

University of Warwick institutional repository: <http://go.warwick.ac.uk/wrap>

This paper is made available online in accordance with publisher policies. Please scroll down to view the document itself. Please refer to the repository record for this item and our policy information available from the repository home page for further information.

To see the final version of this paper please visit the publisher's website. Access to the published version may require a subscription.

Author(s): J. G. Vicente, N. D. Gunn, L. Bailey, D. A. C. Pink, E. B. Holub

Article Title: Genetics of resistance to downy mildew in Brassica oleracea and breeding towards durable disease control for UK vegetable production

Year of publication: 2011

Link to published article:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3059.2011.02539.x>

Publisher statement: The definitive version is available at wileyonlinelibrary.com

1 **Short title: *Genetics for Downy mildew resistance in Brassica oleracea***

2

3

4 **Genetics of resistance to downy mildew in *Brassica oleracea* and breeding**
5 **towards durable disease control for UK vegetable production**

6

7

8 J. G. Vicente^{a,b,*}, N. D. Gunn^a, L. Bailey^a, D. A. C. Pink^{a,c} and E. B. Holub^{a,b}

9

10

11

12 ^a*Warwick HRI, The University of Warwick, Wellesbourne, CV35 9EF, UK*

13 ^b*Current address: School of Life Sciences, The University of Warwick, Wellesbourne*

14 *campus, CV35 9EF, UK*

15 ^c*Current address: Harper Adams University College, Newport, Shropshire, TF10 8NB, UK*

16

17 *e-mail: joana.vicente@warwick.ac.uk

18

19

20

21

22 **Key words: *Hyaloperonospora parasitica*, broccoli, cauliflower, oomycete, major R gene**

23 **resistance, basal defense**

24

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 Downy mildew resistance was previously identified from screening a *Brassica oleracea*
3 collection against two standard UK isolates of *Hyaloperonospora parasitica*. Sources of
4 resistance were chosen from this material and developed further in this study by generating
5 doubled haploid (DH) and inbred lines. Seedlings from the new lines were tested for
6 resistance to a larger collection of *H. parasitica* isolates collected in 2001-02 and 2007-08
7 from the main broccoli and cauliflower production regions of the UK. Three lines (derived
8 from borecole or summer cabbage) were broadly resistant to the pathogen isolates. Three of
9 the remaining lines exhibited strong isolate-specific resistance; several examples of weak or
10 basal level of resistance to some isolates were observed. A new *H. parasitica* variant
11 collected in 2008 was virulent in the broadly resistant lines, but was avirulent in a line with
12 narrow specificity of resistance. The F₂ and BC₁ seedlings derived from outcrossing each of
13 the three broadly resistant lines to susceptible broccoli and cauliflower lines segregated in a
14 manner indicating that the resistance is controlled by a single dominant gene. No
15 susceptibility was observed amongst F₂ seedlings derived from intercrossing the three
16 resistant lines, indicating that they all share the same or closely linked broad spectrum
17 resistance gene(s). DH lines were produced from F₁ plants and resistant plants were further
18 back-crossed to produce broccoli and cauliflower-like lines that could be useful pre-breeding
19 material. A combination of resistance from lines with broad and narrow specificity is
20 recommended for controlling downy mildew in UK brassica production.

21

22

23

1 **Introduction**

2

3 Downy mildew caused by the oomycete *Hyaloperonospora parasitica* (Pers. ex Fr.)
4 Constant. (syn. *Peronospora parasitica* Constantinescu & Fatchi, 2002) is an economically
5 important foliar disease of *Brassica oleracea* crops (cabbage, broccoli, cauliflower, kale and
6 Brussel sprouts). The disease is distributed worldwide wherever brassica crops are grown and
7 is favoured by cool humid weather that is frequent in spring or autumn (Channon, 1981).
8 Downy mildew can affect plants at all growth stages, but the most damaging effects are
9 generally restricted to young seedlings, causing heavy losses in plant nurseries, and to
10 particular organs like cauliflower curds (Channon, 1981). Repeated application of fungicides
11 is generally used for control, but the effectiveness of this approach has been limited because
12 there is a restricted range of active ingredients effective against oomycete pathogens and
13 frequent prophylactic application of fungicides has led to the selection and widespread
14 distribution of insensitive variants of the pathogen (Brophy & Laing, 1992).

15 Downy mildew resistant cultivars would offer a practical, environmentally acceptable
16 alternative method to control downy mildew. Several sources of seedling resistance to *H.*
17 *parasitica* in *B. oleracea* have been reported previously, and heritability of the resistance has
18 been characterised in many cases including: single dominant genes in broccoli and
19 cauliflower (Natti *et al.*, 1967; Jensen *et al.*, 1999a; Farnham *et al.*, 2002), a single recessive
20 gene in cauliflower (Hoser-Krauze *et al.*, 1984), recessive gene(s) in kale, Savoy cabbage and
21 Brussels sprouts (Carlsson *et al.*, 2004) and multiple (additive) genes in cauliflower, broccoli
22 and cabbage (Moss *et al.*, 1988; Hoser-Krauze *et al.*, 1995; Jensen *et al.*, 1999b). The breadth
23 of resistance provided against a collection of *H. parasitica* isolates was not investigated in
24 these previous reports, so the potential durability of each resistance is unknown.

1 The development and deployment of cultivars with single major disease resistance
2 genes has frequently failed to provide durable disease control due to the quick evolution of
3 pathotypes virulent on resistant cultivars, so in cases like downy mildew (*Bremia lactucae*)
4 of lettuce, blackleg (*Leptosphaeria maculans*) of oilseed rape, northern leaf blight
5 (*Setosphaeria turcica*) of maize, leaf and stripe rust (*Puccinia triticina* and *P. striiformis*) of
6 wheat and potato late blight (*Phytophthora infestans*) there has been a continuous effort to
7 find sources of broad-spectrum resistance and to devise strategies to deploy the resistant
8 cultivars (Crute & Norwood, 1981; Sivasithamparam *et al.*, 2005; Stuthman *et al.*, 2007;
9 Zhang *et al.*, 2009).

