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NGTS-1b: A hot Jupiter transiting an M-dwarf
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ABSTRACT

We present the discovery of NGTS-1b, a hot-Jupiter transiting an early M-dwarf host ($T_{\text{eff}} = 3916^{+71}_{-63}$ K) in a $P = 2.647$ d orbit discovered as part of the Next Generation Transit Survey (NGTS). The planet has a mass of $0.812^{+0.065}_{-0.075}$ $M_J$ making it the most massive planet ever discovered transiting an M-dwarf. The radius of the planet is $1.33^{+0.61}_{-0.33}$ $R_J$. Since the transit is grazing, we determine this radius by modelling the data and placing a prior on the density from the population of known gas giant planets. NGTS-1b is the third transiting giant planet found around an M-dwarf, reinforcing the notion that close-in gas giants can form and migrate similar to the known population of hot Jupiters around solar type stars. The host star shows no signs of activity, and the kinematics hint at the star being from the thick disk population. With a deep (2.5%) transit around a $K = 11.9$ host, NGTS-1b will be a strong candidate to probe giant planet composition around M-dwarfs via JWST transmission spectroscopy.
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1 INTRODUCTION

M-dwarf stars as planetary hosts are of high interest. Two important recent discoveries in the field of exoplanets relate to planets orbiting M-dwarfs: Proxima Centauri (Anglada-Escudé et al. 2016) and Trappist-1 (Gillon et al. 2017). The
interest primarily derives from the fact that the radius ratio \((R_p/R_\star)\) and mass ratio \((M_p/M_\star)\) for such systems are much higher than equivalent systems with solar-type hosts, thus they can be easier to detect via transits and radial velocities. The low intrinsic luminosity of M-dwarfs also means that the habitable zone is very close to the host star and therefore it is much easier to detect potential habitable planets around these stars, compared to their more massive counterparts. Finally, M-dwarfs are the most populous stars in the Galaxy (\(\sim 75\%\); Henry et al. 2006), and hence understanding planet formation and planet frequency around these low mass stars greatly enhances our knowledge of the full population of planets in the Galaxy.

However, observationally M-dwarfs present some significant drawbacks when searching for exoplanets. Firstly, the intrinsically low luminosity of M-dwarfs means that on average they have much fainter apparent magnitudes than solar type stars, making it hard to obtain high precision photometry or high precision radial velocity measurements. Secondly, the spectra of M-dwarfs are dominated by molecular lines which do not allow the same precision radial velocity measurements as are afforded by the unblended, sharp metal lines that can be found in solar-type spectra. Finally the low effective temperatures of M-dwarfs means they have a peak flux well outside the optical wavelength range where most photometry and spectroscopy is targeted.

Despite these difficulties there has been progress in identifying exoplanets orbiting M-dwarfs using dedicated facilities. Notably the Earth-sized GJ1132-b (Berta-Thompson et al. 2015) and super-Earth GJ1214-b (Charbonneau et al. 2009), detected with the MEarth ground-based transit survey (Nutzman & Charbonneau 2008), have been popular targets for atmospheric characterisation owing to the small radii and close proximity to Earth of their M-dwarf hosts. A large radial velocity program to monitor M-dwarfs, carried out by the HARPS consortium (Mayor et al. 2003a; Bonfils et al. 2005), has produced more than 10 confirmed exoplanets and recently two planets orbiting GJ 273 - potentially making this the closest known system with planets in the habitable zone after Proxima Centauri (Berdiñas 2016; Astudillo-Defru et al. 2017). The Kepler and K2 missions, while not designed to specifically target M-dwarfs, have discovered transiting planets around M-dwarfs in their FOV: Kepler-138b (Rowe et al. 2014); K2-33b (David et al. 2016). In addition to these programs the wide-field Next Generation Transit Survey (NGTS) survey is under-way. NGTS is a fully automated array of twelve 20 cm aperture Newtonian telescopes situated at the ESO Paranal Observatory in Chile. Each telescope is coupled to an Andor Ikon-L Camera featuring a 2K \(\times\) 2K eV deep-depleted CCD with 13.5 \(\mu\)m pixels, which corresponds to an on-sky size of 4.97". Telescopes are operated from independent Optical Mechanics, Inc. (OMI) mounts, allowing for excellent tracking and freedom in scheduling observations.

NGTS-1 was observed on a single NGTS telescope over a photometric campaign conducted between 2016 August 10 and 2016 December 7. In total we obtained 118,498 10 s exposures in the NGTS bandpass (550 – 927 nm) over \(\sim 100\) usable nights. Raw data were reduced to calibrated fits frames via the NGTS reduction pipeline fully described in Wheatley et al. (2017). Photometry was extracted via aperture photometry, using the NGTS photometric pipeline, which is a customised implementation of the CASUTools\(^1\) photometry package. To account for systematic effects in the data, an implementation of the SysRem algorithm (Tamuz et al. 2005) was applied to the data. Again full details can be found in Wheatley et al. (2017).

