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# AN ALGEBRAIC MODEL FOR RATIONAL TORUS-EQUIVARIANT SPECTRA 

J. P. C. GREENLEES AND B. SHIPLEY


#### Abstract

We provide a universal de Rham model for rational $G$-equivariant cohomology theories for an arbitrary torus $G$. More precisely, we show that the representing category, of rational $G$-spectra, is Quillen equivalent to the explicit small and calculable algebraic model $d \mathcal{A}(G)$ of differential graded objects in the category $\mathcal{A}(G)$ introduced in [24].
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## Part 1. Introduction

## 1. Overview

1.A. Preamble. Cohomology theories are contravariant homotopy functors on topological spaces satisfying the Eilenberg-Steenrod axioms (except for the dimension axiom), and any cohomology theory $E^{*}(\cdot)$ is represented by a homotopy theoretic spectrum $E$ in the sense that $E^{*}(X)=[X, E]^{*}$. Accordingly, the category of spectra gives an embodiment of the category of cohomology theories in which one can do homotopy theory. The complexity of the homotopy theory of spectra is visible even in the homotopy endomorphisms of the unit object: this is the ring of stable homotopy groups of spheres, which is so intricate that we cannot expect a complete analysis of the category of spectra in general. However, most of the complication comes from $\mathbb{Z}$-torsion so we can simplify things by rationalizing. The resulting category of rational spectra represents cohomology theories with values in rational vector spaces. The simplicity of this rationalized category is apparent by Serre's theorem: the rationalization of the stable homotopy groups of spheres simply consists of $\mathbb{Q}$ in degree 0 , and it is a small step to see that there is nothing more to the topology of rational cohomology theories than their graded rational vector space of coefficients. On the other hand, de Rham cohomology shows that a large amount of useful geometry remains even when we rationalize. Accordingly, the study of rational cohomology theories and rational spectra is both accessible and useful.

These facts are well-known, and it is natural to ask what happens when we consider spaces with an action of a compact Lie group $G$. Once again, a $G$-equivariant cohomology theory is a contravariant homotopy functor on $G$-spaces satisfying suitable conditions, and each such $G$-equivariant cohomology theory is represented by a $G$-spectrum [51]. In the equivariant case, when we rationalize a $G$-spectrum, considerably more structure remains than in the non-equivariant case. It is natural to expect rational representation theory to play a role in understanding rational equivariant cohomology theories, and when $G$ is finite this is the only ingredient. However in general, the other significant piece of structure is exemplified by the Localization Theorem: for a torus $G$ this states that (for finite complexes) there is no difference between the Borel cohomology of a $G$-space and its $G$-fixed points once the Euler classes are inverted. These ingredients can be used to build the algebraic model [24] for rational $G$-spectra described in Section 2 below.

The archetype for giving an algebraic model for the homotopy theory of topological origin is Quillen's analysis of simply connected rational spaces [58]. To prove the result, he introduced the axiomatic framework of model categories which underly the homotopy category, and the notion of a Quillen equivalence between model categories preserving the homotopy theories. The use of these ideas is now widespread, and we refer to [44] and [43] for details.

Our main result is a Quillen equivalence between the category of rational $G$-spectra for a torus $G$ and an explicit and calculable algebraic model. In the course of our proof, we introduce a number of techniques of broader interest, in equivariant homotopy theory and in the theory of model categories. In the rest of the introduction, we give a little history, and then describe our results, methods and conventions.
1.B. Equivariant cohomology theories. Non-equivariantly, rational stable homotopy theory is very simple: the homotopy category of rational spectra is equivalent to the category of graded rational vector spaces, and all cohomology theories are ordinary in the sense that
they are naturally equivalent to ordinary cohomology with coefficients in a graded vector space. The first author has conjectured [23] that for each compact Lie group $G$, there is an abelian category $\mathcal{A}(G)$, so that the homotopy category of rational $G$-spectra is equivalent to its derived category $D(\mathcal{A}(G))$, i.e., to the homotopy category of the category $d \mathcal{A}(G)$ consisting of differential graded objects of $\mathcal{A}(G)$ :

$$
\operatorname{Ho}(G \text {-spectra } / \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \operatorname{Ho}(d \mathcal{A}(G))=D(\mathcal{A}(G))
$$

In general terms, the objects of $\mathcal{A}(G)$ are sheaves of graded modules with additional structure over the space of closed subgroups of $G$, with the fibre over $H$ giving information about the geometric $H$-fixed points. The conjecture describes various properties of $\mathcal{A}(G)$, and in particular asserts that its injective dimension is equal to the rank of $G$. According to the conjecture one may therefore expect to make complete calculations in rational equivariant stable homotopy theory, and to classify cohomology theories. Indeed, one can construct a cohomology theory by writing down a differential graded object in $\mathcal{A}(G)$ : this is how $S O(2)$-equivariant elliptic cohomology was constructed in [26], and it is hoped to construct cohomology theories associated to generic curves of higher genus in a similar way using the results of this paper.

The conjecture is elementary for finite groups, where $\mathcal{A}(G)=\prod_{(H)} \mathbb{Q} W_{G}(H)-\bmod [30$, Theorems A.7, A.8, A.9], where the product is over conjugacy classes of subgroups $H$ and $W_{G}(H)=N_{G}(H) / H$. This means that any cohomology theory is again ordinary in the sense that it is a sum over conjugacy classes $(H)$ of ordinary cohomology of the $H$-fixed points with coefficients in a graded $\mathbb{Q} W_{G}(H)$-module. The conjecture has been proved for the rank 1 groups $G=S O(2), O(2), S O(3)$ in [21, 20, 22], where $\mathcal{A}(G)$ is more complicated. It is natural to go on to conjecture that the equivalence comes from a Quillen equivalence

$$
G \text {-spectra } / \mathbb{Q} \simeq d \mathcal{A}(G)
$$

for suitable model structures. The second author proved that for $G=S O(2)$ the Quillen equivalence would follow from a triangulated equivalence on the derived categories [64]. It was claimed in [21] that the equivalence of homotopy categories was in fact a triangulated equivalence, but the proof is incomplete, and subsequent work of Patchkoria [56] shows that the method of [21] is insufficient. In any case, there is no prospect of extending the methods of [21] or [64] to higher rank. Even if one only wants an equivalence of triangulated categories, it appears essential to establish the Quillen equivalence when $r \geq 2$. Building on the present work, Barnes [2, 3] has shown how to deduce the Quillen equivalence for $G=O(2)$ from a suitable proof for $G=S O(2)$ (such as the one we use here), and Kedziorek [48] has done so for $G=S O(3)$.

Recently, Barnes, Kedziorek and the present authors have given a separate account of a Quillen equivalence for the case $G=S O(2)$ [5]. This has the merit of avoiding the massive complication due to the complexity of the space of connected subgroups for a general torus, and also gives a stronger conclusion than the specialization of our result here, since the equivalence is monoidal.
1.C. The classification theorem. The present paper completes the programme begun in $[24,25]$ and supported by $[35,36,37,28]$. The purpose of the series is to provide a small and calculable algebraic model for rational $G$-equivariant cohomology theories for a torus $G$ of rank $r \geq 0$. Such cohomology theories are represented by rational $G$-spectra, and in
this paper we show that the category of rational $G$-spectra is Quillen equivalent to the small and concrete abelian category $\mathcal{A}(G)$ introduced in [24] (its definition and properties are summarized in Section 2). The category $\mathcal{A}(G)$ is designed as a natural target of a homology theory

$$
\pi_{*}^{\mathcal{A}}: G \text {-spectra } \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(G) ;
$$

the idea is that $\mathcal{A}(G)$ is a category of sheaves of modules, with the stalk over a closed subgroup $H$ being the Borel cohomology of the geometric $H$-fixed point set with suitable coefficients. A main theorem of [24] shows that $\mathcal{A}(G)$ is of finite injective dimension (shown in [25] to be $r$ ).

The main theorem of the present paper and the culmination of the series is as follows. Model structures will be described in Sections 3 and 11 below.

Theorem 1.1. For any torus $G$, there is a Quillen equivalence

$$
G \text {-spectra } / \mathbb{Q} \simeq_{Q} d \mathcal{A}(G)
$$

of model categories. In particular their homotopy categories are equivalent

$$
H o(G \text {-spectra } / \mathbb{Q}) \simeq H o(d \mathcal{A}(G))=D(\mathcal{A}(G))
$$

as triangulated categories.
Remark 1.2. The functors involved in these Quillen equivalences are monoidal, but their interaction with the model structures is not straightforward. For this reason, the extension of this result to Quillen equivalences on the associated categories of monoids will be discussed elsewhere (as done in [5] in the rank 1 case).

Because of the nature of Theorem 1.1, it is easy to impose restrictions on the isotropy groups occurring in topology and algebra, and one may deduce versions of this theorem for categories of spectra with restricted isotropy groups. For example we recover a special case of the result of [33], which states that if $G$ is any connected compact Lie group there is a Quillen equivalence

$$
\text { free- } G \text {-spectra } / \mathbb{Q} \simeq_{Q} \text { DG-torsion- } H^{*}(B G) \text {-modules },
$$

with a quite different proof. The methods of the present paper are used to extend the result on free $G$-spectra to disconnected groups $G$ in [34].
1.D. Applications. Beyond the obvious structural insight, the type of applications we anticipate may be seen from those already given for the circle group $\mathbb{T}$ (i.e., the case $r=1$ ). For example [21] gives a classification of rational $\mathbb{T}$-equivariant cohomology theories, a precise formulation and proof of the rational $\mathbb{T}$-equivariant Segal conjecture, and an algebraic analysis of existing theories, such as $K$-theory. More significant is the construction in [26] of a rational equivariant cohomology theory associated to an elliptic curve $C$ over a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra, and the identification of a part of $\mathbb{T}$-equivariant stable homotopy theory modelled on the derived category of sheaves over $C$. The philosophy in which equivariant cohomology theories correspond to algebraic groups is expounded in [27], and there are encouraging signs suggesting that one may use the model described in the present paper to construct torus-equivariant cohomology theories associated to generic complex curves of higher genus.
1.E. Outline of strategy. The general strategy makes use of the existence of a good symmetric monoidal model category of spectra, allowing us to talk about commutative ring spectra and modules over them. However, it is convenient that the commutative monoids in our category should include spectra that are only $E_{\infty}$-rings (i.e., algebras over a nonequivariant $E_{\infty}$-operad, which is to say $E_{\infty}^{\prime}$ in the sense of McClure [53]). Accordingly we work in the Blumberg-Hill category of $\mathcal{L}$-spectra in orthogonal spectra. The particular properties we need are summarized in Proposition 3.3. The rest of this introduction will outline the strategy without mentioning detailed requirements of the model.

There are two ingredients at the core of our argument, that led us to believe we could establish a Quillen equivalence. The first author's [24] construction of the algebraic category $\mathcal{A}(G)$ giving the basis of an effcient algebraic Adams spectral sequence suggested differential objects in $\mathcal{A}(G)$ as an algebraic model. However it is the second author's results [65] (giving Quillen equivalences between commutative algebras over the Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum $H \mathbb{Q}$ and differential graded commutative $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras, and between the module categories of the corresponding algebras) that gives the bridge allowing us to pass from topology to algebra.

In outline, what we have to achieve is to move from the category of rational $G$-spectra to the category of DG objects of the abelian category $\mathcal{A}(G)$. There are five main stages to this, which we first describe and then illustrate on a chain of Quillen equivalences.
(1) Isotropy separation (Sections 4 to 6): Rational $G$-spectra are modules over the rational sphere spectrum $\mathbb{S}$. The rational sphere spectrum is the homotopy pullback of a diagram $\widetilde{R}_{\text {top }}$ of isotropically simpler commutative ring $G$-spectra. Accordingly, by the methods of [37], the category of $\mathbb{S}$-modules is equivalent to a category of diagrams of modules over the pullback diagram of ring $G$-spectra.

The diagram $\widetilde{R}_{\text {top }}$ has the shape of a punctured $(r+1)$-cube, which we call the 'formal' punctured cube $P C_{f}$. The module category of each individual ring spectrum captures isotropical information about subgroups with a specified dimension and the diagram shows how to reassemble this isotropically local information into a global spectrum.
(2) Removal of equivariance (Section 7): At each point in the diagram, we replace the commutative ring $G$-spectrum by a commutative non-equivariant ring spectrum by passage to $G$-fixed points, and show that the module categories are equivalent using the general methods described in [36].
(3) Transition to algebra (Section 8): At each point in the diagram, we apply the machinery of [65] to replace all the commutative ring spectra in the diagram by commutative DGAs, and the category of module spectra by the corresponding category of DG-modules over the DGAs.
(4) Rigidity (Section 9): The diagram of commutative DGAs is intrinsically formal in the sense that it is determined up to equivalence by its homology. Accordingly the diagram of commutative DGAs may be replaced by a diagram of commutative algebras.
(5) Simplification (Sections 11 and 12): At each stage so far, we have used cellularization to pick out the relevant homotopy category as the localizing subcategory built from certain specified 'cells'. The final step is to replace this cellularization of the category of DG-modules over the diagram of commutative rings by a much smaller
category of modules with special properties, so that no cellularization is necessary; using apparatus from [28], this category turns out to be $\mathcal{A}(G)$.
These steps correspond to the following sequence of Quillen equivalences, several of which are themselves zig-zags of simple Quillen equivalences. The cellularizations are all with respect to the set of images of the cells $G / H_{+}$as $H$ runs through closed subgroups, and the diagrams of rings are all punctured $(r+1)$-cubes.

$$
\begin{aligned}
G \text {-spectra } \stackrel{(1)}{\sim} \text { cell- } \widetilde{R}_{\text {top }} \text {-mod- } G \text {-spectra } \stackrel{(2)}{\simeq} \text { cell- } R_{\text {top }} \text {-mod-spectra } \stackrel{\left(\stackrel{(3)}{\simeq} \text { cell- } R_{t}\right. \text {-mod }}{ } \\
\qquad \stackrel{(4)}{\simeq} \operatorname{cell}-R_{a}-\bmod \stackrel{(5)}{\sim} \text { pqce- } R_{a}-\bmod \stackrel{(5)}{\simeq} \mathcal{A}(G)
\end{aligned}
$$

It is worth highlighting some of the techniques of more general applicability.
First, we constantly use the Cellularization Principle [35]. The idea is that a Quillen adjunction induces a Quillen equivalence between cellularized model categories, provided we cellularize with respect to cells that are small and correspond under the adjunction. The hypotheses are mild, and it may appear like a tautology, but it has been useful innumerable times in the present paper and deserves emphasis. It can be directly compared to another extremely powerful formality: a natural transformation of cohomology theories that is an isomorphism on spheres is an equivalence.

Second, we make extensive use of categories of modules over diagrams of rings [37], and prove that up to Quillen equivalence and cellularization, we can replace a category of modules over a diagram of rings by the category of modules over its pullback.

Third, the fact that if $A$ is a ring $G$-spectrum, passage to categorical $K$-fixed points establishes a close relationship between the category of $A$-module $G$-spectra and the category of $A^{K}$-module $G / K$-spectra [36]. More precisely, we consider a Quillen adjunction

$$
A \otimes_{A^{K}}(\cdot): A^{K} \text {-mod- } G / K \text {-spectra } \rightleftarrows A \text {-mod- } G \text {-spectra }:(\cdot)^{K}
$$

This is especially effective in conjunction with the Cellularization Principle.
Finally, we note that at the centre of the proof is rigidity: any two model categories with suitable specified homotopy level properties are equivalent. The equivariant sphere ring spectrum should be viewed as the sheaf of functions on a non-affine variety; we find a cover by affine varieties which are individually rigid, and the configuration of the cover is also rigid.

In effect, we have used only one basic rigidity result: any two commutative DGAs which have the same polynomial cohomology are quasi-isomorphic. This elementary result has far reaching consequences. Our main use of it here is to patch together local rigidity results (each based on polynomial rings) to give a global rigidity result. In [33] we applied it to prove rigidity of Koszul duals. We also need a rigidity result for modules, that by an Adams spectral sequence argument, the standard cells are determined by their homology [24, 12.1].
1.F. Relationship to other results. We should explain the relationship between the strategy implemented here and that used for free spectra in [33]. Both strategies start with a category of $G$-spectra and end with a purely algebraic category, and the connection in both relies on finding an intermediate category which is visibly rigid in the sense that it is determined by its homotopy category (the archetype of this is the category of modules over a commutative DGA with polynomial cohomology).

The difference comes in the route taken. Roughly speaking, the strategy in [33] is to move to non-equivariant spectra as soon as possible, whereas that adopted here is to keep working in the ambient category of $G$-spectra for as long as possible.

The advantage of the strategy of [33] is that it is close to commutative algebra, and should be adaptable to proving uniqueness of other algebraic categories. However, it is hard to retain control of the monoidal structure, and adapting the method to deal with many isotropy groups makes the formal framework very complicated. This was our original approach to the result for tori.

The present method appears to have several advantages. It uses fewer steps, and the monoidal structures are visible throughout. Furthermore, it reflects traditional approaches to the homotopy theory of $G$-spaces in that it displays the category of $G$-spectra as built from categories of spectra with restricted isotropy group using Borel cohomology.

Finally, we should explain that early versions of the present paper (specifically arXiv:1101.2511 v1, v2, v3 posted in 2011) differed from the present one in two important respects. Firstly, they included in condensed form the parts of [35, 36, 37] that they required; we separated out those papers partly to improve readability and partly because they appeared to be of wider interest. During the process of revising this paper to take advantage of the separation, we found a signficant simplification, and this led to the second main difference. The method for dealing with the equivalence between the category of $G$-spectra and a category of diagrams is much simpler here than in the earlier versions because the diagrams themselves are finite. In the present version, the manipulations with diagrams are now largely replaced by an equivalence of $G$-spectra showing how the sphere spectrum $\mathbb{S}$ can be constructed from isotropically simpler pieces. Having made that change, it was necessary to refer to the paper [28] for the behaviour of an algebraic torsion functor.
1.G. Conventions. Certain conventions are in force throughout the paper. The most important is that everything is rational: henceforth all spectra and homology theories are rationalized without comment. For example, the category of rational $G$-spectra will now be denoted ' $G$-spectra'. Whenever possible we work in the derived category; for example, most equivalences are verified at this level. We also use the standard conventions that 'DG' abbreviates 'differential graded' and that 'subgroup' means 'closed subgroup'. We attempt to let inclusion of subgroups follow the alphabet, so that $G \supseteq H \supseteq K \supseteq L$.

We often have to discuss classifying spaces of quotient groups, such as $G / K$. We omit brackets and write $B G / K=B(G / K)$. This should cause no confusion because the only natural action of $K$ on $B G$ is the trivial action (so we never have cause to make the construction $(B G) / K)$.

We focus on homological (lower) degrees, with differentials reducing degrees; for clarity, cohomological (upper) degrees are called codegrees and may be converted to degrees by negation in the usual way. Finally, we write $H^{*}(X)$ for the unreduced cohomology of a space $X$ with rational coefficients.

We have adopted a number of more specific conventions in our choice of notation, and it may help the reader to be alerted to them.

- There are several cases where we need to talk about ring $G$-spectra $\widetilde{R}$ and their fixed points $R=(\widetilde{R})^{G}$. The equivariant form is indicated by a tilde on the non-equivariant one.
- We need to discuss rings in various categories of spectra, and then modules over them. Since it often needs to be made explicit, we write, for example, $R$-module- $G$-spectra for the category of $R$-modules in the category of $G$-spectra.
- The purpose of this paper is to give an algebraic model of a topological phenomenon. Accordingly, characters arise in various worlds, and it is useful to know they play corresponding roles. We sometimes point this out by use of subscripts. For example $R_{a}$ (with ' $a$ ' for 'algebra') might be a (conventional, graded) ring, $R_{t o p}$ its counterpart in spectra, $\tilde{R}_{\text {top }}$ its counterpart in $G$-spectra, and $R_{t}$ its counterpart in $D G$-algebra (a large DGA, that is only described indirectly).
- We often have to discuss diagrams of rings and diagrams of modules over them, but we will usually say ' $R$ is a diagram of rings' and ' $M$ is an $R$-module' (leaving the fact that $M$ is also a diagram to be deduced from the context).
1.H. Organization of the paper. Section 2 recalls the definition of the algebraic model $\mathcal{A}(G)$. Section 3 discusses the properties we need of our monoidal model of equivariant spectra, and introduces the Blumberg-Hill model we use ( $\mathcal{L}$-spectra in orthogonal spectra): beyond the good properties of orthogonal spectra this has the property that $E_{\infty}$-ring $G$ spectra are the commutative monoids.

Section 4 introduces the formalism for discussing modules over diagrams of rings.
In Section 5 we explain that the sphere spectrum is the homotopy pullback of a punctured $(r+1)$-cube of isotropically simpler ring spectra, and in Section 6 we explain that it is the homotopy pullback of a closely related punctured $(r+1)$-cube diagram $\widetilde{R}_{\text {top }}$ of ring spectra which are formal in the sense that they are determined by their homotopy. This punctured cube is $P C_{f}$, and all the subsequent diagrams have this shape. The results of [37] then establish Equivalence (1), showing that the category of rational $G$-spectra is equivalent to a category of module $G$-spectra over the diagram $\widetilde{R}_{\text {top }}$ of ring $G$-spectra. This completes the isotropy separation step of the proof.

Until this point, all arguments and calculations are within the category of $G$-spectra. The remaining steps change ambient categories. We not only need to recognize the categories of modules, but we also need to recognize the cells we use to cellularize them. The fact that the natural cells $G / H_{+}$are characterized by their homology ([24, 12.1]) means that we do not need to comment further on the cells.

Having shown the category of $G$-spectra is equivalent to a category of modules over the diagram $\widetilde{R}_{\text {top }}$ of ring $G$-spectra, we can move from $G$-spectra to non-equivariant spectra in Section 7, using the results of [36] to establish that this category is equivalent to a category of modules over the diagram $R_{\text {top }}=\left(\widetilde{R}_{t o p}\right)^{G}$ of ring spectra (i.e., Equivalence (2)). In Section 8 we use the results of [65] to establish that the category of $R_{t o p}$-modules is equivalent to a category of modules over the diagram $R_{t}$ of DGAs (i.e., Equivalence (3)). It is then quite straightforward to establish Equivalence (4), showing in Section 9 that the $P C_{f}$-diagram $R_{a}=H_{*}\left(R_{t}\right)$ is intrinsically formal, so that the category of modules over $R_{t}$ and $R_{a}$ are equivalent.

