

#### **Original citation:**

Wall, Peter D. H., Ahmed, Imran, Metcalfe, Andrew, Price, Andrew J., Seers, Kate, Hutchinson, Charles E., Parsons, Helen, Warwick, Jane, Rahman, Bushra, Brown, Jaclyn and Underwood, Martin (2018) Safety and feasibility evaluation of tourniquets for total knee replacement (SAFE-TKR) : study protocol. BMJ Open, 8 (4). e022067. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2018-022067

#### Permanent WRAP URL:

http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/101109

#### **Copyright and reuse:**

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work of researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions.

This article is made available under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (CC BY 4.0) and may be reused according to the conditions of the license. For more details see: <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

#### A note on versions:

The version presented in WRAP is the published version, or, version of record, and may be cited as it appears here.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: wrap@warwick.ac.uk

# **BMJ Open** Safety and feasibility evaluation of tourniquets for total knee replacement (SAFE-TKR): study protocol

Peter DH Wall,<sup>1</sup> Imran Ahmed,<sup>2</sup> Andrew Metcalfe,<sup>1</sup> Andrew J Price,<sup>3</sup> Kate Seers,<sup>4</sup> Charles E Hutchinson,<sup>5</sup> Helen Parsons,<sup>1</sup> Jane Warwick,<sup>1</sup> Bushra Rahman,<sup>1</sup> Jaclyn Brown,<sup>1</sup> Martin Underwood,<sup>1</sup> on behalf of the SAFE-TKR Study Group

#### ABSTRACT

**Introduction** This study is designed to determine whether a full randomised controlled trial (RCT) examining the clinical effectiveness and safety of total knee replacement surgery with or without a tourniquet is warranted and feasible.

Method and analysis Single centre, patient-blinded and assessor-blinded RCT. A computer-generated randomisation service will allocate 50 participants into one of two trial treatments, surgery with or without a tourniquet. The primary objective is to estimate recruitment, crossovers and follow-up of patients. All patients will have an MRI scan of their brain preoperatively and day 1 or 2 postoperatively to identify ischaemic cerebral emboli (primary clinical outcome). Oxford Cognitive Screen, Montreal Cognitive Assessment and Mini-Mental State Examination will be evaluated as outcome tools for measuring cognitive impairment at days 1, 2 and 7 postoperatively. Thigh pain, blood transfusion requirements, venous thromboembolism, revision surgery, surgical complications, mortality and Oxford knee and fivelevel EuroQoI-5D scores will be collected over 12 months. Integrated qualitative research study: 30 trial patients and 20 knee surgeons will take part in semistructured interviews. Interviews will capture views regarding the pilot trial and explore barriers and potential solutions to a full trial. Multicentre cohort study: UK National Joint Registry data will be linked to Hospital Episode Statistics to estimate the relationship between tourniquet use and venous thromboembolic event, length of hospital stay, risk of revision surgery and death. The study will conclude with a multidisciplinary workshop to reach a consensus on whether a full trial is warranted and feasible. Ethics and dissemination National Research Ethics Committee (West Midlands-Edgbaston) approved this study on 27 January 2016 (15/WM/0455). The study

is sponsored by University of Warwick and University Hospitals Coventry and Warwickshire. The results will be disseminated via high-impact peer-reviewed publication. **Trial registration number** ISRCTN20873088; Pre-results.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Arthritis of the knee can cause pain and restrict activities of daily living. Total knee replacement (TKR) surgery is a surgical procedure aimed at resolving the symptoms

#### Strengths and limitations of this study

- Comprehensive feasibility research.
- Clearly defined outcome measures.
- Patient blinding.
- Single-centre design with small sample size.
- Absence of blinding among clinicians delivering the intervention.

of end-stage knee arthritis.<sup>1</sup> TKR surgery is typically undertaken with the aid of a tourniquet. A tourniquet acts as an occlusive device around the thigh with the aim of reducing blood flow distally. In the UK, over 90% of TKRs are performed with a tourniquet.<sup>2 3</sup> Anecdotally, surgeons believe using a tourniquet provides a bloodless field to improve the operative field of view.<sup>4</sup> Many surgeons also believe using a tourniquet improves the quality of the cementation of the knee implants<sup>5</sup> by reducing bone bleeding and allowing better interdigitation of the cement into the porous bone.

