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1. Introduction

Near infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) was described by Frans Jobsis, who noticed a good transparency of biological tissues for a near infrared light and suggested its use for monitoring of tissue oxygenation (Jobsis, 1977). NIRS systems are commercially available for monitoring cerebral oxygenation of frontal lobes, for example, during a surgery carrying risk of iatrogenic brain ischemia (Murkin and Arango, 2009). NIRS has also been used on neonatal intensive care with currently ongoing phase II randomized clinical trial (SafeBoosC) evaluating cerebral hypoxia treatment controlled with NIRS responses (Pellicer et al., 2013).

Functional NIRS (fNIRS) is a methodology that has the potential to improve the assessment of patients with prolonged disorders of consciousness (pDOC). The clinical assessment of awareness as well as assessment of any response to therapy is challenging in this group of patients. The term DOC is an umbrella term encompassing two diagnoses: Vegetative State (VS), known also as Unresponsive Wakefulness State (UWS), and Minimally Conscious State (MCS). Patients in VS/UWS are awake but lack awareness of themselves and their environment. They are able to breathe spontaneously and have a stable circulation (Jennett and Plum, 1972; Laureys et al., 2010). Unlike VS/UWS, patients in MCS are partially aware of themselves and their environment and are able to follow simple commands, albeit inconsistently. At present the description of MCS patients includes: ability to visually track a person, item or to follow a self-reflection in a mirror, ability to intentionally respond, although the patients remain unable to interact functionally with their environment (Giacino et al., 2002; Vanhaudenhuysse et al., 2008; Bruno et al., 2011).

In the clinical setting distinguishing these two entities is challenging, time consuming and may lack objectivity (Andrews et al., 1996). Therefore, in addition to meticulous clinical assessment several adjunct methods are used. Responses to environmental stimuli using behavioural scales are used for assessment of consciousness (Majerus et al., 2005), although this measurement method often remains subjective.

**Abbreviations:** pDOC, prolonged disorders of consciousness; VS, vegetative state; UWS, unresponsive wakefulness state; MCS, minimally conscious state; NIRS, functional near infrared spectroscopy; MI, motor imagery; MM, motor movement; M1, primary motor cortex; SMA, supplementary motor area; SMART, Sensory Modality Assessment for Rehabilitation Technique.
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Therefore, several techniques have been employed to measure brain responses to stimuli. These included Positron Emission Tomography (PET), Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) and functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI), although none of these techniques are used routinely in clinical practice for a variety of reasons including: extra costs; expert analysis of the data and availability of the methods (Di Perri et al., 2014). In this study we wished to explore the feasibility of detecting brain-based haemodynamic changes during motor tasks using bed-side apparatus, NIRS in patients with DOC.

We hypothesized that a motor task would elicit changes in oxy and/or deoxyHb concentration indicating that a subject is able to follow specific commands, and, hence, be a useful biomarker for awareness. Two main patterns of the functional NIRS responses during a motor task have been identified: a typical NIRS signal changes when the concentrations of the oxyHb increases and of the deoxyHb decreases (Leff et al., 2011) and an inverted response when the concentration of the oxyHb decreases and the deoxyHb increases (Holper et al., 2011). Therefore, we aimed to explore the NIRS responses from the healthy controls during both motor movement and motor imagery. Furthermore, we wanted to look for differences in the NIRS responses from the supplementary motor area (SMA) and primary motor cortex (M1). A final aim was to investigate any differences in haemodynamic response to a motor task between patients with a diagnosis of VS/UWS and those with MCS.

2. Methodology

2.1. Subjects

We included patients from Royal Hospital for Neuro-disability in London, UK. This 26-bedded unit provides a comprehensive neuro-rehabilitation programme for patients with DOC in the post-acute phase following severe brain injury (GCS < 8).

The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) severe acquired brain injury leading to prolonged DOC (longer than 4 weeks since brain injury) or permanent DOC. The permanent DOC was defined according to the Royal College of Physician Guidance (RCP, 2013) for traumatic aetiology if lasted longer than 12 months or anoxic aetiology if longer than 6 months; 2) conservative management of brain injury (no neurosurgery); 3) at least unilateral intact auditory brainstem evoked potentials.

