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ABSTRACT

Planetesimals – asteroids and comets – are the building blocks of planets in protoplanetary discs and the source of dust, ice and gas in debris discs. Along with planets they comprise the left-over material after star formation that constitutes a planetary system. Planetesimals influence the dynamics of planetesimals, sculpting the orbits of debris belts to produce asymmetries or gaps. We can constrain the architecture of planetary systems, and infer the presence of unseen planetary companions, by high spatial resolution imaging of debris discs. HD 16743 is a relatively young F-type star that hosts a bright edge-on debris disc. Based on far-infrared Herschel observations its disc was thought to be stirred by a planetary companion. Here we present the first spatially resolved observations at near-infrared and millimetre wavelengths with HST and ALMA, revealing the disc to be highly inclined at 87.3±6.9° with a radial extent of 157.7±2.6 au and a FWHM of 79.4±8.1 au (ΔR/R = 0.5). The vertical scale height of the disc is 0.13 ± 0.02, significantly greater than typically assumed unstirred value of 0.05, and could be indicative of stirring of the dust-producing planetesimals within the disc by bodies at least a few times the mass of Pluto up to 18.3 Mₚ in the single object limit.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Planetesimals, asteroidal or cometary bodies grown from the agglomeration of dust grains or pebbles, are believed to form rapidly in belts within protoplanetary discs (Lovell et al. 2021). These bodies are the material that fuels the assembly of planets, either gas giants which grow within the lifetime of the gas-rich protoplanetary disc, in the first few Myr of the star’s life (Pollack et al. 1996; Suárez Mascareño et al. 2021), or rocky planets that may form much later, perhaps up to 100 Myr (Kokubo & Ida 1998; Chambers 2001, 2004; Johansen et al. 2023). Studies of the largest and brightest protoplanetary discs (Andrews et al. 2018) revealed annular structures thought to be indicative of the gravitational influence of nascent proto-planets on the surrounding disc (Dong et al. 2018; Huang et al. 2018; Zhang et al. 2018), due to their lack of correlation with the temperature structure (Long et al. 2018; van der Marel et al. 2019). Those large, bright protoplanetary discs are thought to be analogous to the largest, brightest debris discs we observe around much older stars (van der Marel & Mulders 2021; Michel et al. 2021; Najita et al. 2022). The inheritance of structure from the protoplanetary to debris disc phase is still uncertain (Lodato et al. 2019), as is the mass budget required to create the planetary systems we have observed (Manara et al. 2018; Tychoniec et al. 2020; Mulders et al. 2021; Krivov & Wyatt 2021).

Debris discs around main sequence stars are tenuous, dusty structures generated by collisions within those remnant planetesimal belts that survived both the dispersal of their protoplanetary disc and the simultaneous and/or subsequent planet formation processes (e.g. Hughes et al. 2018). In contrast to their progenitor protoplanetary discs they are generally gas-poor, although increasing evidence suggests that substantial masses of CO gas may reside in the youngest and more massive debris disc systems, predominantly around A- and F-type stars (Greaves et al. 2016; Moór et al. 2017; Kral et al. 2017; Marino et al. 2020; Hales et al. 2022), but more recently also later type stars (Matrà et al. 2019b; Kral et al. 2020). Detailed
characterisation of these systems requires multi-wavelength, spatially resolved imaging to determine both the spatial distribution of the dust-producing planetesimals, and the optical properties of the dust grains (e.g. Marshall et al. 2014). At far-infrared and millimetre wavelengths observations trace thermal emission from the largest and coldest dust grains in the debris disc, a proxy for the location of the planetesimals (e.g. Matrà et al. 2018; Marshall et al. 2021). At near-infrared wavelengths observations measure the scattered light from small grains revealing their albedo, shape, and scattering properties (e.g. Schneider et al. 2014; Esposito et al. 2020).

We can identify the influence of unseen planetary companions on debris discs with high resolution imaging observations at millimetre wavelengths to trace the shape and structure of the planetesimal belts (e.g. Marino 2021; Faramaz et al. 2021). Theoretical expectations place constraints on the timescales required for the dynamical stirring of debris discs, either by planetesimals within the belt (Krivov & Booth 2018), or by an external perturber (planet) (Mustill & Wyatt 2009). Young, bright debris discs are especially suited for this work. Previously, the influence of planetary companions has been inferred from analysis of bright debris discs with Herschel (Moór et al. 2015; Vican et al. 2016), but the modest angular resolution of that facility, combined with its operational wavelength in the far-infrared lead to some ambiguity in the interpretation of the structures observed for those discs, e.g. the width of the debris disc was rarely resolved (e.g. Marshall et al. 2021).

