

Original citation:

Nisticò, Giuseppe, Pascoe, D. J. (David J.) and Nakariakov, V. M. (Valery M.). (2014)
Observation of a high-quality quasi-periodic rapidly propagating wave train using SDO/AIA.
Astronomy & Astrophysics, 569. A12.

Permanent WRAP URL:

<http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/64354>

Copyright and reuse:

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work by researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions. Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRAP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

Publisher's statement:

Reproduced with permission from Astronomy & Astrophysics, © ESO

A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or, version of record, if you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the 'permanent WRAP URL' above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: wrap@warwick.ac.uk

Observation of a high quality quasi-periodic rapidly-propagating wave train using SDO/AIA

G. Nisticò¹, D. J. Pascoe¹, and V. M. Nakariakov^{1,2,3}

¹ Centre for Fusion, Space and Astrophysics, Department of Physics, University of Warwick, CV4 7AL, UK
e-mail: g.nistico@warwick.ac.uk

² School of Space Research, Kyung Hee University, Yongin, 446-701, Gyeonggi, Korea

³ Central Astronomical Observatory at Pulkovo of the Russian Academy of Sciences, St Petersburg 196140, Russia

Received June 17, 2014/Accepted dd mm yyyy

ABSTRACT

Context. We present a new event of quasi-periodic wave trains observed in EUV wavebands, **rapidly-propagating** away from an active region after a flare.

Aims. We measure parameters of a wave train observed on 7 December 2013 after an M2.1 flare, *i.e.* phase speeds, periods and wavelengths, in relationship to the local coronal environment and the energy sources.

Methods. We compare our observations with a numerical simulation of fast magnetoacoustic waves undergoing dispersive evolution and leakage in a coronal loop embedded in a potential magnetic field.

Results. The wave train is observed to propagate as several arc-shaped intensity disturbances, for almost half an hour, with a speed greater than 1000 km s^{-1} and a period of about 1 min. **The wave train followed two different patterns of propagation, in accordance with the magnetic structure of the active region. The oscillatory signal is found to be of high quality, *i.e.* there is a large number (10 or more) of subsequent wave fronts observed.** The observations are found to be consistent with the numerical simulation of a fast wave train generated by a localised impulsive energy release.

Conclusions. Transverse structuring in the corona can efficiently create and guide high quality quasi-periodic propagating fast wave trains.

Key words. Magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) – Sun: corona – Sun: oscillations – Waves — Methods: numerical — Methods: observational

1. Introduction

Observations of the Sun at the extreme ultraviolet (EUV) and X-ray wavelengths by space-borne instruments in the last twenty years allowed us to clearly detect several magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) wave modes propagating through the solar corona, confirming theories and models previously formulated (Zajtsev & Stepanov 1975; Roberts 1981a,b; Edwin & Roberts 1983). MHD wave activity has been observed mainly as damped transverse (kink) oscillations of coronal loops (De Moortel & Nakariakov 2012) and decayless kink oscillations (Nisticò et al. 2013; Anfinogentov et al. 2013); global “EIT” or “tsunami” waves (Patsourakos et al. 2009), standing and propagating slow magnetoacoustic waves (see, Wang 2011; de Moortel 2009, respectively), sausage oscillations in radio wavebands (Nakariakov & Melnikov 2009). Recently, the high time resolution and sensitivity of the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA) onboard the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO) allowed Liu et al. (2011, 2012) to detect rapidly-propagating wave trains of the EUV emission intensity during flare/CME events. The observed disturbances were interpreted in terms of fast-magnetoacoustic waves driven quasi-periodically at the base of the flaring region (Ofman et al. 2011). Other detailed observations are reported by Shen & Liu (2012); Shen et al. (2013), demonstrating an intimate association with the flare energy releases, and the role of magnetic loop structures in guiding these waves. Yuan et al. (2013) also observed quasi-periodic propagating fast wave trains associated with flaring radio emission. **Rapidly-propagating waves of the**

EUV emission intensity disturbances should not be confused with well-understood slow waves of the EUV emission intensity disturbances (see, e.g. de Moortel 2009). The latter waves also form wave trains (e.g. Sych et al. 2012), but propagate along the apparent magnetic field lines at essentially sub-sonic speeds and their periods are typically longer than 120 s. Pascoe et al. (2013) developed an advanced numerical model for the dispersive evolution of fast MHD waves in an expanding magnetic field generated by an impulsive, spatially localised energy release with a field-aligned density structure which produced results consistent with the observations of Yuan et al. (2013).