10 In the current study, we have followed a systematic approach pioneered more than
11 four decades ago at the then National Vegetable Research Station (NVRS), Wellesbourne,
12 UK, for predictive breeding of durable resistance to downy mildew in lettuce, and
13 subsequently applied to other major vegetable diseases (Crute, 1992; Taylor *et al.*, 1996;
14 Taylor *et al.*, 2002). The approach began in each case with an initial characterisation of
15 differential reactions amongst standard vegetable cultivars for resistance to a small sample of
16 pathogen isolates. A broadly virulent isolate (one which could cause typical disease
17 symptoms in the initial subset of cultivars) could then be chosen to screen a much larger host
18 diversity collection to identify new sources of disease resistance. Inbreeding and selection of
19 true-breeding resistant lines is often required at this stage, since plant genetic resources (e.g.
20 older open pollinated cultivars and landraces or wild crop relatives) may comprise
21 heterogeneous mixtures of resistant and susceptible genotypes. Genetically uniform resistant
22 lines can then be screened against a more extensive sample of pathogen isolates, collected
23 widely from vegetable production regions, to identify examples of broad spectrum disease
24 resistance against the entire pathogen collection. The NVRS approach was used successfully
25 to identify race non-specific resistance to lettuce downy mildew and bacterial diseases of

1 common bean and pea (Crute & Norwood, 1981; Schmit *et al.*, 1993; Taylor *et al.*, 1996).
2 The same approach was subsequently applied to *Brassica oleracea* in a coordinated effort to
3 identify sources of resistance to black rot (*Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *campestris*), downy
4 mildew (*Hyaloperonospora parasitica*), white blister rust (*Albugo candida*) and cabbage
5 aphid (*Brevicoryne brassicae*) in a core diversity collection of more than 400 *B. oleracea*
6 accessions (Leckie *et al.*, 1996; Ellis *et al.*, 1998; Taylor *et al.*, 2002).

7 The objective of our current study was to complete the assessment of the downy
8 mildew resistant sources selected in previous work in order to determine if they could provide
9 potentially durable downy mildew control for UK vegetable production. To achieve this, we
10 assessed the spectrum of resistance of selected lines against UK isolates of *H. parasitica*,
11 studied the inheritance of resistance in some of the resistant lines and initiated breeding for
12 the development of broccoli and cauliflower lines possessing the resistance identified in
13 selected lines.

15 **Materials and methods**

16 **Plant materials**

17 The experimental lines used in this study are listed in Table 1. Nine lines of *B. oleracea* were
18 derived from accessions identified by Leckie *et al.* (1996), which were selected for resistance
19 to an isolate of either *H. parasitica* (P005 or P006) or *A. candida* (A001). Resistant plants
20 were crossed with rapid cycling plants (line CrGC3.4) and double haploids were produced via
21 microspore culture from resistant F₃ plants. Six additional *B. oleracea* lines and three *B.*
22 *napus* lines were also included in experiments as controls. Seeds were sown in Levington F2
23 compost (The Scotts Company, Ipswich, UK) in P286 plug trays (Plant Pak, Cookson Ltd.)
24 cut to 9 x 15 array and placed in seed trays. Seeds were covered with medium grade

1 vermiculite and watered. The trays were covered with propagator lids and placed in a growth
2 room for 9 days at $20 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ with a day length of 10 hours prior to inoculation.

3 The downy mildew susceptible kale cultivar Maris Kestrel (Kings Seeds, UK) was
4 used for maintaining cultures and multiplying sporangial inoculum of *H. parasitica* isolates.
5 Each week, approximately 40 seeds were sown in four 4 cm square modules filled with
6 Levington F2 compost and soaked in water. The seeds were covered with a layer of
7 vermiculite. The modules were placed in a covered propagator in a growth room at $20 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$
8 with 10h days until inoculation.

9 For genetic experiments, plants were raised from seed sown initially in module trays.
10 One-month-old plants were transplanted into 9-cm pots filled with Levington M2 compost.
11 Plants were kept in a glasshouse with a minimum temperature of $17/14^\circ\text{C}$ (day/night),
12 venting at $19/16^\circ\text{C}$ (day/night) with supplementary lighting from October to March to give 16
13 h days. Hybridisations were performed using bud pollination. Self-compatible plants were
14 naturally self-pollinated and self-incompatible plants were self pollinated by bud pollination.
15 All plants were covered with perforated polyethylene bags to prevent contamination with
16 other pollen.

17 ***Hyaloperonospora parasitica* isolates**

18 The pathogen isolates used in this study are listed in Table 2. Two standard isolates (P005
19 and P006) were included, which were previously used for genetic studies and for selection of
20 the original downy mildew accessions (Leckie *et al.*, 1996). Twenty-five single-spore isolates
21 were included, which were derived from infected tissue samples that were collected from
22 transplant nurseries or commercial farms in northern and southern England during the 2001
23 and 2002 growing seasons. Fifteen additional isolates were collected from plant nurseries in
24 2007 and 2008.

1 For maintenance of cultures and inoculum production, infected leaf tissue with downy
2 mildew sporulation was washed with 2-3ml of distilled water, and the resulting suspension
3 was drop inoculated onto 9-day-old kale (cv. Maris Kestrel) seedlings. The inoculated
4 seedlings were then incubated for 7 to 14 days in a growth room at $15\pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ with a day length
5 of 12 hours. Infected cotyledons with sporulation were harvested and washed and the spore
6 suspension was inoculated again onto kale seedlings. After 7 days incubation, cotyledons
7 displaying heavy sporulation were used to prepare a suspension for seedling experiments.
8 Surplus seedlings with heavy sporulation were harvested, placed in small, sealed pots and
9 frozen at -80°C for long-term storage.

10 **Phenotypic assessment**

11 For assessment of the phenotypic interaction of different combinations of *B. oleracea* line
12 and *H. parasitica* isolate, seedlings were grown in plug trays (described above) and
13 inoculated at 9-days-old with droplets of sporangiospore suspension. The inoculum was
14 prepared by placing heavily sporulating seedlings of Maris Kestrel in sterile distilled water,
15 and agitating gently to dislodge the sporangia. The inoculum concentration was measured
16 using a haemocytometer, and adjusted to 4×10^4 spores/ml. Two 10 μl droplets were placed
17 on each cotyledon of the experimental lines using a repetitive pipette. Trays of inoculated
18 seedlings were covered with a transparent propagator lid, with the vents closed and sealed
19 with tape, and transferred to a growth room set at $15\pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ with a day length of 12 hours.

20 The interaction phenotype (IP) of each seedling was assessed seven and fourteen days
21 after inoculation, by rating the degree of sporulation and host response using a scale adapted
22 from Leckie *et al.* (1996), and converting scores to a numerical IP value (Table 3). The
23 highest score of each seedling was used to calculate a mean IP value per line. A mean IP
24 lower than 2.5 was considered as fully resistant, a mean IP higher than 4.5 was considered as

1 fully susceptible, and an intermediate value indicated a weak level of resistance with
2 restricted sporulation (possible examples of basal or rate-reducing resistance).

