

**Original citation:**

Marwaha, Steven, Beirne, Thomas, Broome, Matthew, Upthegrove, Rachel, Rowland, Tobias and Thompson, Andrew D. (2017) The views of early intervention service staff on the treatment of first episode bipolar disorder. *International Journal of Psychiatry in Clinical Practice* . pp. 1-7.

**Permanent WRAP URL:**

<http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/95371>

**Copyright and reuse:**

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work by researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions. Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRAP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

**Publisher's statement:**

"This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis Group in *International Journal of Psychiatry in Clinical Practice* on 21/11/2017, available online: <http://www.tandfonline.com/10.1080/13651501.2017.1401640>

**A note on versions:**

The version presented here may differ from the published version or, version of record, if you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the 'permanent WRAP URL' above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: [wrap@warwick.ac.uk](mailto:wrap@warwick.ac.uk)

1  
2  
3 **The views of early intervention service staff on the treatment of first episode bipolar**  
4 **disorder**  
5  
6

7  
8 \*Steven Marwaha<sup>1,2</sup>, Tom Beirne<sup>1</sup>, Matthew Broome<sup>3,4</sup>, Rachel Upthegrove<sup>5,6</sup>, Tobias  
9  
10 Rowland<sup>1,2</sup>, Andrew Thompson<sup>1,7</sup>  
11

12  
13 \*Corresponding author  
14

15  
16 Tel: +44 2476151046  
17

18  
19 s.marwaha@warwick.ac.uk  
20  
21  
22  
23

24  
25 <sup>1</sup>Mental Health and Wellbeing, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry,  
26  
27 UK;  
28

29  
30 <sup>2</sup>Affective Disorders Service, Caludon Centre, Coventry, UK;  
31

32  
33 <sup>3</sup>Department of Psychiatry and Faculty of Philosophy, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK  
34

35  
36 Warneford Hospital, Oxford Health NHS Foundation Trust, Oxford, UK;  
37

38  
39 <sup>4</sup>Institute of Advanced Teaching and Learning, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK;  
40

41  
42 <sup>5</sup>Institute of Clinical Sciences, School of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of  
43  
44 Birmingham;  
45

46  
47 <sup>6</sup>Early Intervention Service, Forward Thinking Birmingham;  
48

49  
50 <sup>7</sup>North Warwickshire Early Intervention in Psychosis Service, Coventry and Warwickshire  
51  
52 Partnership NHS Trust, Coventry, UK.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 **The views of early intervention service staff on the treatment of first episode bipolar**  
4 **disorder**  
5  
6

7  
8 **Abstract**  
9

10 ***Objective***  
11

12  
13  
14 Little is known about how first episode bipolar disorder (BD) is managed in early  
15 intervention for psychosis services (EIS). We aimed to investigate the knowledge and views  
16 of EIS staff on the assessment and treatment of BD.  
17  
18  
19

20  
21 ***Methods***  
22

23  
24 A 27-item anonymised online questionnaire was distributed to EIS mental health  
25 professionals in England. Descriptive data analysis was undertaken.  
26  
27

28  
29 ***Results***  
30

31  
32 Responses were received from 117 EIS staff. Most were 'fairly confident' in their knowledge  
33 about causes, presentations, and relapse indicators of BD, but less confident on  
34 pharmacological and psychological treatments. 85% expressed the view that more BD  
35 training was necessary in this area with 78% reporting no clear care packages within the  
36 service. 72% believe early BD should be treated within EIS only if patients have psychosis.  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

43  
44 ***Conclusions***  
45

46  
47 Clearer care packages and staff training are needed for EIS staff to optimise care for bipolar  
48 disorder.  
49  
50

51  
52  
53  
54 **Key words:** bipolar disorder, first episode, early intervention, staff, views  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Introduction

Bipolar disorder is a severely debilitating mental illness that affects around 1% of the population (Pini et al. 2005). Residual depressive symptoms are common and 90% suffering multiple episodes (Angst and Sellaro 2000). There is evidence of accumulating cognitive and functional disability and lower treatment efficacy with each increasing episode number (American Psychiatric Association 2013; Martin and Smith 2013), and therefore there has been increasing interest in whether early intervention (EI) focussing on the early course of bipolar disorder improves outcomes (Berk et al. 2009). Current evidence suggests EI is more clinically and cost effective compared to standard out-patient treatment (Joyce et al. 2016). However specialist services for this group are limited.

In the UK, people with a first episode of bipolar disorder (mania) with psychotic symptoms are likely to be managed within early intervention in psychosis services (EIS). These are specialist services designed to help young people with a first episode of psychosis. First episode bipolar disorder (manic psychosis) makes up around 5-20% (Macneil et al. 2011; Marwaha et al. 2016) of EIS caseloads. Studies of people with bipolar disorder within EIS indicate this group have better functioning and quality of life at follow-up in comparison to people with schizoaffective disorder or non-affective psychosis (Macneil et al. 2012; Macneil et al. 2011). However, little is known about what care this group actually receive within EIS and the knowledge and views of EIS staff is one important aspect of answering this question. This knowledge could enable a better understanding of whether EIS are optimally set up for people with bipolar disorder or whether further development or policy initiatives are needed. The aims of this study were therefore to understand the knowledge and views of EIS staff in treating and managing BD.