Originally, dispersive evolution of broadband fast sausage waves was proposed by Roberts et al. (1984) as a mechanism for the creation of quasi-periodic fast wave trains such as those detected in radio emission from solar flares (Roberts et al. 1983). The predicted behaviour was confirmed by initial numerical studies (e.g. Murawski & Roberts 1993a,b). For the developed stage of the evolution the quasi-periodic wave trains are best described using wavelet analysis (Nakariakov et al. 2004) which reveals the “crazy tadpole” characteristic signature also seen in data for propagating fast wave trains detected with the Solar Eclipse Coronal Imaging System (SECIS) (Katsiyannis et al. 2003; Cooper et al. 2003) and seen in decimetric band radio bursts (e.g. Mészárosová et al. 2009a,b, 2011; Karlický et al. 2011; Mészárosová et al. 2013; Karlický et al. 2013). Recent numerical studies have extended models for dispersive evolution

of impulsively-generated wave trains to include current sheets (Jelínek & Karlický 2012; Jelínek et al. 2012).

Despite the growing interest in **rapidly**-propagating EUV wave **trains** in solar coronal structures, their observational detection is rare, with just a few events published in literature (Liu et al. 2011; Shen & Liu 2012; Shen et al. 2013). Thus, any new observation of this phenomenon is very valuable for revealing its nature, and validation and further development of its theoretical models. Here, we report the observation of a quasi-periodic fast-magnetoacoustic propagating (QFP) wave train with a high signal quality, **i.e. with a large number (10 or more) of wave fronts**. **The observed event is described** in Section 2. In Section 3 we present a numerical model for fast wave trains based on dispersive evolution within a coronal loop embedded in an active region. Further discussion and a comparison of our observational and numerical results are in Section 4.

2. Observations

A flare event of class M2.1 was registered by the SDO/AIA telescopes between 07:00–08:00 UT on 7 December 2013, in the active region (AR) NOAA 11909 (S17 W62). The event is listed in the “Last events catalogue” of the SolarSoft archive¹, and a preview of the animation revealed wave trains propagating at 171 Å. The flare triggered a fast-propagating coronal mass ejection (CME) with an average speed of 909 km s⁻¹, as recorded in the “Computer Aided CME Tracking” (CATCTus) catalog². We downloaded full cadence FITS files from SolarSoft (SSW) using the function `vso_search.pro`, in the time range as indicated previously, and collected a total of 300 frames. The data have been prepped and corrected using the standard routine `aia_prep.pro`, normalised to the exposure time, since this changes in response to the increase of the EUV emission due to the flare, ranging between 1.13 s and 1.99 s (the latter value is the standard exposure time for the 171 filter). We extract a small field-of-view (FOV) of 1000 × 1000 px from the full size images (equivalent to 600″ × 600″), enclosing the region-of-interest (ROI), with the bottom-left corner at (452″.10, -627″.90) and the top-right corner at (1051″.50, -28″.50).

Figure 1 shows a Potential Field Source Surface (PFSS) model for the solar magnetic field at the time 06:04:32, just one hour before our observation. The magnetic field model has been downloaded from the PFSS dataset³, and processed with the standard PFSS routines available in the SSW package⁴. The red box outlines our ROI from SDO/AIA, which contains closed (white) and open (green) magnetic field lines. The purple field lines represent open field lines with the opposite polarity to that of the green.

In order to highlight the propagation of the wave train, we construct running difference images (RDIs) by subtracting each image with the previous one, so with a Δt of 12 s corresponding to the AIA-171 cadence. The difference operation creates a salt-and-pepper noise effect in the new images, which we remove by smoothing each RDI with a 2D Gaussian kernel. In IDL we used the `gauss_smooth` function with a $\sigma = 1.5$.




Fig. 1. A PFSS model for the solar magnetic field during our observations (ROI indicated by the red box). The white field lines are closed while the green and purple represent open field lines with opposite polarities.

Figure 2 provides a time sequence of the development and evolution of the wave train. We summarise step by step the different phases:

- 07:06–07:18 UT, some loops are progressively expanding in the active region (panel b).
- 07:20–07:24 UT, diffraction pattern visible in 171 Å images due to the flare emission and appearance of an almost circular front, associated with the erupting CME/shock (panel c).
- 07:25:35 UT, appearance of a first front of the narrow wave train along a curved path (panel d).
- 07:26–07:37 UT, development of the large extended wave train, propagating away from the flare region. Several distinct fronts are visible (panel e-f).
- 07:39–08:00 UT, formation of post-flare loops. At about 07:47–07:50 UT some wave train fronts are seen to propagate off-limb (panel h).

A movie showing the temporal evolution of the wave train is available online.

