

**Manuscript version: Published Version**

The version presented in WRAP is the published version (Version of Record).

**Persistent WRAP URL:**

<http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/170545>

**How to cite:**

The repository item page linked to above, will contain details on accessing citation guidance from the publisher.

**Copyright and reuse:**

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work of researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions.

This article is made available under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (CC BY 4.0) and may be reused according to the conditions of the license. For more details see: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.



**Publisher's statement:**

Please refer to the repository item page, publisher's statement section, for further information.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: [wrap@warwick.ac.uk](mailto:wrap@warwick.ac.uk)

## A generalisation of the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequalities\*<sup>†</sup>

Ma. Elena Hernández-Hernández<sup>‡</sup>      Saul D. Jacka<sup>§</sup>

### Abstract

Consider a càdlàg local martingale  $M$  with square brackets  $[M]$ . In this paper, we provide upper and lower bounds for expectations of the type  $\mathbb{E} [M]_{\tau}^{q/2}$ , for any stopping time  $\tau$  and  $q \geq 2$ , in terms of predictable processes. This result can be thought of as a Burkholder-Davis-Gundy type inequality in the sense that it can be used to relate the expectation of the running maximum  $|M^*|^q$  to the expectation of the dual previsible projections of the relevant powers of the associated jumps of  $M$ . The case for a class of moderate functions is also discussed.

**Keywords:** Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequalities; quadratic variation; dual previsible projection.

**MSC2020 subject classifications:** 60G07; 60H05.

Submitted to ECP on August 12, 2021, final version accepted on October 9, 2022.

### 1 Introduction

In the context of stochastic calculus, the celebrated Burkholder-Davis-Gundy (BDG) inequalities play an important role in the estimation of moments of local martingales and, thus, more generally for semimartingales and associated stochastic integrals. The BDG inequalities relate the maximum of a local martingale  $M_t^* := \sup_{s \leq t} |M_s|$  to its quadratic variation  $[M]$ . More precisely, for any local martingale  $M$  with  $M_0 = 0$  and for any  $1 \leq q < \infty$ , there exist universal positive constants (independent of the local martingale  $M$ )  $c_q$  and  $C_q$  such that, for any stopping time  $\tau$ ,

$$c_q \mathbb{E} \left( [M]_{\tau}^{q/2} \right) \leq \mathbb{E} \left( |M_{\tau}^*|^q \right) \leq C_q \mathbb{E} \left( [M]_{\tau}^{q/2} \right). \quad (1.1)$$

If  $M$  is a continuous local martingale, the inequalities in (1.1) hold for any  $q > 0$  (see, e.g., [6, p. 83], [8, Theorem IV.74, p. 226]). The generalisation for convex moderate functions  $F$  is given by

$$c_F \mathbb{E} \left( F \left( [M]_{\tau}^{1/2} \right) \right) \leq \mathbb{E} \left( F \left( M_{\tau}^* \right) \right) \leq C_F \mathbb{E} \left( F \left( [M]_{\tau}^{1/2} \right) \right), \quad (1.2)$$

for universal constants  $c_F > 0$  and  $C_F > 0$ , see, e.g., [9, Theorem 42.1, p. 93], [5, Theorem 2.1].

---

\*Saul Jacka gratefully acknowledges funding received from the Alan Turing Institute for their financial support under the EPSRC grant EP/N510129/1.

<sup>†</sup>We are most grateful to an anonymous referee for very helpful suggestions and for a shortening of the proof of the main theorem.

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Mathematics, Imperial College London, UK.

E-mail: m.hernandez-hernandez@imperial.ac.uk

<sup>§</sup>Alan Turing Institute and Department of Statistics, University of Warwick, UK.

E-mail: s.d.jacka@warwick.ac.uk

One drawback when applying the BDG inequality (1.1) is that, recalling that

$$[M] = \langle M^c \rangle + \sum_{0 < s \leq t} (\Delta M_s)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta[M]_t = (\Delta M_t)^2,$$

one may be dealing with a process where the compensator for the squared jumps is well understood but the jumps themselves are not. Moreover, the version of (1.1) where  $[M]$  is replaced by  $\langle M \rangle$ , its dual previsible projection (or compensator) is, in general, false for  $q > 2$  when  $M$  is a discontinuous local martingale, since, in general,

$$\text{there exists } c_q : \mathbb{E}([M]_{\tau}^{\frac{q}{2}}) \leq c_q \mathbb{E}(\langle M \rangle_{\tau}^{\frac{q}{2}}) \text{ only for } q \leq 2$$

(see [2, Item (4.b'), Table 4.1, p. 162]).