## Methods

1  
2  
3 A 27 item on-line questionnaire was used to gather the views of mental health professionals  
4 within EIS in England. Ethical approval was attained from the Biomedical and Scientific  
5 Research Ethics Committee at Warwick University, UK.  
6  
7

8  
9  
10 Questionnaire development involved constructing items related to broad themes around the  
11 identification and treatment of people with first episode BD within EIS. The questionnaire  
12 covered a number of areas: (i). clinician age, sex, professional background, number of years  
13 working within EIS, number of people with BD on caseload (ii). knowledge and treatment of  
14 early BD (iii) team resources for BD and training needs (iv) views of whether people with  
15 BD should be treated in EIS, in what context and why. Responses to most questions were  
16 designed around a scale with 4 items so that a neutral response was not allowed. Two  
17 questions gave the opportunity for free text responses. We defined first episode BD using the  
18 DSM-5's definition of "at least one episode of elated/irritable mood with increased  
19 activity/energy" ([American Psychiatric Association 2013](#)~~Association 2015~~) and "early BD"  
20 meaning early in illness course.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

35 The pilot questionnaire containing 27 items created by one of the investigators (TB) was  
36 reviewed by three medical students, and other research team members (SM and AT) who are  
37 clinical academic psychiatrists with substantial experience of working within EIS. Feedback  
38 on content, readability and acceptability was obtained, and item content and language refined  
39 or further explained. After piloting, the questionnaire was modified with the inclusion of  
40 definitions to reduce ambiguity of terms used in the survey. The final survey questions are  
41 shown in Appendix A.  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50  
51 A request to complete the questionnaire was sent out to mental health professionals working  
52 in EIS teams via the IRIS Early Intervention in Psychosis Network, a national network of EIS  
53 managers and lead clinicians. Additionally, direct approaches were made to early intervention  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 teams in Coventry, North Warwickshire, Oxfordshire, Birmingham and Leicester. A reminder  
4  
5 with a stated deadline was sent after the first and 4<sup>th</sup> week and the questionnaire link  
6  
7 remained live for 8 weeks. Participation was voluntary. The questionnaire was anonymous.  
8  
9

### 10 *Analysis strategy*

11  
12 All responses were analysed descriptively. Frequency and percentage endorsement of each of  
13  
14 the possibilities on the likert scales for each question were calculated. Post-hoc chi square  
15  
16 tests were performed to assess if there was a significant difference in responses between  
17  
18 medical and other mental health professionals.  
19  
20

### 21 **Results**

22  
23 Responses were obtained from 117 mental health professionals working in England. The  
24  
25 sample comprised 25 Psychiatrists, 34 community psychiatric nurses, 17 team managers, 17  
26  
27 clinical psychologists, 9 occupational health therapists, 4 support time recovery worker and  
28  
29 10 others. There were 73 female respondents and 43 male respondents with one respondent  
30  
31 not reporting their gender. The average mean time of clinical experience was 6 years with a  
32  
33 range of less than a year to 18 years.  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38

39  
40 On average, the proportion of patients on staff caseloads who had a diagnosis of BD was  
41  
42 10.4%, with the range being 0%-50%. On initial inspection of the data, medical staff  
43  
44 appeared to be responding differently to other mental health professionals and therefore we  
45  
46 performed Chi squared analysis post hoc to investigate if responses were statistically  
47  
48 different.  
49  
50

### 51 *Knowledge of assessment and management of bipolar disorder*

52  
53  
54 [Table 1]  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Respondent views on these sections of the survey are shown in table 1. The majority of  
4  
5 respondents (64%) were 'fairly confident' in their knowledge of BD with fewer than 2% of  
6  
7 the sample being 'not at all confident'. Doctors were significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) more confident  
8  
9 that they knew the risk factors and course of BD compared to other mental health  
10  
11 professionals. There was no significant difference between medical and other mental health  
12  
13 professionals in recognising when someone with BD might relapse or on recognising the  
14  
15 difference between affective and non-affective psychosis.  
16  
17

18  
19 In terms of knowledge about treatment of bipolar depression, mania and maintenance  
20  
21 treatment, level of confidence was generally lower (commonest responses of "fairly  
22  
23 confident", range: 44-60%) in comparison to items that measured confidence in knowledge of  
24  
25 course, risk factors, signs of relapse of BD (commonest responses of "fairly confident",  
26  
27 range: 62-84%). There were no significant differences between medical staff and others in  
28  
29 knowledge of the use of mood stabilisers in early BD but significant differences did emerge  
30  
31 in all other questions regarding the pharmacological management of BD (Table 1).  
32  
33 Differences between professional groups did not exist when confidence in the use of  
34  
35 psychological treatments in BD was assessed.  
36  
37  
38  
39