In Section 10 we recognize our progress by seeing that $\mathcal{A}(G)$ can be viewed as a category of modules over the diagram $R_{a}$ of graded rings. Finally Sections 11 and 12 establish Equivalence (5), showing that the cellularization is equivalent to the particular category $\mathcal{A}(G)$ of DG- $R_{a}$-modules.

## 2. The algebraic model

In this section we recall relevant results from [24] which constructs an abelian category $\mathcal{A}(G)$ giving an algebraic reflection of the structure of the category of $G$-spectra and an Adams spectral sequence based on it; the present account is very compressed and readers may need to refer to [24] for details. The structures from that analysis will be relevant to much of what we do here.

This model is based on pairs of connected subgroups and is denoted $\mathcal{A}_{c}^{p}(G)$ in the more precise notation of [28], and we use this form of the model since it is the most convenient and practical model for calculations. In fact the first output of the topological argument is a model based on flags of dimensions of subgroups which is denoted $\mathcal{A}_{d}^{f}(G)$ in [28]. This was introduced and shown to be equivalent to $\mathcal{A}_{c}^{p}(G)$ in [28]; building on [28], we show in Section 10 how to move directly from the algebraic model coming from our proof (namely $\mathcal{A}_{d}^{f}(G)$ ) to $\mathcal{A}_{c}^{p}(G)$.
2.A. Definition of the category. First we must construct the category $\mathcal{A}(G)$, which is a category of modules over a diagram of rings. For a category $\mathbf{D}$ and a diagram $R: \mathbf{D} \longrightarrow$ Rings of rings, an $R$-module is given by a $\mathbf{D}$-diagram $M$ such that $M(x)$ is an $R(x)$-module for each object $x$ in $\mathbf{D}$, and for every morphism $a: x \longrightarrow y$ in $\mathbf{D}$, the map $M(a): M(x) \longrightarrow$ $M(y)$ is a module map over the ring map $R(a): R(x) \longrightarrow R(y)$.

The shape of the diagram for $\mathcal{A}(G)$ is given by the partially ordered set $\operatorname{ConnSub}(\mathbf{G})$ of connected subgroups of $G$. To start with we consider the single graded ring

$$
\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}=\prod_{F \in \mathcal{F}} H^{*}(B G / F)
$$

where the product is over the family $\mathcal{F}$ of finite subgroups of $G$. To specify the value of the ring at a connected subgroup $K$, we use Euler classes: indeed if $V$ is a complex representation of $G$ with $V^{G}=0$, we may define $c(V) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}$ by specifying its components. In the factor corresponding to the finite subgroup $F$ we take $c(V)(F):=c_{\left|V^{F}\right|}\left(V^{F}\right) \in H^{\left|V^{F}\right|}(B G / F)$ where $c_{\left|V^{F}\right|}\left(V^{F}\right)$ is the classical Euler class of $V^{F}$ in ordinary rational cohomology.

The diagram of rings $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is defined by the following functor on $\operatorname{ConnSub}(\mathbf{G})$

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}}(K)=\mathcal{E}_{K}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{K}=\left\{c(V) \mid V^{K}=0\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the multiplicative set of Euler classes of $K$-essential representations. This localization is again a graded ring.

Next we consider the category of modules $M$ over the diagram $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Thus the value $M(K)$ is a module over $\mathcal{E}_{K}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}$, and if $L \subseteq K$, the structure map

$$
M(L) \longrightarrow M(K)
$$

is a map of modules over the map

$$
\mathcal{E}_{L}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{K}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}
$$

of rings. Note this map of rings is a localization since for any complex representation $V$ of $G$, $V^{L}=0$ implies $V^{K}=0$ so that $\mathcal{E}_{L} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{K}$. The category $\mathcal{A}(G)$ is formed from a subcategory of the category of $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}}$-modules by adding structure. There are two requirements which we
briefly indicate here. Firstly they must be quasi-coherent, in that they are determined by their value at the trivial subgroup 1 by the formula

$$
M(K):=\mathcal{E}_{K}^{-1} M(1) .
$$

The second condition involves the relation between $G$ and its quotients. Choosing a particular connected subgroup $K$, we consider the relationship between the group $G$ with the collection $\mathcal{F}$ of its finite subgroups and the quotient group $G / K$ with the collection $\mathcal{F} / K$ of its finite subgroups. For $G$ we have the $\operatorname{ring} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}$ and for $G / K$ we have the ring

$$
\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / K}=\prod_{\tilde{K} \in \mathcal{F} / K} H^{*}(B G / \tilde{K})
$$

where we have identified finite subgroups of $G / K$ with their inverse images in $G$, i.e., with subgroups $\tilde{K}$ of $G$ having identity component $K$. Combining the inflation maps associated to passing to quotients by $K$ for individual groups, there is an inflation map

$$
\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / K} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}} .
$$

The second condition is that the object should be extended, in the sense that for each connected subgroup $K$ there is a specified isomorphism

$$
M(K) \cong \mathcal{E}_{K}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / K}} \phi^{K} M
$$

for some $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / K}$-module $\phi^{K} M$, which is a given part of the structure. These identifications should be compatible when we have inclusions of connected subgroups. If we choose a subgroup $L$ then the modules $\phi^{K} M$ for $K \supseteq L$ fit together to make an object of $\mathcal{A}(G / L)$.
2.B. Diagrams of quotient pairs. For some purposes it is useful to have an alternative view of $\mathcal{A}(G)$ as introduced in [25] making more of the structure explicit. Here the values $\phi^{H} M$ are all displayed in a single diagram indexed by pairs of quotient groups. Pairs of quotient groups are equivalent to pairs of subgroups, but here we will stick with the indexing by quotients $G / K$ as in [25] since it is the quotients that enter most directly into the model. We use the notations $\mathbb{R}_{c}^{p}$ for the ring and $\mathcal{A}_{c}^{p}(G)$ for the category as in [28], since this is descriptive of the fact that we use pairs of connected subgroups.

Definition 2.1. The diagram of quotient pairs of $G$ is the partially ordered set with objects $(G / K)_{G / L}$ for $L \subseteq K \subseteq G$, and with two types of morphisms. The horizontal morphisms

$$
h_{K}^{H}:(G / K)_{G / L} \longrightarrow(G / H)_{G / L} \text { for } L \subseteq K \subseteq H \subseteq G
$$

and the vertical morphisms

$$
v_{L}^{K}:(G / H)_{G / K} \longrightarrow(G / H)_{G / L} \text { for } L \subseteq K \subseteq H \subseteq G
$$

One particular diagram will be of special significance for us.
Definition 2.2. The structure diagram for $G$ is the diagram of rings $\mathbb{R}_{c}^{p}$ defined by

$$
\mathbb{R}_{c}^{p}(G / K)_{G / L}:=\mathcal{E}_{K / L}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / L}
$$

Since $V^{K}=0$ implies $V^{H}=0$, we see that $\mathcal{E}_{H / L} \supseteq \mathcal{E}_{K / L}$, so it is legitimate to take the horizontal maps to be localizations

$$
h_{K}^{H}: \mathcal{E}_{K / L}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / L} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{H / L}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / L}
$$

To define the vertical maps, we begin with the inflation map $\inf _{G / K}^{G / L}: \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / K} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / L}$, and then observe that if $V$ is a representation of $G / K$ with $V^{H}=0$, it may be regarded as a representation of $G / L$, and Euler classes correspond in the sense that $\inf \left(e_{G / K}(V)\right)=$ $e_{G / L}(V)$. We therefore obtain a map

$$
v_{K}^{L}: \mathcal{E}_{H / K}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / K} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{H / L}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / L}
$$

Illustrating this for a group $G$ of rank 2 , we obtain


At the top right, of course $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / G}=\mathbb{Q}$, but clarifies the formalism to use the more complicated notation.

In discussing modules, we need to refer to the structure maps for rings, so for an $\mathbb{R}_{c}^{p}$-module $M$, if $L \subseteq K \subseteq H \subseteq G$, we generically write

$$
\alpha_{K}^{L}: M(G / H)_{G / K} \longrightarrow M(G / H)_{G / L}
$$

for the vertical map, and

$$
\tilde{\alpha}_{K}^{L}: \mathcal{E}_{H / L}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / K}} M(G / H)_{G / K}=\left(v_{K}^{L}\right)_{*} M(G / H)_{G / K} \longrightarrow M(G / H)_{G / L}
$$

for the associated map of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / L}$-modules. Similarly, we generically write

$$
\beta_{K}^{H}: M(G / K)_{G / L} \longrightarrow M(G / H)_{G / L}
$$

for the horizontal map, and

$$
\tilde{\beta}_{K}^{H}: \mathcal{E}_{H / L}^{-1} M(G / K)_{G / L}=\left(h_{K}^{H}\right)_{*} M(G / K)_{G / L} \longrightarrow M(G / H)_{G / L}
$$

for the associated map of $\mathcal{E}_{H / L}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / L}$-modules, which we refer to as the basing map after [21].
Definition 2.3. If $M$ is an $\mathbb{R}_{c}^{p}$-module, we say that $M$ is extended if whenever $L \subseteq K \subseteq H$ the vertical map $\alpha_{K}^{L}$ is an extension of scalars along $v_{K}^{L}: \mathcal{E}_{H / K}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / K} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{H / L}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / L}$, which is to say that

$$
\tilde{\alpha}_{K}^{L}: \mathcal{E}_{H / L}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / K}} M(G / H)_{G / K} \xrightarrow{\cong} M(G / H)_{G / L}
$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{E}_{H / L}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / L}$-modules.
If $M$ is an $\mathbb{R}_{c}^{p}$-module, we say that $M$ is quasi-coherent if whenever $L \subseteq K \subseteq H$ the horizontal map $\beta_{K}^{H}$ is an extension of scalars along $h_{K}^{H}: \mathcal{E}_{K / L}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / L} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{H / L}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / L}$, which is to say that

$$
\tilde{\beta}_{K}^{H}: \mathcal{E}_{H / L}^{-1} M(G / K)_{G / L} \xrightarrow{\cong} M(G / H)_{G / L}
$$

is an isomorphism.
We write $q c-\mathbb{R}_{c}^{p}$-mod, $e-\mathbb{R}_{c}^{p}-\bmod$ and $\mathcal{A}_{c}^{p}(G):=q c e-\mathbb{R}_{c}^{p}$ - $\bmod$ for the full subcategories of $\mathbb{R}_{c}$-modules with the indicated properties.

Next observe that the most significant part of the information in an extended object is displayed in its restriction to the leading diagonal. For example in our rank 2 example they take the form


In effect our description of the category $\mathcal{A}(G)$ abbreviates such a diagram by just writing the final row and taking $\phi^{K} M=M(G / K)_{G / K}$ :

$$
\phi^{1} M \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{K}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / K}} \phi^{K} M \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{G}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / G}} \phi^{G} M,
$$

leaving it implicit that the particular decomposition as a tensor product is part of the structure.

Lemma 2.4. [25, 5.5] The functor

$$
i: \mathcal{A}(G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{c}^{p}(G)=q c e-\mathbb{R}_{c}^{p}-\bmod
$$

defined by

$$
i(M)(G / K)_{G / L}:=\mathcal{E}_{K / L}^{-1} \phi^{L} M .
$$

is an equivalence

$$
\mathcal{A}(G) \simeq \mathcal{A}_{c}^{p}(G)
$$

Henceforth we will identify the two, thinking of $\mathcal{A}(G)$ as given by the values of $\mathcal{A}_{c}^{p}(G)$ on the objects $(G / K)_{G / K}$ with additional structure given by the horizontal and vertical maps.
2.C. Connection with topology. The homotopy level connection between $G$-spectra and $\mathcal{A}(G)$ is given by a homotopy functor

$$
\pi_{*}^{\mathcal{A}}: G \text {-spectra } \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(G)
$$

with the exactness properties of a homology theory. It is rather easy to write down the value of the functor as a diagram of abelian groups.
Definition 2.5. For a $G$-spectrum $X$ we define $\pi_{*}^{\mathcal{A}}(X)$ on $K$ by

$$
\pi_{*}^{\mathcal{A}}(X)(K)=\pi_{*}^{G}\left(D E \mathcal{F}_{+} \wedge S^{\infty V(K)} \wedge X\right)
$$

Here $E \mathcal{F}_{+}$is the universal space for the family $\mathcal{F}$ of finite subgroups with a disjoint basepoint added and $D E \mathcal{F}_{+}=F\left(E \mathcal{F}_{+}, S^{0}\right)$ is its functional dual (the function $G$-spectrum of maps from $E \mathcal{F}_{+}$to $S^{0}$ ). For any closed subgroup $K$ of $G$, the $G$-space $S^{\infty V(K)}$ is defined by

$$
S^{\infty V(K)}=\bigcup_{12} S^{V}
$$

where $V$ runs through finite dimensional subrepresentations of a complete $G$-universe, $\mathcal{U}$. When $K \subseteq H$, we find $V^{H} \subseteq V^{K}$ so there is a map $S^{\infty V(K)} \longrightarrow S^{\infty V(H)}$, and this induces the $\operatorname{map} \pi_{*}^{\mathcal{A}}(X)(K) \longrightarrow \pi_{*}^{\mathcal{A}}(X)(H)$.

The definition of $\pi_{*}^{\mathcal{A}}(X)$ shows that quasi-coherence for $\pi_{*}^{\mathcal{A}}(X)$ is just a matter of understanding Euler classes. The extendedness of $\pi_{*}^{\mathcal{A}}(X)$ is a little more subtle, and will play a significant role later. Extendedness follows from properties of the geometric fixed point functor. We may take

$$
\phi^{K} \pi_{*}^{\mathcal{A}}(X)=\pi_{*}^{G / K}\left(D E \mathcal{F} / K_{+} \wedge \Phi^{K}(X)\right)
$$

where $\Phi^{K}$ is the geometric fixed point functor using the map $\inf \left(D E \mathcal{F} / K_{+}\right) \longrightarrow D E \mathcal{F}_{+} \wedge$ $S^{\infty V(K)}$ (see [24, 9.2] for details).

To see that $\pi_{*}^{\mathcal{A}}(X)$ is a module over $\mathcal{O}$, the key is to understand $S^{0}$.
Theorem 2.6. [24, 1.5] The image of $S^{0}$ in $\mathcal{A}(G)$ is the structure functor:

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{F}}=\pi_{*}^{\mathcal{A}}\left(S^{0}\right),
$$

with the canonical structure as an extended module.
Some additional work confirms that $\pi_{*}^{\mathcal{A}}$ has the appropriate behaviour.
Corollary 2.7. $[24,1.6]$ The functor $\pi_{*}^{\mathcal{A}}$ takes values in the abelian category $\mathcal{A}(G)$.
2.D. The Adams spectral sequence. The homology theory $\pi_{*}^{\mathcal{A}}$ may be used as the basis of an Adams spectral sequence for calculating maps between rational $G$-spectra. The main theorem of [24] is as follows.

Theorem 2.8. ([24, 9.1]) For any rational $G$-spectra $X$ and $Y$ there is a natural Adams spectral sequence

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}(G)}^{*, *}\left(\pi_{*}^{\mathcal{A}}(X), \pi_{*}^{\mathcal{A}}(Y)\right) \Rightarrow[X, Y]_{*}^{G} .
$$

It is a finite spectral sequence concentrated in rows 0 to $r$ (the rank of $G$ ) and strongly convergent for all $X$ and $Y$.

This was what led us to attempt to prove the main theorem of the present paper, and many of the methods used to construct the Adams spectral sequence are adapted to the present work. Nonetheless, it appears that the only way we explicitly use the Adams spectral sequence is in the fact that cells are characterized by their homology.

Corollary 2.9. [24, 12.1] If $X$ is a $G$-spectrum with $\pi_{*}^{\mathcal{A}}(X) \cong \pi_{*}^{\mathcal{A}}\left(G / H_{+}\right)$then $X \simeq G / H_{+}$.
The proof proceeds by giving an explicit resolution of $\pi_{*}^{\mathcal{A}}\left(G / H_{+}\right)$in $\mathcal{A}(G)$, and then observing that this gives appropriate vanishing at the $E_{2}$-page so as to ensure an isomorphism $\pi_{*}^{\mathcal{A}}(X) \cong \pi_{*}^{\mathcal{A}}\left(G / H_{+}\right)$lifts to a homotopy class of maps $G / H_{+} \longrightarrow X$. Since $\pi_{*}^{\mathcal{A}}$ detects weak equivalences, this suffices. Evidently, this argument applies in any model category with a similar Adams spectral sequence.

In the present paper, we often need to know how our chosen cells behave under functors between model categories. We will apply the corollary repeatedly to see that each cell maps to the obvious object up to equivalence.

## 3. Cochain Ring spectra

The purpose of this section is to discuss the particular model of $G$-spectra that we use. Much of our argument takes place at the level of homotopy categories, but we need several formal properties that depend on properties of functors at the model category level. In various ways these are all associated to monoidal structure.

The required properties of the model category of $G$-spectra itself are standard properties of monoidal model categories, enjoyed by all models that we might consider. Next, we need certain properties of the change of groups functors relating the properties of $G$-spectra to those of $Q$-spectra for subquotients $Q$ of $G$. One could imagine formalizing the required properties of all these model categories and functors, but we will just use models for which fixed point and inflation functors have good behaviour with respect to the smash product.

Finally, we might ask for properties of the monoidal structures themselves. For homotopy level arguments we just need to know standard properties (bilinearity, compatibility with suspension and space level constructions etc). The delicacy arises from the need to consider arguments modelled on those of commutative ring theory, in precisely the sense that the ring spectra are $E_{\infty}$-rings (i.e., algebras over a non-equivariant $E_{\infty}$-operad, which is to say $E_{\infty}^{\prime}$ in the sense of McClure [53]). It is convenient for our arguments that the commutative rings are the commutative monoids for the smash product. However, the commutative monoids for the smash product on orthogonal $G$-spectra have additional structure (such as norms) that are incompatible with the homotopy type of some commutative rings. Accordingly, it is convenient to use a different smash product, but one which has the same underlying homotopy type.

In Subsections 3.A and 3.B we introduce some of our basic decisions about coefficients. In Subsection 3.C we discuss some of the ring spectra that we need in general terms. Finally, in Subsection 3.D we describe the Blumberg-Hill model of spectra that we use, and explain why it has the properties we require.
3.A. The sphere spectrum. Just as abelian groups are $\mathbb{Z}$-modules, giving $\mathbb{Z}$ a special role, so too spectra are modules over the sphere spectrum $\mathbb{S}$. Although $\mathbb{S}$ is the suspension spectrum of $S^{0}$, we will generally use the special notation $\mathbb{S}$ to emphasize its special role. Since we are working rationally, $\mathbb{S}$ will denote the rational sphere spectrum, a commutative ring constructed as a localization of the unit object.
3.B. Choice of coefficients. Central to our formalism is that we consider 'rings of functions' on certain spaces, and then consider modules over these. In effect we take a suitable model for cochains on the space with coefficients in a ring. The purpose of the present subsection is to describe the options at the level of the homotopy category, and explain why we end up simply using the functional dual $D X=F(X, \mathbb{S})$ rather than one of the natural alternatives.

If $X$ is a $G$-space and $k$ is a ring $G$-spectrum then we may write

$$
C^{*}(X ; k):=D_{k} X_{+}:=F_{\mathbb{S}}\left(X_{+}, k\right)
$$

for the $G$-spectrum of functions from $X$ to $k$. The first notation comes from the special case of an Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum, which gives a model for cohomology. The second notation comes from the special case $k=\mathbb{S}$ of the functional dual. This spectrum has a ring
structure using the multiplication on $k$ and the diagonal map of $X$. If $k$ is a commutative ring spectrum then so is $C^{*}(X ; k)$.

There are a number of related ring spectra of this form associated to different choices of $k$ and we briefly discuss their properties before explaining which is most relevant to us.

First, we could take $k$ to be the rational sphere $G$-spectrum $\mathbb{S}$, alternatively, we could take it to be one of two Eilenberg-Mac Lane $G$-spectra associated to Green functors. The first Green functor is the Burnside functor $\mathbb{A}$, whose value on $G / H$ is the Burnside ring of $H$, and the second Green functor is the constant functor $\mathbb{Q}$.

To start with we observe that there are maps

$$
\mathbb{S} \longrightarrow H \mathbb{A} \longrightarrow H \mathbb{Q}
$$

of ring $G$-spectra where the first map kills higher homotopy groups and the second kills the augmentation ideal. It is elementary to construct these by killing homotopy groups in the category of $E_{\infty}$-rings. In fact $\mathbb{A}$ and $\mathbb{Q}$ are Tambara functors, and one expects such maps can be constructed by the process of killing homotopy groups conducted in the category of commutative ring $G$-spectra ${ }^{1}$. Any $G$-space $X$ has a diagonal and a map to the terminal object, making it a cocommutative coring, adding a disjoint basepoint and mapping into our sequence of maps of commutative ring spectra we obtain the sequence

$$
D_{\mathbb{S}} X_{+} \longrightarrow D_{H \mathbb{A}} X_{+} \longrightarrow D_{H \mathbb{Q}} X_{+}
$$

of ring spectra. These are very far from being equivalences in general. For the second map that is clear since $\mathbb{A}(G / H) \neq \mathbb{Q}$ if $H$ is a non-trivial finite subgroup. For the first, it is clear from the fact that $\mathbb{S}$ has non-trivial higher homotopy (even rationally) when $G$ is not finite.

Lemma 3.1. (i) If $X$ is free, the above maps are equivalences

$$
D_{\mathbb{S}} X_{+} \simeq D_{H \mathbb{A}} X_{+} \simeq D_{H \mathbb{Q}} X_{+}
$$

of $G$-spectra.
(ii) If $X$ has only finite isotropy, then the first map is an equivalence

$$
D_{\mathbb{S}} X_{+} \simeq D_{H \mathbb{A}} X_{+}
$$

of $G$-spectra.
Proof: For Part (i) we note that $\mathbb{S}, H \mathbb{A}$ and $H \mathbb{Q}$ all have non-equivariant homotopy $\mathbb{Q}$ in degree 0 .

For Part (ii), $\mathbb{S}$ is (rationally) an Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum for any finite group of equivariance by tom Dieck splitting (see [30, Appendix A]).