Light curves were searched for transit-like signals using ORION, an implementation of the box-fitting least squares (BLS) algorithm (Kovács et al. 2002). We identified a strong BLS signal for NGTS-1 at a period of \(2.647298 \pm 0.000020\) d.

We set out the transit feature identified in the NGTS

\(^1\) http://casu.ast.cam.ac.uk/surveys-projects/software-release

2 OBSERVATIONS

The discovery of NGTS-1b was made using the NGTS telescopes in conjunction with high precision photometric follow-up from Eulercam and high precision spectroscopy from HARPS. We detail all of these observations in this Section.

2.1 NGTS Photometry

NGTS is a fully automated array of twelve 20 cm aperture Newtonian telescopes situated at the ESO Paranal Observatory in Chile. Each telescope is coupled to an Andor Ikon-L Camera featuring a 2K \(\times\) 2K eV deep-depleted CCD with 13.5 \(\mu\)m pixels, which corresponds to an on-sky size of 4.97". Telescopes are operated from independent Optical Mechanics, Inc. (OMI) mounts, allowing for excellent tracking and freedom in scheduling observations.

NGTS-1 was observed on a single NGTS telescope over a photometric campaign conducted between 2016 August 10 and 2016 December 7. In total we obtained 118,498 10 s exposures in the NGTS bandpass (550 – 927 nm) over \(\sim 100\) usable nights. Raw data were reduced to calibrated fits frames via the NGTS reduction pipeline fully described in Wheatley et al. (2017). Photometry was extracted via aperture photometry, using the NGTS photometric pipeline, which is a customised implementation of the CASUTools\(^1\) photometry package. To account for systematic effects in the data, an implementation of the SysRem algorithm (Tamuz et al. 2005) was applied to the data. Again full details can be found in Wheatley et al. (2017).

Light curves were searched for transit-like signals using ORION, an implementation of the box-fitting least squares (BLS) algorithm (Kovács et al. 2002). We identified a strong BLS signal for NGTS-1 at a period of \(2.647298 \pm 0.000020\) d.

We set out the transit feature identified in the NGTS

\(^1\)http://casu.ast.cam.ac.uk/surveys-projects/software-release

MNARAS 000, 1–10 (2017)
Table 1. NGTS photometry for NGTS-1. The full table is available in a machine-readable format from the online journal. A portion is shown here for guidance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (HJD-2450000)</th>
<th>Flux (normalised)</th>
<th>Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7610.84860</td>
<td>0.9633</td>
<td>0.0315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7610.84875</td>
<td>1.0530</td>
<td>0.0317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7610.84900</td>
<td>1.0636</td>
<td>0.0317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7610.84905</td>
<td>1.0216</td>
<td>0.0317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7610.84920</td>
<td>0.9808</td>
<td>0.0317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7610.84935</td>
<td>1.0042</td>
<td>0.0317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7610.84949</td>
<td>1.0401</td>
<td>0.0317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7610.84964</td>
<td>1.0316</td>
<td>0.0316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7610.84979</td>
<td>0.9792</td>
<td>0.0316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7610.84994</td>
<td>1.0067</td>
<td>0.0317</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. The NGTS light curve of NGTS-1 phase-folded at the best-fitting period of 2.647298 ± 0.000020 d and zoomed to show the transit event. Black circles show the photometric datapoints binned to 10-minutes with associated photon error. The red line and pink shaded regions show the median and the 1 and 2-sigma uncertainties, respectively, of the posterior model based on the global modelling (see Section 3.2). Solid blue vertical lines indicate the start of ingress (T\textsubscript{1}) and end of egress (T\textsubscript{0}). Dashed blue vertical line represents the transit centre (T\textsubscript{j}). Residuals to the global model are shown below the light curve.

Our previous yield simulations have evaluated the risk of false positives mimicking a planetary transit such as NGTS-1 (Günther et al. 2017a). In order to verify that this transit signal is consistent with a transiting planet, we applied a series of vetting tests to the NGTS data.

Firstly, we checked the light curve for evidence of a secondary eclipse near phase 0.5. Such a detection, at our photometric precision, would indicate an eclipsing stellar companion rather than a transiting planet companion. However no secondary eclipse is detected.

Secondly we checked the odd/even transit depth differences to help rule out the possibility that we have detected half the true period, and have phase-folded primary and secondary eclipses together. We see no odd/even depth difference to the precision of our photometry.

Thirdly we check for out-of-transit ellipsoidal variation that is present in many short-period binary stars. Again, no such variability is seen to the precision of our photometry.