#### 40 ***Staff views on team resources for treatment of BD within their EIS and training needs***

41  
42 [Table 2]

43  
44  
45 [Figure 1]

46  
47  
48 Absolute values and percentages related to participant responses to survey items can be found  
49  
50 in table 2. Most respondents (77%) were most likely to answer "somewhat", when asked to  
51  
52 what extent their EIS is set up to treat people with early BD. With regards to whether they are  
53  
54 able to deliver evidenced based care the commonest answer (59%) was 'somewhat'. Only a  
55  
56 minority (22%) of staff reported having a clear care package for the treatment of BD within  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 their EIS (Fig. 1). The bulk of respondents (85%) expressed a desire for more training on  
4  
5 recognising and managing early BD and compared to medical staff, other health professionals  
6  
7 were significantly ( $p<0.05$ ) more likely to endorse this.  
8  
9

### 10 ***Should people with bipolar disorder be managed within EIS and why?***

11  
12  
13 One in five staff (21%) stated people with BD should be managed in EIS whether they have  
14  
15 psychotic symptoms or not. A minority (7%) do not believe any young person with a  
16  
17 diagnosis of BD should be managed in their EIS. The most common response (72%) was  
18  
19 “only if psychotic” and there were no significant differences between professional groups in  
20  
21 these responses.  
22  
23

24  
25 [Figures 2 and 3]  
26  
27

28 Reasons for people with BD to be managed within EIS, or not, are shown in figures 2 and 3  
29  
30 respectively. The main three reasons for managing BD within EIS was: “*we are used to*  
31  
32 *diagnostic uncertainty*”, “*we have the necessary skills*” and “*there is overlap with treatment*  
33  
34 *of psychosis*”. Respondents main three reasons for believing EIS was not a suitable service  
35  
36 for the management of BD was: “*bipolar disorder patients may dislike being treated within a*  
37  
38 *‘psychosis’ service*”, “*bipolar disorder is better served by those with a special interest in*  
39  
40 *bipolar*”, and “*diagnostic uncertainty is different in bipolar disorder*”.  
41  
42  
43

44 There were only a minimal number of free text responses but included the following:  
45  
46 “*schizophrenia is not ‘non affective’ due to persecutory delusions which are very affective*”,  
47  
48 “*General adult psychiatry is ‘kitchen sink’ for all that does not fit elsewhere. Risk diluting*  
49  
50 *down expertise by asking clinicians to accept early bipolar patients into EIS*”, “*bipolar*  
51  
52 *currently sits within our EIS but will require a dedicated treatment pathway*”, “*important to*  
53  
54 *treat the person as a whole when they present rather than categorise them*” and “*important*  
55  
56 *not to forget depressive phase of illness*”.  
57  
58  
59  
60



## Discussion

Early intervention in BD has been advocated on the basis that a prodrome can be defined (Martin and Smith 2013), there is neuroprogression linked to cognitive and functional difficulties (Berk et al. 2009) and reduced treatment efficacy with increasing episode number. There is a dearth of research evidence on the treatment of this group within EIS and this survey represents an important first step to understanding staff knowledge and views of this group, how well people with early BD might be served and what future service improvements may be needed to optimise the care of early BD.

## Main findings

The study found that respondents believed they had sufficient knowledge on the aetiology, presentations and management of BD, with medical staff being more confident in a number of areas. Most respondents believed their EIS is set up to some extent to treat people with BD and that in the main, they can deliver evidence based care for this group. These generally positive and encouraging messages need to be balanced with lower levels of confidence in treating bipolar depression, mania or providing maintenance or psychological treatment; also only a minority stating they have clear care packages for this group, and the strong desire for more training on BD, especially within the non-medical EIS workforce. Over 70% of those surveyed reported BD should only be treated within their EIS if there was evidence of psychosis. Amongst those that answered BD should not be managed within EIS, the possibility that people with BD may not want to be treated in a psychosis service was the commonest reason given.

## Limitations

Although the questionnaire included a definition of key terms, we cannot be sure all participants interpreted questions in the same way, a problem common to all non-face to face surveys. However, questionnaire development utilised a team approach and questions

1  
2  
3 underwent piloting. Whilst we obtained a relatively good number of responses (N=117) we  
4  
5 do not know the response rate and therefore cannot comment on the representativeness of our  
6  
7 sample. The questionnaire was sent to EIS throughout the country using the EI in Psychosis  
8  
9 Network (IRIS Network) and this together with the professional backgrounds of the  
10  
11 respondents does suggest we may have achieved a level of professional and geographical  
12  
13 spread.  
14