3 **Production of doubled haploid lines**

4 The microspore culture protocol described by Takahata and Keller (1991) was modified for
5 this study. Plants selected for microspore culture were transferred to an air-conditioned
6 glasshouse at 15°C with supplementary lighting from October until March to give 16 hours
7 day length to produce buds for microspore culture. Buds 3.5 - 5.5mm in length were
8 collected, sterilised in Fichlor solution (1.7% w/v) containing a small volume of wetting
9 agent Nonidet (5%), and washed three times with sterile RO water. The buds were then
10 mashed in 5ml of cold (4°C) liquid medium NLN to release immature pollen into suspension.
11 The mashed bud suspension was filtered through a 45 micron nylon filter cloth (Cadish
12 Precision Meshes Ltd.) and the microspore suspension collected and made up to 40ml with
13 cold NLN medium. The microspores were washed three times by centrifugation at 1000rpm
14 for 3.5min, with cold NLN medium added after each spin. After the final wash the
15 microspores were re-suspended in 60ml of cold NLN medium and 5-6ml were dispensed into
16 5cm deep form Petri plates. The plates were incubated in the dark at 32°C for 24 hours and
17 followed by incubation at 25°C. After two weeks the plates were transferred to a shaker at
18 25°C in the dark. Embryos became apparent to the naked eye after a further week.

19 Large embryos were transferred to Gamborg's B5 solid basal medium in 5cm Petri
20 dishes and incubated at 22±2°C with a day length of 16 hours at a photon flux density of 80-
21 180 $\mu\text{molm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ for 2-3 weeks. Every 2-3 weeks, shoots and differentiating tissues were
22 transferred onto fresh basal medium. When shoots had grown sufficiently, they were
23 transferred back onto basal medium in small pots (Sterilin) and grown until large enough to
24 be transferred to compost in 4cm pots (P24, Plantpak). After 3-4 weeks, the plants had grown
25 sufficiently in soil to be transferred to glasshouse conditions. Leaf samples originating from

1 different embryos were analysed for ploidy number by flow cytometry (Plant Cytometry
2 Services, The Netherlands) to identify the doubled haploid lines. When inflorescences
3 developed they were bagged to prevent cross-pollination. Plants confirmed as diploid lines
4 were grown to produce sufficient seed for pathogen screening in subsequent experiments.

5

6 **Results**

7 **Specificity of downy mildew resistance**

8 Fifteen *Brassica oleracea* and three *B. napus* lines were inoculated with the full collection of
9 42 *H. parasitica* isolates (Figure 1). Three lines (EBH525, EBH544 and EBH550) were
10 resistant to all of the isolates collected in 2001, 2002 and 2007. However, one of the eleven
11 isolates collected in 2008 (Hp806) was virulent on these lines, indicating that rare variants
12 occur in the UK pathogen population which could overcome this broad spectrum resistance
13 (Figure 1; Table 4).

14 Three lines exhibited differential resistance including: EBH502 which was fully
15 resistant to five *H. parasitica* isolates (Hp006, 710, 735, 807, 815) and weakly resistant to 19
16 isolates; EBH508 which was fully resistant to only two isolates (Hp006, Hp704) and weakly
17 resistant to eight isolates; and EBH527 which was also fully resistant to only two isolates
18 (Hp702, Hp801) and weakly resistant to 27 isolates (Figure 1; Table 4). Three white rust
19 resistant lines were included (EBH516, EBH535 and EBH553) which were susceptible to
20 most of *H. parasitica* isolates, but exhibited weak resistance to 3, 8 and 6 isolates,
21 respectively. Similarly, three lines (GDDH33, Lateman, A12DHd) were susceptible to most
22 isolates, but exhibited weak resistance to 2, 3 and 15 isolates, respectively. Three other
23 control lines (Cal18b, Surfrider and Senna) were susceptible to all isolates in the collection.

1 Importantly, this combined information of variable resistance specificity indicates a high
2 degree of pathotypic variability amongst the UK *H. parasitica* isolates used in this study.

3 Similarly, the *B. napus* lines included in this study also differed in responses to the *H.*
4 *parasitica* collection (Figure 1). The line N-o-9 was fully resistant to all of the isolates,
5 whereas COB76 was resistant to all of the isolates apart from a weak level of resistance to
6 three isolates (Hp717, Hp801 and Hp811). N-o-1 was the only *B. napus* line, which exhibited
7 susceptibility, in this case to one isolate (Hp727).

8 **Inheritance of resistance and allelism tests**

9 The F₂ and back-crosses derived from six crosses between the three sources of broad
10 specificity resistance (EBH525, EBH544, EBH550) and susceptible broccoli or cauliflower
11 lines were tested with a single *H. parasitica* isolate (Hp717). In all three cases, the F₂ and the
12 BC₁ generation segregated in agreement with a 3:1 and 1:1 ratio respectively (Table 5) (χ^2
13 probabilities higher than 0.1), which indicates that the resistance in each line is controlled by
14 a single dominant gene.

15 The F₂ lines derived from inter-crosses between the three sources of broad spectrum
16 resistance were also tested with the *H. parasitica* isolate Hp717 (Table 5). Over 3200 F₂
17 seedlings were tested (minimum of 500 seedlings per cross) together with controls including
18 F₁ seedlings, parental lines and a susceptible control (Senna). The F₁ seedlings derived from
19 the crosses between lines EBH525 and EBH544, and EBH525 and EBH550 were fully
20 resistant (IP of 1); whereas F₁ seedlings derived from the cross of EBH544 and EBH550
21 exhibited IP values ranging from 1 to 3 (with some restricted sporulation). Most F₂ plants
22 exhibited a resistant phenotype (IP 1 or 2) with a small proportion of plants showing
23 restricted sporulation (IP 3). No fully susceptible F₂ plants were found, indicating that the
24 genetic basis of the three different sources of downy mildew resistance is most likely

1 determined by the same genetic locus, conferring resistance via a single major R-gene or a
2 combination of tightly linked genes.

3 **Doubled-haploid lines derived from crosses with broccoli and back-crosses to broccoli** 4 **and cauliflower**

5 The lines EBH525 and EBH550 were used to initiate introgression of the broad
6 spectrum resistant R-gene into a broccoli background. Forty-five doubled haploid plants were
7 produced from F₁ plants from a cross between each resistant line to the susceptible broccoli
8 line Cal18b. Five of these doubled haploid were self-incompatible, however, seed was
9 produced from all plants by bud pollination. Forty lines were tested against Hp717, and
10 approximately half (21 lines) were fully resistant, five exhibited weak resistance, and the
11 remainder were susceptible (Table 6). The lines displayed variable levels of self-fertility and
12 variable morphology.

