

Original citation:

Surdu, Irina, Mellahi, Kamel and Glaister, Keith W. (2018) *Emerging market multinationals' international equity-based entry mode strategies : review of theoretical foundations and future directions*. *International Marketing Review*, 35 (2). pp. 342-359. doi:[10.1108/IMR-10-2015-0228](https://doi.org/10.1108/IMR-10-2015-0228)

Permanent WRAP URL:

<http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/83728>

Copyright and reuse:

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work by researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions. Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRAP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

Publisher's statement:

"This is a post-peer-review, pre-copyedit version of an article published in *International Marketing Review*. The definitive publisher-authenticated version is available online at: Surdu, Irina, Mellahi, Kamel and Glaister, Keith W. (2018) *Emerging market multinationals' international equity-based entry mode strategies : review of theoretical foundations and future directions*. *International Marketing Review*, 35 (2). pp. 342-359. doi:[10.1108/IMR-10-2015-0228](https://doi.org/10.1108/IMR-10-2015-0228)"

A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or, version of record, if you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the 'permanent WRAP url' above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: wrap@warwick.ac.uk



Emerging market multinationals' international equity-based entry mode strategies: Review of theoretical foundations and future directions

Journal:	<i>International Marketing Review</i>
Manuscript ID	IMR-10-2015-0228.R2
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Keywords:	Emerging market firms, Entry mode strategies, Multi-theoretical perspectives, EMM-centric theories, Systematic review

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Emerging market multinationals' international equity-based entry mode strategies: Review of theoretical foundations and future directions

Abstract

Purpose: We examine the theories used to study the international equity-based entry mode strategies of emerging market multinationals (EMMs) and the contribution of these studies to extant literature.

Design/methodology/approach: We conducted a systematic review of the literature. A total of 73 articles were identified from key management, international business and international marketing journals published between 2000 and June 2015. Articles were analysed according to the theory(ies) used, thematic area, methodology, home/host countries studied, and findings.

Findings: Despite the great interest around the topic of how the antecedents and outcomes of EMMs' international entry mode strategies may challenge and amend existing theories, the findings that come out of this research mirror patterns observed in the entry mode literature in general. Whilst traditional perspectives such as internalisation theory and the OLI paradigm remain prevalent, a growing number of studies draw on institutional theory and combine multiple theoretical perspectives. Newer theories developed specifically to study EMMs (e.g., the springboard perspective) are used in only five studies and challenged to differentiate their theoretical underpinnings from extant literature. Overall, the theoretical contribution of EMM studies is simply a change in emphasis from the role of firm-specific factors toward the influence of home country institutions on entry mode strategies. We conclude that the literature has only made tweaks at the edge of theories with no significant changes to extant theorisations.

Originality/value: This is the first systematic review of the literature focusing specifically on the international equity-based entry mode strategies of EMMs.

Keywords: Emerging market firms, Entry mode strategies, Multi-theoretical perspectives, Systematic review

Paper type: Systematic review

Introduction

International entry mode strategies are central to the foreign expansion of firms (Buckley, 2002; Chiao et al., 2010; Hennart and Slangen, 2014; Hitt et al., 2004; Meyer et al., 2014) because they are viewed as a reflection of multinational firms' abilities to access foreign markets, upgrade their knowledge and skills to develop effective marketing strategies, demonstrate local responsiveness, and even launch their own global brands in host markets (Chiao et al., 2010). Indeed, international mode of entry strategies, particularly equity-based modes of entry and their performance consequences are cited as critical issues nested within the micro-context of international marketing (notably, Buckley, 2002). In this paper, we review the theoretical underpinnings of the literature on the international equity-based entry mode strategies of emerging market multinationals (EMMs) published between 2000 and June 2015.

A review of EMMs' international equity-based entry mode strategies literature is timely and important. It is timely because academics are paying attention to EMMs following their increased role in the global market (Chiao et al., 2010; Deng, 2009; Luo and Tung, 2007; Meyer et al., 2014). It is important because the method and ability of EMMs to commit resources abroad may challenge the fundamental assumptions of traditional theories (Chen and Chen, 2003; Deng, 2009). Sheth (2011) argues that "(t)he rise of emerging markets is not only inevitable, it will have a disruptive impact on marketing practice and theory as we know it today" (p. 180). This has led some scholars to suggest that EMMs may possess unique characteristics from operating in planning oriented institutional frameworks, comparatively inactive capital markets and below par legal and regulatory institutions, which requires at the very least, a reassessment of extant international marketing and management theories (Bonaglia et al., 2007; Buckley et al., 2012; Chen and Chen, 2003; Li, 2003; Lin, 2010).

Others (Luo and Tung, 2007; Mathews, 2006) propose the development of completely new theorisations by exploring the distinctive forces influencing how EMMs enter foreign

1
2 markets. This has led to confusion regarding the theorising of EMMs' international entry
3
4 mode strategies and the potential contribution of these studies to international marketing
5
6 research. Although mode of entry is considered a key international marketing decision
7
8 (Sheth, 2011; Slangen and Dikova, 2014), there are no comprehensive studies on the
9
10 theoretical foundations of this literature for EMMs. We address this shortcoming in the
11
12 literature by examining the theories that have been used to study EMMs' international equity-
13
14 based entry mode strategies.
15
16

17
18 In undertaking our review, we focus on the following questions: What theories have been
19
20 used to study EMMs' international entry mode strategies? And, what specifically have we
21
22 learned about the entry mode strategies of multinational firms that we were previously
23
24 unaware of? In so doing the paper will identify some directions for future research for
25
26 scholars interested in studying the international entry mode strategies of EMMs.
27
28
29
30
31

32 **Methodology**

33 *Boundaries of the review*

34
35 Because the concept of emerging economies encompasses a large number of regions and
36
37 countries, we use the FTSE Global Equity Index, which provides an objective categorisation
38
39 of emerging markets. Scholars have long argued that the international entry mode strategy,
40
41 namely the "institutional arrangement that makes possible the entry of a company's products,
42
43 technology, human skills, management, or other resources into a foreign country" (Root,
44
45 1987, p.5), is a key part of a firm's international marketing strategy (e.g., Anderson and
46
47 Coughlan, 1987; Buckley, 2002; Chiao et al., 2010; Slangen and Dikova, 2014). Since most
48
49 studies agree that EMMs can mainly choose between entry via wholly owned or joint
50
51 subsidiaries (Jormanainen and Koveshnikov, 2012; Luo and Tung, 2007), this review focuses
52
53 specifically on studies investigating equity-based entry mode strategies. In line with the scope
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

of the paper, we: (a) excluded studies examining solely non-equity investment into foreign markets such as exporting, licensing and franchising; (b) excluded macro country, industry, or subsidiary level studies; and (c) included articles on the choice between entry mode strategies, as well as articles examining a single type of equity-based entry mode strategy. While some firms have been EMMs since the 1970s (Lecraw, 1977), their international involvement has become more significant in the 2000s. This review considers studies published between 2000 and June 2015.