15  
16  
17 Furthermore, the focus of the survey was the knowledge and views of staff on the recognition  
18  
19 and medical treatment of BD within EI services, while there was limited focus on staff  
20  
21 knowledge of psychological and social interventions for BD. It may be that a greater focus on  
22  
23 these aspects of treatment would have resulted in higher levels of confidence from  
24  
25 respondents, and this may explain some of the differences in responses between medical staff  
26  
27 and other professional groups.  
28  
29

30  
31 The survey items asked about respondent's knowledge and views about BD, but we have no  
32  
33 way of assessing how far responses represent actual levels of knowledge of BD and the  
34  
35 nature of services in which staff work. Therefore because of social desirability bias, it is  
36  
37 possible that staff had a tendency to report greater skill levels than is the case in reality,  
38  
39 though this would be less likely to be an issue in responses directed at their views about  
40  
41 whether BD should be treated in EIS. A further limitation common to research investigating  
42  
43 attitudes and opinions is the difficulty in correlating these views with behaviours. There is  
44  
45 evidence that attitudes and opinions have little relationship to clinical practice (Wicker and  
46  
47 University of Wisconsin 1969). However, the identification of further training needs in  
48  
49 assessing and treating bipolar disorder and the perceived gaps in staff knowledge is an  
50  
51 important one, and needs to be addressed if bipolar patients are to remain within EI services  
52  
53 and effectively managed.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

### *Implications*

Early intervention of BD is in its infancy (Marwaha et al. 2016) but pathways for further development may involve either embedding early intervention for BD in youth services, developing bespoke BD EIS, or continuing with this group's care within EIS for first episode psychosis. This study suggests that the third of these potential models, and the only currently existing one in England, is likely to need further development and support, to aid care for this group.

Though doctors were more confident than other health professionals in their knowledge of presentation and recognition of BD, no differences emerged in recognizing relapse. Other mental health professionals clearly feel equipped to identify this and are the front line in real world practice. Confidence in knowledge of managing BD compared to knowledge of the condition was lower for all professional groups. Taken together these findings indicate EIS staff may benefit from further training in BD and this was supported by their own high endorsement of this training need.

It was surprising that although the majority of respondents believed their EIS was set up to some extent to treat people with BD, most admitted to having no clear care packages for this group. Although respondents may feel that they can provide individualised care to people with BD within their EIS, the lack of specific care packages for BD patients suggests that ~~This would suggest that management of BD within EIS in England is currently underspecified and~~ further work is necessary in developing frameworks and care packages incorporating guidelines such as those of the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) and / or the British Association of Psychopharmacology (BAP). Aside from pharmacological treatment, psychological approaches specific to first episode BD are

1  
2  
3 also likely to be needed. These take into account disturbances in activation, sleep and  
4  
5 cognitive style and are consistent with a developmental approach (Macneil et al. 2012;  
6  
7 Macneil et al. 2011). Psychoeducation groups, are also thought to be an important component  
8  
9 of treatment for this group (Kessing et al. 2013). The extent to which these more specialised  
10  
11 BD psychological treatments are available within EIS is currently unknown.  
12  
13

14  
15 The views of the majority of staff indicate that they very much view current configurations  
16  
17 suitable only for those with BD who also have psychotic symptoms, with a rejection of the  
18  
19 tenet that those without this symptomatology should be accommodated within EIS. In fact  
20  
21 BD was identified by the NIMHE National Early Intervention Programme acceptance criteria  
22  
23 (NIMHE 2008) as an area in which it may be difficult to decide about acceptance into EIS.  
24  
25 This document suggested that EIS should only accept people with BD with “definite  
26  
27 psychotic symptoms”, the rationale being that services had been configured around the  
28  
29 incidence of schizophreniform psychoses and psychoeducational approaches were focused on  
30  
31 psychosis. It is unclear if that was uniformly followed a decade ago, but also how far that  
32  
33 guidance has persisted with widespread service changes that have taken place in the UK since  
34  
35 that time (The Kings Fund 2015).  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41

42 The NIMHE position is probably inconsistent with providing early intervention to the whole  
43  
44 population of first episode BD. Staff views indicate only a proportion of people with bipolar  
45  
46 I, that is those with psychotic symptoms, should be admitted to EIS. Information on symptom  
47  
48 profiles in first episode BD is lacking. In those with a very severe episode needing hospital  
49  
50 admission, up to 88% may have psychotic symptoms (Tohen et al. 2003). However, the rate  
51  
52 of psychotic symptoms is unclear (and likely to be much lower) in the group who do not  
53  
54 require hospital admission. Research on established cases indicates around 50-60% of people  
55  
56 who suffer from a manic episode will have psychotic symptoms in their various forms  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 (Dunayevich and Keck Jr 2000). Delusions are commoner than hallucinations but tend to last  
4  
5 for less than a week (Morgan et al. 2005). It is unclear if these psychotic symptoms would  
6  
7 satisfy entry criteria for EIS in their current configuration. Indeed, what constitutes psychotic  
8  
9 symptoms may vary between services, especially where symptoms such as flight of ideas are  
10  
11 concerned.  
12

