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Abstract

Octanuclear heterobimetallic complexes, \([\text{Ln}_4\text{Ni}_4(\text{H}_3\text{L})_4(\mu_3\text{-OH})_4(\mu_2\text{-OH})_4]4\text{Cl} \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O} \cdot y\text{CHCl}_3\) (Dy\(^{3+}\), \(x = 30.6, y = 2\) (1); Tb\(^{3+}\), \(x = 28, y = 0\) (2); Gd\(^{3+}\), \(x = 25.3, y = 0\) (3); Ho\(^{3+}\), \(x = 30.6, y = 3\) (4)) \((\text{H}_3\text{L} = \text{N}_1, \text{N}_3\text{-bis(6-formyl-2-(hydroxymethyl)-4-methylphenol)}\text{diethylenetriamine})\) are reported. These are assembled by the cumulative coordination action of four doubly deprotonated compartmental ligands, \([\text{H}_3\text{L}]^{2-}\), along with eight exogenous –OH ligands. Within the core of these complexes, four Ln\(^{3+}\) are distributed to the four corners of a perfect square grid while four Ni\(^{2+}\) are projected away from the plane of the Ln\(_4\) unit. Each of the four Ni\(^{2+}\) possesses distorted octahedral geometry while all the Ln\(^{3+}\) are crystallographically equivalent and are present in an elongated square antiprism geometry. The magnetic properties of compound 3 are dominated by an easy-plane single-ion anisotropy of the Ni\(^{2+}\) ions \([D_{\text{Ni}} = 6.7(7) \text{ K}]\) and dipolar interactions between Gd\(^{3+}\) centers. Detailed ac magnetometry reveals the presence of distinct temperature-dependent out-of-phase signals for compounds 1 and 2, indicative of slow magnetic relaxation. Magnetochemical analysis of complex 1 implies the 3\(d\) and the 4\(f\) metal ions are engaged in ferromagnetic interactions with SMM behavior, while dc magnetometry of compound 2 is suggestive of an antiferromagnetic Ni-Tb spin-exchange with slow magnetic relaxation due to a field-induced level crossing. Compound 4 exhibits an easy-plane single-ion anisotropy for the Ho\(^{3+}\) ions and weak interactions between spin centers.

**Keywords:** 3\(d\)/4\(f\) complexes, \(\text{Ni}^{\text{II}}/\text{Ln}^{\text{III}}\) complexes, compartmental ligand, \([2\times2]\) square grid, single molecule magnets, ac susceptibility, single ion anisotropy, slow relaxation of magnetization.
Introduction

The discovery of single molecule magnet (SMM) behavior in \([\text{Mn}^{IV}_4\text{Mn}^{III}_8(\mu_3-O)_{12}(\text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2)_{16}($OH$_2)_{16}]\cdot2\text{MeCO}_2\text{H}\cdot4\text{H}_2\text{O}\) has sparked an enormous interest in the molecular complexes that can function as molecular magnets.1 Apart from academic interest, SMMs also possess potential applications in various fields including quantum computing2, spintronics,3 molecular storage devices4 etc. SMM properties are reflected by a frequency-dependent blocking temperature (\(T_B\)), below which the system develops slow relaxation of magnetization with an effective energy barrier (\(U_{\text{eff}}\)) preventing rapid reversal of the SMM magnetic moment. In general, the magnitude of the latter is influenced by i) a high ground state spin (\(S\)) and ii) an Ising-type magnetic anisotropy (\(D < 0\)).5 Many of the initial synthetic efforts in this field were focused on polynuclear transition metal complexes, particularly those involving MnIII.6 The realization that a high \(S\) and \(D\) can also be obtained from other systems have turned the attention to homonuclear lanthanide complexes7 as well as heterometallic 3d/4f complexes8. Interest in the latter stems from two important reasons: i) the 4f metal ions (particularly Dy\(^{3+}\), Tb\(^{3+}\), Ho\(^{3+}\) and Er\(^{3+}\)), exhibit a large magnetic anisotropy as result of unquenched spin-orbit coupling, as well as possess a high spin, both these factors contributing to promote a high \(U_{\text{eff}}\); ii) unlike in homometallic 4f complexes where exchange coupling is very weak unless promoted by a radical ligand9, in 3d–4f complexes effective exchange coupling between the 3d and heavy lanthanide centers seem to be possible. This not only stabilizes the bistable ground state but also to some extent suppresses quantum tunneling10, a mechanism that can bypass SMM behavior and provide fast magnetization relaxation. Based on these considerations several 3d-4f heterometallic systems notably, Cr–Ln11, Mn–Ln12, Fe–Ln13, Co–Ln14, Cu–Ln15, Ni–Ln16 (where Ln is a lanthanide ion) with varying nuclearities have been studied. Among these, Ni/Ln compounds are gaining unabated attention in view of their intriguing structural topologies as well as interesting SMM
properties, essentially originating from second order angular momentum.\textsuperscript{16} We have been working for some time on assembling new families of Ni–Ln systems with a view to discover new synthetic methodologies that allow us to modulate the nuclearity and topology of these complexes as well as to study their magnetic properties.\textsuperscript{16b,16c,16d} Thus, recently, we have assembled a series of pentanuclear Ni\textsubscript{2}Ln\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{16b} and hexanuclear Ni\textsubscript{3}Ln\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{16d} complexes. The Dy\textsuperscript{III} analogue of the former family behaved as an SMM with an energy barrier of 85 K, the highest known among the Ni-Ln compounds, thus far. Recently, we have reported \([2\times2]\) square grid homometallic Ln\textsubscript{4} ensembles (Ln = Dy\textsuperscript{3+}, Tb\textsuperscript{3+}, Gd\textsuperscript{3+} and Er\textsuperscript{3+}) by utilizing a multi-dentate flexible ligand, \(6\)-(hydroxymethyl)-\(N\) \([1\)-(pyridin-2-yl)ethylidene]picolinohydrazide\textsuperscript{17}. We wanted to incorporate the Ln\textsubscript{4} grid motif in a heterometallic system by choosing a different multi-site coordination ligand. Accordingly, we designed a multi-dentate compartmental Schiff base ligand, \(N_{i}, N_{j}\)-bis(6-formyl-2-(hydroxymethyl)-4-methylphenol)diethylenetriamine (H\textsubscript{3}L) which upon reaction with LnCl\textsubscript{3}·6H\textsubscript{2}O (Ln = Dy\textsuperscript{3+}, Tb\textsuperscript{3+}, Gd\textsuperscript{3+} and Ho\textsuperscript{3+}) and NiCl\textsubscript{2}·6H\textsubscript{2}O afforded octanuclear heterometallic compounds, \([\text{Ln}_4\text{Ni}_4(\text{H}_3\text{L})_4(\mu_3\text{-OH})_4(\mu_2\text{-OH})_4]4\text{Cl}\cdot\text{xH}_2\text{O}\cdot\text{yCHCl}_3\) (Dy\textsuperscript{3+}, x = 30.6, y = 2; Tb\textsuperscript{3+}, x = 28, y = 0; Gd\textsuperscript{3+}, x = 25.3, y = 0; Ho\textsuperscript{3+}, x = 30.6, y = 3) (Scheme 1). The synthesis, structure and magnetic properties of these compounds are discussed herein.
Scheme 1. Schematic depiction of the syntheses of the octanuclear Ni$_4$Ln$_4$ complexes 1-4.
Experimental Section

Solvents and other general reagents used in this work were purified according to standard procedures. Diethylenetriamine, 2,6-bis-(hydroxymethyl)-4-methylphenol, activated manganese (IV) dioxide (MnO$_2$), DyCl$_3$·6H$_2$O, TbCl$_3$·6H$_2$O, HoCl$_3$·6H$_2$O, and GdCl$_3$·6H$_2$O were obtained from Sigma Aldrich Chemical Co. and were used as received. Sodium sulfate (anhydrous) and NiCl$_2$·6H$_2$O were obtained from SD Fine Chemicals, Mumbai, India, and were used as such. 6-Formyl-2-(hydroxymethyl)-4-methylphenol was prepared according to a literature procedure. 

Instrumentation

Melting points were measured using a JSGW melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded as KBr pellets on a Bruker Vector 22 FT IR spectrophotometer operating at 400-4000 cm$^{-1}$. Elemental analyses of the compounds were obtained from Thermoquest CE instruments CHNS-O, EA/110 model. Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) was carried out on a Micromass Quattro II triple quadrupole mass spectrometer. $^1$H NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl$_3$ solutions on a JEOL JNM LAMBDA 400 model spectrometer operating at 500·0 MHz, Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm) and are referenced with respect to internal tetramethylsilane ($^1$H).

Magnetic Measurements.