Finally we check for a shift in the photometric centre-of-flux during transit events as fully described in Günther et al. (2017b). This test is able to detect blended eclipsing binaries at separations well below the size of individual NGTS pixels - i.e., to the level of ~1″. We find no centroiding variation during the transit events of NGTS-1.

Using existing photometric and proper motion survey data (see Table 4), we identified that NGTS-1 is a low temperature star, and in combination with the stellar populations from the Besançon Galaxy model (Robin et al. 2003) we concluded that NGTS-1 is most likely an M-dwarf rather than an M-giant. This means that the deep (2.5 %) and V-shaped transit is still consistent with a transiting body being of planetary radius, and therefore we passed this candidate on for further photometric and spectroscopic follow-up, as detailed in Sections 2.2 and 2.3.

Table 2. Z-band Eulercam photometry for NGTS-1. The full table is available in a machine-readable format from the online journal. A portion is shown here for guidance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (HJD-2450000)</th>
<th>Flux (normalised)</th>
<th>Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7810.59149558</td>
<td>1.000691</td>
<td>0.001658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7810.59571697</td>
<td>1.001244</td>
<td>0.001659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7810.59793916</td>
<td>1.000230</td>
<td>0.001657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7810.60016845</td>
<td>0.999125</td>
<td>0.001655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7810.60247724</td>
<td>1.001336</td>
<td>0.001659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7810.60469833</td>
<td>1.000415</td>
<td>0.001657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7810.60691832</td>
<td>1.000490</td>
<td>0.001665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7810.60921191</td>
<td>0.999217</td>
<td>0.001655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7810.61142560</td>
<td>1.002259</td>
<td>0.001660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7810.61364589</td>
<td>1.000875</td>
<td>0.001658</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2 Eulercam Photometry

In order to refine the ephemeris, increase the precision of the light curve component of the global modelling (see Section 3.2), and check for any evidence of a blend via a colour-dependent depth difference, we obtained a high precision light curve for NGTS-1 using Eulercam on the 1.2 m Euler Telescope at La Silla Observatory. Observations were taken on the night of 2017 February 26 between 2:14 and 5:08UT in the z-band with the telescope slightly defocused (0.5mm) to broaden the point-spread function. In total 50 exposures were obtained, each with an exposure time of 180 s. After the images were reduced via standard bias and flatfield correction, we performed aperture photometry on NGTS-1 and a set of ten reference stars using a photometric pipeline utilising Source Extractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996). A full transit event was observed, and the photometric data are presented in Table 2, while the data are plotted with a best fit transit model in Figure 2. These data are used in the global modelling for the NGTS-1b system detailed in 3.2.

2.3 Spectroscopy

We obtained multi-epoch spectroscopy for NGTS-1 with the HARPS spectrograph (Mayor et al. 2003b) on the ESO 3.6 m
telescope at La Silla Observatory, Chile, between 2017 January 27 and 2017 February 7. Due to the faint optical magnitude of NGTS-1 (V = 15.52 ± 0.08), we used the HARPS in the high efficiency fibre link (EGGS) mode, which utilises a slightly larger fibre (1.4″ compared with the 1.0″ in nominal mode). This gives a higher signal-to-noise spectrum, albeit with a slightly lower resolution (R = 85000 compared with R = 110000). To further increase the signal-to-noise we took long exposures (1-hour) for each epoch.

Using the standard HARPS data reduction pipeline, radial velocities were calculated for each epoch via cross-correlation with the M2 binary mask. These radial velocities are listed, along with their associated error, FWHM, contrast, and bisector slope, in Table 3. The radial velocities show a variation in-phase with the photometric period and phase. The semi-amplitude is large (K = 166 ± 11 m s\(^{-1}\)), indicating a Jupiter-mass planet. We plot the phase-wrapped radial velocities in Figure 3. Again, these data are used in the global modelling for the NGTS-1b system detailed in Section 3.2.

We also wavelength shift and combine all seven spectra to create a single, high signal-to-noise spectrum from HARPS. This spectrum is used to characterise the stellar properties of NGTS-1; see Section 3.1.

3 ANALYSIS

The observations made in Section 2 are combined with archival data from various photometric surveys in order to fully characterise the NGTS-1b system. In this Section we detail that analysis, in addition to analysing the data for stellar activity, rotation, and background contamination.

3.1 Stellar Properties

One of the difficulties with characterising M-dwarfs is that in the optical they are faint and have spectra dominated by strong molecular bands (Lépine et al. 2013). Metallicity is especially difficult to determine, with the best methods requiring K-band spectra to measure the CaI and NaI lines from 2.2–2.3 μm (Rojas-Ayala et al. 2010). This impacts on the stellar parameters since the stellar radius for M-dwarfs is highly metallicity dependent.

