

**Manuscript version: Author's Accepted Manuscript**

The version presented in WRAP is the author's accepted manuscript and may differ from the published version or Version of Record.

**Persistent WRAP URL:**

<http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/134820>

**How to cite:**

Please refer to published version for the most recent bibliographic citation information. If a published version is known of, the repository item page linked to above, will contain details on accessing it.

**Copyright and reuse:**

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work by researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions.

Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRAP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

**Publisher's statement:**

Please refer to the repository item page, publisher's statement section, for further information.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: [wrap@warwick.ac.uk](mailto:wrap@warwick.ac.uk).

A remnant planetary core in the hot Neptunian desert

1 DAVID J. ARMSTRONG,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> THÉO A. LOPEZ,<sup>3</sup> VARDAN ADIBEKYAN,<sup>4</sup> RICHARD A. BOOTH,<sup>5</sup>  
2 EDWARD M. BRYANT,<sup>1,2</sup> KAREN A. COLLINS,<sup>6</sup> MAGALI DELEUIL,<sup>3</sup> ALEXANDRE EMSENHUBER,<sup>7,8</sup>  
3 CHELSEA X. HUANG,<sup>9</sup> GEORGE W. KING,<sup>1,2</sup> JORGE LILLO-BOX,<sup>10</sup> JACK J. LISSAUER,<sup>11</sup>  
4 ELISABETH MATTHEWS,<sup>9</sup> OLIVIER MOUSIS,<sup>3</sup> LOUISE D. NIELSEN,<sup>12</sup> HUGH OSBORN,<sup>3</sup> JON OTEGI,<sup>12,13</sup>  
5 NUNO C. SANTOS,<sup>4,14</sup> SÉRGIO G. SOUSA,<sup>4</sup> KEIVAN G. STASSUN,<sup>15,16</sup> DIMITRI VERAS,<sup>1,2,\*</sup>  
6 CARL ZIEGLER,<sup>17</sup> JACK S. ACTON,<sup>18</sup> JOSE M. ALMENARA,<sup>19</sup> DAVID R. ANDERSON,<sup>1,2</sup>  
7 DAVID BARRADO,<sup>20</sup> SUSANA C.C. BARROS,<sup>4</sup> DANIEL BAYLISS,<sup>1,2</sup> CLAUDIA BELARDI,<sup>18</sup>  
8 FRANCOIS BOUCHY,<sup>12</sup> CÉSAR BRICEÑO,<sup>21</sup> MATTEO BROGI,<sup>1,2,22</sup> DAVID J. A. BROWN,<sup>1,2</sup>  
9 MATTHEW R. BURLEIGH,<sup>18</sup> SARAH L. CASEWELL,<sup>18</sup> ALEXANDER CHAUSHEV,<sup>23</sup> DAVID R. CIARDI,<sup>24</sup>  
10 KEVIN I. COLLINS,<sup>25</sup> KNICOLE D. COLÓN,<sup>26</sup> BENJAMIN F. COOKE,<sup>1,2</sup> IAN J. M. CROSSFIELD,<sup>9</sup>  
11 RODRIGO F. DÍAZ,<sup>27,28</sup> ELISA DELGADO MENA,<sup>4</sup> OLIVIER D. S. DEMANGEON,<sup>4</sup> CAROLINE DORN,<sup>13</sup>  
12 XAVIER DUMUSQUE,<sup>12</sup> PHILIPP EIGMÜLLER,<sup>29</sup> MICHAEL FAUSNAUGH,<sup>9</sup> PEDRO FIGUEIRA,<sup>30,4</sup>  
13 TIANJUN GAN,<sup>31</sup> SIDDHARTH GANDHI,<sup>2</sup> SAMUEL GILL,<sup>1,2</sup> ERICA J. GONZALES,<sup>32</sup>  
14 MICHAEL R. GOAD,<sup>18</sup> MAXIMILIAN N. GÜNTHER,<sup>9</sup> RAVIT HELLED,<sup>13</sup> SAEED HOJJATPANAH,<sup>4,14</sup>  
15 STEVE B. HOWELL,<sup>33</sup> JAMES JACKMAN,<sup>1,2</sup> JAMES S. JENKINS,<sup>34,35</sup> JON M. JENKINS,<sup>11</sup>  
16 ERIC L. N. JENSEN,<sup>36</sup> GRANT M. KENNEDY,<sup>1,2,†</sup> DAVID W. LATHAM,<sup>37</sup> NICHOLAS LAW,<sup>38</sup>  
17 MONIKA LENDL,<sup>12,39</sup> MICHAEL LOZOVSKY,<sup>13</sup> ANDREW W. MANN,<sup>38</sup> MAXIMILIANO MOYANO,<sup>40</sup>  
18 JAMES MCCORMAC,<sup>1,2</sup> FARZANA MERU,<sup>1,2,‡</sup> CHRISTOPH MORDASINI,<sup>8</sup> ARES OSBORN,<sup>1,2</sup>  
19 DON POLLACCO,<sup>1,2</sup> DIDIER QUELOZ,<sup>41</sup> LIAM RAYNARD,<sup>18</sup> GEORGE R. RICKER,<sup>9</sup> PAMELA ROWDEN,<sup>42</sup>  
20 ALEXANDRE SANTERNE,<sup>3</sup> JOSHUA E. SCHLIEDER,<sup>43</sup> S. SEAGER,<sup>9,44,45</sup> LIZHOU SHA,<sup>9</sup>  
21 THIAM-GUAN TAN,<sup>46</sup> ROSANNA H. TILBROOK,<sup>18</sup> ERIC TING,<sup>11</sup> STÉPHANE UDRY,<sup>12</sup>  
22 ROLAND VANDERSPEK,<sup>9</sup> CHRISTOPHER A. WATSON,<sup>47</sup> RICHARD G. WEST,<sup>1,2</sup> PAUL A. WILSON,<sup>1,2</sup>  
23 JOSHUA N. WINN,<sup>48</sup> PETER WHEATLEY,<sup>1,2</sup> JESUS NOEL VILLASENOR,<sup>9</sup> JOSE I. VINES,<sup>34</sup> AND  
24 ZHUCHANG ZHAN<sup>44</sup>

25 <sup>1</sup>Centre for Exoplanets and Habitability, University of Warwick, Gibbet Hill Road, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK

26 <sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Gibbet Hill Road, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK

27 <sup>3</sup>Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, CNES, LAM, Marseille, France

28 <sup>4</sup>Instituto de Astrofísica e Ciências do Espaço, Universidade do Porto, CAUP, Rua das Estrelas, 4150-762 Porto,  
29 Portugal

30 <sup>5</sup>Institute of Astronomy, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0HA, UK

31 <sup>6</sup>Center for Astrophysics | Harvard & Smithsonian, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

<sup>7</sup>*Lunar and Planetary Laboratory, University of Arizona, 1629 E. University Blvd., Tucson, AZ 85721, USA*

<sup>8</sup>*Physikalisches Institut, University of Bern, Gesellschaftsstrasse 6, 3012 Bern, Switzerland*

<sup>9</sup>*Department of Physics and Kavli Institute for Astrophysics and Space Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA*

<sup>10</sup>*Depto. de Astrofísica, Centro de Astrobiología (CSIC-INTA), ESAC campus, 28692 Villanueva de la Cañada (Madrid), Spain*

<sup>11</sup>*NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA, 94035, USA*

<sup>12</sup>*Observatoire Astronomique de l'Université de Genève, 51 Chemin des Maillettes, 1290 Versoix, Switzerland*

<sup>13</sup>*Institute for Computational Science, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstr. 190, CH-8057 Zurich, Switzerland*

<sup>14</sup>*Departamento de Física e Astronomia, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade do Porto, Rua do Campo Alegre, 4169-007 Porto, Portugal*

<sup>15</sup>*Vanderbilt University, Department of Physics & Astronomy, 6301 Stevenson Center Ln., Nashville, TN 37235, USA*

<sup>16</sup>*Fisk University, Department of Physics, 1000 18th Ave. N., Nashville, TN 37208, USA*

<sup>17</sup>*Dunlap Institute for Astronomy and Astrophysics, University of Toronto, 50 St. George Street, Toronto, Ontario M5S 3H4, Canada*

<sup>18</sup>*School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Leicester, Leicester LE1 7RH, UK*

<sup>19</sup>*Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, IPAG, 38000 Grenoble, France*

<sup>20</sup>*Depto. de Astrofísica, Centro de Astrobiología (CSIC-INTA), ESAC campus 28692 Villanueva de la Cañada (Madrid), Spain*

<sup>21</sup>*Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory, Casilla 603, La Serena, Chile*

<sup>22</sup>*INAF - Osservatorio Astrofisico di Torino, Via Osservatorio 20, 10025, Pino Torinese, Italy*

<sup>23</sup>*Center for Astronomy and Astrophysics, TU Berlin, Hardenbergstr. 36, D-10623 Berlin, Germany*

<sup>24</sup>*Caltech/IPAC-NASA Exoplanet Science Institute, 770 S. Wilson Avenue, Pasadena, CA 91106, USA*

<sup>25</sup>*George Mason University, 4400 University Drive, Fairfax, VA, 22030 USA*

<sup>26</sup>*NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Exoplanets and Stellar Astrophysics Laboratory (Code 667), Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA*

<sup>27</sup>*Universidad de Buenos Aires, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales. Buenos Aires, Argentina.*

<sup>28</sup>*CONICET - Universidad de Buenos Aires. Instituto de Astronomía y Física del Espacio (IAFE). Buenos Aires, Argentina.*

<sup>29</sup>*Institute of Planetary Research, German Aerospace Center, Rutherfordstrasse 2, 12489 Berlin, Germany*

<sup>30</sup>*European Southern Observatory, Alonso de Cordova 3107, Vitacura, Santiago, Chile*

<sup>31</sup>*Department of Astronomy and Tsinghua Centre for Astrophysics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China*

<sup>32</sup>*Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, University of California, Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA 95060, USA<sup>§</sup>*

<sup>33</sup>*NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA 94035, USA*

<sup>34</sup>*Departamento de Astronomía, Universidad de Chile, Camino el Observatorio 1515, Casilla 36-D, Las Condes, Santiago, Chile*

<sup>35</sup>*Centro de Astrofísica y Tecnologías Afines (CATA), Casilla 36-D, Santiago, Chile*

<sup>36</sup>*Dept. of Physics & Astronomy, Swarthmore College, Swarthmore PA 19081, USA*

<sup>37</sup>*Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden St, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA*

<sup>38</sup>*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3255, USA*

<sup>39</sup>*Space Research Institute, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Schmiedlstr. 6, 8042 Graz, Austria*

<sup>40</sup>*Instituto de Astronomía, Universidad Católica del Norte, Angamos 0610, 1270709, Antofagasta, Chile.*

<sup>41</sup>*Cavendish Laboratory J J Thomson Avenue Cambridge, CB3 0HE, UK*

<sup>42</sup>*School of Physical Sciences, The Open University, Milton Keynes MK7 6AA, UK*

<sup>43</sup>*Exoplanets and Stellar Astrophysics Laboratory, Code 667, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA*

<sup>44</sup>*Department of Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA*

<sup>45</sup>*Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, MIT, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA*

<sup>46</sup>*Perth Exoplanet Survey Telescope, Perth, Western Australia*

<sup>47</sup>*Astrophysics Research Centre, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, BT7 1NN, UK*

<sup>48</sup>*Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, 4 Ivy Lane, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA*

## ABSTRACT

The interiors of giant planets remain poorly understood. Even for the planets in the Solar System, difficulties in observation lead to major uncertainties in the properties of planetary cores. Exoplanets which have undergone rare evolutionary pathways provide a new route to understanding planetary interiors. Planets found in and near the typically barren hot Neptunian desert(1; 2) have proven particularly valuable in this regard, including HD149026b(3), thought to have an unusually massive core, and re-

cent discoveries such as LTT9779b(4) and NGTS-4b(5), where photoevaporation has removed a substantial part of the outer atmosphere. Here we report TOI-849b, the remnant core of a giant planet, with a radius smaller than Neptune but an anomalously high mass  $M_p = 39.1_{-2.6}^{+2.7} M_\oplus$  and density of  $5.2_{-0.8}^{+0.7} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$  similar to the Earth. The planet parameters place it in the Neptunian desert, and interior structure models suggest that any gaseous envelope of pure hydrogen and helium consists of no more than  $3.9_{-0.9}^{+0.8}\%$  of the total planetary mass. The planet could have been a gas giant before undergoing extreme mass loss via thermal self-disruption or giant planet collisions, or it avoided substantial gas accretion, perhaps through gap opening or late formation(6). Photoevaporation rates cannot provide the mass loss required to reduce a Jupiter-like gas giant, but can remove a few  $M_\oplus$  hydrogen and helium envelope on timescales of several Gyr, implying that any remaining atmosphere is likely to be enriched by water or other volatiles from the planetary interior. TOI-849b represents a unique case where material from the primordial core is left over from formation and available to study.