2.1. Slit analysis

We construct time-distance (TD) maps to estimate the main physical parameters of the wave train from the filtered RDIs, such as the average speed and period. We consider two slits: the former a curved path, oriented along the narrow wave trains (red line in Fig. 2), the latter a straight line following the large wave train (green line in Fig. 2). The intensity profile, averaged over a width of 21 pixels, is recovered along the slit for each frame, and stacked progressively in time. Further, TD maps from the RDIs are filtered again by a Gaussian smoothing operator in order to improve contrast and attenuate the intensity steepness between pixels caused by the stacking operation or by image diffraction patterns due to the flare emission.

TD maps are shown along with the temporal evolution of soft X-rays from the GOES-15 satellite in Fig. 3. The red dots

¹ http://www.lmsal.com/solarsoft/latest_events_archive.html

² http://sidc.oma.be/cactus/catalog/LASCO/2_5_0/qk1/2013/12/CME0028/CME.html

³ http://www.lmsal.com/solarsoft/pfss/kitrun48/Bfield_bydate/

⁴ see <http://www.lmsal.com/~derosa/pfsspack/> for a tutorial




Fig. 2. Sequence of the SDO/AIA fields-of-view (FOV) at 171 Å showing the formation of the wave train. **Panel (a) shows the analysed FOV in normal intensity, other panels show it in running difference intensity at different instants of time, in order to highlight dynamical changes.** By blue arrows we outline some temporary evolving features, as expanding loops (b), CME fronts (c), oscillating loops during the flare (e) and post-flare loops (h). The wave trains are visible in panels (d–g) as periodically spaced bright and dark regions. The red arrows mark the position of a narrow wave train following a curved path, while the green arrows mark a large extended wave train. The red and green lines in panels (e–f) and (g) locate the position of slits in order to make time-distance maps. **A movie with the temporal evolution is available in the online edition, with the left panel showing the normal intensity images and the right one the running difference images.**

in TD maps locate the positions of the shock/CME fronts as determined by inspection. The green and blue lines are the curves of best fit (see parameters listed in Tab. 1). The vertical dashed red lines mark the position of the start and peak of flare activity, which agree well with the initial and final exponential growth of the eruption front. The expanding bubble that gives origin to the CME detected with coronagraphs slowly expands with a constant speed of the order of 10 km s^{-1} , and then experiences a rapid acceleration in almost 20 s.

The QFP wave trains are depicted by periodic straight intensity variations after the outburst and last for several minutes. The first TD map corresponds to QFP wave trains propagating for almost 15 minutes along a curved path in a narrow region, probably the boundary of a coronal funnel with a closed field loop; the second map shows large extended wave trains propagating as arc-shape fronts and lasting for about 35 minutes. The average speed of the wave train fronts is about 1 Mm s^{-1} and the period is between 70–80 s. More details are given in Sec. 4.

The underlying coronal region has a complex magnetic topology, characterised by closed magnetic field lines and an extended magnetic funnel, also shown in the PFSS model. In the next section we present a numerical model based on these observations.

3. Numerical Modelling

In this section we compare our observation of high quality propagating wave trains with a numerical model of fast MHD waves undergoing dispersive evolution in a structured active region. Pascoe et al. (2013) studied the dispersive evolution of fast MHD waves in an expanding magnetic field with a field-aligned den-

sity structure. To adapt our modelling to the current observation, we extend the model of Pascoe et al. (2013) to a curved coronal loop filled in with enhanced plasma density. **The gravitational force is not included in the model.**

Fast magnetoacoustic waves are excited by an impulsive compressive perturbation near one of the footpoints of the loop. The initial perturbation has a sausage-mode symmetry. The dense loop acts as a waveguide for fast magnetoacoustic waves, and the transverse length scale causes geometrical dispersion which leads to the formation and evolution of quasi-periodic wave trains. The leaky nature of the sausage mode allows wave train components with a sufficiently long wavelength to radiate away from the coronal loop and propagate through the open corona (Nakariakov et al. 2012).

The simulation is performed using LARE2D (Arber et al. 2001). This code solves the ideal MHD equations by taking a Lagrangian predictor-corrector time step after which variables are remapped back onto the original Eulerian grid using van Leer gradient limiters. The 2.5D approximation employed corresponds to no gradients in the z direction *i.e.* $\partial/\partial z = 0$. The numerical domain is composed of 4000×2000 grid points, which convergence tests demonstrate is sufficient to accurately resolve the problem. The boundary conditions are periodic in the horizontal direction and line-tied in the vertical direction. This allows reflections to occur at the base of the corona (e.g. at loop footpoints) but those from the boundary at the upper corona are unwanted and the simulation ends before they affect the results.

