ADOPTION OF AN IMF PROGRAMME AND DEBT RESCHEDULING. AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS

Silvia Marchesi

No. 542

WARWICK ECONOMIC RESEARCH PAPERS



DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS

Adoption of an IMF Programme and Debt Rescheduling. An empirical test of their relationship

Silvia Marchesi ¹
Department of Economics,
University of Warwick

November 1999

Abstract The existence of an empirical relationship between the adoption of an IMF programme and the concession of a debt rescheduling by commercial and o¢cial creditors is tested using a bivariate probit model. If countries who have arrangements with the IMF are more likely than others to obtain a rescheduling of their external debt we could conclude that the adoption of an IMF programme could work as a sort of signal of a country's "good willingness", which is thus rewarded with the debt relief. The results con...rm the existence of a signi...cant exect of the adoption of an IMF programme on the subsequent concession of a debt rescheduling by creditors.

Keywords: IMF Conditionality, Debt Rescheduling, Bivariate probit.

JEL classi...cation: F34, C35

¹I am grateful to Wiji Arulampalam and Lorenzo Cappellari for very helpful comments throughout all this work. I would also like to thank Gregorio Impavido, Emanuela Marrocu Annamaria Pinna, Jonathan P. Thomas and participants at both ESEM and EEA conferences, in Santiago de Compostela. All errors and omissions remain my own. Financial help from the European Commission, TMR Marie Curie Fellowship ERB4001GT973677, is gratefully acknowledged. Correspondence: Department of Economics, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, England. E-mail: ecpjo@csv.warwick.ac.uk

1. Introduction

This paper aims to test the main implication of the theoretical model presented in Marchesi and Thomas (1999), namely that agreement to follow a programme could be a signal of an indebted country's willingness and ability to successfully reform (and use any new money provided for investment rather than consumption purposes), which is thus rewarded with a debt relief.

Throughout the 1980s and 1990s, many developing countries have struggled to repay large amounts of their external debts to both commercial banks and industrial countries' governments. In the early '80s Paris Club creditors provided reschedulings for low-income countries on non-concessional terms and on market-related interest rates.² In the late eighties (1989-'94) the Brady deals addressed commercial bank lending to government debtors (generally middle-income countries) and involved a combination of an IMF agreement and debt and debt-service reduction and rescheduling from commercial banks.

In the same period, Paris Club creditors agreed to provide low-income countries with concessional reschedulings, conditional on the adoption of IMF adjustment programme, under the Toronto (1988), Trinidad (1990), London (1991) and Naples terms (1994).³ Since the onset of the debt crisis while the debt situation of middle-income debtor countries has improved signi...cantly (Boote and Thugge, 1997), heavily indebted poor countries (HIPCS), most of which are in sub-Saharan

²Paris Club creditors are o⊄cial (bilateral) creditors of government debt, while London Club creditors are commercial creditors of private international debt.

³They recognised that most of low-income countries required an actual reduction of their level of debt, more than repeated reschedulings on "standard terms". A "concessional" rescheduling implies a reduction of the net present value of the rescheduled amount.

Africa, have continued to experience diculties meeting their external debt service obligations. In order to deal with these countries' speci...c problems, the World Bank and the IMF have, in September 1996, jointly proposed and implemented the so called HIPC Debt Initiative.

Very recently, the Fund has been also involved in the East Asian ...nancial crisis and it still seems the case that debt acceptance of a Fund agreement signals something about the country's intentions which somehow reassures the market and in turn makes commercial creditors more willing to accord rescheduling of a country's debt.

The rescheduling process is a mechanism which allows debtors not to default on their loans and to remain in the international ...nancial system. It also prevents creditors from facing the whole consequences of a ...nancial crisis. More speci...cally, it can be considered as a form of "debt reorganisation", in which payments of a principal and/or interest falling due in a speci...ed interval, are deferred for repayment on a new schedule, following negotiations between creditors and debtors. Since a rescheduling is a postponement of a payment, creditors would like to have some "guarantee" that this postponement will in fact contribute to an improvement in the economic conditions of the debtor country and that it will enable it to better service its external debt. One way to obtain this would be that the debtor country decides to adopt an adjustment programme supported by the IMF (Ebenroth, Maina Peter and Kemner, 1995).

In concrete terms, an IMF programme consists of limitation of money supply growth, decrease in the government budget de...cit, credit control, improved exchange rate policy and improvement of the trade balance. More recently, it has also insisted that its borrowers reformed their ...nancial system.

In this article we want to test the existence of a signi...cant exect of the adoption of an IMF programme on the subsequent concession of a debt rescheduling by creditors, using a bivariate probit model to control for the endogeneity of the

choice "IMF adoption". If countries who adopt IMF programmes are more likely than others to obtain a restructuring of their external debt, we could conclude that the adoption of a Fund programme could work as a sort of signal of a country's "good behaviour" which is then followed by the debt rescheduling. Our results con...rm the existence of this exect.

We will consider the following IMF programmes, that is Stand by arrangements, Extended Fund Facility (EFF), Structural Adjustment Facilities (SAF) and Enhanced Structural Fund Facility (ESAF). These programmes were chosen since they are the most common among IMF programmes. They are set both for the short and the medium-term and are designed for both middle-income (Stand by and EFF) and low-income countries (SAF and ESAF programmes). Our demnition of a debt rescheduling is also quite broad as it includes restructuring in the context of the Paris Club, commercial banks reschedulings, debt equity swaps, buybacks and bond exchange.

In Section 2 we provide some background to the empirical studies on the determinants of both Fund arrangements and external debt repayment performance. We then, in Section 3, brie‡y describe the main features of the theoretical model, while Section 4 develops the empirical one. Section 5 presents the main results and, ...nally, Section 6 concludes.

2. Empirical studies on the determinants of Fund arrangements and on external debt repayment performance

2.1. Fund arrangements

The existing empirical literature about IMF programmes has mainly focused, so far, on the macroeconomic impact of such programmes (see, for example, the brief survey in Killick, 1995). However, a recent stream of research has also tried to

specify and estimate a model including the factors which lead developing countries to borrow from the IMF in the ...rst place. There is a demand for participation by the developing country and there is as well a process of evaluation by the IMF to determine if a lending programme is accepted. The resulting negotiation gives the equilibrium outcome. Actually, the Fund's main target is to enable its members to overcome their balance of payment problems and, in order to gain access to any Fund resources at all, a member has to be able to demonstrate a balance of payment need.

Table 1 below reports a summary of the studies which tried to model the adoption of a Fund programme by developing countries. Some of them estimated the size of loans under Fund arrangement (for eg., Bird and Orme, 1981; Bird, 1995), while some others estimated countries' adoption of a Fund programme using binary-choice models (for eg., Joyce, 1992; Knight and Santaella, 1997).

One early study (Bird and Orme, 1981) uses OLS regression to ...nd a statistical relationship between drawings on the Fund and key country economic characteristics, including the balance of payments, the debt service ratio, the rate of in‡ation, per capita GNP, the level of reserves, the value of imports and the access to private capital markets (in particular the Eurocurrency market).