The functor $D_{H \mathbb{Q}}$ has the convenient property that there is an equivalence

$$
\left(D_{H \mathbb{Q}} Y\right)^{G} \simeq D_{H \mathbb{Q}}(Y / G)
$$

for any based $G$-space $Y$. On the other hand, this lets us calculate values which show the functor is not the one we want to use (specifically, the homotopical analysis of [24] makes clear

[^1]that the homotopy groups of the cochains on $E \mathcal{F}_{+}$should be those of $D_{\mathbb{S}} E \mathcal{F}_{+}$). Henceforth we simply write
$$
D(\cdot)=D_{\mathbb{S}}(\cdot)
$$
for the rational functional dual.
3.C. Some commutative ring spectra. Our arguments use ideas from commutative algebra, so we want to work in a context where certain $G$-spectra $R$ behave like commutative rings. What we need is a symmetric monoidal category of $R$-modules with a well behaved homotopy category, which behaves well under various change of groups constructions. It is conceptually simplest if we work in a category of $G$-spectra where the relevant rings $R$ actually are commutative monoids, and we will describe such a context in Subsection 3.D.

For now we identify ring structures by operad actions. We are essentially recording the observations of McClure [53], but updating terminology. We say that a $G$-spectrum $X$ is an $E_{\infty}$-ring if it has an action of a non-equivariant $E_{\infty}$-operad (viewed as a $G$-fixed $G$-space), such as the linear isometries operad on a $G$-fixed universe. This is the least restrictive type of $N_{\infty}$-operad (in the sense of Blumberg-Hill [10]), the one that is as free as possible (so that the $n$-th term is universal for the family of all subgroups of $G \times \Sigma_{n}$ of the form $H \times 1$ ). A $G$-spectrum is an $E_{\infty}^{G}$-ring if it has an action of a $G$-equivariant $E_{\infty}$-operad (such as the linear isometries operad on a complete $G$-universe). This is the most restrictive type of $N_{\infty}$-operad, with isotropy as large as possible (so that the $n$-th term is universal for the family of all subgroups of $G \times \Sigma_{n}$ intersecting $\Sigma_{n}$ in the trivial group). The ring spectra we need are rather obviously $E_{\infty}$-ring spectra, whereas in some cases it requires extra work to show that they are $E_{\infty}^{G}$-ring spectra. McClure observes that $E_{\infty}^{G}$-rings have more structure that $E_{\infty}$-rings; this structure is used in [31] to define multiplicative norm maps, and the relationship between the $E_{\infty}^{G}$ structure and the norm maps is studied systematically by Hill and Hopkins [40]. In particular this shows that for a finite group $F$, an $E_{\infty}^{G}$-ring spectrum which is non-equivariantly contractible must be $F$-equivariantly contractible (since the norm of the unit is the unit).

Our examples start with the function spectrum $D E \mathcal{F}_{+}$. This is an $E_{\infty}^{G}$-ring by [53, Lemma 4 (a)] since it consists of maps from a $G$-space of the form $X_{+}$(which has a strictly cocommutative diagonal) into the $E_{\infty}^{G}$-ring $\mathbb{S}$. We then wish to consider the spectra $S^{\infty V(H)} \wedge D E \mathcal{F}_{+}$ for connected subgroups $H$, where

$$
S^{\infty V(H)}=\bigcup_{V^{H}=0} S^{V}
$$

The homotopy type $S^{\infty V(H)} \wedge D E \mathcal{F}_{+}$can be obtained as a smash product as written, or as the Bousfield localization of $D E \mathcal{F}_{+}$with respect to $S^{\infty V(H)}$. The importance of $S^{\infty V(H)}$ is firstly that it has geometric isotropy consisting of precisely the subgroups containing $H$, and secondly that because of the way it is built from spheres it gives a close connection to algebraic localizations.

Furthermore $S^{\infty V(H)}$ also has excellent multiplicative properties. It is clear that it is a commutative ring up to homotopy, and by the argument of [53, Lemma 3] it is a based $E_{\infty}$-space. Alternatively one may apply [40] to see that Bousfield localization preserves the existence of an action by a non-equivariant $E_{\infty}$-operad. Because $G$ acts trivially on the operad, an $E_{\infty}$-ring $G$-spectrum has the property that its categorical $H$-fixed point spectrum is a $G / H$-spectrum which is also an algebra over an $E_{\infty}$-operad. To avoid having to discuss
flatness, if $R$ is an $E_{\infty}$-ring, we will always construct $S^{\infty V(H)} \wedge R$ as an $E_{\infty}$-ring by Bousfield localization. The derived smash product gives the homotopy type, and we use the notation $S^{\infty V(H)} \bar{\wedge} R$ for the localization to remind us of this.

The other construction we will need corresponds to taking countable products of commutative rings. It is clear that if objects $A_{i}$ admit an action of an operad $\mathcal{O}$, then so does the product $\prod_{i} A_{i}$. This only uses categorical properties of products. If this is to be homotopically meaningful we need to assume as usual that the objects $A_{i}$ are fibrant. We will apply this when $\mathcal{O}$ is an $E_{\infty}$-operad.
Remark 3.2. The geometric isotropy of the spectrum $S^{\infty V(H)}$ consists of the subgroups containing $H$. If $H$ is not connected, the norm from the identity component $H_{e}$ to $H$ shows that the spectrum $S^{\infty V(H)}$ does not admit the structure of and $E_{\infty}^{G}$-ring. However, if $H$ is connected, any inclusion $L \subseteq K$ from outside the geometric isotropy (i.e., $L \nsupseteq H$ ) to inside the geometric isotropy (i.e., $L \subseteq H$ ) is of infinite index, so the norm obstructions vanish. In fact [29] one may generalize McClure'sargument to show that in this case $S^{\infty V(H)}$ is an $E_{\infty}^{G}$-ring. This means that in fact all the rings we need to discuss are $E_{\infty}^{G}$-rings.
3.D. The category of orthogonal $\mathcal{L}$-spectra. We wish to work in a monoidal category of $G$-spectra in which the rings we work with are commutative monoids. In this section we describe our chosen category. One option is to work with orthogonal $G$-spectra: in view of Remark 3.2 the rings we work with are $E_{\infty}^{G}$-rings, and these are precisely the the commutative monoids in orthogonal $G$-spectra. As shown in Remark 3.4, this would provide foundations for our work. However, since [29] is not yet in final form, we have followed an alternative route.

We retreat to $E_{\infty}$-rings and use a category of spectra in which the commutative monoids are the $E_{\infty}$-rings. It is natural to use the 'operadic smash product' approach of Elmendorf-Kriz-Mandell-May [16] applied to orthogonal $G$-spectra. Such a category has been set up by Blumberg and Hill in [11]; their main concern is to understand the homotopy theory of different types of norm and different degrees of commutativity. Since we are only concerned with the simplest type of commutativity and not with norms at all, we only need the more formal parts of their argument, which apply to all compact Lie groups [11, Appendix B].

We are very grateful to Blumberg and Hill for discussions about their category, and for explicitly including statements from which the properties we require are apparent. We would also like to thank Blumberg for suggestions which led to the current approaches to Properties (11) and (12) in Proposition 3.3 below.

The construction starts with the category $G \mathrm{Sp}^{O}$ of orthogonal $G$-spectra based (additively) on a complete orthogonal $G$-universe $\mathcal{U}$ as usual. For the multiplicative properties, we now choose a $G$-fixed universe $\mathcal{V}$ (i.e., infinite dimensional but with trivial $G$-action) with a view to constructing an operadic smash product based on the $\mathcal{V}$-linear isometries operad.

More precisely, we let $\mathcal{L}$ denote the non-equivariant linear isometries operad defined by

$$
\mathcal{L}(n)=\operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathcal{V}^{n}, \mathcal{V}\right)
$$

There is an associated monad $\mathbb{L}$ given by smashing with $\mathcal{L}(1)_{+}$and we consider the category $G \mathrm{Sp}^{O}[\mathbb{L}]$ of $\mathbb{L}$-algebras in orthogonal $G$-spectra. Applying $\mathbb{L}$ is left adjoint to the forgetful functor relating orthogonal $G$-spectra to those with an $\mathbb{L}$ action. Since $\mathcal{L}(1)$ is contractible, the functors relate objects with the same underlying homotopy type. Precisely as in [16], the category of $\mathbb{L}$-spectra has a symmetric monoidal smash product $\wedge_{\mathcal{L}}$ and we restrict to
those which are unital in the sense that the unit map $S \wedge_{\mathcal{L}} X \longrightarrow X$ is an isomorphism. This category $G \mathrm{Sp}_{\mathcal{L}}^{O}$ of unital $\mathbb{L}$-orthogonal $G$-spectra (denoted $G \mathcal{S}_{U}$ in [11]) is analogous to the category referred to as $S$-modules in [16], and is a monoidal model category satisfying the monoid axiom.

Proposition 3.3. For a compact Lie group $G$, the category of orthogonal $G$-equivariant $\mathcal{L}$-spectra, $G S p_{\mathcal{L}}^{O}$, has the following properties
(1) It is a weakly symmetric, monoidal, proper, G-topological model category satisfying the monoid axiom with weak equivalences detected by the forgetful functor

$$
U: G S p_{\mathcal{L}}^{O} \longrightarrow G S p^{O}
$$

to orthogonal $G$-spectra.
(2) The functor $U$ is a right Quillen functor inducing an equivalence of homotopy categories, and preserves and detects all weak equivalences.
(3) The functor $U$ is lax monoidal, so the smash product is compatible with this equivalence of homotopy categories, and in the non-equivariant setting is monoidally equivalent to the usual smash product of orthogonal spectra.
(4) The monoids in $G S p_{\mathcal{L}}^{O}$ are non $-\Sigma$ algebras over the linear isometries operad $\mathcal{L}$
(5) The commutative monoids in $G S p_{\mathcal{L}}^{O}$ are algebras over the linear isometries operad $\mathcal{L}$, and hence $E_{\infty}$-ring spectra.
(6) The Bousfield rationalization $\mathbb{S}$ of the sphere spectrum (see Property (8)) is a commutative monoid in $G S p_{\mathcal{L}}^{O}$.
(7) The category of commutative monoids in $G S p_{\mathcal{L}}^{O}$ is cotensored over unbased spaces.
(8) All left Bousfield localizations preserve commutative monoids, so that if $A$ is a commutative monoid, for any $E$ the map $A \longrightarrow L_{E} A$ is a map of commutative monoids.
The equivariant categories for $G$ and its quotients are related as follows.
(9) For any closed normal subgroup $K$, inflation

$$
\inf _{G / K}^{G}: G / K S p_{\mathcal{L}}^{O} \longrightarrow G S p_{\mathcal{L}}^{O}
$$

from $G / K$-spectra to $G$-spectra is strong symmetric monoidal and therefore takes commutative monoids to commutative monoids. Inflation is a left Quillen functor.
(10) The $K$-fixed point functor $(\cdot)^{K}: G S p_{\mathcal{L}}^{O} \longrightarrow G / K S p_{\mathcal{L}}^{O}$ is lax symmetric monoidal and hence preserves commutative monoids. The $K$-fixed point functor is a right Quillen functor.
(11) There is a zig-zag of Quillen equivalences between commutative monoids in 1-spectra and commutative monoids in symmetric spectra. Let

$$
\mathbb{F}: \mathrm{Ho}\left(\text { comm-mon- } S p_{\mathcal{L}}^{O}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ho}\left(\text { comm-mon-Sp }{ }^{\Sigma}\right)
$$

denote the derived functor.
(12) For a commutative monoid $A$ in 1-spectra, there is a Quillen equivalence

$$
A-\bmod -S p_{\mathcal{L}}^{O} \simeq_{Q} \mathbb{F} A-\bmod -S p^{\Sigma}
$$

between the categories of $A$-modules over 1 -spectra and $\mathbb{F} A$-modules over symmetric spectra.

Proof: (1) is [11, 4.2, 4.6].
(2) is $[11,4.3,4.8]$.
(3) is $[11,4.3,4.9]$.
(4) and (5) are [11, 3.18].
(6) is a special case of (8) given the fact that the sphere spectrum is a commutative monoid.
(7) is [11, 3.19].
(8) is $[41,6.4]$. This shows that the non-equivariant proof in [16, VIII.2.2] extends to the equivariant case.
(9) and (10) are [11, 3.24, 4.18].
(11): First, [11, 3.18], referring to [16, II.4.6], shows that commutative monoids in nonequivariant $\mathcal{L}$-spectra are isomorphic to the category of $E_{\infty}$-algebras in orthogonal spectra. Then [55, 0.14] shows that $E_{\infty}$-algebras in orthogonal spectra and in symmetric spectra are Quillen equivalent and both are Quillen equivalent to the respective categories of commutative monoids.
(12): The category of modules over a commutative monoid $A$ in non-equivariant $\mathcal{L}$-spectra is isomorphic to the category of operadic modules over the associated $E_{\infty}$ orthogonal spectrum $\mathbb{U} A$ from [11, 3.18]. As above, this follows by an analogue of the argument in [16, II.5.1]. See also [17] for a careful definition of operadic modules via multicategories.

Next we use the monoidal Quillen equivalence between orthogonal spectra and symmetric spectra from [55, 0.4] to show that the category of operadic modules over an $E_{\infty}$-algebra in orthogonal spectra is Quillen equivalent to the category of operadic modules over an associated $E_{\infty}$-algebra in symmetric spectra by [8, 2.14]. Note that the condition in $[8,2.14]$ about units is satisfied because the map in question is an isomorphism, and hence a cofibration. Finally, $[17,1.4]$ shows that this category of modules over an $E_{\infty}$-algebra is Quillen equivalent to the category of modules over a commutative monoid in symmetric spectra. The commutative monoid here may differ from the image of $\mathbb{F}$, but the two are weakly equivalent. The statement then follows by [46, 5.4.5].

Remark 3.4. Properties (1) - (12) embody very natural requirements of equivariant spectra. We would expect our general strategy to be effective in other models when analogous properties hold.

In particular, for the category $G \mathrm{Sp}^{O}$ of orthogonal $G$-spectra itself, properties (1), (2) and (3) are obvious (since $U$ is replaced by the identity functor), and similarly properties (11) and (12) become trivial. Properties (7), (9) and (10) are basic properties of orthogonal spectra [54]. Property (6) is true because the rational sphere is inflated from a fixed spectrum.

The counterparts of Properties (4) and (5) for orthogonal $G$-spectra replace the linear isometries operad $\mathcal{L}$ on the $G$-fixed universe $\mathcal{V}$ by the linear isometries operad $\mathcal{L}_{G}$ on a complete $G$-universe $\mathcal{V}$. This uses the traditional argument of [55, 15.5], using [42, B.117], which in turn corrects [54, III.8.4]. We note that the statement in [42] is only given for finite groups, but their argument applies to arbitrary compact Lie groups giving the full replacement for the statement in [54].

Property (8) is not true in $G \mathrm{Sp}^{O}$ for general $A$ : this is the topic of [40]. We need it for $A=S^{\infty V(H)}$ with $H$ connected: [29] shows $S^{\infty V(H)}$ is a commutative ring and we may obtain the localization by taking tensor product with $S^{\infty V(H)}$.

## Part 2. Formality of the sphere spectrum

## 4. Diagrams of rings and modules

Throughout this paper we consider categories of modules over diagrams of rings in three contexts: differential graded modules over DGAs, module spectra over ring spectra, and module $G$-spectra over ring $G$-spectra. In this section we describe the context and the basic Quillen equivalences arising from a pullback diagram of rings. These and related results are discussed more fully and proved in [37].
4.A. The archetype. Given a diagram shape $\mathbf{D}$, consider a diagram of rings $R: \mathbf{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ in a symmetric monoidal category $\mathbb{C}$. Each map $R(a): R(s) \longrightarrow R(t)$ gives rise to an extension of scalars functor

$$
R(s)-\bmod \xrightarrow{a_{*}} R(t)-\bmod
$$

defined by $a_{*}(X)=R(t) \otimes_{R(s)} X$, with right adjoint the restriction of scalars functor

$$
R(s)-\bmod \stackrel{a^{*}}{\leftarrow} R(t)-\bmod .
$$

Now consider a category of $R$-modules; the objects are diagrams $X: \mathbf{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for which $X(s)$ is an $R(s)$-module for each object $s$, and for every morphism $a: s \longrightarrow t$ in $\mathbf{D}$, the map $X(a): X(s) \longrightarrow X(t)$ is a module map over the ring map $R(a): R(s) \longrightarrow R(t)$. More precisely, there is a map $X(s) \longrightarrow a^{*} X(t)$ of $R(s)$-modules (the restriction form) or, equivalently, there is a map

$$
R(t) \otimes_{R(s)} X(s)=a_{*} X(s) \longrightarrow X(t)
$$

of modules over the ring $R(t)$ (the extension of scalars form). Despite the simplicity of restriction of scalars, we view the left adjoint $a_{*}$ as the primary one, following the convention that the left Quillen functor dicates the direction of a Quillen pair.
4.B. Model structures. We say that a pseudo-functor $\mathbb{M}: \mathbf{D} \longrightarrow$ Cat is a diagram of model categories if each category $\mathbb{M}(s)$ has a model structure, the functors $a_{*}$ all have right adjoints and the adjoint pair $a_{*} \dashv a^{*}$ of functors relating the model categories form a Quillen pair.

For instance, the motivating example of a diagram of ring spectra (or DGAs) gives a diagram of model categories if we use the projective model structure on the category $\mathbb{M}(s)$ of $R(s)$-modules; see, for example, [61, 4.1].

When $\mathbb{M}$ is a diagram of model categories, there are two ways to attempt to put a model structure on the category of $\mathbb{M}$-diagrams $\{X(s)\}_{s \in \mathbf{D}}$. The diagram-projective model structure (if it exists) has its fibrations and weak equivalences defined objectwise. The diagraminjective model structure (if it exists) has its cofibrations and weak equivalences defined objectwise. It must be checked in each particular case whether or not these specifications determine a model structure. When both model structures exist, it is clear that the identity functors define a Quillen equivalence between them.

We will apply [37, Theorem 3.1] to show that the diagram-projective and diagram-injective model structures exist in the cases of interest to us.
4.C. Pullback diagrams of rings. The basic input from the diagrams of model categories from [37] is as follows. Recall from [44, 5.1.1] that an inverse category, $\mathbf{D}$, requires a linear extension from $\mathbf{D}^{\text {op }}$ to an ordinal. This is dual to the notion of a direct category.

Proposition 4.1. [37, Proposition 4.1] For $\mathbf{D}$ a finite, inverse category with at most one morphism in each $\mathbf{D}(s, t)$ and $R$ a $\mathbf{D}$-diagram of ring spectra with homotopy inverse limit $\bar{R}$, there is a zig-zag of Quillen equivalences between the category of $\bar{R}$-modules and the cellularization with respect to $R$ of $R$-modules (with the diagram-injective model structure):

$$
\bar{R}-\bmod \simeq_{Q} R-\text { cell }-R-\bmod
$$

We will apply this when $\mathbf{D}$ is a punctured cube, and $\bar{R}=\mathbb{S}$ is the sphere spectrum. Indeed the category of $G$-spectra is equivalent to the category of module- $G$-spectra over the sphere spectrum $\mathbb{S}$. By Proposition 4.1, this is in turn equivalent to the cellularization of a category of modules over a diagram of ring $G$-spectra. The rest of the work will be based on diagrams of this punctured cube shape. The argument proceeds by replacing the diagram of ring $G$-spectra successively by diagrams of nonequivariant ring spectra, DGAs and finally graded commutative rings.

Our next task is the homotopical core of the paper. We show that the sphere is the pullback of a diagram of spectra which are both isotropically simpler and very rigid.

## 5. The sphere as an isotropic pullback

Our analysis is based on expressing the sphere spectrum as the homotopy pullback of an $(r+1)$-cube of ring $G$-spectra. When $G$ is the circle group this is the $\mathcal{F}$-Tate square [30]

but usually it is more complicated. In fact we will construct a diagram $\widetilde{R}_{t o p}: C \longrightarrow$ Ring- $G$-spectra where the cube $C$ is the poset of subsets of $\{0, \ldots, r\}$, where $\mathbb{S}$ is the value on the initial vertex (the empty set) and so that this is equivalent to the homotopy pullback of the restriction of $\widetilde{R}_{\text {top }}$ to the punctured cube $P C$ of non-empty subsets.
5.A. Strategy. In the course of the proof, we will need to consider an extension of $\widetilde{R}_{\text {top }}$ to a bigger diagram, and we introduce this extended diagram as we go along. The cube $C$ above will appear as $C=C_{f}$ in due course. The letter $f$ stands for 'formal' though the word 'affine' or the word 'rigid' would be sensible alternatives. Corollary 6.2 will show that the sphere spectrum is the homotopy pullback of $\widetilde{R}_{\text {top }}$ restricted to the punctured cube $P C_{f}$, so that the results of [37] (as quoted in Proposition 4.1) show that the category of modules over the sphere spectrum is equivalent to the cellularization of the category of modules over the $P C_{f}$-diagram of ring $G$-spectra. The reason this is useful is that the ring $G$-spectra $A$ at the vertices of the punctured cube $P C_{f}$ have two very special rigidity properties. Firstly, as in [36] passage to $G$-fixed points gives an equivalence between categories of $A$-module- $G$ spectra and categories of $A^{G}$-module-spectra. This means we can reduce from considering $\widetilde{R}_{t o p}$-modules in $G$-spectra to considering modules over the $P C_{f}$-diagram $R_{\text {top }}=\left(\widetilde{R}_{t o p}\right)^{G}$
of non-equivariant ring spectra. We can then use the second author's results to move to considering modules over a $P C_{f}$-diagram $R_{t}$ of DGAs. The second feature of the spectra $A$ is that $\pi_{*}^{G}(A)=\pi_{*}\left(A^{G}\right)$ is intrinsically formal in that any commutative DGA with this homology is equivalent to $\pi_{*}^{G}(A)$ with zero differential. As shown in Section 9, the proof of this is compatible with the $P C_{f}$-diagram, so we are reduced to considering DG-modules over a $P C_{f}$-diagram $R_{a}$ of graded rings. We may then show the cellularized category of $R_{a}$-modules is equivalent to the category $\mathcal{A}(G)$ of [24].

Our first task (Sections 5 and 6) is to describe the $(r+1)$-cube $C_{f}$ of ring spectra with the sphere spectrum at the initial vertex and to show it is a homotopy pullback. We will do this in steps: we identify $C_{f}$ inside a larger diagram $C_{i f}$ containing a second cube $C_{i}$, giving inclusions of diagrams

$$
C_{i} \subseteq C_{i f} \supseteq C_{f}
$$

and we will prove equivalences

Of these, Equivalence 2 is elementary, since $P C_{i}$ is cofinal in $P C_{i f}$. Equivalence 1 (Proposition 5.6) is the essential one, since in fact Equivalence 3 (Proposition 6.1) is essentially given by using Equivalence 1 repeatedly for quotient groups of lower rank.