At millimetre wavelengths with interferometric facilities (e.g. ALMA, SMA), much higher angular resolution observations are possible. Imaging observations with ALMA have revealed structures inferred to be the result of disc-planet interactions (Pearce et al. 2022), such as eccentric debris discs (MacGregor et al. 2017; Faramaz et al. 2019), gaps within broad debris discs (Marino et al. 2018, 2019), two populations of planetesimals required to explain the vertical distribution of dust around β Pictoris (Matrà et al. 2019b), and the halo of millimetre dust grains around several stars, including HR 8799 (MacGregor et al. 2018; Geiller et al. 2019). Studies of debris discs radii vs. brightness revealed a strong decline in brightness in the first 100 Myr, suggested to be the result of embedded planets depleting their host discs (Pawellek et al. 2021). Furthermore, that same work identified that the debris discs around young F-type stars in the β Pictoris moving group were narrower than expected, again inferred to be the influence of planetary companions on the planetesimal belts. A separate work found that debris discs with narrow belts were more likely to be eccentric (Kennedy 2020), consistent with the notion that a planetary companion was perturbing the belt (although the eccentricity could equally be imprinted in the protoplanetary disc phase).

In this work we present a detailed investigation of the architecture and dust properties of the debris disc around HD 16743, a young, F-type star estimated to be between 10 and 50 Myr old (Moór et al. 2011), and previously identified as potentially being stirred by a planetary companion (Moór et al. 2015). We combine spatially resolved imaging in near-infrared scattered light and millimetre wavelength thermal emission with ancillary photometry and spectroscopy to characterise the system. The rest of the paper is laid out as follows: in Section 2 we present details of the new ALMA and VLT/SPHERE observations, along with existing archival data. The modelling approaches to determine the disc architecture and dust properties are then summarised along with their outcomes in Section 3. We give context to these results in Section 4 through comparison with similar debris discs. Finally, we give a summary of our findings and our conclusions in Section 5.

2 OBSERVATIONS

In this section we present various data sets including ALMA, Herschel, HST/NICMOS, and VLT/SPHERE imaging data, Spitzer/IRS mid-infrared spectroscopy, and optical to mid-infrared photometry from Tycho, 2MASS, WISE, and Akari. In combination, these measurements span the stellar photosphere and disc continuum and scattered light contributions to the total observed emission. We present the reduction process for the ALMA, HST/NICMOS, and VLT/SPHERE observations in detail, whilst the remaining data were taken from public archives and catalogues.

2.1 ALMA

ALMA Band 6 observations of HD 16743 were taken in Cycle 6 as part of project 2019.1.01220.S (PI: J.P. Marshall). These data were obtained from the ESO ALMA Science Archive1. The target was observed over two scheduling blocks on 17th and 19th December 2019 achieving close to the requested continuum sensitivity (12 μJy achieved cf. 10 μJy requested, 1.6 hrs total on-source time), with an angular resolution of ≈ 1″ (baselines from 15 to 313 m). The spectral setup consisted of four windows; three windows were set up to measure the continuum, each with 128 channels over 2 GHz bandwidth. A fourth window covered the 12CO (2-1) line at 230.538 GHz and sampled its 1.5 GHz bandwidth with 1916 channels (1.278 kms⁻¹). In combination, the four channels provided a total of 7.5 GHz bandwidth to study the target emission.

Calibration and reduction of the ALMA observation were carried out in CASA 5.6 using scripts provided by the observatory. Image reconstruction was carried out using the `clean` task combining all four spectral windows for the greatest signal-to-noise after visual inspection of the baseband covering the CO (2-1) molecular line revealed no significant emission. We reconstruct the image using Briggs weighting with a robustness parameter of 0.5 so as to maximise the sensitivity to faint emission whilst retaining the angular resolution. The Briggs-weighted continuum image used in the analysis presented here has an r.m.s. noise of 15 μJy/beam. The dirty beam has an ellipsoidal FWHM 1.25″ × 0.98″ at a position angle of 70°.8, equivalent to a spatial resolution of 36 μas.

The disc is detected in continuum emission with a peak signal-to-noise ratio in excess of 10 at the disc ansae, and signal-to-noise ratio greater than 5 across the whole disc extent. The disc is oriented roughly NNW-SEE with a near edge-on presentation, highly inclined compared to predictions based on prior Herschel imaging. The continuum image and visibilities are shown in Figure 1. We do not find any significant emission associated with the CO (2-1) line, which is shown in Figure 2.

2.2 VLT/SPHERE

HD 16743 (HIP 12361; Gaia DR3 4742097275828451584) was observed with the high-contrast imager VLT/SPHERE (Beuzit et al. 2019) as part of the SPHERE High-Angular Resolution Debris Disks Survey2 (SHARDDS, Wahhaj et al. 2016; Choquet et al. 2017; Marshall et al. 2018; Cronin-Coltsmann et al. 2021). This survey is an imaging search aimed at resolving and characterising new debris discs never detected in scattered light around stars within 100 pc, having an infrared excess greater than 10⁻³. It uses the IRDIS

1 http://almascience.eso.org/aq/
2 ESO programs 096.C-0388 and 097.C-0394
subsystem (Dohlen et al. 2008) in broad band H (\( \lambda = 1.625 \mu m \), \( \Delta \lambda = 0.290 \mu m \)) and the apodised Lyot coronagraph of diameter 185 mas. HD 16743 was observed on the night of October 3rd 2015. The coronagraphic observations lasted \( \sim 40 \) minutes. They were carried out in pupil-stabilised mode to allow the use of Angular Differential Imaging (ADI, Marois et al. 2006) to subtract the stellar halo. The star was observed symmetrically about meridian, which led to a total parallactic angle rotation of 21º, or 22 resolution elements at 2.5\( \lambda \). In total, the sequence gathered 288 coronagraphic images of individual Detector Integration Time (DIT) 8s. The DIJM seeing ranged between 0.75 and 1.0 and the achieved Strehl was \( \sim 80\% \).