Table 1: Economic determinants of IMF loans

Bird and Orme (1981) OLS Current account Rate of in‡ation GNP per capita Imports Int. reserves Euro-curr. credit Cornelius (1987) Demand for IMF credits (1987) Demand for IMF credits (1987) OLS Debt service GNP per capita Imports Int. reserves Cap. mks. borr. Joyce (1992) Fund prog. adoption Logit Gov. expend. Int. reserves Year dummies Conway (1984) Tobit Current account Terms of trade For. real rates Year dummies Bird (1995) Bird (1995) Bird OLS Rate of in‡ation GNP per capita Imports Year dummies Frivatenance Knight and Santaella Arrangement approval Probit Int. reserves	Study	Dep. variable	Est. method	Sign. regressors
GNP per capita Imports Int. reserves Euro-curr. credit Cornelius Demand for IMF credits OLS Debt service GNP per capita Imports Int. reserves Cap. mks. borr. Joyce Fund prog. adoption Logit Gov. expend. (1992) Int. reserves Year dummies Conway IMF participation Probit Prev. adoption (1984) Tobit Current account Terms of trade For. real rates Year dummies Bird Drawings on the IMF OLS Rate of in‡ation GNP per capita Imports Privatenance	Bird and Orme	Drawings on the IMF	OLS	
Imports Int. reserves Euro-curr. credit Cornelius (1987) Demand for IMF credits (1987) GNP per capita Imports Int. reserves Cap. mks. borr. Joyce (1992) Fund prog. adoption (1992) Imports Int. reserves Cap. mks. borr. Gov. expend. Int. reserves Year dummies Conway (1984) IMF participation Tobit Current account Terms of trade For. real rates Year dummies Bird Drawings on the IMF OLS Rate of in‡ation GNP per capita Imports Privatenance	(1981)			Rate of in‡ation
Cornelius (1987) Demand for IMF credits (1987) Debt service GNP per capita Imports Int. reserves Cap. mks. borr. Joyce (1992) Fund prog. adoption (1992) Logit Gov. expend. Int. reserves Year dummies Conway (1984) Tobit Current account Terms of trade For. real rates Year dummies Bird Drawings on the IMF OLS Rate of in‡ation (1995) GNP per capita Imports Privatenance				GNP per capita
Cornelius (1987) Demand for IMF credits (1987) Debt service GNP per capita Imports Int. reserves Cap. mks. borr. Joyce (1992) Fund prog. adoption Logit Gov. expend. Int. reserves Year dummies Conway (1984) Tobit Current account Terms of trade For. real rates Year dummies Bird (1995) Drawings on the IMF OLS Rate of in‡ation GNP per capita Imports Privatenance				Imports
Cornelius (1987) Demand for IMF credits OLS GNP per capita Imports Int. reserves Cap. mks. borr. Joyce Fund prog. adoption Logit Gov. expend. (1992) Int. reserves Year dummies Conway IMF participation Probit Prev. adoption (1984) Tobit Current account Terms of trade For. real rates Year dummies Bird Drawings on the IMF OLS Rate of in‡ation GNP per capita Imports Privatenance				Int. reserves
(1987) GNP per capita Imports Int. reserves Cap. mks. borr. Joyce Fund prog. adoption Logit Gov. expend. (1992) Int. reserves Year dummies Conway IMF participation Probit Prev. adoption (1984) Tobit Current account Terms of trade For. real rates Year dummies Bird Drawings on the IMF OLS Rate of in‡ation (1995) GNP per capita Imports Privatenance				Euro-curr. credit
Imports Int. reserves Cap. mks. borr. Joyce (1992) Fund prog. adoption Logit Gov. expend. Int. reserves Year dummies Conway (1984) IMF participation Tobit Current account Terms of trade For. real rates Year dummies Bird Drawings on the IMF OLS Rate of in‡ation GNP per capita Imports Privatenance	Cornelius	Demand for IMF credits	OLS	Debt service
Joyce Fund prog. adoption Logit Gov. expend. (1992) Conway IMF participation Probit Prev. adoption (1984) Tobit Current account Terms of trade For. real rates Year dummies Bird Drawings on the IMF OLS Rate of in‡ation GNP per capita Imports Privatenance	(1987)			GNP per capita
Joyce Fund prog. adoption Logit Gov. expend. (1992) Int. reserves Year dummies Conway IMF participation Probit Prev. adoption (1984) Tobit Current account Terms of trade For. real rates Year dummies Bird Drawings on the IMF OLS Rate of in‡ation (1995) GNP per capita Imports Privatenance				Imports
Joyce (1992) Fund prog. adoption Logit Gov. expend. Int. reserves Year dummies Conway (1984) Tobit Current account Terms of trade For. real rates Year dummies Bird Drawings on the IMF OLS Rate of in‡ation GNP per capita Imports Privatenance				Int. reserves
(1992) Int. reserves Year dummies Conway (1984) IMF participation (1984) Tobit Current account Terms of trade For. real rates Year dummies Bird (1995) Drawings on the IMF OLS Rate of in‡ation GNP per capita Imports Privatenance				Cap. mks. borr.
Conway IMF participation Probit Prev. adoption (1984) Tobit Current account Terms of trade For. real rates Year dummies Bird Drawings on the IMF OLS Rate of in‡ation (1995) GNP per capita Imports Privatenance	3	Fund prog. adoption	Logit	Gov. expend.
Conway (1984) IMF participation Probit Current account Terms of trade For. real rates Year dummies Bird Drawings on the IMF OLS Rate of in‡ation GNP per capita Imports Privatenance	(1992)			Int. reserves
(1984) Tobit Current account Terms of trade For. real rates Year dummies Bird Drawings on the IMF OLS Rate of in‡ation GNP per capita Imports Privatenance				Year dummies
Terms of trade For. real rates Year dummies Bird Drawings on the IMF OLS Rate of in‡ation GNP per capita Imports Privatenance	Conway	IMF participation	Probit	Prev. adoption
Bird Drawings on the IMF OLS Rate of in‡ation (1995) Output Output	(1984)		Tobit	Current account
Bird Drawings on the IMF OLS Rate of in‡ation (1995) GNP per capita Imports Privatenance				Terms of trade
Bird Drawings on the IMF OLS Rate of in‡ation (1995) GNP per capita Imports Privatenance				For. real rates
(1995) GNP per capita Imports Privatenance				Year dummies
Imports Privatenance	Bird	Drawings on the IMF	OLS	Rate of in‡ation
Privatenance	(1995)			GNP per capita
				Imports
Knight and Santaella Arrangement approval Probit Int. reserves				Privatenance
	Knight and Santaella	Arrangement approval	Probit	Int. reserves
(1997) Bivariate probit Ext. debt service	(1997)		Bivariate probit	Ext. debt service
GDP per capita				GDP per capita
Investment				Investment
Real exchange rate				Real exchange rate
Prev. adoption				Prev. adoption
Year dummy				Year dummy
Gov. revenue				•
Gov. expend.				Gov. expend.
Nom. depr. > 5%				•