Awareness of the environment was measured using a Sensory Modality Assessment for Rehabilitation Technique (SMART) (Gill-Thwaites and Munday, 2004). The SMART is a validated tool for assessment of awareness in pDOC. The assessment compromises of ten one to one (patient-assessor) sessions lasting approximately 60 min each and is composed of eight modalities as follows: sensory modality (visual, auditory, tactile, gustatory, and olfactory), motor function, communication and arousal/wakefulness.

The control group consisted of 10 healthy, right-handed volunteers (6 females, mean age 40 years SD 8). Written informed consent was obtained from all the control subjects. The patients were recruited into the study after consultation with their relatives and the treating team. The study was carried out in accordance with the latest version of the Declaration of Helsinki and the study was approved by a National Research Ethics Committee (NRES Committee London-Queen Square).

2.2. The tasks

The control subjects performed both a motor movement (MM) of the right hand (“squeezing a ball”) and a kinaesthetic motor imagery (MI) task (the same movement but imagined) both to command. A hand movement task has been extensively used in the fNIRS studies, for instance, this task was found as reliable in healthy and also in subjects after traumatic brain injury (Bhambhani et al., 2006), and for mapping of the motor cortex (Wilson et al., 2014; Sato et al., 2007). The experiment consisted of 20 blocks of executions of MM followed by 20 blocks of MI, separated by a 10 min long break. Patients with pDOC performed only the motor imagery task, which consisted of 20 blocks of MI.

During MI the subjects were instructed to concentrate on the muscle contraction of their right hand as if it were a real movement rather than visualisation of the movement; thus the subjects were asked to perform first person perspective kinaesthetic motor imagery (Guillot et al., 2009). The paradigm was divided into 2 blocks: 7 s of real right hand movement or imagery of ball squeezing followed by 12 s of resting state. At the beginning of the experiment the participants were familiarized with the experiment design and with the instructions. Each block began with the auditory presentation of the task for the block for instance: “start” and “stop”. The twelve second interval between the imagery task was chosen, based on previous studies showing that haemodynamic recovery to baseline occurs 9 to 10 s after cessation of stimulus (Boden et al., 2007). In the pDOC group, the MI algorithm was used, the recorded instruction was as follows “imagine you are squeezing a ball with your own right hand” “start” and “stop”.

2.3. Near infrared spectroscopy instrumentation

The fNIRS signal was recorded bilaterally over the premotor area and supplementary motor area (EEG corresponding areas FC3, FC1, FC2 and FC4) and over the primary motor cortex (EEG corresponding areas C4, C2, Cz, C1 and C3), as combinations of these areas were likely to be active in response to both motor paradigms. All NIRS measurements were performed using a NIRScount system (NIRS Medical Technologies LLC, Berlin, Germany) using 16-channel array of optodes. The system performed dual-wavelength continuous–wave (CW) near infrared tomographic measurements at a 10.42 Hz sampling rate. The device emits light at 2 distinct wavelengths, 760 and 850 nm, for discrimination of two oxygenation states of tissue. The 4 sources and 12 detectors were placed on subject’s skull in the usual primary and secondary motor areas, according to the International 10–20 system (Klem et al., 1999), with each detector receiving light originating in its neighbouring light source and scattered in the adjacent tissue. Each detector and the most closely placed light source built a channel. In total there were 16 channels containing information about deoxy and oxyHb concentration changes (Fig. 1). Changes in oxy and deoxyHb concentration were analysed separately, giving in total 32 channels. The inter-optodes distance was 2.5 cm. For the analysis of the haemoglobin concentration changes within the supplementary motor area (SMA) and primary motor cortex (M1) we used following spatial localisations: SMA: right channels :1,2,5,6 and the left channels: 3,4,7,8, respectively. For the M1 right channels: 9,10,13,14 and the left channels: 11,12,15,16 respectively. Since the NIRS channels were placed around the C3 and C4 EEG 10–20 positions, the presumption was that the SMA will occupy the anterior aspect of this area while the M1 posterior aspect of this area (Grafton, 1994; Rizzolatti and Luppino, 2001; Chouinard and Paus, 2006). The optodes were placed using the Waveguard cap (ANT Neuro, The Netherlands) and secured by using a dedicated black fabric cap (Fig. 1).