Nevertheless, we are able to use the combined HARPS spectrum (see Section 2.3) to spectral-type NGTS-1. We compared the combined HARPS spectrum using M-dwarf spectral templates derived from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (Rebassa-Mansergas et al. 2007). The HARPS spectrum is not globally flux-calibrated, and we therefore fitted the M-dwarf templates over a 100 Å wide band of the HARPS spectrum, recording the value of the local χ\(^2\). This band was then sliced across the full spectral range, and a global χ\(^2\) was computed from the sum of all the local values. The spectral type determined from this procedure is M0.5 ± 0.5, any later types are clearly excluded by the relative strengths of the molecular absorption bands, and by the width of the NaI 5890/96 Å lines. Although metallicity cannot be well determined, we see no evidence of the star being extremely metal rich or poor in comparison to M-dwarf templates (Savcheva et al. 2014), and therefore we adopt a metallicity of [M/H] = 0 for our analysis. From the HARPS spectrum we can also place an upper limit on the vsini of NGTS-1 at 1.0 km s\(^{-1}\), consistent with the possible rotational period derived from the photometry and discussed in Section 3.3.

Given the indication from the combined HARPS spectrum that the host was an early M-dwarf, we utilise the recent empirical relations that are based on extensive studies of nearby M-dwarfs. In particular, we use the relations of Mann et al. (2015) (hereafter M15) and Benedict et al. (2016) (hereafter B16), along with modelling the stellar SED, to estimate mass, radius and T\(_{\text{eff}}\) values for NGTS-1. We took three main steps:

Figure 2. The z-band Eulercam light curve for NGTS-1 on the UT night of 2017 February 26 from La Silla Observatory, Chile. Black datapoints are the individual 180 s exposures. All other symbols as for Figure 1.

Figure 3. Phase-wrapped radial velocity measurements for NGTS-1 from the HARPS spectrograph on the ESO 3.6 m at La Silla Observatory, Chile. The red line and pink shaded regions show the median and the 1 and 2-sigma uncertainties, respectively, of the posterior model based on the global modelling set out in Section 3.2. The horizontal dashed line shows the systemic radial velocity of NGTS-1. The residuals to the global model are shown below the radial velocity data.
Table 3. Radial Velocities for NGTS-1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HJD</th>
<th>RV</th>
<th>RV err</th>
<th>FWHM</th>
<th>contrast</th>
<th>BIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7780.712430</td>
<td>97.310</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>3.783</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>-0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7784.657148</td>
<td>97.032</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>3.718</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7785.598834</td>
<td>97.218</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>3.730</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7786.618897</td>
<td>97.268</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>3.671</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>-0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7789.645749</td>
<td>97.121</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>3.737</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>-0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7790.641250</td>
<td>97.106</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>3.735</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>-0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7791.655373</td>
<td>97.336</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>3.693</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>-0.006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(i) We estimated \( T_{\text{eff}} \), radius and mass using M15. \( T_{\text{eff}} \) was estimated from the system’s broadband colours, which gave \( T_{\text{eff}} = 3867 \pm 107 \) K. The radius was then estimated from this \( T_{\text{eff}} \) value, which gave \( R_{\ast} = 0.573 \pm 0.077 R_{\odot} \) (note this is not a tight relation given we do not know the metallicity). The radius was then used to determine the absolute \( K_{\ast} \) band magnitude (\( M_{K_{\ast}} \)), which in turn gave an expected mass of \( M_{\ast} = 0.598 \pm 0.011 M_{\odot} \). This step is not particularly intuitive, but it becomes possible because of the implicit \( T_{\text{eff}} \) prediction underlying the radius estimation and the fact that the error ellipses in \( T_{\text{eff}} \)-radius space and radius-\( M_{K_{\ast}} \) space are orthogonal. We use this mass estimate only to check our final error ellipses in \( M_{\ast} \)-radius space (fixing \([M/H]=0\) within a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) process, whose mean function is a transit model. The radial velocity data are modelled simultaneously with the available bandpasses (see Table 4) and interpolated in PHOENIX v2 model atmospheres (Allard et al. 2011) with \( \rho_{\text{APASS}} \) \( (\text{mas } y^{-1}) \) \( -32.9 \pm 2.0 \) \( \text{UCAC4} \) \( \rho_{\text{APASS}} \) \( (\text{mas } y^{-1}) \) \( -39.6 \pm 1.8 \) \( \text{UCAC4} \).

