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In Brief

Meadows et al. find that redistribution of the chromosome passenger complex (CPC) from centromeres to Klp9/MKLP2 at the spindle midzone terminates a Sgo2-dependent pathway controlling APC/C activation. Their data indicate that redistribution of CPC and Klp9 terminates a Mad2-independent, APC/C-inhibitory pathway that is distinct from the spindle assembly checkpoint.
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SUMMARY

The onset of anaphase is triggered by activation of the anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome (APC/C) following silencing of the spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC). APC/C triggers ubiquitination of Securin and Cyclin B, which leads to loss of sister chromatid cohesion and inactivation of Cyclin B/Cdk1, respectively. This promotes relocalization of Aurora B kinase and other components of the chromosome passenger complex (CPC) from centromeres to the spindle midzone. In fission yeast, this is mediated by Clp1 phosphatase-dependent interaction of CPC with Klpl9/MKLP2 (kinesin-6). When this interaction is disrupted, kinetochores bi-orient normally, but APC/C activation is delayed via a mechanism that requires Sgo2 and some (Bub1, Mph1/Mps1, and Mad3), but not all (Mad1 and Mad2), components of the SAC and the first, but not second, lysine, glutamic acid, asparagine (KEN) box in Mad3. These data indicate that interaction of CPC with Klpl9 terminates a Sgo2-dependent, but Mad2-independent, APC/C-inhibitory pathway that is distinct from the canonical SAC.

INTRODUCTION

Anaphase onset is initiated when all chromosomes have been correctly bi-oriented. This dependence is imposed by the spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC), which prevents activation of the anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome (APC/C) when kinetochores are either unattached or not under tension (Lara-Gonzalez et al., 2012). Components of the SAC include Mad1, Mad2, Mad3(BubR1), and Bub3 and the kinases Bub1, Mph1/Mps1, and Aurora B. SAC proteins, with the exception of centromere-bound Aurora B kinase, are recruited to kinetochores that are tensionless or not bound to spindle microtubules. Association of Mad2 with a template of kinetochore-bound Mad1-Mad2 induces a conformational change in Mad2 that promotes its association with Mad3(BubR1), Bub3, and Cdc20 to form the mitotic checkpoint complex (MCC), which is a potent inhibitor of the APC/C (Lara-Gonzalez et al., 2012). During prometaphase and metaphase, MCC is continually assembled at the kinetochore and disassembled, by the actions of APC15 and p31comet, to make the cell sensitive to the status of kinetochore-microtubule attachment (Foster and Morgan, 2012; Mansfeld et al., 2011; Minio-witz-Shemtov et al., 2012; Teichner et al., 2011; Uzunova et al., 2012). Following satisfaction of the SAC, Mad1 and Mad2 rapidly dissociate from the final sister kinetochore pair, and the MCC is disassembled, permitting APC/C activation. Although Mad2 is essential for the formation of the MCC, it exists in sub-stoichiometric amounts in the MCC, indicating that another complex composed of just BubR1, Bub3, and Cdc20 (dubbed BBC) also exists in cells (Han et al., 2013; Kulukian et al., 2009; Nilsson et al., 2008; Westhorpe et al., 2011). It is presently unclear whether this latter complex is also an inhibitor of the APC/C or is simply a product of Mad2 removal from the MCC.

Activation of the APC/C results in the ubiquitination and subsequent destruction of Securin and Cyclin B. This leads to cleavage of the Cohesin complex through activation of Separase and inactivation of Cyclin B-Cdk1 kinase, respectively (Lara-Gonzalez et al., 2012). This triggers loss of sister chromatid cohesion and an alteration of microtubule dynamics that enable sister chromatids to move to spindle poles (anaphase A) and spindle poles to move apart (anaphase B). Despite our understanding of the underlying biochemistry, it remains unclear how these events are co-ordinated in time and space. In addition to altering microtubule dynamics, inactivation of Cdk1/Cyclin B prevents re-activation of the SAC during anaphase (Rattani et al., 2014; Vázquez-Novelle et al., 2014). This is mediated in part by relocalization of Aurora B kinase and other components of the chromosome passenger complex (CPC), including Survivin, inner centromere protein (INCENP), and Borealin, from centromeres to the spindle midzone (Carmena et al., 2012; Mirchenko and Uhlimann, 2010; Vázquez-Novelle and Petronczki, 2010). In human cells, relocalization of the CPC requires dephosphorylation of INCENP on Cdk1 phosphorylation sites and interaction of CPC with MKLP2 (mitotic kinesin-like protein 2), a kinesin-6 that binds microtubules at the central spindle (Gruneberg et al., 2011).
Figure 1. CPC Relocalization to the Spindle Midzone Requires Interaction with Dephosphorylated Klp9

(A) Cells expressing Klp9-GFP (green) and Sid4-TdTomato (spindle pole bodies, red throughout). Chromatin is stained with DAPI (blue throughout).