Previous systematic reviews have concluded that the use of tourniquets did not reduce intraoperative or postoperative blood loss<sup>6</sup> and were associated with significant complications including venous thromboembolic events (VTEs),<sup>5</sup> wound infection, bruising and nerve palsy.<sup>5 7</sup>

In TKR surgery, a tourniquet causes both arterial and venous stasis for the duration it is applied. It is therefore unsurprising that the use of a thigh tourniquet might increase the risk of postoperative VTE.<sup>2</sup> However, VTE may not be the only thromboembolic risk associated with using a tourniquet. Research has demonstrated that systemic emboli can occur when the tourniquet is deflated with up to a 60% prevalence of echogenic material in the circle of Willis.<sup>89</sup> Emboli may reach the systemic circulation through the pulmonary capillaries or the opening of other

To cite: Wall PDH, Ahmed I, Metcalfe A, *et al.* Safety and feasibility evaluation of tourniquets for total knee replacement (SAFE-TKR): study protocol. *BMJ Open* 2018;8:e022067. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2018-022067

Prepublication history and additional material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online(http://dx.doi. org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-022067).

Received 7 February 2018 Revised 22 February 2018 Accepted 1 March 2018



<sup>1</sup>Warwick Clinical Trials Unit, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK

<sup>2</sup>Trauma and Orthopaedics, University Hospitals Coventry and Warwickshire, Coventry, UK <sup>3</sup>Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK <sup>4</sup>Royal College of Nursing Research Institute, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK <sup>5</sup>Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK

#### Correspondence to Peter DH Wall; p.d.h.wall@warwick.ac.uk

BMJ

pulmonary vessels.<sup>9</sup> The prevalence of postoperative cognitive impairment after TKR is high with reports in the literature varying from 41%–75% at 7 days to 18%–45% at 3 months, and this may in part be explained by cerebral emboli if they are occurring following the release of a tourniquet.<sup>10</sup>

Although studies have demonstrated that tourniquets do not substantially reduce blood loss and may increase complications, a review of these studies, by Alcelik *et*  $al^{\vec{l}}$ , identified significant design flaws, including issues with randomisation, blinding and the absence of clearly defined outcome measures. Furthermore, no controlled studies have addressed or quantified one of the most potentially serious risks associated with tourniquet, which are cerebral emboli, and any resultant cognitive impairment.

There may be problems with running a trial that involves recruiting patients who, once it is explained, may not be prepared to accept the potential risks of surgery with a tourniquet. Equally, surgeons may be not willing to change surgical practice for a randomised trial.

We designed a feasibility study, which includes three separate but integrated projects: (A) pilot randomised controlled trial (RCT), (B) integrated qualitative research study and (C) retrospective multicentre cohort study.

The objective of the safety and feasiblity evaluation of tourniquets for total knee replacement surgery (SAFE-TKR) study is to establish whether a full RCT evaluating tourniquets in knee replacement surgery is warranted and feasible.

#### **PILOT RCT**

The primary objective of the pilot trial is to estimate recruitment, crossover and follow-up of patients for a full trial.

#### Secondary objectives

- evaluate MRI for detecting postoperative ischaemic cerebral emboli, including an estimate of the size and direction of any effect;
- evaluate tools for detecting postoperative cognitive impairment. These tools include Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) and the Oxford Cognitive Screen (OCS), including an estimate of the size and direction of any effect;
- evaluate other candidate primary/coprimary/ secondary outcome measures for assessment within a larger trial including: thigh pain, symptomatic VTE, mortality, revision surgery, blood transfusion requirements, function and health-related quality of life. To include obtaining estimates for the SD of continuous outcome variables and differences in proportions for categorical outcome variables in order to facilitate potential sample size calculations for a full trial;
- ► test and optimise patient information material and the patient pathway for a full trial.

#### Method

The protocol was produced in accordance with the Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional Trials guidelines.<sup>11</sup> The trial will be reported in line with the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) statement.<sup>12</sup>

A single-centre two-arm pilot RCT will be performed. All patients under the care of 13 participating orthopaedic consultants at University Hospital Coventry and Warwickshire National Health Service (NHS) trust are potentially eligible for entry into the trial. The following eligibility criteria will be implemented for patient selection:

#### Inclusion criteria

- undergoing a primary unilateral TKR
- ▶ age ≥18 years
- ▶ able to give written informed consent and to participate fully in the interventions and follow-up procedures.

#### Exclusion criteria

- Patients for whom MRI is contraindicated due to:
- non-compliant heart pacemaker or defibrillator
- non-compliant metallic foreign body, for example,
- in one or both eyes and aneurysm clips in the brainclaustrophobia (eg, difficulty in an elevator or telephone box).
- ▶ Patients not suitable for a thigh tourniquet (eg, peripheral vascular disease).
- Previous participation in the SAFE-TKR study.