Alternating-current (ac) and direct-current (dc) susceptibility measurements were performed on powdered samples of compounds 1-4 (described as Ni$_4$Dy$_4$, Ni$_4$Tb$_4$, Ni$_4$Gd$_4$, and Ni$_4$Ho$_4$ in the magnetic properties section) with masses of 36.5, 20.0, 23.0 and 24.6 mg, respectively, dispersed in Vaseline in gelatin capsules to prevent powder movement during measurements.
Dc measurements were performed in a Quantum Design Magnetic Property Measurement System (MPMS XL) to determine both the molar susceptibility in dc-fields $\mu_0 H_{DC} = 0.1$ T ($\chi_{mol} = M/nH_{DC}$, where $M$ is the magnetization and $n$ the number of moles) for temperatures in the range $1.8 \leq T \leq 300$ K and the isothermal magnetization up to a magnetic field of $\mu_0 H_{DC} = 7$ T at 2.0 K.

Ac measurements of in-phase and out-of-phase susceptibilities, $\chi'$ and $\chi''$ respectively, were performed using both a Quantum Design MPMS and a Quantum Design Physical Property Measurement System (PPMS). Ac-fields with frequencies in the range of $10 \leq \nu \leq 1000$ Hz (MPMS) and $10 \leq \nu \leq 10000$ Hz (PPMS) and amplitudes $0.1 \leq \mu_0 H_{AC} \leq 0.4$ mT were used, and measurements performed for temperatures down to 2.0 K. Measurements of Ni$_4$Gd$_4$ and Ni$_4$Ho$_4$ were recorded for dc applied fields of $\mu_0 H_{DC} = 0$ T and 0.1 T, while the slow relaxation properties of Ni$_4$Dy$_4$ and Ni$_4$Tb$_4$ were explored for dc-fields $\leq 0.4$ T and $\leq 0.7$ T respectively. Wait times up to 45 s were included after changing the ac-field frequency to ensure reproducibility of the data.

X-ray Crystallography

The crystal data for the compounds have been collected on a Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer (MoK$_\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). The program $SMART^{19a}$ was used for collecting frames of data, indexing reflections, and determining lattice parameters, $SAINT^{19a}$ for integration of the intensity of reflections and scaling, $SADABS^{19b}$ for absorption correction, and $SHELXTL^{19c,19d}$ for space group and structure determination and least-squares refinements on $F^2$. The crystal structures were solved and refined by full-matrix least-squares methods against $F^2$ by using the program $SHELXL-2014^{19e}$ using Olex-2 software.$^{19f}$ All the non-hydrogen atoms were refined with
anisotropic displacement parameters. Hydrogen positions were fixed at calculated positions and refined isotropically. Some of the lattice solvent molecules of all the complexes cannot be modelled satisfactorily due to presence of severe disorders. Therefore, Olex-2 mask program has been performed to discard those disordered solvents molecules and gave electron density 353.6, 120.15, 59.5 and 171.1 corresponding to the complexes 1, 2, 3 and 4 respectively. These can be tentatively assigned as 24H$_2$O, 2CHCl$_3$ for 1; 12H$_2$O for 2, 6H$_2$O for 3; 3CHCl$_3$ for 4. The crystallographic figures have been generated using Diamond 3.1e software$^{19g}$. The crystal data and the cell parameters for compounds 1–4 are summarized in Table 1. Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) for the structures in this paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication nos. CCDC 1474090–1474093. Copies of the data can be obtained, free of charge, on application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, U.K.: http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/cgi-bin/catreq.cgi, e-mail: data_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, or fax: +44 1223 336033.

Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement parameters of 1–4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formula</td>
<td>C$<em>{264}$H$</em>{336}$Cl$<em>{12}$Dy$</em>{12}$N$<em>{36}$Ni$</em>{12}$O$_{92}$</td>
<td>C$<em>{88}$H$</em>{104}$Cl$<em>{4}$N$</em>{12}$Ni$<em>{4}$O$</em>{40}$Tb$_4$</td>
<td>C$<em>{276}$H$</em>{324}$Cl$<em>{12}$Gd$</em>{12}$N$<em>{36}$Ni$</em>{12}$O$_{142}$</td>
<td>C$<em>{88}$H$</em>{108}$Cl$<em>{4}$Ho$</em>{4}$N$<em>{12}$Ni$</em>{4}$O$_{60}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g/mol</td>
<td>8565.59</td>
<td>2982.15</td>
<td>9434.61</td>
<td>9734.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Cubic</td>
<td>Cubic</td>
<td>Cubic</td>
<td>Cubic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>I-43d</td>
<td>I-43d</td>
<td>I-43d</td>
<td>I-43d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a/Å</td>
<td>34.040(5)</td>
<td>34.080(5)</td>
<td>34.090(5)</td>
<td>34.057(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α=γ=β (°)</td>
<td>90.000</td>
<td>90.000</td>
<td>90.000</td>
<td>90.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V/Å$^3$</td>
<td>39443(17)</td>
<td>39583(6)</td>
<td>39617(17)</td>
<td>39502(17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_c$/g cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>1.442</td>
<td>1.501</td>
<td>1.582</td>
<td>1.637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$/mm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>2.948</td>
<td>2.826</td>
<td>2.699</td>
<td>3.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F(000)</td>
<td>1.442</td>
<td>17712.0</td>
<td>18704.0</td>
<td>19264.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size (mm$^3$)</td>
<td>0.12 × 0.067 × 0.064</td>
<td>0.15 × 0.09 × 0.04</td>
<td>0.08 × 0.043 × 0.031</td>
<td>0.093 × 0.033 × 0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ range (deg)</td>
<td>4.15 to 25.02</td>
<td>4.14 to 24.73</td>
<td>4.14 to 25.02</td>
<td>2.15 to 25.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
$N_1, N_3$-bis(6-formyl-2-(hydroxymethyl)-4-methylphenol)diethylenetriamine (H$_3$L)

An ethanolic solution (20 mL) of 6-formyl-2-(hydroxymethyl)-4-methylphenol (1.5 g, 8.94 mmol) was added drop wise to a vigorously stirred solution of diethylenetriamine (0.46 g, 4.47 mmol) over a period of 20 minutes in ethanol (80 mL) at room temperature. The resulting yellow colored solution was refluxed for 6 h. Subsequently, the solution was concentrated to 30 mL in vacuo before being kept in a refrigerator at 0 °C overnight. A yellow colored precipitate was obtained which was filtered, washed with diethyl ether, cold methanol and dried. Yield: 1.3 g (72.7%). M.P: 146 °C. FT-IR (KBr) cm$^{-1}$: 3429 (br), 3277 (s), 2922 (m), 2854 (m), 1630 (s), 1603 (s), 1463 (m), 1371 (m), 1311 (w). $^1H$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$, δ, ppm): 2.25 (s, 6H, Ar–Me), 2.98 (t, 4H, –CH$_2$), 3.70 (t, 4H, –CH$_2$), 4.65 (s, 2H, Ar–CH$_2$OH), 6.95 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 7.11
(s, 1H, Ar–H), 8.31 (s, 1H, imine–H), 9.80 (b, H, –NH). Anal. Calcd for C_{22}H_{29}N_{3}O_{4} (399.48): C, 66.14; H, 7.32; N, 10.52. Found: C, 65.85; H, 7.18; N, 10.63. ESI-MS, m/z: (M+H)^+; 400.22.

**General Synthetic Procedure for the Preparation of the Complexes 1~4**

All the metal complexes (1-4) were synthesized by following the same procedure as follows. H$_5$L (0.04 g, 0.1 mmol) was dissolved in a solution of MeOH/CHCl$_3$ (40 mL) and subsequently LnCl$_3$·6H$_2$O (0.1 mmol) was added followed by addition of triethylamine (0.02 g, 0.2 mmol). The resulting light yellow colored solution was stirred for 1 h. At this stage, methanolic solution (10 mL) of NiCl$_2$·6H$_2$O (0.024 g, 0.1 mmol) was added followed by addition of a further two equivalents of triethylamine. The green yellow colored reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was stripped off the solvent in vacuo to afford a green residue which was washed twice with diethyl ether and dried and dissolved in a 1:1 v/v mixture of methanol and chloroform. Dark green colored crystals, which were suitable for X-ray diffraction, were obtained by a slow evaporation of the solvent mixture for about 10 days. Specific details of each reaction and the characterization data of the compounds are outlined below.

\[ \text{[Dy}_4\text{Ni}_4(\text{H}_3\text{L})_4(\mu_3-\text{OH})_4(\mu_2-\text{OH})_4]_4\text{Cl} \cdot 30.6\text{H}_2\text{O} \cdot 2\text{CHCl}_3 \ (1) \]

