mu - g_1^\mu d\theta + (g_1^R \cdot \nabla\langle\phi\rangle \\ &\quad + g_1^\mu \Omega) dt + O(\epsilon^2) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$dS_1 = \nabla S_1 \cdot d\mathbf{R} + S_{1,U} dU + S_{1,\mu} d\mu + S_{1,\theta} d\theta + S_{1,t} dt.$$

Solving for g_1 in terms of S_1 such that $\bar{\Gamma}_1$ is only composed of a first-order time component,

$$\bar{\Gamma}_1 = (-\delta_1 \tilde{\phi} + \Omega^{-1} \nabla S_1 \times \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla\langle\phi\rangle + \Omega S_{1,\theta} + S_{1,t}) dt + O(\epsilon^2),$$

yields the non-zero g_1 components

$$\begin{aligned} g_1^R &= \Omega^{-1} [\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \nabla)\mathbf{u} + \nabla S_1 \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}], \\ g_1^\mu &= S_{1,\theta}, \\ g_1^\theta &= \boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{,\mu} - S_{1,\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

By using

$$(\partial_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) S_1 \sim \epsilon^2,$$

as in Ref. 5,

$$\bar{\Gamma}_1 = (-\delta_1 \tilde{\phi} + \Omega S_{1,\theta}) dt + O(\epsilon^2).$$

By using the freedom of S_1 to remove the first-order θ -dependent terms in $\bar{\Gamma}_1$, we have

$$\bar{\Gamma}_1 = O(\epsilon^2)$$

for

$$S_1 = \Omega^{-1} \delta_1 \tilde{\Phi}.$$

Γ_1 yields

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_{1R\mu} &= \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_{,\mu}, \\ \omega_{1R\theta} &= \boldsymbol{\rho}_{,\theta} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \\ \omega_{1Rt} &= -\nabla \delta_1 \tilde{\phi}, \\ \omega_{1\mu\theta} &= \boldsymbol{\rho}_{,\theta} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{,\mu}, \\ \omega_{1\mu} &= -\mathbf{u}_{,t} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_{,\mu} - \delta_1 \tilde{\phi}_{,\mu}, \\ \omega_{1\theta t} &= -(\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{,t} + \delta_1 \tilde{\phi})_{,\theta},\end{aligned}$$

and the expression for $\bar{\Gamma}_2$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Gamma}_2 &= \Gamma_2 - L_1 \Gamma_1 + \left(\frac{1}{2} L_1^2 - L_2 \right) \Gamma_0 + dS_2 \\ &= \Gamma_2 - L_1 \Gamma_1 + \frac{1}{2} L_1 (L_1 \Gamma_0) - L_2 \Gamma_0 + dS_2 \\ &= \Gamma_2 - L_1 \Gamma_1 + \frac{1}{2} L_1 (\Gamma_1 + dS_1 - \bar{\Gamma}_1) - L_2 \Gamma_0 + dS_2 \\ &= \Gamma_2 - \frac{1}{2} L_1 \Gamma_1 - L_2 \Gamma_0 + dS_2 + O(\epsilon^3),\end{aligned}$$

where $L_1 dS_1 = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_2 &= [g_1^R \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}) - g_1^\mu \mathbf{u}_{,\mu}] \cdot d\mathbf{R} - g_1^R \mathbf{u}_{,\mu} d\mu \\ &\quad + \{g_1^R \cdot \mathbf{u} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}) + g_1^\mu \langle \phi \rangle_{,\mu} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{,\mu}\} \\ &\quad + (\partial_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) (S_1 - \boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \mathbf{u}) dt + O(\epsilon^3), \\ -\frac{1}{2} L_1 \Gamma_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \{g_1^a \boldsymbol{\rho}_{,a} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot d\mathbf{R} + (g_1^\theta \boldsymbol{\rho}_{,\theta} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{,\mu} \\ &\quad - g_1^R \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_{,\mu}) d\mu - g_1^a \boldsymbol{\rho}_{,\theta} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{,a} d\theta \\ &\quad + [g_1^R \cdot \nabla \delta_1 \tilde{\phi} + g_1^\mu (\mathbf{u}_{,t} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_{,\mu} + \delta_1 \tilde{\phi}_{,\mu}) \\ &\quad + g_1^\theta (\boldsymbol{\rho}_{,\theta} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{,t} + \delta_1 \tilde{\phi}_{,\theta})] dt\}, \\ -L_2 \Gamma_0 &= g_2^R \times \boldsymbol{\Omega} \cdot d\mathbf{R} + g_2^\theta d\mu - g_2^\mu d\theta \\ &\quad + (g_2^R \cdot \nabla \langle \phi \rangle + g_2^\mu \boldsymbol{\Omega}) dt + O(\epsilon^3)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$dS_2 = \nabla S_2 \cdot d\mathbf{R} + S_{2,U} dU + S_{2,\mu} d\mu + S_{2,\theta} d\theta + S_{2,t} dt.$$