## 1. MAIN TEXT

The *TESS* mission(7) observed the  $V_{\text{mag}} = 12$  star TOI-849/TIC33595516 for 27 days during September and October 2018, leading to the detection of a candidate transiting planet. TOI-849 was observed at 30-minute cadence in the Full Frame Images, and was discovered using the MIT quick-look pipeline (see Methods). No signs of additional planets or stellar activity were seen in the photometry. Follow-up observations with the High Accuracy Radial velocity Planet Searcher (HARPS) spectrograph detected a large radial velocity signal, confirming the planet TOI-849b. Four additional transits were observed using the ground-based telescopes of the Next Generation Transit Survey(8) and Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope(9), significantly improving the radius determination and ephemeris of the planet. A search of the Gaia Data Release 2 reveals no other sources

\* STFC Ernest Rutherford Fellow

† Royal Society University Research Fellow

‡ Royal Society Dorothy Hodgkin Fellow

§ National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellow

116 closer than  $39''$ , with the closest source 7.8 magnitudes fainter than TOI-849 in the G band(10). Addi-  
 117 tional high resolution imaging from SOAR, NaCo/VLT, AstraLux and Zorro/Gemini-South revealed  
 118 no unresolved companion stars. We perform a joint fit to the data using the PASTIS software(11) to  
 119 extract planetary and stellar parameters, using the combined HARPS spectra to derive priors on the  
 120 stellar parameters and calculate chemical abundances for the host star (see Methods). The best fit  
 121 and data are shown in Figure 1.

122 TOI-849b has a mass of  $39.1^{+2.7}_{-2.6} M_{\oplus}$ , nearly half the mass of Saturn. The planet’s radius is  
 123  $3.44^{+0.16}_{-0.12} R_{\oplus}$  and its mean density is  $5.2^{+0.7}_{-0.8} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ , making it the densest Neptune-sized planet  
 124 discovered to date (Figure 2). It has a sub-1d orbital period of  $0.7655241 \pm 0.0000027 \text{ d}$ , making it an  
 125 ‘ultra-short-period’ planet. The upper limit on its eccentricity is 0.08 at 95% confidence. The radius,  
 126 mass and period place TOI-849b in the middle of the hot Neptunian desert, a region of parameter  
 127 space typically devoid of planets due to photoevaporation and tidal disruption(1; 2) (Figure 3). The  
 128 host star TOI-849 is a late G dwarf with mass of  $0.929 \pm 0.023 M_{\odot}$ , radius  $0.919^{+0.029}_{-0.023} R_{\odot}$ , and  
 129 age  $6.7^{+2.9}_{-2.4} \text{ Gyr}$ . The close proximity of planet and star lead to an equilibrium temperature for the  
 130 planet of 1800K, assuming an albedo of 0.3. The full set of derived parameters for the planet and  
 131 star are given in Extended Data Tables 1 and 2, and general stellar parameters in Extended Data  
 132 Table 3.

133 The most widely used interior structure models of terrestrial planets are not valid for planets  
 134 as massive as TOI-849b, because the properties of matter at such high central pressures remain  
 135 highly uncertain. Furthermore, some compositional mixing is expected at these high pressures and  
 136 temperatures(12), in contradiction of the usual assumption of distinct layers(e.g. 13). We build an  
 137 internal structure model accounting for some of these issues (see Methods), but restrict our analysis  
 138 to considering the limiting cases of a maximum and minimum possible hydrogen/helium (H/He)  
 139 envelope under the layered structure assumption. We calculate the maximum envelope mass by  
 140 minimising the contribution of core, mantle and water, assuming the planet has the same [Fe/Si]  
 141 ratio as has been observed for the photosphere of the host star. Under this model, the maximum  
 142 envelope mass fraction is  $3.9^{+0.8}_{-0.9}\%$ .

143 TOI-849b’s large core mass and low envelope mass fraction challenge the traditional view of planet  
 144 formation via core accretion, where planets with masses above a critical mass of  $\sim 10\text{--}20M_{\oplus}$  are  
 145 expected to undergo runaway gas accretion within the protoplanetary disc(14; 15; 16). Why, then,  
 146 does TOI-849b lack a massive gaseous envelope? Apparently the core somehow avoided runaway  
 147 accretion, or else the planet was once a gas giant which somehow lost most of its envelope. If runaway  
 148 accretion proceeded to produce a giant planet, significant reduction in the original mass would be  
 149 required to reach the present day state. HD149026b(3) is a giant planet with mass  $121 \pm 19M_{\oplus}$ (17)  
 150 thought to have a solid core with a mass of  $\sim 50M_{\oplus}$ (18; 19), similar to TOI-849b. Starting from a  
 151 planet like HD149026b, mass-loss of 60–70% would be required to produce the present day TOI-  
 152 849b. Considering the proximity of TOI-849b to its host star, one would expect some mass-loss  
 153 to photoevaporation. The lifetime predicted mass-loss rate for a Jupiter-like planet is only a few  
 154 percent, well below the required range (see Methods). For a planet like HD149026b the situation is  
 155 less clear, and the lifetime mass removed depends critically on the assumptions made. We proceed  
 156 to explore several formation pathways for TOI-849b.

157 Tidal disruption could cause mass loss of one–two orders of magnitude. The close proximity of  
 158 a number of hot Jupiters to their tidal disruption radii(e.g. 20) and the fact that hot Jupiters  
 159 are preferentially found around younger stars(21; 22) suggest that tidal disruption of hot Jupiters  
 160 might be common. Although it appears they do not typically leave behind a remnant core, or  
 161 such cores are short-lived(23), as a rare higher mass object TOI-849b may be an unusual case. At  
 162 the location of TOI-849b, tidal disruption would be expected for a Jupiter-mass planet with radius  
 163  $> 1.5$  Jupiter radii. An alternative, related pathway to substantial envelope loss is disruption via  
 164 tidal thermalisation events, which can lead to mass loss of order one–two magnitudes. If TOI-849b  
 165 reached its close orbit via high-eccentricity scattering by another planet in the system, energy build  
 166 up in the planet’s internal f-modes during tidal circularisation can approach significant fractions of  
 167 the planet’s internal binding energy and potentially lead to thermalisation events, which may remove  
 168 envelope layers (see Methods). However, in either case it is unclear whether a giant planet could  
 169 harbour a large enough core to leave behind a  $40M_{\oplus}$  remnant, because the gaseous envelope on top

170 of a few  $M_{\oplus}$  core causes planetesimals to be eroded in the envelope. The remaining solids must  
 171 subsequently rain out to produce such a large core(24; 25; 12).

172 Giant planet collisions provide another, intermediate way to produce planets similar to TOI-849b.  
 173 The Bern planetary population synthesis models(26) predict the existence of a small population of  
 174 planets with similar masses and semi-major axes to TOI-849b (see Methods). In those models, such  
 175 planets were produced via giant planet collisions at the end of the migration phase, resulting in the  
 176 ejection of the planetary envelope, and leaving no time for the remnant core to accrete further gas.  
 177 In these scenarios, the cores reached an envelope mass fraction of a few tens of percent, before being  
 178 reduced to Neptune size and ejecting the envelope through an impact. Such a scenario leaves a dense  
 179 planetary core close to the host star.

180 The alternative hypothesis is for TOI-849b to avoid runaway accretion, possibly through opening  
 181 a gap in the protoplanetary disc, largely devoid of gas, before the planet accretes much envelope  
 182 mass. Because the threshold mass required for a planet to open up a gap in a protoplanetary disc is  
 183 sensitive to the disc scale-height, which is small close to the star, planets on close in orbits can more  
 184 easily open a deep gap. A  $40M_{\oplus}$  planet like TOI-849b on a 0.1AU orbit would reduce the disc surface  
 185 density at its location by a factor  $\sim 10$ (27; 28). Recently, it has been argued that a reduction in gas  
 186 accretion due to gap opening is required to resolve the fact that runaway gas accretion models tend  
 187 to produce too many Jupiter mass planets and not enough sub-Saturn mass planets(6). Indeed, by  
 188 reducing the accretion rate onto gap-opening planets, it is possible to produce  $40M_{\oplus}$  planets at 0.1  
 189 AU with gas mass fractions below 10% if the planets form late enough(6). In contrast to the tidal  
 190 disruption pathway, reduced gas accretion should leave TOI-849b aligned with the stellar spin axis.  
 191 Detecting or ruling out such alignment using measurements of the Rossiter-McLaughlin effect(29),  
 192 as well as taking measurements of the atmospheric composition, may aid in distinguishing between  
 193 the various formation scenarios.

194 In all cases, remaining hydrogen and helium envelope masses of a few percent could be removed over  
 195 several Gyr by photoevaporation, given the planet's close orbit. We estimate the current mass-loss  
 196 rate to be  $0.95M_{\oplus} \text{ Gyr}^{-1}$  (see Methods), implying an envelope mass of  $\sim 4\%$  could be removed in a



197 few Gyr. As such, the question changes: where does TOI-849b’s minor envelope come from? Given  
 198 the high equilibrium temperature, we would expect to evaporate some ices to provide a secondary  
 199 enriched atmosphere containing water and other volatiles. In these circumstances, TOI-849b provides  
 200 a unique target where the composition of a primordial planetary core could be studied by observing its  
 201 atmospheric constituents, with for example the Hubble or upcoming James Webb Space Telescopes.

202 TOI-849b’s proximity to its host star, encouraging gap opening and increasing the role of pho-  
 203 toevaporation, could explain why similar objects have not yet been found. Ultimately, however  
 204 TOI-849b formed, the planet’s large mass and low gas mass fraction will provide a stringent test of  
 205 planet formation theory. TOI-849b gives us a glimpse at a core similar to those that exist at the  
 206 centres of giant planets, exposed through an unlikely combination of inhibited accretion or mass-loss.

- 
- 207 [1] Szabó, G. M. & Kiss, L. L. A Short-period Census of Sub-Jupiter Mass Exoplanets with Low Density.  
 208 *ApJL* **727**, L44 (2011). 1012.4791.
- 209 [2] Owen, J. E. & Lai, D. Photoevaporation and high-eccentricity migration created the sub-Jovian desert.  
 210 *MNRAS* **479**, 5012–5021 (2018). 1807.00012.
- 211 [3] Sato, B. *et al.* The N2K Consortium. II. A Transiting Hot Saturn around HD 149026 with a Large  
 212 Dense Core. *ApJ* **633**, 465–473 (2005). astro-ph/0507009.
- 213 [4] Jenkins, J. TESS Discovery of the First Ultra Hot Neptune, LTT9779b. In *AAS/Division for Extreme*  
 214 *Solar Systems Abstracts*, vol. 51 of *AAS/Division for Extreme Solar Systems Abstracts*, 103.07 (2019).
- 215 [5] West, R. G. *et al.* NGTS-4b: A sub-Neptune transiting in the desert. *MNRAS* **486**, 5094–5103 (2019).  
 216 1809.00678.
- 217 [6] Lee, E. J. The Boundary between Gas-rich and Gas-poor Planets. *ApJ* **878**, 36 (2019). 1904.10470.
- 218 [7] Ricker, G. R. *et al.* Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS). *Journal of Astronomical Telescopes,*  
 219 *Instruments, and Systems* **1**, 014003 (2015).
- 220 [8] Wheatley, P. J. *et al.* The Next Generation Transit Survey (NGTS). *MNRAS* **475**, 4476–4493 (2018).
- 221 [9] Brown, T. M. *et al.* Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope Network. *Publications of the Astro-*  
 222 *nomical Society of the Pacific* **125**, 1031 (2013). 1305.2437.
- 223 [10] Gaia Collaboration *et al.* Gaia Data Release 2. Summary of the contents and survey properties. *A&A*  
 224 **616**, A1 (2018). 1804.09365.

