Original citation:

Permanent WRAP URL:
http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/87721

Copyright and reuse:
The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work by researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions. Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRAP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

Publisher’s statement:
© 2017, Elsevier. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

A note on versions:
The version presented here may differ from the published version or, version of record, if you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher’s version. Please see the ‘permanent WRAP URL’ above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: wrap@warwick.ac.uk
A Randomised Trial of Expedited transfer to a cardiac arrest centre for non-ST elevation ventricular fibrillation out-of-hospital cardiac arrest:

The ARREST pilot randomised trial

Tiffany Patterson1 BSc MBBS PhD, Gavin D Perkins2 MD FRCP FFICM FERC, Jubin Joseph1 MA BMBCh, Karen Wilson1 MSc, Laura Van Dyck1, Steven Roberston3, Hanna Nguyen1, Hannah McConkey1 MA MBBS MRCP, Mark Whitbread4 MSc, Rachael Fothergill4 PhD, Joanne Nevett4 MSc, Miles Dalby5 MD, Roby Rakhit5 MD, Philip MacCarthy6 MD, Divaka Perera1 MD, Jerry P. Nolan6 FRCA FRCP FRCEM FFICM, Simon R Redwood1 MD FRCP FACC FSCAI

1. Cardiovascular Division, The Rayne Institute BHF Centre of Research Excellence, King's College London, St. Thomas' Hospital, London, UK
2. Warwick Clinical Trials Unit and Heart of England NHS Foundation Trust, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK
3. London School Of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine Clinical Trials Unit, London, UK
5. Department of Cardiology, Royal Brompton and Harefield NHS Foundation Trust, Middlesex, UK
6. Department of Cardiology, Royal Free NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK
7. Department of Cardiology, King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK
8. School of Clinical Sciences, University of Bristol and Department of Anaesthesia, Royal United Hospital, Bath, UK

Short Title: ARREST Pilot Randomised Trial

Corresponding Author: Simon R Redwood, Professor of Interventional Cardiology, Cardiovascular Department, Kings College London, St Thomas Hospital, London SE1 7EH
Email: simon.redwood@gstt.nhs.uk Tel: +44 (0) 207 188 1083

Total Word Count: 2952 (excl. abstract and references) Disclosure: The authors have no disclosures or conflicts of interest to share. Keywords: Out-of-hospital Cardiac Arrest, Cardiac Resuscitation Centre, Coronary Angiography. Acknowledgements: The Pan-London Acute Coronary Syndrome Advisory Group; Advanced Paramedic Practitioners, London Ambulance Service; Tim Clayton, Laura Van Dyck, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine Clinical Trials Unit.
Funding: The ARREST pilot randomised trial was funded by an unrestricted educational grant from Zoll Medical, MA. The authors are solely responsible for study conduct, analysis and drafting and editing of the paper and its final contents. The full scale RCT has been granted funding by the British Heart Foundation CS/16/3/32615.

Abstract

Background
Wide variation exists in inter-hospital survival from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA). Regionalisation of care into cardiac arrest centres (CAC) may improve this. We report a pilot randomised trial of expedited transfer to a CAC following OHCA without ST-elevation. The objective was to assess the feasibility of performing a large-scale randomised controlled trial.

Methods
Adult witnessed ventricular fibrillation OHCA of presumed cardiac cause were randomised 1:1 to either: 1) treatment: comprising expedited transfer to a CAC for goal-directed therapy including access to immediate reperfusion, or 2) control: comprising current standard of care involving delivery to the geographically closest hospital. The feasibility of randomisation, protocol adherence and data collection of the primary (30-day all-cause mortality) and secondary (cerebral performance category (CPC)) and in-hospital major cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events (MACCE)) clinical outcome measures were assessed.

Results
Between November 2014 and April 2016, 118 cases were screened, of which 63 patients (53%) met eligibility criteria and 40 of the 63 patients (63%) were randomised. There were no protocol deviations in the treatment arm. Data collection of primary and secondary outcomes was achieved in 83%. There was no difference in baseline characteristics between the groups: 30-day mortality (Intervention 9/18, 50% vs. Control 6/15, 40%; \(P=0.73\)), CPC 1/2 (Intervention 9/18, 50% vs. Control 7/14, 50%; \(P>0.99\)) or MACCE (Intervention 9/18, 50% vs. Control 6/15, 40%; \(P=0.73\)).