Quantities: H$_5$L (0.04 g, 0.1 mmol), DyCl$_3$·6H$_2$O (0.038 g, 0.1 mmol), Et$_3$N (0.052 mL, 0.4 mmol), Yield: 0.039 g, 43.8% (based on Dy$^{3+}$). M.P: 260°C. FT-IR (KBr) cm$^{-1}$: 3436 (br), 2932 (br), 2870 (s), 1641 (s), 1568 (s), 1460 (s), 1451 (s), 1393 (s), 1336 (w), 1301 (w), 1263 (s), 1234 (s), 1107 (w), 1087(m), 960 (m), 923 (m), 874 (w), 812 (w), 710 (w). ESI-MS m/z, ion: 1340.6,

[Tb₄Ni₄(H₃L)₄(μ₃-OH)₄(μ₂-OH)₄]4Cl·28H₂O (2)
Quantities: H₅L (0.04 g, 0.1 mmol), TbCl₃·6H₂O (0.037 g, 0.1 mmol), Et₃N (0.052 mL, 0.4 mmol), Yield: 0.029 g, 39.1% (based on Tb³⁺). M.P: 260°C. FT-IR (KBr) cm⁻¹: 3422 (br), 2932 (br), 2874 (s), 1643 (s), 1564 (s), 1465 (s), 1447 (s), 1393 (s), 1328 (w), 1298 (w), 1257 (s), 1233 (s), 1108 (w), 1081 (m), 963 (m), 925 (m), 869 (w), 811 (w), 709 (w). ESI-MS m/z, ion: 1360.11, [C₈₈H₁₁₆Tb₄Ni₁₂Ni₄O₂₄ + 7H₂O – 2H⁺]²⁺. Anal. Calcd. for C₈₈H₁₄₀Cl₄Ni₁₂O₄₀Tb₄ (2992.09): C, 35.25; H, 5.03; N, 5.61. Found: C, 35.93; H, 3.89; N, 5.27.

[Gd₄Ni₄(H₃L)₄(μ₃-OH)₄(μ₂-OH)₄]4Cl·25.3H₂O (3)
Quantities: H₅L (0.04 g, 0.1 mmol), GdCl₃·6H₂O (0.037 g, 0.1 mmol), Et₃N (0.052 mL, 0.4 mmol), Yield: 0.027 g, 34.1% (based on Gd³⁺). M.P: 260°C. FT-IR (KBr) cm⁻¹: 3422 (br), 2937 (br), 2867 (s), 1641 (s), 1569 (s), 1461 (s), 1450 (s), 1393 (s), 1332 (w), 1303 (w), 1255 (s), 1238 (s), 1109 (w), 1081 (m), 965 (m), 922 (m), 863 (w), 811 (w), 708 (w). ESI-MS m/z, ion: 1343.59, [C₈₈H₁₁₆Gd₄Ni₁₂Ni₄O₂₄ + MeCN +H₂O– 2H⁺]²⁺. Anal. Calcd. for C₈₈H₁₅₈₆Gd₄Ni₁₂Ni₄O₄₉₃Cl₄(3179.23): C, 33.25; H, 5.03; N, 5.29. Found: C, 33.89; H, 4.93; N, 5.02.

[Ho₄Ni₄(H₃L)₄(μ₃-OH)₄(μ₂-OH)₄]4Cl·36H₂O·3CHCl₃ (4)
Quantities: H₅L (0.04 g, 0.1 mmol), HoCl₃·6H₂O (0.038 g, 0.1 mmol), Et₃N (0.052 mL, 0.4 mmol), Yield: 0.036 g, 39.3% (based on Ho³⁺). M.P: 260°C. FT-IR (KBr) cm⁻¹: 3429 (br), 2935
(br), 2871 (s), 1645 (s), 1563 (s), 1465 (s), 1451 (s), 1397 (s), 1332 (w), 1304 (w), 1260 (s), 1239 (s), 1105 (w), 1082 (m), 967 (m), 929 (m), 871 (w), 814 (w), 711 (w). ESI-MS m/z, ion: 1345.11, [C\textsubscript{88}H\textsubscript{116}Ho\textsubscript{4}Ni\textsubscript{4}O\textsubscript{24} + 4H\textsubscript{2}O − 2H\textsuperscript{+}]\textsuperscript{2+}. Anal. Calcd. for C\textsubscript{91}H\textsubscript{169.2}Ho\textsubscript{4}Ni\textsubscript{4}N\textsubscript{12}O\textsubscript{54.6}Cl\textsubscript{13} (3660.54): C, 29.86; H, 4.66; N, 4.59. Found: C, 29.33; H, 5.01; N, 5.12.

Results and Discussion

Synthetic Aspects

In designing ligands for the preparation of heterometallic 3d/4f complexes the following key factors must be kept in mind.\textsuperscript{16} Distinct coordination compartments are needed within the ligand coordination sphere so as to selectively bind simultaneously to transition metal ions and lanthanide metal ions. In addition, the ligand should be able to promote efficient exchange interaction between the two types of metal centers. Many ligands are known in literature that have served this purpose.\textsuperscript{15,16} In 2014, Tang et al. have reported a series of defect–dicubane shaped Ni\textsubscript{4}Ln\textsubscript{2} (Ln = Dy\textsuperscript{3+}, Tb\textsuperscript{3+}, Ho\textsuperscript{3+}, Gd\textsuperscript{3+} and Y\textsuperscript{3+}) (Figure 1) complexes by employing a compartmental ligand, N\textsubscript{1}N\textsubscript{3}-bis(3-methoxysalicylidene) diethylenetriamine.\textsuperscript{20f}
Figure 1. Defect-dicubane shaped Ni₄Ln₂.²⁰f

Considering that the flexible nature of the –CH₂OH motif allows it to adapt to the coordination requirements of the various metal ions, in a polynuclear complexes, much better than the –OMe group we modified Tang’s ligand to prepare H₅L by the condensation of 6-formyl-2-(hydroxymethyl)-4-methylphenol with diethylenetriamine (H₅L) (Scheme 2).

Scheme 2. Synthesis of ligand H₅L.

In its doubly deprotonated form, [H₅L]⁻² is partitioned into two compartments: one of them is pentadentate having a N₃O₂ environment which is suitable to hold 3d metal ions where as the other compartment is tetradentate comprising of an O₄ environment and is expected to bind to oxophilic lanthanide metal ions (Figure 2a). In accordance with above expectations, the sequential reaction of H₅L with the LnCl₃·6H₂O and NiCl₂·6H₂O followed by the addition of triethylamine in a stoichiometric ratio of 1:1:1:5 in methanol/chloroform mixture (1:1 v/v) has afforded a series of tetracationic octanuclear heterometallic complexes, [Ln₄Ni₄(H₅L)₄(µ₃-OH)₄(µ₂-OH)₄]4Cl·xH₂O·yCHCl₃ (Dy³⁺, x = 30.6, y = 2; Tb³⁺, x = 28, y = 0; Gd³⁺, x = 25.3, y = 0; Ho³⁺, x = 30.6, y = 3) (Scheme 1). The molecular structures of the complexes 1-4 were determined by X-ray crystallography.
To investigate the structural integrity of 1–4 in solution, we have carried out ESI–MS studies which revealed peaks at mass to charge ratios of 1340.6, 1360.11, 1343.59 and 1345.11 corresponding to the dicatonic species $[\text{C}_{88}\text{H}_{116}\text{Dy}_{4}\text{N}_{12}\text{Ni}_{4}\text{O}_{24} + \text{MeOH} + \text{MeCN} - 2\text{H}^+]^{2+}$, $[\text{C}_{88}\text{H}_{116}\text{Tb}_{4}\text{N}_{12}\text{Ni}_{4}\text{O}_{24} + 7\text{H}_2\text{O} - 2\text{H}^+]^{2+}$, $[\text{C}_{88}\text{H}_{116}\text{Gd}_{4}\text{N}_{12}\text{Ni}_{4}\text{O}_{24} + \text{MeCN} + \text{H}_2\text{O} - 2\text{H}^+]^{2+}$ and $[\text{C}_{88}\text{H}_{116}\text{Ho}_{4}\text{N}_{12}\text{Ni}_{4}\text{O}_{24} + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} - 2\text{H}^+]^{2+}$ respectively. These results suggest that overall, the octanuclear motifs seem to survive in solution. The ESI-MS spectrum of 1 is shown in Figure 3 while those of 2–4 are given in the Supporting Information (Figures S1–S3).
Figure 3. a) Full range ESI-MS spectrum of complex 1. b) experimental and c) simulated mass spectral pattern of the species \([\text{C}_{88}\text{H}_{116}\text{Dy}_4\text{N}_{12}\text{Ni}_4\text{O}_{24} + \text{MeOH} + \text{MeCN} - 2\text{H}^+]^{2+}\).
X-ray Crystal Structures of 1–4

Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained over a week by slow evaporation of solutions (1:1 (v/v) mixture of chloroform and methanol) of the corresponding complexes. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis reveals that all the four complexes are tetracationic, isostructural, and crystallize in a cubic system in the space group $I\bar{4}3d$ with two different $Z$ values: $Z = 4$ for 1, 3 and 4 while $Z = 12$ for 2. The asymmetric unit of 1–4 contains one-fourth of the total molecule, viz., $[\text{Ni}^{II}\text{Ln}^{III}(\text{H}_3\text{L})(\mu_2-\text{OH})(\text{OH})]\text{Cl}$ (Figure 4a) and the full molecule is generated by the four fold axis of rotation ($C_4$) which passes exactly through the center of the molecule (Figure 4b). In view of their structural similarity, complex 1 has been chosen as a representative example to elucidate the salient structural features. A perspective view of the molecular structure of 1 is depicted in Figure 4b while those of 2-4 are given in the Supporting Information.
Figure 4. **a)** Asymmetric unit of 1. **b)** molecular structure of 1 (hydrogen atoms, chlorides and solvent molecules are omitted for clarity). Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles(°) are as follows: Ni(1)–N(3) = 2.009(11), Ni(1)–N(1) = 2.040(10), Ni(1)–O(1) = 2.088(8), Ni(1)–O(3) = 2.089(8), Ni(1)–O(2) = 2.097(8), Ni(1)–N(2) = 2.166(11), Ni(1)–Dy(1)* = 3.2138(15), Dy(1)–O(4) = 2.211(7), Dy(1)–O(4)* = 2.267(7), Dy(1)–O(1)* = 2.364(7), Dy(1)–O(3)* = 2.381(7), Dy(1)–O(5) = 2.420(8), Dy(1)–O(6) = 2.456(8), Dy(1)–O(2)* = 2.631(8), Dy(1)–Ni(1)* = 3.213(15), Ni(1)–O(1)–Dy(1)* = 92.2(3), Ni(1)–O(2)–Dy(1)* = 84.8(3), Ni(1)–OH(3)–Dy(1)* = 91.7(3), Ni(1)–O(3)–Dy(1) = 139.7(4), Dy(1)*–OH(3)–Dy(1) = 102.0(3), Dy(1)–OH(4)–Dy(1)* = 113.3(3), Ni(1)*–Dy(1)–Dy(1)* = 127.90(4), Ni(1)*–Dy(1)–Dy(1)* = 74.88(3).
Detailed structural analysis reveals that the octanuclear heterometallic complex 1 is assembled by the cumulative coordination action of four doubly deprotonated ligands, \([H_3L]^2^-\). Each \([H_3L]^2^-\) holds two different metal ions: Ni\(^{II}\) occupies the P1 pocket \((N_3O_2)\) whereas the oxophilic Dy\(^{III}\) is held by the P2 pocket \((O4)\) (Figure 2a). Ni\(^{II}\) and Dy\(^{III}\) are connected by two bridging phenolate oxygen centers along with an exogenous \(\mu_3\)-OH ligand, giving rise to a dinuclear subunit, \([\text{NiDy}(H_3L)(\mu_3\text{-OH})]\). Within this dinuclear subunit, each \([H_3L]^2-\) adopts a \(\mu_2-\eta^1:\eta^2:\eta^1:\eta^1:\eta^2:\eta^1\) coordination mode to hold the two metal centers simultaneously (Figure 2b). In addition to the binding provided by \([H_3L]^2^-\), four such \{NiDy\} subunits are tightly held together by four exogenous \(\mu_2\)-OH ligands to furnish finally an octanuclear heterometallic complex. The assignment of the protonation on the oxygen centers has been confirmed by BVS calculation\(^{21}\) (Table 2).

Figure 5. a) Octanuclear core of the complex 1 showing the coplanar arrangement of the Dy\(^{3+}\) ions (shaded pink). b) \([2\times2]\) square grid showing identical distances between nearest neighbor Dy\(^{3+}\) ions.
Table 2. Atom BVS assignment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Assigned as</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O3</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>–OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O4</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>–OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O6</td>
<td>0.402</td>
<td>–CH₂OH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The tetracationic octanuclear core, [Dy₄Ni₄(μ₂-O_phen)₈(μ₂-Oh)₄(μ₃-OH)₄]⁴⁺ comprises of four dysprosium centers, which are arranged in the four corners of a square grid while the four Ni⁺⁺ are displaced by ≈ 2.3 Å on either side of the Dy₄ square grid plane (Figure 5a). An interesting feature of the molecular structure of 1 is that all the four dysprosium centers are coplanar having an equal Dy–Dy distance of 3.74 Å resulting in a perfect [2×2] square grid (Figure 5b). It is of interest to mention that this kind of structural topology is quite rare in the 3d/4f complexes with only one reported in Cr/Ln family.¹¹c However, among homometallic lanthanide complexes, four examples are known that possess distorted square grid cores.¹⁷,²²

The four Ni⁺⁺ ions in 1 are equivalent and are surrounded by a N₃O₃ coordination environment. Each Ni⁺⁺ possesses a distorted fac octahedral geometry; the three corners of one face are occupied by the nitrogen atoms, two corners of the opposite face are from the phenoxo group while the remaining is occupied by an oxygen belonging to a μ₃-OH (Figure 6a).
Figure 6. a) Distorted octahedral geometry around the Ni$^{2+}$. b) Distorted square antiprism geometry around the Dy$^{3+}$.

Each of the four Dy$^{III}$ is eight-coordinated in a distorted square antiprism geometry; the coordination environment being entirely made up of oxygen centers which include two phenoxo oxygen atoms, two pendant –CH$_2$OH, two $\mu_3$-OH and two $\mu_2$-OH (Figure 6b). As anticipated, the flexible nature of the –CH$_2$OH motif results in expansion of the nuclearity up to eight. In order to determine the amount of distortion present in the SAP geometry around Dy$^{3+}$, we have calculated the skew angle ($\phi$), inter planar distances ($d_{pp}$), intra planar distance ($d_{ip}$) and the dihedral angle between the two mean plane ($\theta$) coming from the neighboring ligand donor sites and the angle between the S8 axis and a RE–L direction ($\alpha$) (Table 3)$^{23}$.

Table 3: Parameters involved in SAP geometry for compound 1 compared to the ideal geometry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Present case</th>
<th>Ideal SAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\phi$</td>
<td>50.693°</td>
<td>45°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The analysis of the above data reveals that the geometry around Dy\textsuperscript{III} substantially deviates from the ideal SAP and can be best assigned as an elongated square antiprism geometry.

A few comments on the metric parameters observed in 1. All the Ni–N bond distances are found to be almost similar with values in the range 1.996–2.047 Å. The Ni–O bond distances are nearly similar and fall in a narrow range, 2.071–2.111 Å while the Dy–O bond distances fall in a wider range 2.371–2.634Å with largest distance being found in Dy–O\textsuperscript{phenolate}. The average Dy–µ\textsubscript{3}–OH bond distance is 2.408 Å, which is larger than the average Dy–µ\textsubscript{2}–OH bond distance, 2.240 Å. These distances are similar to those observed in the literature\textsuperscript{24}. All the Dy–µ\textsubscript{3}–OH–Dy bond angles are equal (101.93\textdegree) and are smaller than the Dy–µ\textsubscript{2}–OH–Dy bond angle, 113.23\textdegree. Three different Dy–O–Ni bond angles are found in compound 1: the angle 84.75\textdegree and 92.47\textdegree correspond to two Dy–O\textsuperscript{phenolate}–Ni while 90.95\textdegree corresponds to Dy–µ\textsubscript{3}–OH–Ni.