- 225 [11] Santerne, A. *et al.* PASTIS: Bayesian extrasolar planet validation - II. Constraining exoplanet blend  
 226 scenarios using spectroscopic diagnoses. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **451**, 2337–  
 227 2351 (2015).
- 228 [12] Bodenheimer, P., Stevenson, D. J., Lissauer, J. J. & D’Angelo, G. New Formation Models for the  
 229 Kepler-36 System. *ApJ* **868**, 138 (2018). 1810.07160.
- 230 [13] Dorn, C. *et al.* A generalized Bayesian inference method for constraining the interiors of super Earths  
 231 and sub-Neptunes. *A&A* **597**, A37 (2017). 1609.03908.
- 232 [14] Mizuno, H., Nakazawa, K. & Hayashi, C. Instability of a gaseous envelope surrounding a planetary  
 233 core and formation of giant planets. *Progress of Theoretical Physics* **60**, 699–710 (1978).
- 234 [15] Rafikov, R. R. Atmospheres of Protoplanetary Cores: Critical Mass for Nucleated Instability. *ApJ*  
 235 **648**, 666–682 (2006). astro-ph/0405507.
- 236 [16] Piso, A.-M. A., Youdin, A. N. & Murray-Clay, R. A. Minimum Core Masses for Giant Planet Formation  
 237 with Realistic Equations of State and Opacities. *ApJ* **800**, 82 (2015). 1412.5185.
- 238 [17] Stassun, K. G., Collins, K. A. & Gaudi, B. S. Accurate Empirical Radii and Masses of Planets and  
 239 Their Host Stars with Gaia Parallaxes. *AJ* **153**, 136 (2017). 1609.04389.
- 240 [18] Fortney, J. J., Saumon, D., Marley, M. S., Lodders, K. & Freedman, R. S. Atmosphere, Interior,  
 241 and Evolution of the Metal-rich Transiting Planet HD 149026b. *ApJ* **642**, 495–504 (2006). astro-  
 242 ph/0507422.
- 243 [19] Ikoma, M., Guillot, T., Genda, H., Tanigawa, T. & Ida, S. On the Origin of HD 149026b. *ApJ* **650**,  
 244 1150–1159 (2006). astro-ph/0607212.
- 245 [20] Delrez, L. *et al.* WASP-121 b: a hot Jupiter close to tidal disruption transiting an active F star.  
 246 *MNRAS* **458**, 4025–4043 (2016). 1506.02471.
- 247 [21] Collier Cameron, A. & Jardine, M. Hierarchical Bayesian calibration of tidal orbit decay rates among  
 248 hot Jupiters. *MNRAS* **476**, 2542–2555 (2018). 1801.10561.
- 249 [22] Hamer, J. H. & Schlaufman, K. C. Hot Jupiters are Destroyed by Tides While Their Host Stars are on  
 250 the Main Sequence. *arXiv e-prints* arXiv:1908.06998 (2019). 1908.06998.
- 251 [23] Winn, J. N. *et al.* Absence of a Metallicity Effect for Ultra-short-period Planets. *AJ* **154**, 60 (2017).  
 252 1704.00203.
- 253 [24] Iaroslavitz, E. & Podolak, M. Atmospheric mass deposition by captured planetesimals. *Icarus* **187**,  
 254 600–610 (2007).
- 255 [25] Brouwers, M. G., Vazan, A. & Ormel, C. W. How cores grow by pebble accretion. I. Direct core growth.  
 256 *A&A* **611**, A65 (2018). 1708.05392.

- 257 [26] Mordasini, C. *Planetary Population Synthesis*, 143 (2018).
- 258 [27] Crida, A., Morbidelli, A. & Masset, F. On the width and shape of gaps in protoplanetary disks. *Icarus*  
259 **181**, 587–604 (2006). astro-ph/0511082.
- 260 [28] Duffell, P. C. & MacFadyen, A. I. Gap Opening by Extremely Low-mass Planets in a Viscous Disk.  
261 *ApJ* **769**, 41 (2013). 1302.1934.
- 262 [29] TriAUD, A. H. M. J. *The Rossiter-McLaughlin Effect in Exoplanet Research*, 2 (2018).
- 263 [30] Xie, J.-W. Transit Timing Variation of Near-resonance Planetary Pairs. II. Confirmation of 30 Planets  
264 in 15 Multiple-planet Systems. *ApJS* **210**, 25 (2014). 1309.2329.
- 265 [31] Zeng, L. & Sasselov, D. A detailed model grid for solid planets from 0.1 through 100 earth masses.  
266 *Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific* **125**, 227 (2013).
- 267 [32] Nasa exoplanet archive. <https://exoplanetarchive.ipac.caltech.edu/>. Accessed: 2020-01-20.

### 268 1.1. *Figure 1 Legend*

269 **Best fitting model to the *TESS*, HARPS and NGTS data.** **a** *TESS* lightcurve with  
270 transit times marked as vertical lines. **b** Phase-folded HARPS data and best fitting model in black,  
271 with residuals below. Several models randomly drawn from the MCMC chain are shown in red. **c**  
272 Phase-folded *TESS* 30-minute cadence data in blue, binned to 0.01 in phase in orange, with models  
273 as in b and residuals below. Horizontal error bar shows the *TESS* cadence. **d** Phase-folded NGTS  
274 data binned to 1 minute (blue) and to 0.01 in phase (orange). We plot the binned NGTS data to  
275 aid visualisation but fit to the full dataset. Model draws are shown as in b, with residuals below.  
276 The cadence is negligible at this scale. LCOGT data was also used and is shown in Extended Data  
277 Figure 1. All vertical error bars show one standard deviation.

### 278 1.2. *Figure 2 Legend*

279 **Mass-radius diagram of known exoplanets from the NASA exoplanet archive.** The  
280 archive can be found at <https://exoplanetarchive.ipac.caltech.edu/> and was accessed on 20th January  
281 2020. Planets are coloured by equilibrium temperature, where the information to calculate it is  
282 available on the archive, and are grey otherwise. Planets with mass determinations better than  
283  $4\sigma$  are shown. Some planets where the source paper does not claim a mass determination were  
284 removed(30). Composition tracks(31) are shown as dashed lines and defined in the figure legend,

with an additional 5% H–He track at an irradiation level similar to TOI-849b. **a** Zoom of panel **b**.  
All error bars show one standard deviation.

### 1.3. *Figure 3 Legend*

**TOI-849b in the context of the Neptunian desert.** Known exoplanets are plotted in grey and sourced from the NASA exoplanet archive(32) as of 20th January 2020. Only planets with mass determinations better than  $4\sigma$  are plotted. All error bars show one standard deviation.

## 2. METHODS

### 2.1. *Observations and Analysis*

#### 2.1.1. *TESS*

TOI-849 was observed in *TESS* sector 3 (Sep 20 2018-Oct 18 2018), Camera 2 and CCD 3, with 30 min cadence on the Full Frame Images (FFIs). The calibrated FFIs available at MAST were produced by the *TESS* Science Processing Operations Center (SPOC)(33). The candidate is detected by the MIT Quick Look pipeline(34) with a signal to noise of 18. The candidate exhibited consistent transit depth in the multi-aperture analysis and appeared to be on target in the difference image analysis. It passed all the vetting criteria set by the *TESS* Science Office and was released as a *TESS* Object of Interest.

The aperture showing minimal scatter was found to be circular with a radius of 2.5 pixels, with the background determined on an annulus with a width of 3 pixels and an inner radius of 4 pixels. We reject outliers due to momentum dump using the quaternion time series provided by the spacecraft data. Further long time scale trends are removed using a B-spline based algorithm(35). No significant evidence of photometric activity was observed. The lightcurve was further detrended to remove residual long term trends using a modified Savitzky-Golay filter(36), whereby a sliding window is used to fit a 3-dimensional polynomial function to the data while ignoring outliers. Both flattening operations were carried out ignoring in-transit datapoints. Data before 2458383.78 BJD and after 2458405.77 BJD are masked because, during this time, the *TESS* operations team carried out several experiments on the attitude control system, causing the jitter profile to differ from normal. Data

311 points between 2458394.54 BJD to 2458397.0 BJD are masked because of scattered light. The  
 312 resulting lightcurve is shown in Figure 1.

### 313 2.1.2. *NGTS*

314 Two full transits of TOI-849 were observed on the nights UT 2019 August 08 and 2019 August 11  
 315 using the Next Generation Transit Survey(NGTS; 8) at ESOs Paranal Observatory in Chile, and  
 316 are plotted in Figure 1. The NGTS facility consists of 12 fully robotic 20cm telescopes coupled to  
 317 Andor iKon-L 936 cameras, each with an instantaneous field-of-view of 8 square degrees and a pixel  
 318 scale of 5" per pixel. On both nights, 10 NGTS telescopes were used to simultaneously observe  
 319 the transit. The photometric noise was found to be uncorrelated between the individual NGTS  
 320 telescopes, and so we can combine the light curves to achieve ultra-high precision photometry for  
 321 TOI-849. A total of 29654 images were obtained with an exposure time of 10 seconds, using the  
 322 custom NGTS filter (520 - 890 nm). The observations were all obtained at an airmass  $z < 2$  and with  
 323 photometric observing conditions. The telescope guiding was performed using the DONUTS auto-  
 324 guiding algorithm(37), which provides sub-pixel level stability of the target position on the CCD.  
 325 We do not require the use of flat fields during the image reduction, as a result of the high precision  
 326 of the auto-guiding. This reduction was performed using a custom aperture photometry pipeline, in  
 327 which the 100 best comparison stars were selected and ranked based on their proximity to the target  
 328 star in the parameters of on-sky-separation, apparent magnitude, and colour. This large number of  
 329 optimised comparison stars can be chosen because of the wide field-of-view of the NGTS telescopes,  
 330 and again improves the precision of the NGTS light curves by reducing the presence of correlated  
 331 noise.

### 332 2.1.3. *HARPS*

333 We obtained radial velocity measurements of TOI-849 with the HARPS spectrograph (R=115,000)  
 334 mounted on the 3.6m telescope at ESO's La Silla Observatory(38). Thirty three observations were  
 335 taken between 28 July 2019 and 28 December 2019 in HAM mode, as part of the NCORES large  
 336 programme (ID 1102.C-0249). An exposure time of at least 1200s was used, giving a signal-to-

337 noise ratio of  $\sim 20$  per pixel. Typically the star was observed 2-3 times per night. The data were  
 338 reduced with the offline DRS HARPS pipeline. RV measurements were derived using a weighted  
 339 cross-correlation function (CCF) method using a G2V template(39; 40). The line bisector (BIS), and  
 340 the full width half maximum (FWHM) were measured using the methods of (41). No correlation  
 341 was seen between the RVs and calculated BIS, FWHM, or CCF contrast ( $R < 0.09$  in all cases). RV  
 342 measurements are reported in Extended Data Table 4, and the RV data, photometry and best fit are  
 343 shown in Figure 1. A jitter of  $4.2 \text{ms}^{-1}$  was seen, consistent with the low photometric activity level.  
 344 BIS and FWHM are shown in Extended Data Figure 2. We investigated the CCFs for contributions  
 345 from unresolved stellar companion by removing Gaussian fits to the individual CCF profiles and  
 346 studying the residuals (Extended Data Figure 3). No evidence for additional companions is seen.  
 347 Finally we studied the RV residuals for indications of any further periodic signals and found no  
 348 significant periodicity, as shown in Extended Data Figure 3.

#### 349 2.1.4. LCOGT and PEST

350 Two full transits of TOI-849 were observed on the nights UT 2019 July 30 and 2019 August 09 in  $i'$   
 351 band using exposure times of 30 and 40 seconds, respectively. An additional night of data was taken  
 352 on UT 2019 July 14, which unfortunately missed the transit relative to the revised ephemeris from  
 353 our joint fit. Nights with transits are plotted in Extended Data Figure 1. Both observations used  
 354 the CTIO node of the Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope (LCOGT) 1 m network(9). We  
 355 used the TESS Transit Finder, which is a customised version of the Tapir software package(42),  
 356 to schedule our transit observations. The telescopes are equipped with  $4096 \times 4096$  LCO SINISTRO  
 357 cameras having an image scale of  $0''.389 \text{ pixel}^{-1}$  resulting in a  $26' \times 26'$  field of view. The images  
 358 were calibrated by the standard LCOGT BANZAI pipeline and the photometric data were extracted  
 359 using the AstroImageJ software package(43). The first full transit on July 30 was observed with the  
 360 telescope in focus and achieved a PSF FWHM of  $\sim 1''.6$ . Circular apertures with radius  $3''.1$  were used  
 361 to extract differential photometry for the target star and all stars within  $2''.5$  that are brighter than  
 362 TESS band magnitude 19. All of the neighbouring stars were excluded as possible sources of the  
 363 TESS detection, and the event was detected on target. A circular aperture with radius  $8''$  was used

for the other LCOGT observation, which was slightly defocused to a FWHM of  $\sim 4''$ . The nearest star in the GAIA DR2 catalogue is  $39''$  to the north of TOI-849, so the target star photometric apertures are uncontaminated by known nearby stars.