Conclusions
These findings support the feasibility and acceptability of conducting a large-scale randomised controlled trial of expedited transfer to CAC following OHCA to address a remaining uncertainty in post-arrest care.

Trial Registration: ISRCTN 96585404
Introduction
Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) is a global public health issue. There are 60,000 OHCA per year in the United Kingdom and over 400,000 in the United States. There is wide variation in both regional and inter-hospital survival rates from OHCA and overall survival remains poor, with a reported average of 7%.

The adoption of systematic approaches to post-resuscitation care may improve long-term survival from OHCA. Regionalisation of care into specialist centres has played a vital role in the management of time-critical illnesses through concentration of services and greater provider experience.

Coronary artery disease is responsible for >70% of OHCA, with an acute occlusion demonstrated in 50% of consecutive patients taken immediately to coronary angiography. Multi-faceted interventions including early cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) and defibrillation, followed by timely reperfusion are associated with reduced risk of re-arrest, reduced myocardial dysfunction and thus improved outcomes following cardiac arrest from ST-elevation (STE) myocardial infarction. The International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR) suggests transport of all post-arrest patients to a cardiac arrest centre (CAC) with 24/7 access to interventional cardiology facilities to manage the ensuing cardiovascular dysfunction and to diagnose and treat the underlying cause with a view to increasing survival. The management of cardiac arrest survivors without STE, however, is controversial, with a less time-sensitive approach to cardiac catheterisation. Because of the lack of randomised data, there has been variable uptake of such a strategy amongst the interventional cardiology community. ILCOR states that randomised trials are therefore essential in this population to determine if timely delivery to a CAC improves survival. However, the coordination of this is complex and close interaction is necessary between centres and ambulance services and internally between the emergency department, cardiologists and the critical care team. We performed A (pilot) Randomised tRial of Expedited transfer to a cardiac arrest centre for non-ST elevation OHCA (ARREST) of presumed cardiac cause to assess the safety and feasibility of conducting a large-scale randomised controlled trial in patients without STE.

Methods
This was a pilot multi-centre prospective randomised controlled trial undertaken in London, United Kingdom by London Ambulance Service (LAS) and St Thomas Hospital (for system characteristics see online supplemental information). All adult witnessed out-of-hospital pulseless ventricular tachycardia (VT) or ventricular fibrillation (VF) cardiac arrests without obvious non-cardiac cause (trauma, drowning, suicide, poisoning) attended by the advanced paramedic practitioners in a pre-hospital setting were considered eligible for inclusion. Randomisation was performed following 3 cycles of CPR regardless of
return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC). Refractory VF was defined as refractory to shock and drug
treatment following 3 cycles of CPR. Patients were excluded from the trial if at the point of
randomisation they had evidence of STE on the post-resuscitation ECG, the initial rhythm was asystole
or pulseless electrical activity (PEA), a do not attempt resuscitation order was in place or suspected
pregnancy.

Before randomisation, patient management followed standard pre-hospital ALS guidelines. Eligible
patients were randomly allocated with the use of sequentially numbered opaque, tamper-proof sealed
envelopes (sealedenvelope.com) with pre-assigned random permuted blocks of ten, stratified according
to site (advanced paramedic car). Randomisation was performed 1:1 to one of two parallel trial arms:
intervention or control. The intervention arm consisted of activation of the pre-hospital triaging system
(currently routinely in place for STE patients only) with pre-alert and delivery of the OHCA patient to the
catheter laboratory at the dedicated CAC (24 hours a day, 7 days a week). Patients were transported to
hospital with or without ROSC. Patients who achieved ROSC received guideline-recommended post-
resuscitation care including targeted temperature management (36°C 28 hours, followed by gradual
rewarming at 0.5°C per hour) and goal-directed therapies. These included evaluation and
identification of the underlying cause of arrest with access to immediate reperfusion if necessary and
maintenance of normocapnia and normoxia with protective ventilation, optimisation of haemodynamics
as well as maintenance of normoglycaemia.