13  
14  
15  
16 In the context of these staff views it is unlikely that people with bipolar II would be eligible  
17  
18 for entry into EIS in England. This is despite high levels of suicidality (Novick et al. 2010),  
19  
20 dysfunction and morbidity in this sub-type of the disorder (Baek et al. 2011). If EI treatment  
21  
22 is to be extended to all with BD then substantial changes within EIS for psychosis would  
23  
24 need to take place for this to be acceptable to EIS mental health professionals. Some staff  
25  
26 believe people with BD might be better served by a service with a special interest in the  
27  
28 condition. Interestingly there was also high endorsement of the idea that people with BD may  
29  
30 themselves feel stigmatised by being managed in a “psychosis” service. However, around 1  
31  
32 in 5 staff believed EIS should manage people with BD irrespective of psychotic symptoms  
33  
34 suggesting a widening of the current remit of EIS would be acceptable to a significant  
35  
36 minority of staff.  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

43  
44 This survey highlights the need for further research in early intervention service level  
45  
46 innovations to help people with first episode BD. Because of the use of likert scales and the  
47  
48 limited scope for adding free text comments in this study future studies may benefit from  
49  
50 using a qualitative approach in interviewing people and analysing responses thematically.  
51  
52 Within current EIS, alongside staff training, new care packages specific to early BD need  
53  
54 development and implementation, and these will need evaluation on the basis of clinical and  
55  
56 cost-effectiveness. If young people with BD, other than those with psychotic symptoms are to  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 be provided early intervention programmes in England more widely, then staff will need to  
4  
5 be engaged and also entry criteria to services will need to be modified.  
6  
7  
8  
9

### 10 **Key points:**

- 13 • This study surveyed a variety of EIS staff through an online questionnaire
- 14
- 15 • EIS staff feel they require further training in the management of bipolar disorder
- 16
- 17 • The majority of EIS staff say their services lack clear care packages for bipolar
- 18 disorder
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22 • The majority of staff felt bipolar disorder should only be treated within EIS if patients
- 23 presented with clear psychotic symptoms
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27 • It remains unclear if EIS has any role in non-psychotic bipolar disorder
- 28

29  
30 **Acknowledgements:** We wish to thank all EIS staff who gave of their time in completing the  
31 survey  
32

33  
34  
35 **Disclosure of Interest:** None to declare  
36  
37  
38  
39

### 40 **References**

- 41  
42  
43 Angst J, Sellaro R. 2000. Historical perspectives and natural history of bipolar disorder.  
44  
45 Biological psychiatry. 48(6):445-457.  
46  
47  
48 American Psychiatric Association. 2013. Diagnostic and Statistic Manual of Mental  
49 Disorders. 5th ed. (DSM-5). Washington (DC): APA  
50  
51  
52 Baek JH, Park DY, Choi J, Kim JS, Choi JS, Ha K, Kwon JS, Lee D, Hong KS. 2011.  
53 Differences between bipolar i and bipolar ii disorders in clinical features,  
54  
55 comorbidity, and family history. Journal of affective disorders. 131(1):59-67.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Berk M, Malhi GS, Hallam K, Gama CS, Dodd S, Andreazza AC, Frey BN, Kapczinski F.  
4  
5 2009. Early intervention in bipolar disorders: Clinical, biochemical and neuroimaging  
6  
7 imperatives. *Journal of affective disorders*. 114(1):1-13.  
8  
9  
10 Dunayevich E, Keck Jr PE. 2000. Prevalence and description of psychotic features in bipolar  
11  
12 mania. *Current psychiatry reports*. 2(4):286-290.  
13  
14 Joyce K, Thompson A, Marwaha S. 2016. Is treatment for bipolar disorder more effective  
15  
16 earlier in illness course? A comprehensive literature review. *Int J Bipolar Disord*.  
17  
18 4(1):19.  
19  
20  
21 Kessing LV, Hansen HV, Hvenegaard A, Christensen EM, Dam H, Gluud C, Wetterslev J,  
22  
23 Group EIADT. 2013. Treatment in a specialised out-patient mood disorder clinic v.  
24  
25 Standard out-patient treatment in the early course of bipolar disorder: Randomised  
26  
27 clinical trial. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*. 202(3):212-219.  
28  
29  
30 Macneil CA, Hasty M, Cotton S, Berk M, Hallam K, Kader L, McGorry P, Conus P. 2012.  
31  
32 Can a targeted psychological intervention be effective for young people following a  
33  
34 first manic episode? Results from an 18-month pilot study. *Early intervention in*  
35  
36 *psychiatry*. 6(4):380-388.  
37  
38  
39 Macneil CA, Hasty MK, Berk M, Henry L, Evans M, Redlich C, Daglas R, McGorry PD,  
40  
41 Conus P. 2011. Psychological needs of adolescents in the early phase of bipolar  
42  
43 disorder: Implications for early intervention. *Early intervention in psychiatry*.  
44  
45 5(2):100-107.  
46  
47  
48 Martin DJ, Smith DJ. 2013. Is there a clinical prodrome of bipolar disorder? A review of the  
49  
50 evidence. *Expert review of neurotherapeutics*. 13(1):89-98.  
51  
52  
53 Marwaha S, A T, Uptegrove R, Broome M. 2016. 15 years on: Early intervention for the  
54  
55 new generation. *British Journal of Psychiatry*.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Morgan VA, Mitchell PB, Jablensky AV. 2005. The epidemiology of bipolar disorder:  
4 Sociodemographic, disability and service utilization data from the Australian national  
5 study of low prevalence (psychotic) disorders. *Bipolar disorders*. 7(4):326-337.  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 NIMHE. 2008. NIMHE national early intervention programme. EIP acceptance  
11 guidance. In: Health Do, editor. Available from: [http://www.iris-  
13 initiative.org.uk/silo/files/eligibility-criteria-for-accessing-eip-service-.pdf](http://www.iris-<br/>12 initiative.org.uk/silo/files/eligibility-criteria-for-accessing-eip-service-.pdf)  
14  
15  
16 Novick DM, Swartz HA, Frank E. 2010. Suicide attempts in bipolar I and bipolar II disorder:  
17 A review and meta-analysis of the evidence. *Bipolar disorders*. 12(1):1-9.  
18  
19  
20 Pini S, de Queiroz V, Pagnin D, Pezawas L, Angst J, Cassano GB, Wittchen H-U. 2005.  
21 Prevalence and burden of bipolar disorders in European countries. *European  
22 Neuropsychopharmacology*. 15(4):425-434.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27 TheKingsFund. 2015. Mental health under pressure. The Kings Fund. Available from:  
28 <https://www.kingsfund.org.uk/publications/mental-health-under-pressure>  
29  
30  
31  
32 Tohen M, Zarate Jr CA, Hennen J, Khalsa H-MK, Strakowski SM, Gebre-Medhin P,  
33 Salvatore P, Baldessarini RJ. 2003. The McLean-Harvard first-episode mania study:  
34 Prediction of recovery and first recurrence. *American Journal of Psychiatry*.  
35 160(12):2099-2107.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40 Wicker AW, University of Wisconsin M. 1969. Attitudes versus actions: The relationship of  
41 verbal and overt behavioral responses to attitude objects. *Journal of Social Issues*.  
42 25(4):41-78.  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