This model provides a good explanation of drawings by developing countries on the IMF in 1976, but it "breaks down" in the following year. However, on the basis of 1976 regression, developing countries seem to draw more from the Fund as their balance of payments deteriorates, their rates of in‡ation increase and their level of income decreases. It also seems that the IMF and the Eurocurrency market are complementary rather than competing sources of ...nance. The authors' conclusion is that not only economical factors, but also socio-political (and also information more at the level of single countries), would be necessary to provide a better explanation of IMF loans.⁴

Cornelius (1987) studies the demand for IMF credits focusing only on Sub-Saharan countries (mainly because they made a large use of Fund credit as they were constrained in the access to international capital market). His results are similar to those obtained by Bird and Orme: they provide a good explanation for the initial period (1975-'77) but not for the second one (1981-'83). In particular, like in the previous work, the conclusion is that other factors, like social, institutional and political ones, should be taken into account. However, the most signi...cant regressors which explain the occurrence of an IMF loan were the current account de...cits, the level of in‡ation and the per capita income (with two positive and a negative coe¢cients, respectively).

Among the papers which adopted binary choice models, Joyce (1992) uses a logit analysis to identify what factors characterise developing countries' adoption of an IMF programme, in the early eighties. He adds domestic credit growth and the government's share of domestic output to the regressors already common in previous studies to discover that countries which entered Fund programmes had higher rates of domestic credit expansion and more expansionary policies than "non-programme countries". Conway (1994) estimates the determinants of

⁴A rerun of a similar econometric model for the period 1980-'85 produces rather similar results: the coe⊄cients of the in‡ation, income and balance of payments variables are all statistically signi…cant and with the expected signs.

participation in a Fund arrangement, using both a censored-variable and a probit approach. He considers 74 countries in the period 1976-1986 and shows that the most important variables to explain participation in IMF programmes are past participation in a Fund arrangement, real GDP growth and external factors.

Finally, Knight and Santaella (1997), in a binary choice framework, reckon that the event of a Fund approval of a ...nancial arrangement is the result of two joint events: both a country's need to obtain an IMF arrangement and the Fund approval of the request, on the basis of an evaluation process of the economic reforms a country intends to adopt. Therefore, they criticise other previous papers for having considered, either explicitly or implicitly, only the so called "demandside" determinants of Fund arrangements. On the other hand, their aim is to account for both the economic variables that induce a country to ask for an IMF loan ("demand-side") and for the economic policy commitments the Fund examines when decides to approve it or not ("supply-side"). Moreover, they try also to incorporate, in their empirical model, a better speci...cation of the "timing of the events". They argue that economic policy measures, which could provide a country with the Fund approval, are often taken before the arrangement is actually accepted by the IMF. Then, the assumption that the initial date of the programme is at the same time also the initial date of the policy measures' adoption would be misleading.

More speci...cally, they obtain both bivariate and univariate probit estimates of the approval of an IMF arrangement, for a given country in a given year, using a pooled sample of annual observations for 91 developing countries over 1973-1991. In the bivariate model the two dependent variables are a country's demand for an IMF loan and a country's meeting of the Fund criteria to supply the loan, respectively. In the probit equation, instead, the dependent variable is the IMF joint outcome of the two events. In the "demand side", their estimates suggest that lower level of international reserves, lower per capita GDP (and

lower values of its rate of growth), higher values of the external debt service, lower rates of domestic investment, movements in the real exchange rate and the dummy indicating previous Fund arrangements, are signi...cative determinants of a country's interest in a Fund arrangement. Among the "supply factors", they ...nd that policy measures to increase ...scal revenue, to reduce government expenditure, to tighten domestic credit and to adjust the exchange rate, positively axect the Fund approval of an arrangement.

2.2. Debt rescheduling

There are many papers dealing with the probability of the occurrence of a debt rescheduling by an indebted country. Typically, in this literature, the occurrence of a debt rescheduling is interpreted (and modelled) either simply as a retection of a country's debt repayment diculties or as equivalent to a country's default.

Table 2 below contains a summary of the studies that tried to explain the occurrence of a debt rescheduling. The common idea in these works is that a limited number of ...nancial, macroeconomic, or socio-political indicators can be identi...ed as the main determinants of debt repayment behaviour. Saini and Bates (1984) provide a survey of the development of the quantitative approaches to "country risk analysis", where the existence of a probability of debt rescheduling is one of the possible "manifestation" of such lending risk. They presented the emergence of probit and logit models as the most used estimation techniques.

The choice of which variables are best to use to predict debt rescheduling has been discussed in this literature at lenght, so that dixerent approaches have been developed to predict the probability of LDC's debt rescheduling. These are: a "balance sheet approach", a "macro approach" and a "structural approach".

According to the "balance sheet approach" ...nancial variables are considered more relevant to explain the probability of a debt rescheduling. Lloyd-Ellis et

al. (1989) include three sets of variables in a logit model used to predict the probability of a debt rescheduling. These are the traditional "ratio variables" (as the debt service to export ratio, the foreign exchange reserves to import ratio, the rate of growth of per capita GDP and the rate of growth of imports) and the so called "balance sheet variables" (as the ratio between short, or medium, or long-term debt over total borrowing from the banks, the proportion of each country's debt relative to total bank lending, total bank borrowing relative to bank deposit, the ratio between the unallocated or undisbursed credit over total banks' lending). Finally, there are "other variables", like the number and value of reschedulings, which should retect a global attitude to rescheduling.⁵

They discover that balance sheets variables are more signi...cant than ratio variables and these results are also con...rmed in a their subsequent paper (Lloyd-Ellis et al., 1990), in which they estimate two equations: a probit equation predicting the probability of a country's rescheduling in a given time period and a linear equation for the quantity of debt rescheduled (using the same set of countries in the same period).⁶ Lanoie and Lemarbre (1996) used the same speci...cation with a cross-section set of data covering 93 countries, in the years 1989 and 1990. They also discover that the balance sheet variables outperform the two other sets of variables.

⁵The idea is that while in the late 1970's and early 1980's it was appropriate to think of developing countries servicing their debts at all costs, before seeking a rescheduling, more recently, sometimes it might be considered optimal to default or reschedule.

⁶These two equations were estimated separately by using Heckman's two-step estimator in equation 2.

