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## 1．INTRODUCTION

The finite element time domain（FETD）method was employed recently to model plane wave scattering from 3D doubly periodic structures［1］．Emphasis was given to the accurate Floquet Absorbing Boundary Condition（FABC）［1］．But，although accurate，the FETD－FABC method suffers from the presence of time consuming convolution integrals．Very recently，a recursive convolution（RC）［2］methodology，henceforth called the multi－section RC（MS－RC）has successfully been employed to reduce considerably the time requirements of the 2 D scalar FETD－FABC［3］．This success encouraged us to investigate the application of MS－RC to the 3D vector FETD－FABC modelling of periodic structures knowing that the accuracy of the computation of the FABC affects stability［1］．

In this paper，we demonstrate that the MS－RC methodology of［3］can be applied successfully to the modelling of plane wave scattering from a 3D doubly periodic structure． The late－time field growth observed，which we henceforth term as late time instability，is delayed by improving the accuracy of the exponential summations needed in the MS－RC and by employing temporal triangular basis functions［1］to improve the accuracy of the numerical evaluation of the single－time－step integral terms present in the MS－RC．In addition，the matrix－pencil extrapolation method［4］is employed to effectively avoid the late time instability that is present in 3D vector FETD simulations［5，6］without stability correction schemes．The numerical results presented show that the 3D MS－RC－FETD－FABC code is 3 times faster than the 3D FETD－FABC employing standard convolution．

## 2．FETD－FABC FORMULATION

In our 3D formulation，the vector electric field is used as the working variable．Since Floquet＇s theorem applies in an infinite doubly periodic structure，only a single unit cell needs to be analysed（Figure 1）．In the frequency domain，where the time harmonic term $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{j} \omega \mathrm{t}}$ is suppressed，
the theorem can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r} ; \omega)=\mathbf{E}_{p}(\mathbf{r} ; \omega) e^{-j k_{0} \tau \mathbf{r}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathbf{E}_{p}$ is the periodic part of the electric field, $\mathbf{r}=x \hat{\mathbf{x}}+y \hat{\mathbf{y}}+z \hat{\mathbf{z}}, k_{0}=\omega / \mathrm{c}$ is the wavenumber in free space, $c$ is the speed of light in free space and $\tau$ is a vector whose coefficients are determined by the direction of the incident plane wave, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\tau}=\tau_{x} \hat{\mathbf{x}}+\tau_{y} \hat{\mathbf{y}}=\sin \theta^{i n c} \cos \phi^{i n c} \hat{\mathbf{x}}+\sin \theta^{i n c} \sin \phi^{i n c} \hat{\mathbf{y}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\theta^{\text {inc }}$ and $\phi^{\text {inc }}$ are defined in Figure 1.
The Galerkin weighted residual method is employed to solve the vector wave equation [7].
The weighting function is assumed to be of the form [7]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{r})=\mathbf{W}_{p}(\mathbf{r}) e^{+j k_{0} \tau \mathbf{r}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathbf{W}_{p}$ is the periodic part of the weighting function. Hence, the following frequency domain Galerkin weighted residual formulation in terms of the periodic part of $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{W}$ can be derived

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{V} \frac{1}{\mu_{r}}\left[\left(\nabla \times \mathbf{W}_{p}\right) \bullet\left(\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_{p}\right)\right] d V-k_{0}^{2} \int_{V}\left(\varepsilon_{r}-\frac{\sin ^{2} \theta^{i n c}}{\mu_{r}}\right)\left(\mathbf{W}_{p} \bullet \mathbf{E}_{p}\right) d V \\
& +j k_{0} \int_{V} \frac{1}{\mu_{r}}\left[\left(\boldsymbol{\tau} \times \mathbf{W}_{p}\right) \bullet\left(\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_{p}\right)-\left(\boldsymbol{\tau} \times \mathbf{E}_{p}\right) \bullet\left(\nabla \times \mathbf{W}_{p}\right)\right] d V-k_{0}^{2} \int_{V} \frac{1}{\mu_{r}}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau} \bullet \mathbf{W}_{p}\right)\left(\tau \bullet \mathbf{E}_{p}\right) d V  \tag{4}\\
& =-\int_{S_{1}} \frac{1}{\mu_{r}} \mathbf{W}_{p, t} \bullet\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}_{p, t}}{\partial z}-\left(\nabla_{t} E_{p, z}-j k_{0} \boldsymbol{\tau} E_{p, z}\right)\right] d S+\int_{S_{2}} \frac{1}{\mu_{r}} \mathbf{W}_{p, t} \bullet\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}_{p, t}}{\partial z}-\left(\nabla_{t} E_{p, z}-j k_{0} \boldsymbol{\tau} E_{p, z}\right)\right] d S
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mu_{\mathrm{r}}$ and $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ are the relative permeability and relative permittivity respectively. $\mathbf{W}_{p, t}=W_{p, x} \hat{\mathbf{x}}+W_{p, y} \hat{\mathbf{y}} . \mathbf{E}_{p, t}=E_{p, x} \hat{\mathbf{x}}+E_{p, y} \hat{\mathbf{y}}$ and $E_{p, z}$ are the tangential and normal components, respectively, of the periodic part of the total field with respect to surfaces $S_{1}$ or $S_{2}$. A similar notation is used for the incident field, $\mathbf{E}_{p}^{\text {inc }}=\mathbf{E}_{p, t}^{i n c}+E_{p, z}^{i n c} \hat{\mathbf{z}} . S_{1}, S_{2}$ and $V$ are defined in Figure 1. In the formulations and simulations that follow $S_{1}$ is assumed to be at $z=z_{1}=0$. The symbol $\nabla_{t}=\partial / \partial x \hat{\mathbf{x}}+\partial / \partial y \hat{\mathbf{y}}$ denotes the tangential gradient operator. The surface integrals
along periodic boundary pairs ( $S_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $S_{\mathrm{y}}$, see Figure 1) cancel out each other due to the periodic boundary conditions and the fact that the normal unit vectors of the boundaries have opposite directions. Note that the FEM meshes at the boundaries of each periodic boundary pair are identical. At the non-periodic boundaries $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ the Floquet ABC is derived using the Floquet harmonic expansion [7] and the orthogonality property of these harmonics. When this boundary condition is employed in (4) and equation (4) is transformed to the time-domain via the inverse Laplace transform (ILT), the following time-domain weighted residual equation is obtained