53 Table 1. Respondent confidence in their knowledge and management of bipolar disorder  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



Survey items	How confident				Chi square analysis
	Very confident % / N	Fairly confident %/N	Not confident %/N	Not at all confident %/N	
Distinguishing between non affective psychosis and manic psychosis?	23.2 (26)	<b>64.3 (76)</b>	10.7 (12)	1.8 (2)	$X^2 (5, N = 116) = 7.31, p > 0.05$
Knowledge of risk factors for early bipolar disorder?	14.4 (16)	<b>63.0 (70)</b>	21.6 (24)	0.9 (1)	$X^2 (5, N = 111) = 25.33, p < 0.05^*$
Course of early bipolar disorder?	11.3 (13)	<b>53.9 (62)</b>	33.9 (39)	0.9 (1)	$X^2 (5, N = 115) = 18.56, p < 0.05^*$
Recognising the signs and symptoms that suggest imminent relapse?	24.3 (28)	<b>73.0 (84)</b>	2.6 (3)	0 (0)	$X^2 (5, N = 115) = 7.17, p > 0.05$
Use of mood stabilisers in early bipolar disorder?	17.9 (20)	<b>41.0 (46)</b>	35.7 (40)	5.3 (6)	$X^2 (5, N = 112) = 7.81, p > 0.05.$
Recognising side effects associated with the above medication?	21.6 (24)	<b>45.0 (50)</b>	27.9 (31)	5.4 (6)	$X^2 (5, N = 111) = 42.92, p < 0.05^*$
Use of medication in the treatment of depression in early bipolar disorder?	17.9 (20)	<b>41.1 (46)</b>	35.7 (40)	5.4 (6.0)	$X^2 (5, N = 113) = 36.88, p < 0.05^*$

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Recognising the side effects associated with using the above class of medication?	21.6 (24)	<b>49.5 (55)</b>	23.4 (26)	5.4 (6)	$X^2 (5, N=112) = 36.15, p<0.05^*$
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Use of antipsychotics in the treatment of early bipolar disorder?	21.6 (24)	<b>46.8 (52)</b>	29.5 (33)	2.7 (3)	$X^2 (5, N=112) = 29.33, p<0.05^*$
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Recognising the side effects associated with using the above class of medication?	33.9 (38)	<b>52.7 (60)</b>	11.6 (13)	1.8 (2)	$X^2 (5, N=113) = 26.13, p<0.05^*$
23 24 25 26 27	Using psychological treatments for early bipolar disorder?	25.0 (28)	<b>48.2 (55)</b>	25.0 (28)	1.8 (2)	$X^2 (5, N=113) = 5.64, p>0.05$

28  
29  
30  
31 \*Statistically significant (medical staff more confident)

32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
Commonest responses in bold text.