(B) Ark1 relocalization requires Klp9. Ark1-GFP, Ase1-GFP, and Cls1-3GFP localization (green) was examined in the presence (wild type) and absence (Δklp9) of Klp9.

(C) Western blot analysis of nda3-KM311 ark1-13myc cells expressing Klp9-GFP (WT, GFP) and Klp9 (WT, Myc). The samples were either arrested or released from mitotic arrest for 62% of the cell cycle.

(D) Western blot analysis of nda3-KM311 ark1-13myc cells expressing Klp9 (WT), Klp9 (CS), Ase1-GFP, and Cls1-3GFP in the presence of Cdc14 (C266S).

(E) Western blot analysis of nda3-KM311 ark1-13myc cells expressing Klp9 (WT, CS), Ase1-GFP, and Cls1-3GFP in the presence of Cdc14 (C266S). The samples were either arrested or released from mitotic arrest for 62% of the cell cycle.

(F) Cdk1 consensus sites (S596, S598, S605, S611).

(G) Alignment of Klp9 and Klp9 (Δ38C) proteins.

(H) Western blot analysis of nda3-KM311 ark1-13myc cells expressing Klp9 (Δ38C) and Ark1-GFP, Ase1-GFP, and Cls1-3GFP in the presence of Cdc14 (C266S).

(I) Western blot analysis of nda3-KM311 bir1-13myc cells expressing Klp9 (Δ38C) and Ark1-GFP, Ase1-GFP, and Cls1-3GFP in the presence of Cdc14 (C266S).

(J) Western blot analysis of nda3-KM311 ark1-13myc cells expressing Klp9 (Δ38C) and Ark1-GFP, Ase1-GFP, and Cls1-3GFP in the presence of Cdc14 (C266S).

(legend continued on next page)
RESULTS

Dephosphorylation of Klp9 Promotes Relocalization of CPC to the Spindle Midzone

Fission yeast contains a single member of the kinesin-6 family, Klp9, which localizes to the nucleoplasm during interphase, prometaphase, and metaphase, and to the spindle midzone during anaphase B, where it co-localizes with Ark1 (Aurora B), Ase1 (MAP65/PRC1), and Cls1/Peg1 (CLASP) (Figure 1A; Figure S1A; data not shown). We find that Ark1 and all other components of the CPC, including Nbl1 (Borealin), Pic1 (INCENP), and Bir1 (Survivin), fail to relocate to the spindle midzone during anaphase B in the absence of Klp9, although centromere association of CPC components during metaphase is unaffected (Figure 1B; Figures S1B and S1C). This effect is specific because spindle midzone localization of Ase1 and Cls1/Peg1 during anaphase B does not require Klp9. Consistent with the notion that Klp9 is the structural and functional equivalent of mammalian MKLP2, we find that Klp9 interacts with Ark1 during anaphase B but not during metaphase (Figure 1C).