A full transit was observed on UT 2019 August 20 in  $R_c$  band from the Perth Exoplanet Survey Telescope (PEST) near Perth, Australia. The 0.3 m telescope is equipped with a  $1530 \times 1020$  SBIG ST-8XME camera with an image scale of  $1''.2 \text{ pixel}^{-1}$ , resulting in a  $31' \times 21'$  field of view. Systematics at the level of the shallow transit depth precluded inclusion of these data in the joint fit.

#### 2.1.5. *NaCo/VLT*

TOI-849 was imaged with NaCo on the night of 2019 August 14 in NGS mode with the Ks filter. We took 9 frames with an integration time of 17s each, and dithered between each frame. We performed a standard reduction using a custom IDL pipeline: we subtracted flats and constructed a sky background from the dithered science frames, aligned and co-added the images, then injected fake companions to determine a  $5\sigma$  detection threshold as a function of radius. We obtained a contrast of 5.6 magnitudes at  $1''$ , and no companions were detected. The contrast curve is shown in Extended Data Figure 4.

#### 2.1.6. *SOAR*

We searched for nearby sources to TOI-849 with SOAR speckle imaging (44; 45) on 12 August 2019 UT, observing in a similar visible bandpass as TESS. We detected no nearby sources within  $3''$  of TOI-849. The  $5\sigma$  detection sensitivity and the speckle auto-correlation function from the SOAR observation are plotted in Extended Data Figure 4.

#### 2.1.7. *AstraLux*

We obtained a high-spatial resolution image of TOI-849 with the AstraLux camera(46) installed at the 2.2m telescope of Calar Alto Observatory (Almera, Spain), using the lucky-imaging technique (47). We obtained 24 400 images in the SDSSz band of 20 ms exposure time, well below the coherence time. The CCD was windowed to match  $6 \times 6''$ . We used the observatory pipeline to perform basic reduction of the images and subsequent selection of the best-quality frames. This is done by

measuring their Strehl-ratio(48) and selecting only the 10% with the highest value of this parameter (thus an effective integration time of 48 s). Then, these images are aligned and combined to obtain the final high-spatial resolution image. We estimate the sensitivity curve of this high-spatial resolution image(49; 50), based on the injection of artificial stars in the image at different angular separations and position angles and measuring the retrieved stars based on the same detection algorithms used to look for real companions. No companions are detected in this image within the sensitivity limits. Both the high-resolution image and the contrast curve are shown in Extended Data Figure 4.

#### 2.1.8. *Zorro/Gemini-South*

TOI-849 was observed on 13 Sept. 2019 UT using the Zorro speckle instrument on Gemini-South. Zorro provides simultaneous speckle imaging in two bands, 562 nm and 832 nm, with output data products including a reconstructed image, and robust limits on companion detections(51). Extended Data Figure 4 shows our 562 nm result and reconstructed speckle image from which we find that TOI-849 is a single star with no companion brighter than about 5 magnitudes detected within 1.75".

#### 2.1.9. *Spectroscopic analysis and chemical abundances*

The spectroscopic analysis to derive the  $T_{eff}$ ,  $\log g$ , microturbulence and  $[Fe/H]$  and respective errors following previous work(52; 53). Equivalent widths (EWs) are measured for a list of well defined iron lines. We used the combined HARPS spectrum of TOI-849 and ARES v2 code(54; 55) to perform the EW measurements. In the spectral analysis we look for the ionization and excitation equilibrium. The process makes use of a grid of Kurucz model atmospheres(56) and the radiative transfer code MOOG(57). The resulting values are  $T_{eff} = 5329 \pm 48$ ,  $\log g = 4.28 \pm 0.09$ ,  $\xi_t = 0.82 \pm 0.08$ , and  $[Fe/H] = +0.20 \pm 0.03$ .

The same tools and models were also used to derive stellar abundances for several chemical elements. For this we used the classical curve-of-growth analysis method assuming local thermodynamic equilibrium. Although the EWs of the spectral lines were automatically measured with ARES, for the elements with only two to three lines available we performed careful visual inspection of the EW measurements. Chemical abundances were derived closely following past work(e.g. 58). The fi-



416 nal abundances derived are  $[\text{NaI}/\text{H}] = 0.30 \pm 0.16$ ,  $[\text{MgI}/\text{H}] = 0.24 \pm 0.06$ ,  $[\text{AlI}/\text{H}] = 0.30 \pm 0.06$ ,  $[\text{SiI}/\text{H}] =$   
 417  $0.24 \pm 0.08$ ,  $[\text{CaI}/\text{H}] = 0.16 \pm 0.07$ ,  $[\text{ScII}/\text{H}] = 0.23 \pm 0.09$ ,  $[\text{TiI}/\text{H}] = 0.25 \pm 0.09$ ,  $[\text{CrI}/\text{H}] = 0.23 \pm 0.07$ , and  
 418  $[\text{NiI}/\text{H}] = 0.28 \pm 0.04$ .

419 Extended Data Figure 5 shows a comparison of the abundances of TOI-849 with the ones found in  
 420 the solar neighbourhood stars(59) of similar atmospheric parameters. In terms of chemical composi-  
 421 tion TOI-849 seems to be very similar to the solar neighbourhood stars showing slight enhancement  
 422 in the iron-peak elements Cr and Ni.

#### 423 2.1.10. *Joint RV and photometric fit*

424 The HARPS RVs, the *TESS*, NGTS and LCOGT photometry and the spectral energy distribution  
 425 (SED) were jointly analysed in a Bayesian framework, using the PASTIS software(60)(11). For the  
 426 SED, we used the visible magnitudes from the American Association of Variable Star Observers Pho-  
 427 tometric All-Sky Survey (APASS) and the near-infrared magnitudes from the Two-Micron All-Sky  
 428 Survey (2MASS) and the Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (AllWISE)(61; 62; 63). The RVs were  
 429 fitted using a Keplerian orbit model and a linear drift. The light curves were modelled with the JKT  
 430 Eclipsing Binary Orbit Program(64) using an oversampling factor of 180, 12, 6, and 7 for the *TESS*  
 431 and the three LCOGT-CTIO light curves, respectively. The NGTS light curves were not oversampled  
 432 as the integration of the individual data is short with respect to the transit duration(65). Finally,  
 433 the SED was modelled with the BT-Settl library of stellar atmosphere models(66). The system pa-  
 434 rameters and associated uncertainties were derived using the Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)  
 435 method implemented in PASTIS. The stellar parameters were computed using the Dartmouth evo-  
 436 lution tracks(67) at each step of the chains, accounting for the asterodensity profiling(68). We also  
 437 used the PARSEC evolution tracks, with consistent results.

438 Regarding the priors, we used a Normal distribution with median and width from the spectral  
 439 analysis for the stellar temperature, surface gravity and iron abundance. For the systemic distance  
 440 to Earth, we used a normal prior centered on the *Gaia* Data Release 2(10) value, taking into account  
 441 the distance bias correction(69). For the orbital period and transit epoch, we used Normal priors  
 442 centered on first guess values from an independent analysis of the NGTS and *TESS* light curves

alone, to improve the convergence of the MCMCs. For the orbital inclination, we used a sine prior and for the eccentricity a truncated normal prior with width 0.083(70). For the other parameters, we used uniform priors with width large enough to not artificially decrease the uncertainties. Initial fits gave an insignificant eccentricity of  $0.036 \pm 0.027$  and so we fixed eccentricity to zero for final fitting. A marginally significant linear drift was included for the HARPS data, and did not affect the results. **Further testing with a quadratic drift model showed insignificant changes in the fit parameters and so was dropped.**

We ran 20 MCMCs with  $2 \times 10^5$  iterations. We checked the convergence with a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test(60)(11), removed the burn-in phase and merged the remaining chains. The limb darkening coefficients were computed using previously computed stellar parameters and tables(71). Finally, the physical parameters and associated uncertainties were derived from samples from the merged chain. The results for the Dartmouth and PARSEC evolution tracks can be seen in Extended Data Tables 1 and 2. The fit transit depth implies a joint signal-to-noise ratio for the transit of 386(60).

As an independent check on the derived stellar parameters, we performed an analysis of the broad-band SED together with the *Gaia* parallax in order to determine an empirical measurement of the stellar radius(17),(72; 73). We pulled the  $B_T V_T$  magnitudes from *Tycho-2*, the  $BVgri$  magnitudes from APASS, the  $JHK_S$  magnitudes from *2MASS*, the W1–W4 magnitudes from *WISE*, and the  $G$  magnitude from *Gaia*. Together, the available photometry spans the full stellar SED over the wavelength range 0.4–22  $\mu\text{m}$ . We also checked the *GALEX* NUV flux, which was not used in the fit as it suggests a modest level of chromospheric activity.

We performed the independent fit using the Kurucz stellar atmosphere models, with the priors on effective temperature ( $T_{\text{eff}}$ ), surface gravity ( $\log g$ ), and metallicity ( $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ ) from the spectroscopic values. The remaining free parameter is the extinction ( $A_V$ ), which we limited to the maximum line-of-sight extinction from known dust maps(74). The resulting fit has a reduced  $\chi^2$  of 4.5, and a best fit extinction of  $A_V = 0.04 \pm 0.03$ . Integrating the (unextincted) model SED gives the bolometric flux at Earth of  $F_{\text{bol}} = 3.713 \pm 0.086 \times 10^{-10} \text{ erg s cm}^{-2}$ . Taking the  $F_{\text{bol}}$  and  $T_{\text{eff}}$  together with the *Gaia* parallax, adjusted by +0.08 mas to account for a previously reported systematic offset(75),

470 gives the stellar radius as  $R = 0.896 \pm 0.020 R_{\odot}$ . Finally, estimating the stellar mass from known  
 471 empirical relations(76), assuming solar metallicity, gives  $M = 1.01 \pm 0.08 M_{\odot}$ , which with the radius  
 472 gives the mean stellar density  $\rho = 1.99 \pm 0.19 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ . These values are consistent with the stellar  
 473 parameters found as part of the PASTIS MCMC chain, and so we adopt the PASTIS values for our  
 474 results.

## 475 2.2. Interpretation and Discussion

### 476 2.2.1. Interior structure characterisation

477 Given the mass and radius of TOI-849b it is clear that the planet does not represent a larger version  
 478 of Neptune. This is demonstrated in Figure 2 which shows the M-R relation for a pure-water curve  
 479 and a planet with 95% water and 5% H–He atmosphere corresponding to a stellar irradiation of  
 480  $F/F_{\oplus} = 3000$  (TOI-849b). TOI-849b sits on the pure-water curve and well below the 5% strongly  
 481 irradiated curve, suggesting that the H–He mass fraction is of the order of only a few percent, if not  
 482 negligible. Figure 3 also shows that TOI-849b is relatively isolated in parameter space, suggesting  
 483 that it is somewhat unique and could have been subjected to an unusually aggressive removal of the  
 484 primordial H–He envelope.

485 We explore layered structure models containing variable fractions of H–He envelope. Typical avail-  
 486 able models are not suited to this planet due to the high pressures in the interior, requiring exotic  
 487 equations of state. Further, for planets this massive the interior layers are likely not distinct as for  
 488 smaller planets, with composition gradients more likely(12). Rather than build a full model of the  
 489 interior, which would not be valid for the reasons stated, we consider some illuminating limiting  
 490 cases.

491 We model the planetary interior of TOI-849b assuming a pure iron core, a silicate mantle, a pure  
 492 water layer, and a H–He atmosphere. We build a structure model based on previous work(13)  
 493 except for the iron core, for which we use an updated EOS(77). For the silicate-mantle, equilibrium  
 494 mineralogy and density are computed as a function of pressure, temperature, and bulk composition  
 495 by minimizing Gibbs free energy(e.g. 78). For the water we use a quotidian equation of state(79)

for low pressures and a previously tabulated EoS(80) for pressures above 44.3 GPa. For H–He we assume a proto-solar composition(81). We then solve the standard structure equations.