Table 4. Stellar Properties for NGTS-1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Astrometric Properties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.A.</td>
<td>05h30m51.45</td>
<td>2MASS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>-36°39’50.83”</td>
<td>2MASS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2MASS I.D.</td>
<td>J05305145-3637508</td>
<td>2MASS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_{\text{APASS}} ) ( (\text{mas } y^{-1}) )</td>
<td>-32.9 \pm 2.0</td>
<td>UCAC4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_{\text{APASS}} ) ( (\text{mas } y^{-1}) )</td>
<td>-39.6 \pm 1.8</td>
<td>UCAC4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photometric Properties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V (mag)</td>
<td>15.524 \pm 0.083</td>
<td>APASS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B (mag)</td>
<td>16.912 \pm 0.077</td>
<td>APASS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g (mag)</td>
<td>16.288 \pm 0.000</td>
<td>APASS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r (mag)</td>
<td>14.999 \pm 0.006</td>
<td>APASS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i (mag)</td>
<td>14.328 \pm 0.022</td>
<td>APASS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G (mag)</td>
<td>14.702 \pm 0.001</td>
<td>Gaia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGTS (mag)</td>
<td>14.320 \pm 0.02</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J (mag)</td>
<td>12.702 \pm 0.024</td>
<td>2MASS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H (mag)</td>
<td>12.058 \pm 0.022</td>
<td>2MASS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K (mag)</td>
<td>11.936 \pm 0.027</td>
<td>2MASS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W1 (mag)</td>
<td>11.800 \pm 0.023</td>
<td>WISE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W2 (mag)</td>
<td>11.779 \pm 0.022</td>
<td>WISE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Derived Properties

\( T_{\text{eff}} \) \( (K) \) \( 3916 \pm 71 \) \( \text{SED fitting} \) \( [M/H] \) \( 0 \) \( \text{HARPS Spectrum} \) \( v_{\text{sin}} \) \( (\text{km } s^{-1}) \) \( < 1.0 \) \( \text{HARPS Spectrum} \) \( y_{\text{RV}} \) \( (\text{km } s^{-1}) \) \( 97.1808 \pm 0.0070 \) \( \text{HARPS Spectrum} \) \( \log g \) \( 4.71 \pm 0.23 \) \( \text{ER} \) \( M_{\ast} \) \( (M_{\odot}) \) \( 0.617^{+0.032}_{-0.062} \) \( \text{ER} \) \( R_{\ast} \) \( (R_{\odot}) \) \( 0.573 \pm 0.077 \) \( \text{ER} \) \( \rho \) \( (\text{g } \text{cm}^{-2}) \) \( 4.4^{+2.4}_{-1.0} \) \( \text{ER} \) \( \text{Distance (pc)} \) \( 224^{+34}_{-44} \) \( \text{SED fitting} \) \( 2MASS \) (Skrutskie et al. 2006); UCAC4 (Zacharias et al. 2013); APASS (Henden & Munari 2014); WISE (Wright et al. 2010); Gaia (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016); ER = empirical relations using Benedict et al. (2016) and Mann et al. (2015).

We adopt, as our final stellar parameters, the \( T_{\text{eff}} \) and distance estimates from our SED modelling, the radius estimate from M15, and the mass estimate from B16; these are reported in Table 4.

3.2 Global Modelling

Using the photometric and radial velocity data set out in Section 2, in addition to the stellar parameters set out in Section 3.1, we globally model the system in order to determine the stellar and planetary parameters for the NGTS-1b system. We use the GP-EBOP transiting planet and eclipsing binary model. GP-EBOP is fully described in Gillen et al. (2017), where it is applied to eclipsing binaries and a transiting brown dwarf, and we further note its tested ability to model transiting planets, e.g. Pepper et al. (2017). In GP-EBOP, the full light curve is modelled as a Gaussian process, whose mean function is a transit model. The radial velocity data are modelled simultaneously with the available photometry and incorporates a jitter term to allow for stellar activity variations and instrumental systematics in the data. GP-EBOP explores the posterior parameter space using an
affine-invariant MCMC. We use priors on stellar density and limb darkening parameters following (Gillen et al. 2017).

One difficulty in modelling this system is that the transit is grazing, which is relatively likely given the radius ratio between the planet and the star (0.239 $10^{0.100}$). Such a configuration results in a strong degeneracy between the impact parameter ($b$) and the planetary radius ($R_p$). To help break this degeneracy we construct a prior on the radius of the planet based on the existing population of hot Jupiters. To a first approximation the two measurable quantities that influence the radius of hot Jupiters are mass (Hatzes & Rauer 2015) and incident flux (Demory & Seager 2011). Using these parameters from the GP-EBOP global modelling with a prior on the stellar density, free-eccentricity and with/without (blue/orange) the adoption of the planet density prior.

radius we measure for this planet is not as robust as for most transiting gas giants in the literature, and simply represents our best estimate based on all available data for this system and the population gas giant planets in general.

Using these parameters from the GP-EBOP global modelling and the stellar properties as derived in Section 3.1, we derive the planet parameters for NGTS-1b. These planet parameters are set out in Table 6, and show that NGTS-1b is a Jupiter mass ($0.812^{+0.066}_{-0.075} M_J$) planet with a radius of $1.33^{+0.61}_{-0.33} R_J$.