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{V} \frac{1}{\mu_{r}}\left[\left(\nabla \times \mathbf{W}_{p}\right) \bullet\left(\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_{p}\right)\right] d V+\frac{1}{c^{2}} \int_{V}\left(\varepsilon_{r}-\frac{\sin ^{2} \theta^{i n c}}{\mu_{r}}\right)\left(\mathbf{W}_{p} \bullet \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{E}_{p}}{\partial t^{2}}\right) d V \\
& +\frac{1}{c} \int_{V} \frac{1}{\mu_{r}}\left[\left(\boldsymbol{\tau} \times \mathbf{W}_{P}\right) \bullet\left(\nabla \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}_{p}}{\partial t}\right)-\left(\nabla \times \mathbf{W}_{p}\right) \bullet\left(\boldsymbol{\tau} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}_{p}}{\partial t}\right)\right] d V+\frac{1}{c^{2}} \int_{V} \frac{1}{\mu_{r}}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau} \bullet \mathbf{W}_{p}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\tau} \bullet \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{E}_{p}}{\partial t^{2}} d V\right.  \tag{5}\\
& =F^{S}(t)-\Omega^{1}(t)-\Omega^{2}(t)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& F^{S}(t)=\frac{2 \cos \theta^{i n c}}{c}\left(\int_{S_{1}} \mathbf{W}_{p, t} d S\right) \bullet \frac{d \mathbf{E}_{p, t}^{i n c}(t)}{d t}+\frac{2}{\cos \theta^{i n c} c}\left(\tau \bullet \int_{S_{1}} \mathbf{W}_{p, t} d S\right)\left(\tau \bullet \frac{d \mathbf{E}_{p, t}^{i n c}(t)}{d t}\right)  \tag{6}\\
& \Omega^{1,2}(t)=\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\int_{S_{1,2}} \mathbf{W}_{p, t} e^{-j \boldsymbol{p}_{t, n m} \bullet \mathbf{r}} d S\right) \bullet\left(\int_{S_{1,2}} \xi_{m n}^{(1)}(t) * \mathbf{E}_{p, t}(t) e^{+j \boldsymbol{\rho}_{t, m m} \bullet \mathbf{r}} d S\right) \\
& +\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\int_{S_{1,2}} W_{p, x} e^{-j \boldsymbol{p}_{l, m \boldsymbol{m}} \bullet \mathbf{r}} d S\right)\left(\int_{S_{1,2}} \xi_{m n}^{(2)}(t)^{*} E_{p, x}(t) e^{+\boldsymbol{p}_{\mathbf{l}}, m \boldsymbol{m}} \boldsymbol{\bullet} d S\right) \\
& +\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\int_{S_{1,2}} W_{p, y} e^{-j \boldsymbol{p}_{t, m \boldsymbol{}} \bullet \mathbf{r}} d S\right)\left(\int_{S_{1,2}} \xi_{m n}^{(3)}(t) * E_{p, y}(t) e^{+\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{l}, m m} \bullet \mathbf{r}} d S\right)  \tag{7}\\
& +\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left(\int_{S_{1,2}} W_{p, x} e^{-j \boldsymbol{p}_{t, n m} \bullet \mathbf{r}} d S\right)\left(\int_{S_{1,2}} \xi_{m n}^{(4)}(t)^{*} E_{p, y}(t) e^{+j \boldsymbol{p}_{t, n m} \bullet \mathbf{r}} d S\right) \\
+\left(\int_{S_{1,2}} W_{p, y} e^{-j \boldsymbol{p}_{t, m n} \bullet \mathbf{r}} d S\right)\left(\int_{S_{1,2}} \xi_{m n}^{(4)}(t) * E_{p, x}(t) e^{+j \boldsymbol{p}_{t, m n} \bullet \mathbf{r}} d S\right)
\end{array}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

The symbol '*' denotes the convolution operator. The symbols $m, n$, are the Floquet harmonic indices. In the computations, the $m, n$ summations are finite, i.e. $-\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{F}} \leq m \leq \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{F}}$ and $-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{F}} \leq n \leq \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{F}}$. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\rho}_{t, m n}=\rho_{x, m} \hat{\mathbf{x}}+\rho_{y, n} \hat{\mathbf{y}}=\frac{2 \pi m}{D_{x}} \hat{\mathbf{x}}+\frac{2 \pi n}{D_{y}} \hat{\mathbf{y}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $D_{\mathrm{y}}$ are the periods (Figure 1).

