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ABSTRACT
We present an updated version of the spectroscopic catalogue of white dwarf-main-sequence (WDMS) binaries from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS). We identify 938 WDMS binaries within the data releases (DR) 9–12 of SDSS plus 40 objects from DR 1–8 that we missed in our previous works, 646 of which are new. The total number of spectroscopic SDSS WDMS binaries increases to 3294. This is by far the largest and most homogeneous sample of compact binaries currently available. We use a decomposition/fitting routine to derive the stellar parameters of all systems identified here (white dwarf effective temperatures, surface gravities and masses, and secondary star spectral types). The analysis of the corresponding stellar parameter distributions shows that the SDSS WDMS binary population is seriously affected by selection effects. We also measure the Na I λλ 8183.27, 8194.81 absorption doublet and H α emission radial velocities (RV) from all SDSS WDMS binary spectra identified in this work. 98 objects are found to display RV variations, 62 of which are new. The RV data are sufficient enough to estimate the orbital periods of three close binaries.
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1 INTRODUCTION
A large fraction of main-sequence stars are found in binary systems (Duquennoy & Mayor 1991; Raghavan et al. 2010; Yuan et al. 2015b). It is expected that ~25 per cent of all main-sequence binaries are close enough to begin mass transfer interactions when the more massive star becomes a red giant or an asymptotic giant star (Willems & Kolb 2004). This has been observationally verified e.g. by Farhi, Hoard & Wachter (2010) and by Nebot Gómez-Morán et al. (2011). Because the mass transfer rate generally exceeds the Eddington limit, the secondary star is not able to accrete the transferred material and the system evolves through a common envelope phase. That is, the core of the giant and the main-sequence companion orbit within a common envelope formed by the outer layers of the giant star. Drag forces between the two stars and the envelope lead to the shrinkage of the orbit and therefore to the release of orbital energy. The orbital energy is deposited into the envelope and is eventually used to eject it (Webbink 2008). The outcome of common envelope evolution is hence a close binary formed by the core of the giant star (which later becomes a white dwarf) and a main-sequence companion, i.e. a close white dwarf-main-sequence (WDMS) binary. These are commonly referred to as post-common envelope binaries (PCEBs). The remaining ~75 per cent of main-sequence binaries are wide enough to avoid mass transfer interactions. In these cases the more massive stars evolve like single stars until they eventually become white dwarfs. The orbital separations of such WDMS binaries are similar to those of the main-sequence binaries from which they descend.

During the last years we have mined the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; York et al. 2000) spectroscopic data base to build up the largest, most homogeneous and complete catalogue of WDMS binaries (Rebassa-Mansergas et al. 2010, 2012a, 2013b), which contains 2316 systems as for data release (DR) 8. Observational studies led by our team have resulted in the identification of 1050 wide SDSS WDMS binaries and 206 PCEBs (Rebassa-Mansergas et al. 2010, 2012a, 2013b).
The analysis of the entire SDSS WDMS binary sample, as well as the sub-samples of close PCEBs and widely separated WDMS binaries have led to numerous advances in several fields of modern astrophysics. These include for example: constraining current theories of close compact binary evolution (Davis, Kolb & Willems 2010; Zorotovic et al. 2010, 2011a; Rebassa-Mansergas et al. 2012b); demonstrating in a robust way that the majority of low-mass white dwarfs are formed in binaries (Rebassa-Mansergas et al. 2011); constraining the pairing properties of main-sequence stars (Ferrario 2012); providing robust observational evidence for disrupted magnetic braking (Schreiber et al. 2010); constraining the rotation-age-activity relation of low-mass main-sequence stars (Rebassa-Mansergas, Schreiber & Gänsicke 2013a); studying the statistical properties of the PCEB population using Monte Carlo techniques (Toonen & Nelemans 2013; Camacho et al. 2014; Zorotovic et al. 2014); analysing why the average mass of white dwarfs in cataclysmic variables is significantly larger than the average mass of single white dwarfs (Zorotovic, Schreiber & Gänsicke 2011b; Nelemans et al. 2016; Schreiber, Zorotovic & Wijnen 2016); testing hierarchical probabilistic models used to infer properties of unseen companions to low-mass white dwarfs (Andrews, Price-Whelan & Agüeros 2014); detecting new gravitational wave verification sources (Kilic et al. 2014); analysing the interior structural effects of common envelope evolution on low-mass pulsating white dwarfs (Hermes et al. 2015). In addition, many eclipsing SDSS PCEBs have been identified (Nebot Gómez-Morán et al. 2009; Pyrzas et al. 2009, 2012; Parsons et al. 2013, 2015) which are being used to test theoretical mass-radius relations of both white dwarfs and low-mass main-sequence stars (Parsons et al. 2012b,a), as well as the existence of circumbinary planets (Zorotovic & Schreiber 2013; Marsh et al. 2014; Parsons et al. 2014).

The motivation of this paper is to update our spectroscopic catalogue of SDSS WDMS binaries by searching for new identifications within the third survey generation of the SDSS (SDSS III; Eisenstein et al. 2011) to thus increase the number of systems available for follow-up studies.1

2 THE SDSS III SURVEY

In this work, we search for WDMS binaries within the spectroscopic data base of the SDSS III, i.e. the ninth (SDSS-III Collaboration et al. 2014), tenth (Ahn et al. 2014), eleventh and twelfth (Alam et al. 2015) DRs of the SDSS. In particular, we mined the optical (R ~ 2000) spectra obtained by the Sloan Exploration of Galactic Understanding and Evolution 2 survey (SEGUE-2; Rockosi et al., in preparation) and the Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey (BOSS; Dawson et al. 2013).