Article selection and analysis

Consistent with other reviews on market entry-related decisions (Surdu and Mellahi, 2016), we focused on peer-reviewed academic articles published in key generic management journals, namely *Strategic Management Journal (SMJ)*, *Journal of Management Studies (JMS)*, *Academy of Management Journal (AMJ)*, *Organization Science (OS)*, *Organization Studies (OSS)*, *Academy of Management Review (AMR)*, *Management Science (MS)*, *Journal of Management (JM)*; key international marketing journals, namely *International Marketing Review (IMR)* and *Journal of International Marketing (JIM)*; and key international business journals, namely *Journal of International Business Studies (JIBS)*, *Management International Review (MIR)*, *International Business Review (IBR)*, *Journal of World Business (JWB)*, and *Journal of International Management (JIM)*. Also, we included two specialist journals, - *Asia Pacific Journal of Management (APJM)* and *International Journal of Emerging Markets (IJoEM)* – which have published high impact studies on EMMs (Rugman et al., 2014).

We manually searched for empirical, conceptual and review articles in all issues of the selected journals whose title and or abstract focused specifically on the international equity-based entry mode strategies of EMMs. For each paper that did not depict with accuracy the research scope in its title or abstract, we reviewed carefully the introductory and methodology sections. This resulted in the selection of 73 academic articles (64 empirical and 9

1
2 conceptual) which were then examined in detail and coded according to a protocol which
3 included theory(ies) used, article nature (empirical/conceptual), thematic area(s), research
4 methodology (quantitative/qualitative), home/host country(ies) studied, and key findings.
5
6
7

8
9 Similar to other reviews (e.g., Canabal and White, 2008; Jormanainen and Koveshnikov,
10 2012), we examined each article carefully in order to identify thematic areas of main
11 contributions (e.g., choice between international entry modes) and core concepts (e.g., joint
12 ventures, wholly owned subsidiaries). Each author read each of the articles in the sample and
13 coded the main points of the article in regards to the thematic areas and key findings. Then, a
14 consensus was reached amongst the authors concerning the manner in which to organise the
15 articles into distinct groups according to the thematic areas identified. Using thematic areas
16 allowed us to organise the articles better and evaluate the content and scope of articles that
17 constitute the field of EMMs' international entry modes. Also, we were able to conclude
18 whether thematic areas representing business issues that remain unexplained in the literature
19 on developed market multinationals' entry modes (such as the performance of international
20 entry modes, cf. Hennart and Slangen, 2014) are also underrepresented in the EMM
21 literature. Table 1 illustrates the distribution of articles according to the coded thematic areas
22 and patterns of publications. We classified articles according to their contributions in the
23 following areas; (a) motives for specific types of entry mode selection (motives for alliance
24 formation; motives for cross-border mergers and acquisitions (M&As); (b) choices between
25 entry mode strategies (e.g., joint ventures versus wholly owned subsidiaries); (c) timing and
26 sequences of entry mode strategies; and (d) performance outcomes of entry mode strategies
27 (e.g., M&A performance). These categorisations are in line with previous studies reviewing
28 international market entry related decisions of firms (Jormanainen and Koveshnikov, 2012;
29 Surdu and Mellahi, 2016).
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Broad themes and publication patterns in mainstream journals

An overview of the publication patterns can better indicate scholarly interest in the topic of EMMs' entry modes in different journals (Table 1). JWB published the highest number of empirical papers (23%, 15 studies), followed by IBR (17%, 11 studies) and APJM (14%, 9 studies). While the literature on EMMs' international equity-based entry mode strategies is receiving attention from international business and marketing scholars, it has yet to engage with the broader management literature (only two papers in generic management journals).

As shown in Table 1, the timing and sequence with which EMMs increase resource commitment into foreign markets have been studied in over 31% of empirical papers (20 studies), particularly in emerging markets specialist journals (APJM; IJoEM) and in international marketing journals (IMR). One explanation for the interest of marketing scholars, in particular, is that EMMs' entry mode timing strategies have been linked with the ability of firms to achieve first mover advantages and develop successful global brands (Lin, 2010). Other contributions are made in the area of choice between mode strategies (30%, 19 studies), most of which focus on the reasons for choosing between fully owned independent entry modes and equity alliances. The remaining empirical studies focus on motives for EMMs' M&A strategies (13 studies), motives for international alliance formations (6 studies) and the performance outcomes of EMMs' entry mode strategies (11 studies). Empirical studies represent 88% (64 studies) of articles, whilst only nine studies are conceptual, indicating a general approach of borrowing theories traditionally applied to developed market multinationals (DMMs), rather than developing novel theorisations for EMMs.

- *Insert Table 1* -

Methodological approaches, home, and host countries studied

In terms of methodological approaches, consistent with other reviews on EMMs (Kiss et al., 2012), our analysis reveals a propensity towards quantitative methodologies (73%, 47

1
2 studies) (Table 2)¹. Almost half of empirical studies (31 studies) use secondary data sources
3
4 such as government databases, census data, and organizational financial and administrative
5
6 data, probably due to the low response rates that characterise primary data collection in
7
8 business research in emerging markets (Mellahi and Harris, 2016). Only 14 studies used mail
9
10 surveys and administered questionnaires. Qualitative research relies on interview-based case
11
12 studies (16 studies). Interviewees are generally managers/CEOs of EMMs originating from a
13
14 single country (mainly China) and entering multiple developed and emerging markets.
15
16

17
18 Interestingly the analysis revealed a growing number of qualitative studies (82% of the
19
20 qualitative studies were published since 2010), particularly in marketing and specialist
21
22 journals such as IMR (four out of five studies are qualitative) and APJM (five out of nine
23
24 studies). In contrast, several IB journals (IBR, MIR, JIBS) have published no qualitative
25
26 research on the entry mode strategies of EMMs. Furthermore, only eight out of the 64
27
28 empirical studies combined individual and firm level analysis, perhaps due to the emphasis
29
30 on how home institutions compensate for EMMs' lack of management capabilities compared
31
32 to DMMs (Du and Boateng, 2015). However, recent findings suggest that in transitional
33
34 environments – a hallmark of emerging markets-, diverse management teams are desirable
35
36 given their openness to change and readiness to make rapid decisions (Hambrick et al., 2015).
37
38

39
40 Our analysis highlights several methodological limitations. Whilst methods such as
41
42 content analysis and narratives are gaining attention (14 studies published since 2010),
43
44 studies based on perceptual surveys and secondary data sources still dominate the literature
45
46 on EMMs' entry mode strategies. The overreliance on secondary data sources is particularly
47
48 problematic given the concerns over the reliability of secondary data in emerging economies.
49
50 In addition, despite calls for triangulation in management and marketing research (Yang et
51
52 al., 2006), only one study combined qualitative fieldwork with a survey-based quantitative
53
54 method. Additionally, to date, no study has used multiple informants although marketing
55
56
57

58
59 ¹ We thank an anonymous reviewer for this suggestion.
60

1
2 scholars have long suggested that the use of multiple informants improves the data validity
3 and highlights the quality of inter-relationships (Van Bruggen et al., 2002). Given the small
4 number of studies comparing entry mode strategies of EMMs from different emerging
5 markets or EMMs and DMMs (only eight studies), scholarly concern about the dissimilarities
6 between developed versus emerging market multinationals is also not adequately reflected in
7 the extant literature (for exceptions, see Hitt et al., 2000; 2004). Since most studies used
8 purposeful sampling techniques, this makes it difficult to compare and discuss findings across
9 studies. Moreover, as shown in Table 2, only three of the qualitative studies adopted a
10 longitudinal research design, making it difficult to distinguish between short-term
11 occurrences, such as EMMs investing heavily abroad, and long-term performance outcomes
12 such as developing mode strategies post initial market entry that lead to building successful
13 brands and recognised by consumers in developed economies.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29
30 - *Insert Table 2* -
31
32