In (7), the time functions $\xi$ are of the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{m n}^{(q)}(t)=C_{0}^{(q)}\left\{C_{1, m n}^{(q)} f_{1, m n}(t)+C_{2, m n}^{(q)} f_{2, m n}(t)+C_{3}^{(q)} f_{3, m n}(t)+C_{4}^{(q)} \delta^{\prime}(t)+j C_{5, m n}^{(q)} \delta(t)\right\} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta(t)$ and $\delta^{\prime}(t)$ are the Dirac delta function and its first order time derivative respectively. The time-independent coefficients $C$ are given in Table 1. The three time functions in (9) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1, m n}(t)=e^{j \omega_{a} t} J_{0}\left(\omega_{a b} t\right), \quad f_{2, m n}(t)=j \omega_{a b} e^{j \omega_{a} t} J_{1}\left(\omega_{a b} t\right), \quad f_{3, m n}(t)=\omega_{a b}^{2} e^{j \omega_{a} t} \frac{J_{1}\left(\omega_{a b} t\right)}{\omega_{a b} t} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{a b}=\sqrt{\omega_{a}^{2}+\omega_{b}^{2}}, \quad \omega_{a}=\frac{c}{\cos ^{2} \theta^{i n c}}\left(\tau \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_{t, m n}\right), \quad \omega_{b}^{2}=\frac{c^{2}}{\cos ^{2} \theta^{i n c}}\left|\mathbf{\rho}_{t, m n}\right|^{2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$J_{0}(\cdot)$ and $J_{1}(\cdot)$ are the zero and first order, respectively, Bessel functions of the first kind [8]. Six degree of freedom (d.o.f.) tetrahedral edge elements are employed in the FE discretisation of the unit cell [9]. For the surface integrals, three d.o.f. triangular edge elements are employed. Within each triangular element, $\mathbf{E}_{p}$ is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}_{p}(x, y ; t)=\sum_{j=1}^{3} \mathbf{N}_{j}(x, y) E_{p, j}(t) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$E_{p, j}(t)$ is a d.o.f and $\mathbf{N}$ are the vector basis functions [9]. From (9) and (12) it follows that the convolution $\xi_{m n}^{(q)}(t) * E_{p, j}(t)$ in (7) can be expressed as

$$
\xi_{m n}^{(q)}(t) * E_{p, j}(t)=C_{0}^{(q)}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
C_{1, m n}^{(q)} f_{1, m n}(t) * E_{p, j}(t)+C_{2, m n}^{(q)} f_{2, m n}(t) * E_{p, j}(t)+C_{3}^{(q)} f_{3, m n}(t) * E_{p, j}(t)  \tag{13}\\
+C_{4}^{(q)} \frac{d\left[E_{p, j}(t)\right]}{d t}+j C_{5, m n}^{(q)} E_{p, j}(t)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

To achieve faster FETD simulations, MS-RC is employed to compute the convolutions in (13).

## 3. MULTI-SECTION RC FORMULATION

The derivation of the MS-RC formula is described in the 2D scalar FETD-FABC work of reference [3]. Hence, in the present 3D vector MS-RC-FETD-FABC research we focus on the exponential summation approximation of the 3 functions present in the 3 D formulation and our attempts to improve the accuracy of these approximations. For convenience, let $\chi=\omega_{\mathrm{ab}} t$. Hence, the three time functions $J_{0}\left(\omega_{a b} \mathrm{t}\right), J_{1}\left(\omega_{a b} \mathrm{t}\right)$ and $J_{1}\left(\omega_{a b} \mathrm{t}\right) /\left(\omega_{a b} \mathrm{t}\right)$ in (10) are re-written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}(\chi)=J_{0}(\chi), v_{2}(\chi)=J_{1}(\chi), v_{3}(\chi)=J_{1}(\chi) / \chi \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this work we choose to divide the 'time' range of $\chi$ into four sections: $0 \leq \chi \leq 18$, $18<\chi \leq 50,50<\chi \leq 335$ and $335<\chi \leq 2 \times 10^{4}$. In each section, the MATLAB curve fitting toolbox is employed to approximate the time functions (14) with exponential or sinusoidal terms (Table 2). The number of sections is chosen using a trial and error approach in which the number of the sections is increased until a sufficiently large time window of practical stability is obtained in the MS-RC-FETD-FABC simulations. For the first section, of each function, MATLAB is used to approximate directly the functions in (14) using sinusoidal terms (Table 2). However, for sections 2,3 and 4 use is made of the large argument approximation [8]

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{k}(\chi) \approx \sqrt{2 / \pi}\left(\chi^{-1 / 2}\right) \cos \left(\chi-\frac{k \pi}{2}-\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, using exponential terms, MATLAB is used to approximate the function $\chi^{-1 / 2}$ for $v_{1}(\chi)$, $v_{2}(\chi)$, and the function $\chi^{-3 / 2}$ for $v_{3}(\chi)$ rather than the functions (14) themselves.