To mitigate the problem of self-subtraction of any astrophysical signal inherent to ADI (e.g. Milli et al. 2012), Reference Differential Imaging (RDI, Ruane et al. 2019) was also applied as an alternative data reduction technique to subtract the glare of the central star.

The disc is marginally detected at the ansae, as shown in Figure 4. The disc geometry is consistent with the ALMA image. It has a mean surface brightness of 40 \( \mu \)Jy.arcsec\(^{-2} \) in H-band, but has been strongly affected by the image processing.

### 2.3 HST/NICMOS

We acquired HST/NICMOS observations of HD 16743 from the Hubble archive. These observations were originally taken as part of program GO-11157 (PI: J. Rhee), a search for debris discs around 22 targets with strong IRAS infrared excess. HD 16743 was observed on July 23 2007 with the coronagraphic imaging mode of the NIC2 camera (0.07565 pixel\(^{-1} \), focal plane mask radius 0.03). The observations were obtained in the two wide-band filters, F110W (\( \lambda = 1.115 \mu m, \Delta \lambda = 0.562 \mu m 95\%-integrated bandwidth) and F160W (\( \lambda = 1.601 \mu m, \Delta \lambda = 0.390 \mu m 95 \%-integrated bandwidth). Each filterband image was taken with five frames at two different spacecraft orientations (30º apart) to reduce the impact of unattenuated PSF artefacts on the recovery of faint extended structure. Total on-source integration time was 38.4 mins in the F110W filter and 39.5 mins in the F160W filter.

These data were reduced and combined (de-rotated, stacked) using an advanced version of the pipeline developed for the ALICE program (PI: R. Soummer), a consistent reanalysis of the HST/NICMOS coronographic archives with advanced starlight subtraction methods (Choquet et al. 2014; Hagan et al. 2018), which allowed the discovery of 12 other new debris discs in scattered light (Soummer et al. 2014; Choquet et al. 2016, 2017, 2018; Marshall et al. 2018). To subtract the stellar contribution in each images of both data sets and reveal the faint disc around HD 16743, we used large libraries of reference star images assembled from the NICMOS coronographic archives in the corresponding bandpass, down-selected to match the raw image of the target by cross-correlation (F110W library: 281 frames from 39 different reference stars; F160W library: 397 frames from 38 different reference stars). In these libraries, we also added the images of the target obtained in the complementary telescope roll, which provide the best PSF matches and significantly helped recovering the disc signal, albeit producing some self-subtraction near the star (limited effect thanks to the edge-on geometry of the disk). We computed the stellar PSF models with the PCA-CLIP algorithm (Soummer et al. 2012) using 33% of the principal components of the libraries, after masking the central part of the image within a radius of 14 pixels. These models were then subtracted from each target image to reveal the faint disk, and the images were derotated to North up, mean-combined, and scaled to Jy.arcsec\(^{-2} \) using the NICMOS photometric calibration values.

The disc is detected with a mean signal-to-noise ratio on the disc surface of 4.2 per pixel in the F110W image and 2.9 per pixel in the F160W image. The disc has a geometry consistent with the ALMA image. It has a mean surface brightness of 53 \( \mu \)Jy.arcsec\(^{-2} \) in the F110W filter, and 40 \( \mu \)Jy.arcsec\(^{-2} \) in the F160W filter. The images are shown in Figure 5 (left).

### 2.4 Ancillary data

We complement the ALMA, HST/NICMOS, and VLT/SPHERE observations described above with a range of spectroscopic and photometric data taken from the literature. These data include optical and near-infrared photometry from Gaia, Tycho, and 2MASS (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016, 2018; Høg et al. 2000; Skrutskie et al. 2006), mid-infrared photometry from Akari, WISE, and Spitzer (Ishihara et al. 2010; Wright et al. 2010; Mor et al. 2011), the Spitzer/IRS spectrum taken from the Combined Atlas of Sources with Spitzer IRS Spectra (CASSIS; Lebouteiller et al. 2011), and the Herschel/PACS and SPIRE far-infrared and sub-millimetre imaging observations taken from the Herschel Science Archive\(^3\) as level 2.5 pipeline reduced, mosaicked data products. A summary of the photometry used in the radiative transfer modelling is provided in Table 1.