2.4. Data processing and analysis

The raw NIRS data were converted into a readable Matlab format using Nila2s software (NIRx Medical Technologies LLC). The data were low-pass filtered to remove the high frequency signal ~0.3 Hz breathing and 1 Hz heart rate. Low frequency (<0.01 Hz) vascular or metabolic oscillations were removed by using a high frequency cut-off filter of 32 s (0.03 Hz). We then calculated changes in both oxy and deoxyHb concentration (expressed in (µmol/L) using the modified Beer-Lambert law as described by Delpy (Scholkmann et al., 2014). The data was mean corrected so that, at each time-point, the value represents the amplitude minus the average for the entire record for each channel.

Using a custom-written script in R language (Rx 64 1.1) we calculated relative differences in haemoglobin concentration changes during
the resting state and during the two motor tasks. The relative difference ($\Delta_{rel}$) refers to the median difference between the signal amplitude before (7s + to 0 s) and during the task period (0 s to 7 s), and was calculated as the ratio of the absolute difference ($\Delta_{abs}$) to the 5th to 95th quantile range of the signal amplitude for the entire study. These values were calculated for both oxy and deoxyHb, our two main dependent measures, at each channel. After examining the global tendency of the time course of changes, we averaged over both the left (8 channels) and right (8 channels) hemispheres to check for any lateralisation of haemoglobin concentration change, including looking either for ipsilateral negative oxygenation or contralateral positive or negative oxygenation, as these patterns were described elsewhere (Holper et al., 2011; McGregor et al., 2015). Two patients, numbered 1 and 14 from the Table 1, were excluded from our analysis. Both of them were in the MCS group and had high amplitude artefacts in all channels which were clearly synchronous with involuntary movements. The presence of high amplitude artefacts throughout recording did not allow for data analysis with the Nilab2 software (NIRx Medical Technologies LLC). In response to a reviewer’s suggestion, we tried to localise responses to M1 and SMA by averaging over a smaller number of channels: SMA = channels 1,2,5,6 (right), and 3,4,7,8, (left); primary motor cortex (M1) = channels 9,10,13,14 (right) and 11,12,5,16 (left).

Data were entered into ANOVAS using SPSS (version 22). For the controls this was a 2 × 2 within-subject analysis (task: MM/MI; hemisphere (within-subjects’ factor): L/R). For the patients it was a 3 × 2 (group (between-subject factor): controls/MCS/VS-UWS; hemisphere: L/R). p-Values were set at <0.05 as a criterion for statistical significance for all planned analyses. We first examined interactions, then main effects.

3. Results

Sixteen patients with pDOC were included in the study (six female, mean age was 46 years, SD 11), with the following aetiologies: intracerebral haemorrhage (n = 6), anoxic brain injury (n = 5), traumatic brain injury (n = 4) and tuberculosis meningitis (n = 1). The patients had been in pDOC for 17.31 months on average (Table 1).

3.1. Data averaged over each hemisphere:

The controls elicited significant different haemodynamic responses in regard to the right v. left hemisphere. During an actual right hand movement the oxyHb concentration changes were $-0.63\text{ (SD 1.20)}$ μmol/L over the right hemisphere and were $-0.03\text{ (SD 0.39)}$ μmol/L over the left hemisphere (p = 0.02). The response to a motor imagery in controls was as follows: oxyHb $-0.08\text{ (SD 0.99)}$ μmol/L and deoxyHb $-0.04\text{ (SD 0.26)}$ μmol/L over the right hemisphere and oxyHb $0.03\text{ (SD 1.08)}$ μmol/L and deoxyHb $-0.08\text{ (SD 0.39)}$ μmol/L over the left hemisphere respectively. The pDOC patients showed a similar pattern of responses to the controls during MI. (Supplemental table).