Localization of both Klp9 and Ark1 to the spindle midzone is promoted by chemical inactivation of Cdc2/Cdk1 kinase (Dischinger et al., 2008) in cells arrested in metaphase by overexpression of the Mph1 spindle checkpoint kinase (Figures S1D and S1E). This suggests that, like MKLP2, relocalization of Klp9 and CPC at the spindle midzone is triggered by reversal of Cdk1-dependent phosphorylation (Hümmer and Mayer, 2009). Consistently, we find that both Klp9 and the CPC fail to concentrate at the anaphase spindle midzone in klp9(Δ38C) cells, which express a catalytically inactive allele of the Cdc14 (CDC14) phosphatase (Wolfe et al., 2006). Ase1 and Cls1/Peg1 localization to the spindle midzone is not disrupted in clp1(Δ286S) cells, suggesting that the spindle midzone remains intact (Figure 1D). Consistent with this, interaction between Klp9 and Ark1 in anaphase is abolished in the absence of Clp1 phosphatase activity (Figure 1E). Phospho-proteomic studies have revealed that Klp9 contains four phosphorylation sites (S596, S598, S605, and S611) for Cdk1/Cdc2 kinase in its C-terminal 38 amino acids (Chen et al., 2013). To examine whether these residues are involved in either Klp9 and/or CPC relocalization and Klp9-CPC interaction, we constructed a Klp9(Δ38C) mutant that lacked the 38 C-terminal residues (Figure 1F). The Klp9(Δ38C) protein, although reduced in interphase nuclear accumulation, was expressed at wild-type levels and recruited normally to the anaphase B spindle midzone, indicating that dephosphorylation of the C-terminal Cdk1 residues in Klp9 is not necessary for its association to the anaphase B spindle midzone (Figures 1G and 1I; Figure S1F). Importantly, however, concentration of the CPC at the spindle midzone is abolished in klp9(Δ38C) cells. This is not due to general disruption of the spindle midzone because Ase1 and Cls1/Peg1 localization during anaphase B were unaffected in klp9(Δ38C) cells (Figure 1H; Figures S1B and S1C). Notably, although the C-terminal 38 amino acids of Klp9 were required for its interaction with Bir1 during anaphase B, the interaction between Klp9 and Ark1 remained unaffected (Figure 1I). This indicates that the C terminus of Klp9 is required to interact with some CPC components but not others. To examine this further, we mutated the four C-terminal serine residues (S596, S598, S605, and S611) in Klp9 to either alanine to create a non-phosphorylatable mutant (klp9(4SA)) or to aspartic acid to mimic the phosphorylated state (klp9(4SD)). Although Klp9 phospho-mutant proteins display similar expression levels and localize normally to the spindle midzone (Figure S1G), we find that Bir1 and Nbl1 bind the spindle midzone in klp9(4SA) cells but not klp9(4SD) cells, demonstrating that dephosphorylation of these residues is required for spindle midzone accumulation of these CPC components (Figure 1J). By contrast, localization of the CPC components Ark1 and Pic1 is unaltered in either klp9(4SA) and klp9(4SD) cells. We therefore conclude that CPC relocalization in anaphase B depends on phospho-regulated interaction with Klp9, but different CPC components are recruited by distinct regions of Klp9.

It has been reported previously that dephosphorylation of Klp9 on S596, S598, S605, and S611 triggers its interaction with Ase1 when Cdk1-dependent phosphorylation of Ase1 on S640, S683, S688, and S693 is reversed and, second, that this interaction is important for determining the rate of anaphase B spindle elongation (Fu et al., 2009). Our results prompted us to re-examine...
these conclusions. We find that the rate of spindle pole separation in anaphase B in \textit{klp9(4SD)} \textit{ase1(4SD)} cells (0.95 ± 0.07 \textmu m/min) is only marginally slower than in the equivalent wild-type cells (1.2 ± 0.14 \textmu m/min) and faster than in \textit{\Delta klp9} cells (0.68 ± 0.14 \textmu m/min) (Figure S2A). Moreover, we find no difference in the rate of spindle pole separation in \textit{\_cp1} or \textit{\_clp1(C288S)} cells (in cells not displaying lagging sister chromatids) compared with that observed in wild-type cells, although the frequency of anaphase B spindle collapse increases significantly (Figure S2B). These differences may be because previous studies used GFP-tagged tubulin, which is known to alter normal microtubule dynamics and influence the efficiency of chromosome segregation, whereas we have monitored spindle elongation using fluorescently tagged kinetochores and spindle poles. Perhaps more significantly, we failed to detect an interaction between Ase1 with Klp9 during anaphase B (data not shown), although we observed a strong interaction between Ase1 and Clb1 by co-immunoprecipitation and two-hybrid analysis, as observed previously (Bratman and Chang, 2007). Taken together, our data indicate that de-phosphorylation of the C terminus of Klp9 by Clp1 phosphatase promotes interaction of CPC components with Klp9 at the spindle midzone rather than interaction of Klp9 with Ase1 to influence the rate of spindle elongation.