BOSS uses a new set of spectrographs with 1000 fibres available per exposure. The main goal of the survey is to obtain spectra for galaxies and quasars selected from the SDSS imaging data to study the baryon oscillation feature in the clustering of galaxies and Lyman α absorption along the line of sight to distant quasars.

BOSS uses a different target selection criteria for targeting quasars (Ross et al. 2012) than the one employed by the SDSS I/II surveys. Thus, whilst SDSS I/II targeted objects with i < 19.1, BOSS went down to g < 22.0 in order to give a significantly higher surface density of targets than in SDSS I/II (Ross et al. 2012). BOSS has obtained a total number of 457 1520 spectra. Because WDMS binaries and quasars overlap in colour space (Smolčić et al. 2004), we expect a large number of WDMS binaries being (most likely accidentally) observed by the BOSS survey. SEGUE-2 used the SDSS I/II spectrographs to obtain spectra of stars at high and low Galactic latitudes to study Galactic structure, dynamics and stellar populations. SEGUE-2 gathered a total of 155 520 spectra.

3 IDENTIFICATION OF WDMS BINARIES

In Rebassa-Mansergas et al. (2010), we developed a routine based on reduced χ2 and signal-to-noise (SN) ratio constraints (χ2-SN method hereafter) to identify spectroscopic SDSS WDMS binaries. As in our previous works, we use this method here for identifying WDMS binaries observed by the SDSS III survey.

In a first step, we χ2-fit all SDSS III spectra with a grid of 163 WDMS binary templates covering a wide range of white dwarf effective temperatures (6000–100 000 K) and surface gravities (6.5–9.5 dex), and secondary M-dwarf spectral types (M0–M9) and represent the reduced χ2 values as a function of SN ratio for each template. We then define an equation of the form

\[
\chi^2_{\text{max}} = a \times SN^b, \quad \text{(1)}
\]

and consider as WDMS binary candidates all objects below this curve (\(\chi^2_{\text{spec}} < \chi^2_{\text{max}}\)), where a and b are free parameters defined for each template and \(\chi^2_{\text{spec}}\) is the \(\chi^2\) that results from fitting a considered spectrum with a given template (see Rebassa-Mansergas et al. 2010 for a complete description of the method). The form of equation (1) is defined to account for systematic errors between template and observed spectra, which become more important the larger the SN ratio is. This exercise resulted in 9593 WDMS binary candidate spectra which were visually inspected. We also inspected their SDSS images for morphological problems and made use of the GALEX ultraviolet (Martin et al. 2005; Morrissey et al. 2005) and UKIDSS infrared (Dye et al. 2006; Hewett et al. 2006; Lawrence et al. 2007) magnitudes to probe for the presence of excess flux at the blue and red ends of the SDSS spectra, respectively.

We found 872 of the 9593 selected spectra to be genuine WDMS binaries and we considered 47 spectra as WDMS binary candidates. In what follows, we flag an object to be a WDMS binary candidate when the spectrum is that of a white dwarf (main-sequence star) displaying some red (blue) flux excess which cannot be confirmed to arise from a companion due to the lack of GALEX (UKIDSS) magnitudes.

The above outlined χ2-SN method has been proven to be very efficient at identifying WDMS binaries, achieving a completeness (defined as the ratio between the number of SDSS WDMS binary spectra we have successfully identified and the total number of SDSS WDMS binary spectra observed) around 96 per cent (Rebassa-Mansergas et al. 2010, 2012a, 2013b). However, it is important to keep in mind that the limiting magnitude of the quasar survey has been modified from i < 19.1 in SDSS I/II to g < 22 in SDSS III. We therefore expect a considerable fraction of SDSS III

---

1 The SDSS DR 8 contains the first set of spectra observed by SDSS III, which are not considered here because we have already identified WDMS binaries within this DR. Thus, in this paper we will consider SDSS III as all data collected from DR 9 to DR 12.

2 Due to selection effects the vast majority of SDSS WDMS binaries contain a low-mass M-dwarf companion, see Rebassa-Mansergas et al. (2010).
WDMS binary spectra to generally be of lower SN ratio than those from SDSS I/II. Low SN ratio spectra ($\lesssim 5$) are challenging to classify for any method, including our $\chi^2$-SN routine.

Ren et al. (2014) developed an independent strategy based on the wavelet transform (WT; e.g. Chui 1992) that proved to be efficient at identifying such low SN ratio WDMS binary spectra. Ren et al. (2014) searched for WDMS binaries among spectra obtained as part of the LAMOST (Large Aperture Multi-Object Spectroscopy Telescope) surveys (Cui et al. 2012; Luo et al. 2012; Liu et al. 2014; Yuan et al. 2015a). The analysis unit of the WT is the local flux of the spectrum, i.e. the selected spectral features. Thus, for a given spectrum, the WT recognizes the spectral features rather than the global continuum. The WT decomposes the considered features of a given spectrum into approximation signals, also referred to as approximation coefficients. The wavelength values under the considered spectral regions where the WT is applied are converted into ‘data points’. The outcome of a WT can be considered, for comparative purposes, as a smooth version of the spectrum. The WT is therefore a suitable method to identify spectral features among low-SN ratio spectra, and we adopt it here to complement our search of SDSS III WDMS binaries.