For this reason we will begin with the cube $C_{i}$ of ring spectra constructed purely on isotropical principles, and Equivalence 1. Since the ring $G$-spectra at the vertices of the punctured cube $P C_{i}$ do not have the rigidity properties we need, we will then take the further step of reducing to the diagram on the punctured cube $P C_{f}$.

For the rest of Sections 5 and 6 we simpify notation and write $\tilde{R}=\widetilde{R}_{t o p}$.
5.B. The isotropic cube. We consider the coordinates $\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)$ where each coordinate $a_{i}$ can take the value 0 or 1 . For $0 \leq c \leq r-1$ the $c$ th coordinate refers to connected subgroups of codimension $c$. The $r$ th coordinate also refers to codimension $r$ (i.e., to finite subgroups), but these must be treated differently, and in effect it refers to whether or not the ring is complete (roughly speaking, whether it is $S^{0}$ or $D E \mathcal{F}_{+}$). Throughout the rest of Part 2, subgroups $H, K, L$ will be connected, and the disconnected subgroups only enter through the final factor $D E \mathcal{F}_{+}$and its counterparts for quotient groups.

To a first approximation, the idea is that the cube is obtained by smashing together $r+1$ maps of rings, with $S^{0}=A_{i}(0) \longrightarrow A_{i}(1)$ in the $i$ th coordinate, so that $\tilde{R}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)=$ $\bigwedge_{i=0}^{r} A_{i}\left(a_{i}\right)$. However we need to refine this, so as to assemble information from individual subgroups, and reflect containments of subgroups.

The simplest coordinates are the 0th and $r$ th, where we have $A_{0}(1)=S^{\infty V(G)}$ and $A_{r}(1)=$ $D E \mathcal{F}_{+}$. In the rank 1 case, this is everything, so we obtain the usual diagram


To get a diagram of commutative rings, smashing with $S^{\infty V(G)}$ is replaced by localization with respect to it.

Supposing $r \geq 2$ we now move on to the other coordinates. For $1 \leq i \leq r-1$, for each connected subgroup $K$, we take

$$
A^{K}(1)=S^{\infty V(K)}
$$

and then

$$
A_{i}(1)=\prod_{\operatorname{codim}(K)=i} A^{K}(1)=\prod_{\operatorname{codim}(K)=i} S^{\infty V(K)}
$$

The formula for codimensions 0 and $r$ fits the same pattern, although there is only one term in the product and containment imposes no restrictions. To make the formulae typographically manageable we need to introduce some more notation. Indeed, in the $i$ th spot we need to have index sets $I(i, 0)$ and $I(i, 1)$ for certain products. The index set $I(i, 0)$ is a singleton and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(i, 1)=\{H \mid H \text { is connected and } \operatorname{codim}(H)=i\} . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we can define the ring spectrum to be placed at the $\left(a_{r}, \ldots, a_{0}\right)$ vertex. We recall that $S^{\infty V(H)} \bar{\wedge} A$ denotes the Bousfield localization of a $E_{\infty}$-ring spectrum $A$ with respect to $S^{\infty V(H)}$, and that this has the homotopy type of the ordinary smash product $S^{\infty V(H)} \wedge A$. The functor $S^{0} \bar{\wedge}$ is the identity.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{R}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)=A_{0}\left(a_{0}\right) \bar{\wedge} \prod_{H_{1} \in I\left(1, a_{1}\right)}\left[A ^ { H _ { 1 } } ( a _ { 1 } ) \overline { \wedge } \prod _ { H _ { 2 } \in I ( 2 , a _ { 2 } ) , H _ { 2 } \subset H _ { < 2 } } \left[A^{H_{2}}\left(a_{2}\right) \bar{\wedge} \cdots\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\cdots \bar{\wedge} \prod_{H_{r-1} \in I\left(r-1, a_{r-1}\right), H_{r-1} \subset H_{<r-1}}\left[A^{H_{r-1}}\left(a_{r-1}\right) \bar{\wedge} A_{r}\left(a_{r}\right)\right] \cdots\right]\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 5.1. (a) To help parse this, note that in the $s$ th term we have $S^{0}$ if $a_{s}=0$ and otherwise it is the product of copies of $S^{\infty V(H)}$ as $H$ runs through codimension $s$ subgroups contained in the earlier subgroups (the notation $H_{s} \subset H_{<s}$ allows for the fact that only terms with $a_{t}=1$ correspond to actual subgroups).
(b) Note that the products include everything to the right of them so the ordering of the vertices is important. From now on, we will often omit parentheses, relying on the 'products include everything to the right' convention to simplify typography.
(c) This notation shows all structure maps clearly, but the formula is easier to digest if we pick out just those indices with $a_{i} \neq 0$, say $i_{c_{0}}<i_{c_{1}}<\ldots<i_{c_{s}}$. In this case if $c_{s}<r$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{R}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)= \prod_{\operatorname{codim} H_{0}=c_{0}}\left[S ^ { \infty V ( H _ { 0 } ) } \overline { \wedge } \prod _ { \operatorname { c o d i m } H _ { 1 } = c _ { 1 } , H _ { 1 } \subset H _ { 0 } } \left[S^{\infty V\left(H_{1}\right)} \bar{\wedge}\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.\prod_{\operatorname{codim} H_{2}=c_{2}, H_{2} \subset H_{1}}\left[S^{\infty V\left(H_{2}\right)} \bar{\wedge} \cdots \prod_{\operatorname{codim} H_{s}=c_{s}, H_{s} \subset H_{s-1}}\left[S^{\infty V\left(H_{s}\right)}\right] \cdots\right]\right]\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and if $c_{s}=r$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{R}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)= \prod_{\operatorname{codim} H_{0}=c_{0}}\left[S ^ { \infty V ( H _ { 0 } ) } \overline { \wedge } \prod _ { \operatorname { c o d i m } H _ { 1 } = c _ { 1 } , H _ { 1 } \subset H _ { 0 } } \left[S^{\infty V\left(H_{1}\right)} \bar{\wedge}\right.\right. \\
& \prod_{\operatorname{codim} H_{2}=c_{2}, H_{2} \subset H_{1}}\left[S ^ { \infty V ( H _ { 2 } ) \overline { \wedge } \cdots \overline { \wedge } } \prod _ { \substack { \operatorname { c o d i m } H _ { s - 1 } = c _ { s - 1 } , H _ { s } \subset H _ { s - 2 } } } \left[S^{\left.\left.\left.\left.\infty V\left(H_{s-1}\right) \bar{\wedge} D E \mathcal{F}_{+}\right] \cdots\right]\right]\right]}\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

The notation somewhat obscures the simplicity of this construction. Thus in rank 2, we have

$$
\tilde{R}\left(a_{0}, 0, a_{2}\right)=A_{0}\left(a_{0}\right) \bar{\wedge} S^{0} \bar{\wedge} A_{2}\left(a_{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\tilde{R}\left(a_{0}, 1, a_{2}\right)=A_{0}\left(a_{0}\right) \bar{\wedge} \prod_{\operatorname{dim}(H)=1}\left[A^{H}(1) \bar{\wedge} A_{2}\left(a_{2}\right)\right]
$$

It is worth writing the diagram completely in this case. The layout is

and the diagram of ring spectra is as follows:


One $r$ dimensional face will play a preferred role in our proof that this cube is a homotopy pullback, so we give a special name to the $a_{0}=0$ face (the left hand face in the above illustration). The $r$-cube diagram $R^{\prime}$ is defined by

$$
R^{\prime}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)=R\left(0, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)
$$

We note that

$$
R=\left(S^{0} \longrightarrow S^{\infty V(G)}\right) \bar{\wedge} R^{\prime}
$$

This notation will be even more convenient when we refine the filtration $S^{0} \longrightarrow S^{\infty V(G)}$.
5.C. Observations about isotropy. It is natural to consider a filtration of all subgroups by dimension, so we let

$$
\mathcal{F}^{\leq i}=\{H \mid \operatorname{dim}(H) \leq i\} \text { and } \mathcal{C}_{24}=\{H \mid \operatorname{dim}(H) \geq i\}
$$

The first is a family and the second is a cofamily. We also need to consider the subgroups above and below a fixed group $K$ :

$$
\Lambda(K)=\{H \mid H \subseteq K\} \text { and } V(K)=\{H \mid K \subseteq H\}
$$

Again, the first is a family and the second is a cofamily.
The point is that the category of spectra with geometric isotropy in the cofamiliy $V(K)$ of subgroups (the spectra "over $K$ ") is equivalent to the category of $G / K$-spectra. To obtain good inductive arguments we want to express the naturally occurring sets of isotropy in terms of those of the form $V(K)$.

We are burdened with a standard notation in which the geometric isotropy is given by $\mathcal{G I}(\widetilde{E} \mathcal{F})=A l l \backslash \mathcal{F}$, so we adopt the convention that for any cofamily $\mathcal{C}$

$$
X \mathcal{C}:=X \wedge \widetilde{E}(A l l \backslash \mathcal{C})
$$

so that

$$
\mathcal{G \mathcal { I }}(X \mathcal{C})=\mathcal{G \mathcal { I }}(X) \cap \mathcal{C}
$$

and in particular

$$
\mathbb{S C}=\widetilde{E}(A l l \backslash \mathcal{C}), \text { giving } \quad \mathcal{G} \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{S C})=\mathcal{C}
$$

This notation extends naturally to families, and indeed to any collection of subgroups which can be expressed as an intersection between a family and a cofamily.

We abbreviate further, taking

$$
\mathbb{S}_{\geq i}=\mathbb{S C}_{\geq i}
$$

and consider the filtration

$$
\mathbb{S}=\mathbb{S}_{\geq 0} \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}_{\geq 1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}_{\geq 2} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}_{\geq r}=S^{\infty V(G)}
$$

More precisely we realise this filtration by cofibrations in the category of commutative ring spectra by a process of localization; this is possible by Proposition 3.3 (8).

Lemma 5.2. For any map $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ of $G$-spectra which is which is an equivalence in $\Phi^{H}$-fixed points for $H$ of dimension $i$ then the square

is a homotopy pullback. If the map $X \longrightarrow Y$ is a map of commutative ring spectra and $\wedge$ is replaced by $\bar{\lambda}$ then this is a homotopy pullback of commutative ring spectra.

Proof: The space $\mathbb{S}_{\geq i+1} / \mathbb{S}_{\geq i}$ has geometric isotropy concentrated on subgroups $H$ of dimension exactly $i$. This means it can be built from cells $G / K_{+}$where $K$ has dimension $\leq i$.

We will apply this to a large number of slightly different maps, but it is worth highlighting one which embodies the philosophy.

Corollary 5.3. For any $G$-spectrum $X$, the square

where the products are over connected subgroups of dimension $i$, is a homotopy pullback. If the map $X$ is a commutative ring spectrum and $\wedge$ is replaced by $\bar{\wedge}$ then this is a homotopy pullback of commutative ring spectra.
Remark 5.4. (i) The bottom left hand entry is equivalent to $\prod_{\operatorname{dim}(H)=i} S^{\infty V(H)} \wedge X$ since all terms are $\mathcal{F}^{\leq i-1}$-contractible.
(ii) The essence of the corollary is that we can start with $\mathbb{S}_{\geq_{r}} \wedge X=S^{\infty V(G)} \wedge X$, and build $X=\mathbb{S}_{\geq 0} \wedge X$ in steps. At each stage $\mathbb{S}_{\geq i} \wedge X$ can be constructed as a homotopy pullback from $\mathbb{S}_{\geq i+1} \wedge X$ by using only spectra of the form $S^{\infty V(H)} \wedge X$ for subgroups $H$ of dimension $i$.

Since the category of module $G$-spectra over $S^{\infty V(H)}$ is equivalent to the category of $G / H$ spectra, this establishes an inductive scheme.
Proof of 5.3: We apply Lemma 5.2 to the map $X \longrightarrow \prod_{H} S^{\infty V(H)} \wedge X$, so we need to verify this is a non-equivariant equivalence in geometric $K$-fixed points for $K$ of dimension $\leq i$.

If $K$ is a subgroup of dimension less than $i$ then all terms are $K$-contractible. If $K$ is of dimension $i$, there is precisely one factor in the product which is not $K$-contractible (namely with $H$ the identity component of $K$ ), and $\Phi^{K} S^{\infty V(H)}=S^{0}$, so that $X \longrightarrow S^{\infty V(H)} \wedge X$ is an equivalence after applying $\Phi^{K}$.

The variant that we will apply is obtained by adapting a special case of this corollary.
Corollary 5.5. For $X=\tilde{R}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{i-1}, 0, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)$ and $Y=\tilde{R}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{i-1}, 1, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)$, the square

is a homotopy pullback of commutative ring spectra.
Proof: First note that if $a_{s} \neq 0$ for some $s<i$, this is immediate, since if $H$ is of dimension $s>i$ then $S^{\infty V(H)}$ is $\mathcal{F}^{\leq i}$-contractible.

Now consider the case $a_{0}=\cdots=a_{i-1}=0$. This is very close to the special case of Corollary 5.3 in which

$$
X=\prod_{H_{i+1} \in I\left(i+1, a_{i+1}\right)}\left[A ^ { H _ { i + 1 } } ( a _ { i + 1 } ) \wedge \prod _ { H _ { i + 2 } \in I ( i + 2 , a _ { i + 2 } ) , H _ { i + 2 } \subset H _ { < i + 2 } } \left[A ^ { H _ { i + 2 } ( a _ { i + 2 } ) \wedge \cdots } \prod _ { H _ { r - 1 } \in I ( r - 1 , a _ { r - 1 } ) , H _ { r - 1 } \subset H _ { < r - 1 } } \left[A_{r-1}^{\left.\left.\left.H_{r-1}\left(a_{r-1}\right) \wedge A_{r}\left(a_{r}\right)\right] \cdots\right]\right]}\right.\right.\right.
$$

This is the value of $X$ in the present corollary. The main difference is that instead of taking $Y$ to be given as $\prod_{H} S^{\infty V(H)} \wedge X$, the products in the $H$ th factor are now restricted to subgroups of $H$.

To see that this does not alter the fact that we have a pullback square, we need only observe that the omitted factors in the products in the $H$ th factor are $\mathcal{F} / H$-contractible. Indeed, if $K$ is a connected subgroup with $K \nsubseteq H$, and $\tilde{H}$ has identity subgroup $H$ then $K \nsubseteq \tilde{H}$ and so $S^{\infty V(K)}$ is $\tilde{H}$-contractible.
5.D. The isotropic cube is a homotopy pullback. We are ready to prove that the isotropic cube is a homotopy pullback.
Proposition 5.6. The $C_{i}$-diagram $\tilde{R}$ is a homotopy pullback, which is to say that the sphere spectrum $\mathbb{S}$ is the homotopy pullback of $\tilde{R}$ restricted to the punctured cube $P C_{i}$ :

$$
\mathbb{S} \simeq \underset{\leftarrow}{\operatorname{holim}} \underset{v \in P C_{i}}{ } \tilde{R}(v)
$$

Remark 5.7. The corresponding statement is also true for the diagram in which the products in the definition of the ring spectrum are over all $S^{\infty V(H)}$ with $H$ connected of a fixed codimension (the proof is the same, except that one applies Corollary 5.3 instead of Corollary 5.5). The reason for restricting to products over decreasing flags is to obtain an algebraically tractable result.

Proof of Proposition 5.6: Some readers may find it helpful to refer to the case of Rank 2 made explicit in Subsection 5.E whilst reading this proof.

The method is to use a succession of intermediate homotopy pullbacks inside the cube. We place the terms of the intermediate homotopy pullbacks along the $a_{0}$ edges of $P C_{i}$. It is helpful to describe first the basic filtration we are using.

The general reconstruction process works by enlarging the diagram to permit the 0th coordinate to run through the entire filtration

$$
\mathbb{S}=\mathbb{S}_{\geq 0} \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}_{\geq 1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}_{\geq 2} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}_{\geq r}=S^{\infty V(G)}
$$

We do this by letting $a_{0}$ take on the fractional values $0=0 / r, 1 / r, \ldots, r / r=1$ and take

$$
\tilde{R}\left(i / r, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)=\mathbb{S}_{\geq i} \bar{\wedge} \tilde{R}^{\prime}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right) ;
$$

For brevity we write

$$
\tilde{R}^{\prime}(i / r)=\mathbb{S}_{\geq i} \bar{\wedge} \tilde{R}^{\prime}
$$

for these $r$-cube diagrams.
The idea is to imagine filling in the values of the diagram from scratch. To start with, we are given the values at $P C_{i}$ (this includes all entries with $a_{0}=1=r / r$ ). We then show successively for $a_{0}=(r-1) / r,(r-2) / r, \ldots, 1 / r, 0 / r=0$ that the entries in the diagrams of ring spectra $\tilde{R}^{\prime}\left(a_{0}\right)$ can be filled in (using only homotopy equivalences and homotopy pullbacks) from values already filled in. The only value of real importance is $\mathbb{S}=\mathbb{S}_{\geq 0}=\tilde{R}(0, \ldots, 0)$, but it is easier to describe a uniform procedure which fills in other entries on the way.

At the start, we are given the ring spectra $\tilde{R}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)$ for vertices of $P C_{i}$. This means all vertices with $a_{r} \in\{0,1\}$ and not all entries $a_{i}$ zero. We observe first that the entries at many other points are equivalent to these.

Lemma 5.8. Provided $a_{j}=1$ for some $j \leq i$ we have an equivalence

$$
\mathbb{S}_{\geq i} \bar{\wedge} \tilde{R}^{\prime}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right) \simeq \tilde{R}^{\prime}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)
$$

of commutative ring spectra.
Proof: The mapping cone of the comparison map is $E \mathcal{F}_{+}^{\leq i-1} \wedge \tilde{R}^{\prime}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)$. If $\operatorname{dim}(H)=j$ then $S^{\infty V(j)}$ is $\mathcal{F}^{\leq i-1}$-contractible so the mapping cone is contractible.

Now suppose that the entries of $\tilde{R}^{\prime}((i+1) / r)$ are filled in. To fill in the entries of $\tilde{R}^{\prime}(i / r)$ with $a_{i}=1$ we use Lemma 5.8, and for the points with $a_{i}=0$ we apply Corollary 5.5, with $X=\tilde{R}^{\prime}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i-1}, 0, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)$ and $Y=\tilde{R}^{\prime}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i-1}, 1, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)$.
5.E. The case of rank 2. The above inductive scheme is sufficiently complicated that it seems worth making one case explicit.

Consider the following diagram.


We have used traditional names $S^{0}=\mathbb{S}_{\geq 0}, \widetilde{E} \mathcal{F}=\mathbb{S}_{\geq 1}$ and $\widetilde{E} \mathcal{P}=\mathbb{S}_{\geq 2}$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is the family of finite subgroups and $\mathcal{P}$ is the family of proper subgroups. The zeroth coordinate is horizontal (left to right on the printed page), the first coordinate is into the paper (diagonally on the printed page) and the $r$ th coordinate is vertical (downwards on the printed page). The left hand square is $\tilde{R}^{\prime}(0 / 2)$, the central square is $\tilde{R}^{\prime}(1 / 2)$ and the right hand square is $\tilde{R}^{\prime}(2 / 2)$.

Thus the left and right hand end (except for $S^{0}$ ) are in the $P C_{i}$-diagram of which we want to identify the homotopy limit. The back central entries can be filled in by the equivalences illustrated on the two left hand horizontals without affecting the homotopy limit. Now the top and bottom faces of the right hand cube are homotopy pullbacks. This means that we can fill in the two central entries on the front face without affecting the whole homotopy limit. Finally the front face of the left hand cube is a homotopy pullback, so that $S^{0}$ is the homotopy limit of the original $P C_{i}$-diagram.

## 6. The sphere as a formal pullback

We now move towards introducing the formal cube. As described above, we will define this by extending $C_{i}$ to a larger diagram $C_{i f}$ and then finding $C_{f}$ inside it. We briefly explain the motivation.

The $C_{i}$-diagram does not do what we require, since the terms $S^{\infty V(K)}$ are not formal unless $K=G$. However a strategy is already apparent from our work on the isotropic cube in lower ranks. To see the idea, we may imagine that we have already completed the proof for lower ranks, and constructed the $G / K$-sphere $S^{0}$ from formal ring $G / K$-spectra $B$. Accordingly, we
can construct $S^{\infty V(K)}=S^{\infty V(K)} \bar{\wedge} S^{0}$ from formal $G$-spectra $S^{\infty V(K)} \bar{\wedge} B$. Most of the spectra $B$ that occur are products of those of the form $S^{\infty V(H / K)}$ (and since $S^{\infty V(K)} \bar{\wedge} S^{\infty V(H / K)} \simeq$ $S^{\infty V(H)}$ these correspond to ring spectra in our diagram) which have already been constructed in lower rank. There is only one other spectrum $B$, namely $D E \mathcal{F} / K_{+}$, and it is the most important one. This outlines why the sphere can be constructed from spectra of the form $S^{\infty V(K)} \bar{\wedge} D E \mathcal{F} / K_{+}$, and we will give a detailed proof below.

We will extend the $C_{i}$-cube to a larger poset $C_{i f}$ also containing the formal cube $C_{f}$, and we will extend $\tilde{R}$ to $C_{i f}$. Now the $a_{r}=1$ face of the $C_{i}$ cube is the $a_{r}=1$ cube of $C_{f}$ and $\tilde{R}$ already takes formal values on that face. The values of $\tilde{R}$ on the $a_{r}=0$ face of $C_{i}$ are not formal, and for each point we give a new value at the corresponding point of $C_{f}$. The new formal ring is obtained by identifying the smallest codimension $c$ for which $S^{\infty V(K)}$ (rather than $S^{0}$ ) occurs with $\operatorname{codim}(K)=c$ and then smashing with $D E \mathcal{F} / K_{+}$.

We flesh out this sketch in the course of the next few subsections, starting by describing the larger diagram $C_{i f}$ and then identify $C_{f}$ inside it.
6.A. A subdivision of the isotropic cube. The diagram $C_{i f}$ is obtained from $C_{i}$ by inserting new layers in the $a_{r}$ direction. It may be helpful to refer to the rank 2 pictures in Subsection 6.C whilst reading this account.

Altogether we have $r+1$ layers placed at $a_{r}=i / r$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, r$, interpolating between the $a_{r}=0$ and $a_{r}=1$ layers, which are just as before.