The time course of changes in oxy and deoxyHb during the motor imagery task in each channel for a representative control subject is shown in the Fig. 2.

Across the three groups and the two tasks, we found greater variability in the oxyHb than the deoxyHb fNIRS measurement (Fig. 3, data averaged across all sensors).

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Patient’s diagnosis, on SMART assessment</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age in years</th>
<th>Duration of pDOC in months</th>
<th>Aetiology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MCS</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>Anoxic brain injury post self-hanging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>MCS</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>Right frontal lobe bleed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>MCS</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>Large intracerebral bleed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>VS/UWS</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>Anoxic brain injury post cardiac arrest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>MCS</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Grade V SAH due to aneurysm left ACM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>MCS</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>Extensive fronto-temporal left haemorrhage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>MCS</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>Left fronto-temporo-parietal contusions following assault</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>MCS</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>80.9</td>
<td>Petechial haemorrhage following road traffic accident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>MCS</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>Bilateral intracerebral bleed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>VS/UWS</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>Hydrocephalus following TI meningitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>VS/UWS</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>Diffuse axonal injury following road traffic accident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>VS/UWS</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>Right temporoparietal bleed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>VS/UWS</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>Anoxic brain injury post cardiac arrest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>MCS</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>Left parietal haemorrhage following road traffic accident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>MCS</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>Anoxic brain injury following cardiac arrest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>MCS</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>Anoxic brain injury following cardiac arrest</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 2. Time course of changes in oxyHb (A) and deoxyHb (B) during the motor imagery task in each channel, single subject (subject number 4). Caption: (A) oxyHb and (B) deoxyHb on activation in a single subject to motor imagery task; dashed vertical lines represent start and stop of the task. Solid black lines present the pre and post-stimulus activation median values, red (A) and blue (B) lines present the 50th quantile (solid thick line) and the 10th and 90th quantile (dashed thin lines). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
Based on the individual subject’s responses (controls n = 10 and the pDOC patients n = 14) we identified three different types of fNIRS responses to a motor imagery task in regard to the polarity of global oxy and deoxyHb changes. The typical fNIRS response (oxyHb positive and deoxyHb negative) was seen in 60% (6/10) healthy subjects and 36 percentage (5/14) of the pDOC patients. Another response was an “inverted fNIRS response”, where the oxyHb concentration change was negative in value and deoxyHb was positive, was seen in two of the 10 healthy subjects and in six of the 14 patients. Two of the ten healthy subjects and three of the 14 pDOC patients, could not be clearly classified into either of the two main response types (Table 2).

The ten controls elicited greater haemodynamic response during MM then during the MI task (Fig. 4). With oxyHb as the dependent measure we did not find a task × hemisphere interaction p = 0.229. We did however find a main effect of task F (1, 9) = 5.52, p = 0.043, with MM > MI (greater depression of oxyHb signal compared with rest period), but not of hemisphere p = 0.186 (Table 3).

With deoxyHb as the dependent measure there was no significant interaction or main effects (p > 0.3. data not shown).

For the patients there was only one task (MI). In this group we looked for a group (healthy controls vs. VS/UWS group vs. MCS patients) × hemisphere interaction. With oxyHb as the dependent measure we found no significant interaction (p = 0.40). We did however find a main effect of hemisphere F (1, 21) = 4.89, p = 0.038, with greater depression of oxyHb signal in the right vs. left hemisphere, compared with rest period (Fig. 4). A post-hoc analysis including only the two patient groups was also significant F (1, 12) = 9.14, p = 0.011 suggesting that this effect was not just being driven by the control subjects.

With deoxyHb as the dependent measure there was no significant interaction or main effect of group or hemisphere (p > 0.4. Data not shown).