We tried to improve the accuracy of the above approximations since such improvement results in a more accurate RC and delays the onset of late time instability (see the numerical results section). Thus, the MATLAB approximation in the second and third argument sections $(18<\chi \leq 50$ and $50<\chi \leq 335)$ can be improved, heuristically, by adjusting the phase term in the cosine function in (15). In addition, to further improve the accuracy of the approximation, the curve fitting error [3] of the functions $\chi^{-1 / 2}, \chi^{-3 / 2}$ was fitted by a summation of sinusoidal
functions and this summation was added to the original summation. For example, the improved approximation for the function $v_{2}(\chi)$ in its third section, as shown in Table 2, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{v}_{2}^{(3)}(\chi)=\sqrt{2 / \pi}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{4} \psi_{i} e^{h_{i} \chi}\right] \cos (\chi-2.99 \pi / 4)+\sum_{i=1}^{1} a_{i} \sin \left(b_{i} \chi+c_{i}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas prior to these improvements it was

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{v}_{2}^{(3)}(\chi)=\sqrt{2 / \pi}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{4} \psi_{i} e^{h_{i} \chi}\right] \cos (\chi-3 \pi / 4) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The curve fitting absolute error [3] over the argument region $0 \leq \chi \leq 2 \times 10^{4}$, for each of the approximations to $v_{1}(\chi), v_{2}(\chi)$ and $v_{3}(\chi)$, is plotted in Figure 2. In Figure 2(d) we compare the errors of the summations in (16) and (17) over the range $50<\chi \leq 335$. Note that the coefficients in Table 2 are generic as they are the same for all harmonics $(m, n)$ and for other periodic structures.

Based on Table 2, the time functions $f_{1, \mathrm{mn}}(\mathrm{t}), f_{2, \mathrm{mn}}(\mathrm{t})$ and $f_{3, \mathrm{mn}}(\mathrm{t})$ in (9), in any section g , can be expressed as a summation of exponential terms. For example, $f_{1, \mathrm{mn}}(\mathrm{t})$ is approximated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1, m n}^{(g)}(t) \approx \tilde{f}_{1, m n}^{(g)}(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{Q_{1, g}} A_{1, i}^{(g)} e^{B_{1, i}^{(g)} w_{a b b} t} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{1, \mathrm{~g}}$ denotes the total number of exponential terms. Consequently, at the current time step $M(t=M \Delta t), R_{1}^{M}=f_{1, m n}(t) * E_{p, j}(t)$ is approximated as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.R_{1}^{M}=\sum_{g=1}^{G-1}\left(R_{1}^{(g)}\right)^{M}\right]_{\left(M-N_{g}\right) \Delta t}^{\left(M-N_{g-1}\right) \Delta t}+\left(R_{1}^{(G)}\right)^{M}\right]_{0}^{\left(M-N_{G-1}\right) \Delta t} \\
& \left.\left.=\sum_{g=1}^{G-1} \sum_{i=1}^{C_{1, g}}\left\{\mathfrak{R}_{1, i}^{(g), M}\right]_{\left(M-N_{g}\right) \Delta t}^{\left(M-N_{g-1}\right) \Delta t}\right\}+\sum_{i=1}^{Q_{1, G}}\left\{\mathfrak{R}_{1, i}^{(G), M}\right]_{0}^{\left(M-N_{G}\right) \Delta t}\right\} \\
& \left.=\sum_{g=1}^{G-1}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{Q_{1, k}} e^{\left.B_{1, S}^{(g)}\right)_{0, b} \Delta t} \mathfrak{R}_{1, i}^{(g), M-1}\right]_{\left(M-1-N_{g}\right) \Delta t}^{\left(M-1-N_{g-1}\right) \Delta t}+\int_{M-1-N_{g-1}}^{M-N_{g-1}} f_{1, m n}^{(g)}(M \Delta t-\tau) E_{p, j}(\tau) d \tau\right\}  \tag{19}\\
& -\int_{M-1-N_{g}}^{M-N_{g}} f_{1, m n}^{(g)}(M \Delta t-\tau) E_{p, j}(\tau) d \tau \\
& \left.+\sum_{i=1}^{Q_{1, G}}\left\{e^{B_{B, i, l}^{(G)} \omega_{a b} \Delta t} \mathfrak{R}_{1, i}^{(G), M-1}\right]_{0}^{\left(M-1-N_{G-1}\right) \Delta t}\right\}+\int_{M-1-N_{G-1}}^{M-N_{G-1}} f_{1, m n}^{(G)}(M \Delta t-\tau) E_{p, j}(\tau) d \tau
\end{align*}
$$

The symbols of (19) are defined in [3]. Here G=4. For consistency, we replace some symbols of equation 21 in [3], e.g. ' $v$ ' is replaced by ' $m n$ ', ' $\Phi_{p}$ ' by ' $E_{p, j}$ ', ' $\omega_{c \nu}$ ' by ' $\omega_{a b}$ '. The subscript ' 1 ' in $R$ denotes the RC for the function $f_{1, \mathrm{mn}}(\mathrm{t})$. Similar expressions hold for the other convolution terms in (13). Equation (19) is the formulation step prior to equation 21 in [3]. Equation 21 in [3] is obtained if the single-time-step integral terms in (19) are discretised using trapezoidal integration.