3.3 Stellar Activity and Rotation

Analysis of the Hα line in the combined HARPS spectrum does not show any sign of emission that we would associate with activity, and there is no flaring detected in the NGTS photometry. The star is not coincident with any catalogued X-ray source, and there is no X-ray detection in the XMM-Slew survey (Saxton et al. 2008). The XMM-Slew survey data provides a model-dependent upper limit of $5.7 \times 10^{-13} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ in the 0.2–2 keV band, and across the 0.2–12 keV band the upper limit is $1.4 \times 10^{-12} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$.

This is not surprising, as M-dwarfs of this effective temperature are expected to have flux levels below this upper limit (Stelzer et al. 2013).

M-dwarfs often have a larger fraction of spot coverage on their surface in comparison to FGK stars, and such spots may be revealed in photometric data as long term variability at the stellar rotation period. To investigate this for NGTS-1 we ran the CLEAN algorithm (Roberts et al. 1987) to identify periods and a Lomb-Scargle analysis (Lomb 1976) to estimate their significance using the NGTS photometric data. The result is set out in Figure 6. No significant signal was found. The broad peak at a period of approximately 43d corresponds to a signal with an amplitude of $\approx 1.5 \text{ mmag}$, which is at the level of expected systematic noise. However, such a rotation period would be consistent with rotation rates for low-activity M-dwarfs (McQuillan et al. 2013), and is similar to the other M-dwarf gas planet host, HATS-6.

Figure 4. The fitted spectral energy distribution (black line) for NGTS-1 based on the photometric data (cyan points) presented in Table 4. The magenta triangles show the model flux at the wavelengths of the photometric data.

Figure 5. The posterior distributions for five key parameters from the GP-EBOP global modelling with a prior on the stellar density, free-eccentricity and with/without (blue/orange) the adoption of the planet density prior.
Table 5. Fitted and derived parameters of the models applied to ecc + $\rho_x$ prior and ecc + $\rho_x$ & $\rho_y$ priors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Value ecc + $\rho_x$ prior</th>
<th>Value ecc + $\rho_x$ &amp; $\rho_y$ priors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sum of radii</td>
<td>$R_1 + R_2$</td>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>0.139 \pm 0.034</td>
<td>0.1021 \pm 0.0108 -0.0087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius ratio</td>
<td>$R_2/R_1$</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.76 \pm 0.68</td>
<td>0.239 \pm 0.100 -0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbital inclination</td>
<td>$i$</td>
<td>$^\circ$</td>
<td>82.8 \pm 2.3</td>
<td>85.27 \pm 0.61 -0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbital period</td>
<td>$P$</td>
<td>days</td>
<td>2.647297 \pm 0.000021</td>
<td>2.647298 \pm 0.000020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of transit centre</td>
<td>$T_{\text{centre}}$</td>
<td>BJD</td>
<td>2457720.65939 \pm 0.00067</td>
<td>2457720.65940 \pm 0.00062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sqrt{\epsilon} \cos \omega$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01 \pm 0.11</td>
<td>-0.001 \pm 0.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sqrt{\epsilon} \sin \omega$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.05 \pm 0.12</td>
<td>0.02 \pm 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear LDC</td>
<td>$u^{NGTS}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.40 \pm 0.14</td>
<td>0.46 \pm 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-linear LDC</td>
<td>$u^{NGTS}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.14 \pm 0.23</td>
<td>0.12 \pm 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear LDC</td>
<td>$u^{pler}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.30 \pm 0.11</td>
<td>0.322 \pm 0.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-linear LDC</td>
<td>$u^{pler}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.21 \pm 0.24</td>
<td>0.21 \pm 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out-of-transit variability parameters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amplitude</td>
<td>$A^{NGTS}$</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.00228 \pm 0.00033 0.00027</td>
<td>0.00228 \pm 0.00035 0.00028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timescale</td>
<td>$b^{NGTS}$</td>
<td>days</td>
<td>2.76 \pm 0.29 0.46</td>
<td>2.76 \pm 0.29 0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White noise term</td>
<td>$\sigma^{NGTS}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.180 \pm 0.016</td>
<td>1.181 \pm 0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amplitude</td>
<td>$A^{pler}$</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.035 \pm 0.056 0.025</td>
<td>0.065 \pm 0.179 0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timescale</td>
<td>$b^{pler}$</td>
<td>days</td>
<td>2.32 \pm 0.61 0.83</td>
<td>2.48 \pm 0.50 0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White noise term</td>
<td>$\sigma^{pler}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.16 \pm 0.13</td>
<td>1.17 \pm 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radial velocity parameters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic velocity</td>
<td>$V_{\text{sys}}$</td>
<td>km s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>97.1801 \pm 0.0071 0.0078</td>
<td>97.1809 \pm 0.0070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary RV semi-amplitude</td>
<td>$K_{\text{pri}}$</td>
<td>km s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.166 \pm 0.012</td>
<td>0.166 \pm 0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HARPS White noise term</td>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{HARPS}}$</td>
<td>km s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.0080 \pm 0.016 0.0057</td>
<td>0.0071 \pm 0.0101 0.0049</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* LDC = limb darkening coefficient

which has a probable rotation period of 35.1 d (Hartman et al. 2015).