Patients already scheduled for TKR surgery will be screened based on the eligibility criteria. A trained research associate will contact potentially eligible patients via telephone. Patients who are interested in taking part in the study will then be sent a patient information sheet and consent form and a date arranged to answer any further questions about the study and take consent. Verbal consent will initially be taken, followed by signed written consent via post or in person depending on the patient's preference (see online supplementary appendix 1 and 2).

#### Randomisation

All patients who consent to the trial will be registered and then undergo a preoperative MRI of their brain. Patients will be allocated 1:1 via Warwick randomisation service (independent of the study team) to either TKR surgery with tourniquet or TKR surgery without tourniquet using minimisation to ensure balance between the treatment arms as regards patients with a history of VTE.<sup>13</sup> To ensure allocation concealment, following enrolment patient details are entered on a web-based form, and the treatment allocation are generated.

#### **Planned intervention**

Patients will undergo routine elective primary unilateral TKR (cemented) using the standard technique of the anaesthetist and the operating surgeon. Both groups will have a thigh tourniquet applied to the relevant lower

limb. Once the patient is fully anaesthetised, one of the following interventions will be applied:

#### Group A (tourniquet inflated)

The tourniquet will be inflated prior to the surgeon creating a wound, and only deflated once the procedure is deemed completed by the surgeon (at a minimum this will be after all the TKR components have been finally inserted).

#### Group B (tourniquet not inflated)

The tourniquet will not be inflated during the procedure. In line with National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance, all patients will receive the following routine chemical and mechanical VTE prophylaxis:

- ► intermittent pneumatic calf compression until patient's mobility is no longer significantly reduced
- ► low molecular weight heparin (or unfractionated heparin for patients with severe renal impairment or established renal failure), started 6–12 hours after surgery and continued for 14 days postoperatively.

#### Clinical outcomes and time points

#### Primary clinical outcome

The primary clinical outcome will be evidence of new acute ischaemic brain lesions on MRI. The total number and volume of acute brain lesions detected on MRI per patient will be recorded. Presurgery MRIs will be obtained no more than 60 days before surgery, and postsurgery MRIs will be obtained up to 2 days after surgery. Diffuse-weighted MRI is the most powerful tool for diagnosing acute ischaemic brain lesion caused by cerebral microembolism providing high level of sensitivity and specificity.<sup>14-16</sup> The MRIs will all follow a standardised protocol including fast spin-echo fluid-attenuated inversion recovery sequences and diffusion-weighted spin echo-echo planar imaging. The diffusion-weighted sequence will consist of an initial T2-weighted acquisition followed by a second acquisition with the application of diffusion-sensitising gradients in the three orthogonal directions. Lesions will also be described according to the vascular territory (anterior, middle, posterior cerebral arteries or vertebrobasilar arteries), side and type (cortical vs subcortical or deep grey matter). A planimetry of each lesion will be performed by using a grid overlay (using Image Processing and Analysis in Java software, National Institutes of Health) and by calculating lesion volume by multiplying the number of involved grids by the slice thickness and slice gap. Attack rate (presence of new lesions/number of patients) will also be calculated. A new lesion will be defined as a focal hyperintense area detected by the fluid-attenuated inversion recovery sequence, corresponding to a restricted diffusion signal in the diffusion-weighted imaging sequence.<sup>17</sup> Scans will be read and evaluated by two experienced consultant radiologists blinded to the timing of the imaging, allocated intervention and the neurological status of the patient. Even asymptomatic cerebral emboli, which may be detected using this approach, are associated with gradual memory impairment and cognitive decline.<sup>18–20</sup>