The analysis of the packing arrangement of 1 shows lack of significant intermolecular interactions among the neighboring molecules in any direction (Figure S7–S9), however three sets of strong intramolecular hydrogen bonding are encountered: In one type, four hydrogen bonds are formed between the four pendant –CH\textsubscript{2}OH of the [H\textsubscript{3}L]\textsuperscript{2}– and the bridging phenolate oxygen atom of the four adjacent [H\textsubscript{3}L]\textsuperscript{2}– with D–H…..A, 1.866Å (Figure S10). Presumably, because of this interaction, the pendant –CH\textsubscript{2}OH is reluctant to undergo deprotonation to

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(d_{pp})</td>
<td>2.591 Å</td>
<td>(d_{pp} = d_{ip})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d_{ip})</td>
<td>2.845 Å</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\theta)</td>
<td>1.438\textdegree</td>
<td>0\textdegree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha)</td>
<td>53.318\textdegree</td>
<td>54.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The analysis of the packing arrangement of 1 shows lack of significant intermolecular interactions among the neighboring molecules in any direction (Figure S7–S9), however three sets of strong intramolecular hydrogen bonding are encountered: In one type, four hydrogen bonds are formed between the four pendant –CH\textsubscript{2}OH of the [H\textsubscript{3}L]\textsuperscript{2}– and the bridging phenolate oxygen atom of the four adjacent [H\textsubscript{3}L]\textsuperscript{2}– with D–H…..A, 1.866Å (Figure S10). Presumably, because of this interaction, the pendant –CH\textsubscript{2}OH is reluctant to undergo deprotonation to
function as a bridging ligand and fails to expand the nuclearity further. In another type of hydrogen bonding, two chloride counter anions (Cl1 and Cl1*) are involved in the formation of four intramolecular hydrogen bonds each among the two $\mu_2$-OH and two $\mu_3$-OH. The last type of hydrogen bonding involves two hydrogen atoms of the two ethylene arms of the $[H_3L]^2-$, which participate in hydrogen bonding with the remaining two chloride counter anions (Cl3 and Cl3*) (Figure S10). The hydrogen bond parameters involved in these various interactions are tabulated in Table 3. It is of interest to mention that as a result of the above mentioned hydrogen bonding, four chloride counter anions are placed in a single straight line which passes exactly through the center of the molecule (Figure S10).

**Table 4.** Intramolecular hydrogen bonding parameters for compound 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D–H…..A</th>
<th>d(D–H) (Å)</th>
<th>d(H…..A) (Å)</th>
<th>d(D….A) (Å)</th>
<th>&lt;(DHA) (°)</th>
<th>Symmetry of A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O6–H6…..O2</td>
<td>0.850</td>
<td>1.866</td>
<td>2.709(88)</td>
<td>171.3</td>
<td>1.25-x,-0.75+z,0.75-y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C12–H12A…ClI3</td>
<td>0.971</td>
<td>2.879</td>
<td>3.836(14)</td>
<td>168.3</td>
<td>1.5-x, -y, 0.5+Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3–H60…ClI</td>
<td>0.914</td>
<td>2.516</td>
<td>3.314(64)</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>1.5-x, -y, 0.5+z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O4–H20…ClI</td>
<td>0.831</td>
<td>2.578</td>
<td>3.252(50)</td>
<td>138.5</td>
<td>1.5-x, -y, 0.5+z</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The geometry of the Ni$_4$Ln$_4$ in the present work is unique; a literature search on Ni-Ln complexes reveals that only one octanuclear heterometallic Ni$_4$Ln$_4$ complex is known so far$^{25}$ possessing a completely different topology from the present case (Figure 7).
Figure 7. Molecular structure of a previously reported Ni$_4$Ln$_4$ complex.$^{25}$

However as we mentioned earlier, four Dy$_4$ complexes are reported with a distorted [2×2] square grid core topology$^{17,22}$ (Figure 8), among them two are similar to the Ln$_4$ motif of the present case$^{17,22c}$. A comparison of the metric parameters of these reported complexes with those observed in the present instance is given in Table 5. From this table it can be seen that the metric parameters involved are quite similar, most remarkable being the similarity of the inter Dy–Dy distances.

Figure 8. Line diagrams of reported Dy$_4$ complexes having the [2×2] square grid core$^{17,22c}$. 
Table 5. Comparison of bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (˚) in the square-grid core present in Dy₄ complexes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Dy–O bridging</th>
<th>Dy–Oalkoxy</th>
<th>Dy–OH–Dy</th>
<th>Dy–Dy</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Dy₄(L)₄(OH)₄]·Cl₂</td>
<td>2.297</td>
<td>113.49</td>
<td>3.781</td>
<td></td>
<td>22c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂L = 2-(1-(pyridin-2-yl)ethylidene)hydrazinyl) hydrazide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Dy₄(HL)₄(μ₂-OH)₃(μ₂-OMe)]·4NO₃</td>
<td>2.285</td>
<td>2.402</td>
<td>112.25</td>
<td>3.795</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂L = 6-(hydroxymethyl)-N'(1-(pyridin-2-yl) ethylidene)picolinohydrazide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Dy₄Ni₄(H₃L)₄(μ₃–OH)₄(μ₂–OH)₄]</td>
<td>2.406</td>
<td>2.434</td>
<td>102.04</td>
<td>3.741</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₃L = N₁, N₃-bis(6-formyl-2-(hydroxymethyl)-4-methylphenol) diethylenetriamine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Magnetic studies

Figure 9. Schematic depiction of the inter- and intra spin-exchange interactions described in the text for each Ni₄Ln₄ unit (surrounding ligands are omitted for clarity).

To estimate which of the spin-exchange interactions we expect to dominate in compounds 1–4 (described in what follows as Ni₄Dy₄, Ni₄Tb₄, Ni₄Gd₄, and Ni₄Ho₄), we examine the potential magnetic pathways in the Ni₄Ln₄ clusters (Figure 9). Of the three unique inter-ion magnetic exchanges: Ni-Ni; Ni-Ln; and Ln-Ln, the Ni-Ni interaction may be dismissed due to the lack of a clear super-exchange pathway between Ni²⁺ ions. Thus, we represent the clusters with a Hamiltonian of the form,

$$
\mathcal{H} = \sum_{Ln \text{ ions}} D_{Ln} (S_{z}^{Ln})^2 + \sum_{Ni \text{ ions}} D_{Ni} (S_{z}^{Ni})^2 \\
+ \sum_{All \text{ Clusters}} \left[ \sum_{NiLn \text{ Pairs}} J_{NiLn} S_{z}^{Ni} \cdot S_{z}^{Ln} + \sum_{Ln \text{ ions}} J_{Ln} S_{z}^{ln} \cdot S_{z}^{ln} \right] + \sum_{clusters} J_{NiLn}^{cl} S_{z}^{Ni} \cdot S_{z}^{LN} 
$$

(1)
where the first two terms represent the Ln$^{3+}$ and Ni$^{2+}$ single-ion anisotropy respectively, the term in square brackets represents the possible intra-cluster interactions, including Ni-Ln exchange ($J_{\text{NiLn}}$, an intra-dimer exchange described in the discussion below) and Ln-Ln exchange ($J_{\text{LnLn}}$, an inter-dimer exchange), and the last term represents exchange between clusters ($J'$). $S$ refers to the total (spin + orbital) angular momentum of a unit [an ion (Ni/Ln) or cluster (cl.)]

$4f$ orbitals have a reduced spatial extent compared with $3d$ orbitals, hence the consequently low-spin density between lanthanide ion centers implies $J_{\text{NiLn}} \ll J_{\text{LnLn}}$, while from distance arguments, it would be expected for the inter-cluster exchange to be the smallest exchange term. Therefore, each system likely consists of weakly interacting NiLn dimers. Furthermore, previous studies of Ni$^{2+}$–Ln$^{3+}$ compounds found the empirical rule that a ferromagnetic exchange existed when the Ln$^{3+}$ ions contained greater than or half-filled $4f$ orbitals.$^{26}$ Thus, a ferromagnetic $J_{\text{NiLn}}$ is expected for compounds 1–4. We note that while the intra-dimer exchange is depicted as Heisenberg in the above Hamiltonian, the Ln$^{3+}$ ions Dy$^{3+}$, Tb$^{3+}$ and Ho$^{3+}$ are known to exhibit highly anisotropic $4f$ electron clouds$^{27}$ and so may lead to non-Heisenberg interactions. We present dc- and ac-magnetometry measurements performed on powdered samples to determine the relative importance of the single-ion anisotropy and inter-dimer interactions for each of the compounds in this heterometallic series.
Figure 10. (a) dc molar susceptibility of Ni$_4$Dy$_4$ (black), Ni$_4$Ho$_4$ (red), Ni$_4$Gd$_4$ (blue) and Ni$_4$Tb$_4$ (green) measured with $\mu_0 H_{DC} = 0.1$ T. Inset: Product of the dc susceptibility, $\chi_{mol}$, with temperature, $\chi_{mol}T$ vs. $T$. b) Isothermal magnetization vs. applied dc-field for each compound at 2.0 K. Up sweeps only are shown for clarity. Units are $\mu_B$ per formula unit (n$_{f.u.}$).
Compound 3, Ni<sub>4</sub>Gd<sub>4</sub>

The lanthanide single-ion anisotropy term is expected to dominate in Equation 1 for the Dy<sup>3+</sup>, Tb<sup>3+</sup> and Ho<sup>3+</sup> congeners. However, since the electron distribution of spin-only Gd<sup>3+</sup> ions exhibits a spherically symmetric electron cloud, the Ni<sub>4</sub>Gd<sub>4</sub> compound allows investigation of the single-ion anisotropy related solely to the Ni<sup>2+</sup> ions.