13 The plants derived from crosses between the sources of resistance and the susceptible
14 broccoli Cal18b and cauliflower cv. Lateman were back-crossed twice to the susceptible
15 parent. Plants of the BC₁ and BC₂ generations segregated for downy mildew resistance as
16 expected (in agreement with 1:1 ratio) and two plants obtained from self-pollination of BC₁
17 plants, segregated in agreement with a 3:1 ratio (results not shown). Generally the
18 morphology of BC₂ plants resembled more the broccoli and cauliflower parent than the
19 resistant line. These plants formed tighter heads and had smaller buds than the F₁ and BC₁
20 plants although generally their heads were still not as large and tight as the susceptible
21 broccoli (Cal18b) and cauliflower (Lateman). Plants from the BC₁ generation were also used
22 to produce further doubled-haploid lines via microspore culture.

23

1 Discussion

2 The current study follows an applied genetics approach that was pioneered at the then
3 National Vegetable Research Station (NVRS, Wellesbourne, UK) in the 1970-80s, which
4 enabled the successful identification of sources of race non-specific and potentially durable
5 disease resistance for use in vegetable breeding programmes (Crute, 1992; Schmit *et al.*,
6 1993; Taylor *et al.*, 1996; Taylor *et al.*, 2002). Lettuce downy mildew provided the first
7 example, and polygenic resistance that protects adult leaf tissue from severe downy mildew
8 under field conditions in a pathotype nonspecific manner was eventually characterised (Crute
9 & Norwood, 1981; Norwood *et al.*, 1983). Although this ‘field resistance’ has thus far been
10 difficult to incorporate routinely into lettuce cultivars, it demonstrates an example for
11 development of molecular marker assisted selection in breeding programmes (Zhang *et al.*,
12 2009; Kou & Wang, 2010). In contrast, a single recessive gene conferring nonspecific
13 resistance to halo blight in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) has been used effectively for
14 at least two decades to control the disease in crop production across the globe, and the
15 subsequent characterisation of at least two alternative genes provides the potential to ‘back
16 up’ this resistance if there is a shift in virulence within the pathogen population (Taylor *et al.*,
17 1996); (Taylor *pers. comm.*). Similarly, this approach was used to identify a single major
18 QTL gene from the wild brassica relative, *Arabidopsis thaliana*, which confers nonspecific
19 resistance to at least four physiological races of *Albugo candida*, and is transferable for use in
20 transgenic oilseed brassicas (Borhan *et al.*, 2008; Borhan *et al.*, 2010).

21 Two key elements of the previous research effort were the availability of crop
22 diversity collections from genebanks and a concerted effort to assemble extensive collections
23 of pathogen isolates primarily from major crop production regions in order to sample the
24 diversity within the pathogen population. Both elements were included in the current study,
25 in our attempt to identify useful sources of downy mildew resistance for vegetable brassica

1 production in the UK. A core diversity collection of more than 400 *B. oleracea* accessions
2 had previously been screened for downy mildew resistance using two standard isolates of *H.*
3 *parasitica* (Leckie *et al.*, 1996). The potential sources of resistance were heterozygous; an
4 additional component of the current research was to ‘fix’ resistance in genetically uniform
5 experimental lines to allow robust phenotyping to be carried out. Resistant plants were
6 crossed with rapid cycling plants and doubled haploid lines generated from F₃ resistant plants
7 as the first step in the current work. We also generated doubled haploid lines from white rust
8 resistant plants selected in the earlier research effort. These were included in the current study
9 because co-segregation of downy mildew resistance was observed following selection for
10 white rust resistance in a rapid cycling population of *B. rapa* (Mitchell-Olds *et al.*, 1995).
11 Indeed, one of the recommended downy mildew resistant lines (EBH502, described below)
12 was originally selected for white rust resistance in *B. oleracea*.

13 Three lines (EBH525, EBH544, EBH550) were promising as examples that exhibited
14 broad seedling resistance to the sample of UK *H. parasitica* isolates collected in 2001-02. An
15 additional sample of *H. parasitica* isolates from UK production sites in 2007-08 revealed that
16 isolate Hp806 (one out of 15) was capable of heavy sporulation following inoculation of
17 EBH525, EBH544, EBH550 seedlings. This resistance can also be broken or partially broken
18 by some isolates from Portugal and France (Coelho, P., *pers. com.*). Nevertheless, these three
19 lines represent the best candidates of selection for downy mildew resistance from the core
20 diversity collection of *B. oleracea*. Thus, our results indicate that no pathotype nonspecific
21 seedling resistance occurs in the core diversity collection. Similarly, dominant resistance
22 reported by Jensen *et al.* (1999a) which appears to be effective against pathogen isolates from
23 Denmark, is not effective against all UK isolates. Allelism tests indicated that all three lines
24 share at least one downy mildew resistance gene. Testing of F₁, F₂ and back-cross generations
25 from outcrossing to susceptible broccoli and cauliflower lines indicated that the resistance is

1 conferred by a single major (fully dominant) resistance gene. We did not determine whether
2 this resistance gene is allelic to sources of downy mildew resistance that have been reported
3 previously (Natti *et al.*, 1967; Jensen *et al.*, 1999a).

4 Three doubled haploid lines (EBH502, EBH508 and EBH527) exhibited pathotype-
5 specific resistance. Two lines, EBH502 and EBH508, have differential reactions that can be
6 used to differentiate downy mildew isolates and to divide them into pathotypes or
7 physiological races (Table 4). The line EBH527 has partial resistance to over half of the
8 isolates tested indicating that this resistance can also be valuable for controlling the disease.
9 This line can also differentiate some isolates but, it appears to be less useful to differentiate
10 isolates because it frequently showed intermediate phenotypes. The groups of isolates defined
11 in table 4 are not related in their origin, as groups contain isolates from up to five different
12 UK counties and also from organic and conventional production systems. Gene-for-gene
13 relationships can be used to explain the interaction between *H. parasitica* isolates with
14 different avirulence genes and *B. oleracea* lines with different resistance genes. It is possible
15 that the isolates can be further divided using other plant lines, but the current differentiation
16 based on three lines can be a starting point for the selection of isolates for further studies.

17 Preliminary genetic analyses (data not shown) indicate that resistance of EBH508 to
18 *H. parasitica* isolate Hp717 is conferred by a single dominant resistance factor, whereas the
19 resistance in lines EBH502 and EBH527 appears to be conferred by a single recessive (or co-
20 dominant) factor. Importantly, EBH502 is resistant to *H. parasitica* isolate Hp806, suggesting
21 that this resistance would complement the broader resistance in lines EBH525, EBH544 and
22 EBH550 and a combination of the two would provide control against the virulences sampled
23 in the collection of *H. parasitica*.