#### Secondary outcomes

- 1. MoCA preoperatively and days 1, 2 and 1 week postoperatively: the MoCA is a brief cognitive screening tool with high sensitivity and specificity for detecting mild cognitive impairment.<sup>21</sup>
- 2. OCS preoperatively and days 1, 2 and 1 week postoperatively: the OCS has higher sensitivity than the MOCA for measuring cognitive deficits associated with stroke (spatial disorders and apraxia), and it provides response speed as well as accuracy measures, so that indices such as processing speed can be derived.<sup>22</sup>
- 3. MMSE scores preoperatively and days 1, 2 and 1 week postoperatively: the MMSE is the most commonly used tool for measuring cognitive impairment and has been used extensively to measure disturbances in postoperative cognition.<sup>23–25</sup>
- 4. Acute thigh pain preoperatively and on days 1, 2 and 1 week postoperatively: the 100 mm visual analogue scale (VAS) with 0 being no pain and 100 mm being the worst pain is a validated patient-reported outcome measure for pain following TKR surgery.<sup>26</sup>
- 5. Oxford Knee Score (OKS) preoperatively and at 1 week, 6 and 12 months postoperatively: this is a self-administered, validated knee replacement composite outcome measure of knee pain and function consisting of 12 items. The score ranges from 12 to 60, where 12 represents the best outcome and 60 represents the worst outcome.<sup>27</sup>
- 6. Five-level EuroQol-5D (EQ-5D-5L) scores preoperatively and at 1 week, six and 12 months postoperatively: This is a validated measure of health-related quality of life, consisting of a five-dimension health status classification system and a separate VAS. EQ-5D-5L is primarily designed for self-completion by respondents and suited for use in postal surveys, in clinics and face-to-face interviews. It is cognitively simple, taking only a few minutes to complete.<sup>28 29</sup>
- 7. Number of symptomatic VTE events up to 12 months postoperatively: symptomatic VTE events will be defined based on NICE guidance: deep vein thrombosis=swollen or painful leg and a positive proximal leg vein ultrasound scan; pulmonary embolism=chest pain, shortness of breath or haemoptysis and positive CT pulmonary angiogram (CTPA) scan or ventilation perfusion single photon emission computed tomography (V/Q SPECT) or planar scan if CTPA not available. Symptomatic VTEs will be captured throughout the postoperative period via hospital records. In addition, patient questionnaires at 6 and 12 months will capture further data.
- 8. Surgical complications up to 12 months postoperatively: patient questionnaires and healthcare records will collect adverse events (AEs) that are deemed to

be as a direct result of surgery. Two blinded researchers will determine whether AEs should be classified as a surgical complication, where there is disagreement, a third researcher will determine the final allocation.

- 9. Number of intraoperative/postoperative blood transfusions until discharge: data will be obtained from hospital records and recorded as number of units transfused.
- 10. Change in haemoglobin concentration (Hb g/L): routinely collected haemoglobin concentrations (Hb g/L) measured from a full blood count taken on days 1–3 postoperatively (the sample closest to day 1 will be favoured) will be subtracted from a preoperative (Hb g/L) measured within 3 months (the sample closest to the date of surgery will be favoured).
- 11. Revision rate of the TKR prosthesis at 12 months: revision of the prosthesis for any reason will be established by patient questionnaires at 12 months and hospital records.
- 12. All-cause mortality rates at 12 months: all baseline data will be summarised descriptively by intervention group. The flow of patients through the trial will be presented in a CONSORT diagram, and patients withdrawn are summarised by treatment group.

#### Assessment and blinding

It will not be possible to blind the clinicians administering the intervention. Patients and research associates collecting outcome measures will be blinded to treatment allocation. Patients with any outstanding postoperative cognitive tests (typically either day 2 or day 7) after discharge from hospital will have these administered by a trained research associate visiting them at home or an agreed location. Patients will receive a follow-up telephone call at 6weeks to record any VTEs since surgery. OKS, EQ-5D and complications questionnaire will be posted to the patient at 6 and 12 months. We will use techniques common in long-term cohort studies to ensure minimum loss to follow-up, such as collection of next of kin contact addresses and telephone numbers, mobile telephone numbers and email addresses. A maximum of three attempts will be made acquire outcome data at each time point.

#### Sample size calculation

As this is a pilot trial and not designed to measure effect, a formal sample size calculation is not required (the statistical analysis will be largely descriptive). We propose seeking to recruit and obtain primary clinical outcome data for 50 patients for descriptive analysis.

#### **Statistical analysis**

Standard descriptive statistics (eg, medians and ranges or means and variances, dependent on the distribution of the outcome) and graphical plots showing correlations will be presented for the primary and secondary outcome measures. Baseline data will be summarised to check comparability between treatment arms and to highlight any characteristic differences between those individuals in the trial, those ineligible and those eligible but withholding consent. Exploratory analyses using regression techniques will be used to assess change in total volume of acute brain lesions in the two treatment groups and investigate the relationship between cognitive test scores and total volume of acute brain lesions. Linear regression will also be used to estimate the proportion of the variation in total volume of acute brain lesions that may be explained by change in cognition score between baseline and first postoperative assessment. To further investigate the extent to which cognition score is a surrogate for total volume of acute brain lesions, we will use logistic regression to predict treatment group from change in the total volume of acute brain lesions. The relationship between cognitive symptoms and the MRI data will also be evaluated using voxel-based lesion-symptom mapping, where MRI intensity changes are associated to cognitive deficits using either classification scores (deficit or not) or continuous measures of cognition.