We applied the WT to the following spectral regions: the 3910–4422 Å range thus covering the Balmer lines typical of hydrogen-rich (DA) white dwarfs, and the 6800–8496 Å range which samples a large number of TiO and VO molecular bands typical of low-mass main-sequence stars. This choice implies that our WT routine is most efficient at identifying WDMS binaries containing DA white dwarfs, by far the most common among white dwarfs. Once we applied the WT to all SDSS III spectra, WDMS binaries could be easily selected by applying a number of cuts to the approximation coefficients and data points obtained for each spectrum (see equations 1 and 2 of Ren et al. 2014). Applying the WT and aforementioned cuts to all SDSS III spectra resulted in 36 543 selected spectra. We visually inspected the spectra and found 1072 of these to be genuine WDMS binaries, and 30 to be WDMS binary candidates.

### 4 THE SDSS SPECTROSCOPIC CATALOGUE OF WDMS BINARIES

Here we quantify the total number of SDSS III WDMS binary spectra we identified in the previous section and determine how many of these objects are new additions to our latest SDSS DR 8 WDMS binary catalogue. We also estimate the completeness of our SDSS III WDMS binary sample and compare our catalogue to previously published lists of SDSS III WDMS binaries. Finally, we provide the total number of systems that form the spectroscopic catalogue of SDSS WDMS binaries.

#### 4.1 The SDSS III WDMS binary sample

As explained above, 872 and 47 SDSS III WDMS binary and candidate spectra, respectively, have been identified following the $\chi^2$-SN ratio method. Also, 1072 and 30 WDMS binary and candidate spectra were identified following the WT method (see Table 1). Whilst 670 (10 candidates) are systems commonly identified by both methods, 202 (37 candidates) and 402 (20 candidates) are independently found by the $\chi^2$-SN ratio and the WT routines, respectively (see Fig. 1, top panel). This brings the total number of SDSS III WDMS binary and candidate spectra we have identified to 1274 and 67, respectively (Table 1).

Inspection of the numbers outlined above reveals that the $\chi^2$-SN ratio method has identified only ~68 per cent of our total number of SDSS III WDMS binary spectra and that the WT method has successfully identified ~84 per cent of the total number. That is, the WDMS binary samples identified by the $\chi^2$-SN and the WT methods are ~68 and ~84 per cent complete, respectively (the completeness of the overall sample is derived in the next section). Whilst the ~84 per cent of WDMS binary spectra found by the WT method is in agreement with the results of Ren et al. (2014) – they estimate a completeness of ~90 per cent – the ~68 per cent of WDMS binary spectra identified by the $\chi^2$-SN ratio method is considerably lower than the ~96 per cent determined in our previous works (Rebassa-Mansergas et al. 2010, 2012a, 2013b). As we show in Fig. 2, this seems to be simply a consequence of SDSS III WDMS binary spectra being generally of lower SN ratio due to the limiting magnitude of the BOSS quasar survey (Ross et al. 2012). Indeed, we investigated the 402 WDMS binary spectra the $\chi^2$-SN ratio method failed to identify and found that 81 per cent of them are of SN $\lesssim 5$.

If we consider only WDMS binary spectra of SN ratio above 5, i.e. a total of 876 spectra, then the $\chi^2$-SN and WT methods successfully identify 800 and 677 spectra, respectively, 601 in common (see Table 1).

### Table 1. Number of WDMS binary spectra we have identified or studied (first column), number of WDMS binary spectra added to the SDSS III sample identified in this work (second column) and total number of SDSS III WDMS binary spectra. In brackets we give the number of WDMS binary candidate spectra.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exercise</th>
<th>$N_{\text{sample}}$</th>
<th>$N_{\text{added}}$</th>
<th>$N_{\text{SDSSIII}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\chi^2$-SN</td>
<td>872(47)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>872(47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>1072(30)</td>
<td>402(20)</td>
<td>1274(67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness</td>
<td>933(26)</td>
<td>30(4)</td>
<td>1304(71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li et al. (2014)</td>
<td>152(4)</td>
<td>5(3)</td>
<td>1309(74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kepler et al. (2015)</td>
<td>158(6)</td>
<td>5(4)</td>
<td>1314(78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kepler et al. (2016)</td>
<td>127(2)</td>
<td>5(1)</td>
<td>1319(79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentile Fusillo, Gänischke &amp; Greiss (2015)</td>
<td>93(0)</td>
<td>2(0)</td>
<td>1321(79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT to SDSS I/II</td>
<td>1852(13)</td>
<td>46(12)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total in this work</td>
<td>1367(91)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Top panel: Venn diagram for WDMS binaries identified by the $\chi^2$-SN (left) and WT (right) methods. Bottom panel: the same but considering only spectra of SN $> 5$. 
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Figure 2. Cumulative distributions of SN ratio of WDMS binary spectra identified within SDSS I/II (red dashed line) and SDSS III (blue solid line). The grey vertical line indicates SN = 5. SDSS III contains a considerably larger fraction of low (≤ 5) SN spectra.