24 We have started to transfer the resistance from the lines EBH525, EBH544, EBH550
25 to broccoli and cauliflower through a back-cross programme. The doubled-haploid lines

1 produced from the F₁ plants, have segregated in agreement with a 1:1 ratio as expected for
2 resistance controlled by a single gene. Five doubled haploid lines obtained from one of the
3 crosses showed an intermediate phenotype with restricted sporulation that indicated that there
4 might be additional genes which determine a partial resistance phenotype. Some of the
5 doubled haploid lines produced from F₁ plants have very strong resistance and should be a
6 good starting material for further breeding. Plants of the back-cross generation are
7 morphologically more similar to the parental broccoli or cauliflower lines and some doubled
8 haploid lines are currently being produced from these plants.

9 Nonspecific downy mildew resistance may occur as adult plant resistance as
10 demonstrated by Crute and Norwood's (1981) investigation of field resistance in lettuce. *B.*
11 *oleracea* can exhibit resistance to downy mildew in adult leaf tissue which, in some cases,
12 can be independent of seedling resistance (Coelho & Monteiro, 2003). In contrast, the
13 resistance identified at the seedling stage in cauliflower by Jensen et al. (1999a) is also
14 expressed during the adult plant stage. It is still unknown if the resistance studied here at
15 seedling stage is effective in adult plants and therefore, it will be important to test the lines at
16 a later developmental stage.

17 Race-nonspecific downy mildew resistance genes may occur in other brassica species
18 or wild relatives of *B. oleracea*. Sheriff and Lucas (1990) had previously reported a high
19 degree of host preference amongst physiological races of *H. parasitica* that were derived
20 from different brassica species. The pathogen population of *B. oleracea* is probably relatively
21 narrow as co-evolution on a restricted range of host genotypes might have taken place. For
22 example, isolates collected from *B. oleracea* are typically most virulent in *B. oleracea*,
23 however, weak sporulation by some isolates in oilseed *B. napus* cultivars was observed
24 (Sherriff & Lucas, 1990). Similarly, we included three *B. napus* accessions in experiments to
25 assess the pathogenic variation amongst the *H. parasitica* isolates collected from *B. oleracea*.

1 Two *B. napus* accessions were mostly resistant to the collection of *H. parasitica* isolates,
2 whereas the double haploid line N-o-1 exhibited intermediate phenotypes to over half of the
3 isolates. The resistance in *B. napus* can possibly be mapped using available mapping
4 populations and recent integrated linkage map information (Wang *et al.*, 2011).

5 The ‘broccoli and cauliflower-like’ lines developed in this study possess resistance to
6 a wide range of *H. parasitica* isolates in the UK and therefore can be used to develop new
7 cultivars in these two crops. The lines can also be used for molecular studies aimed at
8 mapping and cloning the resistance genes involved. It would then be possible to use plant
9 transformation to speed the introduction of useful genes into new cultivars, but currently it is
10 unlikely that these cultivars would be accepted in the UK. Alternatively, the double haploid
11 lines are ideal material for construction of genetic linkage maps and genetic analysis of traits
12 (Pink *et al.*, 2008). The resistance can then be introduced in different cultivars through
13 classical breeding that can be aided by marker assisted selection. Combining or ‘pyramiding’
14 pathotype specific resistance genes in the same cultivar has long been recognised as an
15 effective means of achieving disease control in plants (McIntosh & Brown, 1997; Pink &
16 Puddephat, 1999; Pink, 2002). The use of single gene-based resistance might not provide
17 durable disease resistance (Pink, 2002; Sivasithamparam *et al.*, 2005; Stuthman *et al.*, 2007),
18 but the combination of isolate specific genes and genes that confer broad spectrum resistance
19 together with an appropriate strategy for deployment of cultivars, can contribute to the
20 management of the disease. Monitoring pathogen variability is critical for sustained use of
21 gene combinations. Based on current information of the virulence of the UK *H. parasitica*
22 population, the combined resistance available in EBH525/544/550 and EBH502 should
23 provide effective control of downy mildew in UK production of *B. oleracea* crops.

24

25 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

1 This work was funded by the UK Department for the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
2 (Defra) and is based on studies included in two projects: Variability in fungal pathogens and
3 Crop Improvement of Field Vegetables (research contracts HH0916SFV and HH3723SX).
4 We thank Dr Debbie Leckie for initial selection of resistant accessions and crosses with
5 materials derived from a previous EU funded genetic resources project (AIR3-CT920463)
6 and Josie Brough for assistance in testing F₂ and BC₁ plants.
7

8 REFERENCES

- 9
10 Bohuon EJR, Keith DJ, Parkin IAP, Sharpe AG, Lydiate DJ, 1996. Alignment of the
11 conserved C genomes of *Brassica oleracea* and *Brassica napus*. *Theoretical and*
12 *Applied Genetics*, **93**, 833-839.
13
14 Borhan MH, Gunn N, Cooper A, Gulden S, Tor M, Rimmer SR, Holub EB, 2008.
15 WRR4 encodes a TIR-NB-LRR protein that confers broad-spectrum white rust
16 resistance in *Arabidopsis thaliana* to four physiological races of *Albugo candida*.
17 *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*, **21**, 757-768.
18
19 Borhan MH, Holub EB, Kindrachuk C, Omid M, Bozorgmanesh-Frad G, Rimmer
20 SR, 2010. WRR4, a broad-spectrum TIR-NB-LRR gene from *Arabidopsis thaliana*
21 that confers white rust resistance in transgenic oilseed brassica crops. *Molecular Plant*
22 *Pathology*, **11**, 283-291.
23
24 Brophy TF, Laing MD, 1992. Screening of fungicides for the control of downy
25 mildew on container-grown cabbage seedlings. *Crop Protection*, **11**, 160-164.
26
27 Carlsson M, Von Bothmer R, Merker A, 2004. Screening and evaluation of resistance
28 to downy mildew (*Peronospora parasitica*) and clubroot (*Plasmodiophora brassicae*)
29 in genetic resources of *Brassica oleracea*. *Hereditas*, **141**, 293-300.
30
31 Channon AG, 1981. Downy mildew of Brassicas. In: Spencer DM, ed. *The Downy*
32 *Mildews*. London: Academic Press, 321-339.
33
34 Coelho PS, Monteiro AA, 2003. Inheritance of downy mildew resistance in mature
35 broccoli plants. *Euphytica*, **131**, 65-69.
36
37 Crute IR, 1992. From breeding to cloning (and back again): a case study with lettuce
38 downy mildew. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, **30**, 485-506.
39
40 Crute IR, Norwood JM, 1981. The identification and characteristics of field-resistance
41 to lettuce downy mildew (*Bremia lactucae* Regel). *Euphytica*, **30**, 707-717.