We then estimate the possible range of H–He mass fraction in TOI-849b which fits the derived mass and radius. In order to estimate the maximum possible mass of an H–He envelope, we assume a planet without water. The core-to-mantle fraction is set by the stellar abundance [Fe/Si] of the host star(82). The minimum H–He mass fraction is estimated by assuming a large fraction of water of 70% by mass, which corresponds to a water-rich planet. We search for the maximum and minimum H–He mass fractions for a grid of planetary masses and radii covering the observed values and their  $2\text{-}\sigma$  error range. It is found that that H–He mass fraction is at minimum  $2.9_{-1.0}^{+0.8}\%$  and at maximum  $3.9_{-0.9}^{+0.8}\%$ , suggesting that the heavy-element mass is above  $38M_{\oplus}$ . It should be noted that our models assume a pure H–He atmosphere, while in reality the atmosphere is expected to include heavier elements as inferred by recent formation models(e.g. 83). This is particularly true for planets this massive where the interior layers are likely not distinct as for smaller planets. The existence of heavy elements in the H–He atmosphere would lead to compression, and can therefore increase the planetary H–He mass fraction. However, for the case of TOI-849b, the difference is expected to be very moderate since the planet mass is clearly dominated by heavy-elements. Previous work calculated the effect of varying atmospheric water content on planetary radii for fixed masses and H–He gas mass fractions(84) . Applying that model to TOI-849b showed that the inferred planet radius is only affected on the few percent level for atmospheric water content ranging from 0 to 70%. As such we expect the plausible increase in H–He to be small even for high levels of volatile enrichment in the planetary envelope. We can therefore conclude that the mass fraction of H–He is at most a few percent.

### 2.2.2. Photoevaporation Rate

We explored the X-ray and EUV irradiation of the planet, wavelengths most relevant for atmospheric mass loss(e.g. 85). Archival X-ray data exists for the system only from the *ROSAT* All-Sky Survey, where the nearest detected source is an arcminute away, too far to be associated with TOI-849. Instead, we applied known empirical relations linking X-ray emission with age(86), estimating  $L_X/L_{\text{bol}} = 7.5 \times 10^{-7}$  at the current age. This figure implies an X-ray flux at Earth of

3.0 × 10<sup>-16</sup> erg s<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>, much too faint to be visible with *XMM-Newton* or *Chandra*. We extrapolated our X-ray estimate to the unobservable EUV band using previously derived relations(87; 88).

To estimate mass loss rates, we applied both the energy-limited approach(89; 90), and a method based on interpolating and approximating to hydrodynamical simulations(91; 92). The latter yields a loss rate of 1.8 × 10<sup>11</sup> g s<sup>-1</sup>, more than an order of magnitude larger than the former when assuming a canonical efficiency of 15%. Integrating over the planet’s XUV history, and starting at a Jupiter mass and radius, we estimate total lifetime losses of 4.0% and 0.81% of the planet’s mass using the energy-limited and Kubyshkina methods, respectively. While these calculations have the limitation of assuming a constant radius across the lifetime, these losses are not enough to evolve the planet to one slightly smaller than Neptune, and so we can be sure the planet did not start as a Jupiter-like giant if its evolution has been solely through photoevaporation.

An intermediate starting point is the planet HD149026b(3), a giant planet with mass 121 ± 19M<sub>⊕</sub> and radius 8.3 ± 0.2R<sub>⊕</sub>(17). For this planet, we estimate total lifetime losses of 11.42% and 100% of the planet’s mass using the energy-limited and Kubyshkina methods, respectively. These are likely to be significant overestimates, due to the constant radius assumption which clearly becomes flawed after significant mass loss. As such finding the limits of photoevaporation in creating a planet like TOI-849b requires detailed models beyond the scope of this paper.

### 2.2.3. *Co-orbital bodies and Exomoons*

The anomalously large density found for the TOI-849b planet opens the window to explore alternative scenarios for the origin of this signal. One of the most relevant ones is the co-orbital case. Although these configurations have not yet been confirmed in any extrasolar systems despite different efforts (e.g. 93; 94; 95; 96; 97) some candidates have arisen from different studies such as Kepler-91(98) or the recent TOI-178(99). Indeed, an additional planet in the system with the same orbital period but not transiting due to a mutual inclination between their orbits (or simply small enough to prevent its detection by TESS) could explain the large mass measured for such a small planet radius.

We here explore the scenario in which two planetary-mass bodies share the same orbital period in 1:1 mean motion resonance configuration. In such a case, the mass we measured in the joint fit

would be distributed in two planetary-mass objects. Such configurations are allowed by dynamical stability studies, which demonstrate that the only condition for stability of co-orbital configurations is that the total mass of the planet plus its co-orbital companion must be smaller than 3.8% of the mass of the star (e.g. 100). Regardless of the formation process, and given the mass of the star and the estimated mass of TOI-849b, the co-orbital scenario would be stable for any planetary mass of the accompanying body.

To test this hypothesis we apply a recently derived procedure analysing the radial velocity of the star with a new radial velocity equation including two keplerian components (101; 96; 97). The new equation can be simplified so that only one extra parameter,  $\alpha$ , is included (101). This parameter depends on the trojan-to-planet mass ratio so that if positive (negative) a trojan candidate might be in  $L_5$  ( $L_4$ ). For this analysis we first assume a circular orbit, thus having five parameters, namely the radial velocity semi-amplitude  $K_{\text{coorb}}$ , the orbital period, the main-planet time of conjunction  $T_{0,b}$ , the systemic velocity  $\gamma$  and the alpha parameter  $\alpha$ . We use Gaussian priors on the orbital period and time of conjunction with the parameters derived from the 1-planet analysis (see Extended Data Table 1) and uniform priors for the alpha parameter  $\mathcal{U}(-1, 1)$  and systemic velocity  $\mathcal{U}(9.1, 9.5)$  km/s. We also include a jitter term and a slope.

We use `emcee` (102) with 50 walkers and 5 000 steps per walker to explore the parameter space. We use the last half of each chain to compute the final posterior distributions. For the key parameter  $\alpha$ , we obtain  $\alpha = -0.092_{-0.064}^{+0.060}$ . This value is  $1.5\sigma$  away from zero and hence compatible with it within a 95% confidence level. The posterior distribution allows us to discard co-orbitals more massive than  $8M_{\oplus}$  at the 95% confidence level assuming a mean resonant angle  $\zeta$ , where  $\zeta = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2$  and  $\lambda_i$  is the mean longitude of each of the two co-orbitals, of  $60^\circ$ . In practice, this assumes the trojan planet would have been located exactly at the Lagrangian point during the timespan of the observations. In such a case the transiting planet would have a mass of  $31M_{\oplus}$ , still uniquely high for its radius. **A particular arrangement of trojan planets whereby equal mass trojans were present in both the L4 and L5 Lagrangian points could in principle mimic the observed HARPS**

576 data. Such a scenario is observationally indistinguishable from the single planet model  
 577 while being significantly more complex, and we reject it on that basis.

578 A related hypothesis is that of a ‘double planet’ or moon with significant mass. In such  
 579 a scenario, there is no distinguishable effect on the RVs and hence the apparent large  
 580 mass would be split over additional bodies. We estimate the minimum stable satellite  
 581 density by considering where the Hill radius and Roche limit of the planet overlap for  
 582 TOI-849b(103). Equation 5 of that work gives a minimum stable satellite density of  
 583  $38gcm^{-3}$ , much denser than pure iron. As such we conclude that physically realistic  
 584 exomoons are unstable around TOI-849b and this hypothesis can be discarded.

#### 585 2.2.4. Planet Population Synthesis Models

586 We explored possible formation channels for such dense Neptune sized planets via the Bern Gener-  
 587 ation 3 Model of Planetary Formation and Evolution, which is an update on the currently published  
 588 version(26). The main changes in the model are reflected in the following description. The model  
 589 self-consistently evolves a one-dimensional gas disc, the dynamical state of the solids, the accretion  
 590 of solids and gas by the protoplanets, their interiors, and their dynamical evolution by gravitational  
 591 interactions and gas-driven migration.

592 For the gas disc, the model computes a 1-D radial profile that is evolving viscously(104), with  
 593 the macroscopic viscosity given by the standard  $\alpha$  parametrisation(105). The vertical structure  
 594 is now computed using a vertically-integrated approach(106) which includes the effect of stellar  
 595 irradiation(107). Stellar parameters are retrieve from known evolution tracks(108). We include  
 596 additional sink terms for the accretion by the planets as well as both internal(109) and external(110)  
 597 photo-evaporation.

598 The model assumes planetesimals accrete in the oligarchic regime (111; 112; 113), and their cap-  
 599 ture cross-section is computed consistently with the envelope structure(114). The internal structure  
 600 equations(115) are solved for the gas envelope. In the initial (or *attached*) phase, the envelope is  
 601 in equilibrium with the surrounding disc, and the internal structure is used to determine the gas  
 602 mass. Gas accretion is governed by the ability of the planet to radiate away the gravitational energy

603 released from the accretion of both solids and gas(116; 117). When the accretion rate exceeds the  
 604 supply from the disc, the envelope is no longer in equilibrium with the disc and contracts(118). In  
 605 this *detached* phase, the internal structure is used to retrieve the planet’s radius and luminosity.

606 Dynamical interactions between the planets are simulated by means of the `mercury`  $N$ -body  
 607 integrator(119). After a giant impact, an additional luminosity is included(120) to determine  
 608 whether the gas envelope is ejected. Gas-driven type I migration is computed in line with past  
 609 work(121), accounting for how local thermodynamic effects in the disc(122) and planet eccentricities  
 610 and inclinations(123) affect the corotation torques. Type II migration and the switch between the  
 611 two migration regimes are computed in line with past work(124). Torques and damping are included  
 612 in the  $N$ -body by means of additional forces.

613 The formation stage lasts for 20 Myr. The model then transitions into the evolution stage, where  
 614 the planets are followed individually up to 10 Gyr. This stage includes thermodynamical evolution of  
 615 the envelope, atmospheric escape (125; 126) and tidal migration(127) with a fixed stellar dissipation  
 616 parameter  $Q_{\star} = 10^6$ .

617 To obtain a synthetic population, we update the previously published procedure(128). We use  
 618 the literature disc mass distribution(129), and the characteristic radius, which determines the radial  
 619 distribution of the gas, is obtained following a known relationship(130). The location of the inner  
 620 edge of the disc has a log-normal distribution in period with a mean of 4.7 d(131),. The dust-to-gas  
 621 ratio is obtained from the observed stellar [Fe/H](128), but using the primordial solar metallicity as a  
 622 reference(132). The initial solids surface density profile has a steeper slope than the gas(133), which  
 623 leads to a higher concentration in the inner region. In each disk, 20 lunar-mass ( $10^{-2} M_{\oplus}$ ) planetary  
 624 embryos are emplaced at the beginning. Their initial positions are randomly selected between the  
 625 inner edge of the disc up to 40 AU, with a uniform probability in the logarithm of the semi-major  
 626 axis.

627 In those models, which were run before the discovery of TOI-849b, we found three planets that  
 628 exhibit similar mass, radius and eccentricity to TOI-849b, out of a total sample of 1000. These  
 629 planets have masses between 20 and  $50M_{\oplus}$  and have an ice content of 20-30% by mass, but no H/He.



630 They started as embryos outside the ice line, and migrated steadily to a position close to the inner  
 631 edge of the disc. The removal of the primordial H/He is due to one or two giant impacts that take  
 632 place at the end of the migration, which means that the planets are unable to accrete a second H/He  
 633 envelope. For one of the three planets only a single impact is seen, in the others two impacts occur.  
 634 In all cases only a single impact is needed to remove the envelope. To place this in context, 70% of  
 635 close-in Neptunes in the simulations, defined as semi-major axis < 0.04AU, had at least one impact  
 636 with a body of mass  $> 1M_{\oplus}$  during their formation. As such, impacts are not particularly rare, but  
 637 the timing of the impact at the end of the migration phase is what prevents reaccretion and leads to  
 638 a permanently lost envelope.

639 Due the high equilibrium temperature, it is likely that the remaining ices evaporate to form a  
 640 secondary atmosphere consisting of water and possibly other volatiles like CO and CO<sub>2</sub>. Such an  
 641 envelope leads to radii comparable to the discovered planet. From the modelling point of view, the  
 642 population synthesis models thus prefer planets whose small envelopes consist entirely of ices. The  
 643 evolution tracks of the four considered model planets are shown in Extended Data Figure 6.

644 Although no similar model planets to TOI-849b were found from other formation pathways, this  
 645 should not be taken as evidence against other hypotheses such as gap opening limiting the accretion,  
 646 or tidal disruption. The Bern models do not include gap opening in the disk as a limiting factor  
 647 in gas accretion, and use simplified assumptions for tidal interactions(134) that do not include high  
 648 eccentricity migration.

