

University of Warwick institutional repository: http://go.warwick.ac.uk/wrap

This paper is made available online in accordance with publisher policies. Please scroll down to view the document itself. Please refer to the repository record for this item and our policy information available from the repository home page for further information.

To see the final version of this paper please visit the publisher's website. Access to the published version may require a subscription.

Author(s): Leslie J. Francis; Christopher Alan Lewis; Mandy Robbins

Article Title: Religious orientation, mental health and culture:

conceptual and empirical perspectives

Year of publication: 2010

Link to published article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2010.503380

Publisher statement: 'This is an electronic version of an article published in Francis, L. J. et al. (2010). Religious orientation, mental health and culture: conceptual and empirical perspectives. Mental Health, Religion & Culture, Vol. 13 (7&8), pp. 659-666. [Mental Health, Religion & Culture is available online at:

http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/content~db=all~content=a 930541265~frm=abslink

# Religious orientation, mental health and culture: Conceptual and empirical perspectives

An introduction to this special issue of Mental Health, Religion and Culture

# Leslie J. Francis

Warwick Religions and Education Research Unit, University of Warwick, England

# Christopher Alan Lewis

Division of Psychology, Institute for Health, Medical Science and Society, Glyndŵr University, Plas Coch Campus, Mold Road, Wrexham, LL11 2AW, Wales, UK

# Mandy Robbins

Institute for Education, University of Warwick, England

Author note: \*Corresponding author: Leslie J Francis Warwick Religions & Education Research Unit Institute of Education The University of Warwick Coventry CV4 7AL United Kingdom

Tel: +44 (0)24 7652 2539 +44 (0)24 7657 2638 Fax:

leslie.francis@warwick.ac.uk Email:

RELIGIOUS ORIENTATION, MENTAL HEALTH AND CULTURE

2

Abstract

This special edition of Mental Health, Religion and Culture brings together thirteen

original empirical studies that employ theories and measures based on the notion of

'religious orientation'. As originally conceived, Allport's notion of religious orientation

distinguished between the two motivational styles of intrinsic religiosity and extrinsic

religiosity. Subsequent work distinguished between extrinsic-personal and extrinsic social

motivations, and added the third orientation styled as quest religiosity. The first set of

seven studies draws on a variety of measures of religious orientation developed since the

mid-1960s, including single-item measures. The second set of six studies draws on the

New Indices of Religious Orientation proposed by Francis in 2007. Collectively these

studies confirm the continuing vitality of the notion of religious orientation for informing

empirical research within the psychology of religion and strengthen the foundation for

future work in this area.

Keywords: Religious orientation, mental health, culture, extrinsic, intrinsic, quest.

#### Introduction

The notion of 'religious orientation' has its origins in the pioneering work of Gordon Allport and, in particular, the seminal paper by Allport and Ross (1967). It is here that the constructs of 'intrinsic religiosity' and 'extrinsic religiosity' were clarified and operationalised. Subsequent discussion sometimes chose to distinguish between two aspects of the extrinsic orientation, speaking in terms of extrinsic-personal and extrinsicsocial religiosity (see Gorsuch and McPherson, 1989). The early work in the field led both to a growing body of empirical findings confirming differentiated patterns of correlations between these religious orientations and a range of personal and social factors, and to a psychological (and theological) discussion regarding the nature of religious maturity. Largely through the work of Batson and colleagues, the two established orientations (intrinsic and extrinsic) were joined by the third, namely 'quest religiosity', seen best as a close cousin rather than as a sibling (Batson & Ventis, 1982). Clarified and operationalised by Batson and Schoenrade (1991a, 1991b), a third pattern of correlates with personal and social variables became documented in the empirical literature, and the psychological (and theological) discussions regarding the nature of religious maturity took on a new dimension.