33 *Home and host countries studied*

34
35

36 Most studies focused on the international entry mode strategies of multinationals from
37 emerging Asian countries (75%, 48 studies) (Table 3). Over 69% of empirical studies (44
38 studies) are limited to one-country samples, particularly China. Strong support from the home
39 government translated into Chinese multinationals experiencing high growth in outward
40 foreign direct investment (FDI) which, in turn, has attracted significant academic attention.
41 Also, Chinese scholars are more active in investigating the internationalisation of
42 multinationals from their countries (Jormanainen and Koveshnikov, 2012).
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 We identified some patterns in the selection of home and host countries studied (Table
52 3). Nearly 40% of the studies argue for the importance of the home institutional environment
53 for the strategic intents of Chinese firms to enter developed and or emerging markets
54 generally via M&As (Deng, 2009). Similarly, 12 studies examined Taiwanese multinationals'
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 choices of joint ventures over wholly owned subsidiaries into other emerging markets,
3 notably China, where institutional and social ties play both a direct and mediating role (Cho
4 et al., 2014). Research focusing specifically on Indian multinationals (six out of eight studies)
5 looks at how firm-level variables, such as knowledge and business group embeddedness,
6 enable entry into both developed and emerging markets mostly via M&As (Popli and Sinha,
7 2014). Surprisingly perhaps, whilst Chinese multinationals engage increasingly with
8 countries such as South Africa (UNCTAD, 2014), no studies specifically examine this. We
9 also did not find studies on the entry mode strategies of multinationals originating from
10 Africa or the Eastern European region, although these regions also nurture global players
11 (Mellahi and Mol, 2015); and only four studies focused on so-called Multilatinas. The
12 absence of studies may speak to the high costs of data collection and lack of data availability
13 in these regions. Interestingly studies of EMMs tend to use well-established constructs and
14 measures originally designed for the study of DMMs. Several scholars argued that borrowing
15 the typical methodologies and constructs that have been used to study DMMs may not be
16 useful in emerging market environments since marketing is a “contextual discipline” (Kiss et
17 al., 2012; Sheth, 2011).

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39 - Insert Table 3 -
40
41

42 **Theoretical foundations of EMMs’ international entry mode strategies**

43
44 The analysis of the literature reveals that scholars draw on several theoretical perspectives to
45 study EMMs’ international entry mode strategies, which can be classified into two main
46 groups. The first group represents ‘*traditional*’ theoretical perspectives, which include
47 internalisation/transaction cost theory (TCE), the eclectic/OLI paradigm and the Uppsala
48 stage theory of internationalisation. Traditional perspectives were introduced to international
49 entry mode research around the late 1970s, primarily to investigate the entry mode strategies
50 of DMMs and, as discussed later, continue to be used to study the entry strategies of EMMs.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 The second group are relatively 'newer' perspectives that were introduced to the entry mode
3 literature starting with the 1990s, such as the resource-based view (RBV), organisational
4 learning theory, institutional theory, network theory, agency theory, the springboard
5 perspective (Luo and Tung, 2007) and the LLL - linkage, leverage and learning – framework
6 (Mathews, 2006). Particularly, the latter two theories support the need for novel theorising for
7 EMMs. Table 4 illustrates the total number of studies drawing on each of the aforementioned
8 theories/perspectives. Whilst most studies use a single theoretical lens (55%, 40 studies), the
9 other 38% adopt multi-theoretical approaches (28 studies)².

20
21 - *Insert Table 4* -
22

23
24 *Application of traditional theoretical perspectives: TCE/internalisation theory and OLI*

25 Foundational studies on foreign market entry shared the perspective that firm resources
26 should be exploited in the host country for direct investment to occur (Buckley and Casson,
27 1976). Following this logic, EMMs are less likely to possess, and thus internalise, the
28 resources of older and larger, generally Western DMMs, in which case EMMs would
29 internationalise generally through lower resource commitment modes such as exports.
30 TCE/internalisation theory and OLI/eclectic paradigm have been used either independently or
31 concurrently in a third of studies (36%, 26 studies) primarily to investigate why EMMs enter
32 via high resource commitment modes, mainly through M&As, without possessing superior
33 resources that would allow them to compete successfully in the focal market (Buckley et al.,
34 2012; Pan et al., 2014). Notably, Li (2003) argued that EMMs' ownership advantages may be
35 developed post-market entry because "it is ownership disadvantage that is the pre-condition
36 for firms as latecomers to engage in FDI, thus becoming MNEs. [Thus], the OLI Model has
37 to be modified not to require the existence of ownership advantages as the pre-condition for
38 FDI and MNEs" (p. 233).

58
59 ² The remaining five studies have no clear theoretical basis.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Several studies suggested that EMMs with different levels of political connections at home attribute different levels of transaction costs to international investments. Pan et al. (2014) investigated the effect of government ownership and legislative connections on Chinese multinationals' entry mode strategies and found that state-owned firms and firms whose directors were involved in public policy formation downplayed the transaction costs associated with internationalisation and chose higher ownership stakes in foreign markets. Whereas EMMs may be deficient in resources such as technological competencies, ownership advantages exist at the network level and not just the firm level (Buckley et al., 2012; Cho et al., 2014). Cho et al. (2014) found that Taiwanese multinationals in China may discount the social costs of breaking pre-established, home-host country network relationships, by opting for shared ownership even when, from a TCE perspective, a wholly owned entry is possible.

Based on the review analysis, 10 studies combine TCE and OLI with RBV and or organisational learning theory (e.g., Chen and Chen, 2003; Chiao et al., 2010; Nicholson and Salaber, 2013). Even when firms possess some advantages that can be exploited effectively in the host market, they may still require resources from the host environment, to learn and tailor their marketing strategy to local markets. Resource seeking behaviour of Indian and Chinese MNEs in developed markets - linked to factors such as target status and deal size - was more strongly associated with higher M&A performance than transaction costs considerations (Nicholson and Salaber, 2013). Chen and Chen (2003) surveyed Taiwanese managers to measure the perceived degree of resource complementarity/resource dependency between partners in different parts of the value chain and reported that Taiwanese internationalisers that were too small to create economic rent via equity ventures focused on improving efficiency via resource alignment through non-equity alliances. Li (2010) also found that international alliances tend to lead to bilateral learning both in terms of co-

1
2 exploitation of extant knowledge (TCE) and co-exploration of new knowledge resources
3
4 (organisational learning).
5
6

7 *Application of the Uppsala stage theory of internationalisation*

8
9

10 The main tenets of the Uppsala stages theory are well known: firms develop their
11 international activities over time, by expanding initially into psychically close markets and
12 only after acquiring experiential knowledge, they would enter distant host markets via higher
13 commitment entry strategies (Johanson and Vahlne, 1977). Similar with the broader entry
14 mode research agenda, a key question in the analysed literature (14%, 10 studies) is whether
15 EMMs follow the path dependent logic proposed by conventional applications of the Uppsala
16 theory. Some concluded that, since pioneering is probably not an option for most of these
17 firms, EMMs follow a gradual expansion process as they learn about foreign markets and
18 benefit from being fast market followers versus late entrants (Da Rocha et al., 2012).
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 Others found that EMMs do not follow the establishment chain, thus investing heavily
31 overseas at an earlier growth stage compared to DMMs (Bonaglia et al., 2007; Li, 2003;
32 Mathews, 2002). Here, an EMM's home country environment is considered an important
33 source of knowledge, in that the higher the level of home market sophistication of domestic
34 consumers, the more EMMs are expected to have marketing experience, thus making riskier
35 investments abroad. Similar to studies on DMMs (Johanson and Vahlne, 2009), scholars
36 found that efforts made in building political and social network ties reward EMMs with
37 access to valuable resources such as financial capital, and host market knowledge and thus
38 propelling them to internationalise at a faster pace (Bangara et al., 2012; Zhao and Hsu,
39 2007). Consequently, the knowledge that is location based is tied with host market
40 responsiveness since EMMs are expected to choose entry mode strategies that are most likely
41 to enable them to develop effective marketing strategies and compete on the basis of their
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 network resources and brands and not just their manufacturing and logistics capabilities
3
4 (Bangara et al., 2012).
5