In this paper, we interpolate the electric field variable in the integral terms of (19) using temporal triangular basis functions. For example, over the time interval $\left(M-1-N_{g}\right) \Delta t \leq t \leq$ $\left(M-N_{g}\right) \Delta t, E_{p, j}(t)$ can be approximated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p, j}(t) \approx E_{p, j}^{M-1-N_{g}}+\frac{\left(E_{p, j}^{M-N_{g}}-E_{p, j}^{M-1-N_{g}}\right)}{\Delta t}\left[t-\left(M-1-N_{g}\right) \Delta t\right] \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore using (18) and (20) the integral term with limits $\left(M-1-N_{g}\right) \Delta t \leq t \leq\left(M-N_{g}\right) \Delta t$ in (19) is analytically evaluated as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left(M-1-N_{g}\right) \Delta t}^{\left(M-N_{g}\right) \Delta t} \tilde{f}_{1, m n}^{(g)}(M \Delta t-\tau) E_{p, j}(\tau) d \tau= \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{Q_{1, g}} \frac{A_{i}^{(g)} e^{N_{g} B_{i}^{(g)} \omega_{a b} \Delta t}}{\left(B_{i}^{(g)} \omega_{a b}\right)^{2} \Delta t}\left\{\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left.e^{B_{i}^{(g)} \omega_{a b} \Delta t}-B_{i}^{(g)} \omega_{a b} \Delta t-1\right] E_{p, j}^{M-N_{g}}+ \\
{\left[\left(B_{i}^{(g)} \omega_{a b} \Delta t-1\right) e^{B_{i}^{(g)} \omega_{a b} \Delta t}+1\right] E_{p, j}^{M-1-N_{g}}}
\end{array}\right\}\right. \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

A similar approach can be followed to evaluate analytically the other integral terms.

## 4. NUMERICAL RESULTS

To numerically examine the proposed MS-RC-FETD-FABC formulation, we consider the frequency selective surface (FSS) example of [1] (Figure 1). The unit cell consists of a perfectly electrically conducting patch $\left(L_{x}=2.5 \mathrm{~mm}, L_{y}=5 \mathrm{~mm}\right)$ embedded symmetrically in a dielectric slab of thickness $h=2 \mathrm{~mm}$. The periods are $D_{x}=D_{y}=10 \mathrm{~mm}$. The distance between the non-periodic finite element boundaries ( $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ ) and the closest parallel dielectric slab surface is $d_{a}=8 \mathrm{~mm}$. The slab's relative permittivity and relative permeability are $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}=2$ and $\mu_{\mathrm{r}}=1$
respectively. The angles of plane wave incidence are $\theta^{\mathrm{inc}}=30^{\circ}$ and $\phi^{\mathrm{inc}}=0^{\circ}$ and the incident electric field vector is along the $y$-direction. The time-domain waveform of the incident field is a sinusoidal wave modulated by Gaussian pulse with parameters $\tau=400 \Delta t, \sigma=100 \Delta t$, and $f_{c}=15 \mathrm{GHz}$. These parameters are defined in [3]. Furthermore, the time step size is $\Delta t=0.3 \mathrm{ps}$ and the number of harmonics is restricted to $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{F}}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{F}}=2$.

Transient responses of the electric field d.o.f. $E_{p, j}(\mathrm{t})$, associated with a surface element edge on boundary $S_{1}$, are shown in Figure 3. Two of these transient responses correspond to simulations employing the summation approximations (16) and (17). In both simulations, the triangular basis function is employed. The approximation of (16), being more accurate, delays the onset of late-time instability. For comparison purposes, Figure 3 also shows the transient response when the less accurate trapezoidal integration is employed in the RC . The results confirm the advantage of using the triangular basis function in RC computations and confirm the remark in [1] that the accuracy of convolution affects stability.

In Figure 4 , the transient response of $A_{y}^{\text {tot }}\left(z_{1} ; t\right)$ is plotted. $A_{y}^{\text {tot }}\left(z_{1} ; t\right)$ is the $y$-component of $\mathbf{A}_{t}^{\text {tot }}\left(z_{1} ; t\right)$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}_{t}^{t o t}\left(z_{1} ; t\right)=A_{x}^{t o t}\left(z_{1} ; t\right) \hat{\mathbf{x}}+A_{y}^{t o t}\left(z_{1} ; t\right) \hat{\mathbf{y}}=\frac{1}{D_{x} D_{y}} \int_{S_{1}} \mathbf{E}_{p, t}\left(x, y, z_{1} ; t\right) d S \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

By comparing the results of Figure 4 with those of Figure 3, it can be deduced that the transient response of the fundamental harmonic coefficient is stable over a longer time interval despite the fact that during this interval there is an unstable field growth. This observation agrees with the relevant statement made in [6]. Figure 4 also emphasises the stability benefit arising from the use of temporal triangular basis functions.

To avoid the growing effects of late time instability and speed up the simulation of highly resonant structures, extrapolation is used. In this paper extrapolation is based on the
matrix-pencil method [4]. The matrix-pencil software employed was based on equations (1-15) of [4]. The extrapolation of the $A_{y}^{\text {tot }}\left(z_{1} ; t\right)$ component is based on the transient time interval $6000 \Delta t \leq t \leq 7200 \Delta t$ obtained using triangular basis functions. For the $A_{x}^{\text {tot }}\left(z_{1} ; t\right)$ component extrapolation is based on the time interval $3000 \Delta t \leq t \leq 4200 \Delta t$. These intervals are well within the region of stable results for the field values. The incident wave has already died out prior to these intervals. The accuracy of the extrapolated data is demonstrated by comparison with the actual data in Figure 5.