The importance of (and the controversy over) religious orientation within the empirical psychology of religion was well captured by the title of Kirkpatrick and Hood's (1990) paper, 'Intrinsic-extrinsic religious orientation: The boon or bane of contemporary psychology of religion'. As part of an attempt to bring new rigour to this field of enquiry Francis (2007) re-visited the definitions of the three constructs (intrinsic, extrinsic, and quest orientation), provided fresh operationalisation of the constructs giving balanced

weight to the component parts of the definitions (producing the New Indices of Religious Orientation), and clarified the contexts in which measures of religious orientation provided empirical operationalisation of religiosity (as instruments designed to assess different motivations among those self-identifying as religious rather than as distinguishing between the religious and the non-religious).

Although empirical research employing measures of religious orientation continues to be widely published, such research tends to be distributed across a diverse range of journals, only a proportion of which are specifically concerned with the psychology of religion or religious studies. While in many ways a real strength, such dispersion through the literature makes it difficult to form an overview of how the field is developing. Recognition of the growing number of submissions employing the notion of religious orientation to *Mental Health, Religion and Culture* led to the idea of holding back some of the submissions to form the basis of this special issue, and to seek further submissions to develop the range of perspectives. Moreover, a special issue in this area could serve as an opportunity to provide sustained examination of the New Indices of Religious Orientation.

The present collection, comprising of thirteen empirical articles drawn from researchers in Croatia, the United Kingdom, and the United States of America, is divided into two halves. The first set of papers employed longer-established measures to operationalise the construct of religious orientation. The second set of papers employed the New Indices of Religious Orientation. In combination, these articles demonstrate the wide range of matters currently being investigated by researchers, as well as differing methodologies being employed within psychology using the conceptual and empirical

framework of religious orientation. A brief synopsis of the thirteen articles included in this special edition of *Mental Health, Religion and Culture* follows.

## Part one

The first set of seven papers employ longer-established measures of religious orientation.

Lavrič and Flere (2010) employed the Intrinsic/Extrinsic Revised Scale developed by Gorsuch and McPherson (1989) and the 12-item Quest Scale proposed by Maltby and Day (1998) alongside the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (Speilberger *et al.*, 1970), and measures of attendance at religious services and frequency of prayer among undergraduate university students from four different cultural environments: Bosnia and Herzegovina, Serbia, Slovenia, and the USA. The data suggested that the relationship between trait anxiety and religiosity substantially varies between these samples; that the relationship between quest religious orientation and trait anxiety was the only one to give stable (positive) unidirectional and significant correlations across the four samples; and that among the religious measures employed, attendance at religious services proved to be potentially the most effective anxiety-buffering mechanism within the samples.

Bartz, Richards, Smith and Fischer (2010) employed the Religious Orientation
Scales developed by Allport and Ross (1967) alongside the Minnesota Multiphasic
Personality Inventory-2 (Butcher & Williams, 2000) and a semi-structured interview
(lasting approximately two hours) among 53 religiously devout Mormon college students
in 1984, 1987, and 2001 in order to explore the process of religious development and the
relationship between religiosity and mental health. The data suggested that these
religiously devout individuals have consistently fallen within the normal range on the

clinical scales of the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory and have demonstrated continual reduction in their scores on those scales; that there were no correlations between scores of intrinsic religiosity and psychopathology; that these participants' religious motivations remained stable over the course of adulthood; and that most of the participants eventually manifested a continuous style of religious development.

Jones, Furnham and Deile (2010) employed the Intrinsic Religious Orientation and Extrinsic Religious Orientation measures proposed by Feagin (1964) alongside the Protestant Work Ethic measure proposed by Mirels and Garrett (1971) among 121 students at a small American University and 260 students at an English university in order to examine the association between intrinsic religiosity and the Protestant work ethic. The data suggested that intrinsic religiosity and the Protestant work ethic are significantly correlated, and that this correlation remains significant even after partialling out their common correlation with the tendency to describe oneself as politically conservative.

Tiliopoulos (2010) employed the Religious Life Inventory developed by Batson and Schoenrade (1991a, 1991b) and the Intrinsic/Extrinsic Revised Scale developed by Gorusch and McPherson (1989) alongside the Aspects of Identity Questionnaire described by Cheek and Tropp (1997) among 161 British Christian adults, in order to examine the association between religiosity and identity. The data suggested that: personal identity showed a positive association with quest religiosity, and that social identity showed both a positive association with extrinsic-personal religiosity and a negative association with intrinsic religiosity.