6
7 Scholars apply the Uppsala theory in the same manner in which it has been used to study
8
9 the entry mode strategies of DMMs, often by combining it with network theory (three
10
11 studies) in that the need for prior knowledge and experience is reduced when EMMs benefit
12
13 from (institutional) network relationships. There is the implicit assumption in the entry mode
14
15 literature that the context and research setting from which the theory derived has no bearing
16
17 on how it should be employed. Perhaps EMMs implementing low-cost and differentiation
18
19 strategies simultaneously should be expected to rely more on network relationships to obtain
20
21 control over necessary resources and capabilities. Instead, EMMs that have been exposed to a
22
23 highly sophisticated home market environment may be more responsive to innovation and
24
25 changes in consumer expectations and as a result, perhaps less likely to use their networks.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 *'Newer' theories: Application of RBV and organisational learning theory*
34

35
36 The main tenet of studies drawing on RBV and organisational learning theory (25%; 18
37
38 studies) is that the tacit nature of resources that EMMs seek, such as knowledge, experience
39
40 and managerial know-how, are not easily acquired through market transactions. EMMs tend
41
42 to favour equity-based rather than non-equity entry mode strategies to overcome home
43
44 market disadvantages via asset enhancement (Kedia et al., 2012) or asset acquisition (Hitt et
45
46 al., 2000).
47
48

49 From an RBV or organisational learning perspective, entry modes are conceptualised as
50
51 a means to acquire critical resources to help EMMs reposition themselves in the global value
52
53 chain (Bianchi, 2009; Hitt et al., 2000; Kedia et al., 2012). When the investing EMM pursues
54
55 global strategies by standardising its global marketing strategy rather than tailoring it to local
56
57 circumstances, wholly owned subsidiaries are found to be superior to joint ventures because
58
59
60

1
2 they provide more control and better coordination between headquarters, foreign subsidiaries
3 and business networks (Cui and Jiang, 2009; Kedia et al., 2012). In turn, international
4 collaborations are preferred in high growth competitive industries where firms seek to
5 establish first mover advantages by learning about the local market via a partner (Cui and
6 Jiang, 2009; Li, 2010). Scholars using case study research uncovered that EMMs learn from
7 inward internationalisation whilst also making creative use of their already existing
8 capabilities to catch up with competitors (Bianchi, 2009; Bonaglia et al., 2007). This said,
9 with their exclusive focus on choosing the entry mode strategies that reflect resource needs,
10 these studies do not differ from the literature that examines DMMs' entry mode strategies.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 Only five studies combine resource-based approaches such as RBV and organisational
23 learning with institutional theory to reflect how EMMs' strategic intents, resources, and
24 subsequent entry mode strategies may be contingent on the rules set by home governments.
25 This line of inquiry highlights two key themes. First, since the major Chinese MNEs are
26 primarily state-owned or state-controlled enterprises, they are motivated to acquire
27 technological and managerial resources and transfer them back to the domestic market where
28 they can differentiate their products to overcome competition from DMMs (Yang et al., 2009;
29 Wei et al., 2014). Second, whilst DMMs tend to adopt more focused strategies abroad, some
30 EMMs, especially those belonging to business groups, tend to diversify into multiple
31 products and markets (Gaur et al., 2014; Popli and Sinha, 2014). Business group affiliation
32 has the potential to fill the voids created by lack of formal institutions, by providing firms
33 with knowledge, financial capital, product markets and reputational benefits to make better
34 use of marketing know-how and serve more specialised, niche segments (Gaur et al., 2014).
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 *Application of institutional theory*

52
53
54
55 The institution-based perspective is the second most popular line of theorising of EMMs'
56 international entry mode strategies (27%, 20 studies). This reflects the important role of the
57
58
59
60

1
2 institutional context in emerging economies (Bianchi, 2009; Rugman et al., 2014; Hoskisson
3 et al., 2000). Three studies revisited TCE rationales and explicitly propose that the
4 exploitation of firm advantages may be contingent on home and or host institutional
5 pressures, leading to the combination of transaction cost- and institution-based rationales
6 (Chiao et al., 2010; Demirbag et al., 2009; Li, 2003). Interestingly, studies combining
7 traditional TCE and related theories with the institution-based view focus on the
8 complementarity between theories, as opposed to comparing and contrasting these different
9 theoretical perspectives.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 In contrast to the literature on DMMs, where the focus is on the characteristics and
21 idiosyncrasies of host market locations, EMMs' entry mode literature focuses predominantly
22 on the home country. This is perhaps because home country institutions are more interesting
23 for scholars (Rugman et al., 2014). Extant research drawing on institutional theory shows that
24 ties with the local government in China motivate firms to engage in large FDI investments
25 via favourable policies, access to market information and financial resources (Hitt et al.,
26 2004; Lin, 2010). Furthermore, institutional theory proponents advocate that over time
27 organisations sharing the same environments become isomorphic with one another;
28 isomorphic behaviour is studied in terms of product relatedness, host location and ownership
29 decisions (Deng, 2009; Yang et al., 2009). Chinese multinationals' cross-border M&A deals
30 are considered a unique characteristic of their home institutional environment (Deng, 2009).
31 Institutional voids at home make it difficult for EMMs to build global brands (Popli and
32 Sinha, 2014), and thus, these firms use cross-border M&As to access technological and
33 financial capital as well as distribution channels (Deng, 2009; Du and Boateng, 2015).
34 Interestingly, past internationalisation experience is expected to reduce isomorphic behaviour
35 amongst EMMs (Yang et al., 2009). In turn, environmental uncertainty, particularly home
36 market intervention, is expected to increase isomorphic behaviour and lower the likelihood of
37 firms displaying heterogeneous responses to institutional pressures, thus leading to EMMs
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

opting for the entry mode strategies that carry most gains to their home institutions (Meyer et al., 2014; Rugman et al., 2014).

A less commonly explored idea is regarding the interplay between home and host country institutions (Li et al., 2014; Meyer et al., 2014). It is argued that host market investors may show concerns regarding the marketing and financial transparency of EMMs, particularly when home governments intervene in their international marketing efforts (see Rugman et al., 2014). This is a potential area of contribution since EMMs with fewer obligations to serve home government prerogatives may display greater levels of managerial autonomy and vice versa. Host government political backlash and suspicion over the true motives behind government-linked EMMs' foreign investment strategies have been associated with the high number of M&A deals that were announced but never completed (Globerman and Shapiro, 2009).