The control arm comprised the current standard of pre-hospital care for patients with cardiac arrest of
suspected cardiac aetiology as per LAS Cardiac Care Guidance Protocol (supplemental data). Patients
were conveyed to the closest emergency department and management thereafter followed standard
hospital protocol. In the absence of non-cardiac cause, and in the absence of futility, coronary
angiography was recommended within 48-72 hours in the control arm if not performed sooner (evidence
of STE or high-suspicion of on-going infarction at the discretion of the physician).

The primary objective of this pilot trial was to assess the feasibility of a randomised trial in OHCA
without STE comparing expedited transfer to a CAC with the current standard of care to assess a
difference in 30-day mortality. Feasibility outcome measures included recruitment rate, protocol
adherence and the ability to obtain case-report form specific data on participants. The primary clinical
endpoint was 30-day all-cause mortality. Secondary clinical endpoints comprised 1) good neurological
function at discharge, capped at 30 days according to the cerebral performance category (CPC), the
most commonly used post-resuscitation outcome measurement for this purpose.26 2) The composite of
in-hospital major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) capped at 30 days, defined as: re-infarction,
further revascularisation and bleeding.
Prior to data analysis, the following additions were made to the trial secondary outcomes to capture all adverse events: 1) MACE was modified to include cerebrovascular events – termed MACCE. 2) Sepsis, defined as two or more components of the systemic inflammatory response syndrome.28

Trained research staff at St Thomas Hospital collected trial related data. The trial was managed and coordinated by the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine Clinical Trials Unit (LSHTM CTU). The study was granted ethical approval by the United Kingdom National Research Ethics Committee (REC 13/LO/1508). Due to the specific nature of the trial and the immediacy of the intervention, the committee waived the need for prior informed consent. At the earliest appropriate time, the participant or their legal surrogate were asked for delayed consent. The trial was prospectively registered with the International Standard Randomised Controlled Trials Registry (ISRCTN 96585404).

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analysis, based on intention to treat, was performed using StatPlus (AnalystSoft, USA) and Prism version 7.0 (GraphPad Software Inc, USA). The sample size (n=40) was selected to allow an assessment of the feasibility of recruitment and implementation of trial processes.29 The pilot study was not powered to detect important differences. However, categorical data were compared using Fisher’s exact test; continuous data were compared by 2-sample t-test. The treatment groups were compared for the primary endpoint of all-cause mortality 30-days after randomisation using odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals (CI). The Kaplan-Meier survival curves were drawn to assess differences between groups for the time to an event data examining all-cause mortality at 30 days. All p values were 2 sided.

Results
Patient Population and Feasibility
118 cases were screened, of which 63 patients (53%) met eligibility criteria. Forty of the 63 patients (63%) were randomised over two separate time periods: November 2014 to March 2015 (10 patients) and August 2015 to February 2016 (30 patients). Full data were available on 36 patients (90%); reasons for exclusion are detailed in the patient flow diagram (Figure 1), displayed according to Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) recommendation. The trial was stopped at 40 patients because the planned sample size to assess trial feasibility was reached. All randomised patients completed the trial. All patients in the Intervention arm were delivered direct to St Thomas Hospital cardiac catheter lab; patients in the control arm were delivered to the emergency department (ED) in one of 6 hospitals in London: St. Thomas Hospital, St. Mary’s Hospital, Chelsea and Westminster Hospital, King’s College Hospital, Royal Free Hospital, Royal London Hospital. One patient in the control arm did not reach hospital (online supplement). After randomisation, 4 patients (10%) were found to meet exclusion criteria (the presence of ST-elevation on the post-resuscitation ECG). However, for the intention to treat analysis, all patients were analysed in the group they were randomised to
regardless of this or eventual crossover or other protocol deviation. Only one patient was identified as having a non-cardiac cause of arrest (end-stage renal failure) and did not survive to hospital. All other patients had a cardiac cause of arrest. One patient had aortic dissection that was managed within the specialist centre, ten patients were identified as having a scar-related arrhythmia either due to previous infarct or heart muscle disease (requiring implantable cardioverter defibrillator implantation on admission) and the rest were directly due to coronary artery disease.