In normalised units, the numerical domain has a size $2\pi \times \pi$ and the runtime is $\tilde{t} = 4$. The conversion to physical units is done by choosing appropriate normalisation constants for speed (v_0) and time (t_0), with the normalisation length scale then given by




Fig. 3. Top: temporal evolution of the soft X-ray intensity at short (red) and long (blue) wavelengths from GOES-15. The vertical green dashed lines defines the time window of our observation between 07:00–08:00 UT. The vertical red dashed lines represent, respectively, the start, the peak and the end of the flare activity (note the long tail after the peak), **whilst the blue dashed line marks the start of the ramp in X-ray flux.** Middle and bottom: TD maps from the curved and straight slits. The red dots mark the position in time of the eruption fronts (shock/CME) which are fitted by exponential curves (green and blue lines). Notice the correspondence between the initial and exponential growth with the start and peak of the flare (red dashed lines). Wave train is depicted as periodic straight intensity variations (double arrow labeled as “WT”)

$l_0 = v_0 t_0$. The physical length scales (x, y), time scales (t), and speeds (v) are related to the dimensionless variables (denoted by tildes) by $x = \tilde{x} l_0$, $y = \tilde{y} l_0$, $t = \tilde{t} t_0$, and $v = \tilde{v} v_0$. Our normalisation is based on the observational findings presented in Section 2. We choose $v_0 = 1 \text{ Mm s}^{-1}$ and $t_0 = 80 \text{ s}$. This gives $l_0 = 80 \text{ Mm}$ and so the numerical domain has a height of $\approx 250 \text{ Mm}$.

The magnetic field used in our model is based on the potential field used by Pascoe et al. (2013) but with a horizontal shift of $\pi/2$ which places the closed field lines at the centre of the numerical domain rather than the open ones,

$$\mathbf{B} = B_0 \exp(-y) [\cos(x) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_x - \sin(x) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_y], \quad (1)$$

where B_0 is a constant determining the magnitude of the field. As in Fig. 1, the magnetic field is composed of closed field lines with open field lines of opposite polarity on the either sides (see Fig. 4). The density profile for the field-aligned coronal loop is




Fig. 4. Equilibrium profiles used for our numerical simulation of a fast wave train generated in an active region. The top panel shows the density (colour contour) and magnetic field lines. The coronal loop has a density contrast ratio $\rho_0/\rho_\infty = 5$ and reduces the local Alfvén speed (bottom panel).

based on the generalised symmetric Epstein profile (e.g. Nakariakov & Roberts 1995; Pascoe et al. 2007) with additional modifications to take into account the loop curvature and expansion (the increase in width at the apex). The density profile is also stratified in the vertical direction with a scale height Λ ,

$$\rho = \left[(\rho_0 - \rho_\infty) \text{sech}^2 \left(\frac{r}{a(s)} \right)^p + \rho_\infty \right] \exp \left(-\frac{y + \pi}{\Lambda} \right), \quad (2)$$

where r is the distance from the loop axis (along the local equipotential line), $a(s)$ is the local loop semi-width (a function of the distance along the loop axis $s = [0, L]$), ρ_0/ρ_∞ is the loop density contrast ratio, and the index p determines the profile steepness.

For our simulation we take $\rho_0/\rho_\infty = 5$ and $p = 8$. The apex of the loop is located at $(0, -\pi/2)$ and at this point the loop has a maximum width of $2a(s = L/2) = 0.36$. The minimum loop width is $2a(s = 0, L) = 0.0065$ at the loop footpoints and the loop length (along the axis) is $L = 4.51$. Vertical stratification of the Alfvén speed would cause the wavelength of the propagating wave trains to vary as a function of height. Since the field of view of our observations is insufficient to determine any such variation, we choose $\Lambda = 0.5$ for simplicity, which means that the coronal loop structure provides the only variation in Alfvén speed. Fig. 4 shows the equilibrium used in the numerical simulation. The top panel shows the density (colour contour) and magnetic field lines (Eq. 1). The coronal loop reduces the local Alfvén speed C_A (bottom panel) from the background value of 1 Mm s^{-1} and so acts as a waveguide for fast magnetoacoustic waves. The high density loop is set up to be in equilibrium by defining a temperature profile that satisfies the

constant total pressure condition. The plasma β is sufficiently small ($\beta < 10^{-5}$) that we do not consider slow magnetoacoustic waves in this study.