Table 2: Probability models of debt rescheduling

Study	Dep. variable	Est. method	Sign. regressors
Berg and Sachs	Debt rescheduling	Probit	Income distribution
(1988)			Share of agriculture in GNP
Lloyd-Ellis et al.	Debt rescheduling	Logit	Rate of growth of exports
(1989)			Bank borr./bank deposits
			S-t bank debt/tot. bank debt
			L-t borr./total borrowing
			For. exchange res./IMF quota
			Country's debt/tot. bank lend.
			Unall. credit/country's lend.
			Undis. credit/bank lending
			Number of reschedulings
Lee	Debt rescheduling	Logit	Foreign debt/GNP
(1991)			Growth rate of p.c. GDP
			Interest rate on intern. lend.
			Ind. countries' GNP growth
			Variability in p.c. GDP
			Domestic debt/GDP
Bäcker	Debt rescheduling	Logit	Undis. country's cred/bk. lend.
(1992)			Country's debt/tot bank lend.
			Bank borr./bank deposits
			Growth rate of exports
			Wtd. av. spreads of resch.
			Resch. and regional dummies
			Stock exchange index
			Wtd. av. G7 gov. bond yield
Lanoie and Lemarbre	Debt rescheduling	Probit	Unall. credit/total borr.
(1996)	Amount of debt	OLS	For. exchange res./IMF quota
	rescheduled	(Heckman's two-step	Undis. credit/total bank borr.
		estimator)	Wtd. av. grace period of resch.
			L-t borrowing/total borr.
			M/I-t borrowing/total borr.
			Imports/reserves
			GDP per capita
			Debt service/exports
			Total debt/exports
			Debt amortisation/debt
			Investments/GDP
			Current account/GDP

Bäcker (1992) shows how as the prediction lag is lengthened, the signi...cance of macro-variables (as the ratio between debt service payments and exports, the ratio between imports and reserves, the in‡ation rate, GDP, interest rates) improves relative to that of the balance sheet data. This fact might suggest that macro-variables are proxies for more fundamental, longer-term determinants of a country's solvency, while ...nancial variables provide information about the country's current liquidity. More speci...cally, he uses a logit model to estimate the debt rescheduling probability for 68 debtor countries, using semi-annual data from 1981 to 1988. He integrates balance sheet variables with macro-variables ...nding that the former provided a rather static description of a country's ...nancial situation, while the latter are more appropriate to describe the medium-long term economic development of a country and its capacity to ful...II its debt obligations, which has a dynamic aspect.

In the "structural approach", deeper structural characteristics of a country are related to the probability of a debt rescheduling. Berg and Sachs (1988) develop a cross-section probit model of debt rescheduling, for 35 developing countries, which links the occurrence of this event to key structural characteristics of developing countries (like the trade regime, the degree of income inequality, the share of agriculture in GDP and the level of per capita GDP). They argue that outward orientation of trade policy should enhance the growth prospects of developing countries, as well as their capacity to adjust to external shocks, while a high degree of income inequality would increase the political pressure for excessive foreign borrowing. On the other hand, governments which ...nd their political support mostly in the agricultural sector would be politically more stable and, by extension, less subject to external debt crisis. Finally higher income countries may be less likely to reschedule their debt than poorer countries, since the cost of a rescheduling would tend to be higher for more advanced economies. In their

⁷The pressure for income redistribution is likely to be greater in economies characterised by higher income inequalities, in which a government could satisfy the internal demand for higher public expenditure through foreign borrowing, without either rising taxes or in‡ation.

model, higher income inequality and the share of agriculture in GDP are found to be signi...cant variables.

Instead, Lee (1991) tests a model whose explanatory variables were obtained from a "willingness to pay" model. That is, in his scenario, at each payment, the borrower compares the expected value of his discounted utility of consumption with repayment, against the expected value of his discounted utility of consumption with either default or rescheduling.⁸ In his model the probability of default depends on six variables, that is the interest rate on international lending, the growth rate of per capita GDP, the ratio of total foreign debt to GNP, the growth rate of industrialised countries, the variability of changes in per capita GDP and the ratio of government debt held domestically to GDP.

Moreover, he considers separately "o¢cial rescheduling" (that is rescheduling payments, on both public and private debt, guaranteed by creditor countries' government or o¢cial agencies) from "commercial bank rescheduling" (that is rescheduling bank loans which are not guaranteed). According to his results, while o¢cial rescheduling decisions depend on three factors: the economic performance of borrowers, the level of indebtedness and the level of interest rates, for commercial rescheduling cases (besides the aforementioned factors) the access to international credit markets becomes also signi...cant. Finally, the author also mentioned the circumstance that creditors could insist that borrowers obtain a loan agreement with the IMF as a prerequisite for according a restructuring of their external debt.

3. The Theoretical model

The main idea of the theoretical paper (Marchesi and Thomas, 1999) is that the adoption of an IMF programme can function as a screening mechanism that

⁸Solvency is not considered a relevant issue since the borrower has the resources to honour its debt obligation.

allows creditors to distinguish between those countries which intend to use the "debt relief" as an incentive to invest and later repay and those which do not (or cannot) do it.

It is assumed that there are two types of country (one with a high return on the investment, and the other with a low return or willingness to invest) and asymmetry of information on the country's type. In the presence of a debt overhang, the high productivity country may choose not to undertake the investment, despite it being socially ecient to do so. In this case the creditor would like to oxer the country some debt relief, but the low productivity type will also bene...t from the debt relief. When the country is credit constrained (which seems a plausible hypothesis dealing with indebted countries), this problem can be avoided if the country decides to undertake an IMF programme in return for debt reduction (and possibly new money in the form of an IMF loan): only the high productivity type would be prepared to bear the adjustment costs and thus a separation of the types is achieved.

More speci...cally, the creditor (the bank) wants to solve two distinct problems at the same time: the ...rst one is the "moral hazard" problem, which directly derives from the "debt overhang", that is the lack of incentives to invest for the "good type" in the absence of any debt relief. The second problem is the need for the bank to separate between the two types in order not to grant the relief to the "bad" one (that is the one which will never invest).

Notice that, in the theoretical model, our de...nition of debt relief involved more a debt reduction rather than a debt rescheduling. We believe that a debt rescheduling can be considered as a component of a debt relief initiative (in particular when it is made at concessional terms, as it is the case for low-income countries). However, di¤erently to the aforementioned empirical papers, where it was seen generally as an indicator of a country's debt servicing di¢culties, here debt rescheduling is considered mainly as a debt relief which creditors may either

decide to grant or not.

In order to ...nd the "qualitative factors" which can in‡uence the probability of a debt rescheduling, for simplicity, we will focus here only on the ...rst problem, that is on the "moral hazard" aspect. Thus, the main idea is to ...nd the factors which a¤ect the amount of debt relief creditors need to grant in order to make the good type invest and repay. The "moral hazard" condition, which makes the good type country willing to invest (and repay), is that the bene...ts from the investment are greater than its costs. After some rearrangements that becomes:

$$R_{J} D_{i} V (m_{i} 1)=(1_{i} q_{H})_{i} @(Q(2) + bS):$$
 (3.1)

In equation (3.1) R represents the amount of the debt relief; D stands for the total amount of external debt and it is positively correlated with R. V (m $_i$ 1)=(1 $_i$ q $_H$) corresponds to the outcome of the investment, (m $_i$ 1)V; divided by the low income probability for the good type (1 $_i$ q $_H$); where (m $_i$ 1) is equal to the rate of return on the investment, V represents the investment's ...xed costs in the ...rst period and q $_H$ is the probability for the good type to have a high income in the second period.

Intuitively, as the probability for the good type to have a high income in the second period increases, it will decrease also its need for the debt relief, since in the event of a high income the good type will always repay its debt. The whole expression is negative, this suggesting the existence of an inverse correlation between a country's investments and the level of the debt relief.