- 1
2 Ellis PR, Pink DAC, Phelps K, Jukes PL, Breeds SE, Pinnegar AE, 1998. Evaluation
3 of a core collection of *Brassica oleracea* accessions for resistance to *Brevicoryne*
4 *brassicae*, the cabbage aphid. *Euphytica*, **103**, 149-160.
5
6 Farnham MW, Wang M, Thomas CE, 2002. A single dominant gene for downy
7 mildew resistance in broccoli. *Euphytica*, **128**, 405-407.
8
9 Hoser-Krauze J, Lakowska-Ryk E, Antosik J, 1995. The inheritance of resistance of
10 some *Brassica oleracea* L. cultivars and lines to downy mildew *Peronospora*
11 *parasitica* (Pers.) ex. Fr. *Journal of Applied Genetics*, **36**, 27-33.
12
13 Hoser-Krauze J, Takowska-Ryk E, Antosik J, 1984. Resistance of cauliflower and
14 broccoli (*B. oleracea* L. *botrytis* L.) seedlings to downy mildew - *Peronospora*
15 *parasitica*. *Cruciferae Newsletter*, **9**, 92-93.
16
17 Jensen BD, Hockenhuil J, Munk L, 1999a. Seedling and adult plant resistance to
18 downy mildew (*Peronospora parasitica*) in cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* convar.
19 *botrytis* var. *botrytis*). *Plant Pathology*, **48**, 604-612.
20
21 Jensen BD, Vaerbak S, Munk L, Andersen SB, 1999b. Characterization and
22 inheritance of partial resistance to downy mildew, *Peronospora parasitica*, in
23 breeding material of broccoli, *Brassica oleracea* convar. *botrytis* var. *italica*. *Plant*
24 *Breeding*, **118**, 549-554.
25
26 Kou YJ, Wang SP, 2010. Broad-spectrum and durability: understanding of
27 quantitative disease resistance. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, **13**, 181-185.
28
29 Leckie D, Astley D, Crute IR, Ellis PR, Pink DAC, Boukema I, Monteiro AA, Dias S,
30 1996. The location and exploitation of genes for pest and disease resistance in
31 European gene bank collections of horticultural brassicas. In: Dias JS, Crute I and
32 Monteiro AA, eds. *International Symposium on Brassicas - Ninth Crucifer Genetics*
33 *Workshop*. Leuven 1: International Society Horticultural Science, 95-101.
34
35 McIntosh RA, Brown GN, 1997. Anticipatory breeding for resistance to rust diseases
36 in wheat. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, **35**, 311-326.
37
38 Mitchell-Olds T, James RV, Palmer MJ, Williams PH, 1995. Genetics of *Brassica*
39 *rapa* (syn. *campestris*). 2. Multiple disease resistance to three fungal pathogens:
40 *Peronospora parasitica*, *Albugo candida* and *Leptosphaeria maculans*. *Heredity*, **75**,
41 362-369.
42
43 Moss NA, Crute IR, Lucas JA, Gordon PL, 1988. Requirements for analysis of host-
44 species specificity in *Peronospora parasitica* (Downy mildew). *Cruciferae*
45 *Newsletter*, **13**, 114-116.
46
47 Natti JJ, Dickson MH, Atkins JD, 1967. Resistance of *Brassica oleracea* varieties to
48 downy mildew. *Phytopathology*, **57**, 144-147.
49
50 Norwood JM, Crute IR, Johnson AG, Gordon PL, 1983. A demonstration of the

1 inheritance of field resistance to lettuce downy mildew (*Bremia lactucae* Regel) in
2 progeny derived from cv. Grand Rapids. *Euphytica*, **32**, 161-170.

3
4 Pink D, Bailey L, McClement S, Hand P, Mathas E, Buchanan-Wollaston V, Astley
5 D, King G, Teakle G, 2008. Double haploids, markers and QTL analysis in vegetable
6 brassicas. *Euphytica*, **164**, 509-514.

7
8 Pink D, Puddephat I, 1999. Deployment of disease resistance genes by plant
9 transformation - a 'mix and match' approach. *Trends in Plant Science*, **4**, 71-75.

10
11 Pink DAC, 2002. Strategies using genes for non-durable disease resistance.
12 *Euphytica*, **124**, 227-236.

13
14 Schmit J, Taylor JD, Roberts SJ, 1993. Sources of resistance to pea bacterial blight
15 (*Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *pisi*) in pea germplasm. In: 6th International Congress of
16 Plant Pathology, Montreal, pp. 180.

17
18 Sharpe AG, Parkin IAP, Keith DJ, Lydiate DJ, 1995. Frequent nonreciprocal
19 translocations in the amphidiploid genome of oilseed rape (*Brassica napus*). *Genome*,
20 **38**, 1112-1121.

21
22 Sherriff C, Lucas JA, 1990. The host range of isolates of downy mildew, *Peronospora*
23 *parasitica*, from *Brassica* crop species. *Plant Pathology*, **39**, 77-91.

24
25 Sivasithamparam K, Barbetti MJ, Li H, 2005. Recurring challenges from a
26 necrotrophic fungal plant pathogen: a case study with *Leptosphaeria maculans* (causal
27 agent of Blackleg disease in Brassicas) in Western Australia. *Annals of Botany*, **96**,
28 363-377.

29
30 Stuthman DD, Leonard KJ, Miller-Garvin J, 2007. Breeding crops for durable
31 resistance to disease. *Advances in Agronomy*, **95**, 319-367.

32
33 Takahata Y, Keller WA, 1991. High frequency embryogenesis and plant regeneration
34 in isolated microspore culture of *Brassica oleracea* L. *Plant Science*, **74**, 235-242.

35
36 Taylor JD, Conway J, Roberts SJ, Astley D, Vicente JG, 2002. Sources and origin of
37 resistance to *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *campestris* in *Brassica* genomes.
38 *Phytopathology*, **92**, 105-111.

39
40 Taylor JD, Teverson DM, Davis JHC, 1996. Sources of resistance to *Pseudomonas*
41 *syringae* pv. *phaseolicola* races in *Phaseolus vulgaris*. *Plant Pathology*, **45**, 479-485.

42
43 Wang J, Lydiate DJ, Parkin IAP, Falentin C, Delourme R, Carion PWC, King GJ,
44 2011. Integration of linkage maps for the amphidiploid *Brassica napus* and
45 comparative mapping with *Arabidopsis* and *Brassica rapa*. *BMC Genomics*, **12**, 101.