Figure 3. Example WDMS binary spectra found only by the $\chi^2$-SN method (left-hand panels) and only by the WT method (right-hand panels). The spectra in the right-hand panels have been smoothed using a filter size of 15 due to the very low SN ratio. Whilst the $\chi^2$-SN method is more efficient at finding WDMS binaries in which one of the two components dominates the spectrum, the WT method is more efficient at finding low SN ratio WDMS binary spectra.

Fig. 1, bottom panel). That is, ~91 and ~77 per cent of the total number of WDMS binary spectra with SN ratio > 5. This implies the WT method is considerably more efficient at identifying WDMS binary spectra of low SN ratio. We also visually inspected the list of spectra the WT methods failed to identify and found that it mostly contained WDMS binaries in which the flux of one of the two components dominates the spectrum. Therefore, we conclude that the $\chi^2$-SN ratio and WT methods efficiently complement each other for identifying WDMS binaries within SDSS III. In Fig. 3, we show a few examples of WDMS binary spectra identified only by the $\chi^2$-SN ratio method and only by the WT method. In the following section, we analyse the completeness of the entire SDSS III WDMS binary sample.

4.2 Completeness of the SDSS III WDMS binary sample

Using the $\chi^2$-SN and WT methods we have identified a total of 1274 and 67 SDSS III WDMS binary and candidate spectra (Table 1). Here, we analyse how complete this sample is.

WDMS binaries define a clear region in the $u - g$ versus $g - r$ colour–colour diagram (Smolčić et al. 2004) and can be selected via the colour cuts provided by Rebassa-Mansergas et al. (2013b, see also Fig. 4):

\[
(u - g) < 0.93 - 0.27 \times (g - r) - 4.7 \times (g - r)^2 \\
+ 12.38 \times (g - r)^3 + 3.08 \times (g - r)^4 \\
- 22.19 \times (g - r)^5 + 16.67 \times (g - r)^6 \\
- 3.89 \times (g - r)^7, \tag{2}
\]

\[
(u - g) > -0.6, \tag{3}
\]

\[-0.5 < (g - r) < 1.3. \tag{4}
\]

Obviously, the efficiency of the above colour cuts in selecting WDMS binaries relies on the accuracy of the SDSS photometry. This is difficult to achieve in cases where the two components are partially resolved (blended) in the SDSS images, when the systems are too faint so that their magnitudes are associated with large uncertainties, and/or when one of the two stars outshines its companion. This results in ~15 per cent of the SDSS III WDMS binaries identified by the $\chi^2$-SN and WT methods to fall outside the colour cuts (see Fig. 4). However, it has to be stressed that the colour selection can be used to test the completeness of our spectroscopic sample, as visual inspection of all sources with available SDSS spectra satisfying the cuts would result in a WDMS binary sample that is 100 per cent complete (within the colour selection). We hence used the casjobs interface to select all spectroscopic point sources with clean photometry satisfying the cuts. This resulted in 126 4475 objects among 712 1388 photometric sources. Excluding all targets with spectroscopic redshifts below 0.05 (to thus avoid quasar
and flux ratios that allow the detection of the two components in the SDSS spectra. Secondly, the majority of SDSS WDMS binaries have been observed simply because they have similar colours to those of quasars. This effect is clearly visible in Fig. 4, where there is a clear scarcity of WDMS systems with \( g - r > 0.5 \) colours, and also in Fig. 5 (top panel), where we show a density map illustrating the fraction of SDSS objects with available spectra. This implies the majority of spectroscopic SDSS WDMS binaries generally contain hot white dwarfs (see further details in Section 6).

4.3 Comparison with Li et al. (2014)

Li et al. (2014) provided a list of 227 DR 9 WDMS binaries, of which 148 (1) are included in our WDMS binary (candidate) list. Of the remaining 78, 70 spectra are not considered as WDMS binaries by us (the majority are main sequence plus main-sequence superpositions and also some single white dwarfs), and 5 (3) are WDMS binary (candidate) spectra that we missed. This implies we have successfully identified \( \sim 95 \) per cent of the total WDMS binary sample of Li et al. (2014). The missed spectra are dominated by the flux emission of one of the two components and we include them...
in our lists, thus raising the number of SDSS III WDMS binary and candidate spectra to 1309 and 74, respectively (Table 1). We also note that our list contains 447 WDMS binary spectra that are part of SDSS DR 9 which are not included in the catalogue of Li et al. (2014). This indicates that our routines are much more efficient at identifying SDSS WDMS binaries, and that the catalogue of SDSS WDMS binaries by Li et al. (2014) is highly incomplete.

Li et al. (2014) provide effective temperatures, surface gravities and masses for the white dwarfs in their WDMS binary sample. As we also do, they derive these parameters fitting the white dwarf spectra with the 1D white dwarf model grid of Koester (2010). However, they do not apply 3D corrections to avoid the so-called log g problem at low effective temperatures (see further details in Section 5).