The routine statistical analysis will mainly be carried out using STATA V.15 (Data Analysis and Statistical Software).

#### **Data management**

After baseline demographic data is collected, a unique trial number will identify patients. All data collected will be entered into a secure trial database held at Warwick Clinical Trials Unit (WCTU). Identifiable patient information will be held in a locked filing cabinet and coded with a patient trial number. The WCTU quality assurance manager will audit trial records in accordance with standard operating procedures. Outcomes will not be analysed until all primary outcome data are collected.

#### **Trial oversight**

The Trial Management Group (TMG), consisting of the staff involved in the day-to-day running of the study, will meet monthly. Significant issues arising from management meetings will be referred to the Trial Steering Committee (TSC) as appropriate. The trial will be guided by a TSC, a group of respected and experienced personnel and trialists as well as lay representatives. The TSC will have an independent chairperson. At least two formal TSC meetings will be held-one before the trial starts and one before recruitment to the trial completes. As this is a small feasibility study, there will not be a data monitoring committee. AEs and serious AEs will be monitored by the investigators. AEs will be assessed for causality within 24 hours of notification and patients followed up as per protocol. The trial may be stopped prematurely if mandated by ethics committee, a major unexpected safety concern arises or funding ceases. Any proposed changes to the protocol will first be reviewed by the TSC and, if approved, it will be submitted to the trial sponsor, funding body and local research ethics committee. All approved protocols will be marked by a version number and date. Requests for access to the final dataset will be overseen by the TSC. Reasonable requests will then be given access to a full anonymised dataset.

#### Patient and public involvement (PPI)

The study has a PPI group that includes two patients (CG and [S) who have previously undergone TKR surgery and have experience of the intervention being evaluated and its burden on patients. The study also has one public member (JD). The PPI group helped develop this study protocol, the associated patient information material and the outcome measures to be used through an active participation in both TMG and TSC meetings. The PPI group critically evaluate study progress and are active study collaborators alongside other members of the research team. The PPI group have taken part in training events to help them participate fully as members of the study team. The PPI group will facilitate the preparation of information about the results of this study and any future planned larger scale study to inform participants of this study, pateints and the wider public. This information will be disseminated through a mixture of social media, written information sheets and peer-reviewed published papers.

#### INTEGRATED QUALITATIVE RESEARCH STUDY Method

#### Patients

In-depth semistructured interviews among with randomised patients and potential patients who decline to take part in the pilot trial will help understand people's views regarding participation in the pilot trial. A purposive sample of around 30 people (evenly split between recruited and not recruited) will be interviewed, based on patient demographics (including age, gender and socioeconomic status).<sup>30 31</sup> Patients will be recruited by a trained research associate and interviews will then be undertaken at a time convenient to the patient.

#### Surgeons

A survey will be undertaken among surgeons who routinely do TKR surgery. The survey will help gauge the extent to which this community is in clinical equipoise and would be willing to engage with and support a larger trial. We will use a web-based survey of members of the British Association for Surgery of the Knee (BASK). BASK has a UK membership of over 100 practising knee surgeons of whom the majority routinely undertake TKR surgery. A sample of at least 20 BASK surgeons (both those clearly in equipoise and those that are not) will be invited to take part in more in-depth qualitative interviews. We will use the general theory of implementation to investigate and understand the core constructs of sense-making processes of patients and surgeons regarding the feasibility of a full trial and to help understand any barriers and enablers for a larger trial.

Digital audio recordings of interviews will be transcribed verbatim, checked and anonymised. Data will be managed and shared using NVivo analysis software. The analysis will be informed by a constant comparative approach, where early analysis informs subsequent data collection, and data analysis takes place alongside data collection.<sup>30</sup> This means insights from patients and surgeons can be explored further in the ongoing interviews. Data are coded from the start of data collection, and new data are compared with existing data. Codes are compared; categories are constructed and explored to ensure they are robust and then they are linked with relevant theoretical literature. A technique of triangulation protocol will be used to facilitate the integration of the findings of the qualitative research with the quantitative data, thereby helping to determine the overall feasibility of a full trial.<sup>32</sup> The data from patient interviews will also be used to help design optimal patient information materials for a larger trial.