### 3 MODELLING AND RESULTS

Here we present the process of our analysis. We begin by determining the extent and orientation of the disc at millimetre wavelengths, searching for any evidence of disc-planet interaction in the revealed architecture, and line emission from molecular CO gas. We then use the measured disc architecture as a constraint in the radiative transfer modelling to determine the minimum size of dust grains in the disc, the size distribution of these grains, and their total mass. Thereafter, we combine the continuum emission modelling with scattered light observations to determine the scattering albedo of the dust grains.

---

\(^3\) http://cassis.sirtf.com/atlas/
\(^4\) http://archives.esac.esa.int/hsa/whsa/
3.1 Millimetre emission

We model the disc as a single Gaussian annulus. Its architecture is defined by a flux density $f_{\text{disc}}$, peak radius $R_{\text{peak}}$ and full width at half maximum $R_{\text{fwhm}}$, scale height $h = z/R$, inclination $i$, and position angle $\phi$. Additionally, we include parameters for the stellar photospheric contribution $f_*$, and position offsets $\Delta RA, \Delta Dec$ between the star (assumed to be at the phase centre of the observations) and the disc centre. Previous spatially resolved Herschel observations of the disc at far-infrared wavelengths provide good constraints on the radius and inclination (Moór et al. 2015), although the disc appears much more inclined to the line-of-sight in the ALMA millimetre imaging.

We use the Python-based code Modelling Interferometric Array Observations (MIAO, Luca Matrà) to compare disc models to the observed image in the visibility plane. For clarity, a brief summary of the modelling process using MIAO is given here. The $u,v$ spacings, real and imaginary visibilities, and their weights are first calculated by combining and averaging the channels of the four spectral windows of the calibrated measurement set into a single channel using the CASA task $\text{mstransform}$, reducing the size of the data set considerably in the process. A model image of the inclined disc surface brightness profile is generated using $\text{RADMC-3D}$ (Dullemond et al. 2012), rotated according to the required position angle, and the star is added as a point source shifted relative to the image centre according to the star-disc offset. This model is then convolved with the primary beam of the interferometer. Finally, synthetic visibilities are generated by taking the Fourier transform of model images evaluated at the same $u,v$ points as the observation using the GALARIO package (Tazzari et al. 2018). The least squares sum of the weighted synthetic visibilities is then calculated to determine the quality of the model’s representation of the observations. To explore the parameter space and determine the maximum probability model, we use the package EMCEE (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013). The ensemble sampler is set up using ten walkers per free parameter in the model (nine parameters) and 1000 steps for a total of 90000 realisations. The first 800 steps of the run are discarded as burn-in, leaving the posterior probability distribution to be evaluated from the remaining 18000 realisations of the model. The walkers are initialised at values derived from a 2D Gaussian fit to the source brightness profile in the ALMA image (for $R_{\text{peak}}$, $R_{\text{fwhm}}$, $i$, and $\phi$), a scale height of 0.03, flux densities of 1 mJy for the disc and 0.1 mJy for the star, and an assumed zero offset for the stellar position. The walkers are then given an additional random uniform scatter of up to ±10% of those values to create their initial starting positions.

The results of the disc fitting are presented in Table 2, along with the ALMA continuum image and $u,v$ plot for the observations and maximum probability model in Figure 1. The disc extent $R_{\text{peak}} = 157.7^{+2.6}_{-1.5}$ au, width $R_{\text{fwhm}} = 79.4^{+8.1}_{-7.7}$ au, and position angle $\phi = 168^{\circ}5^{\circ \pm 0.6}$, are consistent with the interpretation of the Herschel/PACS far-infrared imaging observations (Moór et al. 2015; Marshall et al. 2021). The inclination of the system is close to edge-on, with an inclination $i = 87^{\circ}3^{\circ \pm 1.9}$, this is much more inclined than the orientation expected based on the Herschel/PACS observations (around $58^{\circ}5^{\circ \pm 8^{\circ}3}$). HD 16743 was only marginally resolved along the major axis of the disc in those data, so the revision to a steeper inclination is not so drastic, and possibly related to variation observed in the PACS PSF (Kennedy et al. 2012). Based on
the absence of significant residuals (≥ 3-σ) after the subtraction of the maximum likelihood model from the observation, there is no evidence for non-axisymmetric structure to the disc indicative of stirring by a companion. This is consistent with the recent collisional modelling of debris discs that interpreted the extent and brightness of the HD 16743 system as being consistent with self-stirring (Krivov & Booth 2018). We also find no evidence in the modelling for an additional disc component to the system, despite the presence of substantial mid-infrared excess in the SED, although this may be attributed to the sensitivity of the ALMA observations being too low to detect the warm component. Likewise, the stellar photosphere is also not detected at the sensitivity of the ALMA observations, and there is no significant star-disc offset inferred from the modelling.