Luo and Tung's (2007) springboard perspective and Mathews' (2002/2006) LLL framework

Amongst 'newer' perspectives we also have Luo and Tung's (2007) springboard theory and Mathews's (2002) LLL (linkage, leverage and learning) framework which were developed specifically to examine the international activities of EMMs. Yet, despite their popularity in EMMs research, only five studies drew on them to examine EMMs' entry mode strategies.

Luo and Tung (2007) advocate a 'springboard' perspective, implying that EMMs use foreign expansion as a springboard recursively to acquire critical assets that help them overcome home institutional disadvantages. Popli and Sinha (2014) attested the springboard perspective, noting that Indian EMMs with more experience and business group embeddedness engaged in pre-emptive international M&As following a clustering of industry level acquisitions. The springboard view also suggests that, despite the similarities in motivations to become legitimate (in line with institutional theory), EMMs' responses and likelihood to be early movers are a function of firm-level attributes, such as large domestic

1
2 market presence and prior international experience (Ge and Ding, 2008; Popli and Sinha,
3 2014). However, the springboard perspective does not elucidate the post-springboard or post
4 entry difficulties EMMs may encounter. This may be the reason why, despite its popularity,
5 this theory has not been used significantly in international business and marketing research.
6
7

8
9
10
11 Similarly, in his LLL (linkage, leverage and learning) framework, Mathews (2002/2006)
12 proposes that the timing of international entry modes involves a process in which EMMs *link*
13 with DMMs by offering complementary services that were not advantageous to internalise
14 whilst *leveraging* unique capabilities such as rapid product imitation. Inherent in these
15 assumptions is that resource exploitation and augmentation may be inter-related (Dunning
16 and Lundan, 2008). Over time, EMMs may *learn* how to compete with DMMs in areas such
17 as innovation and new product development. Based on interviews with Chinese managers, Ge
18 and Ding (2008) found that the LLL framework provides a better explanation for the catch-up
19 strategies of manufacturing EMMs, which start their international expansion by leveraging
20 linkages with DMMs to lower their production costs and achieve cost leadership, and over
21 time may switch focus towards innovation or marketing capabilities as sources of competitive
22 advantage. It is yet to be concluded whether differences between LLL and theories such as
23 OLI are important or simply a matter of emphasis. These aspects, particularly knowledge
24 transfer and learning are difficult to study and the prevalence of case study research is low.
25 Thus, more longitudinal research is needed to understand how EMMs experience these
26 ‘leveraging’ and dynamic ‘learning’ processes and what these processes are contingent on.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 **Discussion and directions for future research**

50
51
52 Despite the great interest regarding how EMMs’ international entry mode strategies may
53 challenge and perhaps even change existing theories (Deng, 2009; Luo and Tung, 2007;
54 Sheth, 2011), this review suggests that, when it comes to studying international market entry
55 mode strategies, the EMM literature is no different from the more established literature on
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 DMMs. In particular, traditional theories, such as TCE, are drawn on significantly and
3
4 although their predictive abilities are questioned in emerging market contexts, scholars tend
5
6 to overlook the limitations of the theory. At present, with the few exceptions discussed
7
8 below, the conclusions drawn from studies of EMMs' international entry mode strategies are
9
10 not exclusive for EMMs.
11

12
13 A growing number of EMM studies used institutional theory as their primary lens,
14
15 generally by looking at the effects of home institutions on EMMs' international entry mode
16
17 strategies (Deng, 2009; Meyer et al., 2014). These studies contribute to a change in emphasis
18
19 from the firm-specific variables that influence entry mode strategies, which are often studied
20
21 through a TCE or RBV lens, toward the effects of home institutional factors. If this literature
22
23 is to contribute further to entry mode research, it can do so by understanding the long-term
24
25 impact of institutions on the exploitation and attainment of firm resources and subsequently,
26
27 on entry mode strategies. Whereas studies on the international entry mode strategies of
28
29 EMMs may contribute to the extant literature by bringing in new home market contexts,
30
31 based on the analysis of the literature, we found that these studies do not change and do not
32
33 even challenge existing theories significantly. Furthermore, whilst Luo and Tung's (2007)
34
35 seminal paper introducing the springboard perspective is highly cited³, the proposed theory
36
37 has not been used significantly in EMM international entry mode research. Perhaps, similar
38
39 to the LLL framework, scholars could not see how the theory differentiates its assumptions
40
41 from what has already gained legitimacy in the broader entry mode literature (Demirbag et
42
43 al., 2009; Ge and Ding, 2008). These works have merely made tweaks at the edge of theories
44
45 with no significant changes to the theorisation of EMMs' international equity-based entry
46
47 mode strategies.
48
49
50
51
52

53 Given the significant gap in the literature on EMMs' international entry modes, we put
54
55 forward an agenda for future research. The need to understand the performance implications
56
57

58
59 ³ As of April 2016, Luo and Tung (2007)'s paper had 1,194 citations, averaging over 132 citations per year.
60

1
2 of international entry mode strategies and the resource differences between MNEs from
3
4 countries with different levels of institutional development has attracted academic attention
5
6 vis-à-vis EMMs, although some of these questions are yet to be addressed empirically. Also,
7
8 we identified several areas of research that have been more recently stated in the management
9
10 and marketing literatures, but have not been raised in studies on EMMs' entry modes, despite
11
12 their importance. Hence, we frame our research directions based on (1) questions scholars
13
14 have already asked but have not been addressed; and (2) questions that have not been asked
15
16 before but, we argue, have potential for future research on EMMs' international entry mode
17
18 strategies.
19
20

21
22
23 *(1) Directions for future research: Questions asked but not addressed*
24

25
26 *Question #1: Does one size fit all EMMs?*
27

28
29 Assumptions that the international entry mode strategies of EMMs, such as entry modes,
30
31 require new theorisations deserve further explanation. A good starting point for future
32
33 research is to determine which institutions matter since current multinationals in many non-
34
35 emerging markets were once part of emerging markets. Many of them became multinationals
36
37 at a time when their domestic markets were also emerging⁴. Despite similarities and
38
39 synchronisations in how the economies of emerging markets have opened up to foreign
40
41 investments, not all EMMs' foreign investment strategies and trajectories are the same
42
43 (Chittoor et al., 2008; Hoskisson et al., 2000). Due to the paucity of comparative studies
44
45 concerning the entry mode strategies of EMMs from different markets, we still do not know
46
47 the extent to which their motivations differ. We propose that firms' responses to home
48
49 institutional transitions may differ amongst EMMs from different countries, which in turn,
50
51 provides opportunities to expand the current use of institutional theory which has to date
52
53 focused on single country research. Chittoor et al. (2008) found that lack of significant
54
55
56
57

58
59 ⁴ We thank an anonymous reviewer for this suggestion.
60

1
2 inward direct investment in India appears to have motivated domestic firms to develop
3
4 unique capabilities, that were not available to outsiders and that helped them overcome home
5
6 market competition (see also Buckley et al., 2012). In Central and Eastern European
7
8 countries, which experienced rapid transitions to market-based economies, international entry
9
10 mode decisions were associated with resources and skills brought in by foreign investors. In
11
12 contrast, China's transition to a market-based economy was evolutionary, with governments
13
14 intervening significantly in firms' foreign strategies (Hitt et al., 2004). Although emerging
15
16 economies share several common features, they are institutionally heterogeneous and
17
18 therefore one size may not fit all EMMs. Scholars should be careful not to generalize findings
19
20 from single countries across institutionally different emerging economies.
21
22
23