Baseline characteristics, the intervals from cardiac arrest to defined events and ambulance service interventions are shown in Table 1. There were no significant differences between the two treatment groups in terms of baseline characteristics and cardiac arrest background variables. All patients presented with witnessed VF out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. Three patients in each group had ventricular fibrillation that was refractory to shock and drug treatment and were transported to hospital without ROSC.

**Angiographic characteristics**

The coronary angiographic findings are summarised in Table 2. Time to coronary angiography was shorter in the intervention arm compared with the control arm (100 [75 to 113] versus 132 [93 to 187]; median difference 32, 95% CI -9 to 101; \( P=0.08 \)). The incidence of culprit artery occlusion (responsible for the OHCA) was 44% in the intervention group versus 50% in the control group (OR 0.6, 95% CI 0.1 to 2.3; \( P=0.7 \)).

**Primary and Secondary Clinical Outcomes**

The primary clinical endpoint of 30-day all-cause mortality (Table 3) was similar between both study arms (Intervention 9/18, 50% vs. Control 6/15, 44%; OR 0.6, 95% CI 0.2 to 2.9; \( P=0.73 \)). Good neurological function evaluated at discharge, capped at 30 days, was similar in both groups (Intervention 9/18, 50% vs. Control 7/15, 47%; OR 1.1, 95% CI 0.3 to 4; \( P>0.99 \)) (online supplement). The secondary (clinical) composite endpoint of in-hospital MACCE occurred in 11/18 in the Intervention arm compared with 6/15 in the control arm (61% vs. 53% respectively; OR 1.4, 95% CI 0.4 to 4.9; \( P=0.73 \)). One stroke occurred in the control arm, one patient in the intervention arm and two in the control arm underwent further revascularisation and minor bleeding occurred in one patient in the intervention arm. The secondary endpoint of 6-month all-cause mortality was 9/17 (53%) in the intervention arm and 6/10 (60%) in the control arm (OR 0.75, 95% CI 0.2 to 3.8; \( P>0.99 \)). One third of patients in both groups developed sepsis. Vascular complications occurred in one patient in the control arm. Four patients in the intervention group and two patients in the control group required mechanical circulatory support in the form of intra-aortic balloon pump insertion. Length of stay was the same in the two groups (intervention: 4.5, versus control: 4.5, median difference 0, 95% CI -2 to 8; \( P=0.19 \)).
The Kaplan-Meier 30-day survival curve is shown in Figure 2 (intervention versus control: HR 1.7, 95% CI 0.3 to 10.5; \( P=0.6 \)). In both study arms, a marked attrition in survival was seen between Day 0 and Day 4, with 25% of patients dead in the Intervention arm and 17% in the Control arm (overall 21%). No further patients died between Day 4 and Day 30. Administration of amiodarone was associated with increased 30-day mortality (HR 11.5, 95% CI 1.04 to 126; \( P=0.04 \)). Pre-hospital ROSC (HR 0.1, 95% CI 0.01 to 0.7; \( P=0.02 \)), and cardiac arrest in a public location (HR 0.05, 95% CI 0.004 to 0.45; \( P=0.01 \)) were associated with a lower mortality. The performance of coronary angiography was found to negatively influence 30-day mortality (HR 0.15, 95% CI 0.03 to 0.71; \( P=0.02 \)); however, after adjustment for pre-hospital factors, there was no influence on 30-day mortality (HR 0.41, 95% CI 0.05 to 3.5; \( P=0.4 \)), Figure 3.

**Discussion**

We demonstrated that it is possible to complete a randomised controlled trial comparing a pre-hospital triage system involving delivery of the OHCA patient to a CAC with access to 24/7 interventional cardiology facilities and receipt of a post-cardiac arrest care bundle with the current standard of care in a population of OHCA patients without STE. The main finding of this pilot trial is that performing a large-scale randomised controlled trial is safe, feasible and acceptable. The feasibility of randomisation was demonstrated as follows: (1) recruitment of all adult witnessed shockable OHCA of presumed cardiac cause exceeded the expected rate. (2) It was possible to set up a fast track, rapid intervention service in a single CAC 24/7. (3) Protocol adherence was excellent in the intervention arm. (4) Data completeness was acceptable with documentation of the primary outcome in 83% and secondary outcomes in 80%.