3.4 Archival Images

Due to the relatively high proper motion of NGTS-1 ($-32.9 \pm 2.0$ mas y$^{-1}$, $-39.6 \pm 1.8$ mas y$^{-1}$), we are able to study archival images of NGTS-1 to help rule out blended background stars currently within the point-spread function of NGTS-1. The earliest image available is a photographic plate taken in 1976 December 26 as part of the SERC-J Survey on the UK Schmidt 1.2 m telescope at Siding Spring Observatory, Australia. The plate has been digitized as part of the STScI Digitized Sky Survey. From this image we identify NGTS-1 at 05:30:51.543, -36:37:50.49. Subsequent Digitized Sky Survey images are from 1985, 1992, and 1995. 2MASS imaged NGTS-1 in 1999. Our own imaging is from 2016. From these images we confirm the high proper motion, totalling 2.7″ over 40 years. This is consistent with the proper motion as reported in UCAC4 (see Table 4). The archival imaging allows us to rule out any background star at a significant flux level within the current NGTS point-spread function.

3.5 Kinematics

Using the proper motion (R.A.: $-32.9 \pm 2.0$ mas y$^{-1}$, Dec.: $-39.6 \pm 1.8$ mas y$^{-1}$), the absolute radial velocity (97.1808 ± 0.0070 km s$^{-1}$), and the estimated distance of 224 ± 72 pc, we calculate the $(U_{\text{LSR}}, V_{\text{LSR}}, W_{\text{LSR}})$ galactic motion for NGTS-1 to be (113 ± 8.3, −57.2 ± 1.6, −79.7 ± 7.6) km s$^{-1}$. This suggests that NGTS-1 is a member of the thick disk population, and may therefore be a very old system. The major uncertainty in obtaining the galactic motion is the uncertainty in the distance to NGTS-1. It will be interesting to confirm the motion after
Lomb-Scargle Power

Our hope is that 2-minute cadence is not well constrained and is strongly influenced by the grazing nature of the transit, the radius of NGTS-1b (M_{P} = 0.32 M_J, R_p = 0.96 R_J) and the CLEAN periodogram (blue, dashed line) for NGTS-1 (Johnson et al. 2012) and HATS-81 (M_{P} = 0.96 M_J, R_p = 1.00 R_J). The peak at 43 d may be due to spot rotation.

4 DISCUSSION

NGTS-1b is a Jupiter-mass planet orbiting an M0-dwarf star in a 2.647298 ± 0.000020 day period. As such, it is just the third transiting gas giant to be discovered around an M-dwarf, and with M_p = 0.812 ± 0.066 M_J it is easily the most massive planet known transiting an M-dwarf. The two previously discovered examples are Kepler-45b (M_p = 0.505 M_J, R_p = 0.96 R_J, Johnson et al. 2012) and HATS-6b (M_p = 0.32 M_J, R_p = 1.00 R_J, Hartman et al. 2015). Due to the grazing nature of the transit, the radius of NGTS-1b is not well constrained and is strongly influenced by the density prior we use. Our hope is that 2-minute cadence data from the NASA Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS) mission (Ricker et al. 2014) will allow us to improve this estimate and model the system without the need for a prior on the planet density.

4.1 Giant Planet Formation around M-dwarfs

Planet formation theory suggests that giant planets should be rarer around M-dwarfs than around FGK stars (Kennedy & Kenyon 2008). Dynamical time-scales around lower-mass stars are longer, slowing the process(es) of planet formation (e.g., Laughlin et al. 2004), and the mass budget is also lower (as protoplanetary disc masses decrease approximately linearly with stellar mass; Andrews et al. 2013). Thus determining the frequency of giant planets around M-dwarfs should yield important new insights into the planet formation process.

It has long been known that for solar-type host stars the incidence of giant planets increases very sharply with increasing host star metallicity (Fischer & Valenti 2005), and very few giant planets have been detected around stars with significantly sub-solar metallicity. However, the same correlation is not seen for Neptunes or super-Earths, whose incidence appears largely independent of metallicity (Souza et al. 2008; Buchhave & Latham 2015; Jenkins et al. 2017). By robustly determining the metallicity of NGTS-1 and systems like this we will be able to probe if the correlation between metallicity and giant planet frequency remains true for low mass stars.