In this note we prove the existence of universal positive constants  $C_q$  and  $c_q$  such that, for  $q \geq 2$ ,

$$c_q \mathbb{E} \left[ \max \left\{ \langle M \rangle_{\tau}^{\frac{q}{2}}, A_{\tau}^{(\frac{q}{2})} \right\} \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left( [M]_{\tau}^{\frac{q}{2}} \right) \leq C_q \mathbb{E} \left[ \max \left\{ \langle M \rangle_{\tau}^{\frac{q}{2}}, A_{\tau}^{(\frac{q}{2})} \right\} \right],$$

where  $\langle M \rangle$  is the angle brackets of  $M$ , and  $A^{(r)}$  is the dual previsible projection of the process

$$t \mapsto \sum_{0 < s \leq t} |\Delta M_s|^{2r}, \quad r \geq 1.$$

Hence, our main results compare the moments of the quadratic variation process of any local martingale with associated predictable processes (see Theorem 2.1). A generalisation for a class of moderate functions is also given in Theorem 3.2.

Since the BDG inequalities in (1.1) relate the running maximum of a local martingale to its quadratic variation, the further application of our results in Corollary 2.4 allows us to derive estimates for the  $q$ th moments of the running maximum  $M^*$  in terms of corresponding predictable processes. For the case  $0 < q < 2$ , it is known that when  $M$  is a locally square integrable local martingale then (see, e.g., [6, Theorem 5, p. 69]):

$$\mathbb{E} ( |M_{\tau}^*|^q ) \leq \frac{4-q}{2-q} \mathbb{E} \left[ \langle M \rangle_{\tau}^{\frac{q}{2}} \right],$$

whereas, if  $M$  is a continuous local martingale, then

$$\frac{2-q}{4-q} \mathbb{E} \left[ \langle M \rangle_{\tau}^{\frac{q}{2}} \right] \leq \mathbb{E} ( |M_{\tau}^*|^q ) \leq \frac{4-q}{2-q} \mathbb{E} \left[ \langle M \rangle_{\tau}^{\frac{q}{2}} \right]. \quad (1.3)$$

For any moderate function  $F$ , Lenglart *et.al.* [5, Section 2, p. 37] provide estimates for discontinuous local martingales with jumps bounded by a locally bounded predictable increasing process  $D$ , i.e.  $|\Delta M| \leq D$ :

$$\mathbb{E} ( F ( M_{\tau}^* ) ) \leq C \mathbb{E} \left( F \left( \langle M \rangle_{\tau}^{\frac{1}{2}} + D_{\tau} \right) \right),$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} \left( F \left( \langle M \rangle_{\tau}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right) \leq c \mathbb{E} ( F ( M_{\tau}^* + D_{\tau} ) ).$$

A special class of local martingales that are encompassed by our results are those obtained as stochastic integrals with respect to continuous local martingales such as Brownian motion, or with respect to local martingales given by compensated Poisson random measures. In these two cases the representation of the dual previsible projections  $A^{(\frac{q}{2})}$  can be written explicitly and one can thus derive (for the one-dimensional case) the well-known estimates for the running maximum of associated local martingales (see, e.g., Kunita (2004) [4], Applebaum (2009) [1, Theorem 4.4.22 and Theorem 4.4.23], Marinelli and Röckner (2014) [7]). Extensions and generalisations to the multidimensional case and Hilbert-space-valued case, and applications of our results to the estimates of  $q$ th moments of semimartingales will be provided in a forthcoming paper.

## 2 Main result

Consider a filtered complete probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , on which all our processes are defined.  $\mathcal{A}_{loc}^+$  denotes the class of locally integrable, non-decreasing, càdlàg and adapted processes. Let us also recall that if  $A \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}^+$ , then there is a predictable process  $A^p \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}^+$ , called the *dual previsible projection* (or compensator) of  $A$ , which is unique up to an evanescent set, and which is characterised by making  $A - A^p$  a local martingale (or equivalently  $\mathbb{E}(A_{T \wedge T_n}^p) = \mathbb{E}(A_{T \wedge T_n})$  for all stopping times  $T$  and for a localising sequence  $T_1, T_2, \dots$ ), see, e.g., [3, Theorem I.3.17, p. 32].