24
25 *Question #2: What resources do firms need to enter developed versus emerging markets?*
26
27

28
29 In the last decade, EMMs have been expanding into both emerging and developed markets
30
31 (UNCTAD, 2014). Our analysis shows that the main theories that have been used to study
32
33 entry mode strategies in different locations are TCE (Pan et al., 2014) and institutional theory
34
35 (Deng, 2009), which on their own do little to explain what resources EMMs, in fact, need in
36
37 order to enter emerging as opposed to developed markets. An interesting question here is:
38
39 Can institutional ties replace the need for other firm level resources? By introducing RBV
40
41 and learning rationales to extant theorisations, scholars could contribute to the limited
42
43 literature on the characteristics of firms that can leverage their resources beyond initial
44
45 market entry and whether investment location matters. Furthermore, if EMMs rely on home
46
47 network based resources, will these facilitate or constrain their progress in different
48
49 (developed versus emerging) host markets? We know little about what network relationships
50
51 are most valuable and whether network resources, such as founders' social capital, are more
52
53 beneficial when entering developed host markets, than for instance, home government ties.
54
55 Thus far, networks have been studied in a static manner, generally by testing the effect of
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 factors such as the number of executive social ties (Zhao and Hsu, 2007) or linkages with
3
4 various domestic government and financial institutions (Bianchi, 2009), whilst the effects of
5
6 the different networks may not be independent of each other. A better understanding of
7
8 network dynamics may help researchers understand whether and how firms adapt their entry
9
10 mode strategies to reinstate their role in their networks in response to changing environmental
11
12 conditions.
13
14

15
16
17 *Question #3: What meets the promise of EMMs' performance in the long term?*
18

19
20 What drives initial entry may not meet the promise of performance later on. Our discussion
21
22 earlier on the different roles that home governments play in EMMs' international expansion,
23
24 inevitably leads to the following question: are EMMs' international entry mode strategies
25
26 dictated by commercial logic or are they acting on behalf of their governments? Furthermore,
27
28 if emerging home institutions provide superior advantages to emerging market firms, what
29
30 differentiates successful EMMs from the less successful ones? Thus far, the literature
31
32 emphasises that EMMs commence their internationalisation path in a strong financial
33
34 position due to home institutional support and less so as a result of their management
35
36 capabilities. Aybar and Ficici (2009) found that on average international M&As do not create
37
38 value for EMMs and more often point to value destruction, thus questioning the value of
39
40 resources such as firm experience or the effect of corporate governance systems on EMMs'
41
42 performance. Since EMMs cannot build resources overnight and one M&A deal is unlikely to
43
44 solve their resource problem in the long term, we ask: If institutional ties facilitate high
45
46 commitment market entries for EMMs, do they also have a positive effect on long-term
47
48 performance? Also unexplored is the value gained from M&As by host market counterparts.
49
50 Buckley et al. (2014) found that only some types of resources increase the value of target
51
52 firms, such as experience with M&As and with operating in developed markets. Du and
53
54 Boateng (2015) add that governments tend to shape the strategies of firms according to the
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 industries in which prominent home institutions have a stake. Thus, EMMs from countries
3 such as China, where sufficient value is not placed on intellectual property laws, may in time
4 erode the competitive advantages of target firms.
5
6
7

8
9
10 (2) *Directions for future research: Important questions that have not been asked*

11
12
13 *Question #4: How is the performance of EMMs' entry modes measured?*

14
15
16 An important issue emerging from our analysis is how performance is in fact measured. Over
17 half of the studies that examine the performance of EMMs' entry mode strategies draw on
18 TCE rationales and use short term proxies such as stock market reaction (Aybar and Ficici,
19 2009; Nicholson and Salaber, 2013). However, even when home country advantages do exist,
20 this should not necessarily mean that firms can also access them successfully in order to enter
21 via high resource commitment entry modes. Although a significant number of M&A deals are
22 abandoned (Globerman and Shapiro, 2009), no studies in our database examine M&A deals
23 that have not been completed. Furthermore, we believe that TCE rationales cannot explain
24 whether the firm will succeed in the host market and integrate its operations effectively,
25 particularly in the case of M&As. Indeed, studies drawing on RBV rationales have
26 emphasised that, when acquisitions are made in developed markets, which are characterized
27 by better quality resources and institutions, firms benefit more, especially in terms of post-
28 acquisition management such as acquiring critical knowledge (Nair et al., 2015). Because the
29 acquisition of superior resources such as knowledge is cited as the key motive for cross-
30 border M&A strategies, an alternative performance measure for EMMs' international entry
31 mode strategies is the *degree of reverse knowledge transfer*, namely how resources acquired
32 abroad are returned successfully to their respective home markets to be exploited as a source
33 of competitive advantage. EMMs may bear short-term financial losses in favour of long-term
34 gains in the form of access to superior resources and new markets, and, at present, we do not
35 have a relevant measure for the outcomes of EMMs' international entry mode strategies.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Question #5: What about the micro-foundations of EMMs' international entry mode research?

It may also prove useful for future research to consider whether the international entry mode decisions and performance of private sector EMMs may be more linked to managerial characteristics and abilities than institutional factors (Bianchi, 2009; Bonaglia et al., 2007). Scholars could examine the role of decision makers in shaping EMMs' entry mode strategies, thereby assisting them to derive value from their entry mode decisions. In emerging markets, where the "rules of the game" are highly informal, a contribution could be made by investigating not only the role of formal institutional actors (i.e. governments) but also the micro-foundations of marketing research because individuals and their interactions may matter significantly to how EMMs create and capture value internationally. Therefore, we conclude that key questions about the international entry modes strategies of EMMs are yet to be answered, which in turn provides opportunities for researchers interested in this topic.