 $^{\$}(\underline{Q}(2) + bS)$ represents what creditors could seize in case of default, where $^{\$}$ is the fraction of available resources which can be used to repay the debt, $\underline{Q}(2)$ is the country's low income value in the second period and bS represents the bene…cial exect of the programmes adoption on period-two outcomes (where

⁹ If we solved the model taking into account both the "participation" and "the self selection" constraint we would …nd that basically the same factors will a¤ect the probability of a debt rescheduling. For more details on this, see the quoted paper.

1 > b , 0): S is the costs of the IMF adjustment programme and it indicates a direct reduction of welfare rather than a ...nancial cost. It should be viewed as a loss of social welfare due (for example, to adverse social exects such as reduction of social services and adverse shifts in income distribution). In Section 4.1.4 we will discuss better how these qualitative variables will become the control variables of our empirical model.

4. The Empirical model

In the empirical model we want to test the existence of an exect of a Fund programme adoption on the subsequent concession of a debt rescheduling. As we saw in Section 3 two dixerent empirical literature have developed, which have considered, independently, IMF arrangements and debt rescheduling. Here, instead, we want to estimate a bivariate probit model for the joint determination of a Fund programme adoption and of the debt rescheduling. Our "priori" is that countries which decide to adopt an IMF programme will be more likely than others to obtain a debt rescheduling.

We have taken into account particular kinds of IMF programmes, that is Stand by arrangements, Extended Fund Facility (EFF), Structural Adjustment Facilities (SAF) and Enhanced Structural Fund Facility (ESAF) loans. As we said, they were chosen because they are set both for the short and the medium-term, both for medium and low income countries and they aim at overcoming both temporary and structural balance of payments maladjustments. The adoption of one of these Fund programmes is considered only if it has occurred in the period 1985 - 1994. The choice of this period is due to the consideration that international debt strategy has shifted towards a policy more oriented to concede debt restructuring (respect to one more oriented to providing new loans) only in the late eighties.

Moreover, since these countries have adhered to an IMF programme more than once during the sample period, we have taken into account only their latest arrangement. The variable which denotes whether a country has obtained an IMF programme is I; where I is equal one if the country has got the IMF lending and conditionality package and equal zero otherwise.¹⁰

As a measure of the debt rescheduling we used the "total debt rescheduled" series. It includes restructuring in the context of the Paris Club, commercial banks, debt equity swaps, buybacks and bond exchange. In this paper o Φ cial and private restructuring are considered together (on this point see Lee, 1991). The variable which represents debt reschedulings is C, where C = 1 if the country has rescheduled a part of its commercial debt within not more than two years since the adoption of the programme (and in any case after the IMF programme has started). In this model timing is crucial. In the ...rst place, the indebted country could either receive or does not receive the IMF loan (and accept the IMF conditionality that goes with it). Then, creditors decides whether or not to grant the debt rescheduling to the country.

The bivariate probit speci...cation is the following:

$$I^* = Xb + u$$
 $I = 1 \text{ iff } I^* > 0$; 0 otherwise (4.1)

$$C^* = Zg + Id + v$$
 $C = 1 \text{ iff } C^* > 0; 0 \text{ otherwise}$ (4.2)

The disturbances are assumed to be bivariate normally disributed.

Equation (4.1) of the bivariate speci...cation describes the IMF adoption. The

¹⁰Actually, the data does not allow us to distinguish between the two alternatives: apply and do not get the loan and do not apply for the loan. So we are able know only the resulting outcome.

¹¹ If a country actually gets a debt concession only before adhering to the IMF programme (but not after the adhesion), C will be set equal 0. Moreover, if, in the case of no adoption, a country gets more than one concession, we considered only the most recent.

latent variable for the IMF adoption I* is a linear function of the countries' macroeconomic characteristics (vector X) which axect the probability to adopt an IMF programme (they will be speci...ed more carefully in Section 4.1.3). Since, after the adoption of the IMF programme, these macro-variables would be axected by the implementation of the programme itself (and thus they would become endogenous), we take their values two year before the programme is adopted, in order to make sure they are predetermined.

I* occurs both in the observed dichotomous form in equation (4.2) and in the latent-variable form in equation (4.1). The sign of the coe¢cient of the dichotomous variable I, in equation (4.2), will measure the role of the IMF in debt concessions schemes and our prior expectation is that it will be signi...cantly greater than zero.

Equation (4.2) describes the "concession" of a debt rescheduling. The latent variable for the debt rescheduling C* is a linear function of the countries' macro variables (vector Z), and their values as well are taken two years before the occurrence of the debt rescheduling. Notice that, in order to input a value of the control variables, when either I or C is zero, we calculated the average year of both the events adoption and concession and took the control variables' values two years before that year.

4.1. The identi...cation problem

This two simultaneous equations model contains both a reduced form, equation (4.1), and a structural form, equation (4.2). Notice that while there would be no problem with the estimation of (4.1) as a univariate probit, we would not model the impact of the adoption on the rescheduling estimating just a single probit equation for the probability of the debt rescheduling and adding a dummy (equal one in case of IMF adoption) to the regressors since this dummy would be endogenous. More formally, it would be correlated with the error term of the

probit equation. Thus, unobserved factors in uencing both IMF adoption and debt rescheduling would be interpreted as part of the "IMF adoption" exect.

The structural form is identi...ed if at least one variable in X is not included in Z:12 This identi...cation problem was not an easy question to solve. To identify the parameters of the model we use both the dummy "previous Fund arrangement" (BEF) and the rate of change of the "general government consumption" (GGC). Our assumption here is that, conditional on the programme adoption, these two variables do not axect the probability of obtaining a debt rescheduling.

This choice is justi...ed on an economic ground. Regarding the dummy BEF, it is plausible that countries that have had Fund arrangements in the past will be more likely than others to enter into an arrangements in the future, because both the authorities of that countries are already familiar with the Fund operating procedure, and they have already gained a sort of "reputation" with the Fund. Therefore, we expect to ...nd a signi...cative and positive sign for the coe¢cient of this dummy). Instead, for the way in which we have constructed variable C, past Fund arrangements (that is arrangements which have been made many years before the debt rescheduling) should not in‡uence debt rescheduling in the present. More speci...cally, we assumed that when reschedulings are conditional on IMF programmes, only recent ones are assumed to in‡uence them.

The growth of government consumption is one of the variables which determines the so called "supply side" of a Fund arrangement, that is the probability that the Fund would approve the request of a loan, rather than a ecting the probability that a country would ask for IMF intervention. This distinction between a "demand" and a "supply side" on IMF arrangements was made ...rst in the paper by Knight and Santaella (1997) (Section 2.1). Unfortunately, we could not use here the other three variables in their paper as three more "instruments"; that is "nominal depreciation exceeding 5%", "two year change in government revenues"

¹² See Maddala (1983), p.122.

and "two year change in real domestic credit". The ...rst two due to the unavailability of these data and the last one because, at the start of the analysis, it was not a signi...cative instrument.