**Klp9 Is Required for Timely Anaphase Onset after Chromosome Bi-orientation**

To examine the effect of Klp9 on mitotic progression, we monitored kinetochore and spindle pole dynamics in living cells. Consistent with the observations of \textit{Fu et al.} (2009), we find that the average rate of spindle pole separation during anaphase B is approximately half that in cells lacking Klp9 (Figure 2A). However, we also found that the average duration of prometaphase and metaphase in \textit{\Delta klp9} cells is greater than in wild-type cells (Figure 2A; Figure S3A). Additionally, we noted that metaphase spindles in \textit{\Delta klp9} cells sometimes collapse prior to the onset of anaphase, particularly when anaphase onset is substantially delayed. Importantly, Cdc13 (Cyclin B) remains bound to spindles and separated spindle poles for longer in \textit{\Delta klp9} cells than in wild-type cells, indicating that Klp9 is required for timely APC/C activation (Figure S3B). It is well known that APC/C activation is inhibited when microtubule-kinetochore interaction is perturbed, such as in cells lacking Dam1 (Dam1, Ask1, Spc34, Hsk3 [DASH] complex) or Klp5/Klp6 (kinisin-B) (Sanchez-Perez et al., 2005). However, \textit{\Delta klp9} cells display no defect in chromosome segregation, as judged by a mini-chromosome loss assay, nor do they display altered sensitivity or resistance to thiabendazole (TBZ), a microtubule-depolymerizing agent (Figures 2B and 2C). Moreover, Klp9 is not required for recovery of cells from a metaphase arrest imposed by an \textit{nda3-KM311} (β-tubulin) mutation, nor is Klp9 required for silencing the spindle checkpoint when the checkpoint is over-ridden by chemical inhibition of Ark1 kinase in \textit{nda3-KM311} \textit{ark1-as3} cells (Figures S3C and S3D). Instead, we find that sister kinetochores become properly bi-oriented during prometaphase and metaphase in \textit{\Delta klp9} cells, as judged by continued separation of Cen2-GFP signals along the spindle axis, but remain in this configuration for significantly longer than in wild-type cells even though the spindle length at anaphase onset in \textit{\Delta klp9} cells is similar to that in wild-type cells at anaphase onset (Figure 2D; Figure S3E). Taken together, these results suggest that Klp9 functions after, or separately from, chromosome bi-orientation to dictate the timing of APC/C activation.

**The Non-catalytic C Terminus of Klp9 Controls the Timing of Anaphase Onset**

Because Klp9 is a molecular motor that drives anti-parallel microtubules apart, we hypothesized that its association with the spindle midzone may trigger APC/C activation by increasing inter-kinetochore tension just prior to the onset of anaphase. To address this possibility, we generated a rigor mutant by changing glycine 296 to alanine in the switch II region of the kinesin motor domain (Browning et al., 2003; Figure 3A). This mutant, termed Klp9(Swill), was expressed at wild-type levels and localized to the entire anaphase B spindle rather than just the spindle midzone (Figures S4A and S4B). Moreover, CPC components localize to the entire anaphase B spindle when Klp9 motor activity is absent (Figure S4C). Importantly, re-introduction of full-length \textit{klp9} or truncated \textit{klp9(J38C)}, but not the catalytically inactive \textit{klp9(Swill)} mutant, restores the wild-type rate of anaphase B spindle elongation in \textit{\Delta klp9} cells (Figure 3B), indicating that motor activity, but not the C terminus of Klp9, is required for anaphase spindle elongation. In direct contrast, re-introduction of wild-type \textit{klp9} or \textit{klp9(Swill)}, but not \textit{klp9(J38C)}, rescues the delay in anaphase onset in \textit{\_klp9} cells, indicating that the C-terminal 38 amino acids, but not the motor activity of Klp9, dictates the timing of anaphase onset (Figures 3B and 3C). Consistently, the percentage of cells in prometaphase and metaphase in \textit{klp9(4SD)} cells (6.0% ± 0.7%) is similar to that observed in \textit{\_klp9} cells (6.9% ± 0.8%) and greater than that observed in wild-type cells (3.8% ± 0.8%) (Figure S3A). To determine whether this is due to delayed APC/C activation, we monitored Cdc13 (Cyclin B) levels in live cells. We find that re-introduction of wild-type \textit{klp9} or \textit{klp9(Swill)}, but not \textit{klp9(J38C)}, restores the timing of Cdc13 destruction in \textit{\_klp9} cells (Figure 3D; Figure S4D). These results indicate that Klp9 has at least two separable roles during mitosis: a motor-dependent role in determining the rate of spindle elongation and a motor-independent role in determining the timing of APC/C activation; the latter relies on interaction of the chromosome passenger complex with the non-catalytic C terminus of Klp9.

**Loss of Klp9 Delays Anaphase Onset via a Sgo2-Dependent but Mad2-Independent Pathway**

We next examined whether the delay in APC/C activation in \textit{\_klp9} cells is dependent on components of the SAC by monitoring the percentage of cells in prometaphase and metaphase in log-phase cell populations. Surprisingly, we find that the delay in anaphase onset in \textit{\_klp9} cells is dependent on Bub1, Mph1, and Mad3 but entirely independent of Mad1, Mad2, or Bub3 (Figure 4A). To confirm this, we monitored the duration of prometaphase and metaphase in live cells and found that the anaphase delay observed in \textit{\_klp9} cells is abolished when Mad3, but not Mad2, is deleted (Figure 4B). Consistently, although Mad2 dimerization is a prerequisite for spindle checkpoint function (De Antoni et al., 2005; Mapelli et al., 2006; Zich et al., 2012), the delay over anaphase onset in \textit{\_klp9} cells occurs even in
Figure 2. Klp9 Is Required for Timely Anaphase Onset and Regulation of the Anaphase B Spindle Elongation Rate and Inter-centromere Distance but Not for Fidelity of Chromosome Segregation

(A) Log-phase ndc80-GFP cdc11-CFP (left, n = 29) or Δklp9 ndc80-GFP cdc11-CFP (right, n = 32) cells were imaged by fluorescence microscopy. Spindle length in individual mitotic cells was calculated at 30-s intervals. The completion of anaphase A was taken as T = 0 for each movie. Spindle collapses are traces in which spindle length reduces to zero. Average times in prometaphase and metaphase and anaphase B spindle elongation rate are shown ± SD.