4.4 Comparison with Kepler et al. (2015) and Kepler et al. (2016)

An additional list of 177 DR 9–10 SDSS WDMS binary spectra has been provided by Kepler et al. (2015). 153 (2) of these are included in our WDMS binary (candidate) list, we only missed 5 (4) WDMS binary (candidate) spectra (Table 1), the majority dominated by the flux contribution of the white dwarf. We classify the remaining 13 spectra as single white dwarfs or main sequence plus main-sequence superpositions. Hence, we have successfully identified ∼94 per cent of the total sample provided by Kepler et al. (2015). We include the nine missed objects in our lists and increase to 1314 and 78 the number of WDMS binary and candidate spectra, respectively (Table 1). Moreover, we note that we have identified 759 DR 9–10 WDMS binary spectra that are not included in the list of Kepler et al. (2015). The fact that Kepler et al. (2015) missed this large number of WDMS binary spectra is not too surprising, as their main focus was to identify single white dwarfs.

Kepler et al. (2016) presented an updated SDSS white dwarf catalogue from DR 12, which includes 160 new WDMS binary spectra. 123 of these are included in our lists, 122 as genuine WDMS binaries and 1 as a candidate (Table 1). The remaining 37 spectra include 5 (1) WDMS binaries (candidate) that we missed and 31 objects that we classify as either single white dwarfs, cataclysmic variables or unclassified. Thus, we have identified ∼95 per cent of WDMS binary sample provided by Kepler et al. (2016). We include the six missed objects in our lists thus increasing to 1319 and 79 the number of WDMS binary and candidate spectra, respectively (Table 1). We also note that our catalogue contains 337 DR 12 WDMS binary spectra that Kepler et al. (2016) did not identify.

4.5 Comparison with Gentile Fusillo et al. (2015)

Gentile Fusillo et al. (2015) developed a method which uses cuts in colour–colour and reduced proper motion-colour space to select ∼23 000 high-fidelity white dwarf candidates. Among the 8701 of them with available spectra, they classified 98 as WDMS binaries, of which 91 are included in our WDMS binary catalogue.

The remaining seven include two WDMS binaries that we missed clearly dominated by the flux contribution of the white dwarfs and five objects that we classify as single white dwarfs or cataclysmic variables. We thus have identified 99 per cent of the WDMS binary sample of Gentile Fusillo et al. (2015). We include the two missed objects in our list and thus raise to 1321 and 79 the number of WDMS binary and candidate spectra, respectively (Table 1).

4.6 Applying the WT method to DR 8 spectra

We have shown that the WT is more efficient than the $\chi^2$-SN method at identifying SDSS WDMS binaries of low SN ratio spectra (Section 3). It is therefore plausible that Rebassa-Mansergas et al. (2010, 2012a, 2013b) missed a fraction of low SN ratio SDSS III/II WDMS binary spectra. In order to investigate this we applied the WT as specified in Section 3 to all SDSS DR 8 spectra, which resulted in 20 060 selected candidates. We found 1852 to be genuine WDMS binaries and 13 are considered as WDMS binary candidates. Only the 12 candidate spectra and 46 WDMS binary spectra are not included in our SDSS WDMS binary catalogue (Table 1) – ∼3 per cent of the total identified sample (Rebassa-Mansergas et al. 2013b).

4.7 The final number of spectroscopic SDSS WDMS binaries

In the previous sections, we have identified a total of 1367 (91) WDMS binary (candidate) spectra (Table 1). We cross-correlate this list with our latest catalogue of 2316 DR 8 WDMS binaries (Rebassa-Mansergas et al. 2013b) and find 979 new systems, 647 of which have not been published before (Table 3). Thus, the total number of spectroscopic SDSS WDMS binaries raises to 3295, i.e. an increase of ∼40 per cent. We have updated our web site http://www.sdss-wdms.org including the new systems and spectra identified in this work. The object names, coordinates and ugriz magnitudes of the SDSS WDMS binaries found in this work are also available in the electronic edition of the paper.

5 STELLAR PARAMETERS

We here derive white dwarf effective temperatures, surface gravities and masses, and secondary star spectral types for all WDMS binaries identified in this work. To that end we use the decomposition/fitting routine outlined by Rebassa-Mansergas et al. (2007). We first use a combined set of observed M dwarf and white dwarf templates to

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure5.png}
\caption{Top panel: density map illustrating the fraction of SDSS objects with available spectroscopy ($F = N_{\text{spec}}/N_{\text{phot}}$, ranging from 0 to 1, where $N_{\text{spec}}$ and $N_{\text{phot}}$ are the number of SDSS objects with available spectra and the number of SDSS photometric point sources, respectively). As expected, the fraction is the highest in quasar dominated areas. Bottom panel: density map illustrating the fraction of WDMS binaries among objects with available spectroscopy ($F = N_{\text{WDMS}}/N_{\text{spec}}$, ranging from 0 to 1, where $N_{\text{WDMS}}$ is the number of SDSS WDMS binaries). The fraction is the lowest in quasar dominated areas due to the large number of observed quasars.}
\end{figure}
Table 3. Number of SDSS WDMS binary spectra identified in this work (Nspectra), number of unique sources after excluding duplicated spectra (Nunique), number of additions to our latest DR 8 spectroscopic catalogue after excluding systems that were already observed (Nadditions), total number of spectroscopic SDSS DR 12 WDMS binaries (Ntotal) and number of new systems that have not been published before (Nnew). In brackets we give the number of WDMS binary candidates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$N_{\text{spectra}}$</th>
<th>1367 (91)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$N_{\text{unique}}$</td>
<td>1177 (91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{\text{additions}}$</td>
<td>892 (87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{\text{total}}$</td>
<td>3115 (180)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{\text{new}}$</td>
<td>572 (75)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