Williamson, Hood, Ahmed, Sadiq, and Hill (2010) employed three items from the Extrinsic/Intrinsic Revised Scale developed by Gorsuch and McPherson (1989) as singleitem measures of the intrinsic/extrinsic-personal and extrinsic-social religious orientations alongside the Intratextual Fundamentalism Scale. Described by Williamson and Hood (2005), the Religious Fundamentalism Scale developed by Altemeyer and Hunsberger (2004), and the Short Right-Wing Authoritarianism Scale developed by Altemeyer and Hunsberger (2005) among 119 Christian undergraduates from a small state university in the south of the USA, and among 220 Muslim students from a university in Peshawar, Pakistan. The range of instruments was then expanded to include the 12-item Quest Scale developed by Batson and Schoenrade (1991a, 1991b) among a further 236 general psychology students from the same university in the USA. The data suggest that: among the American Christian students, fundamentalism (as measured by the Intratextual Fundamentalism Scale) shows a significant positive association with intrinsic religiosity, a significant positive association with extrinsic-personal religiosity, and no significant association with extrinsic-social religiosity; among the Pakistan Muslim students, there was not significant association between fundamentalism (measured by the same instrument) and religious orientation.

Maltby, Lewis, Freeman, Day, Cruise, and Breslin (2010) employed the 12-item Age-Universal I-E Scale developed by Gorsuch and Venable (1983) alongside the 14-item brief Religious Coping Measure developed by Pargament (1997), the Measure of Prayer Activity developed by Poloma and Pendleton (1991) and the SF-36 Health Survey: Version 2 described by Ware, Kosinski, and Dewey (2000) and Ware, Kosinski, and Keller (1994) among 177 British adults sampled from Anglican churches in the UK,

in order to explore the association between religion and health within the context of a cognitive-behavioural framework. The data suggested: that physical health was positively associated with intrinsic religiosity, negatively associated with extrinsic-personal religiosity and independent of extrinsic-social religiosity; that mental health was positively associated with intrinsic religiosity, and independent of both extrinsic-personal religiosity and extrinsic-social religiosity; that positive religious coping was positively associated with both intrinsic religiosity and extrinsic-personal religiosity, but independent of extrinsic-social religiosity; and that negative religious coping was negatively associated with intrinsic religiosity, but independent of both extrinsic-personal religiosity and extrinsic-social religiosity, but independent of both extrinsic-personal religiosity and extrinsic-social religiosity.

Nielsen and Cragun (2010) employed the single-item questions prepared to access intrinsic religiosity, extrinsic-personal religiosity and extrinsic-social religiosity by Gorusch and McPherson (1989) alongside the Religious Doubt measure proposed by Altemeyer (1988), and a set of nine questions regarding sexuality, polygamy, and whether Mormons are considered Christian among 2,330 Protestants, Catholics, Mormons, Fundamentalist (polygamous) Mormons, and religious 'nones'. The bivariate correlations demonstrated: that intrinsic religiosity was associated with more negative views on polygamy among Protestants, Catholics and Mormons, but was unrelated to views on polygamy among Fundamentalist Mormons and religious 'nones'; that extrinsic-personal religiosity was associated with more negative views on polygamy among Protestants and Mormons, but was unrelated to views on polygamy among Catholics, Fundamentalist Mormons and religious 'nones'; and that extrinsic-social religiosity was unrelated to views on polygamy among all five groups.

#### Part 2

The second set of six papers employ the New Indices of Religious Orientation.

Francis, Jewell, and Robbins (2010) employed the New Indices of Religious

Orientation developed by Francis (2007) alongside the Purpose in Life Scale developed
by Robbins and Francis (2000) and the Short-form Revised Eysenck Personality

Questionnaire developed by Eysenck, Eysenck, and Barrett (1985) among 407 members
aged sixty and over of the Leeds Methodist district in England in order to explore the link
between religious orientation and purpose in life. The data suggested that: after
controlling for individual differences in personality, intrinsic religiosity was associated
with a better sense of purpose in life; and that both quest religiosity and extrinsic
religiosity were unrelated to individual differences in a sense of purpose in life.