Based on the findings of the trial pilot, the decision to exclude the refractory cohort from the main trial was made based on 1) logistical challenges of on-scene extrication, transport and performing coronary angiography during mechanical CPR (m-CPR). 2) Poor outcomes relative to the cohort of patients achieving ROSC. 3) The identification that this was a predictor of 30-day mortality. Furthermore, not all frontline vehicles carry m-CPR devices, which may prevent shock-refractory patients receiving the same treatment in the main trial. The PARAMEDIC trial (LUCAS m-CPR device) showed a 5% lower survival rate (significant) in patients with shockable rhythms who received mechanical CPR, although this was not the primary objective of the trial, and should be interpreted with caution. Furthermore, removal of this cohort will reduce the likelihood of post randomisation identification of STE (10%).

Outcome was ascertained in 83%; to improve this we will make use of the NHS information centre; in the PARAMEDIC trial, this enabled 99% follow-up at 30-days. Where data cannot be collected in hospital we plan to make use of the London Ambulance Clinical Audit and Research Unit (CARU) and National Institute for Cardiovascular Outcomes Research (NICOR). Because of the geographical position of St Thomas Hospital, a large proportion of the standard of care arm were delivered to a CAC;
we anticipate that expanding the trial across London will reduce the proportion of patients in the control arm taken straight to the cardiac catheterisation laboratory.

The International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR) suggests transport of all post-arrest patients to a cardiac arrest centre with 24/7 access to interventional cardiology facilities.\textsuperscript{19-22} There has been variable uptake of such a strategy in this cohort; this may be due in part to the lack of randomised data, the need for coordination of organised systems of care, and the heterogeneity of the non-STE population; thus emphasising the need for a randomised controlled trial.

Our study is consistent with well-established predictors of survival, including ROSC pre-hospital and cardiac arrest in a public location. The predictor of mortality identified was administration of amiodarone, this is likely to represent refractory arrhythmia rather than the effect of amiodarone itself. These are supported by findings in the recently published “amiodarone versus lignocaine and placebo trial in OHCA”, where no difference in survival was shown, with a higher mortality in those with unwitnessed arrest.\textsuperscript{31} Coronary angiography was performed in all patients in the intervention group and just under 80% of control, suggesting that coronary angiography was clinically indicated in the latter. The time to coronary angiography was shorter in the intervention arm because of immediate delivery to a CAC, but this did not reach statistical significance in these few patients. In those who underwent coronary angiography, significant coronary disease was identified in two thirds of patients, with a culprit lesion in just over half, which is consistent with published registry data.\textsuperscript{16,32} However should be interpreted with caution because this was a small patient cohort that may not be representative of the patient population.

The findings from this pilot also suggest that the absence of STE on the post-arrest ECG does not exclude acute ischemia.\textsuperscript{15} The overall mortality, albeit low, is representative of the VF OHCA population that achieves ROSC pre-hospital and is consistent with previous figures published by the London Ambulance Service.\textsuperscript{33}

Limitations

This study was a pilot randomised trial to demonstrate safety and feasibility; the study was not powered to show a difference in 30-day mortality, neurological endpoints or the composite of in-hospital MACCE. The full planned trial with a sample size of 860, will aim to address these questions. The catchment area around St Thomas Hospital was small and may not be representative of the population. Although this pilot provided an indication of the underlying event rate and incidence of occlusive coronary artery disease, the effect size and therefore sample size calculations were based on a combination of studies. These included the above pilot findings, Pan-London Annual OHCA audit data, published registry data (incidence of occlusive disease in OHCA in absence of STE) and randomised trials of reperfusion therapy.\textsuperscript{13,33-35} Based on findings from the trial pilot, inclusion criteria were amended to remove the shock-refractory cohort from the main trial because logistical challenges of managing these patients,
and in order to reduce the likelihood of post-randomisation identification of STE. Delayed prognostication (≥72 hours) to prevent the premature withdrawal of life-sustaining treatment was not formally instituted in the pilot as this was not the current standard of care; however this will be mandated during the full trial.36

Conclusions
This pilot study demonstrated that a large-scale randomised trial comparing the delivery of a cardiac arrest patient without STE to the catheter laboratory at a dedicated cardiac arrest receiving centre with a view to immediate reperfusion and delivery of post-resuscitation care, compared with standard care, is safe and feasible.

References