The accuracy of the developed MS-RC-FETD-FABC code is examined in Figure 6 where the normalised power of the reflected $(m, n)=(0,0)$ fundamental harmonic, defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.P^{r e f}(\omega)\right|_{z_{1}}=\frac{\left|\mathbf{A}_{t}^{\text {tot }}\left(z_{1} ; \omega\right)+A_{z}^{\text {tot }}\left(z_{1} ; \omega\right) \hat{\mathbf{z}}-\mathbf{E}_{p, t}^{i n c}\left(z_{1} ; \omega\right)-E_{p, z}^{i n c}\left(z_{1} ; \omega\right) \hat{\mathbf{z}}\right|^{2}}{\left|\mathbf{E}_{p, t}^{i n c}\left(z_{1} ; \omega\right)+E_{p, z}^{i n c}\left(z_{1} ; \omega\right) \hat{\mathbf{z}}\right|^{2}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

is plotted against frequency. The discrete Fourier transform (DFT) is applied to (22) to obtain $\mathbf{A}_{t}^{\text {tot }}\left(z_{1} ; \omega\right)$. The normal component, $A_{z}^{\text {tot }}\left(z_{1} ; \omega\right)$ is then obtained using $\nabla \bullet\left(\varepsilon_{0} \mathbf{E}\right)=0$. The MS-RC-FETD-FABC results with extrapolation (using 36000 time steps) and without extrapolation (using 7200 time steps) are compared with the FETD results in [1] and the CST Studio Suite 2006 frequency-domain solver results [10]. The good agreement between all the results suggests that the MS-RC proposed in [3] can be employed in the FETD-FABC simulation of doubly periodic structures. The slight shift between our results and those of [1] and CST is not due to the MS-RC but to the spatial discretisation accuracy i.e. more accurate results are expected if the mesh is refined and higher order elements are employed.

To examine the computation time requirements of the proposed MS-RC-FETD-FABC we considered a 5000 time step simulation without extrapolation. The required time was 89 min . When compared to the 273 min required by the FETD-FABC employing a standard
convolution, the MS-RC-FETD-FABC code is 3 times faster. Our simulation times correspond to the time marching part of the simulation, i.e. they ignore the time of assembly and inversion of the FEM matrix at the start of the simulation.

## 5. CONCLUSION

It is demonstrated that the MS-RC methodology proposed in [3] can be applied to the FETD Floquet ABC simulation of 3D doubly periodic structures resulting in a significant reduction of computation time compared to FETD-FABC formulations where the convolution is computed in a standard fashion. It is also demonstrated that using extrapolation practically avoids the effect of late time instability which is always present in 3D vector FETD simulations when no stability correction scheme is applied. To improve further the stability of our results, we shall focus our future research on bettering the RC methodology through improved exponential summation approximations.
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## Figure captions

Figure 1 Unit cell of a doubly periodic structure. The incident angle is defined by $\theta^{\mathrm{inc}}$ and $\phi^{\mathrm{inc}}$. The $D_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $D_{\mathrm{y}}$ are the periods along the $x$ and $y$ directions.

Figure 2 The absolute error of the exponential summation approximation of the functions: (a) $J_{0}(\chi)$; (b) $J_{1}(\chi)$; (c) $J_{1}(\chi) / \chi$; (d) black line (16), gray line (17).

Figure 3 The transient response of $E_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{t})$ on the surface $S_{1}$. Dashed line: trapezoidal integration; dotted line: result using temporal triangular basis function with $\tilde{v}_{2}^{(3)}(\chi)$ given by (17); solid line: result using temporal triangular basis function with $\widetilde{v}_{2}^{(3)}(\chi)$ given by (16).

Figure 4 Transient response of $A_{y}^{\text {tot }}\left(z_{1} ; t\right)$. Dotted line: trapezoidal integration approach (6858 time steps are shown); solid line: temporal triangular basis function (13894 time steps are shown). The inset is a magnified version of the time interval within the dashed rectangle.

Figure 5 Comparison of extrapolated and actual transient results for $A_{y}^{\text {tot }}\left(z_{1} ; t\right)$. Dotted line: actual data; solid line: extrapolated data.

Figure 6 The normalised power carried by the reflected $(m, n)=(0,0)$ fundamental Floquet harmonic. Solid line: MS-RC-FETD-FABC with extrapolation; dashed line: MS-RC-FETD-FABC without extrapolation; squares: results of [1]; dotted line: CST results.

## Table captions

TABLE 1: The coefficients of the $\xi_{m n}^{(q)}(t)$ functions

TABLE 2: The exponential summation approximations to $v_{1}(\chi), v_{2}(\chi)$ and $v_{3}(\chi)$
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TABLE 1: The coefficients of the $\xi_{m n}^{(q)}(t)$ functions