Table 2: Respondent views on how far their EIS is set up for treating people with bipolar disorder

Survey items	How confident				Chi square analysis
	Very much so	Somewhat	Not really	Not at all	
	%/N	%/N	%/N	%/N	(medical staff compared to other professionals)

What extent is your EIS set up to treat people with early bipolar disorder?	15.8 (18)	<b>67.5 (77)</b>	12.3 (14)	4.4 (5)	$X^2 (5, N=114) = 8.36, p>0.05$
Are you able to deliver evidence based medicine for early bipolar disorder?	16.2 (18)	<b>53.1 (59)</b>	28.8 (32)	0.9 (1)	$X^2 (5, N=112) = 5.61, p>0.05$
	<b>Very desirable</b>	<b>Desirable</b>	<b>Not really</b>	<b>Indifferent</b>	
Desire for more training on recognising and managing early bipolar disorder? 1 being indifferent and 4 being very strongly.	<b>38.2 (44)</b>	35.7 (41)	16.5 (19)	9.6 (11)	$X^2 (5, N=116) = 19.68, p<0.05^*$

Commonest responses in bold text

\*Statistically significant (other mental health professionals more likely to endorse need for training)

Appendix A: Questions within the online survey

1  
2  
3 Background information: sex, role within the early intervention service, years have you  
4 worked within the early intervention service, numbers on caseload, proportion of your  
5 caseload made up of people with early bipolar disorder.  
6  
7  
8

9  
10 How confident are you that you could distinguish between non affective psychosis (such as  
11 schizophrenia) and manic psychosis when a patient presents at the early intervention service?  
12  
13

14  
15 How confident are you that you know the risk factors for early bipolar disorder?  
16  
17

18 How confident are you that you know the course for early bipolar disorder?  
19  
20

21 How confident are you that you can recognise signs and symptoms that suggest someone with  
22 early bipolar disorder is about to relapse?  
23  
24

25  
26 How confident are you that you about the use of mood stabilizers in early bipolar disorder?  
27  
28

29 How confident are you about recognising the side effects associated with using the above  
30 class of medication?  
31  
32

33  
34 How confident are you that you know about the use of medication in the treatment of  
35 depression in early bipolar disorder?  
36  
37

38  
39 How confident are you about recognising the side effects associated with using the above  
40 class of medication?  
41  
42

43  
44 How confident are you that you know about the use of antipsychotics in the treatment of early  
45 bipolar disorder?  
46  
47

48  
49 How confident are you about recognising the side effects associated with using the above  
50 class of medication?  
51  
52

53  
54 How confident are you that you know the psychological treatments for early bipolar disorder?  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 *All above questions answered on a likert scale of: not at all confident, not confident, fairly*  
4  
5 *confident, very confident*  
6  
7

8 To what extent is your EIS set up to treat people with early bipolar disorder?  
9

10 Do you feel you are able to deliver evidence based medicine within the early intervention  
11  
12 service for early bipolar disorder?  
13

14  
15  
16 *All above questions answered on a likert scale of: not at all, not really, somewhat, very much*  
17  
18 so  
19

20 Do you have a clear care package for patients admitted with early bipolar disorder?  
21

22  
23  
24 *Yes, no, unsure*  
25

26 If you answered yes to the above question please indicate how confident you feel in using it.  
27

28  
29  
30 *Not at all confident to very confident*  
31

32 How evenly are your resources split between patients with non-affective psychosis and those  
33  
34 with manic psychosis? 1 being exclusively manic psychosis and 10 being exclusively non  
35  
36 affective psychosis?  
37

38  
39  
40 How strongly do you feel you would benefit from more training on recognizing and  
41  
42 managing early bipolar disorder? 1 being indifferent and 4 being very strongly  
43

44  
45 In your opinion, should treatment for early bipolar disorder sit within EIS for psychosis?  
46

47  
48 *Yes – Whether they have psychosis or not, Yes – But only if they have psychosis, No*  
49

50 Please indicate how strongly you feel about your answer to the above question? 1 being  
51  
52 indifferent and 4 being very strongly  
53

54  
55  
56 If you answered YES to the above question please select the TOP 3 reasons that appeal to  
57  
58 you.  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 *we are youth focused, we have necessary skills, it would be easier to develop bipolar disorder*  
4  
5 *early intervention service within early intervention services for psychosis, there is an overlap*  
6  
7 *of treatment, we are used to diagnostic uncertainty, we currently already offer this, other –*  
8  
9 *their answer.*  
10

11  
12 If you answered NO to the above question please select the TOP 3 reasons that appeal to you.