Restraint on central government expenditure is a key element for the Fund to approve an arrangement (and thus we would expect to ...nd a signi...cative and negative sign for the coe¢cient of this variable) while, as far as we know, there is not such an explicit requirement to obtain a debt rescheduling (this is con...rmed also in our literature survey on the determinants of a debt rescheduling in Section 2.2).

4.2. De...nition of the variables

This model is estimated as a cross section using annual observations, the overall period goes from 1983 to 1997. There are values corresponding to all the four possible combination of C and I. The data are taken from the International Financial Statistics (IFS), the World Bank Tables, the World Development Indicators and the Global Development Finance. All these sources have presented many lacks in the data in their series, forcing us quite often to choose between the number of countries in the sample and the number of variables to include in the equations. In fact, according to the IFS de...nition, the total number of "developing countries" would be 158: of those 65 had to be excluded due missing data, both in the control and in the dependent variables. We assume that the resulting countries selection is not endogenous.

The variables we have decided to use are described in Table 3 below. We decided to choose among the most signi...cative regressors we found in the literature on both IMF arrangements and debt rescheduling, that is:

Table 3: Variables de...nition (a)

Variable	Denition	Units	Expctd. sign
IMF	Approval of an IMF arrangements	Binary	
DRES	Total amount of Debt Rescheduled	Binary	
BB	Baker and Brady countries	Binary	+
BEF	Previous Fund arrangement	Binary	+
GDPPC	GDP at market prices per capita	Curr. US\$	-
GDI	Gross domestic investment	% GDP	-
EDT	Total external debt stock	% GDP	+
TDS	Total debt service	% exports	+
RES	Total reserves minus gold	% imports	-
INFL	Consumer price index	% rate of change	+
BOP	Balance of Payment	% GDP	-
EXP	Exports of goods and services	% imports	_
IAR	Interest arrears on long-term debt	% exports	+
PAR	Principal arrears on long-term debt	% reserves	+
GGC	General government consumption	% rate of change	

(a) the Appendix contains all the calculation details.

As for the control variables they are basically traditional "ratio variable", quite common regressors among those we have found in other studies on the determinants of both IMF arrangements and debt rescheduling. These variables capture both domestic and external factors. They will be more carefully analysed in the next two subsections.

4.2.1. Determinants of Fund arrangement

The variables that enter in the equation which determines a Fund arrangement are policy target variables, whose values are taken two years before the adoption of the IMF programme.¹³

As the external factors are concerned, countries with a structural unbalance in their Balance of Payments (BOP) will be likely to need Fund ...nancial assistance. Thus, we expect that BOP enters with a negative sign in the regression (that is

¹³Notice that, since during that same year each country could adopt an IMF programme at di¤erent dates, a two years period before the adoption in a given year, has not exactly the same "length of time" for every country. In fact, for some country it could be less than two years.

because the Balance of Payments of the countries in our sample is in de...cit in most of the cases). We have included also the ratio of the exports over the imports (EXP), expecting that a country which experiments a low value of its exports will be more likely to ask for a Fund arrangements. This need for ...nancial assistance will also be retected in a high external indebtedness (EDT). Thus, we expect to ...nd a positive correlation between the dependent variable and EDT. We have also included another variable, corresponding to the arrears in interests payments (IAR) as a general indicator of a country's ...nancial di¢culty. The sign here is expected to be positive.

As the domestic factors are concerned, countries experiencing relatively low levels in per capita Gross Domestic Product (GDPPC) and low investments (GDI) will also be more likely to seek Fund assistance. Therefore, we expect to ...nd a negative correlation between IMF and both GDPPC and GDI. As we saw in Section 4.1, the growth of government consumption (GGC) is one of the two instruments in the reduced form, where the rate of change in the government consumption is used to capture the behaviour of the variable "...scal policy" two years before the programme begins. As we already said, we expect to ...nd a negative coe¢cient for this variable.

We then de...ned the dummies. The ...rst one is BEF whose coe⊄cient should be signi...cant and greater than zero. The other one is BB, which equals one for a country which has adopted the Baker (1986-'88) and/or the Brady plan (1989-'94).¹⁴ Baker and Brady plan have generally involved middle-income developing countries but also some low-income one (within the International Development Association (IDA) scheme).¹⁵ We expect the coe⊄cient of this dummy to be

¹⁴The Baker plan set targets for bank and o⊄cial lending, called for structural reforms in debtor economies and, in its latter part, experimented market based debt restructuring. The Brady plan shifted the attention from a co-ordinated lending to the reduction and rescheduling of the existing debt.

¹⁵The IDA is a special facilities, set in 1989, with the aim to provide grants (up to \$10 million per country) to be used for Brady type cash buybacks or conversion of commercial bank debt by low-income countries that carried out adjustment programmes and had parallel debt relief from bilateral creditors.

signi...cantly greater than zero indicating that those countries which have adhered to these plans were more likely than other countries to adopt an IMF programme and accept the conditionality that goes with it.

Compared to the papers of the related literature on IMF arrangements, we generally have opted for a more parsimonious speci...cation. For example, we included only a variable for the balance of payments (BOP), instead of considering both the balance of payments and the current account. We did not put either a regressor for the terms of trade or for the foreign real exchange rates. We actually tried to insert the latter but we found many missing data in those series. Besides, we believe that the external factors are already "captured" by BOP and EXP, which is the ratio between exports and imports. We did not put a variable for Eurocurrency credit (i.e., private capital market, in particular the Eurocurrency market), which was considered by Bird and Orme (see above) in order to investigate whether the Fund and the Eurocurrency market were substitutes or complementary sources of ...nance. However, in earlier estimates, we had a quite similar regressor (i.e., "non fund ...nancing ‡ows") but it was not found to be signi...cative.

The variable "government revenue" could be one of the possible instruments to be used to identify the equation of IMF determinants and we have already explained in Section 4.1 (on the identi...cation problem) the di¢culties we had in trying to use it. Finally, among our regressors, we have two variables which have not been examined in the related literature. They are both interest and principal arrears on long-term debt. We decided to incorporate them because we thought that developing countries' debt repayment di¢culties were an important component beside their other, more traditional, economic characteristics.

4.2.2. Determinants of debt rescheduling

In Section 3 we have described the factors which a $^{\rm mect}$ the probability of a debt rescheduling, according to our theoretical model, and they are both domestic and external components. R is represented here by the total amount of the debt rescheduling (DRES) while D is now the total amount of external debt (EDT). The choice to invest is represented by the variable corresponding to the investments (GDI). Then, we assumed that q_H (the probability to have a high income for the good type) depends somehow on the degree of openness of the economy that would be captured by the ratio of the exports over the imports (EXP). $\underline{O}(2)$ was the country's income in period two (in the low income case) and in the empirical model it corresponds to the per capita GDP (GDPPC). $^{\rm L}$ bS represented the bene…cial e $^{\rm L}$ exect on period-two outcomes of the adoption of the programme but we can not take it into account because we do not consider what happens to the control variables after the programme is adopted.