References

- Anderson, E. and Coughlan, A. (1987), "International market entry and expansion via independent or integrated channels of distribution", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 51 (January), pp. 71- 82.
- Aybar, B. and Ficici, A. (2009), "Cross-border acquisitions and firm value: An analysis of emerging-market multinationals", *Journal of International Business Studies*, Vol. 40 No. 8, pp. 1317-1338.
- Bangara, A., Freeman, S. and Schroder, W. (2012), "Legitimacy and accelerated internationalisation: An Indian perspective", *Journal of World Business*, Vol. 47 No. 4, pp. 623-634.
- Barney, J. (1991), "Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage", *Journal of Management*, Vol. 17 No. 1, pp. 99-120.
- Bianchi, C. (2009), "Retail internationalisation from emerging markets: Case study evidence from Chile", *International Marketing Review*, Vol. 26 No. 2, pp. 221 – 243.
- Bonaglia, F., Goldstein, A. and Mathews, J. A. (2007), "Accelerated internationalisation by emerging markets' multinationals", *Journal of World Business*, Vol. 42 No. 4, pp. 369-383.
- Buckley, P. J. and Casson, M. (1976), *The Future of the Multinational Enterprise*, London, Palgrave Macmillan.
- Buckley, P. J. (2002), "International business versus international marketing", *International Marketing Review*, Vol. 19 No. 1, pp. 16 – 20.
- Buckley, P. J., Forsans, N. and Munjal, S. (2012), "Host-home country linkages and host-home country specific advantages as determinants of foreign acquisitions by Indian firms", *International Business Review*, Vol. 21 No. 5, pp. 878-890.
- Buckley, P. J., Elia, S. and Kafouros, M. (2014), "Acquisitions by emerging market multinationals: Implications for firm performance", *Journal of World Business*, Vol. 49 No. 4, pp. 611-632.
- Canabal, A. and White, G. O. (2008), "Entry mode research: Past and future", *International Business Review*, Vol. 17 No. 3, pp. 267-284.
- Chen, H. and Chen, T.-J. (2003), "Governance structures in strategic alliances: Transaction cost versus resource-based perspective", *Journal of World Business*, Vol. 38 No. 1, pp. 1-14.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- Chiao, Y.-C., Lo, F.-Y. and Yu, C.-M. (2010), "Choosing between wholly-owned subsidiaries and joint ventures of MNCs from an emerging market", *International Marketing Review*, Vol. 27 No. 3, pp. 338 – 365.
- Chittoor, R., Ray, S., Aulakh, P. S. and Sarkar, M. B. (2008), "Strategic responses to institutional changes: Indigenous growth model of the Indian pharmaceutical industry", *Journal of International Management*, Vol. 14 No. 3, pp. 252-269.
- Cho, K. E., Huang, C-H and Padmanabhan, P. (2014), "Foreign ownership mode, executive compensation structure, and corporate governance: Has the literature missed an important link? Evidence from Taiwanese firms", *International Business Review*, Vol. 23 No. 2, pp. 371-380.
- Cui, L. and Jiang, F. (2009), "FDI entry mode choice of Chinese firms: A strategic behaviour perspective", *Journal of World Business*, Vol. 44 No. 4, pp. 434-444.
- Da Rocha, A., Cotta de Mello, R., Pacheco, H. and De Abreu Farias, I. (2012), "The international commitment of late-internationalizing Brazilian entrepreneurial firms", *International Marketing Review*, Vol. 29 No. 3, pp. 228 – 252.
- Demirbag, M., Tatoglu, E. and Glaister, K. W. (2009), "Equity-based entry modes of emerging country multinationals: Lessons from Turkey", *Journal of World Business*, Vol. 44 No. 4, pp. 445-462.
- Deng, P. (2009), "Why do Chinese firms tend to acquire strategic assets in international expansion?", *Journal of World Business*, Vol. 44 No. 1, pp. 74-84.
- Du, M. and Boateng, A. (2015), "State ownership, institutional effects and value creation in cross-border mergers & acquisitions by Chinese firms", *International Business Review*, Vol. 24 No. 3, pp. 430-442.
- Dunning J. and Lundan, S. M. (2008), "Institutions and the OLI paradigm of the multinational enterprise", *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, Vol. 25, pp. 573–593.
- Gaur, A. J., Kumar, V. and Singh, D. (2014), "Institutions, resources, and internationalization of emerging economy firms", *Journal of World Business*, Vol. 49 No. 1, pp. 12-20.
- Ge, L. G. and Ding, D. Z. (2008), "A strategic analysis of surging Chinese manufacturers: The case of Galanz", *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, Vol. 25, pp. 667-683.
- Globerman S., and Shapiro, D. (2009), "Economic and strategic considerations surrounding Chinese FDI in the United States", *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, Vol. 26, pp. 163–183.

- 1
2 Hambrick, D. C., Humphrey, S. E. and Gupta, A. (2015), “Structural interdependence within
3 top management teams: A key moderator of upper echelons predictions”, *Strategic*
4 *Management Journal*, Vol. 36 No. 3, pp. 449-461.
- 5
6
7 Hennart, J.- F. and Slangen, A. H. L. (2014), “Yes, we really do need more entry mode
8 studies! A commentary on Shaver”, *Journal of International Business Studies*, Vol. 46, pp.
9 114-122.
- 10
11
12 Hitt, M. A., Dacin, T. M., Levitas, E., Arregle, J.-L. and Borza, A. (2000), “Partner selection
13 in emerging and developed market contexts: Resource-based and organizational learning
14 perspectives”, *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 43 No. 3, pp. 449-467.
- 15
16
17 Hitt, M. A., Ahlstrom, D., Dacin, T. M., Levitas, E. and Svobodina, L. (2004), “The
18 institutional effects on strategic alliance partner selection in transition economies: China
19 vs. Russia”, *Organization Science*, Vol. 15 No. 2, pp. 173-185.
- 20
21
22 Hoskisson, R. E., Eden, L., Lau, C. M. and Wright, M. (2000), “Strategy in emerging
23 economies”, *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 43 No. 3, pp. 249–267.
- 24
25
26 Johanson, J. and Vahlne, J.-E. (1977), “The internationalization process of the firm - A model
27 of knowledge development and increasing foreign market commitments”, *Journal of*
28 *International Business Studies*, Vol. 8 No. 1, pp. 25-34.
- 29
30
31 Johanson, J. and Vahlne, J.-E. (2009), “The Uppsala internationalization process model
32 revisited: From liability of foreignness to liability of outsidership”, *Journal of*
33 *International Business Studies*, Vol. 40 No. 9, pp. 1411-1431.
- 34
35
36 Jormanainen, I. and Koveshnikov, A. (2012), “International activities of emerging market
37 firms”, *Management International Review*, Vol. 52 No. 5, pp. 691-725.
- 38
39
40 Kedia, B., Gaffney, N. and Clampit, J. (2012), “EMNEs and knowledge-seeking FDI”,
41 *Management International Review*, Vol. 52 No. 2, pp. 155-173.
- 42
43
44 Kiss, A. N., Danis, W. M. and Cavusgil, S. T. (2012), “International entrepreneurship
45 research in emerging economies: A critical review and research agenda”, *Journal of*
46 *Business Venturing*, Vol. 27 No. 2, pp. 266–290.
- 47
48
49 Lecraw, D. (1977), “Direct investment by firms from less developed countries”, *Oxford*
50 *Economic Papers, New Series*, Vol. 29 No. 3, pp. 442–457.
- 51
52
53 Li, P. P. (2003), “Toward a geocentric theory of multinational evolution: The implications
54 from the Asian MNEs as latecomers”, *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, Vol. 20 No. 2,
55 pp. 217-242.
- 56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- Li, P.P. (2010), "Toward a learning-based view of internationalization: The accelerated trajectories of cross-border learning for latecomers", *Journal of International Management*, Vol. 16 No. 1, pp. 43-59.
- Li, M. H., Cui, L. and Lu, J. (2014), "Varieties in state capitalism: Outward FDI strategies of central and local state-owned enterprises from emerging economy countries", *Journal of International Business Studies*, Vol. 45, pp. 980-1004.
- Lin, X. (2010), "State versus private MNCs from China: Initial conceptualizations", *International Marketing Review*, Vol. 27 No. 3, pp. 366-380.
- Luo, Y. and Tung, R. L. (2007), "International expansion of emerging market enterprises: A spring-board perspective", *Journal of International Business Studies*, Vol. 38 No. 4, pp. 481-498.
- Mathews, J. A. (2002), "Competitive advantages of the latecomer firm: A resource-based account of industrial catch-up strategies", *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, Vol. 19 No.4, pp. 467-488.
- Mathews, J. A. (2006), "Dragon Multinationals: New players in 21st century globalization", *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, Vol. 23 No. 1, pp. 5-27.
- Mellahi, K. and Mol, M. J. (2015), "Africa is just like every other place, in that it is unlike any other place", *African Journal of Management*, Vol. 1 No. 2, pp. 201-209.
- Mellahi, K. and Harris, L. C. (2016), "Response rates in business and management research: An overview of current practice and suggestions for future direction", *British Journal of Management*, Vol. 27 No. 2, pp. 426-437.
- Meyer, K. E., Ding, Y., Li, J. and Zhang, H. (2014), "Overcoming distrust: How state-owned enterprises adapt their foreign entries to institutional pressures abroad", *Journal of International Business Studies*, Vol. 45, pp. 1005-1028.
- Nair, S., Demirbag, M. and Mellahi, K. (2015), "Reverse knowledge transfer from overseas acquisitions: A survey of Indian MNEs", *Management International Review*, (Forthcoming).
- Nicholson, R. R. and Salaber, J. (2013), "The motives and performance of cross-border acquirers from emerging economies: Comparison between Chinese and Indian firms", *International Business Review*, Vol. 22 No. 6, pp. 963-980.
- Pan, Y., Teng, L., Supapol, A. B., Lu, X., Huang, D. and Wang, Z. (2014), "Firms' FDI ownership: The influence of government ownership and legislative connections", *Journal of International Business Studies*, Vol. 45, pp. 1029-1043.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- Popli, M. and Sinha, A. K. (2014), “Determinants of early movers in cross-border merger and acquisition wave in an emerging market: A study of Indian firms”, *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, Vol. 31 No. 4, pp. 1075-1099.
- Root, F. R. (1987), “*Entry strategies for international markets*”, Boston, Mass.: D.C. Heath and Company.
- Rugman, A., Nguyen, Q. T. K. and Wei, Z. (2014), “Chinese multinationals and public policy”, *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, Vol. 9 No. 2, pp. 205-215.
- Sheth, J. N. (2011), “Impact of emerging markets on marketing: Rethinking existing perspectives and practices”, *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 75 No. 4, pp. 166–182.
- Slangen, A. H. L. and Dikova, D. (2014), “Planned marketing adaptation and multinationals' choices between acquisitions and greenfields”, *Journal of International Marketing*, Vol. 22 No. 2, pp. 68-88.
- Surdu, I. and Mellahi, K. (2016), “Theoretical foundations of equity based foreign market entry decisions: A review of the literature and recommendations for future research”, *International Business Review*, Vol. 25 No. 5, pp. 1169-1184.
- UNCTAD (United Nations Conference on Trade and Development) (2014), *World Investment Report 2014*, New York, United Nations.
- Van Bruggen, G. H., Lilien, G. L. and Kacker, M. (2002), “Informants in organizational marketing research: Why use multiple informants and how to aggregate responses”, *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 39 No. 4, pp. 469-478.
- Wei, Y., Zheng, N., Liu, X. and Lu, J. (2014), “Expanding to outward foreign direct investment or not? A multi-dimensional analysis of entry mode transformation of Chinese private exporting firms”, *International Business Review*, Vol. 23 No. 2, pp. 356-370.
- Yang, Z., Wang, X. and Su, C. (2006), “A review of research methodologies in international business”, *International Business Review*, Vol. 15, pp. 601-617.
- Yang, X., Jiang, Y., Kang, R. and Ke, Y. (2009), “A comparative analysis of the internationalization of Chinese and Japanese firms”, *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, Vol. 26 No. 1, pp. 141-162.
- Zhao, H. and Hsu, C-C. (2007), “Social ties and foreign market entry: An empirical inquiry”, *Management International Review*, Vol. 47 No. 6, pp. 815-844.