We will be using maps to relate the various ring spectra $D E \mathcal{F} / K_{+}$as $K$ varies. Indeed, $D E \mathcal{F} / K_{+}$is a commutative ring $G / K$-spectrum by Proposition 3.3 (9) and if $L \subseteq K$ there is a map

$$
\inf _{G / K}^{G / L} D E \mathcal{F} / K_{+} \longrightarrow D E \mathcal{F} / L_{+}
$$

of ring $G / L$-spectra. To see where this comes from, we observe that its adjunct

$$
E \mathcal{F} / L_{+} \wedge \inf _{G / K}^{G / L} D E \mathcal{F} / K_{+} \longrightarrow S^{0}
$$

is obtained by composing the $G / L$-map $E \mathcal{F} / L_{+} \longrightarrow E \mathcal{F} / K_{+}$with evaluation.
If we have any decreasing sequence

$$
G=H_{0} \supseteq H_{1} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq H_{r-1} \supseteq H_{r}=1
$$

of connected subgroups with $\operatorname{codim}\left(H_{i}\right)=i$, then, omitting notation for inflation, we have a sequence of maps of ring $G$-spectra

$$
S^{0}=D E(\mathcal{F} / G)_{+} \longrightarrow D\left(E \mathcal{F} / H_{1}\right)_{+} \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow D\left(E \mathcal{F} / H_{r-1}\right)_{+} \longrightarrow D(E \mathcal{F} / 1)_{+}=D E \mathcal{F}_{+}
$$

To define the $C_{i f}$ diagram of rings we use the same formula as before except that the range of values of $a_{r}$ is extended to the fractional values and the $r$ th entry becomes dependent on other coordinates. More briefly, $A_{r}\left(a_{r}\right)$ is replaced by $A_{r}^{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)$. Thus, with $I(i, 0)$ a singleton and $I(i, 1)=\{H \mid H$ is connected and $\operatorname{codim}(H)=i\}$ as before, we define the ring $G$-spectrum to be placed at the $\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)$ vertex:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{R}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)=A_{0}\left(a_{0}\right) \bar{\wedge} \prod_{H_{1} \in I\left(1, a_{1}\right)}\left[A ^ { H _ { 1 } } ( a _ { 1 } ) \overline { \wedge } \prod _ { H _ { 2 } \in I ( 2 , a _ { 2 } ) , H _ { 2 } \subset H _ { < 2 } } \left[A^{H_{2}}\left(a_{2}\right) \bar{\wedge} \cdots\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.\cdots \bar{\wedge} \prod_{H_{r-1} \in I\left(r-1, a_{r-1}\right), H_{r-1} \subset H_{<r-1}}\left[A^{H_{r-1}}\left(a_{r-1},\right) \bar{\wedge} A_{r}^{i_{0}, \ldots, i_{r}}\left(a_{0}, \cdots, a_{r}\right)\right] \cdots\right]\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

For the last term, we take

$$
A_{r}^{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}}\left(a_{0}, \cdots, a_{r}\right)=\inf _{G / H}^{G} D E \mathcal{F} / H_{+}
$$

where the subgroup $H=H\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r} ; a_{0}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)$ is determined as follows. When $a_{r}=s / r$, we consider the sequence $a_{0}, \ldots, a_{s}$; if it is zero we take $H=H_{i_{0}}=G$, and otherwise we find the last nonzero term $a_{t}$ and take the codimension $t$ subgroup $H_{t}$ :

$$
H\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r} ; a_{0}, \ldots, a_{r-1}, s / r\right):=H_{\operatorname{lnz}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{s}\right)}
$$

where

$$
\ln z\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{s}\right)=\max \left(\left\{t \mid a_{t} \neq 0\right\} \cup\{0\}\right)
$$

Note that since $\ln z\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{s}\right) \leq \ln z\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{s}, a_{s+1}\right)$ we have an inclusion

$$
H\left(i_{0}, \ldots, i_{r-1} ; a_{0}, \ldots, a_{r-1}, s / r\right) \supseteq H\left(i_{0}, \ldots, i_{r-1} ; a_{0}, \ldots, a_{r-1},(s+1) / r\right)
$$

so that we do have the appropriate comparison maps.
The diagram $C_{i f}$ is not a cube, so we should state explicitly that the punctured diagram $P C_{i f}$ is obtained by omitting the $r$ points $\left(0, \ldots, 0, a_{r}\right)$ with $a_{r} \neq 1$, which are the points where $\tilde{R}$ takes the value $\mathbb{S}$.
6.B. Selecting the formal cube. The formal cube $C_{f}$ consists of the $a_{r}=1$ face together with an opposite face that we need to describe. First, the initial vertex is the point $(0, \ldots, 0)$. Next, the point in the opposite face corresponding to a non-zero $\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{r-1}\right)$ can be found by looking for the least value of $a_{r}$ for which the entry at $\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{r-1}, a_{r}\right)$ is formal. The formal entries in the diagram are those with a term $D E \mathcal{F} / K_{+}$for some $K$, where we take this to include the terms $D E \mathcal{F} / G_{+}=S^{0}$ when $a_{0}=1$. Thus the least value of $a_{r}$ with a formal entry is $a_{r}=\ln z\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{r-1}\right) / r$. Continuing with the convention that $\ln z(0, \ldots, 0)=0$,

$$
C_{f}=\left\{\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{r}\right) \mid a_{r}=1 \text { or } a_{r}=\frac{\ln z\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{r-1}\right)}{r}\right\} .
$$

As a poset, these vertices form a cube. To see this, we identify the vertex $\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)$ of $C_{f}$ with the subset

$$
S\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)=\left\{i \mid a_{i}=1\right\} .
$$

To see that the morphisms correspond to containment of subsets (so that $C_{f}$ is a cube) we note that if $\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{r-1}\right)$ and $\left(b_{0}, \ldots, b_{r-1}\right)$ differ only by changing some entries $a_{i}=0$ to $b_{i}=1$ (so $\left.S(a) \subseteq S(b)\right)$ then $a_{r}:=\ln z\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{r-1}\right) \leq \ln z\left(b_{0}, \ldots, b_{r-1}\right)=: b_{r}$, so that there is a path from $\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{r-1}, a_{r}\right)$ to $\left(b_{0}, \ldots, b_{r-1}, b_{r}\right)$ in $C_{i f}$.

Proposition 6.1. The inclusion $C_{f} \subseteq C_{i f}$ induces an equivalence

$$
\underset{\leftarrow}{\operatorname{holim}}{ }_{v \in P C_{f}} \tilde{R}(v) \simeq \operatorname{holim}_{\leftarrow}{\operatorname{v\in PC_{if}}} \tilde{R}(v) .
$$

Before proving Proposition 6.1, we note that with Proposition 5.6 and the fact that $P C_{i}$ is cofinal in $P C_{i f}$, it implies that $\mathbb{S}$ is the homotopy pullback of the formal ring spectra.
Corollary 6.2. The $C_{f}$-diagram $\tilde{R}$ is a homotopy pullback, which is to say that $\mathbb{S}$ is the homotopy pullback of the $P C_{f}$-diagram $\tilde{R}$ :

$$
\mathbb{S} \simeq \underset{\leftarrow}{\operatorname{holim}} \underset{v \in P C_{f}}{ } \tilde{R}(v)
$$

It then follows from Proposition 4.1 that we have the desired Quillen equivalence. For this statement we revert to the full notation $\widetilde{R}_{t o p}=\tilde{R}$.

Corollary 6.3. There is a Quillen equivalence between equivariant $G$-spectra, modelled by the category of $\mathbb{S}$-modules, and the cellularization of the diagram-injective model structure on $\widetilde{R}_{\text {top-modules }}$.

$$
G \text {-spectra } \simeq \text { cell- } \widetilde{R}_{\text {top }}-\text { mod- } G \text {-spectra }
$$

It remains to give the proof comparing the limits over $P C_{f}$ and $P C_{i f}$.

Proof of Proposition 6.1: Some readers may find it helpful to refer to the case of Rank 2 made explicit in Subsection 6.C whilst reading this proof.

We will work in the diagram $C_{i f}$ (i.e., permitting $a_{r} \in\{0 / r, 1 / r, \ldots, r / r\}$ ). In Subsection 6.A we defined $\tilde{R}(v)$ for all vertices $v$. The proof here consists of showing how we could recover all of them from the entries in $P C_{f}$ alone, using homotopy pullbacks. This will show in particular that the entry $\tilde{R}(0, \ldots, 0)=\mathbb{S}$ at the initial vertex is the homotopy pullback of the $P C_{f}$-diagram $\tilde{R}$.

We view this as starting with an empty slate, adding the entries at points of $P C_{f}$ and steadily filling in the values at different vertices by using homotopy pullbacks of entries filled in previously.

First, we fill in all the points of $P C_{i f}$ which admit a map from an entry of $P C_{f}$; this does not change the homotopy pullback, since $P C_{f}$ remains cofinal. For example, since $(1,0, \ldots, 0)$ is in $P C_{f}$, we may fill in all vertices $\left(1,0, \ldots, 0, a_{r}\right)$ with $a_{r} \neq 1$, which all have value $S^{\infty V(G)} \bar{\wedge} D E \mathcal{F} / G_{+} \simeq S^{\infty V(G)}$.

The $C_{i f}$-diagram $\tilde{R}$ takes the value $\mathbb{S}$ at $\left(0,0, \ldots, 0, a_{r}\right)$ for $a_{r} \neq 1$. The rest of the diagram is called $P C_{i f}$ and has $r+1$ initial points, namely the vertices $v_{c}=(0, \ldots, 0,1,0, \ldots, 0)$ (where the 1 in the $c$ th position) for $0 \leq c \leq r$. The entries at $v_{r}=(0, \ldots, 0,1)\left(\right.$ viz $\left.D E \mathcal{F}_{+}\right)$ and $v_{0}=(1,0, \ldots, 0)\left(\operatorname{viz} S^{\infty V(G)}\right)$ lie in $P C_{f}$ and are therefore already filled in. The entry when $0<c<r$ is $S^{\infty V(c)}:=\prod_{\operatorname{codim}(H)=c} S^{\infty V(H)}$, and we need to explain how this is filled in by homotopy pullbacks.

Note first that $S^{\infty V(c)}$ is also the entry at the points $\left(0, \ldots, 0,1,0, \ldots, a_{r}\right)$ for $a_{r}=$ $0 / r, 1 / r, \ldots(c-1) / r$. The point with $a_{r}=c / r$ lies in $P C_{f}$, and the entry there is therefore filled in at the start. To fill in the entry at the initial vertex $v_{c}=(0, \ldots 0,1,0, \ldots 0)$ we consider a $(c+1)$-cube $C_{f}(c)$ with initial vertex at $(0, \ldots, 0,1,0, \ldots, 0)$. More precisely

$$
C_{f}(c)=\left\{\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{c-1}, 1,0, \ldots, 0, a_{r}\right) \mid a_{r}=0 \text { or } c / r\right\} .
$$

We note that entries at $P C_{f}(c)$ are already filled in, and the following lemma shows that the entry $S^{\infty V(c)}$ can be filled in as a homotopy pullback of entries on $P C_{f}(c)$.

Lemma 6.4. The $C_{f}(c)$-diagram $\tilde{R}$ is a homotopy pullback, which is to say that $S^{\infty V(c)}$ is the homotopy pullback of the $P C_{f}(c)$-diagram $\tilde{R}$.

Proof: The proof follows precisely the same pattern as Proposition 5.6 above. The cube is rather similar to a product of copies of the isotropic pullback diagrams for the rank $c$ quotients, but it is slightly different, so we provide some reference points for the proof.

We first note that $S^{\infty V(c)}=\mathbb{S}_{\geq c} \bar{\wedge} S^{\infty V(c)}$ and then filter the 0th coordinate by

$$
\mathbb{S}_{\geq r-c} \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}_{\geq r-c+1} \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}_{\geq r}=S^{\infty V(G)}
$$

We refine the map from $a_{0}=0$ to $a_{0}=1$ into $c$ steps. The structure of the proof is precisely like that of Proposition 5.6. The only difference is that our application of Corollary 5.5 is in the special case $X=\tilde{R}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{i-1}, 0, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_{c-1}, 1,0, \ldots, 0, a_{r}\right)$ and $Y=\tilde{R}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{i-1}, 1, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_{c-1}, 1,0, \ldots, 0, a_{r}\right)$.

Since we have now filled in the initial points of $P C_{i f}$, we may fill in the remaining vertices without changing the homotopy pullback. Accordingly the homotopy pullback over $P C_{f}$ agrees with that over $P C_{i f}$ as required.
6.C. The case of rank 2. The above account is again sufficiently complicated that it is worth making one case explicit. For typographical reasons we have only illustrated the case $r=2$, though in fact some features only appear at rank 3. As before, we have used traditional names $S^{0}=\mathbb{S}_{\geq 0}, \widetilde{E} \mathcal{F}=\mathbb{S}_{\geq 1}$ and $\widetilde{E} \mathcal{P}=\mathbb{S}_{\geq 2}$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is the family of finite subgroups and $\mathcal{P}$ is the family of proper subgroups.

Consider the diagram


The whole diagram is $C_{i f}$. The top square has $a_{2}=0 / 2$ the middle square has $a_{2}=1 / 2$ and the bottom square has $a_{2}=2 / 2$. The cube $C_{f}$ consists of the bottom square, the middle horizontal on the back face and the top front edge.

Wiping the slate clean, and starting with the entries in $P C_{f}$ we describe how to fill in the other entries. First, we may fill in $\widetilde{E} \mathcal{P} \bar{\wedge} \prod_{H} S^{\infty V(H)}$ at the top right back position without changing the homotopy pullback since it admits a map from $\widetilde{E} \mathcal{P}$ at the top right front. Now Lemma 6.4 with $c=1$ states that the top back square is a homotopy pullback so that we
have filled in $\prod_{H} S^{\infty V(H)}$ at the top, back left. This gives all vertices of $P C_{i}$ from those of $P C_{f}$, and $S^{0}$ is the homotopy pullback of $P C_{i}$ by Proposition 5.6.
6.D. Diagrams. Now that we have a $P C_{f}$-diagram $\widetilde{R}_{\text {top }}$ of ring $G$-spectra we should explicitly introduce the corresponding diagrams in other contexts.

Definition 6.5. From the $P C_{f}$ diagram $\widetilde{R}_{t o p}$ of commutative ring $G$-spectra we form
(1) the $P C_{f}$ diagram $R_{t o p}=\left(\widetilde{R}_{t o p}\right)^{G}$ of commutative ring spectra,
(2) the $P C_{f}$ diagram $R_{t}$ of commutative DGAs obtained from $R_{\text {top }}$ using the fact [65] that the category of commutative $H \mathbb{Q}$-algebras is equivalent to commutative DGAs over $\mathbb{Q}$ (see Section 8),
(3) the $P C_{f}$ diagram $R_{a}=\pi_{*}^{G}\left(\widetilde{R}_{\text {top }}\right)=\pi_{*}\left(R_{\text {top }}\right)=H_{*}\left(R_{t}\right)$ of graded rings.

## Part 3. From $G$-spectra, through spectra to algebra

## 7. Fixed point equivalences for module categories

The category of $G$-spectra is modelled by $\mathbb{S}$-modules in $G$-spectra, and since $\mathbb{S}$ is a homotopy pullback of the $P C_{f}$-diagram $\widetilde{R}_{t o p}$ of ring $G$-spectra, $G$-spectra is also modelled by a category of $\widetilde{R}_{t o p}$-modules in $G$-spectra. Our next step is to remove equivariance and find a model in terms of a category of non-equivariant module spectra over a $P C_{f}$-diagram of non-equivariant ring spectra.
7.A. The fixed point adjunction for module spectra. We briefly recall some results of [36] for an individual ring $G$-spectrum.

The context is that when we are given a fibrant ring $G$-spectrum, $\tilde{A}$ with fixed point spectrum $A=\tilde{A}^{G}$ there is a Quillen adjoint pair

$$
\Psi^{G}: \tilde{A} \text {-mod- } G \text {-spectra } \leftrightharpoons A \text {-mod-spectra }: \widetilde{\text { inf }_{1}^{G}} .
$$

Here $\Psi^{G}$ takes Lewis-May fixed points and then uses the fact that the fixed point functor is lax monoidal by Proposition 3.3 (10) to view the result as a module over $A$. The inflation functor views a non-equivariant spectrum as a $G$-spectrum by pullback along the quotient and then extends scalars along $\inf A \longrightarrow \tilde{A}$ to give an $\tilde{A}$-module. The tilde on $\inf _{1}^{G}$ refers to this extension of scalars (this was omitted in [36]).

Remark 7.1. We note that in [36] we worked with orthogonal spectra, but we may compose that Quillen adjunction with the Quillen equivalence between orthogonal spectra and orthogonal $\mathcal{L}$-spectra noting that the two adjunctions have the same direction and therefore give a single Quillen pair to which the discussion of [36] applies without change.

In [36] we did not discuss monoidal structures on the module categories, since we weren't assuming the rings were commutative. However we remark here that the Quillen pair is monoidal. Indeed, we are composing (1) the adjunction between orthogonal spectra and orthogonal $\mathcal{L}$-spectra (2) the fixed point-inflation adjunction and (3) a change of rings adjunction all of which are weak symmetric monoidal Quillen adjunctions.

Since the category $A$-mod-spectra is generated by $A$, the Cellularization Principle gives a Quillen equivalence

$$
\tilde{A} \text {-cell- } \tilde{A} \text {-mod- } G \text {-spectra } \simeq A \text {-mod-spectra. }
$$

Surprisingly often (in particular [36, 4.4] when $G_{\tilde{A}}$ is a torus and $A$ has Thom isomorphisms), the category $\tilde{A}$-mod- $G$-spectra is generated by $\tilde{A}$, so that we obtain a Quillen equivalence

$$
\tilde{A} \text {-mod- } G \text {-spectra } \simeq A \text {-mod-spectra }
$$

showing that a category of equivariant module spectra is equivalent to a category of nonequivariant module spectra.

Before turning to our applications it will be helpful to mention three special cases.
Example 7.2. (Eilenberg-Moore Theorem [36, 8.1]) We take $\tilde{A}=D E G_{+}$, so that $A=$ $D B G_{+}$and obtain a version of the Eilenberg-Moore theorem: when $G$ is a torus, there is a Quillen equivalence

$$
D E G_{+} \text {-mod- } G \text {-spectra } \simeq D B G_{+} \text {-mod-spectra }
$$

We emphasize that no cellularization is necessary here for a torus.
Example 7.3. (Spectra over $G$ [54, VI.5.3], [36, 3.3]; no rationalization is necessary) We take $\tilde{A}=S^{\infty V(G)}$ so that $A=S^{0}$ and note that the category modules over $S^{\infty V(G)}$ is a model for spectra over $G$ (i.e., for spectra with geometric isotropy in $\{G\}$ ), whilst the category of $S^{0}$-modules is the category of spectra. Thus we recover the well known result that there is a Quillen equivalence

$$
G \text {-spectra } / G \simeq \text { spectra }
$$

The variant of the first example with all finite isotropy collected together is directly relevant to us.

Example 7.4. (Almost free spectra [36, Corollary 9.2]) Continuing with $G$ a torus and taking $\tilde{A}=D E \mathcal{F} / K_{+}$we obtain

$$
D E \mathcal{F} / K_{+} \text {-mod- } G / K \text {-spectra } \simeq D\left(E \mathcal{F} / K_{+}\right)^{G / K_{-} \text {mod-spectra. } . ~}
$$

7.B. Fixed point adjunctions for diagrams of ring $G$-spectra. We now move to the case of diagrams of ring spectra. Suppose $\tilde{R}$ is a diagram of ring $G$-spectra, fibrant in the diagram-injective model structure and consider the corresponding diagram $R=\tilde{R}^{G}$ of spectra where fixed points are applied objectwise. We may again consider the diagraminjective model categories of $\tilde{R}$-module $G$-spectra and $R$-module spectra and once again form the Quillen pair

$$
\Psi^{G}: \tilde{R} \text {-mod- } G \text {-spectra } \longleftrightarrow R \text {-mod-spectra }: \widetilde{\inf _{1}^{G}} .
$$

Lemma 7.5. The Quillen adjunction on diagrams with the diagram-injective model structure is a Quillen equivalence provided it is a Quillen equivalence objectwise.

Proof: We note that unit and counit when evaluated at any vertex give the unit and counit of the adjunction for a single ring $G$-spectrum. We claim this is also true for the derived unit and counit. Since weak equivalences are detected objectwise, this will suffice.

To see that the statement about the derived unit and counit follows from that about the underived ones, we need to consider fibrant and cofibrant replacement. For fibrant replacement the implication is clear since fibrancy is defined objectwise. For cofibrant replacement, we note that cofibrant diagrams are objectwise cofibrant. Finally, weak equivalences of equivariant orthogonal spectra are defined in terms of homotopy groups of fixed points so in the light of Proposition 3.3 (2), fixed points preserve all weak equivalences. It follows that the derived unit and counit of the Quillen pair on diagram categories are objectwise the derived unit and counit.
7.C. The fixed point adjunction for $\widetilde{R}_{t o p}$. We consider the special case $\tilde{R}=\widetilde{R}_{t o p}$ of the above discussion. The category of spectra is generated by the cells $G / H_{+}$as $H$ varies over closed subgroups of $G$ and the cellularization in the following statement is with respect to the images of these generating cells.
Theorem 7.6. There is a Quillen equivalence

$$
\Psi^{G}: \widetilde{R}_{\text {top-mod-G-spectra }} \stackrel{ }{\longleftrightarrow} R_{\text {top-mod-spectra }}: \widetilde{\inf }_{1}^{G} .
$$

It follows by cellularizing both categories that there is a Quillen equivalence

$$
\Psi^{G}: \text { cell- } \widetilde{R}_{t o p}-\text { mod-G-spectra } \longleftrightarrow \text { cell- } R_{\text {top- }} \text { mod-spectra }: \widetilde{\inf }_{1}^{G} .
$$

Proof of 7.6: Without changing notation, we take the fibrant replacement of $\widetilde{R}_{\text {top }}$ in the diagram-injective model category of $P C_{f}$-diagrams of commutative ring $G$-spectra [44, 5.1.3]. By [37, Lemma 4.2] the category of modules over this fibrant replacement is Quillen equivalent to the original category $\widetilde{R}_{\text {top }}$-mod- $G$-spectra.

By Lemma 7.5 it suffices to deal with the individual $G$-spectra at a particular vertex $v$ of $P C_{f}$, so we take $\tilde{A}=\tilde{R}(v)$ for some vertex $v$.