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of fNIRS response</th>
<th>Typical response - OxyHb positive and deoxyHb negative</th>
<th>Inverted response - oxyHb negative and deoxyHb positive</th>
<th>Unclassified response - oxyHb and deoxyHb positive or oxyHb and deoxyHb negative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Healthy controls, n = 10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pDOC patients, n = 14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OxyHb concentration</th>
<th>Mean (within-subject SEM) expressed as percentage of change</th>
<th>Mean (within-subject SEM) expressed as a change in μmol/L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left_hemisphere oxy (MI)</td>
<td>−0.3(2.4)</td>
<td>0.029 (0.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right_hemisphere oxy (MI)</td>
<td>−1.3(2.5)</td>
<td>−0.089 (0.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left_hemisphere oxy (MM)</td>
<td>−9.9(0.3)</td>
<td>−0.38 (0.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right_hemisphere oxy (MM)</td>
<td>−13.7(2.2)</td>
<td>−0.64 (0.82)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
were statistically significant (0.02 μmol/L SD 0.23 vs −0.13 SD 0.52 μmol/L p = 0.05).
None of these analyses, using this subset of NIRS channels were significant in the pDOC patients.

### 4. Discussion

This study is the first report of the use of functional near infrared spectroscopy for brain function assessment in people in Vegetative State/Unresponsive Wakefulness syndrome and Minimally Conscious State. We determined patterns of NIRS responses from healthy controls to both the motor movement and to motor imagery, and then we used these patterns for validation of NIRS response in pDOC patients. We identified two main types of haemodynamic response patterns present in both the healthy subjects and the patient group.

#### 4.1. Methodological considerations

The controls in this study performed both types of tasks (imagination and motor movement) while the pDOC patients performed only the motor imagery task. The healthy controls elicited stronger fNIRS responses during actual movement when compared to motor imagery. Previous studies on motor tasks showed that NIRS cortical responses should generate a change (Δ) in oxyHb −0.5μmol/L and in deoxyHb (Δ) −0.2μmol/L (Hirth et al., 1996; Wolf et al., 2002). Our control subjects had similar sized responses during the MM task. With regard to the MI task, our results are comparable with others, for instance Iso et al. (Iso et al., 2015) and Wriessnegger et al. (Wriessnegger et al., 2008) showed similar level of haemodynamic response to a imagery motor task from SMA and M1 respectively.

Both the controls and the patients elicited different type of haemodynamic responses in regard to the polarity of haemoglobin concentration changes during the unilateral motor movement. Although the initial studies suggested that the typical fNIRS response to movement and motor imagery is characterised by an increase in the concentration of oxyHb accompanied by a less pronounced decrease in deoxyHb level concentration (Sato et al., 2007), more recent studies report different patterns of fNIRS responses depending on the optical probe localisations and task difficulty (Mihara et al., 2012). For example, Morihoro et al. (Morihoro et al., 2009) showed that oxyHb response over the left primary motor cortex decreased over time during repetitive, right-handed tapping task, while the channels covering the supplementary motor cortex recorded an increase. We averaged fNIRS signal over a broad area covering the premotor area, supplementary motor area (EEG corresponding areas FC3, FC1, FC2, FC2 and FC4) as well as the primary motor cortex (EEG corresponding areas C4, C2, Cz, C1 and C3), which may explain why healthy subjects and the patients tended to have an inverted oxyHb response. Task is also a factor, Holper et al. (Holper et al., 2011) found an inverse oxyHb response during motor imagery, which was related to the task difficulty, while the more complex task would produce stronger negativity of the oxyHb response. These factors may explain why we found both the typical and inverted haemodynamic responses in our study groups.