Having identified the extent and orientation of the disc in the continuum image, we then use that to search for gas emission from the disc in the spectral window covering the CO (2-1) line at 230.538 GHz. We define a spatial mask for the spectral window consisting of pixels which have ≥ 3-σ emission in the continuum image. A spectrum is then extracted for each pixel in that mask and an independent frequency shift is applied to each spectrum based on the projected semi-major axes of the pixel centres and the Keplerian velocity at that distance from the star. We then interpolate the individual spectra to a set of common velocities and sum over the pixel spectra to produce a final spectrum for CO emission from the system, which is presented in Figure 2. We find no evidence for any CO emission associated with the disc, and obtain a 5-σ upper limit of 1.4 mJy/beam in a 10 km/s wide channel, equivalent to 1.3×10^{-22} W/m^2, from the observation. This constraint is far above the predicted CO emission level of 1.4×10^{-24} W/m^2 (M_CO = 2.8×10^{-7} M⦿) from Kral et al. (2017).

3.2 Fundamental stellar parameters

As found in the Gaia Data Release 3 (DR3, Gaia Collaboration et al. 2021), HD 16743 has a parallax Π= 17.299±0.018 mas, a proper motion specified by μ_Ra = 73.546±0.017 mas yr^{-1} and μ_Dec= 49.560±0.020 mas yr^{-1}, and a radial velocity v_R= 14.55±0.40 km s^{-1}. These values are likened to those of the close par pair formed by HD 16699 (Π= 17.273±0.016 mas; μ_Ra = 74.749±0.016 mas yr^{-1} and μ_Dec= 48.728±0.017 mas yr^{-1} and v_R = 16.14±0.18 km s^{-1}) and HD 16699B (SAO 232842; Π= 16.69±0.21 mas; μ_Ra = 68.39±0.20 mas yr^{-1} and μ_Dec= 49.79±0.23 mas yr^{-1}; v_R = 15.43±1.15 km s^{-1}). Given these stars likely form a dynamically linked system, it is wise to assume a common origin for them.

The latter statement was already heeded by Moór et al. (2011), who supported the youth of HD 16743 based on the age indicators of SAO 232842. They emphasised that this star preserves a high lithium (Li) abundance and that it is an X-ray source with L_X/L_bol = −3.32, a ratio comparable with those of similar spectral type stars in the Pleiades and in young nearby moving groups. Yet, Desidera et al. (2015) found that SAO 232842 is a close visual binary with a separation between components of 0.06 arcsec (3.6 au) and ΔH=0.2±0.2 mag from VLT/NaCo observations, and pointed out that the age indicators, including the Li equivalent width of 250 mÅ, are puzzling. They methodically examined all relevant information before determining an age of 100±400 Ma. This roughly corresponds to the age of the Pleiades, bounded by those of the younger IC 4665 and the older Hyades open star clusters (Cantat-Gaudin 2022).

In order to infer the fundamental stellar parameters of HD 16743, we have employed the Bayesian inference code of del Burgo & Allende Prieto (2016, 2018). As found in the Gaia Data Release 3 (DR3, Gaia Collaboration et al. 2021), HD 16743 has a parallax Π= 17.299±0.018 mas, a proper motion specified by μ_Ra = 73.546±0.017 mas yr^{-1} and μ_Dec= 49.560±0.020 mas yr^{-1}, and a radial velocity v_R= 14.55±0.40 km s^{-1}. These values are likened to those of the close par pair formed by HD 16699 (Π= 17.273±0.016 mas; μ_Ra = 74.749±0.016 mas yr^{-1} and μ_Dec= 48.728±0.017 mas yr^{-1} and v_R = 16.14±0.18 km s^{-1}) and HD 16699B (SAO 232842; Π= 16.69±0.21 mas; μ_Ra = 68.39±0.20 mas yr^{-1} and μ_Dec= 49.79±0.23 mas yr^{-1}; v_R = 15.43±1.15 km s^{-1}). Given these stars likely form a dynamically linked system, it is wise to assume a common origin for them.

The latter statement was already heeded by Moór et al. (2011), who supported the youth of HD 16743 based on the age indicators of SAO 232842. They emphasised that this star preserves a high lithium (Li) abundance and that it is an X-ray source with L_X/L_bol = −3.32, a ratio comparable with those of similar spectral type stars in the Pleiades and in young nearby moving groups. Yet, Desidera et al. (2015) found that SAO 232842 is a close visual binary with a separation between components of 0.06 arcsec (3.6 au) and ΔH=0.2±0.2 mag from VLT/NaCo observations, and pointed out that the age indicators, including the Li equivalent width of 250 mÅ, are puzzling. They methodically examined all relevant information before determining an age of 100±400 Ma. This roughly corresponds to the age of the Pleiades, bounded by those of the younger IC 4665 and the older Hyades open star clusters (Cantat-Gaudin 2022).

In order to infer the fundamental stellar parameters of HD 16743, we have employed the Bayesian inference code of del Burgo & Allende Prieto (2016, 2018) applied to the PARSEC v1.2S library of stellar evolution models (Bressan et al. 2012). Its statistical comparison with dynamical masses of detached eclipsing binaries proves that the combined method and library are an apposite choice, especially...
for main-sequence stars, where the predicted masses are, on average, within 4% of accuracy (del Burgo & Allende Prieto 2018).