The susceptibility of Ni<sub>4</sub>Gd<sub>4</sub> (Figure 10a) increases as temperature decreases in a Curie-Weiss manner. A deviation from this behavior is detected below 5 K, where \( \chi \) stabilizes at the lowest temperatures.

The product \( \chi_{\text{mol}}T \) of each material (Figure 10a, inset) shows a steady value at high temperatures indicating the compounds are in the paramagnetic phase where temperature fluctuations overcome all internal interactions. Here each ion obeys \( \chi T = \mu_0 N_A \mu_{\text{eff}}^2 / (3k_B) \) where \( \mu_{\text{eff}} = g_J (J (J + 1))^{1/2} \mu_B \) and \( g_J \) is the Landé g-factor.\(^{28}\) The room-temperature value of \( \chi T \) was found to be within \( \approx 15\% \) of the expected value for each compound. Contributions to this result may come from: (i) a strong single-ion anisotropy in the Dy, Ho and Tb samples; (ii) diamagnetic contributions to the measurements of all samples from the sample holder, molecular ligands and Vaseline; and (iii) small mg losses of sample incurred during the procedure to disperse the materials in the Vaseline. The \( \chi T \) data for Ni<sub>4</sub>Gd<sub>4</sub> features a small, negative gradient at high temperatures, which can be attributed to diamagnetic contributions from the Vaseline, sample holder and the organic ligands. This diamagnetic component should be present for all compounds, but is most obvious in the data for Ni<sub>4</sub>Gd<sub>4</sub>, which exhibits the smallest intrinsic room-temperature moment.
A rapid drop in $\chi T$ for Ni$_4$Gd$_4$ occurs in the region of $T < 10$ K. Density functional theory calculations predict that the Ni–Gd exchange interaction mediated through each of the three non-linear Ni-O-Gd bonds should be ferromagnetic and have $J_{\text{NiGd}} \approx 2.3$ K. The observed rapid decrease of $\chi T$ on cooling can therefore be interpreted as due to a combination of the thermal depopulation of crystal-field split Ni$^{2+}$ ion energy levels and the onset of Gd–Gd interactions. The onset of a low-temperature plateau in the susceptibility is indicative of single-ion anisotropy of the Ni$^{2+}$ ions. The lack of a Curie-like divergence of the susceptibility at low temperatures implies that the paramagnetic contribution to the measurement from the Gd$^{3+}$ ions is reduced. Since spin-exchange interactions between lanthanide ions are expected to be small, we consider the effect of intra-cluster dipolar interactions. Treating Gd$^{3+}$ and Ni$^{2+}$ as classical moments, the energy of the cluster is defined as $E = \mu_0/4\pi r^3[\mu_1 \cdot \mu_2 - (\mu_1 \cdot r)(\mu_2 \cdot r)/r^2]$ where $\mu_{1,2}$ are the magnetic moments of the considered ions and $r$ is the displacement vector between them. The dipolar energy is minimized (by $\approx 2.8$ K) on cooling by first allowing the Gd$^{3+}$ moments to adopt a collinear antiparallel alignment, with moment directions perpendicular to the Gd$^{3+}$ square plane. Since this occurs at a temperature scale exceeding the inter-dimer interaction, this implies that dipole-dipole interactions act to reduce the contribution of Gd$^{3+}$ to the susceptibility at low temperatures and allow the data to stabilize.

For Ni$^{2+}$ ions with an easy-plane single-ion anisotropy ($D_{\text{Ni}}$) a minimum in $d\chi/dT$ associated with the thermal depopulation of crystal-field split states is expected at $T_{\text{min}} = 0.45D_{\text{Ni}}/k_B$ (Figure S11). A minimum in $d\chi/dT$ at 3.0(3) K for Ni$_4$Gd$_4$ (Figure S11) lies above the Gd-Gd dipole-dipole energy, indicating it may be attributed to $D_{\text{Ni}} = 6.7(7)$ K.
The magnetization of \text{Ni}_4\text{Gd}_4 [Figure 10(b)] follows a steady, near linear increase towards a value of \(M = 25 \ \mu_B/n_{\text{f.u.}}\) at \(\mu_0 H_{\text{DC}} = 7 \ \text{T}\), which is lower than the saturation magnetization of \(36.0 \ \mu_B/n_{\text{f.u.}}\) for paramagnetic \text{Ni}^{2+} and \text{Gd}^{3+}. This is consistent with development of anisotropic \text{Ni}^{2+} ions at low temperatures.

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure11}
\caption{Ac susceptibility of \text{Ni}_4\text{Gd}_4 reported as the in-phase and out-of-phase susceptibility, \(\chi'\) and \(\chi''\), in a dc magnetic field of \(\mu_0 H_{\text{DC}} = 0 \ \text{T}\) in (a) and (c) and a magnetic field of \(\mu_0 H_{\text{DC}} = 0.1 \ \text{T}\) in (b) and (d).}
\end{figure}
Ac susceptibility measurements of Ni$_4$Gd$_4$ with $\mu_0 H_{DC} = 0$ T [Figure 11(a), (c)] indicate that $\chi'$ increases on cooling with no evidence of frequency separation for ac-field frequencies $\leq$ 1500 Hz. The lack of slow relaxation in the magnetization is further supported by measurements obtained when a dc field of $\mu_0 H_{DC} = 0.1$ T is applied. We note a very weak frequency dependence below 2.0 K, which may be attributed to the induced slow-relaxation expected when the degeneracy of the spin-states of the paramagnetic Gd$^{3+}$ ions is lifted by the 0.1 T magnetic field.$^{34,35}$

In combination with observations from dc measurements, this indicates that while Ni$_4$Gd$_4$ does contain a non-zero anisotropy related to Ni$^{2+}$, it is easy plane (i.e. $D_{Ni} > 0$) rather than the Ising-like anisotropy required for slow relaxation of magnetization.$^{36-38}$

**Compound 4, Ni$_4$Ho$_4$**

The high-temperature dc susceptibility of Ni$_4$Ho$_4$ [Figure 10(a)] increases on cooling in a Curie-Weiss manner. This behavior leads to the steady high temperature plateau observed in $\chi T$, which agrees well with the value expected for independent paramagnetic ions. The decrease observed in $\chi T$ on cooling occurs at a much higher temperature than that for Ni$_4$Gd$_4$ indicating a different physical origin for this behavior. Ho$^{3+}$ has a highly anisotropic electron distribution,$^{27}$ such that it would be expected that $|D_{Ho}| >> |D_{Ni}|$. Thus, this $\chi T$ decrease can be attributed to the large single-ion anisotropy of the Ho$^{3+}$ ions. The fact that $\chi$ continues to increase down to low temperatures implies there are no significant antiferromagnetic interactions between Ho$^{3+}$ ions for the temperature range studied. Furthermore, any Ni–Ho exchange is expected to be ferromagnetic in nature,$^{26}$ so the lack of an increase in $\chi T$ or hysteresis in the magnetization (Figure 10b) indicates
that the magnetic properties of this material are dominated by the single-ion properties of individual Ni$^{2+}$ and Ho$^{3+}$ ions. The slowly rising magnetization reaches a value of $32 \mu_B/\text{n.f.u}$ at 7 T, which is much less than the predicted saturation magnetization of individual ions of $50.7 \mu_B/\text{n.f.u}$ and therefore supports the conclusion of a large $D_{\text{Ho}}$ in this material.

**Figure 12.** Ac susceptibility of Ni$_4$Ho$_4$ reported as the in-phase and out-of-phase susceptibility, $\chi'$ and $\chi''$, in a dc magnetic field of $\mu_0 H_{\text{DC}} = 0$ T in (a) and (c) and a magnetic field of $\mu_0 H_{\text{DC}} = 0.1$ T in (b) and (d).
A complete lack of frequency or dc magnetic field dependence in $\chi'$ and the observation of a near-zero $\chi''$ response (Figure 12) indicate Ni$_4$Ho$_4$ shows no slow SMM relaxation. This is consistent with the presence of a large easy-plane single-ion anisotropy of the Ho$^{3+}$ ions.