(B) Klp9 is not required for proficient maintenance of a minichromosome. Error bars show standard deviation.

(C) 10-Fold serial dilutions reveal that cells lacking Klp9 (Δklp9) are no more sensitive to TBZ than wild-type cells.

(D) Metaphase cells lacking Klp9 have increased distance between sister centromeres for protracted periods. Shown are time-lapse images of cells expressing cen2-GFP (green) and sid4-TdTomato (red) in the presence (top) or absence (bottom) of Klp9. Scale bar, 2 μm. The graph shows collated data from multiple wild-type (n = 14) and Δklp9 cells (n = 20). The completion of anaphase A was taken as T = 0 for each movie. Error bars show SEM.

See also Figure S3.
mad2 dimerization mutants (Figure 4C). By contrast, the anaphase delay in cells lacking Dam1 and Mal3 (EB1) is dependent on Mad1, Mad2, Mad3, and Bub1, consistent with the notion that the canonical kinetochore attachment checkpoint is activated in these cells (Figures 4C and 4D).

We next sought factors that are required for the anaphase delay in Δklp9 cells but dispensable for the canonical response to unattached kinetochores. The Bub1 protein contains several domains that are required for spindle checkpoint signaling and chromosome bi-orientation. These include a conserved middle region (Bub1-cm1) that, when phosphorylated by Mph1 kinase, recruits Mad1 and Mad2 to delay anaphase onset in response to unattached kinetochores (Heinrich et al., 2014; Mora-Santos et al., 2016). Consistently, we find that Δmal3 bub1-SATATA mutant cells, which express a mutant that fails to recruit Mad1 and Mad2 to Bub1, display no delay over anaphase onset (Figure 4D). By contrast, the delay in anaphase onset in Δklp9 bub1-SATATA cells is unaffected, consistent with our finding that neither Mad1 nor Mad2 are required for delaying anaphase onset in the absence of Klp9 (Figure 4D). Bub1 additionally contains a kinase domain that phosphorylates histone H2A to recruit Sgo2 (Shugoshin protein 2), which, together with a separate pathway involving Haspin kinase, recruits the CPC to centromeric heterochromatin (Yamagishi et al., 2010). It has been shown previously that Sgo2 is required for the delay in anaphase onset in temperature-sensitive cohesin mutants, presumably by enabling CPC to correct syntelic attachments, but not required for metaphase arrest in the absence of spindle microtubules (Kawashima et al., 2007). Consistently, we find that the delay in anaphase onset in Δklp9 cells is strictly dependent on the presence of Sgo2 (Figure 4D). Live analysis of ark1-GFP cells shows that Aurora B levels at inner centromeres are significantly reduced, but not abolished, in cells lacking Sgo2, as observed previously (Kawashima et al., 2007; Vanoosthuyse et al., 2007), but relocalization of Ark1 to the spindle midzone in anaphase B was unperturbed (Figure 4E).
In cells lacking both Sgo2 and Klp9, Ark1 bound centromeres weakly before anaphase onset but failed to bind the spindle midzone after anaphase onset, confirming that Klp9 and Sgo2 influence distinct aspects of CPC localization during M phase (Figures 4D and 4E).