fit a given SDSS WDMS binary spectrum and record the spectral type of the secondary star. We then subtract the best-fitting M dwarf template and fit the normalized Balmer lines of the residual white dwarf spectrum with a model grid of DA white dwarfs (Koester 2010) to derive the effective temperature and surface gravity. The Balmer line fitting is subject to a 'cold/hot' solution degeneracy, which is broken fitting the entire spectrum (continuum plus lines) with the same grid of model spectra (note that the continuum is most sensitive to the effective temperature). Given that 1D white dwarf model spectra such as those used in this work yield overestimated surface gravity values for white dwarfs of effective temperatures below $\sim 12,000$ K (e.g. Koester et al. 2009; Tremblay et al. 2011), we apply the 3D corrections of Tremblay et al. (2013) to our effective temperature and surface gravity determinations (we apply

The parameter distributions are shown in Fig. 6, where we divide the sample into BOSS (top panels) and SEGUE-2 (second top panels) WDMS binaries. In the distributions we only consider white dwarf effective temperatures with a relative error under 10 per cent, and white dwarf surface gravities and masses of absolute errors under 0.075 $M_\odot$ and 0.075 dex, respectively. For completeness, in the mid-bottom panels of the same figure we also provide the parameter distributions of SDSS I/II WDMS binaries, where these are divided into objects that were observed by the Legacy (Adelman-McCarthy et al. 2008; Abazajian et al. 2009) survey of quasars and galaxies (hereafter Legacy WDMS binaries) and objects that were observed as part of our dedicated SEGUE (the SDSS Extension for Galactic Understanding and Exploration; Yanny et al. 2009) surveys of quasars and galaxies. Given that WDMS binaries can be observed by more than one survey, we consider them to be part of as many samples as different surveys have observed them. In the bottom panels, we provide the distributions for the entire (All) spectroscopic catalogue of SDSS DR 12 WDMS binaries. In this case WDMS binaries that are observed by more than one survey are only considered once. We also provide the number of systems per distribution in each panel.

Figure 6. From left to right the distribution of white dwarf effective temperatures, masses, surface gravities and secondary star (M) spectral types for the WDMS binaries observed by the BOSS, SEGUE-2, SEGUE and Legacy surveys of SDSS. Given that WDMS binaries can be observed by more than one survey, we consider them to be part of as many samples as different surveys have observed them. In the bottom panels, we provide the distributions for the entire (All) spectroscopic catalogue of SDSS DR 12 WDMS binaries. In this case WDMS binaries that are observed by more than one survey are only considered once. We also provide the number of systems per distribution in each panel.

survey for targeting objects containing cool white dwarfs and early-
type companions (Rebassa-Mansergas et al. 2012a). We restrict
the Legacy and SEGUE samples by the same error parameter cuts
as above outlined. It is also important to mention that we do not
exclude duplicated targets that have been observed by different sur-
veys. Thus, for example, a given WDMS binary is part of both the
SEGUE and BOSS populations if it has been independently ob-
served by both surveys. Finally, in the bottom panel of Fig. 6 we
provide the parameter distributions of the entire spectroscopic cat-
ologue of SDSS DR 12 WDMS binaries, i.e. the sum of all WDMS
binary sub-samples. In this case, we do exclude duplicated targets
that have been observed by different surveys. In the following sec-
tion, we compare the different SDSS WDMS binary populations.

6 A COMPARISON OF THE DIFFERENT SDSS
WDMS BINARY POPULATIONS

SDSS WDMS binaries come in different flavours. On the one hand,
the majority of WDMS binaries are observed by SDSS simply be-
cause they have similar colours as quasars. These WDMS binaries
include objects observed by the Legacy survey of SDSS I/II and ob-
served by the BOSS survey of SDSS III. On the other hand, as can be seen in Fig. 6, a small number of WDMS binaries have
been also observed by the SEGUE-2 survey of SDSS III. Fi-
nally, SDSS I/II WDMS binaries were additionally observed as part
of our dedicated SEGUE survey (Rebassa-Mansergas et al. 2012a).
Here, we compare these different SDSS WDMS binary populations.
The stellar parameter cumulative distributions of white dwarf ef-
ective temperatures, surface gravities and masses, and secondary
star spectral types for the different WDMS binary populations are
shown in Fig. 7.

We run Kolmogorov–Smirnov (KS) tests to quantitatively com-
pare the cumulative distributions (a $\chi^2$ test in the case of the sec-
ondary star spectral types). The results are provided in Table 4. The
probabilities are in most cases very low, thus indicating that the
WDMS binaries of the four sub-samples are drawn from different
parent populations. Indeed, there are no two sub-samples for which
the obtained probabilities are above 15 per cent in all four cases
(white dwarf effective temperature, mass, surface gravity and sec-
ondary star spectral type). The fact that the four sub-samples seem
to be statistically different is not too surprising and clearly indi-
cates that the SDSS WDMS binary catalogue is heavily affected by
selection effects of the different populations.