The main result is the following

**Theorem 2.1.** *For any càdlàg local martingale  $M$  and for  $r \geq 1$ , define the adapted, increasing process  $D^{(r)}$  by*

$$D_t^{(r)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{0 < s \leq t} |\Delta M_s|^{2r},$$

and define  $A^{(r)}$  to be the dual previsible projection of  $D^{(r)}$  (whenever it exists). For any  $q \geq 2$  define the process

$$t \mapsto S_t^{(q)}(M) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max \left\{ \langle M \rangle_t^{\frac{q}{2}}, A_t^{(\frac{q}{2})} \right\}.$$

There exist universal constants  $c_q > 0$  and  $C_q > 0$  such that for all stopping times  $\tau$  and local martingales  $M$ ,

$$c_q \mathbb{E} \left( S_\tau^{(q)}(M) \right) \leq \mathbb{E} \left( [M]_\tau^{\frac{q}{2}} \right) \leq C_q \mathbb{E} \left( S_\tau^{(q)}(M) \right). \quad (2.1)$$

**Remark 2.2.** Note that

$$\langle M \rangle = \langle M^c \rangle + A^{(1)},$$

so that, in particular,

$$S^{(2)} = \langle M \rangle.$$

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* Let us first observe that, since  $M$  is a càdlàg local martingale, it has a countable number of jumps and, thus, using the fact that the  $\ell_q$  spaces satisfy  $\ell_2 \subset \ell_{2r}$  for all  $r \geq 1$ , we obtain:

$$\left( \sum_{0 < s \leq t} |\Delta M_s|^{2r} \right)^{\frac{1}{2r}} \leq \left( \sum_{0 < s \leq t} |\Delta M_s|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{for all } r \geq 1,$$

which in turn implies that

$$D_t^{(r)} \leq \left( D_t^{(1)} \right)^r, \quad \text{for all } r \geq 1.$$

In particular, for  $r = q/2$  with  $q \geq 2$ , we have that

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{0 < s \leq t} |\Delta M_s|^q \right) \leq \mathbb{E} \left( \left( \sum_{0 < s \leq t} (\Delta M_s)^2 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right) \leq \mathbb{E} \left( [M]_t^{\frac{q}{2}} \right). \quad (2.2)$$

To prove the left-hand inequality in (2.1), assume that  $\mathbb{E} \left( [M]_\tau^{\frac{q}{2}} \right) < \infty$  (as otherwise there is nothing to prove). Thus, the inequality (2.2) implies that  $t \mapsto D_{\tau \wedge t}^{(\frac{q}{2})} \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}^+$  and so its dual predictable projection  $A_{\tau \wedge \cdot}^{(\frac{q}{2})}$  exists ([3, Theorem I.3.17, p. 32]). Now recall the

standard result that if  $D$  is an increasing, adapted and locally integrable process started at 0 and  $A$  is its dual previsible projection, then for any  $p \geq 1$

$$\mathbb{E}[A_\tau^p] \leq p^p \mathbb{E}[D_\tau^p],$$

(see, e.g., [5, Theorem 4.1]). Applying this inequality to the processes  $D^{(p)}$  and  $A^{(p)}$ , and using the fact that

$$[M]^p \geq \max \left\{ D^{(p)}, \langle M^c \rangle^p \right\},$$

the left-hand inequality in (2.1) follows.

For the right-hand inequality, first observe that, since  $S^{(2)} = \langle M \rangle$ , the result is trivial for  $q = 2$ ; so suppose that  $q > 2$ . We assume that  $\mathbb{E} \left( S_\tau^{(q)}(M) \right) < \infty$ , as otherwise there is nothing to prove. Now note that, using the fact that (for  $x, y \geq 0$ )  $x \vee y \leq x + y \leq 2(x \vee y)$  and observing that  $[M] = [M^d] + \langle M^c \rangle$ , it is enough to prove the right-hand inequality for purely discontinuous martingales.