A compressive perturbation is applied near one of the loop footpoints,

$$V_x = A \exp \left[- \left(\frac{x - x_0}{\Delta_x} \right)^2 \right] \exp \left[- \left(\frac{y - y_0}{\Delta_y} \right)^2 \right], \quad (3)$$

where A is the initial (small) amplitude of the perturbation located at $(x_0, y_0) = (1.3, -2.9)$ (see Fig. 4-top) and the parameters Δ_x and Δ_y are the width of the initial perturbation in the horizontal and vertical directions, respectively. This perturbation represents a single, spatially-localised disturbance such as caused by a solar flare. We choose $\Delta_x = \Delta_y = 0.05$ so the perturbation is comparable in size to the loop at the location where it is applied. This ensures efficient generation and dispersion of fast sausage waves.

Fig. 5 shows the evolution of the compressive perturbation in the numerical simulation. Note that the numerical domain has been rotated for better comparison with the observational data in Fig. 2. The panels show images for the perturbation speed of the plasma at several consecutive instants of time. It is clear that the model generates a fast wave train propagating away from the location of the initial impulsive perturbation. The wave train is clearly quasi-periodic with a high signal quality. It well resembles the observed rapidly-propagating quasi-periodic wave trains of the EUV intensity perturbation, discussed in Section 2. **Fig. 6 shows the spatial structure of the density perturbations in the excited fast wave train.** The impulsive driver in our simulation generates fast sausage oscillations with a broad range of wave numbers. A wave train is generated within the coronal loop which undergoes dispersive evolution. The components of this wave train that have a wave number greater than the sausage mode cut-off remain trapped in the waveguide and propagate along the loop, whilst those with a sufficiently small wave number gradually leak out of the loop and form the outwardly propagating fast wave trains in the external medium.

The trapped wave train propagates in both directions along the loop axis. For the “downward” propagating part (*i.e.* towards the nearest footpoint) the transverse length scale (local loop width) decreases and so the corresponding sausage mode cut-off wave number increases. Wave train components that were previously trapped become leaky and so radiate away from the coronal loop. The trapped wave train acts a moving source of outwards (leaky) propagating waves and the impulsively-deposited energy is released from the loop over an extended time. In this way a propagating quasi-periodic wave train with a high signal quality can be generated from a single initial perturbation.

Fig. 7 shows fast wave trains at two locations, inside and outside the wave guiding loop (see Fig. 6). The quasi-periodic nature of the signals at both locations is evident. The leaky signal, outside the loop, is more regular. The longer-period spectral components are seen to arrive at the observational point earlier than the shorter-period components. A similar behaviour is seen for the wave train guided by the loop, while the signal is less regular. The reason for the irregularity is that the signal at the point of observation is a superposition of several guided signals. The period of the signal varies in time, but its estimation by the order of magnitude can be obtained with the use of Eq. (4) of Roberts et al. (1984). The period P , the external Alfvén speed C_{Ae} that is about the phase speed of the wave train, and the width a of the wave guide




Fig. 5. Snapshots representing the temporal evolution of the **absolute value of the induced flow velocity in the numerical simulation, at times $\tilde{t} = 0.1, 1$ and 2 (from top to bottom).** The blue lines outline the wave guiding coronal loop. Note the rotation of the domain in comparison with Fig. 4-top.

can be combined in a dimensionless parameter PC_{Ae}/a that is of the order of unity. The period of the signal is about 0.25 normalised time units. Taking that the external Alfvén speed is 1 normalised speed unit, and the width of the wave guide at the observational point is about 0.25 normalised spatial units, we conclude that the results obtained are consistent with this estimation.

Note that the same process also applies to the “upward” propagating part of the wave train later on, *i.e.* once it passes the loop apex, but we do not consider those times in this study. In the case of a coronal loop with smaller expansion than the potential field model we use, a height dependent cut-off may also be provided by a stratified Alfvén speed; a larger Alfvén speed lower in the corona causes the wavelength of the downward propagating wave train to increase and thereby assists leakage. **Our chosen equilibrium (see Fig. 4) prevents leakage due to wave tunnelling which has been studied for curved loops by**




Fig. 7. Time signatures of the density perturbation (solid lines) and the transverse component of the plasma velocity (dashed lines) at points inside (left panel) and outside (right panel) of the wave guiding coronal loop. The perturbations are normalised to their maximum values.