For any ring $G$-spectrum $\tilde{A}$ we get the equivalence

$$
\tilde{A} \text {-cell- } \tilde{A} \text {-mod- } G \text {-spectra } \simeq A \text {-cell- } A \text {-mod-spectra. }
$$

It is clear that $A$ generates the category of $A$-modules so that the $A$-cellularization on the right is a Quillen equivalence. It remains only to show that the cellularization on the left has no effect.

To establish that the $\tilde{A}$-cellularization on the left is also a Quillen equivalence, it suffices to show that $\tilde{A}$ generates the category of $\tilde{A}$-modules. The argument (as in $[36,4.4]$ ) is to show that cells $G / H_{+}$are all built from complex representation spheres.

If $\tilde{A}$ has Thom isomorphisms this is exactly as in [36, 4.4], but we need the slightly more general argument from [36, Section 9]. We will show that for each complex representation $W$ we may express $\tilde{A}$ as a finite product $\tilde{A} \simeq \prod_{i} \tilde{A}_{i}$ of factors $\tilde{A}_{i}$ so that $\tilde{A}_{i} \wedge S^{W}$ is a $G$-fixed suspension of $\tilde{A}_{i}$. This will show that $\tilde{A} \wedge S^{W}$ is in the thick category generated by $\tilde{A}$ as required.

Now, turning to the proof, $\tilde{A}=\widetilde{R}_{\text {top }}(v)$ and suppose that the last non-zero entry of $v$ is of codimension $c$. Then $\tilde{A}$ takes the form

$$
\tilde{A}=\prod_{\text {codim } H_{0}=c_{0}} S^{\infty V\left(H_{0}\right)} \bar{\wedge} \prod_{\text {codim } H_{1}=c_{1}} \cdots \prod_{\operatorname{codim} H=c} S^{\infty V(H)} \bar{\wedge} D E \mathcal{F} / H_{+}
$$

Furthermore $D E \mathcal{F} / H_{+} \simeq \prod_{\tilde{H}} D E\langle\tilde{H}\rangle$, where the product is indexed by closed subgroups $\tilde{H}$ with identity component $H$. First note that $S^{W}$ admits the structure of a finite $G$ CW complex, and therefore can be moved inside all the products. For each $H$, we have $W=W^{H} \oplus W^{\prime}(H)$ and $S^{\infty V(H)} \wedge S^{W} \simeq S^{\infty V(H)} \wedge S^{W^{H}}$ so that

$$
\tilde{A} \wedge S^{V} \simeq \prod_{\operatorname{codim} H_{0}=c_{0}} S^{\infty V\left(H_{0}\right)} \bar{\wedge} \prod_{\operatorname{codim} H_{1}=c_{1}} \cdots \prod_{\operatorname{codim} H=c} S^{\infty V(H)} \bar{\wedge} D E \mathcal{F} / H_{+} \bar{\wedge} S^{V^{H}}
$$

Now if $\tilde{H}$ has identity component $H$, we use the Thom isomorphism for Borel cohomology of $H$-fixed points [24, 8.1] to give an equivalence

$$
D E\langle\tilde{H}\rangle \wedge S^{V^{H}} \simeq D E\langle\tilde{H}\rangle \wedge S^{\left|V^{\tilde{H}}\right|}
$$

Collecting together all the factors with the same suspension:

$$
\Sigma_{i}=\left\{\tilde{H} \mid \operatorname{codim}(\tilde{H})=c \text { and } \operatorname{dim}\left(V^{\tilde{H}}\right)=i\right\}
$$

we obtain a decomposition $\tilde{A} \simeq \prod_{i} \tilde{A}_{i}$ as required.
7.D. Modules over product rings. We are repeatedly working with infinite products $R=\prod_{i} R_{i}$ of ring spectra $R_{i}$, and we let $e_{i}$ be the idempotent projecting onto the $i$ th factor. Even in algebra, such infinite products are poorly behaved (for example infinite products of Noetherian rings need not be Noetherian). If $M$ is a module over $\prod_{i} R_{i}$ and we take $M_{i}=e_{i} M$ then we have maps

$$
\bigoplus_{i} M_{i} \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow \prod_{i} M_{i}
$$

The first is a monomorphism, but typically neither will be an isomorphism (for example if we take $M=\prod_{i} R_{i} / \bigoplus_{i} R_{i}$ then $M_{i}=0$ for all $i$ ).

It seems worth observing that from the point of view of model categories we may rather generally apply the Cellularization Principle [35] to recover the more familiar product of module categories from the category of modules over the product ring by suitable cellularization.

Lemma 7.7. We have a Quillen equivalence

$$
\left\{R_{s}\right\}_{s}-\text { cell }-\left(\prod_{s} R_{s}\right)-\text { modules } \simeq \prod_{i}\left[R_{i}-\text { modules }\right]
$$

Proof: For each $s$ we have the projection $\pi_{s}: R \longrightarrow R_{s}$ inducing a restriction on module categories. This has both a left and a right adjoint, and the natural map from the extension of scalars to coextension of scalars is an isomorphism (the idempotent subobject agrees with the idempotent quotient object). Combining these we obtain

$$
p: R-\bmod \longrightarrow \prod_{s}\left[R_{s}-\text { modules }\right]
$$

whose right adjoint $p^{R}$ takes the product of the terms and whose left adjoint $p^{L}$ takes the sum.

The adjoint pair $\left(p^{L}, p\right)$ is a Quillen pair if the categories have the injective model structures. The adjoint pair $\left(p, p^{R}\right)$ is a Quillen pair if the categories are given the projective model structures.

In the second case, the objects $R_{s}$ are small generators in $\prod_{s}$ [ $R_{s}$-modules]. Since both $p$ and $p^{R}$ preserve all weak equivalences, the unit and counit are equivalences on the generators $R_{s}$ and we may apply the Cellularization Principle to give the desired conclusion.

## 8. From spectra to DGAs

In this section we observe that the results from [65] show very directly that the category of module spectra over the diagram $R_{\text {top }}$ of commutative ring spectra is Quillen equivalent to a category of differential graded modules over a diagram $R_{t}$ of commutative DGAs. It then follows that the cellularizations of these model categories are also Quillen equivalent. Since [65] is based on symmetric spectra, we use Proposition 3.3 (12) to show that there is a Quillen equiavlence between the respective categories of modules over $R_{\text {top }}$ and $\mathbb{F} R_{\text {top }}$.

We next apply the functors from [65] to move from symmetric spectra to differential graded modules. In more detail, in $[65,1.1]$ a composite functor $\Theta$ is defined which produces a Quillen equivalence between $H \mathbb{Z}$-algebra spectra and DGAs. Given an $H \mathbb{Z}$-algebra spectrum, $B$, it is shown in $[65,2.15]$ that the category of module spectra over $B$ is Quillen equivalent to the category of differential graded modules over a DGA $\Theta B$. Furthermore, rationally there is a second functor $\Theta^{\prime}$ which is symmetric monoidal, so that it takes rational commutative rings spectra to rational commutative DGAs. Finally, over the rationals the two functors are naturally equivalent, so that by $[65,1.2$ ], if $B$ is a commutative $H \mathbb{Q}$-algebra then $\Theta B$ is naturally weakly equivalent to the commutative DGA $\Theta^{\prime} B$.
Definition 8.1. Applying functors to the $P C_{f}$-diagram of commutative rational ring spectra $R_{\text {top }}$, we define $R_{t}$ to be the $P C_{f}$-diagram $\Theta^{\prime}\left(H \mathbb{Q} \wedge \mathbb{F} R_{\text {top }}\right)$ of commutative DGAs.

Note, throughout this section we are implicitly considering the standard (diagram projective) model structures from [37, 3.1(i)] on modules over diagrams of rings.

Proposition 8.2. There is a zig-zag of Quillen equivalences

$$
R_{t o p}-\bmod \simeq_{Q} R_{t}-\bmod
$$

between the category of module spectra $R_{\text {top }}$-mod and the category of differential graded modules $R_{t}$-mod.
Proof: As mentioned above, the first step is a Quillen equivalence between $R_{t o p}$-mod over 1spectra and $\mathbb{F} R_{\text {top }}$-mod over symmetric spectra by Proposition 3.3 (12) extended to diagrams of rings. Since $R_{\text {top }}$ is rational, the unit map $\mathbb{F} R_{\text {top }} \rightarrow H \mathbb{Q} \wedge \mathbb{F} R_{\text {top }}$ is a weak equivalence which induces a Quillen equivalence on the associated module categories by extension and restriction of scalars, $[37,4.2]$ and $[46,5.4 .5]$.

Combining these steps with $[65,2.15]$ produces a Quillen equivalence between $R_{t o p}$ - $\bmod$ and $\Theta\left(H \mathbb{Q} \wedge \mathbb{F} R_{\text {top }}\right)$-mod. Since $H \mathbb{Q} \wedge \mathbb{F} R_{\text {top }}$ is a diagram of commutative $H \mathbb{Q}$-algebras, it follows from the proof of $[65,1.2]$ that $\Theta^{\prime}\left(H \mathbb{Q} \wedge \mathbb{F} R_{\text {top }}\right)$ is a diagram of commutative rational DGAs which is weakly equivalent to the diagram $\Theta\left(H \mathbb{Q} \wedge \mathbb{F} R_{\text {top }}\right)$.

By [37, 4.2] and [46, 5.4.5], extension and restriction of scalars over these weak equivalences produce the last steps in the stated zig-zag of Quillen equivalences.

The Cellularization Principle, [35, Corollary 2.8] shows that cellularization preserves zigzags of Quillen equivalences as long as the cells in the target category are taken to be the images under the relevant derived functors of the cells in the source category. Here we begin with the cellularization of $R_{t o p}$-mod with respect to the images of $G / H_{+}$as $H$ runs through closed subgroups. Then, at each of the next steps, the cells are the images of $G / H_{+}$under the appropriate derived functor.

Corollary 8.3. There is a zig-zag of Quillen equivalences

$$
\text { cell- } R_{\text {top-}} \text { mod-spectra } \simeq_{Q} \text { cell- } R_{t} \text {-mod-spectra }
$$

between the cellularizations of the model categories in Proposition 8.2.

## 9. Formality

We have shown that the category of rational $G$-spectra is equivalent to the cellularization of modules over a suitable $P C_{f}$ diagram of commutative DGAs. On the other hand, we know very little about the diagram except its homology and that the terms are commutative. The purpose of this section is to show that this is enough to determine the diagram up to equivalence.
9.A. Terminology. A map $f: \tilde{R} \longrightarrow \tilde{R}^{\prime}$ of commutative DGAs inducing an isomorphism in homology is called a homology isomorphism. Two commutative DGAs related by a zig-zag of homology isomorphisms of commutative DGAs are said to be quasi-isomorphic.

A commutative DGA which is quasi-isomorphic to its homology is said to be formal. A graded commutative ring $R$ is said to be intrinsically formal if every commutative DGA $\tilde{R}$ with $H_{*}(\tilde{R}) \cong R$ is formal. We say that $\tilde{R}$ is strongly formal if there is a homology isomorphism $H_{*}(\tilde{R}) \longrightarrow \tilde{R}$. A commutative graded ring is strongly intrinsically formal if every commutative DGA with homology $R$ is strongly formal.

All of these notions apply similarly to diagrams of commutative DGAs, and it is our purpose to show that the $P C_{f}$-diagram $R_{a}=\pi_{*}^{G}\left(\widetilde{R}_{t o p}\right)$ is intrinsically formal. This is based on the fact that polynomial rings are strongly intrinsically formal amongst commutative rings. This single fact is extended in generality in both the algebraic and diagrammatic senses.
9.B. Constructing new formal objects from old. The general form of the results is not surprising, but care is necessary in their formulation.

Lemma 9.1. (i) For any commutative ring $k$, the $k$-algebra $k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right]$ on even degree generators is strongly intrinsically formal amongst commutative $D G k$-algebras.
(ii) If $R_{i}$ is intrinsically formal for all $i$ then $\prod_{i} R_{i}$ is intrinsically formal.
(iii) If $R$ is strongly intrinsically formal and $\mathcal{E}$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$ then $\mathcal{E}^{-1} R$ is intrinsically formal relative to $R$ in the sense that if $\tilde{R} \longrightarrow \tilde{R}_{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}$ is a map of $D G A s$ inducing $R \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{-1} R$ in homology, then there exists a homology isomorphism
$\tilde{R}_{\mathcal{E}^{-1}} \rightarrow \tilde{R}_{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}^{\prime}$ such that the diagram

can be completed by a dotted arrow which is a homology isomorphism.
Proof: (i) If $H_{*}(R)=k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right]$ then we may pick representative cycles $\tilde{x}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{x}_{r}$ for $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ in $R$ and then since $k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right]$ is free as a commutative ring, there is a map $k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right] \longrightarrow R$ taking $x_{i}$ to $\tilde{x}_{i}$, and this induces an isomorphism in homology.
(ii) Suppose $H_{*}(\tilde{R})=\prod_{i} R_{i}$. First, we replace $\tilde{R}$ by a DGA which is actually a product. Indeed, we may choose cycles $\tilde{e}_{i}$ representing the idempotents for the factors. Now form $\tilde{R}_{i}=\tilde{R}\left[1 / \tilde{e}_{i}\right]$, so that $H_{*}\left(\tilde{R}_{i}\right)=R_{i}$. We therefore have a quasi-isomorphism $\tilde{R} \longrightarrow \prod_{i} \tilde{R}_{i}$, and then we may take the product of the individual zig zags of quasi-isomorphisms connecting $\tilde{R}_{i}$ and $R_{i}$.
(iii) Since $R$ is strongly intrinsically formal, we have a map $R \rightarrow \tilde{R}$; let $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ denote the image of the multiplicatively closed subset $\mathcal{E}$ in $\tilde{R}$. Then the map $\tilde{R}_{\mathcal{E}^{-1}} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{-1} \tilde{R}_{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}$ is a quasiisomorphism and by the universal property of localization we may extend $R \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{-1} \tilde{R}_{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}$ to a quasi-isomorphim $\mathcal{E}^{-1} R \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{-1} \tilde{R}_{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}$.

When using these facts in diagrams we frequently apply the following observation.
Lemma 9.2. Suppose given a partially ordered set $A$, a subset $B \subseteq A$ with no maps out of it, and a diagram $R: A \longrightarrow$ DGAs. If we have a $B$-diagram $R^{\prime}: B \longrightarrow$ DGAs and a map $\theta_{B}:\left.R\right|_{B} \longrightarrow R^{\prime}$, we may extend $R^{\prime}$ to an A-diagram $\hat{R}^{\prime}\left(\right.$ taking $\hat{R}^{\prime}(a)=R(a)$ if $\left.a \notin B\right)$ and extend $\theta_{B}$ to a map $\theta: R \longrightarrow \hat{R}^{\prime}$. If $\theta_{B}$ is a homology isomorphism, so is $\theta$.

Example 9.3. (Extending a diagram of rings along a map at a vertex v.) Suppose $v$ is a vertex in a poset $A$ and we have a map $R(v) \longrightarrow R^{\prime}(v)$. We may take $B$ to be the set of vertices with a map from $v$, and define $R^{\prime}$ on $B$ by taking

$$
R^{\prime}(b)=R^{\prime}(v) \otimes_{R(v)} R(b)
$$

We obtain a map $\left.R\right|_{B} \longrightarrow R^{\prime}$ by identifying $\left.R\right|_{B}(b)$ as $\left.R(v) \otimes_{R(v)} R\right|_{B}(b)$ and using the map $R(v) \longrightarrow R^{\prime}(v)$ at each point.

Applying Lemma 9.2 we obtain a map of $A$-diagrams $R \longrightarrow \hat{R}^{\prime}$. This is a pointwise homology isomorphism provided it is a homology isomorphism at $v$ and all the rings $R(b)$ are flat over $R(v)$.
9.C. The intrinsic formality of the diagram $R_{a}$. We are now prepared to prove the intrinsic formality of the $P C_{f}$-diagram $R_{a}=\pi_{*}^{G}\left(\widetilde{R}_{t o p}\right)$ of graded rings.

The reader may find it helpful to refer to Subsections 9.D and 9.E where the rank 1 and rank 2 cases are made rather explicit.

Proposition 9.4. The $P C_{f}$-diagram $R_{a}$ is intrinsically formal, and in particular $R_{t}$ is formal.

Proof: The punctured cube $P C_{f}$ is a poset (indeed, it is the barycentric subdivision of the $r$-simplex $\Delta^{r}$; we may identify each vertex $v$ of $P C_{f}$ with the non-empty subset $S(v)=$ $\left\{i \mid a_{i}=1\right\}$ of $\{0, \ldots, r\}$ ). The collection of vertices is ordered by the size of $S(v)$, and we will work in order of increasing size.

More precisely, we let $P C_{f}^{(d)}$ denote the $d$-skeleton of the subdivided $r$-simplex (i.e., it contains all vertices $v$ with $|S(v)| \leq d+1$.

Given a $P C_{f}$ diagram $\tilde{R}$ with homology isomorphic to $R_{a}$, we replace it by an equivalent cofibrant diagram without change in notation, and then proceed to construct a succession of homology isomorphisms

$$
\tilde{R}=\tilde{R}_{0} \xrightarrow{i_{0}} \tilde{R}_{1} \xrightarrow{i_{1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{i_{r-1}} \tilde{R}_{r}=\tilde{R}
$$

of $P C_{f}$-diagrams of DGAs, where $i_{d-1}: \tilde{R}_{d-1} \longrightarrow \tilde{R}_{d}$ is constant on $P C_{f}^{(d-1)}$. As we do this, we construct maps

$$
\theta_{d}:\left.\left.R_{a}\right|_{P C_{f}^{(d)}} \longrightarrow \tilde{R}_{d}\right|_{P C_{f}^{(d)}}
$$

for $d \geq 1$ which are homology isomorphisms on the diagram on which they are defined. For $d \geq 1$, the map $\theta_{d}$ extends $i_{d-1} \circ \theta_{d-1}$.

After $r+1$ steps we obtain a homology isomorphism

$$
R_{a}=\left.\left.R_{a}\right|_{P C_{f}^{(r+1)}} \longrightarrow \tilde{R}_{r+1}\right|_{P C_{f}^{(r+1)}}=\tilde{R} .
$$

To start with, we construct $\tilde{R}_{1}$. Note first that for each of the $r+1$ vertices $v$ of $\Delta^{r}$ the DGA $R_{a}(v)$ is a product of polynomial rings indexed by $i$ (if the vertex corresponds to connected subgroups of codimension $c$, then we take a product of all the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / H}$ with $H$ connected of codimension $c$, each of which is a product of the cohomology rings $H^{*}(B G / \tilde{H})$ as $\tilde{H}$ runs through the subgroups with identity component $H$. Altogether, $i$ will run through all subgroups of codimension $c$, connected or not).

As in Lemma 9.1 (ii) we construct DGAs $\tilde{R}(v)_{i}$ with homology $R_{a}(v)_{i}$ and a quasiisomorphism

$$
\tilde{R}(v) \longrightarrow \prod_{i} \tilde{R}(v)_{i} .
$$

Choosing some ordering of the vertices, we extend $\tilde{R}_{0}$ along each of these quasi-isomorphisms (as in Example 9.3) in turn to obtain $\tilde{R}_{1}$. We note that since there are no maps from one vertex to another, all $r+1$ vertices end up with a product of DGAs. Now using Lemma 9.1 (i) at each vertex we obtain a map

$$
\theta_{1}:\left.\left.R_{a}\right|_{P C_{f}^{(1)}} \longrightarrow \tilde{R}_{1}\right|_{P C_{f}^{(1)}} .
$$

We continue inductively, supposing that after $d$ steps we have defined $\tilde{R}_{s}$ for $s \leq d$, and

$$
\theta_{d}:\left.\left.R^{a}\right|_{P C_{f}^{(d)}} \longrightarrow \tilde{R}_{d}\right|_{P C_{f}^{(d)}} .
$$

Once again we will form $\tilde{R}_{d+1}$ from $\tilde{R}_{d}$ by extending the diagram of rings along ring maps at the $\binom{r+1}{d+1}$ vertices $v$ with $|S(v)|=d+1$ in turn. When it comes to the turn of $v$, since
there are no maps between these vertices, we still have $\tilde{R}_{d}(v)$ at $v$. This has homology

$$
H_{*}\left(\tilde{R}_{d}(v)\right)=H_{*}(\tilde{R}(v))=R_{a}(v)
$$

and this is obtained from polynomial rings by alternately taking products and localizing with respect to sets of Euler classes. Furthermore, we note that the Euler classes concerned come from the vertices $w$ with $|S(w)| \leq d$, so that $\theta_{d}$ gives their images in the DGAs. We now form a new $P C_{f}$-diagram of DGAs by extending $\tilde{R}_{d}(v)$ along the alternate products and localizations using Lemma 9.1. When we have extended along all these vertices we have obtained $\tilde{R}_{d+1}$ from $\tilde{R}_{d}$, and the products and localizations let us extend $\theta_{d}$ to $\theta_{d+1}$.
9.D. The example of rank 1. The argument proceeds as follows. We start with the cofibrant $P C_{f}$-diagram $\tilde{R}$ as in the top row. Extending along the top left hand vertical we form the second row. The upward maps from the two outer vertices of $R_{a}$ on the bottom row can then be defined. The Euler classes are defined by the image of $R_{a}(0,1)$, and those are inverted to form the third row, after which the middle vertical can be filled in.

9.E. The example of rank 2. It is too typographically complicated to display the full argument in the way we did for rank 1, but it still seems worth displaying $R_{a}$ and $\widetilde{R}_{\text {top }}$. This lets one see the way that extending along (say) a map of rings at the top vertex only affects the three other points not on the bottom face, and then extending along (say) the middle vertex on the bottom face only affects the central vertex.


The subgroups $F$ run through finite subgroups, the subgroups $H$ run through circle subgroups, and the subgroups $\tilde{H}$ run through subgroups with identity component $H$. The polynomial rings $\mathbb{Q}[c, d]$ are the cohomology rings of $B(G / F)$ (all different but isomorphic), and the polynomial rings $\mathbb{Q}[c]$ are the cohomology rings of $B(G / \tilde{H})$. The polynomial ring $\mathbb{Q}$ is the cohomology ring of $B(G / G)$.

The above diagram is obtained by taking homotopy groups of the following diagram $\widetilde{R}_{\text {top }}$ of ring $G$-spectra.


## Part 4. Algebra

We have now established that the category of $G$-spectra is equivalent to the cellularization of the category of DG- $R_{a}$-modules, where $R_{a}$ is a $P C_{f}$-diagram of rings. It remains to show this is Quillen equivalent to the category $d \mathcal{A}(G)$ of DG objects in $\mathcal{A}(G)$.