As in the "Fund equation" above, we have included a variable corresponding to the arrears in interests payments (IAR), that explicitly stands for a country's ...nancial di¢culty. For example, for a debtor country to be allowed into the rescheduling process with the Paris Club, it has to prove that it will default on its external payment obligations in the absence of any relief. One indicator of this condition may be the existence of substantial external payments into arrears. The behaviour of the Balance of Payments (BOP) could be another indicator of the severity of a country's problems. Dummy BB is included. Finally, dummy IMF stands for the role of the IMF in the debt rescheduling process. The expected sign of the IMF coe¢cient is positive and expected to be signi...cant.

In sum, regarding the external factors, we expect to ...nd a positive correlation between the dependent variable and EDT, while we expect negative coe¢cients for both variables BOP and EXP. The sign is expected to be positive for the coe¢cient of IAR and PAR. As the domestic factors are concerned, we expect that

both per capita GDPPC and GDI have a negative coe¢cients (as a consequence of the debt overhang exect and also because we are dealing, generally, with poor countries). We expect to ...nd a signi...cant and positive coe¢cient for the dummy BB, meaning that those countries which have adhered to these plans were more likely than others to obtain a rescheduling of their debts.

In this equation we have not considered any of the so called "balance sheet" variables (for the de...nition of these variables see Section 2.2). This is the case because we are more interested in more fundamental, longer-term determinants of a country's solvency and macro-variables are better proxies for this information, while ...nancial variables tell more about a country's current liquidity. The only two variables which give an indication on a country's ...nancial situation we have included are the interest and principal arrears on long-term debt. As in Lee we also tried to put a variable for domestic debt but our series contained too many missing data.

As dummies variables are concerned, we have no regional dummies (in earlier regressions we have actually tried to put them, in both equations, but they were not signi...cative). We have considered, instead, a dummy for Backer and Brady plans countries (BB), that we did not ...nd in the literature on debt rescheduling. BB could also be interpreted, in a broader sense, as a dummy for middle-income countries (even if some low-income ones have adhered to these schemes, too).

5. Estimation results

In Table 4 are presented the estimation results for the ...nal speci...cation of our model estimated as a bivariate probit. We have also estimated other speci...cations of the model (not reported here for reasons of space). Overall the estimates are

¹⁶However, we included no variables representing structural factors, like income distribution or the share of agriculture in GNP (as in Bergh and Sachs, 1988), nor we try to put the growth rate of industrialised countries' GNP (as in Lee, 1991) to take into account industrialised countries' ability to lend.

good, in the sense that most of the economic factors enter the estimated equations with the expected sign and many are signi...cant at conventional 5% signi...cance level.

In the Fund arrangement equation, the expected signs are all con...rmed except those of EDT and IAR which are both negative instead of positive. All the coe¢cients are signi...cant at least at 6%, with an exception made for GDPPC and IAR, whose coe¢cients are signi...cant only at 15% and 17%, respectively. The two identifying variables GGC and BEF are signi...cant at 3%.

Thus, as expected, it emerges a strong negative relation between the dependent variable and the rate of growth of government consumption (GGC), the level of investment (GDI), the level of exports (EXP) and a disequilibrium in the BOP. It is also con...rmed the existence of a strong and positive relation between the dependent variable and the two dummy variables corresponding to the adoption of an IMF programme in the past (BEF) and to the participation to the Baker and Brady plan (BB). Regarding the role of external indebtedness, it emerges that the variable EDT has a signi...cant and negative coe¢cient. That is, the more a country is indebted, the smaller the probability that it will obtain an arrangement with the Fund

In the equation for the debt rescheduling, the expected signs are all con...rmed with an exception made for EXP, BOP (which are positive instead of negative, but not signi...cant) and IAR (which is negative instead of positive and not signi...cant as well). In this equation only three regressors are signi...cant. Dummy BB is signi...cant at 5%, this meaning that the adherence to one of the two aforementioned plans played de...nitely a role in obtaining a debt restructuring. EDT is signi...cant at 4%, this suggesting a close link between the level of a country's indebtedness and the probability of a debt rescheduling. The coe¢cient of the dummy IMF is positive and signi...cant, at less than 1%, as we expected, this con...rming out intuition about the exect of IMF adoption on debt rescheduling.

Finally, Rho has a P-value which is almost zero and has got a negative sign, which means that the unobservables in the two equations are negatively correlated. Thus, it seems that the event IMF adoption positively axect the debt rescheduling only when we explain both of them using our control variables, that is variables that capture only structural/macro factors and not short-term or stochastic factors (as shocks are).

In the other speci...cations of the model we have included four more variables among the control variables. The rate of in‡ation (INFL), as another indicator of a country's economic performance and the total debt service (TDS), the total value of reserves minus gold (RES), the principal arrears (PAR), as general indicators of a country's ...nancial di¢culty. None of them was found to be signi...cant. In both equations, the rate of in‡ation and the total value of reserves had the correct signs (positive and negative, respectively). In the Fund arrangement equation, both the principal arrears and the total debt service had a negative instead of a positive sign, while in the equation for the debt rescheduling their signs were correct.

Table 4: Bivariate Probit Model estimates

	Exp. Sign	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P>jzj
IMF					
BB	+	1.330	0.731	1.818	0.069
BEF	+	2.391	0.627	3.815	0.000
GDPPC	_	-0.0002	0.0001	-1.425	0.154
GDI	_	-3.646	1.924	-1.895	0.058
EDT	+	-1.075	0.538	-1.998	0.046
GGC	_	-3.471	1.551	-2.238	0.025
EXP	_	-3.777	1.554	-2.430	0.015
BOP	_	-11.540	6.155	-1.875	0.061
IAR	+	-1.098	0.815	-1.348	0.178
CONS		4.396	1.611	2.728	0.006
DRES					
BB	+	0.814	0.417	1.952	0.051
GDPPC	-	-0.00007	0.0001	-0.586	0.558
GDI	-	-0.951	1.681	-0.566	0.572
EDT	+	0.924	0.444	2.079	0.038
EXP	_	0.436	0.742	0.587	0.557
BOP	_	0.994	3.384	0.294	0.769
IAR	+	-0.224	0.379	-0.592	0.554
IMF	+	1.346	0.487	2.761	0.006
CONS		-1.651	0.899	-1.836	0.066
1/2		-0.912	0.204	-4.457	0.000
Log-Likelihood		-63.68			
Pseudo-R ²		0.40			
No. observations		93			

6. Conclusions

The results of this paper con...rm that the adoption of an IMF programme (and the conditionality that goes with it) could work as a sort of signal of a country's good behaviour that may, therefore, induce other creditors to concede a rescheduling of the country's external debt. Our estimates of a bivariate probit model, which is used to control for endogeneity, assigns a positive and signi...cant value to the coe⊄cient of the dummy "IMF adoption" in the equation which determines the probability of a debt rescheduling.