46
47 Zhang NWW, Pelgrom K, Niks RE, Visser RGF, Jeuken MJW, 2009. Three
48 combined quantitative trait loci from nonhost *Lactuca saligna* are sufficient to
49 provide complete resistance of lettuce against *Bremia lactucae*. *Molecular Plant-
50 Microbe Interactions*, **22**, 1160-1168.

1
2
3

Table 1. Summary of *Brassica oleracea* accessions including doubled haploid lines that were generated for this study from different sources of downy mildew resistance, and additional susceptible or resistant control accessions.

Accession	Crop source (accession/cultivar) ^c	Seed stock	Origin or Reference	Seedling resistance ^d
EBH502	Cabbage (OL21260)	Doubled haploid	This study	Narrow isolate specific
EBH508 ^a	Calabrese (OL21250)	Doubled haploid	This study	Narrow isolate specific
EBH516 ^a	Cabbage (OL21410)	Doubled haploid	This study	None or weak (basal)
EBH525 ^b	Borecole (OL21264)	Inbred	This study	Broad specificity
EBH527 ^a	Cauliflower (OL21278)	Doubled haploid	This study	Narrow isolate specific
EBH535 ^a	Cauliflower (OL21311)	Doubled haploid	This study	None or weak (basal)
EBH544	Cabbage (OL21287)	Doubled haploid	This study	Broad specificity
EBH550	Borecole (OL21396)	Doubled haploid	This study	Broad specificity
EBH553 ^a	Kale (OL21361)	Doubled haploid	This study	None or weak (basal)
Cal18b	Broccoli	Doubled haploid	WHRI, unpublished	None
Lateman	Mini-cauliflower	Open pollinated	Mr Fothergill's, Kentford, UK	None
Surfrider	Cauliflower	Doubled haploid	WHRI, unpublished	None
A12DHd	Chinese kale	Doubled haploid	Bohuon <i>et al.</i> (1996)	None or weak (basal)
GDDH33	Calabrese (Green Duke)	Doubled haploid	Bohuon <i>et al.</i> (1996)	None
Senna	Rapid cycling (OL2050)	Doubled haploid	WHRI, unpublished	None
N-o-1 ^e	<i>B. napus</i> spring rape	Doubled haploid	Sharpe <i>et al.</i> (1995)	Narrow isolate specific
N-o-9	<i>B. napus</i> winter rape	Doubled haploid	Sharpe <i>et al.</i> (1995)	Broad specificity
COB76	<i>B. napus</i> (Cobra)	Doubled haploid	WHRI, unpublished	Broad specificity

^aThese doubled haploids were originally developed as different sources of white blister rust resistance in a parallel project, which in three cases (EBH516, EBH527 and EBH535) exhibited broad spectrum resistance to 35 UK isolates of the oomycete *Albugo candida* race 9, and in two cases (EBH508 and EBH553) exhibited narrow isolate specific resistance (Holub & Gunn, unpublished).

^bEBH525 segregates for white or yellow flower colour, but is uniformly resistant to *H. parasitica*.

^cOL prefix indicates an accession which is included in the core diversity collection maintained for distribution by the Genetic Resource Unit, Warwick Crop Centre, Wellesbourne Campus, Warwick CV35 9EF, UK.

^dBased on inoculations with UK isolates of *H. parasitica* described in this study.

^eThis accession is derived from cv. Westar; a *B. napus* mapping population (N-o-72-8) and linkage map have been generated from a N-o-1 x N-o-9 cross (Sharpe *et al.*, 1995).

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12

1

2

Table 2. Sample year and origin of *Hyaloperonospora parasitica* isolates used in this study.

Year	Isolate No.	County	Location	Production System	Host crop type (cultivar)
1977	P005	Nottinghamshire	Holystone	Conventional	Cauliflower (Barrier Reef)
1983	P006	Lincolnshire	not recorded	Conventional	Cauliflower
2001	Hp701	Cambridgeshire	Doddington Nursery	Organic	Cabbage (Autoro)
	Hp702	Cambridgeshire	Doddington Nursery	Organic	Calabrese (Marathon)
	Hp703	Cambridgeshire	Doddington Nursery	Organic	Savoy Cabbage (Tarvoy)
	Hp704	Lancashire	Holmeswood	Organic	not recorded
	Hp705	Lincolnshire	Old Leake Nursery	Conventional	not recorded
	Hp706	Lancashire	NIAB (Holmeswood)	Organic	not recorded
	Hp710	Lincolnshire	Low Fulney	Conventional	Cauliflower (Optimist)
	Hp711	Lincolnshire	Wainfleet	Conventional	White cabbage
	Hp713	Lincolnshire	Wainfleet	Conventional	Cauliflower
	Hp715	Lincolnshire	Wainfleet	Conventional	White cabbage
	Hp717	Lincolnshire	Wainfleet	Conventional	Calabrese
	Hp718	Lincolnshire	Wainfleet	Conventional	Calabrese
	Hp 724	Cambridgeshire	Doddington Nursery	Organic	Cabbage (Duncan)
	Hp726	Lincolnshire	Wainfleet	Conventional	Calabrese
	Hp727	Lancashire	Holmeswood	Organic	not recorded
	Hp 728	Lincolnshire	Kirton	Organic	not recorded
	Hp729	Cornwall	Camborne	Organic	Spring Greens
	Hp730	Cornwall	Camborne	Organic	Savoy Cabbage
	Hp731	Cornwall	Bristol	Conventional	Cabbage (Duncan)
2002	Hp733	Gloucestershire	Penzance	Organic	Cauliflower
	Hp735	Cornwall	Mount Hawke	Organic	Cauliflower (Castle Grand)
	Hp736	Cornwall	Mount Hawke	Organic	Calabrese (Marathon)
	Hp738	Yorkshire	Epworth	Organic	Broccoli
	Hp739	Nottinghamshire	Bildworth	Organic	Cauliflower
	Hp741	Warwickshire	Wellesbourne	Conventional	Broccoli
2007	Hp801	Somerset	Wessex Nursery	Conventional	Cauliflower (Pavilion)
	Hp802	Lincolnshire	Westhorpe Nursery	Organic	Cabbage (Duchy)
	Hp803	Lincolnshire	Westhorpe Nursery	Organic	(Tinman)
	Hp804	Lincolnshire	Westhorpe Nursery	Organic	Calabrese (Marathon)
2008	Hp805	Lancashire	Farringtons Nursery	Conventional	(Boris)
	Hp806	Lancashire	Farringtons Nursery	Conventional	(Helsinki)
	Hp807	Lancashire	Farringtons Nursery	Conventional	(Trent)
	Hp808	Lincolnshire	Sheepgate Nursery	Conventional	Cauliflower (Pavilion)
	Hp809	Lincolnshire	Sheepgate Nursery	Conventional	Cauliflower (Concept)
	Hp810	Lincolnshire	Sheepgate Nursery	Conventional	(Valtos)
	Hp811	Lincolnshire	Sheepgate Nursery	Conventional	(Kronas)
	Hp812	Lincolnshire	Sheepgate Nursery	Conventional	(Valtos)
	Hp813	Lincolnshire	Sheepgate Nursery	Conventional	Cauliflower (Concept)
	Hp814	Lancashire	Farringtons Nursery	Conventional	Calabrese
	Hp815	Lancashire	Farringtons Nursery	Conventional	Calabrese

3

4

5

6

1

Table 3. Interaction phenotypes between *Hyaloperonospora parasitica* and brassicas.