We run the code in a similar way to del Burgo & Allende Prieto (2016), but taking as inputs the absolute G magnitude, $M_G$, and the colour $BP-PR$ from Gaia DR3, and the age propounded by Desidera et al. (2015). We assumed the star is not affected by interstellar extinction, which is justified by the small distance of $57.81 \pm 0.06$ pc. Conversely to del Burgo & Allende Prieto (2018), we did not arrive at a solution hooked to the most likely evolution phase, but permitted the code to yield all models (particularly, those of the pre-main and main sequence) compatible with the inputs and their uncertainties. Table 3 displays these inputs and the inferred fundamental stellar parameters of HD 16743.

As a sanity check, we applied the same code on SAO 232842, under the premise that it is composed of two stars alike. This is supported by the work of Desidera et al. (2015), who noticed that the components are indistinguishable by the ASAS photometry. The assertion allowed us to deduce a rigorous first-order approximation for the photometric inputs of each star from the unresolved Gaia data. Here, we adopted, as a prior, that they are on the pre-main-sequence and have an iron to hydrogen abundance ratio $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = 0.2\pm0.2$, i.e., slightly above but still compatible with Solar metallicity. The latter served as an input parameter, along with the estimated absolute G magnitude and the BP-RP colour from Gaia DR3. The resulting age of $57\pm19$ Ma differs from that (namely, $16\pm3$ Ma) obtained if we ignore that SAO 232842 is a binary star, while it is consistent with the findings of Desidera et al. (2015). Specifically, our lower limit of the age matches theirs.

### 3.3 Spectral energy distribution

We model HD 16743’s spectral energy distribution (SED) as a star plus two dust components - a modified blackbody to represent the warm excess present at mid-infrared wavelengths, and a parametric model to represent the cold excess at mid-infrared to millimetre wavelengths. The stellar contribution to the SED is fitted by interpolation between stellar photosphere models from the BT-NEXTGEN grid (Barber et al. 2006; Asplund et al. 2009; Allard et al. 2011, 2012). The best-fit model is determined by least-squares fitting of synthetic photometry from the calculated models to the observations at wavelength between 0.4 and 10 μm. We adopt values for the stellar parameters as determined in the previous section, i.e. $T_\star = 6953$ K, $R_\star = 1.535 R_\odot$, and $L_\star = 4.95 L_\odot$. We also assume Solar metallicity, and use the distance of 57,806 pc derived from the Gaia DR3 parallax (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2021).

The warm modified blackbody component of the disc is defined by its temperature $T_{\text{warm}}$, peak wavelength $\lambda_0$, and sub-millimetre slope $\beta$. These parameters are determined by simultaneously fitting two modified blackbody components to the photometry with $\lambda > 10$ μm and $s/n > 3$. It is assumed that both modified blackbody components have the same break wavelength and slope, so the $\lambda_0$ and $\beta$ of the warm component are dictated by the fit to the cold component. This assumption is not necessarily true, due to size-dependent radial migration in the disc impacting the size distribution at a given radial distance, but the current observations do not directly probe the sub-millimetre spectral slope of the warm component. The best-fit parameters for the two components are determined by least-squares fitting to the observations. We find that the inner warm component has a best-fit temperature $T_{\text{warm}} = 120$ K, with $\lambda_0 = 200$ μm and $\beta = 1.5$ (based on the cold component), and a fractional luminosity $(L_{\text{dust}}/L_\star)$ of $7 \times 10^{-5}$. The warm component fitted in this way is subtracted from the observed photometry before fitting the parametric model to the cold component so as not to bias the results of that fit.

The cold component of the disc is defined by the radial extent and width, $R_{\text{peak}}$ and $R_{\text{rhm}}$ (inferred from the ALMA observations), the minimum and maximum size of the dust grains, $a_{\text{min}}$ and $a_{\text{max}}$ (fixed as 1 mm), the exponent of the dust power law size distribution $q$, the total mass of dust $M_{\text{dust}}$, and its composition (assumed to be astronomical silicates, Draine 2003). From the modified blackbody fit, the cold component has a fractional luminosity $(L_{\text{dust}}/L_\star)$ of $3.2 \times 10^{-4}$. We use the Python MCMC package emcee (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013) to determine the maximum probability parameters for the cold component model. We use 30 walkers (10 per parameter) and 500 steps to explore the parameter space with emcee, initialising the walkers at values of 3.0 μm for $a_{\text{min}}$, 3.5 for $q$, and $10^{-4} M_\odot$ for $M_{\text{dust}}$, plus a uniform random scatter of 10% for each value. For each set of parameters a radiative transfer model of the system is run. Synthetic photometry is then calculated from that model at the relevant wavelengths for comparison with the observations and their associated uncertainties. The posterior probability distribution was constructed from the final 100 steps of the chains (3,000 realisations of the model). The maximum probability and its uncertainties are determined using the 16th, 50th, and 84th percentiles of the posterior probability distribution. A summary of the model parameters, their ranges, and the results of the fitting are given in Table 4, whilst the best-fit model SED and the observations are presented in Figure 3.