Probing the frequency of gas giants, and in particular, hot Jupiters orbiting M-dwarfs, is difficult. The intrinsic low luminosity of M-dwarfs make them hard to monitor for radial velocity surveys. The largest M-dwarf radial velocity survey, by Bonfils et al. (2013), was able to put an upper limit of ≈1% for P < 10 d and masses of 0.1 < m sin i < 1.0 M_J. However this result does not constrain M-dwarfs to have a lower frequency of hot Jupiters than FGK stars, which is robustly determined from the Kepler mission to be 0.43 ± 0.05% for P < 10 d and 0.55 < R_p < 2 (Fressin et al. 2013). Transit surveys face similar difficulties, with low luminosities meaning only a small population of M-dwarfs have been photometrically monitored for transits compared with FGK stars. Small telescope surveys such as WASP or HATNet do not detect very faint or red objects and so do not have the ability to cover a significant population of M-dwarfs. Surveys using larger telescopes but single-star monitoring, such as MEarth, do not monitor enough M-dwarfs to probe the frequency of hot Jupiters (Berta et al. 2013). Kepler, with a 1 m aperture, was able to monitor M-dwarfs in its field-of-view, but since it only covered 100 sq. deg., that population has been limited to approximately 4000 M-dwarfs (Dressing & Charbonneau 2013). NGTS is therefore currently the best placed survey to determine the frequency of hot Jupiters orbiting early M-dwarfs, monitoring approximately 20000 early-type M-dwarfs per year as part of the regular search campaigns. However robust statistics will only be available after a larger number of fields (hence M-dwarfs) have been monitored over the coming years.

4.2 JWST Potential

The suite of instruments on-board the James Webb Space Telescope (JWST) will allow for detailed characterisation of transiting exoplanets (Beichman et al. 2014). In particular, transmission spectroscopy of short-period transiting gas giant planets is foreseen as one of the key tasks early in the mission lifetime (Stevenson et al. 2016).
At $J=12.7$, NGTS-1 is about 2 magnitudes fainter than the "community targets" proposed by Stevenson et al. (2016) for a JWST Early Release Science Program. However due to the large radius of the planet compared with its host star, the expected transmission signal is relatively high. The signal size per scale height, $\Delta D$, assuming a cloud-free atmosphere at a constant equilibrium temperature, $T_{eq}$, is given by:

$$\Delta D \approx \frac{2k_B T_{eq} R_P}{\mu g R_s^2} \left(1 - \frac{R_s}{R_P}\right)$$

(1)

where $R_P$ and $R_s$ are the planetary and stellar radii, respectively, $\mu$ is the mean molecular weight, $g$ is the planet’s gravity, and $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant. Assuming a mean molecular weight of 2.2u, and applying a factor of 0.5 to account for the transit being grazing, we calculate a signal size of $\Delta D = 146$ for NGTS-1b. We see NGTS-1b has a signal comparable to the JWST community targets, though with a very different host star mass (see Figure 7). In addition, the short period of NGTS-1b ($P=2.54\pm0.0020$) means there will be many available transits per year, and its location on the sky (06°30'51.545'513, -36°37'50.832') means that it lies ~30° from the JWST southern continuous viewing zone, which will allow for over 200 d per year of observing opportunity from JWST. The complicating factor here is the fact that NGTS-1b is undergoing a grazing transit, which means that robust atmospheric transmission spectroscopy will require a better understanding of the limb darkening parameters for this host star than is typically the case for a more centrally crossing transit. It also means the transit is shorter in duration, so there is less in-transit time per orbit for transmission spectroscopy.

4.3 Possible Thick Disk Membership

As discussed in Section 3.5, the kinematics of NGTS-1 is consistent with a star from the thick disk population. Additionally, this M-dwarf appears to be slowly rotating and shows no signs of activity from both photometric and spectroscopic indicators. Therefore it seems likely that this system is very old, indicating that giant planet formation around M-dwarfs was possible from an early point in the Galaxy’s history. However IR spectroscopy will help determine if the stellar metallicity confirms this picture, as will the more robust distance measurement which will be part of GAIA DR2.

5 CONCLUSIONS

The discovery of NGTS-1b demonstrates the capability of NGTS to probe early M-dwarfs for transiting planets - the result of the 20cm aperture telescopes coupled to red-sensitive CCDs. In the full course of the survey, enough early M-dwarfs will be monitored to allow us to provide statistics for these host stars such as the frequency of hot Jupiters around early M-dwarfs.

The TESS mission (Ricker et al. 2014) plans to focus on early M-dwarfs in order to be able to detect potentially habitable planets. NGTS-1 has an $i$-band magnitude of 14.38 ± 0.02, which for TESS will be in a sky- and readout-limited regime and therefore will only have an expected precision of 3mmag per hour (c.f. the NGTS light curve is much better than this expected TESS precision at this magnitude). However given the depth of the NGTS-1b transit and the approximately continuous observing window function from TESS, planets similar to NGTS-1b should be picked up very easily by TESS promising to shed more light on giant planets around low-mass stars.
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