#### **MULTICENTRE COHORT STUDY**

The National Joint Registry (NJR) is a population-based registry of joint replacements in the UK and covers both the NHS and private sectors. From April 2003 to December 2003, the NJR collected data on the use of tourniquets for TKR surgery in England and Wales. At this stage, there were 406 hospitals listed within the NJR system (NHS hospitals, independent sector hospitals and treatment centres-both NHS funded and privately funded) and of these, 384 returned data (ie, 94%). The NJR dataset also contains many other key variables that are known to affect mortality, implant survivorship (revision rate) and the risk of VTE (1) use and type of VTE prophylaxis (such as low molecular weight heparin, aspirin and intermittent calf pump), (2) type of implant used, (3) use of cement and (4) basic patient demographics including age, body mass index (BMI) and American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) grade.

#### Method

We will access NJR data for the period in which tourniquet use was collected as part of the minimum dataset standard for knee replacement surgery: April 2003 to December 2003. We will analyse only data from primary cemented TKRs. Tourniquet use along with other routinely collected NJR baseline variables-age at time of surgery, sex and ASA classification-will be analysed to measure for independent effects on all cause revision. A tourniquet will be classed as used if even for a selective period of the procedure such as cementation. Sociodemographics, comorbidities, VTEs, cerebrovascular accident (CVAs or stroke) and length of hospital stay will be obtained by using patient identifiers (NHS number, gender, date of birth, postcode, procedure code and local patient identifier code) from the NJR to link the data to the Hospital Episode Statistics (HES) database. The HES data will provide details of VTE, sociodemographics, comorbidities and hospital length of stay for the TKR. The study will specifically estimate differences between groups (tourniquet or no tourniquet) for the following:

baseline characteristics (age, BMI, ASA, comorbidities, type of VTE prophylaxis, type of implant and sociodemographics) all-cause mortality up to 12 months length of inpatient hospital stay risk of surgical complications up to 30 days risk of VTE up to 12 months risk of CVA up to 12 months risk of revision at 1, 5 and 10 years.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

The Kaplan-Meier method will be used to calculate mortality and revision rates in those who underwent TKR with and without a tourniquet. Multivariate logistic regression will be used to assess the effect of age, BMI, socioeconomic status, tourniquet use, type of VTE prophylaxis, ASA grade, comorbidities and type of TKR implant (cemented or uncemented) on risk of VTE, CVA and risk of revision. The results of these analyses will inform the planning of a subsequent definitive full trial, if such a trial is feasible.

## CONCLUSIONS: SAFETY AND FEASIBILITY EVALUATION AND PATHWAY TO A FULL TRIAL

A workshop will be held at the end of the feasibility study, involving key stakeholders (approximately 30 participants), patients, surgeons, researchers, allied healthcare professionals and healthcare policy makers. The workshop will have an independent chairperson. Using consensus conference methodology, delegates will be presented with results from the pilot RCT, retrospective multicentre cohort study, existing published data and where applicable pooled data from all three sources. The purpose will be to agree a consensus statement on the appropriateness and feasibility of proceeding to a full trial and the most appropriate primary outcome measure for a full trial.

A full definitive trial will be deemed feasible if: the pilot RCT and integrated qualitative study suggest patients can be recruited and surgeons are prepared to perform surgery with or without a tourniquet; and

the pilot trial identifies either a measure of cognitive brain function that accurately reflects symptomatic brain emboli or an alternative primary/coprimary outcome measure is identified such as pain, symptomatic VTE, revision rates OKS or EQ5D-5L scores that can be accurately and robustly collected in a full trial; and

key stakeholders (patients, surgeons, public representatives and researchers) agree at an end of study consensus conference that in light of the feasibility study and existing published research there remains insufficient data to make recommendations about the safety and clinical effectiveness of tourniquets and that a full trial is feasible and there is an appropriate primary/coprimary outcome measure for assessment.

Acknowledgements We would like to acknowledge: 1. The support of Warwick Clinical Trials unit and University Hospitals Coventry and Warwickshire NHS Trust. 2. The operating surgeons involved in this study include: A Ali, M Blakemore, K El-Bayouk, P Foguet, A Kotecha, R King, J McArthur, A Metcalfe, M Margetts, S Patil, B Riemer, K Sarantos, F Shah, T Spalding, P Thompson and R Westerman. 3. J Smith (patient member), C Goulden (patient member) and J Dixon (public member) who are members of the Patient Public Involvement group for working with the study team to collaborate on SAFE-TKR and the valuable contributions they have made to the design and success of the study to date.4. The support of the National Joint Registry (www.njrcentre.org.uk) including Ms E Riley and Professor M Wilkinson. 5. Andrew Sprowson, who died unexpectedly on 13 March 2015. Andrew was one of the main collaborators on this project and made a significant contribution to the study design and in securing research funding. Andrew was an academic orthopaedic surgeon who was dedicated to improving evidence-based care in his field. Andrew was an exceptionally enthusiastic researcher, surgeon and friend and is greatly missed by his academic and clinical colleagues.