13  
14  
15 *lack of capacity, skill set does not match what is needed, bipolar disorder better served by*  
16  
17 *those with a special interest in bipolar disorder, bipolar disorder patients may not like being*  
18  
19 *treated within a 'psychosis' service, diagnostic uncertainty is different in bipolar disorder*  
20  
21

22  
23 Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. Is there anything that has not  
24  
25 been asked that you would like to comment on?  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1

Table 1. Respondent confidence in their knowledge and management of bipolar disorder

Survey items	How confident				Chi square analysis <u>(medical staff compared to other professionals)</u>
	Very confident % / N	Fairly confident %/N	Not confident %/N	-Not at all confident %/N	
Distinguishing between non affective psychosis and manic psychosis?	23.2 (26)	<b>64.3 (76)</b>	10.7 (12)	1.8 (2)	X <sup>2</sup> (5, N = 116) = 7.31, p>0.05
Knowledge of risk factors for early bipolar disorder?	14.4 (16)	<b>63.0 (70)</b>	21.6 (24)	0.9 (1)	X <sup>2</sup> (5, N = 111) = 25.33, p<0.05*
Course of early bipolar disorder?	11.3 (13)	<b>53.9 (62)</b>	33.9 (39)	0.9 (1)	X <sup>2</sup> (5, N=115) = 18.56, p<0.05*
Recognising the signs and symptoms that suggest imminent relapse?	24.3 (28)	<b>73.0 (84)</b>	2.6 (3)	0 (0)	X <sup>2</sup> (5, N=115) = 7.17, p>0.05
Use of mood stabilisers in early bipolar disorder?	17.9 (20)	<b>41.0 (46)</b>	35.7 (40)	5.3 (6)	X <sup>2</sup> (5, N=112) = 7.81, p>0.05.
Recognising side effects associated with the above medication?	21.6 (24)	<b>45.0 (50)</b>	27.9 (31)	5.4 (6)	X <sup>2</sup> (5, N=111) = 42.92, p<0.05*
Use of medication in the treatment of depression in early bipolar disorder?	17.9 (20)	<b>41.1 (46)</b>	35.7 (40)	5.4 (6.0)	X <sup>2</sup> (5, N=113) = 36.88, p<0.05*
Recognising the side effects associated with using the above class of medication?	21.6 (24)	<b>49.5 (55)</b>	23.4 (26)	5.4 (6)	X <sup>2</sup> (5, N=112) = 36.15, p<0.05*
Use of antipsychotics in the treatment of early bipolar disorder?	21.6 (24)	<b>46.8 (52)</b>	29.5 (33)	2.7 (3)	X <sup>2</sup> (5, N+112) = 29.33, p<0.05*

Formatted Table

Recognising the side effects associated with using the above class of medication?	33.9 (38)	<b>52.7 (60)</b>	11.6 (13)	1.8 (2)	$X^2 (5, N=113) = 26.13, p<0.05^*$
Using psychological treatments for early bipolar disorder?	25.0 (28)	<b>48.2 (55)</b>	25.0 (28)	1.8 (2)	$X^2 (5, N=113) = 5.64, p>0.05$

\*Statistically significant (medical staff more confident)

Commonest responses in bold text.



Table 2: Respondent views on how far their EIS is set up for treating people with bipolar disorder

Survey items	How confident				Chi square analysis ( <u>medical staff compared to other professionals</u> )
	Very much so %/N	Somewhat %/N	Not really %/N	Not at all %/N	
What extent is your EIS set up to treat people with early bipolar disorder?	15.8 (18)	<b>67.5 (77)</b>	12.3 (14)	4.4 (5)	X <sup>2</sup> (5, N=114) = 8.36, p>0.05
Are you able to deliver evidence based medicine for early bipolar disorder?	16.2 (18)	<b>53.1 (59)</b>	28.8 (32)	0.9 (1)	X <sup>2</sup> (5, N=112) = 5.61, p>0.05
	<b>Very desirable</b>	<b>Desirable</b>	<b>Not really</b>	<b>Indifferent</b>	
Desire for more training on recognising and managing early bipolar disorder? 1 being indifferent and 4 being very strongly.	<b>38.2 (44)</b>	35.7 (41)	16.5 (19)	9.6 (11)	X <sup>2</sup> (5, N=116) = 19.68, p<0.05*

Commonest responses in bold text

\*Statistically significant (other mental health professionals more likely to endorse need for training)




Figure 1. Bar chart showing if respondents have a clear care package for patients admitted with early bipolar disorder.

Figure 1. Bar chart showing if respondents have a clear care package for patients admitted with early bipolar disorder.

117x88mm (300 x 300 DPI)

View Only

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




Figure 2: Reasons for people with bipolar disorder to be managed within EIS

Figure 2: Reasons for people with bipolar disorder to be managed within EIS

158x98mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Review Only

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




Figure 3: Reasons for bipolar disorder being managed outside EIS

Figure 3: Reasons for bipolar disorder being managed outside EIS

126x95mm (300 x 300 DPI)