Legacy and BOSS WDMS binaries are most likely accidentally se-
lected by the target selection algorithm of quasars and, in prin-
ciple, we may expect these populations to be statistically similar.
However, as we have already mentioned, the quasar selection al-
gorithm has modified the limiting magnitude from $i < 19.1$ in
SDSS I/II to $g < 22$ in SDSS III (Ross et al. 2012). Intrinsically faint
WDMS binaries containing late-type companions and/or cool/high-
mass white dwarfs are therefore more likely to be observed by the
BOSS survey than the Legacy survey. Thus, the BOSS WDMS binary
population displays a scarcity of low-mass ($M_{\text{WD}} < 0.5 M_\odot$)
as well as a higher fraction of cooler ($T_{\text{WD}} > 40000$ K) white dwarfs than the
Legacy one (Fig. 6). Moreover, the secondary star spectral-type distri-
bution of BOSS WDMS binaries presents a clear overabun-
dance of late-type ($\geq$ M6) companions as compared to the spectral-
type distribution of Legacy WDMS binaries. This result suggests
that the observed overall scarcity of SDSS WDMS binaries con-
taining late-type companions (bottom-right panel of Fig. 6) is a
simple consequence of selection effects incorporated by the SDSS
selection criteria rather than an intrinsic physical property of these
binaries.

SEGUE WDMS binaries were observed thanks to a dedicated sur-
vey performed by us to select objects with a strong contribution
of the companion star (Rebassa-Mansergas et al. 2012a), hence they
present statistical properties that are different from the other sub-
samples. Interestingly, white dwarfs of both SEGUE and SEGUE-2
WDMS binaries seem to be of similar properties in terms of white
dwarf mass and surface gravity (Table 4), although the number of
SEGUE-2 systems is too low and these similarities need to be taken
with caution.

SEGUE-2 WDMS binaries are selected as the result of a selection
algorithm that aims at obtaining spectra of main-sequence stars and
red giants. The colours of WDMS binaries are similar to those of
single main-sequence stars only when the flux contribution of the
white dwarf is small, i.e. the white dwarfs are expected to be cool
and/or massive. Indeed, the white dwarf population of SEGUE-2
WDMS binaries is the coolest among the four sub-samples and the
masses of 1/3 of the white dwarfs are $\geq 0.8 M_\odot$ (Fig. 6). The

Table 4. KS and $\chi^2$ probabilities that result from comparing the cumulative distributions (Fig. 7) of the different SDSS WDMS binary populations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>SEGUE-2</th>
<th>SEGUE</th>
<th>Legacy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{WD}}$</td>
<td>$4 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{\text{WD}}$</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$9 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g$</td>
<td>$0.03$</td>
<td>$0.15$</td>
<td>$0.03$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Sp$</td>
<td>$0.01$</td>
<td>$0.05$</td>
<td>$0.11$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Sp$</td>
<td>$0.01$</td>
<td>$0.55$</td>
<td>$0.02$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7. Cumulative distributions of white dwarf effective temperature (top left), mass (bottom left), surface gravity (top right) and secondary star spectral type (bottom right) for the BOSS, SEGUE-2, Legacy and SEGUE WDMS binary populations.
secondary stars that are part of SEGUE-2 WDMS binaries seem to be of similar properties to those of the Legacy sample (Table 4).

7 RADIAL VELOCITIES AND IDENTIFICATION OF PCEBs

We here measure the Na I λλ 8183.27, 8194.81 absorption doublet and H α emission radial velocities (RV) from all SDSS WDMS binary spectra identified in this work. We analyse these RVs to detect close binaries, i.e. PCEBs, in our sample. That is, an object is considered to be a PCEB if we detect significant (more than 3σ) RV variation.

We finally combine the RV information presented here with those RV values from our previous studies of all SDSS PCEBs and analyse the Scargle (1982) periodograms calculated from the RVs of each system to investigate the periodic nature of the RV variations. In three cases (SDSSJ073455.91+410537.4, SDSSJ102438.46+162458.2 and SDSSJ125645.47+252241.6) we are able to estimate the orbital periods based on the resulting periodograms (see left-hand panels of Fig. 8). We adopt the orbital periods as the values corresponding to the highest power in the periodograms and we then carry out sine-fits of the form

\[ V_i = K_{sec} \sin \left( \frac{2\pi(t - T_0)}{P_{orb}} \right) + \gamma \]  

(5)

to the RV data sets of each system, where \( \gamma \) is the systemic velocity, \( K_{sec} \) is the RV semi-amplitude of the companion star, \( T_0 \) is the time of inferior conjunction of the secondary star and \( P_{orb} \) is the orbital period. The RV values folded over the estimated orbital periods

\[ 3 \] 230 WDMS binaries have at least two available Na I RVs taken on different nights, of which 62 display more than 3σ RV variation. 127 objects have at least two available H α emission RVs taken on different nights, of which 51 display more than 3σ RV variation.
obtained from the sine-fits are indicated by tick marks. Right: the RV measurements folded over the adopted orbital periods of the systems.

Table 6. Estimated orbital periods $P_{\text{orb}}$, semi-amplitudes $K_{\text{sec}}$, and systemic velocities $\gamma$. It is worth noting the high systemic velocity of SDSSJ102438.46+162458.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object</th>
<th>$P_{\text{orb}}$ (h)</th>
<th>$K_{\text{sec}}$ (km s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\gamma$ (km s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SDSSJ073455.91+410537.4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>−23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDSSJ102438.46+162458.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDSSJ125645.47+252241.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>−17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8. Left: Scargle periodograms calculated from the RV variations measured from the Na I absorption doublet in SDSSJ073455.91+410537.4 and SDSSJ102438.46+162458.2 and from the H α emission line in SDSSJ125645.47+252241.6. The most likely orbital periods (i.e. the values with the highest power) are indicated by tick marks. Right: the RV measurements folded over the adopted orbital periods of the systems.