| $\xi_{m n}^{(1)}(t)$ | $C_{0}^{(1)}=\cos \theta^{\text {inc }} /\left(c D_{x} D_{y}\right) \quad C_{1, m n}^{(1)}=0 \quad C_{2, m n}^{(1)}=0$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $C_{3}^{(1)}=C_{4}^{(1)}=1 \quad C_{5, m n}^{(1)}=-\omega_{a}$ |
| $\xi_{m n}^{(2)}(t)$ | $\begin{aligned} & C_{0}^{(2)}=1 /\left(c D_{x} D_{y} \cos \theta^{i n c}\right) \\ & C_{1, m n}^{(2)}=-\left(2 \omega_{a}^{2}+\omega_{b}^{2}\right) \tau_{x}^{2}-2 c \omega_{a} \rho_{x, m} \tau_{x}-c^{2} \rho_{x, m}^{2} \\ & C_{2, m n}^{(2)}=-2 \omega_{a} \tau_{x}^{2}-2 c \rho_{x, m} \tau_{x} \quad C_{3}^{(2)}=C_{4}^{(2)}=\tau_{x}^{2} \\ & C_{5, m n}^{(2)}=2 c \rho_{x, m} \tau_{x}+\omega_{a} \tau_{x}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\xi_{m n}^{(3)}(t)$ | $\begin{aligned} & C_{0}^{(3)}=1 /\left(c D_{x} D_{y} \cos \theta^{i n c}\right) \\ & C_{1, m n}^{(3)}=-\left(2 \omega_{a}^{2}+\omega_{b}^{2}\right) \tau_{y}^{2}-2 c \omega_{a} \rho_{y, n} \tau_{y}-c^{2} \rho_{y, n}^{2} \\ & C_{2, m n}^{(3)}=-2 \omega_{a} \tau_{y}^{2}-2 c \rho_{y, n} \tau_{y} \quad C_{3}^{(3)}=C_{4}^{(3)}=\tau_{y}^{2} \\ & C_{5, m n}^{(3)}=2 c \rho_{y, n} \tau_{y}+\omega_{a} \tau_{y}^{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\xi_{m n}^{(4)}(t)$ | $\begin{aligned} & C_{0}^{(4)}=1 /\left(c D_{x} D_{y} \cos \theta^{i n c}\right) \\ & C_{1, n n}^{(4)}=-\left(2 \omega_{a}^{2}+\omega_{b}^{2}\right) \tau_{x} \tau_{y}-c \omega_{a}\left(\rho_{x, m} \tau_{y}+\rho_{y, n} \tau_{x}\right)-c^{2} \rho_{x, m} \rho_{y, n} \\ & C_{2, m n}^{(4)}=-2 \omega_{a} \tau_{x} \tau_{y}-c\left(\rho_{x, m} \tau_{y}+\rho_{y, n} \tau_{x}\right) \quad C_{3}^{(4)}=C_{4}^{(4)}=\tau_{x} \tau_{y} \\ & C_{5, m n}^{(4)}=c\left(\rho_{x, m} \tau_{y}+\rho_{y, n} \tau_{x}\right)+\omega_{a} \tau_{x} \tau_{y} \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 2: The exponential summation approximations to $v_{1}(\chi), v_{2}(\chi)$ and $v_{3}(\chi)$

| $i$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\widetilde{\mathrm{v}}_{1}^{(1)}(\chi)=\sum_{i=1}^{6} a_{i} \sin \left(b_{i} \chi+c_{i}\right), \quad 0 \leq \chi \leq 18$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a_{i}$ | $-2.238 \mathrm{e}-3$ | 0.1756 | -0.2423 | 0.2293 | 0.1929 | 0.1935 |
| $b_{i}$ | 1.137 | 0.1683 | 0.9857 | 0.8352 | 0.362 | 0.6426 |
| $c_{i}$ | 0.05255 | 1.104 | -1.64 | 1.72 | 1.869 | 1.395 |

$\tilde{v}_{1}^{(2)}(\chi)=\sqrt{2 / \pi}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{5} \psi_{i} e^{h_{i} \chi}\right] \cos (\chi-1.004 \pi / 4)+\sum_{i=1}^{4} a_{i} \sin \left(b_{i} \chi+c_{i}\right), \quad 18<\chi \leq 50$

| $\psi_{i}$ | 0.21582 | 0.12503 | 0.058079 | 0.079861 | 0.050195 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $h_{i}$ | -0.132 | -0.04737 | $-4.794 \mathrm{e}-3$ | -0.01665 | $-4.837 \mathrm{e}-4$ |  |
| $a_{i}$ | 0.03359 | 0.0119 | -0.02171 | $-4.874 \mathrm{e}-5$ |  |  |
| $b_{i}$ | 0.9698 | 0.9806 | 0.9643 | 0.9191 |  |  |
| $c_{i}$ | -0.5847 | 2.109 | -6.642 | -3.382 |  |  |

$\widetilde{\mathrm{v}}_{1}^{(3)}(\chi)=\sqrt{2 / \pi}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{4} \psi_{i} e^{h_{i} \chi}\right] \cos (\chi-1.003 \pi / 4)+\sum_{i=1}^{1} a_{i} \sin \left(b_{i} \chi+c_{i}\right), \quad 50<\chi \leq 335$

| $\psi_{i}$ | 0.09265 | 0.07542 | 0.06896 | 0.1357 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $h_{i}$ | -0.02793 | $-8.789 \mathrm{e}-3$ | $-9.206 \mathrm{e}-4$ | -0.07027 |  |  |
| $a_{i}$ | $9.433 \mathrm{e}-5$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $b_{i}$ | 0.9999 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $c_{i}$ | -3.866 |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\tilde{v}_{1}^{(4)}(\chi)=\sqrt{2 / \pi}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{4} \psi_{i} e^{h_{i} \chi}\right] \cos (\chi-1.0 \pi / 4), \quad 335<\chi \leq 2 \times 10^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\psi_{i}$ | 0.05046 | 0.01525 | 0.01167 | 0.02593 |  |  |
| $h_{i}$ | $-4.421 \mathrm{e}-3$ | $-2.766 \mathrm{e}-4$ | $-2.567 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $-1.168 \mathrm{e}-3$ |  |  |