**Collaborators** SAFE-TKR Study Group additonal members: Christine Goulden, James Smith, Jan Dixon, Nele Demeyere.

**Contributors** PDHW: chief investigator, study conception, study design, data collection, drafted and reviewed final manuscript. IA: data collection and drafted and reviewed final manuscript. AM: principal investigator and drafted and reviewed final manuscript. AJP, KS and MU: study design and drafted and reviewed final manuscript. CEH: study design, data analysis and drafted and reviewed final manuscript. HP and JW: data analysis and drafted and reviewed final manuscript. SAFE-TKR Study Group: study design and reviewed final manuscript.

**Funding** The study protocol represents research funded by a National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Post-Doctoral Fellowship Award (PDF-2015-08-108). The study is jointly sponsored by the University of Warwick and University Hospitals Coventry & Warwickshire NHS Trust. The trial sponsors provide ultimate approval of all new versions of the protocol before they become live. Both the funders and sponsors are required to provide final approval before publication of any study material.

**Disclaimer** The study funder and sponsor had no role in the study design; the collection, analysis, or interpretation of data; the writing of the report; or the decision to submit for publication. The researchers are independent and the views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent Not required.

Ethics approval The study trial obtained approval from the National Research Ethics Committee (NRES) West Midlands – Edgbaston (15/WM/0455) on the 27 January 2016. We aim to publish the results in at least one high-impact peerreviewed journal. The results of the trial will also be disseminated via patient information material produced in collaboration with our Public Patient Involvement group. All key study findings will be presented at national and international conferences, for example, British Orthopaedic Association (BOA), British Association of Specialist Knee Surgeons (BASK) and America Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons (AAOS).

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; peer reviewed for ethical and funding approval prior to submission.

**Data sharing statement** Requests for access to the final dataset will be overseen by the TSC. Reasonable requests will then be given access to a full anonymised dataset.

**Open Access** This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt and build upon this work, for commercial use, provided the original work is properly cited. See: http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/

6

© Article author(s) (or their employer(s) unless otherwise stated in the text of the article) 2018. All rights reserved. No commercial use is permitted unless otherwise expressly granted.

#### REFERENCES

- Callahan CM, Drake BG, Heck DA, et al. Patient outcomes following tricompartmental total knee replacement. A meta-analysis. JAMA 1994;271:1349–57.
- Zhang W, Li N, Chen S, et al. The effects of a tourniquet used in total knee arthroplasty: a meta-analysis. J Orthop Surg Res 2014;9:13.
- 3. National Joint Registry for England and Wales. National Joint Registry for England and Wales 1st Annual Report. 2004.
- Parvizi J, Diaz-Ledezma C. Total knee replacement with the use of a tourniquet: more pros than cons. *Bone Joint J* 2013;95-B:133-4.
- Tai T-W, Lin C-J, Jou I-M, et al. Tourniquet use in total knee arthroplasty: a meta-analysis. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy* 2011;19:1121–30.
- Smith TO, Hing CB. Is a tourniquet beneficial in total knee replacement surgery? A meta-analysis and systematic review. *Knee* 2010;17:141–7.
- Alcelik I, Pollock RD, Sukeik M, et al. A comparison of outcomes with and without a tourniquet in total knee arthroplasty: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. J Arthroplasty 2012;27:331–40.
- Berman AT, Parmet JL, Harding SP, et al. Emboli observed with use of transesophageal echocardiography immediately after tourniquet release during total knee arthroplasty with cement. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1998;80:389–96.
- Sulek CA, Davies LK, Enneking FK, *et al.* Cerebral microembolism diagnosed by transcranial Doppler during total knee arthroplasty: correlation with transesophageal echocardiography. *Anesthesiology* 1999;91:672–6.
- Deo H, West G, Butcher C, *et al*. The prevalence of cognitive dysfunction after conventional and computer-assisted total knee replacement. *Knee* 2011;18:117–20.
- Chan AW, Tetzlaff JM, Altman DG, et al. SPIRIT 2013 statement: defining standard protocol items for clinical trials. Ann Intern Med 2013;158:200–7.
- Schulz KF, Altman DG, Moher D, et al. CONSORT 2010 statement: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. Int J Surg 2011;9:672–7.
- Altman DG, Bland JM. Treatment allocation by minimisation. BMJ 2005;330:843.
- Fairbairn TA, Mather AN, Bijsterveld P, et al. Diffusion-weighted MRI determined cerebral embolic infarction following transcatheter aortic valve implantation: assessment of predictive risk factors and the relationship to subsequent health status. *Heart* 2012;98:18–23.