#### 4.2. Interpretation of fNIRS response

Our main finding was that of a hemispheric difference in oxyHb depression in the right hemisphere across all three groups for the motor imagery task. This effect was not driven solely by the control subjects as a sub-analysis demonstrated that this effect was present in the VS/ UWS and MCS patient groups alone. Motor imagery has been shown in many studies to be associated with a greater bilateral functional imaging signal than actual motor movements, particularly in pre-motor and supplementary motor areas (Binkofski et al., 2000; Pfurtscheller et al., 2006). Ipsilateral (right-hemisphere) signal changes have been reported in several fMRI studies, that is, BOLD not fNIRS response, in anterior frontal regions (BA 9, 10 and 11) (Sharma et al., 2006; Porro et al., 2000), but also in the precentral gyrus (BA 6) during hand movement imagery (Hanakawa et al., 2005). Another possible explanation of the inverted oxyHb results in fNIRS also derives from the fMRI BOLD studies. An ipsilateral “negative” BOLD response means an “U” shaped, as opposed to the bell-shaped MR signal changes, during an unimanual task activity was reported by McGregor et al., who suggested that this phenomenon is related to an active inhibition of cortical areas (McGregor et al., 2015). Another group showed that ipsilateral negative BOLD during a unimanual task reflects normal transcallosal inhibition, while its dissipation impairs motor activity (Lenzi et al., 2007).

#### 4.3. Supplementary motor area versus primary motor cortex during an imagery and actual movement motor task

Traditionally, the human motor cortex is classified into a primary motor area activated during a motor movement and the secondary or higher order motor systems activated in learning, planning or initiation of the voluntary movements, and these include the SMA (Drenckhahn, Koch et al., 2015). Previous studies have shown that a simple motor movement elicits a maximal response over the primary motor cortex (Leff et al., 2011), and our results in healthy subjects are consistent with this finding. Additionally, the self-paced motor task is known to elicit stronger activity in the SMA (Wilson et al., 2014), which may explain why in our study group in both SMA and M1 showed activation during motor imagery and motor movement, however, for motor movement we observed M1 > SMA and during for motor imagery (SMA > M1).

We have carried out more detailed analyses of these effects using a Dynamic Casual Modelling for fNIRS and confirmed that motor imagery negatively modulates connections from SMA to M1, resulting in the suppressive influence of SMA on M1 (Tak et al., 2015).
4.4. Vegetative state/unresponsive wakefulness state vs. minimally conscious state patients

We did not find statistically significant differences in fNIRS responses between the two patient groups, which may be because we only studied a small number with heterogeneous aetiology and pathophysiology of brain damage. Another possibility is dissociation between the clinical diagnosis and actual brain function. In our study the typical haemodynamic response was seen in four MCS patients (n = 9) and only one VS/UWS patient (n = 5), while the inverted haemodynamic response was present in two VS/UWS patients and four MCS patients. The unclassified response was in two VS/UWS and one MCS respectively. There are reports of subpopulations of VS/UWS patients who remain behaviourally unresponsive, but their brain function, as measured using fMRI or EEG techniques, is more in keeping with a diagnosis of MCS (Coleman et al., 2007; Schnakers et al., 2009; Monti et al., 2010; Cruse et al., 2012). This interpretation is supported by a recent review of PET, EEG and fMRI studies by Liberatori et al. who demonstrated that only half of the publications reported statistically significant differences between VS/UWS and MCS patients (Liberatori et al., 2014).

The assessment of the brain function in people with pDOC is complex and complicated by multiple interacting motor, sensory and cognitive impairments. Also cognitive and emotional processes are known to affect peripheral physiology including heart rate, respiration, blood pressure and skin perspiration which can affect NIRS measurements (Minati et al., 2011). It is not possible to fully control for these factors, however, a block-design paradigm (task versus resting state) should at least partially eliminate the background noise related to the physiological factors (Amaro and Barker, 2006). Nevertheless, taking these factors into account, we have demonstrated that fNIRS can be used to detect task-induced brain activity changes in pDOC patients using a motor imagery task. Our study showed that the MCS patients have more often the “typical” fNIRS response, and their haemodynamic response is similar to the fNIRS response from the controls. Given both its relatively low-cost and that it is well tolerated by patients in a clinical ward setting, certainly compared with fMRI, fNIRS represents a translatable imaging tool that can be used to gain new insights into brain function in patients with disorders of consciousness.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2016.07.013.
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