$\tilde{v}_{2}^{(1)}(\chi)=\sum_{i=1}^{5} a_{i} \sin \left(b_{i} \chi+c_{i}\right), \quad 0 \leq \chi \leq 18$

| $a_{i}$ | -0.2489 | 0.171 | 0.1695 | 0.1018 | 0.2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b_{i}$ | 0.9781 | 0.8543 | 0.3248 | 0.6615 | 0.3714 |  |
| $c_{i}$ | 3.172 | -0.1068 | -1.386 | -0.0132 | 1.097 |  |
| $\widetilde{v}_{2}^{(2)}(\chi)=\sqrt{2 / \pi}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{5} \psi_{i} e^{h_{i} \chi}\right] \cos (\chi-2.980 \pi / 4)+\sum_{i=1}^{4} a_{i} \sin \left(b_{i} \chi+c_{i}\right), 18<\chi \leq 50$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\psi_{i}$ | 0.21582 | 0.12503 | 0.058079 | 0.079861 | 0.050195 |  |
| $h_{i}$ | -0.132 | -0.04737 | $-4.794 \mathrm{e}-3$ | -0.01665 | $-4.837 \mathrm{e}-4$ |  |
| $a_{i}$ | 0.03078 | $4.721 \mathrm{e}-4$ | 0.003 | -0.02816 |  |  |
| $b_{i}$ | 0.7698 | 1.069 | 0.8155 | 0.7667 |  |  |
| $c_{i}$ | -2.717 | -5.282 | -1.232 | -15.17 |  |  |

$\tilde{v}_{2}^{(3)}(\chi)=\sqrt{2 / \pi}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{4} \psi_{i} e^{h_{i} \chi}\right] \cos (\chi-2.990 \pi / 4)+\sum_{i=1}^{1} a_{i} \sin \left(b_{i} \chi+c_{i}\right), \quad 50<\chi \leq 335$

| $\psi_{i}$ | 0.1328 | 0.03842 | 0.02897 | 0.06689 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $h_{i}$ | -0.0291 | $-1.719 \mathrm{e}-3$ | $-1.579 \mathrm{e}-4$ | $-7.44 \mathrm{e}-3$ |  |  |
| $a_{i}$ | $3.123 \mathrm{e}-4$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $b_{i}$ | 0.9994 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $c_{i}$ | -2.312 |  |  |  |  |  |

$\tilde{v}_{2}^{(4)}(\chi)=\sqrt{2 / \pi}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{4} \psi_{i} e^{h_{i} \chi}\right] \cos (\chi-3.0 \pi / 4), \quad 335<\chi \leq 2 \times 10^{4}$

| $\psi_{i}$ | 0.05046 | 0.01525 | 0.01167 | 0.02593 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $h_{i}$ | $-4.421 \mathrm{e}-3$ | $-2.766 \mathrm{e}-4$ | $-2.567 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $-1.168 \mathrm{e}-3$ |  |  |

$\tilde{v}_{3}^{(1)}(\chi)=\sum_{i=1}^{5} a_{i} \sin \left(b_{i} \chi+c_{i}\right), \quad 0 \leq \chi \leq 18$

| $a_{i}$ | 0.03141 | 0.07075 | 0.1067 | -0.1774 | 0.1633 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b_{i}$ | 0.9257 | 0.7847 | 0.5632 | 0.3302 | 0.1714 |  |
| $c_{i}$ | 1.681 | -4.907 | 1.722 | 5.001 | 0.8606 |  |
| $\widetilde{\mathrm{v}}_{3}^{(2)}(\chi)=\sqrt{2 / \pi}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{4} \psi_{i} e^{h_{i} \chi}\right] \cos (\chi-2.984 \pi / 4), 18<\chi \leq 50$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\psi_{i}$ | 0.1446 | 0.03985 | $-2.799 \mathrm{e}-3$ | 0.01038 |  |  |
| $h_{i}$ | -0.2675 | -0.09848 | -0.03302 | -0.02434 |  |  |

$\widetilde{v}_{3}^{(3)}(\chi)=\sqrt{2 / \pi}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{4} \psi_{i} e^{h_{i} \chi}\right] \cos (\chi-3.0 \pi / 4), \quad 50<\chi \leq 335$

| $\psi_{i}$ | 0.02528 | $7.628 \mathrm{e}-3$ | $4.707 \mathrm{e}-4$ | $2.315 \mathrm{e}-3$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $h_{i}$ | -0.0903 | -0.03942 | $-3.457 \mathrm{e}-3$ | -0.01502 |  |  |

$\tilde{\mathrm{v}}_{3}^{(4)}(\chi)=\sqrt{2 / \pi}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{4} \psi_{i} e^{h_{i} \chi}\right] \cos (\chi-3.0 \pi / 4), \quad 335<\chi \leq 2 \times 10^{4}$

| $\psi_{i}$ | $5.366 \mathrm{e}-3$ | $1.222 \mathrm{e}-3$ | $4.087 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $2.639 \mathrm{e}-4$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $h_{i}$ | -0.02814 | -0.01016 | $-6.618 \mathrm{e}-4$ | $-3.233 \mathrm{e}-3$ |  |  |