- Ghanem A, Müller A, Nähle CP, et al. Risk and fate of cerebral embolism after transfemoral aortic valve implantation: a prospective pilot study with diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010;55:1427–32.
- Gress DR. The problem with asymptomatic cerebral embolic complications in vascular procedures: what if they are not asymptomatic? J Am Coll Cardiol 2012;17:1614–6.
- Bijuklic K, Wandler A, Tübler T, et al. Impact of asymptomatic cerebral lesions in diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging after carotid artery stenting. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2013;6:394–8.
- Blum S, Luchsinger JA, Manly JJ, et al. Memory after silent stroke: hippocampus and infarcts both matter. *Neurology* 2012;78:38–46.
- Arvanitakis Z, Leurgans SE, Barnes LL, et al. Microinfarct pathology, dementia, and cognitive systems. Stroke 2011;42:722–7.
- Karoutas G, Milonas I, Artemis N, et al. The pharmacological effects of buflomedil in patients with multi-cerebral infarcts dementia: an open, preliminary assessment. *Curr Med Res Opin* 1987;10:380–9.
- Stolwyk RJ, O'Neill MH, McKay AJ, et al. Are cognitive screening tools sensitive and specific enough for use after stroke? A systematic literature review. Stroke 2014;45:3129–34.
- Demeyere N, Riddoch MJ, Slavkova ED, et al. The Oxford Cognitive Screen (OCS): validation of a stroke-specific short cognitive screening tool. *Psychol Assess*. In Press. 2015;27:883–94.
- Petersen RC, Smith GE, Waring SC, et al. Mild cognitive impairment: clinical characterization and outcome. Arch Neurol 1999;56:303–8.
- Saczynski JS, Marcantonio ER, Quach L, et al. Cognitive trajectories after postoperative delirium. N Engl J Med 2012;367:30–9.
- Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. J Psychiatr Res 1975;12:189–98.
- Marques EM, Jones HE, Elvers KT, et al. Local anaesthetic infiltration for peri-operative pain control in total hip and knee replacement: systematic review and meta-analyses of short- and long-term effectiveness. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2014;15:220.
- Dawson J, Fitzpatrick R, Murray D, *et al.* Questionnaire on the perceptions of patients about total knee replacement. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1998;80:63–9.
- EuroQol G. EuroQol-a new facility for the measurement of healthrelated quality of life. *Health Policy* 1990;16:199–208.
- 29. Walters SJ, Brazier JE. Comparison of the minimally important difference for two health state utility measures: EQ-5D and SF-6D. *Qual Life Res* 2005;14:1523–32.
- 30. Charmaz KC. Constructing grounded theory: a practical guide through qualitative analysis, 2006.
- Marshall B, Cardon P, Poddar A, *et al*. Does sample size matter in qualitative research?: a review of qualitative interviews in is research. *Journal of Computer Information Systems* 2013;54:11–22.
- 32. O'Cathain A, Murphy E, Nicholl J. Three techniques for integrating data in mixed methods studies. *BMJ* 2010;341:c4587.



### Safety and feasibility evaluation of tourniquets for total knee replacement (SAFE-TKR): study protocol

Peter DH Wall, Imran Ahmed, Andrew Metcalfe, Andrew J Price, Kate Seers, Charles E Hutchinson, Helen Parsons, Jane Warwick, Bushra Rahman, Jaclyn Brown and Martin Underwood

*BMJ Open*2018 8: doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-022067

Updated information and services can be found at: http://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/8/4/e022067

These include:

Topic Collections	Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections Surgery (450)
Email alerting service	Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.
Open Access	This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt and build upon this work, for commercial use, provided the original work is properly cited. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/
References	This article cites 30 articles, 8 of which you can access for free at: http://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/8/4/e022067#ref-list-1

Notes

To request permissions go to: http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to: http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to: http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/