*Compound I, Ni$_4$Dy$_4$*

The $\chi T$ data for Ni$_4$Dy$_4$ (Figure 10a), initially decreases from the room temperature value on cooling and by analogy to the Ho$^{3+}$ congener, this is associated with the thermal depopulation of the lanthanide ion’s spin states. On further reduction of the temperature, a peak centered at approximately 4.8 K is observed. A similar feature has previously been observed in Dy$^{3+}$ and Tb$^{3+}$ cluster compounds displaying SMM behavior and attributed to intra-cluster interactions.$^{31,38}$ Furthermore, in a Ni-Ln coupled systems, such a peak has been identified as due to ferromagnetic exchange between Ni$^{2+}$ and Ln$^{3+}$.26

The magnetization of Ni$_4$Dy$_4$ (Figure 10b) shows the most rapid initial increase of any member of this series of magnets, with a transition to a slower increase above 1 T. The moment of 22.5 $\mu_B/n_{fu}$ at 7 T is far less than the saturation value for individual ions of 48.0 $\mu_B/n_{fu}$, which is indicative of the single-ion anisotropy of the Ni$^{2+}$ and Dy$^{3+}$ as well as the presence of Dy–Ni interactions.
Figure 13. Ac susceptibility of Ni$_4$Dy$_4$ reported as the in-phase and out-of-phase susceptibility, $\chi'$ and $\chi''$, in a dc magnetic field of $\mu_0H_{DC} = 0$ T in (a) and (c) and a magnetic field of $\mu_0H_{DC} = 0.14$ T in (b) and (d).

The ac susceptibility performed at both $\mu_0H_{DC} = 0$ T and 0.14 T is shown in Figure 13. For $\mu_0H_{DC} = 0$ T a clear frequency dependence is evident whereby the in-phase susceptibility shows a weak separation of frequencies at low-temperatures, accompanied by a non-zero, frequency-dependent out-of-phase susceptibility signal below 8 K caused by the presence of slow
magnetization dynamics. This bears a strong resemblance to previously reported SMMs in which zero-field slow relaxation was suppressed by quantum tunneling of magnetization (QTM).\textsuperscript{30,32,40}

On applying the derived optimum field of $\mu_0 H_{DC} = 0.14$ T (Figure 13c, 13d and Figure S13); the frequency dependence of $\chi'$ can be seen to become enhanced and be driven to higher temperatures with peaks occurring at the highest frequencies. This may be explained as due to the single-ion anisotropy of Dy$^{3+}$. Dy$^{3+}$ is a Kramers ion ($S = 15/2$), which contains a ground state doublet in zero applied dc magnetic field.\textsuperscript{5,30} Thus, while phonon assisted relaxation of the magnetization is possible in an applied ac-field, this relaxation mechanism can be suppressed in favour of the faster QTM within the bistable ground-state.\textsuperscript{30} The application of a dc magnetic field, however, lifts the ground-state degeneracy and suppresses QTM, allowing phonon assisted relaxation to become the dominant relaxation mechanism.\textsuperscript{35} In this situation, peaks occur in $\chi''(T)$ corresponding to the temperature when the ac-field angular frequency ($\omega$) and relaxation time ($\tau$) satisfy $\omega \tau = 1$.\textsuperscript{34}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{Cole-Cole.png}
\caption{Cole-Cole plot of Ni$_4$Dy$_4$ as measured in a magnetic field of $\mu_0 H_{DC} = 0.14$ T including a fit to generalized Debye model.}
\end{figure}
A Cole-Cole plot is presented in Figure 14. The data follow single, isothermal arcs that become more complete as temperature decreases suggesting the presence of single relaxation pathways.\textsuperscript{34,41} The data at 2 K are compared to the generalized Debye model to determine the isothermal and adiabatic susceptibility, $\chi_T$ and $\chi_S$ and spread of relaxation times, $\alpha$.\textsuperscript{32} A fit to this model (S3) yields the parameters $\chi_T = 2.491(7) \times 10^{-4}$ m$^3$ mol$^{-1}$, $\chi_S = 9.6(9) \times 10^{-5}$ m$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ and $\alpha = 0.03(4)$. The low value of $\alpha$ represents a small distribution of relaxation times, which is further indicative of a single relaxation mechanism. While only one peak can be observed in $\chi''(T)$ (Figure 13d), the form of the ac susceptibility data offers strong evidence that Ni$_4$Dy$_4$ is a field-induced SMM. However, higher frequencies or lower temperatures would be required to fully quantify this slow relaxation and derive a value for the energy barrier to slow relaxation, $U_{\text{eff}}$, via Arrhenius analysis.

The observation of weak SMM behaviour in Ni$_4$Dy$_4$ results from the easy-axis anisotropy developed by the Kramers Dy$^{3+}$ ions in the distorted square antiprism coordination environment (Figure 6b). This Ising character is a consequence of a preferred orientation of the oblate\textsuperscript{27} 4$f$ electron cloud due to an electrostatic interaction with the surrounding negative ligands. Given that the coordination environment of the Ho$^{3+}$ ions in Ni$_4$Ho$_4$ is similar (Figure S6), the smaller spatial extent of the 4$f$ electron cloud in each lanthanide centre relative to the Dy$^{3+}$ congener is likely to weaken the effects of the crystal field at the Ho$^{3+}$ magnetic centres. The lack of SMM characteristics in the dynamic susceptibility study of this non-Kramers system is also further indicative of an easy-plane ground state for the Ho$^{3+}$ ions.
Compound 2, Ni₄Tb₄

The $\chi T$ data for Ni₄Tb₄ (inset to Figure 10a) is similar to that of Ni₄Ho₄. A decrease is observed from a steady value at temperatures below $\approx 100$ K that may be attributed to the thermal depopulation of Tb$^{3+}$ spin states. For the non-Kramers Tb$^{3+}$ ions, a bistable ground state may only be realized if the crystal field at the lanthanide centers is strictly axial.²⁷ Since the point group at the Tb$^{3+}$ lattice sites is determined to be 1, each ion can be assigned a ground-state singlet such that the single-ion anisotropy is easy plane in nature. This argument can be extended by analogy to Ni₄Ho₄ as further evidence of easy-plane Ho$^{3+}$ anisotropy as Ho$^{3+}$ is also a non-Kramers ion with the same point group symmetry on the Ho$^{3+}$ lattice site.

The decrease in $\chi T$ becomes more pronounced as the temperature is reduced further from 10 to 5 K. This feature is also observed for both the Ho$^{3+}$ and Gd$^{3+}$ members of this heterometallic series, which implies that this shared behavior may be associated with the thermal depopulation of Ni$^{2+}$ crystal-field split energy levels.

The lack of a peak in $\chi T$ in Ni₄Tb₄ below 5 K is in contrast to the behavior of the Dy$^{3+}$ congener, which is indicative of the absence of ferromagnetic Ni–Tb interactions in the former material.²⁴ Furthermore, the rounded maximum at 3.9(3) K in the dc susceptibility of Ni₄Tb₄ (Figure 10a) contrasts with the leveling out observed in Ni₄Gd₄ that was attributed to the single-ion anisotropy of the Ni$^{2+}$ ions and a cancellation of the lanthanide moments’ contribution to the measurement. We suggest that these two observations for the Ni₄Tb₄ material, together with the ac susceptibility data discussed below, are consistent with a model for which the Ni-Tb exchange interactions are antiferromagnetic. The maximum in the susceptibility is then achieved from an
overall cancellation of each dimer’s moment due to inter-dimer interactions that arises from the 
dipolar coupling expected to be significant in these materials at low-temperatures. Ni₄Tb₄ 
therefore may provide a counterexample to the aforementioned empirical rule that predicts a 
ferromagnetic exchange between Ni²⁺ and Ln³⁺ centres. This likely results from the precise 
form of the Ni-Ln exchange pathways afforded by the ligand architecture and spin density in 
these systems. We also note that the Ho³⁺ and Gd³⁺ members of this heterometallic series offer 
no evidence for ferromagnetic Ni-Ln exchange.
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**Figure 15.** Ac susceptibility of Ni₄Tb₄ measured on cooling in (a) & (d) $\mu_0H_{DC} = 0$ T; (b) & (e) $\mu_0H_{DC} = 0.1$ T; and (c) & (f) $\mu_0H_{DC} = 0.59$ T. An ac magnetic field amplitude of $\mu_0H_{AC} = 0.1$ mT is used for frequencies above 1500 Hz, otherwise $\mu_0H_{AC} = 0.4$ T.

The ac susceptibility of Ni₄Tb₄ in both $\mu_0H_{DC} = 0$ T (Figure 15a, d) and $\mu_0H_{DC} = 0.1$ T (Figure 15b, e) lacks a strong out-of-phase component to the measurement. The absence of field induced
slow-relaxation is consistent with the easy-plane anisotropy assigned to the lanthanide moments. A field dependent study of the dynamic susceptibility (Supporting Information) revealed that an out-of-phase component may be induced in larger applied fields, with the slow-relaxation for $\mu_0H_{DC} = 0.59$ T (Figure 15c,f) exhibiting both a frequency-dependent maximum in $\chi'$ and an order of magnitude increase in $\chi''$ relative to the low dc-field measurements. Furthermore, the position of the maximum in $\chi'$ decreases in temperature when the dc-field is increased, which is consistent with the attribution of this feature to the formation of antiferromagnetic dimers in the preceding discussion.