Choosing \mathbf{u} to be the $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ drift velocity associated with the θ -independent potential that appears in Eq. (5) facilitates several cancellations during the computation of the second-order gyrocentre Lagrangian. Solving for g_2 in terms of S_2 such that $\bar{\Gamma}_2$ is only composed of a second-order time component,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Gamma}_2 &= [g_1^\mu \langle \phi \rangle_{,\mu} + (\partial_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) (S_1 - \boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \mathbf{u}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} g_1^a (\delta_1 \tilde{\phi}_{,a} - \boldsymbol{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\rho}_{,\theta} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{,a}) + \boldsymbol{\Omega} S_{2,\theta} \\ &\quad + (\partial_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) S_2] dt + O(\epsilon^3),\end{aligned}$$

yields the non-zero g_2 components

$$g_2^R = \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1} \left[g_1^R \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}) - g_1^\mu \mathbf{u}_{,\mu} + \frac{1}{2} g_1^a \boldsymbol{\rho}_{,a} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla S_2 \right] \times \hat{\mathbf{b}},$$

$$g_2^\mu = S_{2,\theta} - \frac{1}{2} g_1^a \boldsymbol{\rho}_{,\theta} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{,a},$$

$$g_2^\theta = g_1^R \mathbf{u}_{,\mu} - \frac{1}{2} (g_1^\theta \boldsymbol{\rho}_{,\theta} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{,\mu} - g_1^R \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_{,\mu}) - S_{2,\mu}.$$

By using

$$(\partial_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) S_2 \sim \epsilon^3,$$

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Gamma}_2 &= \left[g_1^\mu \langle \phi \rangle_{,\mu} + (\partial_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) (S_1 - \boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \mathbf{u}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} g_1^a (\delta_1 \tilde{\phi}_{,a} - \boldsymbol{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\rho}_{,\theta} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{,a}) + \boldsymbol{\Omega} S_{2,\theta} \right] dt + O(\epsilon^3).\end{aligned}$$

By using the freedom of S_2 to remove the second-order θ -dependent terms in $\bar{\Gamma}_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Gamma}_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \left\langle g_1^a (\delta_1 \tilde{\phi}_{,a} - \boldsymbol{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\rho}_{,\theta} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{,a}) \right\rangle dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\langle g_1^a (\tilde{\phi}_{,a} + \boldsymbol{\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_{,\theta a}) \right\rangle dt \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{2} \langle g_1^R \cdot \nabla \tilde{\phi} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1} \langle \delta_1 \tilde{\phi}^2 \rangle_{,\mu} + \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \langle \delta_1 \tilde{\phi} \boldsymbol{\rho} \rangle \cdot \mathbf{u}_{,\mu} \right] dt \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{2} \langle g_1^R \cdot \nabla \tilde{\phi} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1} \langle \tilde{\phi}^2 \rangle_{,\mu} - \mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \langle \tilde{\phi} \boldsymbol{\rho} \rangle_{,\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{u}^2 \right] dt \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{2} \langle g_1^R \cdot \nabla \tilde{\phi} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1} \langle \tilde{\phi}^2 \rangle_{,\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{u}^2 \right] dt.\end{aligned}\quad (\text{B5})$$

APPENDIX C: EULER-LAGRANGE EQUATIONS

Using the Lagrange matrix components computed from the gyrocentre Lagrangian up to first-order, or equivalently those computed from the guiding-centre Lagrangian up to zeroth-order (B3), in the gyrocentre Euler-Lagrange equation (13) with $i = \{\mathbf{R}, \bar{U}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{\theta}\}$ yields

$$\dot{\bar{\mathbf{R}}} \times \bar{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^* - \dot{\bar{U}} \hat{\mathbf{b}} = \bar{\omega}_{i\bar{\mathbf{R}}}, \quad (\text{C1})$$

$$\bar{\mu} = 0,$$

$$\dot{\bar{\theta}} = \boldsymbol{\Omega} + \langle \phi \rangle_{,\bar{\mu}} - \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{,\bar{\mu}} \cdot \dot{\bar{\mathbf{R}}},$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \dot{\bar{\mathbf{R}}} = \bar{U}, \quad (\text{C2})$$

respectively. Taking the cross product of $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ and (C1), expanding the resultant triple product and using (C2) yields

$$\dot{\bar{\mathbf{R}}} = \bar{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}_{\parallel}^{*-1} \{ \boldsymbol{\Omega} \bar{\mathbf{u}} + \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times [\bar{\mathbf{u}} \times (\bar{\mathbf{V}} \times \bar{\mathbf{u}}) + (\bar{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{V}} + \partial_t) \bar{\mathbf{u}}] + \bar{U} \bar{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^* \}.$$