## 10. Modules over $R_{a}$ and the standard model $\mathcal{A}_{c}^{p}(G)$

In this section we make the punctured $(r+1)$-cube of rings $R_{a}$ explicit and recall a number of basic structures from [28].
10.A. Strategy. We will use the algebraic machinery and terminology set up in [28]. As described in Section 2 above, $\mathcal{A}(G)=\mathcal{A}_{c}^{p}(G)$ is a category of modules over the diagram $\mathbb{R}_{c}^{p}$ of rings based on pairs of connected subgroups. However the topological argument delivers a category of modules over the diagram $R_{a}$ based on subsets of $[0, r]=\{0,1, \ldots, r\}$ which are the dimensions of subgroups. For a totally ordered poset like $[0, r]$ there is no distinction between subsets and flags: taking a subset of $[0, r]$ with $s$ elements in decreasing order, we obtain a flag $d_{0}>d_{1}>\cdots>d_{s}$. We will make the diagram $R_{a}$ explicit in Subsection 10.B, and observe that $R_{a}=\mathbb{R}_{d}^{f}$ in the notation of [28].

It is shown in [28] that there is a subcategory $\mathcal{A}_{d}^{f}(G)$ of $\mathbb{R}_{d}^{f}$-modules equivalent to $\mathcal{A}_{c}^{p}(G)$, namely pqce-modules, which is to say that satisfy a quasi-coherence condition ( $q c$ ) an extendedness condition ( $e$ ) and whose values on vertices are products ( $p$ ). There is in fact a diagram of categories and adjoint pairs


The absence of a label on the functor left adjoint to $\Gamma_{d}^{f}$ is intentional: the functor is obtained by following round the other three sides of the square, and is not the inclusion (the inclusion does not preserve sums). In fact, there is no need to give further details of $p q c e \mathbb{R}_{d}^{f}$-modules here, since we will proceed directly between $\mathbb{R}_{d}^{f}$-modules and $q c e-\mathbb{R}_{c}^{f}$-modules. The relevant result from [28] is as follows.

Proposition 10.1. [28, Subsection 11.C] There is an adjoint pair

$$
l: q c e-\mathbb{R}_{c}^{p}-\bmod \rightleftarrows \mathbb{R}_{d}^{f}-\bmod : \Gamma
$$

where $l=d_{*}$ if and $\Gamma=p \Gamma_{c}^{f} e$.

We will briefly describe the functors in Subsection 10.F below.
10.B. The diagram $R_{a}$. We will make explicit the diagram $R_{a}=\pi_{*}^{G}\left(\widetilde{R}_{\text {top }}\right)$ of homotopy rings of our $P C_{f}$-diagram $\widetilde{R}_{\text {top }}$ of ring spectra as in Definition 6.5. It will appear that this is a special case of the machinery of [28], so that $R_{a}=\mathbb{R}_{d}^{f}$ in the notation of [28].

Since $\pi_{*}^{G}\left(S^{\infty V(H)} \bar{\wedge} D E \mathcal{F} / H_{+}\right)=\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / H}$ and since the map $S^{0} \longrightarrow S^{V}$ induces multiplication by the Euler class $c(V)$ in $\pi_{*}^{G}\left(D E \mathcal{F}_{+}\right)=\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}$, it is straighfroward to read off from the definition of $\widetilde{R}_{\text {top }}$ in Subsection 6.A an explicit and totally algebraic account.

At the point $\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{s}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$ with $a_{s}=1$, we form a ring from the product

$$
\prod_{\operatorname{codim}(H)=s} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / H}
$$

by taking retracts and alternating products and localizations. To write this down, we recall from Equation 5.1 the indexing set $I\left(t, a_{t}\right)$ which is a singleton if $a_{t}=0$ or all codimension $t$ connected subgroups otherwise. We also recall that $\mathcal{E}_{K}$ consists of Euler classes of all representations $W$ with $W^{K}=0$, and adopt a convention to let us refer to a vacuous
localization in a similar notation: we take $\mathcal{E}_{K, 1}=\mathcal{E}_{K}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{K, 0}=\{1\}$. Now we may write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{a}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{s}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)= \\
& \quad \mathcal{E}_{G, a_{0}}^{-1} \prod_{H_{1} \in I\left(1, a_{1}\right)} \mathcal{E}_{H_{1}, a_{1}}^{-1} \prod_{H_{2} \in I\left(2, a_{2}\right)} \mathcal{E}_{H_{2}, a_{2}}^{-1} \cdots \prod_{H_{s-1} \in I\left(s-1, a_{s-1}\right)} \mathcal{E}_{H_{s-1}, a_{s-1}}^{-1} \prod_{H_{s} \in I\left(s, a_{s}\right)} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / H_{s}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To save on the notation required to say we have nested subgroups, we use the convention that inverting $\mathcal{E}_{H}$ is deemed to annihilate factors corresponding to lower dimensional subgroups $K$ not contained in $H$.

We will say more about what is meant by inverting Euler classes in Subsection 10.C, but first it is helpful illustrate the definition in low ranks to show its simplicity.

Example 10.2. (The diagram $R_{a}$ in rank 1.) In rank 1, if the objects of $P C_{f}$ are layed out as

$$
v_{1}=(01) \longrightarrow(11) \longleftarrow(10)=v_{0}
$$

the rings are

$$
\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{G}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}} \longleftarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / G}=\mathbb{Q}
$$

Example 10.3. (The diagram $R_{a}$ in rank 2.) In rank 2, if the objects are layed out as

the diagram of rings is


Example 10.4. (The diagram $R_{a}$ in rank 3.) The diagram in rank 3 is that of a subdivided 3 -simplex, and a little too complicated to display in print. However we note that
a new phenomenon occurs in rank 3 since not every circle subgroup is contained in every 2-torus subgroup (in lower ranks, containment of connected subgroups was determined by dimension). This means that at points of the form $\left(a_{0} 11 a_{3}\right)$, we have

$$
R_{a}=\cdots \prod_{H} \mathcal{E}_{H}^{-1} \prod_{K} \cdots .
$$

where $H$ is of codimension 1 and $K$ of codimension 2 . In view of our convention about inverting $\mathcal{E}_{H}$, the second product is in fact over circle subgroups $K$ contained in $H$ (and not over all circle subgroups).
10.C. Internal and external Euler classes. The $G$-equivariant homotopy of $S^{\infty V(H)} \wedge X$ is always the $G / H$-equivariant homotopy of the geometric fixed point spectrum $\Phi^{H} X$. Sometimes this is calculated from geometric knowledge of $X$, but if $X$ has Thom isomorphisms for representations $V$ with $V^{H}=0$ it can also be calculated from $\pi_{*}^{G}(X)$ by inverting Euler classes when they are defined. However, some slightly extended use of the algebraic notation for inverting Euler classes requires some explanation.

The issue first arises at (110) in rank 2. A brief explanation of this special case will make plain the general meaning.

The notation suggests we are inverting $G$-equivariant Euler classes (elements of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}$ ) on something ( $\operatorname{viz} \prod_{H} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / H}$ ), but the object in question is not an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}$-module. Considering the geometry of the situation we see that what is really happening is passage to a direct limit along maps $S^{W_{1}} \longrightarrow S^{W_{2}}$ coming from inclusions $W_{1} \subseteq W_{2}$ with $W_{1}^{G}=W_{2}^{G}=0$. Since the spheres are finite complexes this passes inside the product. To see what happens on the $H$ th factor we write $W=W^{H} \oplus W^{\prime}$, and note that $S^{W} \wedge S^{\infty V(H)} \simeq S^{W^{H}} \wedge S^{\infty V(H)}$. Thus when we write $\mathcal{E}_{G}^{-1} \prod_{H} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / H}$, this means a direct limit over multiplication by the product elements $\prod_{H} c\left(W_{2}^{H} / W_{1}^{H}\right)$, which is the Euler class of the inclusion $W_{1}^{H} \longrightarrow W_{2}^{H}$, as an element of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / H}$.

Note that this discussion also explains why the $S^{\infty V(G)}$ does not lead to any algebraic inversion at (100).
10.D. Structure maps for rings. Next we describe the structure maps in $R_{a}$ more precisely. Once again, the main complication is notational.

If we have an inclusion $i_{\sigma}^{\tau}: \sigma \longrightarrow \tau$ of subsets of $\{0, \ldots, r\}$ then we have a structure map

$$
R_{a}\left(i_{\sigma}^{\tau}\right): R_{a}(\sigma) \longrightarrow R_{a}(\tau) .
$$

Suppose $s$ is the largest element of $\sigma$. We start by describing the case when $\tau$ has exactly one more element than $\sigma$, say $\tau=\sigma \cup\{t\}$. There are two cases.

Case 1: $t>s$. In this case $t$ is the last non-zero term in $\tau$ and we may concentrate on the contribution of the last two non-trivial terms, namely the $s$ th and $t$ th. Thus we must describe

$$
j_{s}^{t}: \prod_{H_{s} \in I(s, 1)} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / H_{s}} \longrightarrow \prod_{H_{s} \in I(s, 1)} \mathcal{E}_{H_{s}}^{-1} \prod_{H_{t} \in I(t, 1)} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / H_{t}}
$$

in the sense that the map is obtained from this by applying alternating products and localizations for the 0 th to the $(s-1)$ st terms. Now $j_{s}^{t}$ is itself a product over $I(s, 1)$ of terms
given as the composite

$$
\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / H_{s}} \longrightarrow \prod_{H_{t} \in I(t, 1)} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / H_{t}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{H_{s}}^{-1} \prod_{H_{t} \in I(t, 1)} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / H_{t}}
$$

The first map has components given by inflations for $H_{s} \supseteq H_{t}$ and the second is localization.
Case 2: $t<s$. In this case $s$ is the last non-zero term in both $\sigma$ and $\tau$ and the only change is to replace the expression $\prod_{H_{t} \in I(t, 0)} \mathcal{E}_{H_{t}, 0}^{-1}$ (which actually means take the product over a singleton of a localization doing nothing!) with $\prod_{H_{t} \in I(t, 1)} \mathcal{E}_{H_{t}}^{-1}$, and here a diagonal map is used.

More precisely if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{a}\left(a_{t+1}, \ldots, a_{s}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)= \\
& \prod_{H_{t+1} \in I\left(t+1, a_{t+1}\right)} \mathcal{E}_{H_{t+1}, a_{t+1}}^{-1} \prod_{H_{t+2} \in I\left(t+2, a_{t+2}\right)} \mathcal{E}_{H_{t+2}, a_{t+2}}^{-1} \cdots \prod_{H_{s-1} \in I\left(s-1, a_{s-1}\right.} \mathcal{E}_{H_{s-1}, a_{s-1}}^{-1} \prod_{H_{s} \in I\left(s, a_{s}\right)} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / H_{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

we take the map into the product whose components are localizations

$$
\left\{l_{i_{t}}\right\}: R_{a}\left(a_{t+1}, \ldots, a_{s}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \longrightarrow \prod_{H_{t} \in I(t, 1)} \mathcal{E}_{H_{t}}^{-1} R_{a}\left(a_{t+1}, \ldots, a_{s}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)
$$

and then apply alternate products and localizations to incorporate the terms from the 0th to the $(t-1)$ st.

When $\tau$ has more than one extra vertex than $\sigma$ the map $R_{a}\left(i_{\sigma}^{\tau}\right)$ is the composite of the maps adding one vertex at a time. It is apparent from the description above that the order in which this is done makes no difference.
10.E. The algebraic diagram $R_{a}$ is the diagram $\mathbb{R}_{d}^{f}$ from [28]. We briefly recall the framework of [28], so that we may observe that $R_{a}$ is precisely the diagram of rings appearing there as $\mathbb{R}_{d}^{f}$.

The diagram $\mathbb{R}_{c}$ is the contravariant functor on the poset $\operatorname{ConnSub}(\mathbf{G})$ of connected subgroups of $G$ with value $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / K}$ at $K$, and with inflation maps between them. The dimension function $d: \operatorname{ConnSub}(\mathbf{G}) \longrightarrow[0, r]$ gives rise to a dimension function on the posets of flags. In [28] it is explained that such a function induces a map $d_{!}^{e}$ collecting together the subgroups of the same dimension, and extends to flags using localizations and products. This specializes precisely to the description of $R_{a}$, so that $\mathbb{R}_{d}^{f}=R_{a}$.
10.F. Description of the functors. We now briefly recall from [28] the functors appearing in the diagram from Subsection 10.A above.

The left hand horizontal translates between indexing over pairs and indexing over flags. For qce-modules the value of a module on a flag only depends on the largest and smallest subgroup in the flag, so this translation is nugatory; the letter $p$ is for the translation to pairs and the letter $f$ for the translation to flags.

The vertical $i$ is the inclusion of $q c e$-modules in all $\mathbb{R}_{c}^{f}$-modules, and the functor $\Gamma_{c}^{f}$ is the right adjoint to $i$ constructed in [28, Section 11] following the pattern of [25]; we will not need to use an explicit construction.

The functor $e$ is obtained by taking idempotent pieces. Indeed, if $M$ is an $\mathbb{R}_{d}^{f}$-module and $F=\left(K_{0} \supset K_{1} \supset \cdots \supset K_{s}\right)$ is a flag of connected subgroups with dimension $d F=$
$\left(d_{0}>d_{1}>\cdots>d_{s}\right)$ there is an idempotent $e_{F} \in \mathbb{R}_{d}^{f}(d F)$ picking out the flag $F$; we take $(e M)(F)=e_{F}(M(d F))$ (see [28, Section 6] for further details).

The functor $d_{*}$ is left adjoint to $e$. The natural idea is to take direct sums: if $N$ is an $\mathbb{R}_{c}^{f}$-module then $\left(d_{*} N\right)(d)=\bigoplus_{d F=d} N(F)$. However this is not compatible with structure maps and one must take the submodule of the product it generates. There is a little work to be done to check this makes sense, and the construction is described in detail in [28, Section $6]$.

## 11. Model structures and equivalences on the algebraic categories

The output of Parts 1-3 is a Quillen equivalence between the category of rational $G$-spectra and an algebraic category cell- $R_{a}$-mod, the cellularization of the category of modules over the diagram $R_{a}$ of rings. The purpose of this section and the next is to simplify the model by avoiding the need for cellularization: we show that the cellularization of the category of $R_{a}$-modules is Quillen equivalent to the smaller category of objects in the category of qce- $\mathbb{R}_{c}$-modules, $\mathcal{A}_{c}^{p}(G)$.

This section gives a model structure on $d \mathcal{A}(G)$ and recalls some facts about the torsion functor relating it to the appropriate category of $\mathbb{R}_{c}^{p}$-modules.
11.A. Two examples. Before turning to general results we give two examples of this phenomenon in a simpler context: the first for free spectra in general, and the second for semifree spectra for the circle group.

Algebraically, the first example is for modules over a single polynomial ring.
Example 11.1. (Free $G$-spectra and torsion modules over a polynomial ring.) If $G$ is a connected compact Lie group, the category of free rational $G$-spectra is Quillen equivalent to the category of torsion modules over the polynomial ring $H^{*}(B G)$ [33].

The topology gives a Quillen equivalence with the model category cell- $H^{*}(B G)-\bmod _{p}$ : the category $H^{*}(B G)-\bmod _{p}$ of DG-modules over $H^{*}(B G)$ with the algebraically projective model structure cellularized with respect to the residue field $\mathbb{Q}$. This in turn is Quillen equivalent to the model category cell- $H^{*}(B G)-\bmod _{i}$, the category $H^{*}(B G)-\bmod _{i}$ of DG-modules over $H^{*}(B G)$ with the algebraically injective model structure cellularized with respect to the residue field $\mathbb{Q}$. The model structure $H^{*}(B G)-\bmod _{p}$ is well known: it is the cofibrantly generated structure right-lifted from vector spaces. Similarly, the model structure $H^{*}(B G)-\bmod _{i}$ may be constructed by left-lifting from vector spaces using [38, Theorem 2.2.3].

Finally, if $\mathfrak{m}$ is the ideal of positive codegree elements in $H^{*}(B G)$, we consider the adjunction

$$
i: \text { tors- } H^{*}(B G)-\bmod \rightleftarrows H^{*}(B G)-\bmod _{i}: \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}
$$

where $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is the $\mathfrak{m}$-power torsion functor. The category of torsion modules has an injective model structure (weak equivalences are homology isomorphisms and cofibrations are monomorphisms). This can be constructed by left lifting from the injective model structure on all modules using [38, Theorem 2.2.1]. Accordingly, $i$ preserves cofibrations and acyclic cofibrations and the adjunction is a Quillen adjunction. Finally, $\mathbb{Q}$ is a small generator of the torsion modules, so the Cellularization Principle [35] shows this induces a Quillen equivalence

$$
\text { tors- } H^{*}(B G)-\bmod \simeq \operatorname{cell}-H^{*}(B G)-\bmod _{i}
$$

This example is directly relevant to the algebraic model $\mathcal{A}(G)$ for a torus $G$. Indeed, if we consider objects of $\mathcal{A}(G)$ which are concentrated at the connected subgroup 1 , and for which there is no contribution from other finite subgroups, the quasicoherence condition on $\mathbb{R}_{c}$-modules in $\mathcal{A}(G)$ implies that objects concentrated at the subgroup 1 are precisely the torsion $H^{*}(B G)$-modules.

Algebraically, the second example works with a rather small diagram of rings, with each of the rings Noetherian.

Example 11.2. (Semifree $\mathbb{T}$-spectra.) For the circle group $\mathbb{T}$, our models are over a punctured square of rings. If we simplify the category by restricting attention to semifree spectra, the rings that occur are much smaller and we can see the issues introduced by diagrams without having the infinite number of subgroups to complicate matters.

The diagram of rings for semifree $\mathbb{T}$-spectra is

$$
R_{a}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc} 
& & R^{v} \\
& & \downarrow \\
R^{n} & \longrightarrow & R^{t}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc} 
& & \mathbb{Q} \\
& \downarrow \\
\mathbb{Q}[c] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}\left[c, c^{-1}\right]
\end{array}\right)
$$

An $R_{a}$ module $M$ consists of a diagram

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{ccc} 
& & M^{v} \\
& & \downarrow \\
M^{n} & \longrightarrow & M^{t}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll} 
& & V \\
& & \downarrow \\
N & \longrightarrow & P
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $V$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-module, $N$ is a $\mathbb{Q}[c]$-module and $P$ is a $\mathbb{Q}\left[c, c^{-1}\right]$-module.
There are four relevevant model categories. To start with, on each of the three objectwise module categories we can choose either the algebraically projective model structure or the algebraically injective model structure. We need to make the same choice at each vertex so that the maps in the diagram respect the model structures. Secondly, having made that choice, we may choose either the diagram theoretically projective or injective model. Since the diagrams are both direct and inverse, the results of [37] show these models all exist, and it is clear there are Quillen equivalences between either of the two binary choices by using the identity functors. In fact, we only need three of the four possibilities; a diagram-projective, algebraically-injective model structure does not appear.

Having made a choice, we cellularize with respect to the two modules corresponding to basic geometric generators

$$
\mathbb{S}=R_{a}=\left(\begin{array}{cc} 
& \mathbb{Q} \\
& \downarrow \\
\mathbb{Q}[c] & \longrightarrow
\end{array}\right) \text { and } G_{+}=\left(\begin{array}{lll} 
& & 0 \\
& & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

By [35, Corollary 2.8], cellularization preserves the Quillen equivalences mentioned above.
Finally, for qce- $R$-mod, the underlying category consists of quasi-coherent extended modules. The quasi-coherence condition is that the horizontal map is localization in the sense that

$$
M^{t} \cong M^{n}[1 / c]
$$

The extendedness is the condition that the vertical is induction in the sense that

$$
M^{t} \cong \underset{48}{\mathbb{Q}\left[c, c^{-1}\right] \otimes V .}
$$

The inclusion of this category of modules has a right adjoint, and we may argue as in the previous example. We will give the category of qce-modules a model structure so that it is Quillen equivalent to the cellularization of the doubly injective model structure.
11.B. Construction of model structures. In the remainder of this section we turn to the full $P C_{f}$-diagram $R_{a}$ of rings. We saw in Section 10 that $R_{a}=\mathbb{R}_{d}^{f}$ in the notation of [28], and we outline here the proof that the cellularization of the doubly projective model category of $R_{a}$-modules is equivalent to the category of DG qce- $\mathbb{R}_{c}^{p}$-modules $\mathcal{A}_{c}^{p}(G)$ as in Section 10.

We begin by formally introducing the algebraic model structures we use.
These are model structures on diagrams of modules over diagrams of DGAs. For each individual DGA there is an algebraically projective model structure [61, Theorem 4.1], which is the cofibrantly generated model structure lifted along the right adjoint forgetful functor to $\mathbb{Q}$-modules in the usual way; the proof may be obtained by adapting [44, Section 2.3]. The adaption of the construction of the injective model for an individual DGA uses a little more algebra, so instead we construct the injective model structure by lifting along the left adjoint forgetful functor to $\mathbb{Q}$-modules using [38, Theorem 2.2.3].

Making a choice of algebraically projective or injective model structures at all points in the diagram we may then seek to define a diagram-theoretically projective model structure (in which weak equivalences and fibrations are given pointwise) or a diagram-theoretically injective model structure (in which weak equivalences and cofibrations are given pointwise). Since the finite diagram shapes we are interested in here are both direct and inverse, both diagram-projective and diagram-injective model structures exist by [37, Proposition 3.1] for either of the algebraic choices (made consistently throughout the diagram). Only three of the four choices appear in our work here, the doubly-projective case (which also follows from [63, $6.1]$ ), the doubly-injective case, and the diagram-injective, algebraically-projective case.
11.C. A model structure on torsion modules. We consider the category $\mathcal{A}_{c}^{p}(G)$ of $q c e-$ $\mathbb{R}_{c}^{p}$-modules and show the associated category of DG objects admits a model structure with quasi-isomorphisms as the weak equivalences.

Proposition 11.3. The category $d \mathcal{A}_{c}^{p}(G)$ of $D G$ qce- $\mathbb{R}_{c}^{p}$-modules admits a model structure with weak equivalences the quasi-isomorphisms and cofibrations the monomorphisms at each object. The fibrant objects are injective if the differential is forgotten, and fibrations are surjective maps with fibrant kernel.

Proof: We use the method of [21, Appendix B], where it is shown that one can often construct a model structure using a type of fibrant generation argument provided one has a suitable finiteness of injective dimension.