Francis (2010) employed the New Indices of Religious Orientation developed by Francis (2007) alongside frequency of church attendance and the short-form Revised Eysenck Personality Questionnaire developed by Eysenck, Eysenck, and Barrett (1985) among 517 first-year students at a university-sector college in Wales in order to explore the links between personality and religious orientation. The data suggested that in the sample as a whole, intrinsic religious orientation was associated with low psychoticism scores, but independent of extraversion scores and neuroticism scores; that extrinsic religious orientation was associated with low psychoticism scores and high neuroticism scores, but independent of extraversion scores; and that quest religious orientation was associated with high neuroticism scores and low extraversion scores, but independent of psychoticism scores. The pattern of relationships changed, however, when separate analyses were conducted among weekly churchgoers and among individuals who never

attended church. These data suggest that the pattern of relationship between personality and religion may vary both according to the form of religiosity assessed and according to the samples being studies.

Ross and Francis (2010) employed the New Indices of Religious Orientation developed by Francis (2007) alongside the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator Form G (Myers, & McCaulley, 1985) as a measure of Jungian psychological type theory (Jung, 1971) among 481 weekly churchgoing Christians who had participated in courses operated in England and Wales concerned with personality and spirituality in order to explore the link between psychological type and religious orientation. The data suggested that quest religious orientation scores were higher among intuitives than among sensers, but were unrelated to introversion and extraversion, thinking and feeling, or judging and perceiving; that intrinsic religious orientation scores were higher among extraverts than introverts, higher among sensers than intuitives, and higher among feelers than thinkers, but unrelated to judging and perceiving; and that extrinsic religious orientation scores were unrelated to any of the four components of psychological type.

Francis Robbins and Murray (2010) employed the New Indices of Religious

Orientation developed by Francis (2007) alongside the Francis Psychological Type Scales

(Francis, 2005) as a measure of Jungian psychological type theory (Jung, 1971) among 65

adults attending an Anglican church in the south of England, in order to test the

hypothesis that motivation for church attendance (religious orientation) is related to

personality (psychological type). The data demonstrated that this congregation displayed

clear preferences for judging (72%) over perceiving (28%) and for sensing (62%) over

intuition (39%), slight preference for extraversion (54%) over introversion (46%) and a

fairly close balance between feeling (51%) and thinking (49%), and included attenders who reflected all three religious orientations: intrinsic, extrinsic and quest. Moreover, extraverts recorded significantly higher scores than introverts on the measure of extrinsic religiosity, while introverts recorded significantly higher scores than extraverts on the measure of intrinsic religiosity, demonstrating a link between psychological type and motivation for church attendance.

Williams (2010) examined the psychometric properties of the New Indices of Religious Orientation developed by Francis (2007) among 432 worshippers in five Anglican cathedrals in England and Wales. The data demonstrated that all the indices achieved satisfactory alpha coefficients for both the full scale (extrinsic, 0.79; intrinsic, 0.76; and quest 0.75) and the short form (extrinsic, 0.70, intrinsic, 0.79; and quest, 0.70). The construct validity of the New Indices of Religious Orientation was supported by examination against self-reported frequency of personal prayer.

Kamble, Lewis and Cruise (2010) examined the internal reliability and temporal stability of both the long and the short forms of the New Indices of Religious Orientation developed by Francis (2007) over a 15-day period among a sample of 100 Indian university students. Internal reliabilities for the long form of the measure at times 1 and 2 were acceptable for all subscales with the exception of the intrinsic subscale at time 2. Reliability estimates for the extrinsic and intrinsic subscales of the short form of the measure at both time 1 and time 2 were also less than satisfactory, though the alpha coefficient for the quest subscale was satisfactory. Data demonstrated that stability across the two administrations for both long- and short-form versions of the three dimensions of religious orientation were moderately high to high (ranging from r = 0.63 to r = 0.76).