#### 649 2.2.5. *Tidally induced thermalisation events*

650 The high bulk density of TOI-849b (5.5 g/cm<sup>3</sup>) relative to Neptune (1.6 g/cm<sup>3</sup>) suggests that the  
 651 planet (with a radius equal to 90% of Neptune's) might currently represent the core of a previously  
 652 giant planet. For this scenario to be viable, the planet needed to originate as a gas giant and have  
 653 expelled mass, possibly during orbit shrinkage and circularization. This evolutionary pathway may  
 654 occur as a result of chaotic tides(135; 136; 137), where the planet's internal f-modes were excited  
 655 after the planet was gravitationally scattered onto a highly eccentric orbit. Energy build up in the  
 656 modes could have then led to thermalisation events, potentially ejecting atmospheric layers(138; 139).

657 After the resulting core left the chaotic regime, subsequent orbital evolution over the  $\sim 9$  Gyr main-  
 658 sequence lifetime of the parent star may have proceeded with weakly dissipative equilibrium tides,  
 659 leading to the current orbit. In this scenario, the planet may have expelled 1-2 orders of magnitude  
 660 more mass than its current value.

661 Accumulation of the internal mode energy leads to thermalisation events, which subsequently de-  
 662 posits energy into the planet’s interior and resets the mode amplitude. Possible results of the ther-  
 663 malisation events include inflation, mass ejection or both; TOI-849b could have experienced these  
 664 events and still retained some or all of its atmosphere. Although the trigger for and consequences  
 665 of these events remains largely unknown, previous work has assumed these events occur when the  
 666 accumulated mode energy equals 10% of the planet’s binding energy(138)

$$E_{\text{bind}} \approx \frac{GM_{\text{p}}^2}{R_{\text{p}}}. \quad (1)$$

667 That work also demonstrated that the resulting changes in orbital evolution due to the thermalisation  
 668 events is largely independent of this choice of 10%. With this choice, it has been illustrated that the  
 669 number of thermalisation events which a planet experiences is positively correlated with increasing  
 670 puffiness of the planet and decreasing orbital pericentre(139). They showed that even a dense gas  
 671 giant with a pericentre of about 1.5 Solar radii would experience at least one thermalisation event,  
 672 albeit with a smaller mass central star. TOI-849b, which currently resides at a distance of about 3  
 673 Solar radii, previously would have harboured a pericentre that is just half of that value if angular  
 674 momentum was conserved as its eccentricity decreased from almost unity to zero, under the high-  
 675 eccentricity circularisation scenario.

#### 676 2.2.6. *Atmospheric follow-up observations*

677 Future observations of TOI-849b may attempt to identify its atmospheric composition. TOI-849b  
 678 represents a new class of dense, high mass planet whose atmosphere will provide a counterpoint to  
 679 other planets of different type, as well as potentially allowing for the characterisation of a non-H<sub>2</sub>  
 680 rich atmosphere. Given the high equilibrium temperature of the planet and hence potential for

681 evaporation of volatiles to form a secondary atmosphere, such observations may be able to detect  
682 core material in the atmosphere, and regardless will help place TOI-849b in context against other  
683 Neptune sized planets, other planets with or without high irradiation, the few planets inside the  
684 Neptunian desert and the bulk composition of the star. Such comparisons are the goal of ESA's Ariel  
685 mission(140), although the magnitude of TOI-849 will arguably require next generation telescopes  
686 for atmospheric observations such as JWST or the European Extremely Large Telescope.

- 
- 687 [33] Jenkins, J. M. *et al.* The TESS science processing operations center. In *Proc. SPIE*, vol. 9913 of *Society*  
688 *of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, 99133E (2016).
- 689 [34] Huang, X. *et al.* A Quick look into the first discoveries of TESS. In *American Astronomical Society*  
690 *Meeting Abstracts #233*, vol. 233 of *American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts*, 209.08 (2019).
- 691 [35] Vanderburg, A. & Johnson, J. A. A Technique for Extracting Highly Precise Photometry for the  
692 Two-Wheeled Kepler Mission. *PASP* **126**, 948 (2014). 1408.3853.
- 693 [36] Armstrong, D. J. *et al.* K2 Variable Catalogue: Variable stars and eclipsing binaries in K2 campaigns  
694 1 and 0. *A&A* **579**, A19 (2015). 1502.04004.
- 695 [37] McCormac, J. *et al.* DONUTS: A Science Frame Autoguiding Algorithm with Sub-Pixel Precision,  
696 Capable of Guiding on Defocused Stars. *Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific* **125**,  
697 548 (2013). 1304.2405.
- 698 [38] Mayor, M. *et al.* Setting New Standards with HARPS. *The Messenger* **114**, 20–24 (2003).
- 699 [39] Baranne, A. *et al.* ELODIE: A spectrograph for accurate radial velocity measurements. *A&AS* **119**,  
700 373–390 (1996).
- 701 [40] Pepe, F. *et al.* HARPS: ESO's coming planet searcher. Chasing exoplanets with the La Silla 3.6-m  
702 telescope. *The Messenger* **110**, 9–14 (2002).
- 703 [41] Boisse, I. *et al.* Disentangling between stellar activity and planetary signals. *A&A* **528**, A4 (2011).
- 704 [42] Jensen, E. Tapir: A web interface for transit/eclipse observability. *Astrophysics Source Code Library*  
705 (2013). 1306.007.
- 706 [43] Collins, K. A., Kielkopf, J. F., Stassun, K. G. & Hessman, F. V. AstroImageJ: Image Processing and  
707 Photometric Extraction for Ultra-precise Astronomical Light Curves. *AJ* **153**, 77 (2017). 1601.02622.
- 708 [44] Tokovinin, A. Ten Years of Speckle Interferometry at SOAR. *PASP* **130**, 035002 (2018). 1801.04772.

- 709 [45] Ziegler, C. *et al.* SOAR TESS Survey. I: Sculpting of TESS planetary systems by stellar companions.  
710 *arXiv e-prints* arXiv:1908.10871 (2019). 1908.10871.
- 711 [46] Hormuth, F., Brandner, W., Hippler, S. & Henning, T. AstraLux - the Calar Alto 2.2-m telescope Lucky  
712 Imaging Camera. In *Journal of Physics Conference Series*, vol. 131 of *Journal of Physics Conference*  
713 *Series*, 012051 (2008). 0807.0504.
- 714 [47] Fried, D. L. Probability of getting a lucky short-exposure image through turbulence. *Journal of the*  
715 *Optical Society of America (1917-1983)* **68**, 1651 (1978).
- 716 [48] Strehl, K. Über die Bildschärfe der Fernrohre. *Astronomische Nachrichten* **158**, 89 (1902).
- 717 [49] Lillo-Box, J., Barrado, D. & Bouy, H. Multiplicity in transiting planet-host stars. A lucky imaging  
718 study of Kepler candidates. *A&A* **546**, A10 (2012). 1208.0242.
- 719 [50] Lillo-Box, J., Barrado, D. & Bouy, H. High-resolution imaging of Kepler planet host candidates. A  
720 comprehensive comparison of different techniques. *A&A* **566**, A103 (2014). 1405.3120.
- 721 [51] Howell, S. B., Everett, M. E., Sherry, W., Horch, E. & Ciardi, D. R. Speckle Camera Observations for  
722 the NASA Kepler Mission Follow-up Program. *AJ* **142**, 19 (2011).
- 723 [52] Sousa, S. G. *et al.* A new procedure for defining a homogenous line-list for solar-type stars. *A&A* **561**,  
724 A21 (2014). 1311.7169.
- 725 [53] Santos, N. C. *et al.* SWEET-Cat: A catalogue of parameters for Stars With ExoplanETs. I. New  
726 atmospheric parameters and masses for 48 stars with planets. *A&A* **556**, A150 (2013). 1307.0354.
- 727 [54] Sousa, S. G., Santos, N. C., Israelian, G., Mayor, M. & Monteiro, M. J. P. F. G. A new code for  
728 automatic determination of equivalent widths: Automatic Routine for line Equivalent widths in stellar  
729 Spectra (ARES). *A&A* **469**, 783–791 (2007). astro-ph/0703696.
- 730 [55] Sousa, S. G., Santos, N. C., Adibekyan, V., Delgado-Mena, E. & Israelian, G. ARES v2: new features  
731 and improved performance. *A&A* **577**, A67 (2015). 1504.02725.
- 732 [56] Kurucz, R. L. *SYNTHE spectrum synthesis programs and line data* (1993).
- 733 [57] Sneden, C. A. *Carbon and Nitrogen Abundances in Metal-Poor Stars*. Ph.D. thesis, THE UNIVERSITY  
734 OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN. (1973).
- 735 [58] Adibekyan, V. Z. *et al.* Chemical abundances and kinematics of 257 G-, K-type field giants. Setting  
736 a base for further analysis of giant-planet properties orbiting evolved stars. *MNRAS* **450**, 1900–1915  
737 (2015). 1503.08346.
- 738 [59] Adibekyan, V. Z. *et al.* Chemical abundances of 1111 FGK stars from the HARPS GTO planet search  
739 program. Galactic stellar populations and planets. *A&A* **545**, A32 (2012). 1207.2388.

- 740 [60] Díaz, R. F. *et al.* PASTIS: Bayesian extrasolar planet validation - I. General framework, models, and  
741 performance. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **441**, 983–1004 (2014).
- 742 [61] Henden, A. A., Levine, S., Terrell, D. & Welch, D. L. APASS - The Latest Data Release. In *American*  
743 *Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts #225*, vol. 225 of *American Astronomical Society Meeting*  
744 *Abstracts*, 336.16 (2015).
- 745 [62] Munari, U. *et al.* APASS Landolt-Sloan BVgri Photometry of RAVE Stars. I. Data, Effective Temper-  
746 atures, and Reddenings. *AJ* **148**, 81 (2014). 1408.5476.
- 747 [63] Cutri, R. M. & *et al.* VizieR Online Data Catalog: AllWISE Data Release (Cutri+ 2013). *VizieR*  
748 *Online Data Catalog* **2328** (2014).
- 749 [64] Southworth, J. Homogeneous studies of transiting extrasolar planets - I. Light-curve analyses. *MNRAS*  
750 **386**, 1644–1666 (2008). 0802.3764.
- 751 [65] Kipping, D. M. Binning is sinning: morphological light-curve distortions due to finite integration time.  
752 *MNRAS* **408**, 1758–1769 (2010). 1004.3741.
- 753 [66] Allard, F., Homeier, D. & Freytag, B. Models of very-low-mass stars, brown dwarfs and exoplanets.  
754 *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series A* **370**, 2765–2777 (2012). 1112.3591.
- 755 [67] Dotter, A. *et al.* The Dartmouth Stellar Evolution Database. *ApJS* **178**, 89–101 (2008). 0804.4473.
- 756 [68] Kipping, D. M. Characterizing distant worlds with asterodensity profiling. *MNRAS* **440**, 2164–2184  
757 (2014). 1311.1170.
- 758 [69] Schönrich, R., McMillan, P. & Eyer, L. Distances and parallax bias in Gaia DR2. *MNRAS* **487**,  
759 3568–3580 (2019). 1902.02355.
- 760 [70] Van Eylen, V. *et al.* The Orbital Eccentricity of Small Planet Systems. *AJ* **157**, 61 (2019). 1807.00549.
- 761 [71] Claret, A. & Bloemen, S. Gravity and limb-darkening coefficients for the Kepler, CoRoT, Spitzer, uvby,  
762 UBVRIJHK, and Sloan photometric systems. *A&A* **529**, A75 (2011).
- 763 [72] Stassun, K. G. & Torres, G. Eclipsing Binaries as Benchmarks for Trigonometric Parallaxes in the Gaia  
764 Era. *AJ* **152**, 180 (2016). 1609.02579.
- 765 [73] Stassun, K. G., Corsaro, E., Pepper, J. A. & Gaudi, B. S. Empirical Accurate Masses and Radii of  
766 Single Stars with TESS and Gaia. *AJ* **155**, 22 (2018). 1710.01460.
- 767 [74] Schlegel, D. J., Finkbeiner, D. P. & Davis, M. Maps of Dust Infrared Emission for Use in Estimation  
768 of Reddening and Cosmic Microwave Background Radiation Foregrounds. *ApJ* **500**, 525–553 (1998).  
769 astro-ph/9710327.
- 770 [75] Stassun, K. G. & Torres, G. Evidence for a Systematic Offset of  $-80 \mu\text{as}$  in the Gaia DR2 Parallaxes.  
771 *ApJ* **862**, 61 (2018). 1805.03526.