We have an abelian category $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_{c}^{p}(G)$ and we aim to put a model structure on the category of DG objects of $\mathcal{A}$. We will specify a set $\mathcal{B I}$ of basic injectives containing sufficiently many injectives (i.e., any object of $\mathcal{A}$ embeds in a product of basic injectives). An injective $I$ is viewed as an object $K(I)$ of $d \mathcal{A}$ with zero differential. The notation is chosen to suggest an Eilenberg-Mac Lane object (or cosphere). Next, we let $P(I)=$ fibre (1: $K(I) \longrightarrow K(I)$ ), with the notation chosen to suggest a path object (or codisc). The set $\mathcal{L}$ of generating fibrations consists of the maps $P(I) \longrightarrow K(I)$ for $I$ in $\mathcal{B I}$. The set $\mathcal{M}$ of generating acyclic fibrations consists of the maps $P(I) \longrightarrow 0$ for $I$ in $\mathcal{B I}$.

We now take we to consist of quasi-isomorphisms, cof to be the maps with the left lifting property with respect to $\mathcal{M}$ and fib to be the maps with the right lifting property with respect to (we $\cap \mathbf{c o f}$ ), and prove this forms the model structure of the lemma. We outline the four main steps and then turn to proving they can be completed in our current situation.

Step 1: Show that cof consists of objectwise monomorphisms.
Step 2: Show that for any $X$ there is an objectwise monomorphism $\alpha: X \longrightarrow P(I)$ for some injective $I$.

Step 3: Show that the maps $P(I) \longrightarrow K(I)$ and $P(I) \longrightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ respectively are in fib.

Note that since any injective is a retract of a product of basic injectives, it follows that $P(I) \longrightarrow K(I)$ and $P(I) \longrightarrow 0$ are fibrations for any injective $I$. Since we have chosen $\mathcal{B} \mathcal{I}$ to contain enough injectives, one of the factorization axioms follows immediately, since we may factorize $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ as

$$
X \xrightarrow{\{f, \alpha\}} Y \times P(I) \xrightarrow{\simeq} Y,
$$

with $\alpha$ as in Step 2.
Step 4: Prove the second factorization axiom using only fibrations formed from those named in Step 3.

More precisely, given $f: X \longrightarrow Y$, we form a factorization $X \longrightarrow X^{\prime} \longrightarrow Y$ with $X \longrightarrow X^{\prime}$ a quasi-isomorphism and $X^{\prime} \longrightarrow Y$ a fibration formed by iterated pullbacks of fibrations $P(I) \longrightarrow K(I)$. This is precisely dual to the usual argument attaching cells to make a map of spaces into a weak equivalence, but because the dual of the small object argument does not apply, we use the finiteness of injective dimension of $\mathcal{A}$ to see that only finitely many steps are involved in the process (details below). The map $X \longrightarrow X^{\prime}$ can be made into a cofibration by taking the product of $X^{\prime}$ with a suitable $P(I)$ as in the proof of the first factorization argument. It follows using the defining right lifting property that an arbitrary fibration is a retract of one formed by iterated pullbacks of fibrations $P(I) \longrightarrow K(I)$ or $P(I) \longrightarrow 0$.

It remains to verify the four steps can be completed. We follow the pattern from the case of the circle group in [21, Appendix B]. We note that for each connected subgroup $H$ of $G$ there is an evaluation functor

$$
e v_{H}: R_{a} \text {-modules } \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / H} \text {-modules }
$$

with right adjoint $f_{H}$. In particular, if $N$ is a torsion module, $f_{H}(N)$ lies in $\mathcal{A}(G)$ and

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(G)}\left(X, f_{H}(N)\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / H}}\left(\phi^{H} X, N\right)
$$

We take the basic injectives to be those of the form

$$
\mathbb{I}_{\tilde{H}}=f_{H}\left(H_{*}(B G / \tilde{H})\right)
$$

where $\tilde{H}$ is any subgroup with identity component $H$. It is shown in $[24,2.20]$ that this set contains sufficiently many injectives.

The following elementary lemma lets us reduce verifications to statements about modules with zero differential over a (single object) ring. We write Hom for the differential graded object of graded $\mathcal{A}$-morphisms and let $D G$-Hom denote the group of morphisms commuting with the differential. The differential on Hom is defined so that the DG-morphisms are the 0 -cycles in Hom.

Lemma 11.4. (i) $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}\left(X, K\left(f_{H}(M)\right)\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / H}}\left(\phi^{H} X, M\right)$
(ii) $D G-\operatorname{Hom}\left(X, K\left(f_{H}(M)\right)\right)=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\phi^{H} X / d \phi^{H} X, M\right)$
(iii) $D G-\operatorname{Hom}\left(X, P\left(f_{H}(M)\right)\right)=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\Sigma \phi^{H} X, M\right)$

It follows from this lemma by the left lifting property that cof consists of objectwise monomorphisms (Step 1), see also [21, Lemma B.2], and that we may find a monomorphism $\alpha$ in the first factorization argument (Step 2): for this we first embed all $\phi^{H} X$ in some injective $I_{H}(X)$ ignoring the differential and use Lemma 11.4 (iii) to obtain a map to $P\left(f_{H}\left(I_{H}(X)\right)\right)$, and take the product of these over all $H$ to obtain $P(I)$.

This lemma also makes it straightforward to verify that objects of $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ are fibrations. The case of $P(I) \longrightarrow 0$ is simply the defining property of an injective. The problem

is equivalent to


To find a solution we use a standard diagram chase. We first use the fact that $i$ is a homology epimorphism to deduce that $\tilde{\beta}$ vanishes on cycles and the fact that it is a homology monomorphism to see that this means that $\tilde{h}$ is consistently defined on $\phi^{H} A+d \phi^{H} B$. Finally, we use the defining property of injectives to extend it over $\phi^{H} B$.

This leaves Step 4. Here we start by forming an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow H_{*}(X) \longrightarrow H_{*}(Y) \oplus I_{0} \longrightarrow I_{1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow I_{N} \longrightarrow 0
$$

in $\mathcal{A}^{s}(G)$, where the $I_{s}$ are injective. The finite injective dimension of $\mathcal{A}^{s}(G)$ ensures such an exact sequence exists. We now realize this by a tower of fibrations

$$
Y \longleftarrow X_{0} \longleftarrow \cdots \longleftarrow X_{N}=X^{\prime}
$$

together with lifts


We take $X_{0}=Y \oplus K\left(I_{0}\right)$, and the subsequent objects and maps are constructed using the diagram

where the lower horizontal is chosen to realize the inclusion of $\operatorname{im}\left(I_{s-1} \longrightarrow I_{s}\right)$ in $I_{s}$. The map $f_{N}: X \longrightarrow X_{N}$ is necessarily a quasi-isomorphism, and can be made into a monomorphism by taking a product with a suitable $P(I)$.

This completes the sketch proof of the proposition.
11.D. Equivalence of models of torsion modules. We recall from Subsection 10.B that $R_{a}=\mathbb{R}_{d}^{f}$, and work with the adjunction of Proposition 10.1.

Proposition 11.5. The adjunction

$$
l: \mathcal{A}_{c}^{p}(G)=q c e-\mathbb{R}_{c}^{p}-\bmod \rightleftarrows R_{a}-\bmod _{i i}: \Gamma
$$

is a Quillen adjunction, where the subscript ii refers to the use of the doubly injective model structure on $R_{a}$-modules (i.e., injective in both the module theoretic and diagram theoretic sense) and where $l=d_{*}$ if and $\Gamma=p \Gamma_{c}^{f} e$.

Cellularizing with respect to the images of the topological cells induces a Quillen equivalence

$$
\mathcal{A}(G)=\mathcal{A}_{c}^{p}(G)=q c e-\mathbb{R}_{c}^{p}-\text { modules } \simeq \text { cell- }^{a}-\bmod _{i i}
$$

Proof: First we need to check that $l=d_{*} i f$ preserves cofibrations and acyclic cofibrations so that we have a Quillen adjunction.

The cofibrations in $\mathcal{A}_{c}^{p}(G)$ are the monomorphisms, which are the objectwise monomorphisms. Similarly, the cofibrations in an algebraically injective model structure are precisely the monomorphisms. The cofibrations in the doubly injective $R_{a}$-module category are precisely the morphisms which are objectwise cofibrations, namely the objectwise monomorphisms. It is obvious that $f$ and $i$ preserve monomorphisms. It is also clear that the functor $d_{!}$(given by taking the product of the values) preserves monomorphisms. Since $d_{*} N \subseteq d_{!} N$, it follows that $d_{*}$ also preserves momomorphisms.

The weak equivalences in both categories are objectwise quasi-isomorphisms, and we will show $l$ preserves all homology isomorphisms. Since $l$ is defined at the level of abelian categories, it takes mapping cones to mapping cones. It therefore suffices to show that if $X$ is a qce-module with $H_{*}(X)=0$ then $H_{*}(l X)=0$. For this we use a filtration described in $\left[28\right.$, Section 6] (the map $d: \Sigma_{c} \longrightarrow[0, r]$ and the diagram $\mathbb{R}_{c}^{f}$ take the roles of the map $\pi: \Sigma \longrightarrow \bar{\Sigma}$ and the ring $\left.R^{f}\right)$. To avoid clutter, we will omit the notation if since if $X$ takes the same values as $X$ on pairs.

For each flag $f=\left(f_{0}>\cdots>f_{s}\right)$ of dimensions we consider the value $\left(d_{*} X\right)(f)$ at $f$. Inside this we have the generating submodules $M_{f_{i}}$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, s$ (this is the submodule
generated by the image of $\left.\left(d_{*} X\right)\left(f_{i}\right)=\bigoplus_{\operatorname{dim} K=f_{i}} X(K)\right)$. There is an associated MayerVietoris spectral sequence for these, showing that it suffices to show that for each face $e=\left(e_{0}>e_{1}>\cdots>e_{t}\right) \subset\left(f_{0}>f_{1}>\cdots>f_{s}\right)=f$ the intersection

$$
M_{e}=\bigcap_{j} M_{e_{j}}
$$

is acyclic. A combinatorial lemma [28, Lemma 6.7] shows that $M_{e}$ is generated by the image of the diagonals including $e$ in $f$. Furthermore

$$
M_{e}=\sum_{\operatorname{dim} E=e} M_{E}=\bigoplus_{\operatorname{dim} E=e} M_{E}
$$

so it suffices to show that $M_{E}$ is acyclic.
Now consider the diagram

in which $M_{E}$ is the image of the top horizontal. We argue that the top horizontal is in fact a monomorphism, and it then follows since $\left(\mathbb{R}_{d}(f)\right.$ is flat over $\left.\mathbb{R}_{d}(e)\right)$ that $M_{E}$ is acyclic.

In fact the bottom horizontal is an isomorphism since $X$ is $q c e$; indeed the $F$ th idempotent piece is the map $\mathbb{R}_{d}(F) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_{d}(E)} X(E) \longrightarrow X(F)$. The left hand vertical is a monomorphism since it can be viewed as a composite

$$
\mathbb{R}_{d}(f) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_{d}(e)} X(E) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{d}(f) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_{d}(e)} \prod X(E) \longrightarrow d_{!} e \mathbb{R}_{d}(f) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}_{d}(e)} X(E)
$$

the first is a monomorphism since the diagonal is and $\mathbb{R}_{d}(f)$ is flat over $\mathbb{R}_{d}(e)$, and the second map is an isomorphism. It follows that the top horizontal is a monomorphism as required.

This shows that we have a Quillen pair, and we now cellularize with respect to the images of the cells $G / H_{+}$. By the Cellularization Principle [35] this induces a Quillen equivalence of cellularizations since the cells are small and lie in $\mathcal{A}_{c}^{p}(G)$.

Finally, it remains to check that cellularization is the identity on $\mathcal{A}_{c}^{p}(G)$. This will be completed by Theorem 12.1 which states that cellular equivalences for qce modules are precisely the quasi-isomorphisms. Thus,

$$
\mathcal{A}_{c}^{p}(G)=q c e-\mathbb{R}_{c}^{p} \text {-modules }=\text { cell- } q c e-\mathbb{R}_{c}^{p} \text {-modules } .
$$

Remark 11.6. We would like to upgrade the equivalence to being monoidal, but we note that although the category $\mathcal{A}(G)$ is monoidal, the injective model on $d \mathcal{A}(G)$ we have described is not a monoidal model structure. The first step will be to extend Barnes's dualizable model structures from the rank 1 case to the arbitrary case, using [25].

## 12. Cellular equivalences in $D(\mathcal{A}(G))$

We aim to show that cellularization has no effect on $d \mathcal{A}(G)$ (equipped with the model structure described in Section 11).
12.A. The two notions of equivalence. Recall that a map $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ in $d \mathcal{A}(G)$ is a weak equivalence if it is a homology isomorphism (i.e., if $f_{*}: H_{*}(X) \longrightarrow H_{*}(Y)$ is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{A}(G)$ ). This means that it is an isomorphism when evaluated at each connected subgroup $K$.

The map $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ is a cellular equivalence if the $\operatorname{Hom}(A, X) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(A, Y)$ is a homology isomorphism for all (cofibrant) cells $A$ (i.e., $[A, X]_{*} \longrightarrow[A, Y]_{*}$ is an isomorphism for all $A$ ). We note that for each $A$ this just involves a single graded vector space.

Theorem 12.1. The triangulated category $D(\mathcal{A}(G))$ is generated by the cells $G / K_{+}$. Accordingly, a cellular equivalence of objects of $d \mathcal{A}(G)$ is a homology isomorphism.

Remark 12.2. Although the idea of the proof seems rather simple, organizing the implementation requires some delicacy. We tried several approaches, hoping to minimize the verifications, but in the end all seemed to use very similar ingredients: Koszul models for cells, and the associated apparatus of torsion and completion, a filtration by dimension of isotropy groups and the objects $f_{K}(M)$ (where $f_{K}$ is right adjoint to evaluation at $K$ ).

By the use of mapping cones, it suffices to show that if an object $X$ is cellularly trivial then $H_{*}(X)=0$. This also proves the statement about generation, since the cells are small, and for any $Y$ we may use the usual process of cellular approximation to construct a cellular object $\operatorname{cell}(Y)$ and a map $\operatorname{cell}(Y) \longrightarrow Y$ which is a cellular equivalence.

Suppose $X$ is cellularly trivial. We will argue by induction on the codimension of $K$ that $H_{*}(X)(K)=0$. Suppose then that $\operatorname{codim}(K)=c$ and that we have already proved that $H_{*}(X)(H)=0$ if $\operatorname{codim}(H)<c$. This is certainly true if $c=0$, so the induction starts. Since there are no infinite decreasing chains of subgroups this suffices. We return to the inductive step in Subsection 12.D after some preparation.
12.B. Motivation for the proof. To guide us, and to recall some standard notation, we consider the derived category $D($ tors $-R)$, where $R=k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right]$ is a polynomial ring over a field $k$. The corresponding claim is that if $[k, M]_{*}=0$ then $M \simeq 0$ (or equivalently that $k$ generates the category). One proof is as follows.

First we recall some standard constructions. The Koszul complex for an element $x$ is defined by $\operatorname{Kos}(x)=$ fibre $(x: R \longrightarrow R)$, and the stable Koszul complex is defined by $\operatorname{Kos}^{\infty}(x)=\operatorname{fibre}(R \longrightarrow R[1 / x])$. It is easy to see that

$$
\operatorname{Kos}^{\infty}(x)=\lim _{\rightarrow} \operatorname{Kos}\left(x^{s}\right)
$$

For a sequence of elements the Koszul and stable Koszul complex are obtained by tensoring those of the terms together. The stable Koszul complex $\operatorname{Kos}\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{r}\right)$ only depends on the radical of the ideal $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}\right)$ and we write

$$
\Gamma(M)=\operatorname{Kos}^{\infty}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right) \otimes M
$$

and this is the $k$-cellularization of $M$.
We may now proceed with the proof.
Step 1. $k$ is self-dual up to suspension. Indeed, it is equivalent to the Koszul complex for the generators $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$.

It follows from Step 1 that if $[k, M]_{*}=0$ then $k \otimes M \simeq 0$.
Step 2. It then follows formally that $\Gamma R \otimes M \simeq 0$, where $\Gamma R$ is the $k$-cellularization of $R$.
Step 3. From the cofibre sequence $\Gamma R \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow \check{C} R$ we deduce $M \simeq \check{C} R \otimes M$.

Step 4. Since $M$ is torsion $\check{C} R \otimes M \simeq 0$. Indeed, $\check{C} R$ has a finite filtration with subquotients $R\left[1 / x_{T}\right]$ where $x_{T}$ is a (non-empty) product of variables $x_{i}$, and

$$
R\left[1 / x_{T}\right] \otimes M=M\left[1 / x_{T}\right] \simeq 0
$$

since $M$ is torsion.
12.C. Cells as Koszul complexes. We explain how to view the cells $G / K_{+}$as Koszul complexes.

First, if $H$ is a codimension 1 subgroup we choose a one dimensional representation $\alpha=$ $\alpha(H)$ so that $H=\operatorname{ker}(\alpha)$. The cofibre sequence

$$
G / H_{+} \simeq S(\alpha)_{+} \longrightarrow S^{0} \longrightarrow S^{\alpha}
$$

suggests that $G / H_{+}$is equivalent to the Koszul complex of $e(\alpha)$. More precisely, this follows from the form of the models of $S^{0}$ and $S^{\alpha}=\Sigma^{\alpha} S^{0}$ since $e(\alpha)$ is a non-zero divisor on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}$.

In general, we may choose codimension 1 subgroups $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{c}$ so that $K=H_{1} \cap \cdots \cap H_{c}$. Exactly as for the polynomial ring, for a complex representation $V$ with $V^{G}=0$, we have $e(V) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}$ and we take

$$
\operatorname{Kos}(e(V))=\operatorname{fibre}\left(S^{0} \longrightarrow S^{V}\right)
$$

We note that all terms are projective, and for a sequence of Euler classes the Koszul complex is defined by tensoring these together.

Lemma 12.3. The Koszul complexes give a projective model for the cells:

$$
G / K_{+} \simeq \operatorname{Kos}\left(e\left(H_{1}\right), \ldots, e\left(H_{c}\right)\right)
$$

Proof: Since the Euler classes form a regular sequence in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}$, the homology $\pi_{*}^{\mathcal{A}}\left(\operatorname{Kos}\left(e\left(H_{1}\right), \ldots, e\left(H_{c}\right)\right)\right.$ is calculated as a quotient of $\pi_{*}^{\mathcal{A}}\left(S^{0}\right)=\widetilde{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}}$ (in the notation of Subsection 2.A). This applies equally well in the category of spectra, so that the homology of the Koszul complex agrees with the image $\pi_{*}^{\mathcal{A}}\left(G / K_{+}\right)$of the spectrum $G / K_{+}$. Since $G / K_{+}$is intrinsically formal by Corollary 2.9, this completes the proof.

We will use the following duality property, familiar in topology.
Corollary 12.4. The algebraic cell $G / K_{+}$is self dual: if $\operatorname{codim}(K)=c$ then

$$
D G / K_{+} \simeq \Sigma^{-c} G / K_{+}
$$

Proof: Since

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(S^{V}, X\right)=\Sigma^{-V} X=S^{-V} \otimes X,
$$

the dual of the Koszul complex is the Koszul complex. However, a priori the shift is by a representation rather than an integer. To see the representation may be replaced by an integer, we note that if $\alpha$ is a one dimensional representation with kernel $K$

$$
\Sigma^{\alpha} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}=\Sigma^{2} e_{\mathcal{F} K} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}} \oplus\left(1-e_{\mathcal{F} K}\right) \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}
$$

where $\mathcal{F} K$ consists of the finite subgroups of $K$, and $e_{\mathcal{F} K}$ is the corresponding idempotent. It follows that

$$
D \operatorname{Kos}(e(\alpha)) \cong \Sigma^{-1} \operatorname{Kos}(e(\alpha))
$$

The general case follows by tensoring $c$ instances of this together.
12.D. Proof of the inductive step. We may in fact now follow the motivating pattern described in Subsection 12.B.

Suppose then that $H_{*}(X)(H)=0$ for $H$ of codimensions $<c$ and that $\operatorname{codim} K=c$. We will show that the fact $X$ is cellularly trivial means $H_{*}(X)(K)=0$.

By Corollary 12.4, $\left[G / L_{+}, X\right]_{*}=\left[S^{0}, \Sigma^{-d} G / L_{+} \wedge X\right]_{*}$, where $L$ is of codimension $d$, so that the hypothesis that $X$ is cellularly trivial proves that $\left[S^{0}, A \wedge X\right]_{*}=0$ for any cellular spectrum $A$. We note that $A=S^{\infty V(K)}$ is cellular. Indeed, it is the localization which inverts $e(\alpha)$ for those one dimensional representations $\alpha$ with $\alpha^{K}=0$ (i.e., $K \nsubseteq$ $\operatorname{ker}(\alpha))$. Accordingly, fibre $\left(S^{0} \longrightarrow S^{\infty V(K)}\right)$ is the cellularization of $S^{0}$ using cells $G / L_{+}$ where $L$ is in the family of subgroups not containing $K$. (More explicitly, it is the homotopy colimit of stable Koszul complexes $\operatorname{Kos}^{\infty}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{s}\right)$ where $\left.\alpha_{i}^{K}=0\right)$ The point of considering $S^{\infty V(K)} \wedge M$ is the isomorphism

$$
S^{\infty V(K)} \wedge X \cong f_{K}\left(\phi^{K} X\right)
$$

Lemma 12.5. For any torsion $D G-\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / K}$-module $M$,

$$
\left[S^{0}, f_{K}(M)\right] \cong H_{*}(M)
$$

Proof: Since $f_{K}$ is right adjoint to evaluation at $K$, and since this is compatible with resolutions, the Adams spectral sequence for $\left[T, f_{K}(M)\right]^{G}$ takes the simple form

$$
E_{2}^{s, t}=\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / K}^{* *}}^{*, *}\left(\phi^{K} H_{*}(T), H_{*}(M)\right) \Rightarrow[T, X]_{*}^{G} .
$$

In particular, taking $T=S^{0}$ and $X=f_{K}(M)$, we have $\phi^{K} H_{*}\left(S^{0}\right)=\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / K}$ and

$$
\left[S^{0}, f_{K}(M)\right]=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / K}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F} / K}, H_{*}(M)\right)=H_{*}(M) .
$$

Finally we see

$$
0=\left[S^{0}, S^{\infty V(K)} \wedge X\right]_{*}=\left[S^{0}, f_{K}\left(\phi^{K} X\right)=H_{*} \phi^{K} X\right.
$$

as required.
This completes the inductive step and hence the proof of Theorem 12.1.
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