To simplify notation we denote  $\frac{q}{2}$  by  $p$ . Define the process  $Z^{(q)}$  by

$$Z^{(q)} = [M]^p.$$

Notice that  $f : x \mapsto |x|^p$  is  $C^1$  so, using the fact that  $|x + y|^p \leq k_p(|x|^p + |y|^p)$  where  $k_p := \max\{2^{p-1}, 1\}$ , for any  $p > 0$ , the Mean Value Theorem implies that there exists  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta Z_t^{(q)} &= f([M]_t) - f([M]_{t-}) \\ &= p \left( [M]_{t-} + \theta(\Delta M_t)^2 \right)^{p-1} (\Delta M_t)^2 \\ &\leq p k_{p-1} \left( [M]_{t-}^{p-1} (\Delta M_t)^2 + (\Delta M_t)^{2p} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Now  $Z^{(q)}$  is increasing from 0 and increases only by jumps, so the estimate (2.3) implies that

$$Z_t^{(q)} \leq \int_{0+}^t b_p [M]_{s-}^{p-1} d[M]_s + b_p D_t^{(p)},$$

with  $b_p := p k_{p-1}$ .

We take dual previsible projections and evaluate at  $\tau$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left( [M]_\tau^p \right) &\leq b_p \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^\tau [M]_{s-}^{p-1} d \langle M \rangle_s + A_\tau^{(p)} \right) \\ &\leq b_p \mathbb{E} \left( [M]_\tau^{p-1} \langle M \rangle_\tau + A_\tau^{(p)} \right) \\ &\leq b_p \left( \| [M]_\tau \|_p^{p-1} \| \langle M \rangle_\tau \|_p + \mathbb{E}(A_\tau^{(p)}) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Dividing both sides of (2.4) by  $\mathbb{E}[S_\tau^{(q)}]$  we see that, setting  $z = \left( \frac{\mathbb{E}([M]_\tau^p)}{\mathbb{E}(S_\tau^{(q)})} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ ,

$$z^p \leq b_p(z^{p-1} + 1) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g(z).$$

Denoting by  $c_q$  the largest root of  $g(x) = x^p$ , we see that the right-hand inequality in (2.1) holds, as required.  $\square$

The following example is well-known to show the failure of  $\langle M \rangle$  to control the moments of  $[M]$  when  $M$  is a discontinuous local martingale.

**Example 2.3.** Take  $M$  to be a compound Poisson process of unit rate with jump times  $T_1, T_2, \dots$ , and with jump-sizes  $X_1, X_2, \dots$ , where

$$\mathbb{E}[X_1] = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}[X_1^2] = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}[X_1^4] = \infty.$$

It follows that  $M$  is a square-integrable martingale with

$$[M]_t = \sum_n 1_{\{T_n \leq t\}} X_n^2,$$

and with

$$\langle M \rangle_t = t.$$

Clearly

$$\mathbb{E}([M]_t^2) = \infty, \tag{2.5}$$

so there is no  $c$  such that

$$\mathbb{E}([M]_T^2) \leq c \mathbb{E}(\langle M \rangle_T^2).$$

However,  $\mathbb{E}[D_t^{(2)}] = \infty$ , for any  $t > 0$ , as implied by (2.1) and (2.5).

The standard BDG inequality and Theorem 2.1 imply the following

**Corollary 2.4.** For any càdlàg local martingale  $M$  and  $q \geq 2$ , there are universal constants  $c_q > 0$  and  $C_q > 0$  such that for all stopping times  $\tau$

$$c_q \mathbb{E} \left[ \max \left\{ \langle M \rangle_{\tau}^{\frac{q}{2}}, A_{\tau}^{(\frac{q}{2})} \right\} \right] \leq \mathbb{E}(|M_{\tau}^*|^q) \leq C_q \mathbb{E} \left[ \max \left\{ \langle M \rangle_{\tau}^{\frac{q}{2}}, A_{\tau}^{(\frac{q}{2})} \right\} \right]. \tag{2.6}$$

**Remark 2.5.** Note that when  $M$  is a continuous local martingale then  $A^{(\frac{q}{2})} \equiv 0$  and one recovers the inequalities in (1.3) for any  $q \geq 2$ .