Table 1: Distribution of empirical articles per journal and thematic areas

Journals	Empirical studies ^a	Motives for specific entry mode selection		Choices between entry mode strategies	Timing and sequences of entry mode strategies	Performance outcomes of entry mode strategies
		International alliance formation	Cross-border M&As			
		(6)	(13)	(19)	(20)	(11)
JWB	(15)	1	4	5	3	2
IBR	(11)	-	3	4	2	3
APJM	(9)	-	1	1	4	3
IMR	(5)	-	-	2	4	-
MIR	(6)	2	1	2	1	-
JIBS	(6)	-	2	4	-	2
JIM	(5)	-	2	1	2	-
IJoEM	(4)	-	-	-	4	-
JoIM	(1)	1	-	-	-	1
AMJ	(1)	1	-	-	-	-
OS	(1)	1	-	-	-	-

^a We identified 64 empirical studies. The 5 studies (2 in JIBS, 1 in IBR, 1 in IMR, and 1 in JoIM) that discussed more than one topic were coded into multiple thematic areas simultaneously.

Table 2: Distribution of empirical articles per methodologies

Methodologies	Data source	No. of studies	
Quantitative studies	Secondary sources - pre-established databases	30	
	Primary data: mail surveys	14	
	Primary data: interviews	2	
	Mixed methods	1	
	Of which	Comparative studies (DMMs versus EMMs)	3
	Comparative studies (EMMs versus other EMMs)	2	
Qualitative studies	Secondary sources - pre-established databases	1	
	Primary data: interviews	16	
	Of which	Longitudinal studies	3
		Comparative studies (DMMs versus EMMs)	1
		Comparative studies (EMMs versus other EMMs)	2

Table 3: Distribution of empirical articles per home and host countries studied

	Host countries studied	India	Asia	Latin America	Europe, Japan, North America	Multiple EMMs and DMMS	Germany	China	UK; US	Slovakia	Italy	Southeast Asia, China
Home countries studied												
China						(16 studies)	(2 studies)		(1 study)			
China, India						(2 studies)						
China, Japan						(1 study)						
China, Mexico, Turkey						(1 study)						
China, Russia						(1 study)						
India						(8 studies)						
Taiwan						(5 studies)		(4 studies)				(1 study)
Taiwan, Malaysia						(1 study)						
Taiwan, Singapore						(1 study)						
BRIC, Indonesia, Mexico, Thailand, Turkey						(1 study)						
Korea						(1 study)						
Latin America						(1 study)						
Mexico, Poland, Romania, Canada, France, US						(1 study)						
Egypt						(1 study)						
Multiple EMMs						(2 studies)						
Chile				(1 study)		(1 study)						
Turkey			(1 study)			(1 study)						
Multiple EMMs and DMMS		(1 study)							(1 study)		(1 study)	
Brazil						(1 study)						
BRIC					(2 studies)	(1 study)						
ASEAN								(1 study)				
US, Japan, Korea								(1 study)				

Table 4: Distribution of articles per theoretical perspectives

Theories	(1) TCE/internalisation theory	(2) OLI/Eclectic paradigm	(3) Uppsala stage theory	(4) RBV	(5) Organisational learning theory	(6) Institutional theory	(7) Network theory	(8) Springboard perspective	(9) LLL framework	(10) Agency theory
(1) TCE/internalisation theory	[total=16]									
(2) OLI/Eclectic paradigm	2	[total=12]								
(3) Uppsala stage theory	1	2	[total=10]							
(4) RBV	4	2	1	[total=13]						
(5) Organisational learning theory	2	2	1	3	[total=8]					
(6) Institutional theory	2	2	-	3	2	[total=20]				
(7) Network theory*	-	2	3	-	-	-	[total=5]			
(8) Springboard perspective	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	[total=5]		
(9) LLL framework	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	[total=3]	
(10) Agency theory*	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	[total=2]

Note: Total number of studies (see in bold) is cumulative (includes single and multi-theoretical studies). Some multi-theoretical studies have multiple entrances as they combine more than two theories. *We do not discuss network and agency theories separately because they have been used primarily to complement traditional theories, e.g., TCE, Uppsala theory of internationalisation.