- 772 [76] Torres, G., Andersen, J. & Giménez, A. Accurate masses and radii of normal stars: modern results  
773 and applications. *A&A Rv* **18**, 67–126 (2010). 0908.2624.
- 774 [77] Hakim, K. *et al.* A new ab initio equation of state of hcp-Fe and its implication on the interior structure  
775 and mass-radius relations of rocky super-Earths. *Icarus* **313**, 61–78 (2018). 1805.10530.
- 776 [78] Connolly, J. A. D. The geodynamic equation of state: What and how. *Geochemistry, Geophysics,*  
777 *Geosystems* **10**, Q10014 (2009).
- 778 [79] Vazan, A., Kovetz, A., Podolak, M. & Helled, R. The effect of composition on the evolution of giant  
779 and intermediate-mass planets. *MNRAS* **434**, 3283–3292 (2013). 1307.2033.
- 780 [80] Seager, S., Kuchner, M., Hier-Majumder, C. A. & Militzer, B. Mass-Radius Relationships for Solid  
781 Exoplanets. *ApJ* **669**, 1279–1297 (2007). 0707.2895.
- 782 [81] Saumon, D., Chabrier, G. & van Horn, H. M. An Equation of State for Low-Mass Stars and Giant  
783 Planets. *ApJS* **99**, 713 (1995).
- 784 [82] Dorn, C. *et al.* Can we constrain the interior structure of rocky exoplanets from mass and radius  
785 measurements? *A&A* **577**, A83 (2015). 1502.03605.
- 786 [83] Lozovsky, M., Helled, R., Rosenberg, E. D. & Bodenheimer, P. Jupiters Formation and Its Primordial  
787 Internal Structure. *ApJ* **836**, 227 (2017). 1701.01719.
- 788 [84] Lozovsky, M., Helled, R., Dorn, C. & Venturini, J. Threshold Radii of Volatile-rich Planets. *ApJ* **866**,  
789 49 (2018). 1808.09872.
- 790 [85] Lammer, H. *et al.* Atmospheric Loss of Exoplanets Resulting from Stellar X-Ray and Extreme-  
791 Ultraviolet Heating. *ApJL* **598**, L121–L124 (2003).
- 792 [86] Jackson, A. P., Davis, T. A. & Wheatley, P. J. The coronal X-ray-age relation and its implications for  
793 the evaporation of exoplanets. *MNRAS* **422**, 2024–2043 (2012). 1111.0031.
- 794 [87] King, G. W. *et al.* The XUV environments of exoplanets from Jupiter-size to super-Earth. *MNRAS*  
795 **478**, 1193–1208 (2018). 1804.11124.
- 796 [88] Chadney, J. M., Galand, M., Unruh, Y. C., Koskinen, T. T. & Sanz-Forcada, J. XUV-driven mass loss  
797 from extrasolar giant planets orbiting active stars. *Icarus* **250**, 357–367 (2015). 1412.3380.
- 798 [89] Watson, A. J., Donahue, T. M. & Walker, J. C. G. The dynamics of a rapidly escaping atmosphere:  
799 Applications to the evolution of Earth and Venus. *Icarus* **48**, 150–166 (1981).
- 800 [90] Erkaev, N. V. *et al.* Roche lobe effects on the atmospheric loss from “Hot Jupiters”. *A&A* **472**, 329–334  
801 (2007). astro-ph/0612729.
- 802 [91] Kubyshkina, D. *et al.* Grid of upper atmosphere models for 1-40  $M_{\oplus}$  planets: application to CoRoT-7  
803 b and HD 219134 b,c. *A&A* **619**, A151 (2018). 1809.06645.

- 804 [92] Kubyshkina, D. *et al.* Overcoming the Limitations of the Energy-limited Approximation for Planet  
805 Atmospheric Escape. *ApJL* **866**, L18 (2018). 1810.06920.
- 806 [93] Madhusudhan, N. & Winn, J. N. Empirical Constraints on Trojan Companions and Orbital Eccentric-  
807 ities in 25 Transiting Exoplanetary Systems. *ApJ* **693**, 784–793 (2009). 0811.1996.
- 808 [94] Ford, E. B. & Gaudi, B. S. Observational Constraints on Trojans of Transiting Extrasolar Planets.  
809 *The Astrophysical Journal* **652**, L137–L140 (2006).
- 810 [95] Janson. A Systematic Search for Trojan Planets in the Kepler Data. *ApJ* **774**, 156 (2013). 1307.7161.
- 811 [96] Lillo-Box, J. *et al.* The TROY project: Searching for co-orbital bodies to known planets. I. Project  
812 goals and first results from archival radial velocity. *A&A* **609**, A96 (2018). 1710.01138.
- 813 [97] Lillo-Box, J. *et al.* The TROY project. II. Multi-technique constraints on exotrojans in nine planetary  
814 systems. *A&A* **618**, A42 (2018). 1807.00773.
- 815 [98] Lillo-Box, J. *et al.* Kepler-91b: a planet at the end of its life. Planet and giant host star properties via  
816 light-curve variations. *A&A* **562**, A109 (2014). 1312.3943.
- 817 [99] Leleu, A. *et al.* Co-orbital exoplanets from close period candidates: The TOI-178 case. *arXiv e-prints*  
818 (2019). 1901.07250.
- 819 [100] Laughlin, G. & Chambers, J. E. Extrasolar Trojans: The Viability and Detectability of Planets in the  
820 1:1 Resonance. *Astronomical Journal* **124**, 592–600 (2002). astro-ph/0204091.
- 821 [101] Leleu, A., Robutel, P., Correia, A. C. M. & Lillo-Box, J. Detection of co-orbital planets by combining  
822 transit and radial-velocity measurements. *A&A* **599**, L7 (2017). 1702.08775.
- 823 [102] Foreman-Mackey, D., Hogg, D. W., Lang, D. & Goodman, J. emcee: The MCMC Hammer. *Publica-*  
824 *tions of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific* **125**, 306–312 (2013).
- 825 [103] Kane, S. R. Worlds without Moons: Exomoon Constraints for Compact Planetary Systems. *ApJL*  
826 **839**, L19 (2017). 1704.01688.
- 827 [104] Lynden-Bell, D. & Pringle, J. E. The evolution of viscous discs and the origin of the nebular variables.  
828 *MNRAS* **168**, 603–637 (1974).
- 829 [105] Shakura, N. I. & Sunyaev, R. A. Reprint of 1973A&A....24..337S. Black holes in binary systems.  
830 Observational appearance. *A&A* **500**, 33–51 (1973).
- 831 [106] Nakamoto, T. & Nakagawa, Y. Formation, Early Evolution, and Gravitational Stability of Protoplan-  
832 etary Disks. *ApJ* **421**, 640 (1994).
- 833 [107] Hueso, R. & Guillot, T. Evolution of protoplanetary disks: constraints from DM Tauri and GM  
834 Aurigae. *A&A* **442**, 703–725 (2005). astro-ph/0506496.

- 835 [108] Baraffe, I., Homeier, D., Allard, F. & Chabrier, G. New evolutionary models for pre-main sequence and  
836 main sequence low-mass stars down to the hydrogen-burning limit. *A&A* **577**, A42 (2015). 1503.04107.
- 837 [109] Clarke, C. J., Gendrin, A. & Sotomayor, M. The dispersal of circumstellar discs: the role of the  
838 ultraviolet switch. *MNRAS* **328**, 485–491 (2001).
- 839 [110] Matsuyama, I., Johnstone, D. & Hartmann, L. Viscous Diffusion and Photoevaporation of Stellar  
840 Disks. *ApJ* **582**, 893–904 (2003). astro-ph/0209498.
- 841 [111] Ida, S. & Makino, J. Scattering of Planetesimals by a Protoplanet: Slowing Down of Runaway Growth.  
842 *Icarus* **106**, 210–227 (1993).
- 843 [112] Ohtsuki, K., Stewart, G. R. & Ida, S. Evolution of Planetesimal Velocities Based on Three-Body  
844 Orbital Integrations and Growth of Protoplanets. *Icarus* **155**, 436–453 (2002).
- 845 [113] Thommes, E. W., Duncan, M. J. & Levison, H. F. Oligarchic growth of giant planets. *Icarus* **161**,  
846 431–455 (2003). astro-ph/0303269.
- 847 [114] Inaba, S. & Ikoma, M. Enhanced collisional growth of a protoplanet that has an atmosphere. *A&A*  
848 **410**, 711–723 (2003).
- 849 [115] Bodenheimer, P. & Pollack, J. B. Calculations of the accretion and evolution of giant planets: The  
850 effects of solid cores. *Icarus* **67**, 391–408 (1986).
- 851 [116] Pollack, J. B. *et al.* Formation of the Giant Planets by Concurrent Accretion of Solids and Gas. *Icarus*  
852 **124**, 62–85 (1996).
- 853 [117] Lee, E. J. & Chiang, E. To Cool is to Accrete: Analytic Scalings for Nebular Accretion of Planetary  
854 Atmospheres. *ApJ* **811**, 41 (2015). 1508.05096.
- 855 [118] Bodenheimer, P., Hubickyj, O. & Lissauer, J. J. Models of the in Situ Formation of Detected Extrasolar  
856 Giant Planets. *Icarus* **143**, 2–14 (2000).
- 857 [119] Chambers, J. E. A hybrid symplectic integrator that permits close encounters between massive bodies.  
858 *MNRAS* **304**, 793–799 (1999).
- 859 [120] Broeg, C. H. & Benz, W. Giant planet formation: episodic impacts versus gradual core growth. *A&A*  
860 **538**, A90 (2012). 1112.0498.
- 861 [121] Coleman, G. A. L. & Nelson, R. P. On the formation of planetary systems via oligarchic growth in  
862 thermally evolving viscous discs. *MNRAS* **445**, 479–499 (2014). 1408.6993.
- 863 [122] Paardekooper, S. J., Baruteau, C. & Kley, W. A torque formula for non-isothermal Type I planetary  
864 migration - II. Effects of diffusion. *MNRAS* **410**, 293–303 (2011). 1007.4964.
- 865 [123] Fendyke, S. M. & Nelson, R. P. On the corotation torque for low-mass eccentric planets. *MNRAS*  
866 **437**, 96–107 (2014). 1310.0351.



- 867 [124] Dittkrist, K. M., Mordasini, C., Klahr, H., Alibert, Y. & Henning, T. Impacts of planet migration  
868 models on planetary populations. Effects of saturation, cooling and stellar irradiation. *A&A* **567**, A121  
869 (2014). 1402.5969.
- 870 [125] Jin, S. *et al.* Planetary Population Synthesis Coupled with Atmospheric Escape: A Statistical View  
871 of Evaporation. *ApJ* **795**, 65 (2014). 1409.2879.
- 872 [126] Jin, S. & Mordasini, C. Compositional Imprints in Density-Distance-Time: A Rocky Composition for  
873 Close-in Low-mass Exoplanets from the Location of the Valley of Evaporation. *ApJ* **853**, 163 (2018).  
874 1706.00251.
- 875 [127] Benítez-Llambay, P., Masset, F. & Beaugé, C. The mass-period distribution of close-in exoplanets.  
876 *A&A* **528**, A2 (2011). 1101.3545.
- 877 [128] Mordasini, C., Alibert, Y. & Benz, W. Extrasolar planet population synthesis. I. Method, formation  
878 tracks, and mass-distance distribution. *A&A* **501**, 1139–1160 (2009). 0904.2524.
- 879 [129] Tychoniec, L. *et al.* The VLA Nascent Disk and Multiplicity Survey of Perseus Protostars (VANDAM).  
880 IV. Free-Free Emission from Protostars: Links to Infrared Properties, Outflow Tracers, and Protostellar  
881 Disk Masses. *ApJS* **238**, 19 (2018). 1806.02434.
- 882 [130] Andrews, S. M., Wilner, D. J., Hughes, A. M., Qi, C. & Dullemond, C. P. Protoplanetary Disk  
883 Structures in Ophiuchus. II. Extension to Fainter Sources. *ApJ* **723**, 1241–1254 (2010). 1007.5070.
- 884 [131] Venuti, L. *et al.* CSI 2264: Investigating rotation and its connection with disk accretion in the young  
885 open cluster NGC 2264. *A&A* **599**, A23 (2017). 1610.08811.
- 886 [132] Lodders, K. Solar System Abundances and Condensation Temperatures of the Elements. *ApJ* **591**,  
887 1220–1247 (2003).
- 888 [133] Ansdell, M. *et al.* ALMA Survey of Lupus Protoplanetary Disks. II. Gas Disk Radii. *ApJ* **859**, 21  
889 (2018). 1803.05923.
- 890 [134] Benítez-Llambay, P., Masset, F. & Beaugé, C. The mass-period distribution of close-in exoplanets.  
891 *A&A* **528**, A2 (2011). 1101.3545.
- 892 [135] Ivanov, P. B. & Papaloizou, J. C. B. On the tidal interaction of massive extrasolar planets on highly  
893 eccentric orbits. *MNRAS* **347**, 437–453 (2004). astro-ph/0303669.
- 894 [136] Vick, M. & Lai, D. Dynamical tides in highly eccentric binaries: chaos, dissipation, and quasi-steady  
895 state. *MNRAS* **476**, 482–495 (2018). 1708.09392.
- 896 [137] Wu, Y. Diffusive Tidal Evolution for Migrating Hot Jupiters. *AJ* **155**, 118 (2018). 1710.02542.
- 897 [138] Vick, M., Lai, D. & Anderson, K. R. Chaotic tides in migrating gas giants: forming hot and transient  
898 warm Jupiters via Lidov-Kozai migration. *MNRAS* **484**, 5645–5668 (2019). 1812.05618.