By expanding the triple product and using

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^* = \bar{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}_{\parallel}^* \hat{\mathbf{b}} + \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{,\bar{z}}, \quad (\text{C3})$$

$$\dot{\bar{\mathbf{R}}} = \bar{\mathbf{u}} + \bar{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}_{\parallel}^{*-1} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times (\partial_t + \bar{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \bar{\nabla} + \bar{U} \bar{\nabla}_{\parallel}) \bar{\mathbf{u}} + \bar{U} \hat{\mathbf{b}}.$$

Projecting (C1) onto $\bar{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}^*$ yields

$$\dot{U} = -\bar{\Omega}_{\parallel}^{*-1} \bar{\Omega}^* \cdot [\bar{\nabla} \langle \phi \rangle + \bar{\mathbf{u}} \times (\bar{\nabla} \times \bar{\mathbf{u}}) + (\bar{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \bar{\nabla} + \partial_t) \bar{\mathbf{u}}].$$

By using (B4) and (C3) appropriately and expanding the cross product,

$$\dot{U} = -\langle \phi \rangle_{,\bar{z}} + \bar{\Omega}_{\parallel}^{*-1} \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{,\bar{z}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} \times (\partial_t + \bar{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \bar{\nabla}) \bar{\mathbf{u}}.$$

APPENDIX D: POISSON EQUATION

The variation with respect to ϕ of the gyrocentre system Lagrangian up to second order is

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta L)_{\phi} &= - \int d^6 \bar{Z} \bar{F} \left\{ \delta \left[\langle \phi \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \Omega^{-2} \bar{\nabla} \tilde{\Phi} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \bar{\nabla} \tilde{\phi} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{2} \Omega^{-1} \langle \tilde{\phi}^2 \rangle_{,\bar{\mu}} + \Omega^{-1} \bar{\nabla}_{\perp} \langle \phi \rangle \cdot (\Omega^{-1} \bar{\nabla}_{\perp} \langle \phi \rangle \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \dot{\bar{\mathbf{R}}} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}} \right] \right\}_{\phi} \\ &= - \int d^6 \bar{Z} \bar{F} \left(\langle \phi + \delta \phi \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \Omega^{-2} \bar{\nabla} (\tilde{\Phi} + \delta \tilde{\Phi}) \times \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \bar{\nabla} (\tilde{\phi} + \delta \tilde{\phi}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \Omega^{-1} \langle (\tilde{\phi} + \delta \tilde{\phi})^2 \rangle_{,\bar{\mu}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Omega^{-1} \bar{\nabla}_{\perp} \langle \phi + \delta \phi \rangle \cdot [\Omega^{-1} \bar{\nabla}_{\perp} \langle \phi + \delta \phi \rangle - \dot{\bar{\mathbf{R}}} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}] \right\} \\ &\quad - \left[\langle \phi \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \Omega^{-2} \bar{\nabla} \tilde{\Phi} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \bar{\nabla} \tilde{\phi} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \Omega^{-1} \langle \tilde{\phi}^2 \rangle_{,\bar{\mu}} + \Omega^{-1} \bar{\nabla}_{\perp} \langle \phi \rangle \cdot (\Omega^{-1} \bar{\nabla}_{\perp} \langle \phi \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \dot{\bar{\mathbf{R}}} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}} \right] \\ &= - \int d^6 \bar{Z} \bar{F} [\langle \delta \phi \rangle - \Omega^{-2} \langle \bar{\nabla} \tilde{\Phi} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \bar{\nabla} \delta \phi \rangle \\ &\quad - \Omega^{-1} \langle \tilde{\phi} \delta \phi \rangle_{,\bar{\mu}} + \Omega^{-1} \bar{\nabla}_{\perp} \langle \delta \phi \rangle \cdot (\Omega^{-1} \bar{\nabla}_{\perp} \langle \phi \rangle \\ &\quad - \dot{\bar{\mathbf{R}}} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}) + \Omega^{-2} \bar{\nabla}_{\perp} \langle \phi \rangle \cdot \bar{\nabla}_{\perp} \langle \delta \phi \rangle], \end{aligned}$$

from which we obtain Eq. (16).