**Mad3-Slp1 (Cdc20) Inhibits APC/C in the Absence of Klp9**

We next explored how the APC/C is inhibited in the absence of Klp9. The Mad3(BubR1) family proteins contain two lysine, glutamic acid, asparagine (KEN) boxes that are both required for inhibition of APC/C in response to unattached kinetochores. The N-terminal KEN-20 box interacts directly with MCC and is required for MCC assembly, whereas the second KEN-271 box is dispensable for MCC assembly (Chao et al., 2012; Szczelecka et al., 2008) but, instead, is required for interaction of MCC with a second Cdc20 molecule bound to APC/C (Izawa and Pines, 2015; Lara-Gonzalez et al., 2011). We confirmed that both KEN boxes are required for delaying anaphase onset in response to unattached kinetochores in nda3-KM311, Δdam1, and Δmal3 cells (Figure 5A; Figures S5A–S5C). Strikingly, however, we find that the delay in anaphase onset in Δklp9 cells is dependent on Mad3-KEN20 but entirely independent of Mad3-KEN271 (Figure 5A). Taken together, we reasoned that the delay over APC/C activation in Δklp9 cells must be dependent on the generation of an APC/C inhibitor that contains just Mad3 and Slp1 (Cdc20) but not Mad2. To test this, Mad3 was immunoprecipitated from lysates of Δklp9 cdc25-22 and Δmad2 Δklp9 cdc25-22 cells, and the association of Slp1 (Cdc20) with Mad3 was tested by western blot. Strikingly, we find that Mad3 interacts with Slp1 in a cell cycle- and KEN20-dependent manner, both in the presence or absence of Klp9 or Sgo2 or both were grown to mid-log phase at 30°C and then imaged live by fluorescence microscopy. Scale bar, 5 μm.

![Figure 4](cellreports.cellpresscdn.com/10.1016/j.celrep.2016.11.079.supp.pdf)
importance of the second KEN box in Mad3/BubR1 in the inhibition of APC/C by MCC but, more importantly, provide compelling evidence that a Mad3-Slp1 (Cdc20) complex that lacks Mad2 is also an authentic inhibitor of APC/C in vivo.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we demonstrate that fission yeast Klp9 displays strikingly similar characteristics to human MKLP2, in that both interact with the CPC and are required for CPC concentration at the spindle midzone during anaphase. In human cells, phospho-dependent interaction of CPC with MKLP2 is thought to prevent SAC re-activation after anaphase (Vázquez-Novelle and Petronczki, 2010). However, our data suggest that, perhaps in addition to this function, phospho-dependent interaction of CPC with Klp9 terminates a Sgo2-dependent, but Mad2-independent, pathway controlling the timing of anaphase onset. We provide a model to explain how this might occur (Figure 6). During prometaphase and metaphase, interaction of CPC with centromeric chromatin is maintained by Cdk1-dependent phosphorylation of Survivin, which triggers its interaction with Sgo2 (Tsukahara et al., 2010). In fission yeast, the Clp1 phosphatase is released from the nucleolus at the G2/M transition, but its activity is suppressed by Cdk1 phosphorylation during prometaphase and metaphase (Esteban et al., 2004; Wolfe and Gould, 2004; Wolfe et al., 2006). Notably, fission yeast Cig1 cyclin, like human Cyclin A, is destroyed somewhat earlier than Cdc13 (Cyclin B) in early mitosis (Blanco et al., 2000; Kabeche and Compton, 2013). Destruction of Cig1 during late prometaphase may trigger initial dephosphorylation of both Survivin and other components of the CPC, release of the CPC from centromeres, and dephosphorylation of Klp9 to trigger interaction of the CPC with Klp9 and relocalization of the proteins to the spindle midzone. Engagement of Klp9 with the spindle midzone promotes the onset of anaphase B, whereas Klp9 interaction with the CPC terminates Mad3-dependent inhibition of the APC/C, thus promoting destruction of Cdc13 (Cyclin B) and further activation of Clp1 and so on. We suggest that, in this manner, Klp9 co-ordinates the onset of anaphase A and anaphase B in both space and time. When the canonical spindle checkpoint pathway is activated (such as in nda3-KM311 cells at restrictive temperature), however, Mad3-Slp1 (Cdc20) may be recruited into the MCC (Mad2-Mad3-Slp1). In this situation, silencing of the canonical SAC is, instead, dependent on association of type 1
phosphatase (PP1) with both the Spc7/KNL1 kinetochore protein and kinesin-8 motors (Meadows et al., 2011) but not interaction of the CPC with Klp9.

Notably, a previous study reported that overexpression of a non-phosphorylatable Klp9 causes chromosome mis-segregation and lagging sister chromatids during anaphase B (Choi and McCollum, 2012). The authors concluded that Klp9-dependent spindle elongation forces may help correct merotelic kinetochore attachments. Our finding that Klp9 interacts with the CPC necessitates a re-assessment of these conclusions. Intriguingly, reintroduction of either klp9(SwII) or klp9(D38C) mutants rescued the synthetic lethality of Dase1Δklp9 mutants (Fu et al., 2009). This suggests that Klp9 plays three separate roles during mitosis: motor-dependent, but tail-independent, control of the anaphase spindle elongation rate; motor-independent, but tail-dependent, control of the timing of anaphase onset; and motor-independent and tail-independent stabilization of the spindle midzone.