- 899 [139] Veras, D. & Fuller, J. Tidal circularization of gaseous planets orbiting white dwarfs. *MNRAS* **489**,  
900 2941–2953 (2019). 1908.08052.
- 901 [140] Tinetti, G. *et al.* A chemical survey of exoplanets with ARIEL. *Experimental Astronomy* **46**, 135–209  
902 (2018).
- 903 [141] Stassun, K. G. *et al.* The Revised TESS Input Catalog and Candidate Target List. *AJ* **158**, 138  
904 (2019). 1905.10694.
- 905 [142] Skrutskie, M. F. *et al.* The Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS). *AJ* **131**, 1163–1183 (2006).

### 906 3. ADDENDUM

#### 907 3.1. *Author Contributions*

908 DJArm is PI of the NCORES HARPS programme which measured the planet’s mass, a member  
909 of the NGTS consortium, developed much of the text and main figures and coordinated all contri-  
910 butions. TTop performed the joint PASTIS analysis. VAdi, SSou, NSan performed stellar spectral  
911 analysis including chemical abundances. RBoo, FMer provided text analysing potential formation  
912 scenarios. KACol, EJen coordinated the TFOP SG1 photometric followup of the system. KICol,  
913 TGan, performed analysis of LCOGT photometric followup of the system. AEms, CMor performed  
914 and analyses the Bern Population Synthesis Models. CHua, LSha developed and ran the MIT Quick  
915 Look Pipeline which identified the candidate in the TESS data. GKin performed the photoevap-  
916 oration analysis. JLil obtained and analyses the Astralux data, and synthesised all HR imaging  
917 results. EMat obtained the NaCo imaging data. HOSb contributed to the NCORES HARPS pro-  
918 gramme and the NGTS survey, and contributed to the main figures. JOte, OMou, MDel, RHel,  
919 MLoz, CDor performed interior structure calculations. DVer performed analysis on the potential for  
920 tidal self-disruption. CZie obtained the SOAR data and provided text summarising SOAR results.  
921 TGTan obtained a further transit with the PEST telescope. JLiss contributed to the internal struc-  
922 ture discussion. KSta provided the independent check of stellar parameters. MBro, SGan calculated  
923 estimates of required telescope time for atmospheric characterisation. DRand, MMoy, EBry, CWat,  
924 JSJen, JIVin, JAct, DBay, CBel, MBur, SCas, ACha, PEig, SGil, MGoa, MGue, MLen, JMcC, DPol,  
925 DQue, LRay, RTil, RWeis contributed to the NGTS facility, either in planning, management, data

926 collection or detrending. DJABro, SHoj, DBar, SCCBar, PAW, LNie, DBay, FBou, BCoo, RDia,  
927 ODem, XDum, PFig, JJac, GKen, ASan, SUdr, PWil, JAlm, AOsB contributed to the HARPS large  
928 programme under which HARPS data was obtained. DCia, ICro, JSch, SHow contributed to the  
929 NaCo imaging data. CBri, NLaw, AMan contributed to the SOAR imaging data. KDCol, MFau,  
930 JoJen, EJen, GRic, PRow, SSea, ETin, RVan, JWin, JNVil, ZZan provided essential contributions  
931 to the *TESS* mission which discovered the candidate. All authors read the manuscript and provided  
932 general comments.

### 933 3.2. *Acknowledgments*

934 This paper includes data collected by the TESS missions, which are publicly available from the  
935 Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST). Funding for the TESS mission is provided by NASA's  
936 Science Mission directorate. We acknowledge the use of public TESS Alert data from pipelines at  
937 the TESS Science Office and at the TESS Science Processing Operations Center. Resources sup-  
938 porting this work were provided by the NASA High-End Computing (HEC) Program through the  
939 NASA Advanced Supercomputing (NAS) Division at Ames Research Center for the production of  
940 the SPOC data products. This research has made use of the Exoplanet Follow-up Observation  
941 Program website and the NASA Exoplanet Archive, which are operated by the California Insti-  
942 tute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration un-  
943 der the Exoplanet Exploration Program. This work makes use of observations from the LCOGT  
944 network. Based in part on observations collected at the European Organisation for Astronomical  
945 Research in the Southern Hemisphere under ESO programme IDs 1102.C-0249 and P103.C-0449.  
946 Some of the observations in the paper made use of the High-Resolution Imaging instrument Zorro  
947 at Gemini-South. Zorro was funded by the NASA Exoplanet Exploration Program and built at the  
948 NASA Ames Research Center by Steve B. Howell, Nic Scott, Elliott P. Horch, and Emmett Quigley.  
949 DJA, DV and SLC respectively acknowledge support from the STFC via Ernest Rutherford Fellow-  
950 ships (ST/R00384X/1), (ST/P003850/1) and (ST/R003726/1). MB and SG acknowledge support  
951 from the STFC research grant ST/S000631/1. GMK is supported by the Royal Society as a Royal  
952 Society University Research Fellow. FM. acknowledges support from the Royal Society Dorothy

953 Hodgkin Fellowship. K.G.S. acknowledges partial support from NASA grant 17-XRP17 2-0024. C.Z.  
954 is supported by a Dunlap Fellowship at the Dunlap Institute for Astronomy & Astrophysics, funded  
955 through an endowment established by the Dunlap family and the University of Toronto. A.W.M  
956 was supported by NASA grant 80NSSC19K0097 to the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.  
957 DJAB acknowledges support from the UK Space Agency. CXH and MNG acknowledge support  
958 from the Juan Carlos Torres Fellowship. This work was financed by FEDER - Fundo Europeu de  
959 Desenvolvimento Regional funds through the COMPETE 2020 - Operacional Programme for Com-  
960 petitiveness and Internationalisation (POCI), and by Portuguese funds through FCT - Fundação  
961 para a Ciência e a Tecnologia in the framework of the projects UID/FIS/04434/2019; PTDC/FIS-  
962 AST/32113/2017 & POCI-01-0145-FEDER-032113; PTDC/FIS-AST/28953/2017 & POCI-01-0145-  
963 FEDER-028953. SSou, VAdi, SCCBar, ODSO acknowledge support from FCT through Investi-  
964 gador FCT contracts nr. IF/00028/2014/CP1215/CT0002, IF/00650/2015/CP1273/CT0001, and  
965 IF/01312/2014/CP1215/CT0004, DL 57/2016/CP1364/CT0004. SHoj acknowledge support by the  
966 fellowships PD/BD/128119/2016 funded by FCT (Portugal). Work by JNW was partly funded by  
967 the Heising-Simons Foundation. CAW would like to acknowledge support from UK Science Tech-  
968 nology and Facility Council grant ST/P000312/1. JLil and DBar are funded by the Spanish State  
969 Research Agency (AEI) Projects No.ESP2017-87676-C5-1-R and No. MDM-2017-0737 Unidad de  
970 Excelencia María de Maeztu- Centro de Astrobiología (INTA-CSIC). JSJ acknowledges funding by  
971 Fondecyt through grant 1161218 and partial support from CATA-Basal (PB06, Conicyt). JIV ac-  
972 knowledges support of CONICYT-PFCHA/Doctorado Nacional-21191829, Chile. The French group  
973 acknowledges financial support from the French Programme National de Planétologie (PNP, INSU).  
974 FM acknowledges support from the Royal Society Dorothy Hodgkin Fellowship.

### 975 3.3. *Competing Interests*

976 The authors declare that they have no competing financial interests.

### 977 3.4. *Correspondence*

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to David Armstrong. (email: d.j.armstrong@warwick.ac.uk).

### 3.5. *Data Availability Statement*

*TESS* data is publicly available at the Michulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST, <https://archive.stsci.edu/missions-and-data/transiting-exoplanet-survey-satellite-tess>). The HARPS data used in this study are available within the paper or supplementary information files and were collected under ESO programme ID 1102.C-0249. The NGTS, LCOGT, and specific detrended TESS lightcurve used in this work will be made available via the Exofop-TESS archive (<https://exofop.ipac.caltech.edu/tess/>) after publication.

### 3.6. *Code Availability Statement*

The PASTIS code has been published previously(11)(60). The latest version of the ARES code (ARES v2) can be downloaded at <http://www.astro.up.pt/~sousasag/ares>

## 4. EXTENDED DATA LEGENDS

### 4.1. *Extended Data Table 1 Legend*

**List of stellar and planetary parameters used in the analysis.** The respective priors are provided together with the posteriors for the Dartmouth and PARSEC stellar evolution tracks. The posterior values represent the median and 68.3% credible interval. Derived values that might be useful for follow-up work are also reported. Table Notes: •  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ : Normal distribution with mean  $\mu$  and width  $\sigma^2$  •  $\mathcal{U}(a, b)$ : Uniform distribution between  $a$  and  $b$  •  $\mathcal{S}(a, b)$ : Sine distribution between  $a$  and  $b$  •  $\mathcal{T}(\mu, \sigma^2, a, b)$ : Truncated normal distribution with mean  $\mu$  and width  $\sigma^2$ , between  $a$  and  $b$ .

### 4.2. *Extended Data Table 2 Legend*

**List of instrument parameters used in the analysis.** The respective priors are provided together with the posteriors for the Dartmouth and PARSEC stellar evolution tracks. The posterior values represent the median and 68.3% credible interval. Table Notes: •  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ : Normal distri-

bution with mean  $\mu$  and width  $\sigma^2$  •  $\mathcal{U}(a, b)$ : Uniform distribution between  $a$  and  $b$  •  $\mathcal{T}(\mu, \sigma^2, a, b)$ : Truncated normal distribution with mean  $\mu$  and width  $\sigma^2$ , between  $a$  and  $b$ .

#### 4.3. *Extended Data Table 3 Legend*

**Stellar Properties of TOI-849.** Sources are: GAIA DR2(10), TICv8(141), 2MASS(142).

#### 4.4. *Extended Data Table 4 Legend*

**HARPS Radial Velocities.**

#### 4.5. *Extended Data Figure 1 Legend*

**Photometric data captured by the LCOGT network on the nights UT 2019 July 30 (a) and 2019 August 09 (b).** The best fit model is plotted in red and binned data in orange.

#### 4.6. *Extended Data Figure 2 Legend*

**HARPS activity correlation indicators.** **a** HARPS radial velocities plotted against their bisector value. Colours represent time of observation measured in BJD-2400000. **b** as a for the full-width-half-maximum of the CCF. No correlation is seen in either case.

#### 4.7. *Extended Data Figure 3 Legend*

**Tests on the HARPS residuals.** **a.** Cross correlation function for each of HARPS spectra computed using a G2V template. A gaussian fit has been removed to leave the residual noise. No clear evidence for a contaminating star is seen. **b.** Periodogram of the HARPS RV residuals. No evidence is found for periodic structure.

#### 4.8. *Extended Data Figure 4 Legend*

**Collected high-resolution imaging results from AstraLux/CAHA, NaCo/VLT, HRCam/SOAR and Zorro(562nm).** The images are shown at top for AstraLux (a), NaCo (b) and HRCam (c) and sensitivity curves for all four (d). Our simultaneous 832 nm Zorro observation provides a similar result. 1% and 10% contrast curves are plotted.

4.9. *Extended Data Figure 5 Legend*

**TOI-849 compared to field stars.** Abundance ratio  $[X/Fe]$  against stellar metallicity for TOI-849 (black) and for the field stars from the HARPS sample (gray) with similar stellar parameters:  $T_{eff} = 5329 \pm 200$  K,  $\log g = 4.28 \pm 0.20$  dex, and  $[Fe/H] = +0.20 \pm 0.20$  dex.

4.10. *Extended Data Figure 6 Legend*

**Planet mass against time for three similar planets to TOI-849b in the Bern Population Synthesis models.** Grey shaded regions mark the parameters of TOI-849b. Stars mark the time of a giant impact. The inset shows the envelope mass, which is removed after collision.