Fig. 6. Running-difference perturbations of the plasma density in the numerical simulation at $\bar{t} = 2$. The red and green lines are the slits used to construct the time-distance maps. The asterisks show the spatial locations where temporal signals were measured. **The FOV shown in this figure covers the right half of the panels shown in Fig 5.** A movie of the numerical simulation is available in the online edition.

e.g., Brady & Arber (2005); Verwichte et al. (2006a,b); Díaz et al. (2006); Díaz (2006). Indeed, the tunnelling effect occurs when the Alfvén speed decreases with the distance from the oscillating loop, which does not take place in the considered equilibrium. Our equilibrium is more similar to that of Van Doorsselaere et al. (2004), i.e. regions of constant Alfvén speed, though sausage modes do not experience the resonant absorption which those authors consider (and neither do kink modes in chosen geometry). The rate of energy release from the loop depends upon the details of the structuring such as the density contrast ratio and loop expansion/stratification and so has potential application as a seismological tool. The dispersive evolution also depends upon the initial spectral profile

of the source (e.g. Nakariakov et al. 2005) and requires a sufficiently broadband driver, which can be a short impulsive source sufficiently localised in space.

We would also like to point out that the initial perturbation given by Eq. (3) excites also slow and entropy modes (Murawski et al. 2011). However, in this study we restrict our attention to the time interval associated with the development of fast magnetoacoustic waves only.

4. Discussion and Conclusions

In order to compare the observational results presented in Section 2 with the results of numerical modelling described in Section 3, we apply the TD map technique to the numerical simulation results in the same way as for the observational data. As in the analysis of observations, we consider two slits: the former one being a curved path (the red line in Fig. 6) along the closed loop structure, and the latter one being straight line (green) in an open field region. The slits are superimposed on numerical density RDIs (Fig. 6) and TD maps are extracted from them.

Both observational and numerical TD maps are shown in Fig. 8. The wave train fronts in observations are determined by eye-inspection in TD maps, taking a series of data points (red dots in Fig. 8-left), along the boundaries of black and bright patches. These points are distributed almost along a straight line, whose slope approximates the average phase speed of the QFP wave trains. The series of data points are fitted with a linear function of the form

$$d = d_0 + vt \quad (4)$$

with (t, d) the variable pair as measured in TD maps, and d_0 and v the constants determined by least-square fitting. Actually, the fitting is performed with the inverted relation of (4), in order to consider the time as a dependent variable and minimise errors along it. Indeed, choosing a point in TD maps implies an error both in time and space. By assuming an error of 2 Mm and 12 s (equivalent to the AIA cadence) for each measurement, and spatial and temporal scales for the wave front of 150 Mm and 2.5 min (150 s), the relative errors for each variable will be $\Delta d/d \sim 1.33\%$, and $\Delta t/t \sim 8\%$, so that the time error affects the estimate of the phase speed to a greater extent. Lines of best fit are over-plotted in TD maps as dashed green lines.

The typical speed (see Tab. 1) ranges between 900–1200 km s⁻¹ and the relative errors for the fittings are less than 10%. This estimate is related to the plane-of-sky. If we assume




Fig. 8. Time-distance maps from the observations (left) and from the numerical simulation (right). The red dots are the data points which indicate the position of wave fronts, fitted by the green lines. **The vertical continuous red lines delimit a temporal window of about 5 min from the flare peak for comparison with numerical simulations.** Intensity time series are extracted from the maps at position marked the dashed blue lines, which are plotted in Fig. 9.




Fig. 9. Left panels: Plots of the time variation of the EUV intensity perturbations in the rapidly-propagating quasi-periodic wave trains, extracted from TD map 1 and 2 respectively at position of about 85 and 155 Mm (see Fig. 8). The perturbations are shown in arbitrary units. The red vertical dashed lines mark the start and the peak of the flare. The blue vertical dashed line indicates the time of the sudden increase in the soft X-ray flux. The sequence of the propagating wave train fronts is seen as the increase in the amplitude of the intensity variation. Right panels: wavelet spectra of the signals.

that the wave train paths are perpendicular to the solar surface and the underlying AR is at $\theta = 28$ deg from the solar limb, the speed will be slightly higher. The wave train period P is estimated as the lag between two consecutive wave fronts and is between 0.80–1.50 min. Furthermore, the wavelength of the wave train, *i.e.* the distance between two consecutive fronts, is estimated as $L = vP$, is 0.9–1.8 Mm.

TD maps of the numerical simulation agree well with the observations. According to the normalisation quantities used for the speed and the time, as given in Section 3, our numerical simulation runtime corresponds to a physical time of $T_{sim} = 5.33$ min (320 s), taken for example from the flare peak in the observation (vertical red lines in TD MAP1–2 (o)). The general pattern of QFP in the synthetic TD maps, along the curved (TD

MAP1 (s)) and the straight line (TD MAP2 (s)) agrees with our observations, showing more inhomogeneities in the wave train patterns in the first TD map, than in the second one, whose slit lies in a predominantly open field region.