Appendix

Data sources

The basic data set used in this study consists of annual observations of data for 93 developing countries over the period 1983 - 1996. All the variable were taken from the International Financial Statistics (IFS), the World Bank Tables, the World Development Indicators and the Global Development Finance. They were constructed in the following way:

Endogenous variables

Determinants of the demand for an arrangements

```
GDPPC = Gross Domestic Product (GDP) at market prices (cur. US$)
                                               Population
GDI = \frac{Gross\ Domestic\ Investment\ (cur.\ US\$)}{GDI}
              GDP at market prices (cur. US$)
EDT = \frac{Total \ external \ debt \ stocks \ (EDT) \ (cur. \ US\$)}{CDD}
                  GDP at market prices (cur. US$)
TDS = \frac{Total \ debt \ service \ (TDS) \ (cur. \ US\$)}{Exports \ of \ goods \ \& \ services \ (cur. \ US\$)}
RES = \frac{\text{Total reserves minus gold (cur. US\$)}}{\text{Imports of goods \& services (cur. US\$)}}
                  General Government Consumptiont
GGC =
               General Government Consumptionti 1
             33
                   Consumer Price Indext -1
INFL =
                 Consumer Price Index<sub>ti 1</sub>
EXP = \frac{Exports \text{ of goods and services (curr. US\$)}}{Imports \text{ of goods and services (curr. US\$)}}
IAR = \frac{Interest \ arrears \ on \ long-term \ debt \ otstanding \ (LDOD) \ (cur. \ US$)}{IAR}
                          Exports of goods & services (cur. US$)
PAR = \frac{Principal\ arrears\ on\ long-term\ debt\ otstanding\ (LDOD)\ (cur.\ US\$)}{PAR}
                    Total reserves minus gold (cur. US$)
            \frac{1}{2} 1 if IMF<sub>t<sub>i</sub>j</sub> =1 for any j > 1
BEF =
            0 otherwise
              1 if a country adhered either to the Baker or to the Brady plan
```

Table 5: Countries in the basic sample

Algeria	Ghana	Panama
Argentina	Grenada	Papua New Guinea
Bangladesh	Guatemala	Paraguay
Barbados	Guyana	Peru
Belize	Haiti	Philippines
Bolivia	Honduras	Poland
Botswana	Hungary	Romania
Brazil	India	Rwanda
Burkina Faso	Indonesia	Samoa
Burundi	Jamaica	St. Kitts and Nevis
Cameroon	Jordan	St. Lucia
Cape Verde	Kenya	Senegal
Central African Republic	Korea Republic	Seychelles
Chad	Lao People's Democratic Republic	Sierra Leone
Chile	Lesotho	Solomon Islands
China	Madagascar	Somalia
Colombia	Malawi	Sri Lanka
Congo Democratic Republic	Malaysia	Sudan
Congo Republic	Maldives	Swaziland
Costa Rica	Mali	Tanzania
Côte d'Ivoire	Malta	Thailand
Dominica	Mauritania	Togo
Dominican Republic	Mauritius	Trinidad and Tobago
Ecuador	Mexico	Tunisia
Egypt Arab Republic	Morocco	Turkey
El Salvador	Mozambique	Uganda
Equatorial Guinea	Nepal	Uruguay
Ethiopia	Nicaragua	Vanuatu
Fiji	Niger	Venezuela
Gabon	Nigeria	Zambia
Gambia	Pakistan	Zimbabwe

Table 6: Baker (1986-'88) and Brady plan (1989-'94) countries

Baker plan (1986-'88) countries	Brady plan (1989-'94) countries
Argentina	Argentina
Bolivia	Bolivia
Brazil	Brazil
Chile	Bulgaria
Colombia	Costa Rica
Costa Rica	Dominican Republic
Côte d'Ivoire	Ecuador
Ecuador	Guyana
Jamaica	Jordan
Mexico	Mexico
Morocco	Mozambique
Nigeria	Niger
Peru	Nigeria
Philippines	Philippines
Uruguay	Poland
Venezuela	Uganda
Yuguslavia	Uruguay
	Venezuela

Source: Cline, 1995

References

- [1] Bäcker A. (1992) "Country balance sheet data vs. traditional macro variables in a logit model to predict debt rescheduling." Economic Letters, Vol. 38, pp. 207-212.
- [2] Berg A. and J. Sachs (1988) "The Debt Crisis." Journal of Development Economics, Vol. 29, pp. 271-306.
- [3] Bird G. and T. Orme (1981) "An Analysis of Drawings on the International Monetary Fund by Developing Countries." World Development, Vol. 9 No. 6, pp. 563-568.
- [4] Bird G. (1995) "IMF Lending to Developing Countries: Issues and Evidence." Routledge, London.
- [5] Boote A. R. and K. Thugge (1997) "Debt Relief for Low-Income Countries and the HIPC Initiative." IMW Working Paper No. 97/24.
- [6] Cline W.R. (1995) "International debt reexamined." Institute for International Economic, Washington, DC.
- [7] Conway P. (1994) "IMF lending programs: participation and impact." Journal of Development Economics, Vol. 45, pp. 365-391.
- [8] Cornelius P. (1987) "The demand for IMF credits by sub-Saharan African countries." Economics Letters, Vol. 23, pp. 99-102.
- [9] Ebenroth C-T., Maina Peter C. and M.J. Kemner (1995) "Rescheduling of the Sovereign Debt: A New Role for the Paris Club." Journal of International Banking Law, Vol. 10, pp. 280-292.
- [10] Green W.H. (1990) "Econometric Analysis." Prenctice Hall.
- [11] Joyce J.P. (1992) "The economic characteristics of IMF program countries." Economic Letters Vol. 38, pp. 237-242.
- [12] Killick T. (1995) "IMF Programmes in Developing Countries. Design and Impact." Routledge, London and New York.
- [13] Knight M. and J.A. Santaella (1997) "Economic Determinants of IMF ...nancial arrangements." Journal of Development Economics, Vol. 54, pp. 405-436.

- [14] Lanoie P. and S. Lemarbre (1996) "Three approaches to predict the timing and quantity of LDC debt rescheduling." Applied Economics, Vol. 28, pp. 241-246.
- [15] Lee S.H. (1991) "Ability and willingness to service debt as explanation for commercial and o⊄cial rescheduling cases." Journal of Banking and Finance, Vol. 15, pp. 5-27
- [16] Lloyd-Ellis H., McKenzie G.W. and S.H. Thomas (1989) "Using Country Balance Sheet Data to predict Debt Rescheduling." Economics Letters, Vol. 31, pp. 173-177.
- [17] Lloyd-Ellis H., McKenzie G.W. and S.H. Thomas (1990) "Predicting the Quantity of LDC Debt Rescheduling." Economics Letters, Vol. 32, pp. 67-73.
- [18] Maddala G.S. (1983) "Limited-Dependent and qualitative variables in econometrics." Cambridge University Press.
- [19] Marchesi S. and J.P. Thomas (1999) "IMF conditionality as a screening device." Economic Journal, Vol. 109, pp. 111-125.
- [20] Saini K.G. and P.S. Bates (1984) "A survey of the quantitative approaches to country risk analysis." Journal of Banking and Finance, Vol. 8, pp. 341-356.