Class	Pathogen sporulation	Host response
0	None	None visible
1	None	Light to heavy necrotic flecking, confined to inoculation tissue
2	None	Heavy necrotic flecking spreading on cotyledon
3	Sparse, confined to inoculated patch of tissue	Flecking or diffuse necrosis of sporulating tissue
4	Moderate to heavy, confined to inoculated tissue	Flecking or diffuse necrosis of sporulating tissue
5	Moderate to heavy, spreading across the cotyledon	Flecking or diffuse necrosis
6	Heavy sporulation, across entire cotyledon	Flaccid cotyledon without necrosis

2

3

1
2

Table 4. Seedling phenotypes of five downy mildew *Brassica oleracea* accessions following inoculation with 42 *Hyaloperonospora parasitica* isolates that were collected from UK brassica crops.

Year Collected	<i>H. parasitica</i> isolate	<i>B. oleracea</i> line ^b		
		EBH525, EBH544, EBH550	EBH502	EBH508
1977	005	R	S	S
1983	006 ^a	R	R	R
2001-02	704	R	I	R
	718	R	I	I
	710, 735	R	R	S
	705, 706, 713, 730, 731, 733, 739	R	I	S
	711, 726, 728, 738, 741	R	S	I
	701, 702, 703, 715, 717, 724, 727, 729, 736	R	S	S
2007-08	802	R	I	I
	807, 815	R	R	S
	808, 809, 810, 811, 812, 813	R	I	S
	805, 814	I	I	S
	804	R	S	I
	801, 803	R	S	S
	806	S	I	S

3 ^a Indicates the *H. parasitica* isolate that was used to select plants from the original source of
4 downy mildew resistance for EBH525, EBH544 and EBH550.

5 ^b R= resistant host with mean interaction phenotype score < 2.5; S= susceptible host with
6 mean score ≥4.5; and I= partially resistant host with intermediate mean score.

7
8

Table 5. Segregation of downy mildew resistance to *Hyaloperonospora parasitica* in the F₁, F₂ and BC₁ generations derived from crosses between the downy mildew resistant accessions EBH525, EBH544, EBH550 and susceptible cultivars of broccoli (Cal18b) or cauliflower (Lateman). Additional intercrosses in all pairwise combinations of the resistant accessions were also tested.

Plant material	Observed ratio (R : S)*	Predicted ratio	χ^2 probability	Conclusion
F ₁ Cal18b x EBH525	22 : 0	1 : 0		
F ₂ Cal18b x EBH525	133 : 45	3 : 1	0.93	Dominant
BC ₁ (Cal18b x F ₁)	50 : 37	1 : 1	0.16	Single gene
EBH525	9 : 0	1 : 0		
Cal18b	0 : 8	0 : 1		
F ₁ EBH525 x Lateman	11 : 0	1 : 0		
F ₂ EBH525 x Lateman	136 : 43	3 : 1	0.76	Dominant
BC ₁ (F ₁ x Lateman)	45 : 38	1 : 1	0.44	Single gene
EBH525	7 : 0	1 : 0		
Lateman	0 : 10	0 : 1		
F ₁ Cal18b x EBH544	20 : 0	1 : 0		
F ₂ Cal18b x EBH544	136 : 34	3 : 1	0.13	Dominant
BC ₁ (Cal18b x F ₁)	40 : 38	1 : 1	0.82	Single gene
EBH544	8 : 0	1 : 0		
Cal18b	0 : 10	0 : 1		
F ₁ EBH544 x Lateman	22 : 0	1 : 0		
F ₂ EBH544 x Lateman	141 : 48	3 : 1	0.90	Dominant
BC ₁ (F ₁ x Lateman)	34 : 43	1 : 1	0.30	Single gene
EBH544	8 : 0	1 : 0		
Lateman	0 : 11	0 : 1		
F ₁ Cal18b x EBH550	10 : 0	1 : 0		
F ₂ Cal18b x EBH550	119 : 50	3 : 1	0.17	Dominant
BC ₁ (Cal18b x F ₁)	43 : 35	1 : 1	0.36	Single gene
EBH550	10 : 0	1 : 0		
Cal18b	0 : 9	0 : 1		
F ₁ EBH550 x Lateman	24 : 0	1 : 0		
F ₂ EBH550 x Lateman	127 : 52	3 : 1	0.21	Dominant
BC ₁ (F ₁ x Lateman)	47 : 42	1 : 1	0.60	Single gene
EBH550	11 : 0	1 : 0		
Lateman	0 : 9	0 : 1		
F ₂ EBH525 x EBH544	523 : 0	1 : 0		Allelic
F ₂ EBH544 x EBH525	592 : 0	1 : 0		Allelic
F ₂ EBH550 x EBH544	502 : 0	1 : 0		Allelic
F ₂ EBH544 x EBH550	520 : 0	1 : 0		Allelic
F ₂ EBH525 x EBH550	531 : 0	1 : 0		Allelic
F ₂ EBH550 x EBH525	540 : 0	1 : 0		Allelic

1 * R= resistant; S= susceptible
2

1
2

Table 6. Doubled haploid (DH) plants from F₁ plants that were derived from outcrosses of a downy mildew susceptible broccoli line (Cal18b) and two downy mildew resistant lines (EBH525 and EBH550). The *Hyaloperonospora parasitica* isolate Hp717 was used to assess the interaction phenotype of each DH line.

F ₁ outcross	No. DH lines tested	DH phenotype based on progeny test (resistant : intermediate : susceptible)
Cal18b x EBH525	36	20 : 5 : 11
Cal18b x EBH550	4	1 : 0 : 3
Total	40	21 : 5 : 14

3
4
5