### Table 4. Results of radiative transfer modelling.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Composition</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>astron. sil.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_{\text{min}}$ (μm)</td>
<td>0.5–50.0</td>
<td>5.01$^{+0.11}_{-0.09}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_{\text{max}}$ (μm)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q$</td>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>3.72 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{\text{dust}}$ ($\times 10^{-3} M_\odot$)</td>
<td>0.01–10.0</td>
<td>4.38 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi^2$</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>45.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Spectral energy distribution of HD 16743. White data points are ancillary photometry from the literature, whilst the red data point denotes the new ALMA observation. The light blue line denotes the Spitzer/IRS spectrum. The stellar photosphere model is denoted by the grey dotted line, the disc emission by the grey dashed line, and the total emission by the black solid line. The blue, orange, and red solid lines denote the disc scattered light, warm, and cold components, respectively.
To accurately estimate the albedo of the disc in the NICMOS images, the ALMA-resolved disc extent is a lower limit for the disc brightness. The star-subtracted HD 16743 observed with VLT/SPHERE is shown in Figure 4, after applying an ADI reduction, smoothing with a square kernel of size 11 pixels (3.4 resolution elements) and masking the inner 1″7, which is dominated by stellar residuals. The algorithm which turned out to best reveal this faint emission is a flavour of ADI known as radial ADI (referred to as rADI in Milli et al. 2012), where the reference frame is estimated radially in annuli of width 1 resolution element and with a separation criteria $N_\delta = 4$, meaning that frames with a field rotation smaller than 4 resolution elements at the separation of the considered annulus are not taken into account to limit self-subtraction effects (Milli et al. 2012). The image shown in Figure 4 is expressed in $\mu$Jy/arcsec$^2$ but was not corrected by the throughput of the algorithm, meaning that the ~ 40 $\mu$Jy/arcsec$^2$ of the faint emission compatible with the ALMA-resolved disc extent is a lower limit for the disc brightness.

**4 DISCUSSION**

The high-resolution ALMA imaging presented here exhibits no structures indicative of stirring by a planetary companion; we find no evidence of a star-disc positional offset, nor any deviation of the disc from an axisymmetric ring. The large spatially resolved ex-
tent of HD 16743’s disc, combined with its young age, was previously considered suggestive of stirring by a planetary companion (Moór et al. 2015). The then-current stirring models considered by Moór et al. (2015) could not account for such a system through self-stirring alone, subject to assumptions on the required planetesimal size (Kenyon & Bromley 2008). More recent self-stirring models require a smaller initial planetesimal size to trigger the collisional cascade (Krivov & Booth 2018). In this revised scenario, the state of HD 16743’s disc can be explained through self-stirring without invoking an external perturber. The disc is also radially broad (FWHM \( \approx 79.4 \text{ au at } 157.7 \text{ au, or } \Delta R/R \approx 0.5 \)); re-observation at higher angular resolution would measure the disc radial profile to search for sculpting, or substructure to the belt at scales beneath the resolution reached with the present observations (\( \lesssim 36 \text{ au} \)). This would provide a further avenue to constrain the presence of planetary companions close to, or within the debris disc, but such observations would be hampered by the disc’s edge-on orientation.

We have also imaged the disc in scattered light, obtaining a marginal detection with VLT/SPHERE H-band observations, and imaging the ansae of the disc in reprocessed archival HST/NICMOS F110W and F160W observations. The dust albedoes derived from the scattered light brightnesses are consistent, pointing toward a scattering albedo of around 0.2 for the disc, consistent with similar systems (Choquet et al. 2018; Marshall et al. 2018). Such a low albedo is inconsistent with the expectations of Mie theory and astronomical silicate composition for the dust grains. The disc exhibits moderate forward scattering from the dust which is consistent with the relatively large minimum grain size of \( 5 \mu \text{m} \) inferred from the continuum emission. The disc shows the same extended vertical structure in scattered light as seen at millimetre wavelengths. We might expect the scattered light disc to be more extended due to the impact of stellar wind and radiation forces on the distribution of smaller dust grains. In this instance higher angular resolution observations at millimetre wavelengths to better resolve the vertical distribution of large grains (and the underlying planetesimals) within the system would clearly ascertain if this similarity is coincidence or not.

The millimetre and scattered light modelling of the disc reveals a preference for the vertical extent of the disc, measured to be \( 0.131^{+0.014}_{-0.016} \); to be more extended than the typically assumed value of 0.05. We can use the scale height as a constraint on the mass of bodies perturbing the disc, following the analyses presented in (Daley et al. 2019) and (Matrà et al. 2019a). Taking the stellar mass of...
1.537 $M_\odot$, a radius of 157.7 au for the disc, and the aforementioned scale height, we can apply these values to obtain a relative velocity of 1.6 ± 0.2 km/s. Assuming the relative velocity is comparable to the escape velocity of the largest bodies in the planetesimal belt (Schlichting 2014), and assuming a density of 2 g/cm$^3$ for those bodies consistent with massive trans-Neptunian objects (Carry 2012), we can infer a mass of 0.005 ± 0.001 $M_\oplus$ and corresponding radius of 1500$^\pm160$ km. This lower limit to the mass of perturbing bodies in the belt is around twice the mass of Pluto.