9 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The spectroscopic catalogue of SDSS WDMS binaries now contains 3294 systems from DR 12. 979 are additions from the here presented work, 646 of which have not been published before. This is by far the largest, most complete, and most homogenous catalogue of compact binaries currently available. We have provided stellar parameters (white dwarf effective temperatures, surface gravities and masses, and secondary star spectral types) and RVs for the here identified WDMS binaries. We have also applied 3D corrections to the derived white dwarf parameters of all SDSS WDMS binaries. The stellar parameter distributions corresponding to WDMS binaries observed by the different surveys of SDSS (Legacy, BOSS, SEGUE, SEGUE-2) are statistically different due to the different target selection criteria used. This clearly reveals that the SDSS WDMS binary sample, though being the largest, is heavily affected by selection effects. In particular, we find indications for these selection effects to be responsible for the overall scarcity of observed SDSS WDMS binaries containing late-type ($\geq$M6) companions. The RVs of 98 of our here studied SDSS WDMS binaries (62 of which are new identifications) display significant variation and we flag these systems as close binaries. For three of them the RV data are sufficient to estimate their orbital periods. We have also identified seven WDMS binaries as eclipsing, although all of them have previously been published before.

The SDSS WDMS binary catalogue is a superb sample that is being used to tackle many different open problems in modern astrophysics, ranging from constraining the physical properties of low-mass main-sequence stars and white dwarfs to improving our understanding of close compact binary evolution, among others.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the anonymous referee for his/her comments and suggestions. This research has been funded by MINECO grant AYA2014-59084-P by the AGAUR, by the European Research Council under the European Union’s Seventh Framework Programme (FP/2007-2013)/ERC Grant Agreement no. 320964 (WDTracer), by Millennium Science Initiative, Chilean Ministry of Economy, Nucleus P10-022-F, by Fondecyt (1141269, 3140585) and by the National Key Basic Research Programme of China (2014CB845700).

Funding for SDSS-III has been provided by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the Participating Institutions, the National Science Foundation, and the US Department of Energy Office of Science. The SDSS-III web site is http://www.sdss3.org/.
APPENDIX A: NOTES ON INDIVIDUAL SYSTEMS

SDSSJ003336.49+004151.3, SDSSJ014745.02-004911.1, SDSSJ024310.60+004044.4, SDSSJ132040.27+661214.8 and SDSSJ132830.92+125941.4: these five WDMS binaries have been found to display Na I RV variation (Section 7). However, they were flagged as wide WDMS binaries in our previous studies (Schreiber et al. 2010; Rebassa-Mansergas et al. 2011). This may indicate that these binaries have relatively long orbital periods of a few hundred of days (as the spectra analysed in this work are separated by this amount of time from the spectra analysed in our previous studies), or simply that our previous RV measurements sampled always the same orbital phases.

SDSSJ013714.97+210220.0 and SDSSJ100615.27+242612.1: we classify SDSS0137 as a new low-accretion rate polar (LARP). The SDSS spectrum displays clear cyclotron emission at $\sim 4800$ Å. We identify two emission bumps at $\sim 4400$ and $\sim 6500$ Å in the SDSS spectrum of SDSSJ1006 that may also arise as a consequence of cyclotron emission. Hence, SDSSJ1006 may also be a LARP. The SDSS spectra of the two systems are shown in Fig. A1.

SDSSJ073953.89+392732.5: we classify the main-sequence companion as a metal-poor subdwarf.

SDSSJ081647.38+534017.8, SDSSJ083938.54+395440.5, SDSSJ101819.47+174702.34 and SDSSJ161213.77+163906.2: these four WDMS binaries may be eclipsing. Two SDSS spectra of SDSSJ0816 reveal the presence and absence of the white dwarf, respectively, thus suggesting the second spectrum was obtained during an eclipse (see Fig. A2). However, it is important to mention that the Na I RVs measured from the SDSS spectra do not reveal any sign of variation. The SDSS spectra and ugriz fluxes derived from SDSS photometry of SDSSJ0839 and SDSSJ1612 are shown in Fig. A3. Inspection of the figure clearly reveals that the u-band fluxes (also the z-band flux for SDSSJ0839) do not match the spectra. We consider this may be a consequence of the SDSS photometry being obtained during eclipses, i.e. the u-band photometry correspond to that of the main-sequence companions. Finally, we detect several faint points in the Catalina light curve of SDSSJ1018, indicating that it may well be eclipsing, however there is insufficient data to confirm this or determine its period.

SDSSJ123931.98+210806.2: the SDSS spectrum shows a DA white dwarf, a late-type secondary star and Balmer lines in emission. Originally classified as a WDMS binary, this object was excluded from our sample after we realized it is the cataclysmic variable IR Com (Manser & Gänsidee 2014). The SDSS spectrum was taken in a low state.

SDSSJ13408.21+065075.5: the SDSS spectrum displays the typical features of a DO degenerate and an early-type secondary star. H $\alpha$ is in emission.
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