### 3 The case of moderate functions

A function  $F : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is said to be moderate if it is continuous and increasing,  $F(x) = 0$  and if, for some (and then for every)  $\alpha > 1$ , the following growth condition holds<sup>1</sup>:

$$\text{for some } c > 0, \quad F(\alpha x) \leq c F(x) \text{ for all } x > 0.$$

If  $F$  is convex with right derivative  $f$ , then a necessary and sufficient condition for  $F$  to be moderate is that

$$q := \sup_{x>0} \frac{x f(x)}{F(x)} < \infty, \tag{3.1}$$

see, e.g., [5, Section 1]. Here,  $q$  is known as the exponent of  $F$ .

If (3.1) holds, then for all  $\alpha > 1$ ,

$$\sup_{x>0} \frac{F(\alpha x)}{F(x)} \leq \alpha^q. \tag{3.2}$$

In particular, the power function  $x \mapsto x^p$  for  $p \geq 1$  is a moderate convex function and, in this case,  $c$  can be taken as  $\alpha^p$  and the exponent of  $F$  is equal to  $p$ .

One is naturally led to ask whether there is a generalisation of Theorem 2.1, to some class of moderate functions, of the form

$$\begin{aligned} c_F \mathbb{E} \left[ \max \left\{ F \left( \langle M \rangle_{\tau}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right), A_{\tau}^{(2,F)} \right\} \right] &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[ F \left( [M]_{\tau}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right] \\ &\leq C_F \mathbb{E} \left[ \max \left\{ F \left( \langle M \rangle_{\tau}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right), A_{\tau}^{(2,F)} \right\} \right], \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

<sup>1</sup>Equivalently, if the condition  $\sup_{x>0} \frac{F(\alpha x)}{F(x)} < \infty$  holds.

where  $A^{(2,F)}$  is the dual previsible projection of the corresponding process  $D^{(2,F)}$  (defined in (3.4) below).

If one takes  $F : x \mapsto x^q$ , for any  $q \geq 2$ , (these are, of course, convex moderate functions), then Theorem 2.1 guarantees that the two-sided inequality (3.3) holds for any continuous local martingale  $M$ . However, we can see that for discontinuous local martingales (3.3) may not hold for  $q < 2$ . Indeed, a small change to Example 2.3 shows that the left hand side of (3.3) cannot hold in general:

**Example 3.1.** Take  $M^n$  to be a compound Poisson process of unit rate with jump times  $T_1, T_2, \dots$ , and with jump-sizes  $X_1, X_2, \dots$ , where

$$\mathbb{E}[X_1] = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}[|X_1|] = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}[X_1^2] = n.$$

It follows that  $M^n$  is a square-integrable martingale with

$$[M^n]_t = \sum_n 1_{\{T_n \leq t\}} X_n^2, \quad \mathbb{E} \left( [M^n]_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \leq t$$

and with

$$\langle M^n \rangle_t = nt.$$

Clearly, taking  $F$  to be the identity, it follows that, for the left-hand inequality in (3.3) to hold, we would need  $c_F \geq n$  for any  $n$ , contradicting the finiteness of  $c_F$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Suppose that  $F$  is a strictly increasing and convex moderate function. Define  $A^{(2,F)}$  and  $A^{(F)}$  to be the dual previsible projections of

$$D^{(2,F)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_s F(|\Delta M_s|) \quad \text{and} \quad D^{(F)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_s F((\Delta M_s)^2), \tag{3.4}$$

respectively. There are universal constants  $c_F > 0$  and  $C_F > 0$  such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ F \left( [M]_{\tau}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right] \leq C_F \mathbb{E} \left[ \max \left\{ F \left( \langle M \rangle_{\tau}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right), A_{\tau}^{(2,F)} \right\} \right]. \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$c_F \mathbb{E} \left[ \max \left\{ F(\langle M \rangle_{\tau}), A_{\tau}^{(F)} \right\} \right] \leq \mathbb{E} [ F([M]_{\tau}) ] \tag{3.6}$$

*Proof.* Let us first assume that  $F$  is  $C^1$ . We use, without further comment, the facts that  $F'(\cdot)$  is increasing,  $[M]$  and  $\langle M \rangle$  are increasing,  $\Delta[M]_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq |\Delta M_t|$  and  $\langle M^c \rangle \leq [M]$ .

Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we obtain first

$$V_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{0 < s \leq t} \Delta F \left( [M]_s^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \leq \sum_{0 < s \leq t} F' \left( [M]_s^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \Delta[M]_s^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sum_{0 < s \leq t} F' \left( [M]_s^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) |\Delta M_s|.$$

By (3.1), for all  $x$ , there exists  $q > 0$  such that  $F'(x) \leq q \frac{F(x)}{x}$ , and so

$$V_t \leq \sum_{0 < s \leq t} F' \left( [M]_s^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) (|\Delta M_s|) \leq q \sum_{0 < s \leq t} \frac{F \left( [M]_s^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)}{[M]_s^{\frac{1}{2}}} |\Delta M_s|.$$

The convexity of  $F$  together with (3.1) then implies that

$$\begin{aligned} V_t &\leq \frac{q}{2} \sum_{0 < s \leq t} \frac{F \left( 2[M]_{s-}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) + F(2|\Delta M_s|)}{[M]_s^{\frac{1}{2}}} |\Delta M_s| \\ &\leq b_F \sum_{0 < s \leq t} \frac{F \left( [M]_{s-}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) + F(|\Delta M_s|)}{[M]_s^{\frac{1}{2}}} |\Delta M_s| \\ &\leq b_F \sum_{0 < s \leq t} \left( F'([M]_{s-}^{\frac{1}{2}}) |\Delta M_s| + F(|\Delta M_s|) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $b_F := q 2^{q-1}$ .

Now, [8, Theorem II.31, p. 78] implies

$$F\left([M]_t^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) = \int_{0+}^t F'\left([M]_{s-}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \frac{d\langle M^c \rangle_s}{[M]_s^{\frac{1}{2}}} + V_t,$$

so, evaluating at  $\tau$ , using the previous inequality and then taking dual previsible projections yield

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[F\left([M]_{\tau}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right] &\leq b_F \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0+}^{\tau} F'\left([M]_{t-}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \frac{d\langle M^c \rangle_t}{[M]_t^{\frac{1}{2}}} + A_{\tau}^{(2,F)}\right] \\ &\leq b_F \mathbb{E}\left[F'([M]_{\tau}) \int_0^{\tau} \frac{d\langle M^c \rangle_t}{\langle M^c \rangle_t^{\frac{1}{2}}} + A_{\tau}^{(2,F)}\right] \\ &\leq 2b_F \mathbb{E}\left[F'\left([M]_{\tau}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \langle M^c \rangle_{\tau}^{\frac{1}{2}} + A_{\tau}^{(2,F)}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Denote the convex conjugate of  $F$  by  $\tilde{F}$ , then the generalisation of Young’s inequality implies

$$xy \leq \tilde{F}\left(\frac{x}{\mu}\right) + F(\mu y)$$

for any  $x, y, \mu > 0$ . We also have (see [2, Lemma 1.1.1])

$$\tilde{F}\left(\frac{F'(x)}{2}\right) \leq F(x).$$

Thus, taking  $\mu = \max\{2b_F, 1\}$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[F'\left([M]_{\tau}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \langle M^c \rangle_{\tau}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{F}\left(\frac{F'\left([M]_{\tau}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)}{2\mu}\right) + F\left(2\mu \langle M^c \rangle_{\tau}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\mu} \mathbb{E}\left[F\left([M]_{\tau}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) + (2\mu)^q F\left(\langle M^c \rangle_{\tau}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into the inequality (3.7) we obtain inequality (3.5).

To obtain the inequality (3.6), observe that we need only show that, for some  $c > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[F(\langle M \rangle_{\tau})] \leq c \mathbb{E}[F([M]_{\tau})],$$

since  $\Delta F([M]_t) \geq F(\Delta[M]_t)$  by convexity of  $F$ . Now, for some  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} F(\langle M \rangle_t) &= \int_{0+}^t F'(\langle M \rangle_s) d\langle M \rangle_s^c + \sum_{0 < s \leq t} F'(\langle M \rangle_{s-} + \theta_s \Delta \langle M \rangle_s) \Delta \langle M \rangle_s \\ &\leq \int_{0+}^t F'(\langle M \rangle_s) d\langle M \rangle_s^c + \sum_{0 < s \leq t} F'(\langle M \rangle_s) \Delta \langle M \rangle_s \\ &= \int_{0+}^t F'(\langle M \rangle_s) d\langle M \rangle_s. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Stopping at  $\tau$  and then taking expectations we obtain that, for any  $\mu > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[F(\langle M \rangle_{\tau})] &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0+}^{\tau} F'(\langle M \rangle_t) d[M]_t\right] \leq \mathbb{E}[F'(\langle M \rangle_{\tau})[M]_{\tau}] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{F}\left(\frac{F'(\langle M \rangle_{\tau})}{2\mu}\right) + F(2\mu[M]_{\tau})\right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{\mu} F(\langle M \rangle_{\tau}) + (2\mu)^q F([M]_{\tau})\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $\mu = 2$  we obtain the desired result.