The main inhibitor of the APC/C, the MCC, has been studied extensively in multiple organisms. However, Mad2 is sub-stoichiometric in this complex, indicating that a distinct complex that lacks Mad2, BBC, also exists in human cells (Han et al., 2013; Kulukian et al., 2009; Nilsson et al., 2008; Westhorpe et al., 2011). Although BubR1 is a weak inhibitor of the APC/C, it cannot be sufficient to delay anaphase onset via inhibition of the APC/C. Notably, we demonstrate that generation of this alternative, Mad2-independent APC/C inhibitory signal requires Sgo2 and the Bub1 and Mph1 kinases. One possibility is that centro-mere-associated Sgo2-CPC (Aurora B) kinase phosphorylates the Mad3-Slp1 complex to promote its function as an inhibitor of the APC/C. This is not unreasonable because Ipl1 (Aurora B) kinase phosphorylates Mad3 to delay anaphase onset in response to a lack of spindle tension in budding yeast (King et al., 2007). The Mph1 kinase may simply be required to phosphorylate the methione, glutamic acid, leucine, threonine (MELT) motifs of Spc7/KNL1 to target Bub1 kinase to kinetochores, which itself may only be required to phosphorylate histone H2A to load Sgo2-CPC to centromeres (Kawashima et al., 2010). Alternatively, or additionally, the Mph1 and Bub1 kinases may be required to phosphorylate the Mad3-Slp1 complex to promote its function as an inhibitor of the APC/C. Notably, the MCC is also periodically formed in unperturbed mitosis (Zich et al., 2012, 2016). Further experiments will be needed to test whether these same modifications are needed for action of the Mad3-Slp1 (Cdc20) complex that delays anaphase onset in response to a lack of spindle tension.

The spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC) generates a SAC signal that, in turn, inhibits the APC/C. SAC ensures that all chromosomes are properly aligned at metaphase before the cell divides by delaying anaphase onset until all chromosomes are properly aligned at the metaphase plate. Inhibition of the APC/C is achieved by the formation of the MCC, which is a large complex containing the subunits Mad1, Mad2, Mad3, Cdc20, and BubR1. The MCC is a complex that is capable of both activating and inhibiting the APC/C.

It is now well accepted that the presence of the Mad1-Mad2 complex at unattached kinetochores, rather than spindle damage per se, generates the MCC. The spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC) generates a SAC signal that, in turn, inhibits the APC/C. SAC ensures that all chromosomes are properly aligned at metaphase before the cell divides by delaying anaphase onset until all chromosomes are properly aligned at the metaphase plate. Inhibition of the APC/C is achieved by the formation of the MCC, which is a large complex containing the subunits Mad1, Mad2, Mad3, Cdc20, and BubR1. The MCC is a complex that is capable of both activating and inhibiting the APC/C.