Moreover, intra-class correlation coefficients were similar to those derived from the Pearson correlation coefficients, thus confirming that there were no systematic errors between the time 1 and the time 2 datasets. However, there were significant differences in mean scores between time 1 and time 2 for both long- and short-form versions of the intrinsic and quest religious orientation subscales.

## Conclusion

This special issue of *Mental Health*, *Religion and Culture* has illustrated some of the diversity and richness of current empirical research concerned with the theory and measurement of religious orientation, employing both a range of the longer-established measures of religious orientation and the recently introduced New Indices of Religious Orientation. In the process of drawing together these specific papers, we have become even more aware of the vitality within this research tradition and the range of colleagues working in the field, well beyond those represented in the present collection. We would welcome knowing about other initiatives that could be considered for a second special issue devoted to extending interest in this theme.

In closing, we wish to acknowledge the authors who provided stimulating articles and efficient revisions, and our reviewers for their judicious and insightful evaluations of the manuscripts submitted. We are grateful to the regular co-editors of the *Mental Health*, *Religion and Culture* for their continued enthusiasm and support for the project, as well as their careful editorial work during the production of this special issue. We hope you enjoy reading this collection.

## References

- Allport, G. W., & Ross, J. M. (1967). Personal religious orientation and prejudice. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 5, 432-443.
- Altemeyer, B. (1988). *Enemies of freedom: Understanding right-wing authoritarianism*.

  San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Altemeyer, B., & Hunsberger, B. (2004). A revised religious fundamentalism scale: The short and sweet of it. *International Journal for the Psychology of Religion*, 14, 47-54.
- Altemeyer, B., & Hunsberger, B. (2005). Fundamentalism and authoritarianism. In R. F Paloutzian & C. L. Park (Eds.), *Handbook of the psychology of religion and spirituality* (pp. 378-393). New York: Guilford Press.
- Bartz, J. D., Richards, P. S., Smith, T.B., & Fischer, L. (2010). A 17-year longitudinal study of religion and mental health in a Mormon sample. *Mental Health, Religion and Culture, 13*, x-x.
- Batson, C. D., & Schoenrade, P. A. (1991a). Measuring religion as quest: Reliability concerns. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, *30*, 430-447.
- Batson, C. D., & Schoenrade, P. A. (1991b). Measuring religion as quest: Validity concerns. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, *30*, 416-429.
- Batson, C. D., & Ventis, W. L. (1982). *The religious experience: A social psychological perspective*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Butcher, J. N., & Williams, C. L. (2000). Essentials of MMPI-2 and MMPI-A interpretation, (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press.

- Cheek, J. M., & Tropp, L. R. (1997). The Aspects of Identity Questionnaire. Retrieved

  September 21, 2002, from

  http://www.wellesley.edu/Psychology/Cheek/identity.html
- Eysenck, S. B. G., Eysenck, H. J., & Barrett, P. (1985). A revised version of the psychoticism scale. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 6, 21-29.
- Feagin, J. R. (1964). Prejudice and religious types: A focussed study of southern fundamentalists. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, *4*, 3-13.
- Francis, L. J. (2005). Faith and psychology: Personality, religion and the individual.

  London: Darton, Longman and Todd.
- Francis, L. J. (2007). Introducing the New Indices of Religious Orientation (NIRO):

  Conceptualisation and measurement. *Mental Health, Religion and Culture, 10*, 585-602.
- Francis, L.J. (2010). Personality and religious orientation: Shifting sands or firm foundations? *Mental Health, Religion and Culture*, *13*, x-x.
- Francis, L.J., Jewell, A., & Robbins, M. (2010). The relationship between religious orientation, personality and purpose in life among an older Methodist sample.

  Mental Health, Religion and Culture, 13, x-x.
- Francis, L.J., Robbins, M., & Murray, L. (2010). Psychological type and religious orientation: Do introverts and extraverts go to church for different reasons? *Mental Health, Religion and Culture*, 13, x-x.
- Gorsuch, R. L., & McPherson, S. E. (1989). Intrinsic/extrinsic measurement: I/E-revised and single-item scales. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, 28, 348-354.