Fig. 9 shows the time signals taken at two locations, one in the wave guiding coronal loop, and the other one outside it. Wave trains of the EUV intensity perturbations are clearly seen in the signals. Wavelet spectra have the “crazy tad-pole” signatures typical for the dispersively evolving guided fast wave trains (Nakariakov et al. 2004). The same information can be obtained in the time domain: longer-period spectral components are clearly seen arriving at the observational points before the shorter-period spectral components, in full agreement with the theory (see Fig. 7). Also,

TD MAP 1				
CME front displacement ($d = d_0 + vt + a \exp(bt)$)				
Front	v [km s ⁻¹]	Δv [km s ⁻¹]	a [km]	b [s ⁻¹]
1	2.68	9.25	119	17
2	0.00	0.00	87	19
Wave front displacement ($d = d_0 + vt$)				
Front	v [km s ⁻¹]	Δv [km s ⁻¹]	P [min]	L [Mm]
1	538	126	-	-
2	610	48	1.24	46
3	762	170	0.83	38
4	1119	77	1.85	57
5	1333	90	0.95	76
6	1565	236	1.13	106
7	1288	141	1.07	82
8	1741	599	0.93	97
9	2394	1742	2.98	429
10	923	214	2.15	119
TD MAP 2				
CME front displacement ($d = d_0 + vt + a \exp(bt)$)				
Front	v [km s ⁻¹]	Δv [km s ⁻¹]	a [km]	b [s ⁻¹]
1	14.7	5.7	15	24
2	0.0	0.0	258	16
Wave front displacement ($d = d_0 + vt$)				
Front	v [km s ⁻¹]	Δv [km s ⁻¹]	P [min]	L [km]
1	1124	59	-	-
2	1061	48	1.14	72
3	1265	151	0.81	62
4	1094	58	1.14	75
5	1074	56	1.06	69
6	1144	188	1.36	93
7	1093	465	1.07	70
8	967	65	1.16	67
9	1090	128	1.47	96
10	1210	134	0.87	63
11	1252	131	1.48	111
12	1386	317	2.18	181
13	1400	193	0.96	80
14	1851	494	0.86	96
15	1444	215	1.65	143
16	941	82	1.46	82
17	1145	263	1.24	85

Table 1. Table of fitting parameters for the CME fronts and the wave trains. We show the speed with the associated error, the period and the wavelength L , determined as $L = vP$.

we see that for the period $P \approx 60$ s, apparent phase speed $v \approx 1$ Mm s⁻¹ and the observed transverse width of the wave train $a \approx 20$ – 60 Mm, the dimensionless combination Pv/a is of the order of unity. This estimation is consistent with the results of numerical modelling.

Previous work on QFP wave trains (Liu et al. 2011; Shen et al. 2013; Shen & Liu 2012; Yuan et al. 2013) show an intimate connection between the formation and propagation of QFP wave trains and the time-variability of the flaring energy release. In particular, of interest are quasi-periodic pulsations (QPP) in the flare light curve. This can be generally explained as quasi-periodic magnetic reconnection which periodically releases energy that excites wave trains and causes QPP (see Nakariakov & Melnikov 2009, for a recent review). The flare discussed in this paper shows some quasi-periodic intensity pulsations, especially at the end of the QFP wave train evolution at 171 Å.

The relationship between QPP and QFP wave train will be the subject of future work. On the other hand, we show that our numerical modelling of an impulsive energy release demonstrates that dispersive evolution due to transverse structuring (such as a dense coronal loop) can readily generate quasi-periodic propagating fast wave trains with a **high signal quality** from a single impulsive source. **This effect explains the appearance of the quasi-periodic fast wave trains without the need for a periodic driver.** Thus, QFP wave trains may potentially be exploited as a seismological tool for the remote diagnostic of plasma parameters.

Acknowledgements. The data used are courtesy of the SDO/AIA team. This work is supported by the STFC Warwick Astrophysics Consolidated Grant (GN), the European Research Council under the *SeismoSun* Research Project No. 321141 (DJP, VMN), the BK21 plus program through the National Research Foundation funded by the Ministry of Education of Korea (VMN), and the Russian Foundation of Basic Research under grant 13-02-00044 (VMN).