The qualitative similarity of the ac susceptibility for $\mu_0H_{DC} = 0.59$ T to the slow relaxation observed in the Dy$^{3+}$ material (Figure 13) is indicative of slow relaxation via a single pathway in Ni$_4$Tb$_4$. This conclusion is further supported by a comparison of Cole-Cole plots of the Tb$^{3+}$ material’s ac susceptibility data collected in non-zero dc-fields (Figure 16). For $\mu_0H_{DC} = 0.59$ T, isotherms in the $\chi'$-$\chi''$ plane appear to form extended arcs that become more extended on cooling, as predicted by the modified Debye model, whereas the behavior for $\mu_0H_{DC} = 0.1$ T shows a temperature dependence that is incompatible with traditional SMM behavior.
Figure 16. Cole-Cole plot for Ni₄Tb₄ in $\mu_0 H_{DC} = 0.1$ and 0.59 T for constant temperatures in the range $2 \leq T \leq 3$ K. The data collected in 0.59 T resembles the development of large semicircular arcs on cooling expected from the modified Debye model for single pathway slow relaxation (Figure 14).

The onset of the slow-relaxation in the ac susceptibility at $\mu_0 H_{DC} = 0.59$ T is concurrent with a sudden rapid rise in the magnetization of the powdered sample in a dc-field (Figure 10b). This implies that, at this point, a larger fraction of the sample moments may align to the applied field while the material also adopts a magnetic easy-axis to permit the slow-relaxing behavior. To explain both phenomena, we consider a model Hamiltonian for each Ni-Tb dimer, appropriate at low-temperatures and small applied fields, of the form:

$$\mathcal{H} = J_{NiTb} S^{Ni} \cdot S^{Tb} + D_{Dimer} S_z^2 + g \mu_0 \mu_B S \cdot H,$$

(2)

where $S^{Ni} = 1$ is the Ni$^{2+}$ spin, $S^{Tb} = 6$ is the Tb$^{3+}$ total angular momentum and the dimerized unit has total spin $S$ and $g$-factor, $g$. The antiferromagnetic Heisenberg intra-dimer spin-exchange term, $J_{NiTb}$, favours the low-spin ($S = 5$) state, while the anisotropy of the dimers results from the coupling of two anisotropic moments and is parameterized with $D_{Dimer}$. Here, $D_{Dimer} > 0$ is dominated by the contribution from the easy-plane Tb$^{3+}$ moments, such that within the $S = 5$ multiplet the ground state is the $M_S = 0$ singlet while the $M_S = \pm 1$ doublet forms the first excited state.
This model achieves the ground-state singlet necessary to explain the form of the dc and ac susceptibility in dc fields $\mu_0 H_{DC} \leq 0.1$ T. For dc-fields applied perpendicular to the easy plane, the field induced Zeeman splitting of the $M_S = \pm 1$ doublet may close the zero-field spin-gap, resulting in a level crossing to a magnetic ground state. At this crossing, a sharp step-like increase in the magnetization is expected. For a polycrystalline measurement of the magnetization, in which the field is applied at all angles to the easy-plane for different portions of the sample, this step-like feature will be broadened by powder averaging. We therefore attribute the rounded increase in the magnetization for Ni$_4$Tb$_4$ at $\mu_0 H_{DC} \approx 0.6$ T to the closing of the spin-gap in the AFM Ni−Tb dimer states by the applied dc-field. Furthermore, the development of a magnetic ground state at this point with an axial component of the magnetic moment permits the development of the slow relaxation that is observed at the same field. This slow relaxation of magnetization results from the small energy barrier for the reorientation of the dimer moments in an oscillating field when two magnetic states of differing $M_S$ are close to degenerate as the spin-gap is closed. If the applied field is increased above 0.6 T, the out-of-phase susceptibility falls once again (Supporting Information) since the bistability of the system is detuned and the system reverts to a singlet ground state. This field dependence of the ac susceptibility is an interesting contrast to field-induced SMMs in which SMM behavior is suppressed at zero fields by QTMs.$^{30,31,40}$

Summary

Utilizing a new compartmental Schiff base ligand containing a crucial –CH$_2$OH motif we were able to assemble octanuclear complexes, {Ni$_4$Ln$_4$} possessing a central Ln$_4$ grid topology
supporting four peripheral Ni$^{II}$ centers. To the best of our knowledge such a structural topology is unique among the 3d/4f family.

We have measured the dc and ac susceptibilities Ni$_4$Ln$_4$ family (where Ln = Dy$^{3+}$, Gd$^{3+}$, Ho$^{3+}$ and Tb$^{3+}$, compounds 1–4, respectively). Ni$_4$Gd$_4$ is found to contain Ni$^{2+}$ ions with easy-plane anisotropy of an estimated value of $D_{Ni} = 6.7(7)$ K. A non-zero $D_{Ni}$ is evidenced by a plateau of dc magnetic susceptibility, which also suggests a reduced contribution to the measurement from the Gd$^{3+}$ ions that we attribute to dipolar interactions between the lanthanide ions.

Ni$_4$Ho$_4$ is found to exhibit a much larger single-ion anisotropy associated with the anisotropic 4f electron cloud of Ho$^{3+}$. This manifests itself as a decrease of the product $\chi T$ on cooling due to the thermal depopulation of Ho$^{3+}$ energy levels. Dc susceptibility measurements exhibit no evidence of ferromagnetic Ni-Ho interactions for $T > 2$ K and the lack of slow relaxation in ac susceptibility measurements is consistent with a singlet ground state for the non-Kramers Ho$^{3+}$ ions.

Ni$_4$Dy$_4$ is found to contain large single-ion anisotropy of the Dy$^{3+}$ moments. Furthermore, ferromagnetic Ni–Dy interactions are evident from a small peak in $\chi T$ below 5 K. As Dy$^{3+}$ is a Kramers ion, an easy-axis single-ion anisotropy is guaranteed, and is confirmed by the presence of slow relaxation in ac susceptibility measurements. The SMM behavior is enhanced by the application of a dc magnetic field that lifts the degeneracy of the bistable ground state and alleviates the effects of QTM that suppresses the slow relaxation in zero-field.
For Ni$_4$Tb$_4$, a decrease in the product $\chi T$ below $T \approx 100$ K suggests large single-ion anisotropy associated with Tb$^{3+}$ ions and the low temperature dc susceptibility is consistent with the formation of antiferromagnetic Ni–Tb dimers. Tb$^{3+}$ is a non-Kramers ion and occupies lattice sites that provide a crystal field that lacks axial symmetry; hence the magnetic anisotropy at low temperatures is expected to be easy plane. This is supported by ac measurements, which, at low dc-fields, show no strong slow relaxation of magnetization. The presence of slow relaxation in dc-fields of 0.59 T was attributed to a level crossing to a magnetic ground state at this field that also induces a sharp increase in the dc magnetization at this point. As such we suggest that the slow relaxation in Ni$_4$Tb$_4$ is suppressed in low fields not by QTM, but rather the easy-plane anisotropy of Ni-Tb dimers.

**Supporting Information:** ESI-MS spectra, molecular structure, list of bond lengths and angles of the complexes 2, 3 and 4. Crystal packing diagram of 1, hydrogen bonding structure of 1. Simulated dc magnetic susceptibility curves of normalized $\chi$, $\chi T$ and $d\chi/dT$ against normalized temperature for Ni$^{2+}$ ions of easy-plane single-ion anisotropy. Dc magnetic susceptibility of 3 differentiated with respect to $T$, $d\chi/dT$, magnetic field study of 1 at 2 K via ac susceptibility measurements, frequency dependence ac susceptibility plot of 1, and Magnetic field study of 2 at 2 K.
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The sequential reaction of compartmental Schiff base ligand (H₃L), NiCl₂·6H₂O and LnCl₃·6H₂O in presence of triethylamine, furnished a series of heterometallic octanuclear complexes, [Ln₄Ni₄(H₃L)₄(μ₁-OH)₄(μ₂-OH)₄]₄Cl·xH₂O·yCHCl₃ (Dy³⁺, x = 30.6, y =2; Tb³⁺, x = 28, y = 0; Gd³⁺, x = 25.3, y = 0; Ho³⁺, x = 30.6, y = 3). Details magnetochemical analysis reveals that Dy³⁺ and Tb³⁺ analogues displayed slow relaxation of magnetization.