In vitro (Kaisari et al., 2016; Tang et al., 2001), there is no evidence that BBC is an inhibitor of the APC/C in vivo. Indeed, BBC may simply result from the removal of Mad2 from the MCC by the action of the p31comet-TRIP13 alanine, alanine, alanine (AAA)-ATPase (Westhorpe et al., 2011). Furthermore, some studies have suggested that Mad2 is required for interaction of BubR1 and Cdc20 and, thus, formation of the MCC (Kulukian et al., 2009; Nilsson et al., 2008). We provide compelling genetic and biochemical evidence that, first, the Mad3-Slp1 (Cdc20) complex can be formed in the absence of Mad2 and, second, that this complex is a bona fide inhibitor of the APC/C in fission yeast. Because the Mad3-Cdc20 complex is generated in an unperturbed cell cycle (Figure S5D), its presence alone cannot be sufficient to delay anaphase onset via inhibition of the APC/C. Notably, we demonstrate that generation of this alternative, Mad2-independent APC/C inhibitory signal requires Sgo2 and the Bub1 and Mph1 kinases. One possibility is that centro-mere-associated Sgo2-CPC (Aurora B) kinase phosphorylates the Mad3-Slp1 complex to promote its function as an inhibitor of the APC/C. This is not unreasonable because Ipl1 (Aurora B) kinase phosphorylates Mad3 to delay anaphase onset in response to a lack of spindle tension in budding yeast (King et al., 2007). The Mph1 kinase may simply be required to phosphorylate the methione, glutamic acid, leucine, threonine (MELT) motifs of Spc7/KNL1 to target Bub1 kinase to kinetochores, which itself may only be required to phosphorylate histone H2A to load Sgo2-CPC to centromeres (Kawashima et al., 2010). Alternatively, or additionally, the Mph1 and Bub1 kinases may be required to phosphorylate the Mad3-Slp1 complex to promote its function as an inhibitor of the APC/C. Notably, the MCC is also periodically formed in unperturbed mitosis (Zich et al., 2012, 2016). We have shown previously that phosphorylation of both Mad2 and Mad3 components by Mph1 kinase is needed for inhibition of the APC/C by the MCC (Zich et al., 2012, 2016). Further experiments will be needed to test whether these same modifications are needed for action of the Mad3-Slp1 (Cdc20) inhibitor. Because the second KEN box in Mad3 is not required for APC/C inhibition by Mad3-Slp1 (Cdc20), we speculate that Mad3 may sequester Slp1 (Cdc20) from the APC/C, resulting in its delayed activation. Clearly, considerable further work will be needed to elucidate the relevant phosphorylation sites of the Mad3-Slp1 (Cdc20) complex and its mechanism of action.
checkpoint monitors kinetochore attachment rather than spindle assembly and is, therefore, poorly named. More recent studies have suggested that the Mad1-Mad2 complex at nuclear pore complexes can also generate an inhibitory signal that delays anaphase onset, although the nature of the resulting APC/C inhibitor is not known (Rodriguez-Bravo et al., 2014; Schweizer et al., 2013). In this study, we show that a distinct complex, Mad3-Cdc20, which neither contains Mad2 nor requires Mad2 for its formation, can also inhibit anaphase onset. Because pre-anaphase spindles frequently collapse in the absence of Kip9, one possibility, which deserves further study, is that the Sgo2-dependent pathway described here, in fact, monitors spindle assembly. Work in animal cells has shown that, when expression of MKLP2 is suppressed by RNAi, Aurora B kinase remains bound to the centromere and that Bub1 and BubR1, but not Mad1 and Mad2, continue to associate with kinetochores during anaphase B (Vázquez-Novelle and Petronczki, 2010). However, SAC silencing, APC/C activation, and cyclin B degradation are unaltered in this situation. One possibility is that partial knockdown of MKLP2 by RNAi is not sufficient to maintain this alternative APC/C inhibitory pathway and that this effect can only be revealed in MKLP2 \(-/-\) null cells. Alternatively, the contribution of BBC to inhibition of the APC/C may be masked by the action of the MCC (Mad2-BubR1-Bub3-Cdc20) generated from unattached kinetochores and nuclear pores. Further experiments will be needed to distinguish between these and other intriguing possibilities.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Cell Culture and Strain Construction

Media and growth and maintenance of strains were as described previously (Moreno et al., 1991). All experiments were performed at 30°C unless otherwise stated. Genetic constructions are detailed in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures together with a full list of strains (Table S1) and oligonucleotides (Table S2).

Immunoprecipitation and Western Blotting

Standard procedures were used throughout (Supplemental Experimental Procedures).

Fluorescence Microscopy

Fluorescence imaging of cells expressing GFP, CFP, or TdTomato-tagged proteins was performed on a Nikon TE-2000 inverted microscope with a 100 × 1.49 numerical aperture (N.A.) objective lens equipped with a Photometrics Coolsnap-HQ2 liquid cooled charge-coupled device (CCD) camera. Images were collected and analyzed using MetaMorph (version 7.5.2.0 MAG, Biosystems Software). An exposure time of 1 s was used for GFP, CFP, and TdTomato and 0.25 s for DAPI. Maximum intensity projections were made, followed by intensity adjustments and conversion to 24-bit tagged image file format (TIFF) images for presentation.

Live-Cell Analysis of Mitotic Progression

Live-cell analysis was performed in an imaging chamber (CoverWell PCI-2.5, Grace Bio-Labs) filled with 1 mL of 1% agarose in minimal medium and sealed with a 22 × 22 mm glass coverslip. Stacks of six z sections (0.6 µm apart) were taken at each time point. The position of the spindle poles, kinetochores, or centromeres was determined using MetaMorph software.

Measurement of the Pre-anaphase Mitotic Index

Mid-log phase ndc80-GFP cdc11-cfp, dad1-GFP sid4-TdTomato, or cdc13-GFP strains were fixed in 3.7% formaldehyde for 10 min and mounted in medium containing DAPI to label DNA. Stacks of 18 z sections (0.2 µm apart) were taken, and the percentage of cells with Cdc13-GFP on the spindle pole bodies (SPBs) or mitotic spindle or the percentage of cells with kinetochores between separated SPBs prior to anaphase was determined. For each experiment, at least 400 cells were counted, and each experiment was conducted at least three times.

Mini-chromosome Loss Assay

Loss of the mini-chromosome was assayed as described previously (Niwa et al., 1999). Cells were grown to mid-log phase in Edinburgh minimal medium lacking adenine and then plated onto yeast extract agar containing no additional adenine for 3 days at 30°C. Half-sectored or greater than half-sectored pink colonies were scored.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures, five figures, and two tables and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.01.032.
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