References

- Anfinogenov, S., Nisticò, G., & Nakariakov, V. M. 2013, *A&A*, 560, A107
Arber, T., Longbottom, A., Gerrard, C., & Milne, A. 2001, *Journal of Computational Physics*, 171, 151
Brady, C. S. & Arber, T. D. 2005, *A&A*, 438, 733
Cooper, F. C., Nakariakov, V. M., & Williams, D. R. 2003, *A&A*, 409, 325
de Moortel, I. 2009, *Space Sci. Rev.*, 149, 65
De Moortel, I. & Nakariakov, V. M. 2012, *Royal Society of London Philosophical Transactions Series A*, 370, 3193
Díaz, A. J. 2006, *A&A*, 456, 737
Díaz, A. J., Zaqarashvili, T., & Roberts, B. 2006, *A&A*, 455, 709
Edwin, P. M. & Roberts, B. 1983, *Sol. Phys.*, 88, 179
Jelínek, P. & Karlický, M. 2012, *A&A*, 537, A46
Jelínek, P., Karlický, M., & Murawski, K. 2012, *A&A*, 546, A49
Karlický, M., Jelínek, P., & Mészárosová, H. 2011, *A&A*, 529, A96
Karlický, M., Mészárosová, H., & Jelínek, P. 2013, *A&A*, 550, A1
Katsiyannis, A. C., Williams, D. R., McAteer, R. T. J., et al. 2003, *A&A*, 406, 709
Liu, W., Ofman, L., Nitta, N. V., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, 753, 52
Liu, W., Tittle, A. M., Zhao, J., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 736, L13
Mészárosová, H., Dudík, J., Karlický, M., Madsen, F. R. H., & Sawant, H. S. 2013, *Sol. Phys.*, 283, 473
Mészárosová, H., Karlický, M., & Rybák, J. 2011, *Sol. Phys.*, 273, 393
Mészárosová, H., Karlický, M., Rybák, J., & Jiříčka, K. 2009a, *ApJ*, 697, L108
Mészárosová, H., Sawant, H. S., Cecatto, J. R., et al. 2009b, *Advances in Space Research*, 43, 1479
Murawski, K. & Roberts, B. 1993a, *Sol. Phys.*, 143, 89
Murawski, K. & Roberts, B. 1993b, *Sol. Phys.*, 144, 255
Murawski, K., Zaqarashvili, T. V., & Nakariakov, V. M. 2011, *A&A*, 533, A18
Nakariakov, V. M., Arber, T. D., Ault, C. E., et al. 2004, *MNRAS*, 349, 705
Nakariakov, V. M., Hornsey, C., & Melnikov, V. F. 2012, *ApJ*, 761, 134
Nakariakov, V. M. & Melnikov, V. F. 2009, *Space Sci. Rev.*, 149, 119
Nakariakov, V. M., Pascoe, D. J., & Arber, T. D. 2005, *Space Sci. Rev.*, 121, 115
Nakariakov, V. M. & Roberts, B. 1995, *Sol. Phys.*, 159, 399
Nisticò, G., Nakariakov, V. M., & Verwichte, E. 2013, *A&A*, 552, A57
Ofman, L., Liu, W., Tittle, A., & Aschwanden, M. 2011, *ApJ*, 740, L33
Pascoe, D. J., Nakariakov, V. M., & Arber, T. D. 2007, *A&A*, 461, 1149
Pascoe, D. J., Nakariakov, V. M., & Kupriyanova, E. G. 2013, *A&A*, 560, A97
Patsourakos, S., Vourlidas, A., Wang, Y. M., Stenborg, G., & Thernisien, A. 2009, *Sol. Phys.*, 259, 49
Roberts, B. 1981a, *Sol. Phys.*, 69, 39
Roberts, B. 1981b, *Sol. Phys.*, 69, 27
Roberts, B., Edwin, P. M., & Benz, A. O. 1983, *Nature*, 305, 688
Roberts, B., Edwin, P. M., & Benz, A. O. 1984, *ApJ*, 279, 857
Shen, Y. & Liu, Y. 2012, *ApJ*, 753, 53
Shen, Y.-D., Liu, Y., Su, J.-T., et al. 2013, *Sol. Phys.*, 288, 585
Sych, R., Zaqarashvili, T. V., Nakariakov, V. M., et al. 2012, *A&A*, 539, A23
Van Doorselaere, T., Debosscher, A., Andries, J., & Poedts, S. 2004, *A&A*, 424, 1065
Verwichte, E., Foullon, C., & Nakariakov, V. M. 2006a, *A&A*, 449, 769
Verwichte, E., Foullon, C., & Nakariakov, V. M. 2006b, *A&A*, 452, 615
Wang, T. 2011, *Space Sci. Rev.*, 158, 397
Yuan, D., Shen, Y., Liu, Y., et al. 2013, *A&A*, 554, A144
Zajtsev, V. V. & Stepanov, A. V. 1975, *Issledovaniia Geomagnetizmu Aeronomii i Fizike Solntsa*, 37, 3