For the general case, we can now proceed by approximation. Take a sequence of  $C^2$  convex functions  $\{F_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  such that  $F_n \uparrow F$ , and with the corresponding derivatives increasing to the left derivative  $F'_-$  of  $F$ . The sequence  $\{F_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  can be constructed in the standard way as a convolution “on the left” of  $F$  with an appropriate sequence of scaled versions of a (positive) mollifier  $\phi$  (i.e. a  $C^\infty$  function with compact support that integrates to 1):

$$F_n(x) = \int_0^\infty F(x-t)\phi(nt)ndt,$$

where we define  $F(y) = 0$  for  $y < 0$ . Then the previous case implies that for each  $F_n$ , the inequalities in (3.5) and (3.6) hold and so the result follows by letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using the Monotone Convergence Theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** By taking  $F$  appropriately in Theorem 3.2, we recover the inequalities in Theorem 2.1. Indeed, (2.1) follows from (3.6) and (3.5) by taking  $F : x \mapsto x^{q/2}$  and  $F : x \mapsto x^q$ , for  $q > 2$ , respectively. It is worth observing that Theorem 3.2 also extends the RHS inequality of (2.1) in Theorem 2.1 to the case  $q \in (1, 2)$ .

The BDG inequality in (1.2) and Theorem 3.2 imply the following

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $F$  be a strictly increasing and convex moderate function. For any càdlàg local martingale  $M$ , there exist universal constants  $c_F, C_F > 0$  such that for all stopping times  $\tau$*

$$\mathbb{E} ( F ( M_\tau^* ) ) \leq C_F \mathbb{E} \left[ \max \left\{ F \left( \langle M \rangle_\tau^{\frac{1}{2}} \right), A_\tau^{(2,F)} \right\} \right] \quad (3.9)$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \max \left\{ F ( \langle M \rangle_\tau ) , A_\tau^{(F)} \right\} \right] \leq c_F \mathbb{E} ( F ( (M_\tau^*)^2 ) ) , \quad (3.10)$$

so that (3.3) does hold if  $F : x \mapsto G(x^2)$ , where  $G$  is a strictly increasing, convex, moderate function.

## References

- [1] Applebaum, D.: Lévy processes and stochastic calculus. *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, 2nd edition, 2009. MR2512800
- [2] Barlow, M. T., Jacka, S. D. and Yor, M.: Inequalities for a pair of processes stopped at a random time. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 52(3): 142–172, 1986. MR0812449
- [3] Jacod, J. and Shiryaev, A. N.: Limits theorems for stochastic processes, volume 288 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [A Series of Comprehensive Studies in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987. MR0959133
- [4] Kunita, H.: Stochastic differential equations based on Lévy processes and stochastic flows of diffeomorphisms. *Trends in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, 2004. MR2090755
- [5] Lenglart, E., Lépingle, D. and Pratelli, M.: Présentation unifiée de certaines inégalités de la théorie des martingales. *Séminaire de Probabilités*, 14: 26–48, 1980. MR0580107
- [6] Liptser, R. Sh. and Shiryaev, A. N.: Theory of martingales. *Mathematics and its Applications*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1989. MR1022664
- [7] Marinelli, C. and Röckner, M.: On maximal inequalities for purely discontinuous martingales in infinite dimensions. *Séminaire de Probabilités* 46: 293–315, 2014. MR3330821
- [8] Protter, P. E.: Stochastic integration and differential equations, volume 21 of *Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005. Second edition. Version 2.1, Corrected third printing. MR2273672
- [9] Rogers, L. C. G. and Williams, D.: Diffusions, Markov processes and martingales: Itô Calculus, volume 2. *Cambridge University Press*, 2nd edition, 2000. MR1780932