Using an alternative form for $\bar{\Gamma}_2$ (B5) and $J_{\bar{Z} \rightarrow z} = \bar{\Omega}_{\parallel}^*$, the Euler-Lagrange equation for ϕ up to first order is

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Omega \int d^6 \bar{Z} \delta (\bar{\mathbf{R}} + \bar{\rho} - \mathbf{r}) [(1 + \Omega^{-2} \bar{\nabla} \tilde{\Phi} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \bar{\nabla} + \Omega^{-1} \tilde{\phi} \partial_{\bar{\mu}}) \bar{F}' \\ &\quad + \Omega^{-2} \bar{\nabla}_{\perp}^2 \langle \phi \rangle \bar{F}' - \Omega^{-1} \bar{\rho} \cdot (\bar{F}' \bar{\nabla} \langle \phi \rangle)_{,\bar{\mu}}]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D1})$$

Using the guiding-centre Jacobian up to first order $J_{\bar{Z} \rightarrow z} = \bar{\Omega}_{\parallel}^* + \rho \cdot \Omega \times \mathbf{u}_{,\bar{\mu}}$ and the action of the Lie transform on scalars up to first order $\text{T}\bar{F}' = (1 + g_{\perp}^i \partial_i) \bar{F}'$, an evaluation of Eq. (18) up to first order yields Eq. (D1). In other words, we obtain equivalent Poisson equations up to first order using either a variational or direct method.

We will now consider uniform \bar{F}' . Using $\bar{\nabla} \langle \phi \rangle = - \int d^3 k \langle \mathbf{E} \rangle (\mathbf{k}, \bar{\mu}) e^{ik \cdot \bar{\mathbf{R}}}$, the last two terms in Eq. (D1) are

$$2\pi i \int d\bar{U} d\bar{\mu} d^3 k \{ [\bar{\rho} J_1(k_{\perp} \bar{\rho})]_{,\bar{\mu}} - k_{\perp} \Omega^{-1} J_0(k_{\perp} \bar{\rho}) \} \langle \mathbf{E} \rangle e^{ik \cdot \bar{\mathbf{r}}} \bar{F}' = 0.$$

In other words, in the weak-flow limit and for uniform \bar{F}' , the weak- and strong-flow Poisson equations up to first order are identical,

$$0 = \Omega \int d^6 \bar{Z} \delta (\bar{\mathbf{R}} + \bar{\rho} - \mathbf{r}) (1 + \Omega^{-1} \tilde{\phi} \partial_{\bar{\mu}}) \bar{F}',$$

where for uniform \bar{F}' , the second weak-flow polarisation density term does not appear.

¹T. S. Hahm, *Phys. Fluids* **31**, 2670 (1988).

²A. M. Dimits, L. L. LoDestro, and D. H. E. Dubin, *Phys. Fluids B* **4**, 274 (1992).

³T. S. Hahm, *Phys. Plasmas* **3**, 4658 (1996).

⁴N. Miyato, B. D. Scott, D. Strintzi, and S. Tokuda, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **78**, 104501 (2009).

⁵A. M. Dimits, *Phys. Plasmas* **17**, 055901 (2010).

⁶H. Qin, R. H. Cohen, W. M. Nevins, and X. Q. Xu, *Phys. Plasmas* **14**, 056110 (2007).

⁷A. J. Brizard, *Phys. Plasmas* **2**, 459 (1995).

⁸R. G. Littlejohn, *J. Math. Phys.* **23**, 742 (1982).

⁹J. R. Cary and R. G. Littlejohn, *Ann. Phys. (N. Y.)* **151**, 1 (1983).

¹⁰J. A. Krommes and G. W. Hammett, PPPL Technical Report No. 4945, 2013.

¹¹W. W. Lee, *Phys. Fluids* **26**, 556 (1983).

¹²B. Scott and J. Smirnov, *Phys. Plasmas* **17**, 112302 (2010).

¹³A. Brizard and T. Hahm, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **79**, 421 (2007).

¹⁴D. H. E. Dubin, J. A. Krommes, C. Oberman, and W. W. Lee, *Phys. Fluids* **26**, 3524 (1983).

¹⁵The Jacobians, which can be written as the square root of the determinant of the appropriate Lagrange matrix, are only a function of the symplectic part of the Lagrangian, which is unperturbed and unmodified by the Lie transform for the weak- but not the strong-flow formalism.