Furthermore, we can calculate an upper limit to the mass of a perturbing body using the steady state model of Pan & Schlichting (2012) using their equation 16 (and the formulation for $v_{esc} > v_R > v_{Kepler}$), following the reasoning of Daley et al. (2019). They assumed an equilibrium between the stirring by large bodies and damping through collisions in the AU Mic disc, predicting the velocity distribution of dust grains inferred from the scale height at millimetre wavelengths to the velocity distribution of the underlying planetesimal population stirring the disc and causing dust-producing collisions. Here, for the case of HD 16743, we assume that the stellar mass is 1.537 $M_\odot$, the dust mass (in grains up to 1 mm) is $4.38 \times 10^{-3}$ $M_\oplus$. The velocity distribution of the dust grains is taken from the disc scale height, where $v_{dust} = h \times v_{Kepler}$. We thus obtain a joint limit on the number and mass of bodies perturbing the disc of $\sqrt{N} M = 18.3 M_\oplus$. In the limit of $N = 1$, we can therefore conclude that HD 16743’s disc is being perturbed by bodies at least several times more massive than Pluto, and the maximum mass of a single body perturbing the belt is comparable to that of Neptune ($= 30 M_\oplus$).

5 CONCLUSIONS

We have spatially resolved the large, bright debris disc around HD 16743 in scattered light and millimetre wavelength imaging for the first time. The ALMA Band 6 interferometric observations reveal the architecture of the disc’s outer belt to be well represented by a single, axisymmetric component with a radial extent, $R_{peak} = 157.7_{-1.5}^{+2.7}$ au, consistent with previous far-infrared imaging observations, and a relatively broad width, $R_{FWHM}/R_{peak} = 0.5$. The disc lies in a near edge-on orientation with $i \approx 87^\circ \pm 3^\circ$, which is much more steeply inclined than previous estimates. Emission associated with an inner belt, inferred from the shape of the SED, is not detected in the ALMA observations, but this is consistent with the predicted emission based on standard assumptions. We also find no evidence of molecular CO gas emission from the disc, which is likewise consistent with the predicted non-detection based on models (Kral et al. 2017).

We calculate the sub-millimetre slope of the dust emission for HD 16743’s disc to have an exponent of 3.72 ± 0.03, significantly steeper than the oft-assumed steady state collisional cascade of 3.5 (Dohmanny 1969), and the bulk of debris disc measurements ≈ 3.3 (MacGregor et al. 2016; Marshall et al. 2017; Norfolk et al. 2021). Comparison with collisional models suggests the measured slope is consistent with the dust originating from collisions between bodies held together by their rigid strength (Pan & Schlichting 2012; Gáspár et al. 2012).

The disc was observed in scattered light by both VLT/SPIRE and HST/NICMOS. Coincidentally, both sets of observations had the same integration time (40 mins). The difference in quality between the detection with VLT/SPIRE and that obtained by HST/NICMOS clearly demonstrates the power of space-based high contrast imaging to detect and image faint circumstellar discs, for systems where neither a high spatial resolution nor a small inner working angle are required.

We measured the disc albedo at near-infrared wavelengths with HST/NICMOS, finding values of $\omega = 0.20$ in F110W and 0.29 in F160W. These values are consistent with observations of other debris disc systems (e.g. Choquet et al. 2018), but substantially lower than theoretical expectations. We find no evidence of strong forward scattering from the dust grains, which is somewhat unusual, but this may be attributed to the disc centre being occulted by the coronagraphic mask in the HST/NICMOS images, leaving the scattering properties to be derived only from the disc annulae.

The disc vertical scale height was resolved by both ALMA and
dust, obtaining limits in the range $0.005$ to $18.3 M_\odot$. These constraints are much tighter than previously obtained limits of around a Jupiter mass for the companion to the disc based on Herschel far-infrared imaging observations (Pearce et al. 2022).

No evidence for disc-planet interaction is found in the disc radial architecture at millimetre wavelengths, consistent with the expectation that the disc is self-stirred, following recent dynamical models (Krivov & Booth 2018). However, the broad fractional width of the disc provides an avenue for further exploration of this idea, as high resolution imaging of the majority of broad debris belts have revealed substructures consistent with gaps carved by a planetary companion (e.g. Marino et al. 2018, 2019; MacGregor et al. 2019). Additionally, the edge-on orientation of the disc makes more detailed examination of the disc vertical scale height very feasible, providing another pathway to search for a low-mass companion stirring the debris disc.
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APPENDIX A: POSTERIOR PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTIONS OF THE DISC MODELLING
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Figure A1. Corner plot showing the posterior probability distributions for the emcee runs used to identify the maximum amplitude probability model and calculate the uncertainties. The posteriors are mono-modal and well behaved except for the inclination which runs up against the edge of the allowed parameter space (90°). A small degeneracy between the scale height and the inclination is seen in the 2D distribution of these posteriors, expressed as a long tail in their combined distribution toward lower scale heights and inclinations.