- Gorsuch, R. L., & Venable, G. D. (1983). Development of an 'age universal' I-E scale. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, 22, 181-187.
- Jones, H.B. Jr., Furnham, A., & Deile, A.J. (2010). Religious orientation and the Protestant Work Ethic. *Mental Health, Religion and Culture, 13*, x-x.
- Jung, C. G. (1971). Psychological types: The collected works, volume 6. London:
  Routledge and Kegan Paul.
- Kamble, S.V., Lewis, C.A. & Cruise, S.M. (in prep). Examining the psychometric properties of the New Index of Religious Orientation: Data from India. *Mental Health, Religion and Culture, 13*, x-x.
- Kirkpatrick, L. A., & Hood, R. W. (1990). Intrinsic-extrinsic religious orientation: the boon or bane of contemporary psychology of religion. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, 29, 442-462.
- Lavrič, M., & Flere. S. (2010). Trait anxiety and measure of religiosity in four cultural settings. *Mental Health, Religion and Culture, 13*, x-x
- Maltby, J., & Day, L. (1998). Amending a measure of the Quest Religious Orientation: applicability of the scale's use among religious and non-religious persons.

  \*Personality and Individual Differences, 25, 517-522.
- Maltby, J., Lewis, C.A., Freeman, A., Day, L., Cruise, S.M., &. Breslin, M. (2010).

  Religion and health: The application of a cognitive-behavioural framework. *Mental Health, Religion and Culture, 13*, x-x.
- Mirels, H., & Garrett, J. (1971). Protestant ethic as a personality variable. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, *36*, 40-44.

- Myers, I. B., & McCaulley, M. H. (1985). *Manual: A guide to the development and use of the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator*. Palo Alto, California: Consulting Psychologists Press.
- Nielsen, M.E., & Cragun, R.T. (2010). Religious orientation, religious affiliation, and boundary maintenance: The case of polygamy. *Mental Health, Religion and Culture*, 13, x-x.
- Pargament, K. I. (1997). *The psychology of religion and coping: Theory, research and practice*. London: Guilford Press.
- Poloma, M. M., & Pendleton, B. F. (1991). Exploring neglected dimensions of religion in quality of life research. Lampeter, Wales: Edwin Mellen Press.
- Robbins, M., & Francis, L. J. (2000). Religion, personality and wellbeing: The relationship between church attendance and purpose in life among undergraduates attending an Anglican College in Wales. *Journal of Research in Christian Education*, 9, 223-238.
- Ross, C.F.J., & Francis, L.J. (2010). The relationship of intrinsic, extrinsic and quest religious orientations to Jungian psychological type among churchgoers in England and Wales. *Mental Health, Religion and Culture*, 13, x-x.
- Spielberger, C., Gorsuch, R., Lushene, R., Vagg, P. R., & Jacobs, G. A. (1970). *Manual for the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory*. Palo Alto, California: Consulting Psychologists Press.
- Tiliopoulos, N (2010). Aspects of identity in a British Christian sample. *Mental Health, Religion and Culture, 13*, x-x.

- Ware, J. E., Kosinski, M., & Dewey, J. E. (2000). *How to score version two of the SF-36 Health Survey*. Lincoln, RI: Quality Metric, Incorporated.
- Ware, J. E., Kosinski, M., & Keller, S. D. (1994). SF-36 physical and mental component summary measures: A user's manual. Boston: New England Medical Center, The Health Institute.
- Williams, E. (2010). The internal consistency reliability and construct validity of the New Indices of Religious Orientation (NIRO) among cathedral worshippers in the United Kingdom. *Mental Health, Religion and Culture, 13*, x-x.
- Williamson, P.W., & Hood, R.W., Jr., (2005, November). A new religious fundamentalism measure: Preliminary work based on the principle of intratextuality. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for the Scientific Study of Religion, Rochester, NY.
- Williamson, P.W., Hood, R.W., Jr., Ahmad, A., Sadiq, M., & Hill, P.C. (2010). The Intratextual Fundamentalism Scale: Cross-cultural application, validity evidence, and relationship with religious orientation and the big 5 factor markers. *Mental Health, Religion and Culture, 13*, x-x.